Expression of C-Reactive Protein and Serum Amyloid A in Early to Late Manifestations of Lyme Disease
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Background. Infection with Borrelia burgdorferi, the causative agent of Lyme disease, triggers host immune responses that affect the clinical outcome and are a source of biomarkers with diagnostic utility. Although adaptive immunity to B. burgdorferi has been extensively characterized, considerably less information is available about the development of innate acute-phase responses in Lyme disease. Our aim in this study was to evaluate the expression of C-reactive protein (CRP) and serum amyloid A (SAA), the prototype acute-phase response proteins, in the context of the varying manifestations associated with Lyme borreliosis.

Methods. Circulating concentrations of CRP and SAA in patients with a range of early to late objective manifestations of Lyme disease and in individuals with post-treatment Lyme disease syndrome were compared with those in healthy control groups.

Results. CRP and SAA levels were significantly elevated in early localized and early disseminated Lyme disease but not in the later stages of active infection. Levels of CRP, but not SAA, were also found to be significantly increased in patients with antibiotic-refractory Lyme arthritis and in those with post-treatment Lyme disease syndrome.

Conclusions. These findings indicate that circulating CRP and SAA levels are highest when the concentration of spirochetes is greatest in skin and/or blood and that levels decline after the dissemination of the organism to extracutaneous sites in subsequent stages of infection. The data also suggest that antibiotic-refractory Lyme arthritis and post-treatment Lyme disease syndrome are associated with elevated CRP responses that are driven by inflammatory mechanisms distinct from those in active infection.
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deposition and activation of the classical complement pathway, as well as direct interaction with phagocytic cells through Fcγ receptors [6]. Circulating CRP concentrations increase in response to many forms of infection, inflammation, tissue trauma, and malignancy. Levels greater than 10 mg/L are recognized to indicate a clinically significant inflammatory state or “macro-inflammation”, whereas levels of 3–10 mg/L are generally considered to represent “low-grade inflammation”, possibly driven by mild cellular stress or injury [7, 8]. Because CRP decreases rapidly following elimination of the stimulatory ligands, its measurement is considered to be a reliable indicator of acute infection and/or inflammation [5, 6]. Serum amyloid A (SAA), an apolipoprotein associated with high-density lipoprotein particles, is another highly sensitive acute-phase reactant. Circulating concentrations of SAA can increase up to 1000 fold in response to an acute stimulus, while mildly elevated levels are associated with ongoing chronic inflammation [9, 10]. SAA has significant immunologic activity, such as triggering the expression of several cytokines and acting as a chemoattractant for various immune cells [9]. The cytokine-mediated regulation of SAA release is distinct from that for CRP, and the two acute-phase reactants are expressed in response to different stimuli [10]. Here, we examine CRP and SAA levels in well-characterized cohorts of patients representing the various stages and manifestations of Lyme disease.

METHODS

Patients and Controls
Lyme disease and control serum samples were obtained with written informed consent under institutional review board-approved protocols at the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) and New York Medical College. Sera from individuals with PTLDS were obtained as part of a previous clinical trial study [11] and provided through a National Institutes of Health (NIH)–supported biorepository (contract N01-AI-65308). Sera were maintained at −80°C. This study was approved by the institutional review board of Columbia University Medical Center.

Serum samples were from 90 individuals with a range of early to late objective manifestations of Lyme disease, including single EM, multiple EM, early neurologic, late neurologic, antibiotic-responsive arthritis, and antibiotic-refractory arthritis, collected at the time the clinical manifestations listed were present. The cohort did not include patients with cardiac manifestations. Patients with single EM, multiple EM, early neurologic, late neurologic, and antibiotic-responsive arthritis manifestations are referred to as having active Lyme disease. All patients met the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) case definition for Lyme disease [12]. Patients with EM had culture evidence of B. burgdorferi infection. Early neurologic Lyme disease was defined as the presence of compatible objective clinical findings (eg, cranial nerve palsy, lymphocytic meningitis, and/or radiculoneuritis) in conjunction with current or recent EM and/or serologic evidence of the infection. Late neurologic Lyme disease was defined based on the presence of a compatible objective clinical finding (eg, encephalopathy, polyneuropathy, or encephalomyelitis) in association with serologic evidence of borreliosis infection. Lyme arthritis was defined as the presence of clinically compatible joint swelling in conjunction with serologic evidence of the infection. Antibiotic-responsive Lyme arthritis was defined as the resolution of symptoms within 3 months after the start of treatment with up to 4 weeks of intravenous (IV) antibiotics or up to 8 weeks of oral antibiotics. Antibiotic-refractory Lyme arthritis was defined as persistent joint swelling for more than 3 months after the initiation of treatment with at least 4 weeks of IV antibiotics or at least 8 weeks of oral antibiotics. Seventy-one active Lyme disease patients and all patients with antibiotic-refractory Lyme arthritis were seropositive for immunoglobulin (Ig) G to B. burgdorferi by a commercial enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) [13]. Sera from 67 healthy individuals without a history or serologic evidence of Lyme disease who resided in Mid-Atlantic and southern New England states were included as controls. Screening questionnaires were used to evaluate the general health of these individuals.

In addition, serum samples from 74 individuals with PTLDS (mean [standard deviation] elapsed time since the original diagnosis of Lyme disease, 4.8 [3.0] years) were included. The source of samples and case definition of PTLDS have been previously described [11, 14, 15]. Patients had at least 1 of the following: a history of EM skin lesion, early neurologic or cardiac symptoms attributed to Lyme disease, late neurologic manifestations, or Lyme arthritis. Documentation of previous treatment of active Lyme disease with a recommended antibiotic regimen was required. Patients had 1 or more of the following symptoms at the time of enrollment: widespread musculoskeletal pain, cognitive impairment, radicular pain, paresthesias, or dysesthesias. Fatigue often accompanied 1 or more of these symptoms. Fifty-two patients had a history of EM. Forty-six patients were seropositive for IgG antibodies to B. burgdorferi [13]. Control sera for the PTLDS cohort were collected from 68 individuals with a history of Lyme disease who had no residual post-treatment symptoms at ≥1 year of follow-up (mean elapsed time since the original diagnosis of Lyme disease, 5.1 [3.5] years); these individuals are referred to as post-treatment Lyme disease healthy (PTLDH). Forty were ELISA seropositive for IgG to B. burgdorferi [13]. All had met the CDC surveillance criteria for Lyme disease at the time of initial diagnosis [16], including 40 who had EM.

Assays
Serum levels of IgG antibody reactivity to B. burgdorferi (whole cell lysate supplemented with recombinant VlsE protein; Euroimmun), CRP (high sensitivity; Alpco), SAA (R&D Systems),
and leptin (R&D Systems) were measured by ELISA, according to assay manufacturers’ protocols. Samples were tested in duplicate. The utilized CRP ELISA was compared with a high-sensitivity CRP assay on a Cobas 6000 analyzer (Roche Diagnostics) and found to yield consistently similar results.

**Data Analysis**

Group differences for continuous data were assessed by the analysis of covariance, using the general linear model to account for the potential confounding effect of differences in age, sex, and leptin level. We considered the frequencies of CRP concentration above 3 or 10 mg/L, as discussed in the introduction [5, 7]. Frequency data were analyzed by multiple logistic regression, and the potential confounding effect of differences in the above variables were taken into account. All P values were 2-sided, and differences were considered statistically significant at P < .05. Correlation analysis was performed using Spearman r. Statistical analyses were done with Prism 6 (GraphPad) and Minitab 17 (Minitab) software.

**RESULTS**

**Patients and Controls**

The demographic and clinical characteristics of the study cohorts are included in Table 1. Patients with active Lyme disease as a group (as well as each of the constituent single EM, multiple EM, early neurologic, late neurologic, and antibiotic-responsive arthritis subgroups) or patients with antibiotic-refractory arthritis did not differ significantly in terms of age or sex from individuals in the healthy control group. Furthermore, the differences between the PTLDS and PTLDH cohorts in age, sex, elapsed time since the original diagnosis of Lyme disease, history of EM, or seropositivity for anti-*B. burgdorferi* antibodies were not statistically significant.

**Antibody Response**

The anti-*B. burgdorferi* IgG antibody response was significantly higher in the active Lyme disease cohort in comparison with healthy controls (P < .0001). Within the active Lyme disease group, the anti-*B. burgdorferi* IgG levels were significantly elevated in the multiple EM, early neurologic, late neurologic, and antibiotic-responsive arthritis patient subgroups (P < .0001, P < .0001, P < .0001, and P < .0001, respectively), but not in the single EM subgroup, when compared with healthy controls (Figure 1A). The anti-*B. burgdorferi* IgG antibody levels were also significantly greater in the antibiotic-refractory arthritis group than in healthy controls (P < .0001; Figure 1A). There was no significant difference in the anti-*B. burgdorferi* IgG antibody response between the PTLDS and PTLDH cohorts (Figure 1B).

**CRP Response**

In comparison with healthy controls, the active Lyme disease cohort had higher serum levels of CRP, as well as higher frequency of CRP concentrations greater than both 3 and 10 mg/L (P < .0001 for each comparison). Within the active Lyme disease group, however, CRP levels and frequencies of concentrations above both cutoff values were increased only in the single EM (P < .0001 to P < .0001) and multiple EM subgroups (P < .0001 for all comparisons), but not in other subgroups of active Lyme disease, when compared with healthy controls (Figure 1C, Table 2). In contrast with the antibiotic-responsive arthritis group, CRP levels and frequencies of concentrations above both cutoff values were greater in the antibiotic-refractory arthritis group than in healthy controls (P < .0001 to P < .0001; Figure 1C; Table 2). Furthermore, CRP levels were significantly higher in the PTLDS cohort when compared with the PTLDH group (P < .001; Figure 1D). The frequency of CRP values above 3 mg/L, but not 10 mg/L, was also significantly greater in the PTLDS cohort when compared with the PTLDH group (P < .0001; Table 2).

**SAA Response**

In comparison with healthy controls, the active Lyme disease patients had significantly higher SAA levels (P < .001). Within the active Lyme disease cohort, SAA levels were greater only in the single EM (P < .0001) and multiple EM (P < .0001) subgroups in comparison to healthy controls (Figure 1E).
Figure 1. Anti-*Borrelia burgdorferi* antibody, C-reactive protein (CRP), and serum amyloid A (SAA) responses in patients and controls. A, Immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibody reactivity to *B. burgdorferi* in healthy controls without a history or serologic evidence of past Lyme disease (HC) (n = 67) and in patients with objective manifestations of Lyme disease, including early to late stages of active infection (n = 79) (single erythema migrans [EM] (n = 18), multiple EM (n = 17), early neurologic (n = 16), late neurologic (n = 16), and antibiotic-responsive arthritis (n = 12)), as well as antibiotic-refractory Lyme arthritis (n = 11). In comparison with the healthy control group, the active Lyme disease cohort had significantly increased serum levels of CRP (\(P < .0001\)). Among the active Lyme disease patients, anti-*B. burgdorferi* antibody levels were significantly increased in each of the multiple EM (\(P < .001\)), early neurologic (\(P < .0001\)), late neurologic (\(P < .0001\)), and antibiotic-responsive arthritis (\(P < .0001\)) subgroups, but not in the single EM subgroup. IgG antibody reactivity was also significantly greater in the antibiotic-refractory Lyme arthritis group in comparison with healthy controls (\(P < .0001\)). B, IgG antibody reactivity to *B. burgdorferi* in post-treatment Lyme disease healthy individuals (PTLDH) (n = 68) and patients with post-treatment Lyme disease syndrome (PTLDS, n = 74). There was no significant difference between the 2 groups. C, CRP concentrations in the same cohorts of patients and controls as in panel A. In comparison with the healthy control group, the active Lyme disease cohort had significantly increased serum levels of CRP (\(P < .0001\)). Among the active Lyme disease patients, CRP levels were greater in each of the single EM (\(P < .001\)) and multiple EM (\(P < .0001\)) subgroups, but not in the early neurologic, late neurologic, or arthritis subgroups. CRP levels were significantly increased in the antibiotic-refractory Lyme arthritis group in comparison with healthy controls (\(P < .001\)). D, CRP levels in the same cohorts as in panel B. CRP concentrations were significantly higher in the PTLDS group compared with the PTLDH group (\(P < .001\)). E, SAA concentrations in the same cohorts of patients and controls as in panel A. In comparison with healthy controls, the active Lyme disease patients had significantly higher SAA levels (\(P < .001\)). Among the active Lyme disease patients, SAA levels were significantly elevated only in the single EM (\(P < .001\)) and multiple EM (\(P < .0001\)) subgroups, but not in later stages. The difference in SAA levels between the antibiotic-refractory Lyme arthritis and the healthy control groups was not significant. F, SAA concentrations in the same cohorts as in panel B. The difference between the PTLDS and PTLDH cohorts was not significant. Horizontal red bars represent the mean for each group. All \(P\) values were calculated by analysis of covariance. ***\(P < .001\); ****\(P < .0001\). Abbreviations: HC, healthy control; PTLDH, post-treatment Lyme disease healthy; PTLDS, post-treatment Lyme disease syndrome.
Differences in SAA levels between the antibiotic-refractory arthritis and healthy control groups ($P = .056$) or between the PTLDS and PTLDH groups ($P = .061$) did not reach statistical significance (Figure 1E and 1F). There was a significant correlation between CRP and SAA concentrations in the active Lyme disease ($r = 0.840, P < .0001$), antibiotic-refractory Lyme arthritis ($r = 0.918, P < .001$), and PTLDS ($r = 0.369, P = .001$) groups.

**Relationship Between Antibody Seropositivity and Acute-Phase Reactants**

CRP and SAA responses were significantly higher in individuals who were seronegative for IgG anti-*B. burgdorferi* antibody than seropositive individuals within the active Lyme disease group ($P < .05$ for each). This was driven by the high CRP and SAA values in the single and multiple EM subgroups. Such a relationship did not exist in the PTLD group.

**Leptin Levels**

Because greater body fat is associated with increased CRP expression [17], we considered whether the elevated concentrations of CRP could be confounded by increased adiposity. However, there were no statistically significant differences in levels of leptin, an adipocyte hormone known to correlate strongly with measures of body fat [18], among the healthy control (mean [standard deviation], 5.0 [5.7] µg/L), active Lyme disease (8.1 [9.6] µg/L), antibiotic-refractory Lyme arthritis (6.0 [6.1] µg/L), PTLDH (6.8 [9.6] µg/L), and PTLDS (6.6 [8.5] µg/L) cohorts. The above intercohort differences in CRP and SAA levels remained at the same magnitudes of statistical significance after adjusting for leptin concentration.

**DISCUSSION**

We chose to examine the levels of CRP and SAA as acute-phase reactants due to their established utility and reproducibility in a large body of scientific literature, the availability of highly sensitive assays for accurate measurement in serum, and the lack of significant response to diurnal and seasonal variation or most drugs unless the underlying pathology is affected [5, 19]. The results of this study delineate the evolution of these major acute-phase reactants’ expression during active infection and also establish their expected concentrations in the context of antibiotic-refractory Lyme arthritis and PTLD.

Although we found CRP expression to be elevated in specific stages of active Lyme disease, as well as in antibiotic-refractory Lyme arthritis and PTLD, the results are suggestive of distinct underlying mechanisms within the different manifestations. Our data indicate that the highest levels of CRP during active Lyme disease correspond to the early stage of infection, when the concentration of spirochetes is greatest in skin and/or blood [20]. The CRP response in early Lyme disease appeared to subside after the dissemination of the organism to extracutaneous sites and its clearance from blood, when a robust IgG response to *B. burgdorferi* has developed. This contrasts with the antibiotic-refractory Lyme arthritis and PTLD groups in which, despite the observation of elevated CRP levels, no evidence for the presence of spirochetes in the skin or blood has been found, and no inverse relationship between CRP and antibody to *B. burgdorferi* was observed. Furthermore, unlike the early stage of active infection, SAA responses were not significantly elevated in antibiotic-refractory Lyme arthritis and PTLD. Additionally, the PTLD cohort contrasted with the active Lyme disease and refractory Lyme arthritis groups in that there was not a significantly increased rate of CRP concentrations above 10 mg/L, corroborating the observation that this condition is not associated with overt and clinically apparent inflammation.

It is important to emphasize that although CRP is a highly sensitive acute-phase reactant, it is expressed in response to many forms of infection, inflammation, and tissue injury. Therefore, our results should not be interpreted as implicating CRP in the pathogenesis of any manifestations of Lyme disease or identifying the responsible stimulus. In the case of PTLD, our data are suggestive of increased inflammation in affected individuals but do not provide evidence to link the presumed inflammatory state to the preceding infection with *B. burgdorferi*. A potential limitation of this study was the lack of detailed information about lifestyle and additional comorbidities in patients and controls, which can affect the levels of acute-phase reactants.

Few studies have examined the levels of CRP or SAA in the context of Lyme disease. Two studies measured CRP concentration in Lyme arthritis patients in the context of comparison to

**Table 2. Frequencies of Serum C-Reactive Protein Concentration Above 3 or 10 mg/L in Patient and Control Cohorts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant Group</th>
<th>CRP &gt; 3 mg/L*</th>
<th>CRP &gt; 10 mg/L*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthy control (n = 67)</td>
<td>13 (19%)</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active Lyme disease (n = 79)</td>
<td>44 (56%)</td>
<td>24 (30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early localized (single EM) (n = 18)</td>
<td>15 (83%)</td>
<td>6 (33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early disseminated (multiple EM) (n = 17)</td>
<td>16 (94%)</td>
<td>12 (71%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early neurologic (n = 16)</td>
<td>6 (38%)</td>
<td>3 (19%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late neurologic (n = 16)</td>
<td>4 (25%)</td>
<td>2 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotic-responsive arthritis (n = 12)</td>
<td>4 (33%)</td>
<td>1 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotic-refractory Lyme arthritis (n = 11)</td>
<td>8 (73%)</td>
<td>6 (55%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-treatment Lyme disease healthy (n = 68)</td>
<td>12 (18%)</td>
<td>3 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-treatment Lyme disease syndrome (n = 74)</td>
<td>41 (55%)</td>
<td>11 (15%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CRP, C-reactive protein; EM, erythema migrans.

* Frequencies are shown as number (%).

b $P$ value is for comparison to the healthy control group, adjusted for baseline variables.

** $P$ value is for comparison to the post-treatment Lyme disease healthy group, adjusted for baseline variables.

*** $P < .001$; **** $P < .0001$. 
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non-Lyme arthritis, without incorporating healthy controls [21, 22], and one study examined CRP levels in 20 Lyme arthritis patients and 10 healthy volunteers [23]. All three pointed to a lack of substantially enhanced systemic CRP response in most patients with Lyme arthritis [21–23], in agreement with our results. A serum analysis in 20 patients with early neurologic Lyme disease reported a lack of increased CRP concentration in most [24], while a study of 44 Lyme disease patients with EM found both CRP and SAA to be significantly elevated in comparison to 23 healthy controls [25]. Our data confirm these findings as well.

In summary, using sera from cohorts of well-characterized patients and controls, our results document the association of increased levels of highly sensitive acute-phase reactants with specific stages and manifestations of Lyme disease. Further investigation is needed to determine whether these findings may be used to follow the course of infection, predict the outcome of patients with active Lyme disease, or monitor the response to treatment. In addition, the results offer a platform for further research to understand the underlying mechanism(s) responsible for the ongoing inflammatory processes in post-treatment manifestations of Lyme disease and devise therapies targeted at the identified patient subsets.
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