Recent developments in detection of superoxide radical anion and hydrogen peroxide: Opportunities, challenges, and implications in redox signaling
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Abstract

In this review, some of the recent developments in probes and assay techniques specific for superoxide (O$_2^•$−) and hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) are discussed. Over the last decade, significant progress has been made in O$_2^•$− and H$_2$O$_2$ detection due to syntheses of new redox probes, better understanding of their chemistry, and development of specific and sensitive assays. For superoxide detection, hydroethidine (HE) is the most suitable probe, as the product, 2-hydroxyethidium, is specific for O$_2^•$−. In addition, HE-derived dimeric products are specific for one-electron oxidants. As red-fluorescent ethidium is always formed from HE intracellularly, chromatographic techniques are required for detecting 2-hydroxyethidium. HE analogs, Mito-SOX and hydropropidine, exhibit the same reaction chemistry with O$_2^•$− and one-electron oxidants. Thus, mitochondrial superoxide can be unequivocally detected using HPLC-based methods and not by fluorescence microscopy. Aromatic boronate-based probes react quantitatively with H$_2$O$_2$, forming a phenolic product. However, peroxynitrite and hypochlorite react more rapidly with boronates, forming the same product. Using ROS-specific probes and HPLC assays, it is possible to screen chemical libraries to discover specific inhibitors of NADPH oxidases. We hope that rigorous detection of O$_2^•$− and H$_2$O$_2$ in different cellular compartments will improve our understanding of their role in redox signaling.
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Introduction
Over the past few decades, we have been fortunate to work with organic chemists who synthesized spin traps, fluorescent and chemiluminescent probes, and compounds specifically targeted to mitochondria, as well as many cell biologists, cell signaling researchers, and molecular biologists. Our frequent interactions between synthetic chemists, physical chemists, and biologists have allowed us to identify relevant problems and develop pertinent probes and analytical techniques that can provide solutions to long-standing problems in free radical biology. Important novel probes for detecting reactive oxygen and nitrogen species are being developed in several laboratories here and around the world. Because of these advances, we are now in the position to simultaneously monitor different reactive oxygen and nitrogen species in biological systems, identify inhibitors of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) oxidases (Nox) by screening libraries of chemicals, and even detect reactive oxygen species (ROS) in a cell signaling milieu. In this review, the recent developments of probes and techniques to detect the specific products derived from the interaction between selected ROS and fluorescent and chemiluminescent probes in vitro and in vivo, and possibly under redox signaling conditions, are discussed.

Understanding the reaction chemistry of probes
Roger Tsien, who won the 2008 Noble Prize in chemistry for his discovery on genetically engineered green fluorescent proteins, famously wrote, “Being able to do any chemistry was like being the one-eyed man in the kingdom of the blind” [1]. We realized that the free radical biology field would greatly benefit from a rigorous understanding of the chemistry of fluorescent probes, their reaction kinetics with ROS/reactive nitrogen species (RNS), the determination of stoichiometry and specific products, and development of rigorous assays and detection methods. Thus began our quest to understand the reaction mechanism of
superoxide and the redox-sensitive fluorescent probe hydroethidine (HE). HE, a two-electron reduction product of ethidium (E⁺), was thought to react specifically with the superoxide radical anion (O₂⁻) and get oxidized back to E⁺ [2]. However, we found that, in a pure superoxide-generating enzymatic system, HE was not oxidized to E⁺ (Figure 1); rather, a hydroxylated product that has fluorescence characteristics similar to that of E⁺ was formed [3,4]. The structure of the hydroxylated product was determined to be 2-hydroxyethidium (2-OH-E⁺, Figure 1) [4].

However, in superoxide-generating systems that contain trace levels of redox-active metal ions (iron) or peroxidases, E⁺ was formed as a nonspecific product (Figure 2). In addition to E⁺, several dimeric products (nonfluorescent), such as E⁺-E⁺, that are characteristic of a radical-radical dimerization mechanism were formed (Figure 2) [5]. Because the reaction of HE radical cation with superoxide is rapid (estimated rate constant ~10⁹ M⁻¹s⁻¹), one-electron oxidation of HE may significantly improve its efficiency in competing for O₂⁻ with other targets of superoxide, including intracellular superoxide dismutase (SOD). Thus, the presence of one-electron oxidants may increase the yield of 2-OH-E⁺, as the HE-derived radical is a common intermediate for superoxide (or its protonated form, hydroperoxyl radical [HO₂⁺]) and other one-electron oxidants [6,7]. As the one-electron oxidants will also lead to formation of the dimeric products, complete profiling of HE oxidation products is necessary for proper interpretation of the changes in intracellular levels of 2-OH-E⁺ [8]. Recently, an additional chlorination product of hydroethidine (2-chloroethidium) has been identified as a product of the reaction between HE and hypochlorous acid, and proposed as a specific marker of myeloperoxidase activity in the in vitro and in vivo settings [9,10].

Rigorous identification of ROS and RNS using the fluorescent probes

The proper use of molecular probes for detection and characterization of reactive oxidizing and nitrating species requires a detailed knowledge of the chemistry, reaction kinetics, stoichiometry, and product(s) formed from the reaction between ROS/RNS and fluorescent or chemiluminescent probes. Clearly, accurate interpretation of the fluorescence data requires sufficient knowledge of the ROS/RNS reaction chemistry with the fluorescent dyes. Sometimes, determination of minor, but specific, products can provide major new information regarding the identity of the ROS/RNS species [11–13].

Intracellular levels of the fluorescent probes

With very few exceptions, fluorogenic probes for ROS are not present in sufficient concentrations in cells to efficiently compete with other targets of superoxide or hydrogen peroxide. This may be regarded as an advantage, as in such cases the probe is not expected to significantly disturb the system, providing a way for biorthogonal ROS detection. It has, however, two important consequences for ROS measurements: (i) only a fraction of O₂⁻ or H₂O₂ is detected and, therefore, the assay is semi-quantitative at best, and (ii) changes in intracellular concentration of the probe will affect its effectiveness in competition with other targets, resulting in different yields of the products detected. Therefore, it is critically important to monitor intracellular probe levels for an accurate interpretation of the changes in the amounts of the oxidation product(s).
Is the red fluorescence formed from HE truly indicative of the reactive oxygen and nitrogen species?

Both 2-OH-E\(^+\) and E\(^+\), derived from HE oxidation, have very similar fluorescence characteristics (Figure 3) [2,4,14]. Furthermore, the relative intracellular concentrations of 2-OH-E\(^+\) and E\(^+\) generally are not known. Consequently, the use of fluorescence microscopy to monitor red fluorescence does not measure just one species, as demonstrated [4], and measurement of “red fluorescence” derived from HE-treated cells is not an indicator of intracellular superoxide. What was measured in almost all of these studies is either the nonspecific or one-electron oxidation of HE, or a mixture of nonspecific and the superoxide-specific (2-OH-E\(^+\)) products of HE oxidation. As such, it is incorrect to conclude that the red fluorescence obtained from HE is due only to 2-hydroxyethidium, the product of reaction of \(O_2^{-}\) with HE. Although use of shorter wavelength excitation light (~400 nm) for more selective detection of 2-OH-E\(^+\) has been proposed [15,16], without knowing the relative contribution from E\(^+\) and 2-OH-E\(^+\) to the signal intensity, the fluorescence signal cannot be equated to cellular superoxide level/production. Regardless of the excitation wavelength, monitoring the red fluorescence does not provide data on the intracellular probe level and, therefore, misses the important factor, which undoubtedly controls the signal intensity.

Is Mito-SOX-derived red fluorescence indicative of mitochondrial superoxide?

Mitochondria-targeted hydroethidine (Mito-SOX or mito-hydroethidine [Mito-HE]) is a redox probe in which the HE molecule is conjugated to a triphenylphosphonium group (TPP\(^+\)) via an alkyl side chain [5,15]. Mito-SOX is targeted to mitochondria because of the presence of the TPP\(^+\) moiety. As the “business end” of this probe is HE moiety, the reaction chemistry of HE and Mito-SOX is identical in all aspects. Figure 4 shows the one-electron oxidation and superoxide-dependent hydroxylation products of HE and Mito-SOX. For example, both HE and Mito-SOX form the corresponding 2-hydroxylated products, 2-OH-E\(^+\) from HE and 2-hydroxy-Mito-ethidium (2-OH-Mito-E\(^+\)) from Mito-SOX, as the only products in a pure superoxide-generating system (e.g., xanthine/xanthine oxidase) [5,7,14]. In the presence of trace metal ions and/or peroxidase, one-electron oxidation products are formed from both HE and Mito-SOX (Figure 4) [5,7]. Because mitochondria are enriched with iron-containing heme proteins and hemes, Mito-SOX is more susceptible to undergoing a one-electron oxidation than HE. As a result, Mito-SOX is able to form red-fluorescent Mito-E\(^+\) (the ethidium analog of Mito-SOX); without knowing the relative concentrations of Mito-E\(^+\) and 2-OH-Mito-E\(^+\), it is impossible to assign the red fluorescence derived from Mito-SOX to mitochondrial superoxide. Pharmacological or genetic (e.g., overexpression of manganese SOD) manipulations directed at decreasing mitochondrial superoxide levels may not be sufficient for accurate interpretation of Mito-SOX-derived fluorescence, as they may also affect cellular and/or mitochondrial probe uptake and its mitochondrial level. Thus, in our opinion, most studies wherein Mito-SOX red fluorescence was equated to mitochondrial superoxide require reevaluation and reinvestigation using rigorous methodologies employing high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) or liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) techniques.
DCF and DHR-derived fluorescence--Are these useful?

Both dichlorodihydrofluorescein (DCFH$_2$) and dihydrorhodamine (DHR) have been used to monitor intracellular oxidative stress, hydrogen peroxide, and other oxidants derived therefrom [16,17]. We and other researchers have reported that DCFH$_2$ does not directly react with hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) and that intracellular iron, heme, or peroxidase is required or peroxynitrite-derived radicals are involved [16,18]. We suggested that DCFH$_2$-derived fluorescence may be used to track oxidant-induced intracellular iron signaling as formation of DCF was inhibited by a transferrin receptor antibody blocking the iron uptake [18]. However, a major drawback in using DCFH$_2$ and DHR to measure ROS/RNS is that the one-electron oxidized intermediate, DCFH radical (DCFH•/DCF•−) or DHR radical (RhH•), forms superoxide in the presence of oxygen [19–21]. This means that these dyes themselves generate O$_2$•−, leading to the formation of H$_2$O$_2$ and to fluorescence signal amplification, which may be unrelated to the original event responsible for initial probe oxidation [22,23]. This is a case of a reporter molecule making “news.” In such a case, any pharmacological or genetic manipulation aimed at decreasing the intracellular H$_2$O$_2$ levels may attenuate the DCFH$_2$-derived fluorescence signal, even if the initiation of DCFH$_2$ oxidation was H$_2$O$_2$-independent (e.g., release of cytochrome c from mitochondria during apoptosis). Clearly, one does not glean any useful information concerning the nature of the intracellular oxidant formed by monitoring DCFH$_2$ or DHR-derived fluorescence. In contrast to DCFH$_2$ and DHR, the Amplex Red radical intermediate does not redox cycle to generate O$_2$•−. Most redox probes undergo one-electron oxidation to a probe radical; however, in some cases, the redox-probe-derived radicals stimulate superoxide and H$_2$O$_2$ formation. In other cases, redox-probe-derived radicals do not react with oxygen but react with superoxide to form the specific product, as reported for hydroethidine oxidation.

Small molecular weight probes for detecting hydrogen peroxide

For decades, it has been known that boronates react stoichiometrically with H$_2$O$_2$ [24] to form the corresponding hydroxyl product. However, the reaction rate constant is very low (≈ 1 M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$); thus, in a cellular milieu, unless the local concentration of the boronate is very high, it is unlikely that the boronate probe is the predominant target for H$_2$O$_2$ and thus can be used as a bioorthogonal probe [25]. Under extracellular conditions using high concentrations of the coumarin boronate (CBA) probe, H$_2$O$_2$ formation was monitored with and without the catalase enzyme by observing the fluorescence of the product, 7-hydroxycoumarin [12,26,27]. Most boronates, including the CBA probe, react very rapidly with peroxynitrite and hypochlorous acid, forming the corresponding phenols as a major product [27–29]. In contrast to the H$_2$O$_2$/boronate reaction, the peroxynitrite/boronate reaction is not sensitive to catalase [26–30].

The Amplex Red probe is used to detect and quantitate H$_2$O$_2$ because of its high sensitivity. In this assay, the Amplex Red probe is oxidized to resorufin by added horseradish peroxidase (HRP) and H$_2$O$_2$ generated endogenously. Resorufin has a high extinction coefficient in the visible absorption region (~570 nm), so it can be conveniently and continuously monitored [26,30]. However, one has to be cognizant of artifacts introduced by light, reductants, and the photosensitized oxidation mechanism [31]. As continuous
monitoring of Amplex Red oxidation may lead to significant photosensitization of Amplex Red by resorufin [32], the data from real-time monitoring should be validated by end-point measurements of the samples incubated in the dark. It has been also reported that Amplex Red can be converted to resorufin by peroxynitrite in an HRP-catalyzed reaction [33] and in an HRP-independent, carboxylesterase-catalyzed reaction [34]. The Amplex Red-based assay is predominantly limited to cell-free and extracellularly generated H₂O₂ as the reaction requires a catalyst and the HRP is cell impermeable. It is, however, likely that another intracellular heme peroxidase could catalyze the oxidative conversion of the Amplex Red to resorufin.

Simultaneous monitoring of O₂•− and H₂O₂: UHPLC analysis

Using ultra high pressure liquid chromatography (UHPLC), the products formed from O₂•−/HE and CBA/H₂O₂ reactions can be detected within 60 s (Figure 5) [12,26]. Using the appropriate standards, 2-OH-E⁺ and 7-hydroxycoumarin (COH), the actual concentrations of these products can be rigorously measured. Figure 5C shows a series of HPLC chromatograms obtained from incubations containing a mixture of HE and CBA probes with hypoxanthine/xanthine oxidase alone (trace 1), and with either SOD (trace 2), or catalase (CAT, trace 3) [26]. Whereas 2-OH-E⁺ was inhibited by superoxide dismutase, COH (a product formed from CBA and H₂O₂ reaction) was not inhibited. In contrast, COH was inhibited by catalase but not by SOD.

We applied this approach to simultaneously monitor O₂•− and H₂O₂ formation upon Nox2 activation in differentiated human promyelocytic leukemia (HL-60) cells (Figure 6) [12,26]. Figure 6B shows the UHPLC traces obtained from incubations containing HE and CBA and differentiated HL-60 cells alone, plus phorbol ester (PMA), plus PMA and SOD, and plus PMA and catalase. The time-course in O₂•− and H₂O₂ formation from activated and resting HL-60 cells is shown in Figure 6C. Thus, using this approach, one can rigorously monitor over time and quantitate the probes’ oxidation products from the reaction with O₂•− and H₂O₂ generated from activated Nox2.

Does Nox4 generate detectable O₂•−?

A considerable amount of controversy is present in the literature with regard to O₂•− formation and detection from Nox4 [35]. So far, only fluorescence and spectrophotometric detection methods have been used; clearly, more rigorous methods need to be applied. We investigated this problem using the UHPLC/HE/CBA approach (Figure 7). Figure 7B shows the UHPLC traces obtained from incubations containing human embryonic kidney (HEK) cells (control), HEK cells expressing Nox4 (HEK-Nox4), and both HE and CBA. There rate of 2-OH-E⁺ formation from HEK cells and HEK-Nox4 cells was almost the same, suggesting no detectable O₂•− generation from Nox4. There was, however, a marked increase in catalase-sensitive COH formation from H₂O₂ reaction with CBA in HEK-Nox4 cells. From these results, we can conclude that no detectable O₂•− was released from the active site of the enzyme Nox4 and that the major detectable species released from Nox4 is H₂O₂ [26].
Opportunities in Nox inhibitor discovery

The only known function of all Nox isoforms (Nox1–Nox5, Duox1, Duox2) is generation of reactive oxygen species [36]. Thus, a rigorously developed ROS assay is critical for monitoring of enzyme activity and assessing the effects of putative Nox inhibitors. However, only a limited number of Nox inhibitors are currently available [37,38]. We propose that one of the reasons for the slow progress is the lack of availability of specific and selective ROS assays. Although EPR spin trapping is a method for specific detection of superoxide in extracellular milieu, and we used it successfully for trapping Nox2-derived superoxide [26], this method is not compatible with high throughput screening but can be used in the confirmatory assays. After we became convinced that we are able to reliably and reproducibly obtain similar results with 40+ compounds using our assays based on HE, CBA, HP\textsuperscript{+} (hydropropidine), and Amplex Red [26], we screened a small library of >2,000 bioactive and US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved drugs for Nox2 inhibition. We used the differentiated HL-60 cells as the source of Nox2 and identified about 50 drugs as potential inhibitors of Nox2-mediated ROS formation (Figure 8) [12]. This screen also identified compounds such as diphenyleneiodonium (DPI), the most frequently used Nox inhibitor. Clearly, much more work needs to be done with regard to dose response, mechanisms, off-target effects, toxicity, and related factors. But, we are now in a position to use the developed assays for other Nox isoforms, the appropriate cells, and ROS-assay-based high-throughput screening.

Detection of O\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{-} in different cellular compartments

As ROS/RNS are generated by various cellular machineries in different cellular compartments, targeting ROS/RNS-specific probes to sites of oxidant generation is essential. Advances made with regard to rigorous understanding of the chemistry and determinations of products formed from the redox probes are making it possible to achieve this ultimate goal. Figure 9 shows products formed from O\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{-} and one-electron oxidants reaction with extracellular, intracellular, and mitochondrial HE analogs. As shown, all of these probes share the same reaction chemistry. We envision that, if HE is attached to a peptide targeted to a nucleus, lysosome, or peroxisome, O\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{-} and other oxidant formation in these organelles can specifically be probed. Investigators have previously attached a lysosome-targeting peptide to a boronate for measuring lysosomal H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} [39]. This is an interesting new approach that is likely to be pursued by other investigators. However, as we stressed earlier, regardless of the targeting moiety, the free radical chemistry of the probe remains the same as previously demonstrated by us [2,5,28].

In vivo imaging of ROS: A pipe-dream or a plausible reality?

New sensitive probes that are relatively nontoxic are being developed primarily in Chang’s laboratory with a view to ultimately translating to the clinic [25,40,41]. These include boronate-based bioluminescent [41,42] and fluorine-18 containing positron emission tomography (PET) probes [40]. Several PET probes including fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) are now used in the clinic for diagnosing tumor growth [43]. However, regardless of the technology’s enhanced sensitivity, the basic ROS chemistry of the radiolabeled probes is the
same as described for unlabeled probes. Thus, advantages and limitations of radiolabeled probes will be the same as those described for unlabeled ROS probes.

With the emerging synthetic capability that now exists for developing radiolabeled boronate-based bioluminescent probes and fluorescent probes yielding products that emit light in the red and infrared regions, and with our increased mechanistic understanding of ROS/fluorescent and chemiluminescent probe chemistry, it is highly likely that specific ROS imaging will be achieved in preclinical rodent models in the very near future.

**Mitochondrial ROS and redox signaling**

The role of ROS (particularly H$_2$O$_2$) in cellular signaling through redox mechanisms (redox signaling) in pathophysiological processes is gaining traction [44–46]. Recent reports emphasized that mitochondria-generated ROS act as signaling molecules regulating cell proliferation, stimulating mitogenic signaling in vascular cells and pro-tumorigenic signaling in cancer cells [47]. We initially used mitochondria-targeted antioxidants (Mito-CP, Mito-Tempol, Mito-Vit-E, and Mito-Q) and reported that at very low concentrations these compounds inhibited tumor cell proliferation [47–50]. The antiproliferative effects were attributed to mitigation of mitochondrial ROS [39]. In a subsequent publication, we used a mitochondria-targeted acetamido analog (the nitroxide group in Mito-CP was converted to an acetamido group), and this compound that is devoid of the antioxidant group (nitroxide) also effectively inhibited tumor cell proliferation [51]. Clearly, further research is required to fully understand the action mechanisms of mitochondria-targeted cationic compounds [51,52].

**Mitochondria-targeted metformin: redox signaling mechanism**

In a recent publication [52], we showed that a mitochondria-targeted metformin (Met) analog called Mito-Met, synthesized by attaching a TPP$^+$ group to Met via a 10-carbon aliphatic side chain (Figure 10A) was nearly 1,000-fold more effective than Met in inhibiting pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) cell proliferation (Figure 10B).

Mito-Met treatment dramatically inhibited mitochondrial respiration and potently inhibited complex I activity and complex I-dependent oxygen consumption in pancreatic cancer cells. As compared to the untargeted Met, Mito-Met much more potently (>200-fold) inhibited the mitochondrial complex I activity in PDACs. The effect of Mito-Met was more selective in that much higher concentrations were required to inhibit complex I-dependent oxygen consumption in human pancreatic epithelial nestin-expressing (HPNE) cells [52].

A consequence of mitochondrial complex I inhibition is enhanced generation of superoxide and hydrogen peroxide [53]. However, this aspect has not been satisfactorily demonstrated in cancer cells under conditions where the drug inhibits only tumor cell proliferation without exerting cytotoxicity. Using the cell-permeable probe HE, with HPLC-based detection of specific oxidation products, we detected a marked increase in the formation of 2-hydroxyethidium, a specific marker product of the superoxide reaction with HE, along with increased diethidium product (indicative of enhanced one-electron oxidant formation) in pancreatic cancer cells treated with Mito-Met but not Met (Figure 11) [52]. At the same
concentration Mito-Met did not stimulate \( O_2^{\cdot -} \) formation in control, nontumorigenic HPNE cells, consistent with the lack of inhibition of mitochondrial complex I in these cells. Thus, superoxide produced upon inhibition of mitochondrial complex I can be detected using the cell-permeable probe HE, coupled with HPLC-based analysis of 2-hydroxyethidium.

**Are we detecting the signaling ROS?**

Although we detected Mito-Met-induced \( O_2^{\cdot -} \), which is presumably the precursor to the actual signaling species, \( H_2O_2 \), we have failed to detect \( H_2O_2 \) using the Amplex Red probe. As discussed earlier, boronate-based probes, despite their low reactivity with \( H_2O_2 \), may enable detection of intracellular \( H_2O_2 \) when used at high concentrations. Mitochondria-targeted boronates (e.g., Mito-B, Figure 12A) have been successfully used to detect mitochondrial \( H_2O_2 \) by monitoring the phenolic product using mass spectrometry [11,13,54]. The use of ortho-isomer of Mito-B (ortho-MitoPhB(OH)\(_2\), Figure 12B) not only will enable monitoring of the extent of probe oxidation, but also differentiation between \( H_2O_2 \) and peroxynitrite as possible oxidants, by monitoring the distribution of the products formed (Figure 12B) [11–13]. However, when using mitochondria-targeted probes, one has to be cautious and make sure that the probe, at the levels used, does not inhibit complex I and artifactually induce additional \( H_2O_2 \). It has been proposed that mitochondria-targeted probes, including Mito-SOX, may affect mitochondrial function [55–57]. Also, the HE probe at a high concentration is toxic; this has been attributed to the cytotoxicity of HE oxidation products, which, being lipophilic cations, can accumulate in mitochondria and affect mitochondrial function [58]. Additional careful and rigorous research is needed using a variety of conditions (different probe concentrations, time of incubation, cell lines, etc.) before Mito-B (or other mitochondria-targeted probes) can be used confidently to detect mitochondrial ROS.

The proposed mechanism by which mitochondria-targeted compounds such as Mito-Met inhibit tumor cell proliferation is shown in Figure 13. Mitochondrial complex I is proposed as a primary target for Mito-Met, although we do not yet have any proof of association or binding between Mito-Met and complex I. As we observed a marked decrease in the complex I activity, we attribute the increased \( O_2^{\cdot -} \) production to complex I inhibition. Based on the results obtained with compound c, a potent and reversible 5′-adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK) inhibitor that counteracted the antiproliferative effects of Mito-Met, we proposed a role for AMPK signaling [52].

At present, the exact mechanisms linking \( H_2O_2 \) and antiproliferative effects of Mito-Met are still unknown. Emerging results suggest that \( H_2O_2 \) regulates cell signaling by oxidizing specific thiol proteins [59]. The investigators attributed the enhanced signaling by \( H_2O_2 \) to a redox relay—a peroxiredoxin-mediated redox signaling mechanism that transfers the oxidizing equivalent of \( H_2O_2 \) to a target protein [59]. In this mechanism, peroxiredoxins react rapidly with \( H_2O_2 \) and form an oxidized intermediate involving a sulfenic acid. This oxidized peroxiredoxin intermediate transfers the oxidizing equivalents to target proteins, including the transcription factor signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3), forming a mixed disulfide intermediate that is reduced by thioredoxin. There are several peroxiredoxins, cytosolic and mitochondrial, and they are all susceptible to oxidation in the...
presence of H$_2$O$_2$. This type of “redox relay” between H$_2$O$_2$ and the target protein (i.e., STAT3) is novel; if these signaling processes are linked to specific ROS whose source and structure are properly identified and ultimately linked to cell proliferation, we undoubtedly will improve our understanding of redox signaling by ROS.

Concluding remarks

Cellular superoxide can be detected using an HE probe coupled with the detection of the specific product, 2-hydroxyethidium, using HPLC and LC-MS techniques. In addition, HE-derived products (ethidium and ethidium-ethidium dimer) indicative of the presence of two-electron and one-electron oxidants can be detected in cell lysates and mitochondria. These marker products were generated and detected upon treatment of pancreatic cancer cells with mitochondria-targeted metformin analog, under conditions in which little or no cytotoxicity was induced by Mito-Met. We speculate that the O$_2^{•−}$ and H$_2$O$_2$ derived from it are involved in redox signaling upon inhibition of mitochondrial complex I.

The superoxide radical anion generated from Nox enzymes can be detected using the cell-impermeable HE analog, hydropropidine, with the detection of the characteristic hydroxylated product (2-hydroxypropidium). Superoxide generated from Nox enzymes can also be detected intracellularly and extracellularly using the HE probe.

Superoxide detection with HE and Mito-SOX in cytosolic and mitochondrial compartments using fluorescence microscopy is not recommended due to many artifacts, including the multiplicity of the products formed.

Boronicate-based probes are useful for detecting cellular peroxynitrite and hydrogen peroxide. Although the rate of reaction between boronicates and H$_2$O$_2$ is low, one can use higher concentrations of the probe and determine the H$_2$O$_2$ (catalase-sensitive) generated from Nox or use probes that are targeted to and concentrated in subcellular compartments (e.g., mitochondria).

Many commercially available ROS kits contain probes for which the chemistry and mechanism of reactions with ROS are unknown. In some cases, even the chemical structure of the probes is unknown. Our advice to researchers interested in ROS measurements is to avoid use of these probes and assays as they lack rigor and transparency.
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- Hydroethidine can detect O$_2^{\cdot-}$ formed upon inhibition of mitochondrial complex I
- Mitochondria-targeted boronates can be used to detect mitochondria-derived H$_2$O$_2$
- HPLC-based assays enable rigorous analysis of cellular production of O$_2^{\cdot-}$ and H$_2$O$_2$
- Rigorous O$_2^{\cdot-}$/H$_2$O$_2$ detection will help elucidate the mechanisms of redox signaling
Figure 1.
Superoxide radical anion reacts with hydroethidine to produce 2-hydroxyethidium but not ethidium.
Figure 2.
Products formed from hydroethidine (HE), MitoSOX (Mito-HE), and hydropropidine (HPr⁺) as a function of oxidant identity/oxidation mechanism.
Figure 3.
Fluorescence spectral overlap between 2-hydroxyethidium (2-OH-E$^+$) and ethidium (E$^+$) as a function of the wavelength of the excitation light. None of the excitation light tested allowed selective detection of 2-OH-E$^+$. (Adapted from [4].)
Figure 4.
Oxidant-dependent distribution of the oxidation products of HE and Mito-SOX (Mito-HE) probes. HE and its products of oxidation are shown in blue; Mito-HE and its oxidation products are shown in red.
Figure 5. Simultaneous monitoring of O$_2^{•−}$ and H$_2$O$_2$ using UHPLC in HTS compatible manner using hypoxanthine/xanthine oxidase system as a source of O$_2^{•−}$ and H$_2$O$_2$ with HE and CBA as the probes thereof

(A) Scheme of formation of O$_2^{•−}$ and H$_2$O$_2$ and oxidation of the probes; (B) Chemical structures of the probes used and the products formed; (C) UHPLC traces recorded.

(Adapted from [26].)
Figure 6. Simultaneous monitoring of O$_2^*$ and H$_2$O$_2$ generated from NADPH oxidase-2 (in differentiated HL60 cells stimulated with PMA)
(A) Scheme of formation of O$_2^*$ and H$_2$O$_2$ and oxidation of the probes; (B) UHPLC traces; 
(C) Time course of formation of 2-OH-E$^+$ and COH upon stimulation of the cells with PMA. 
(Adapted from [26].)
Figure 7. Monitoring of oxidants generated from NADPH oxidase-4 (in HEK-Nox4 cells)
(A) Scheme of formation of H$_2$O$_2$ from Nox4 and oxidation of the probes, (B) UHPLC traces, (C) Time course of formation of 2-OH-E$^+$ and COH in wild-type and Nox4-transfected HEK-293 cells. (Adapted from [26].)
Figure 8. Results of screening of the library of >2,000 bioactive compounds at the Broad Institute using three plate-reader-based assays: hydropropidine/DNA (for O$_2^\bullet-$), CBA (for H$_2$O$_2$), and Amplex Red/HRP (for H$_2$O$_2$)

(A) Correlation of the results from the three probes; (B) Pie chart showing the percentage of positive hits in single (+/−/−), two (+/+−), and three (+/++/+) assays. (Adapted from [12].)
Figure 9.
Detection of site-specific formation of $\text{O}_2^{-}$ and other oxidants using HE and its site-specific analogs. (Adapted from [60].)
Figure 10. Effects of Met and Mito-Met_{10} on proliferation of pancreatic cancer cells
(A) Chemical structures of metformin (Met) and Mito-metformin (Mito-Met_{10}). (B) Effects of Mito-Met_{10} and Met (24-h treatment) on colony formation in MiaPaCa-2 cells. (B, right) The calculated survival fraction plotted against concentration of Met and Mito-Met_{10}.
(Adapted from [52].)
Figure 11. Effect of Met, Mito-Met$^{10}$ and rotenone (ROT) on the oxidation profile of HE probe in tumorigenic (MiaPaCa-2) and normal (HPNE) pancreas cells (A) HPLC traces from MiaPaCa-2 cells; (B) Quantitative data showing the distribution of the HE oxidation products and chemical scheme of probe oxidation. (Adapted from [52].)
Figure 12. The use of mitochondria-targeted boronate probes for detection of H$_2$O$_2$

(A) Oxidation of MitoB probe by H$_2$O$_2$; (B) Products formed upon oxidation of ortho-MitoPhB(OH)$_2$ probe by H$_2$O$_2$ vs. ONOO$^-$.
Figure 13.
Proposed pathways of redox signaling induced by treatment of pancreatic cancer cells with Mito-Met_{10}, leading to inhibition of cell proliferation. HE probe was used to detect intracellular superoxide formation. (Adapted from [52].)