pH-Sensitive stimulus-responsive nanocarriers for targeted delivery of therapeutic agents
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Abstract

In recent years miscellaneous smart micro/nanosystems that respond to various exogenous/endogenous stimuli including temperature, magnetic/electric field, mechanical force, ultrasound/light irradiation, redox potentials, and biomolecule concentration have been developed for targeted delivery and release of encapsulated therapeutic agents such as drugs, genes, proteins, and metal ions specifically at their required site of action. Owing to physiological differences between malignant and normal cells, or between tumors and normal tissues, pH-sensitive nanosystems represent promising smart delivery vehicles for transport and delivery of anticancer agents. Furthermore, pH-sensitive systems possess applications in delivery of metal ions and biomolecules such as proteins, insulin, etc., as well as co-delivery of cargos, dual pH-sensitive nanocarriers, dual/multi stimuli-responsive nanosystems, and even in the search for new solutions for therapy of
diseases such as Alzheimer's. In order to design an optimized system, it is necessary to understand the various pH-responsive micro/nanoparticles and the different mechanisms of pH-sensitive drug release. This should be accompanied by an assessment of the theoretical and practical challenges in the design and use of these carriers.

INTRODUCTION

Over the last two decades, stimuli-responsive smart nanomaterials have increasingly been considered to be attractive vehicles for the release of drugs and genes. Smart nanoparticles (NPs) are capable of reacting to cues in the form of changes in several environmental parameters including temperature,\(^1\) pH,\(^2,3\) light,\(^4\) electric and magnetic fields,\(^5,6\) ultrasound,\(^7,8\) mechanical stress, and biochemical stimuli.\(^9\) Therefore, owing to their nonlinear response to variations in these external signals, they have been called 'smart or intelligent materials'.\(^10\) Thanks to these properties, they have been utilized for applications in drug delivery,\(^11\) catalysts,\(^12\) biosensors,\(^13\) membranes,\(^14\) etc.

Among this whole range of different stimuli that have been tried, materials which are pH-sensitive, have attracted widespread applications due to their relevance in biology.\(^15\) In fact, there are pH differences between many tissues and cellular compartments of the human body.\(^16,17\) For instance, there is a big range in pH values throughout the digestive tract ranging from pH 2 in the stomach to pH 7 in the colon. Moreover, tumor tissue is 0.5–1 pH-units lower than the pH value in surrounding normal tissue due to metabolic glycolysis and lactic acid production. At the cellular level, there are pH differences among cellular compartments such as lysosomes (pH 4.5–5), endosomes (pH 5.5–6), and the cytosol (pH 7.4). Furthermore, microorganisms directly or by the release of enzymes, and also wounds themselves can be either acidic or alkaline depending on the biological environment. Hence, nanocarriers designed to be responsive to specific defined pH values, can target a specific area in the body to release their encapsulated drugs with maximum therapeutic impact and minimum side-effects.\(^18–20\) This realization has made pH-responsive carriers very interesting to be studied as drug delivery systems (DDSs).

Biopolymers have been frequently used for the design of drug delivery vehicles. Because of the ability of polymers to be precisely tailored according to their specific application, together with their biocompatibility, biodegradability, and biological functionality,\(^21\) synthetic functional polymers as well as organic polymers can act as carriers ranging from the macroscale (e.g., gels and hydrogels) to the nano-scale (e.g., micelles, nanogels, NPs, etc.). Generally speaking, the response of pH-sensitive polymers to variations in their surrounding environmental pH stems from changes in their physical properties. These properties include volume, solubility, conformation such as hydrophilic/hydrophobic balance and configuration such as crystalline/amorphous transition.\(^22\) These alterations can be reversible such as transformation in chain conformations of soluble polymers, or could be irreversible with collapse or degradation of the carrier caused by dissolution/swelling of polymers with pH variation.\(^23\)

This review aims to comprehensively cover pH-sensitive nanocarriers, and highlight their importance and extensive applications in medicine and pharmaceutical science. As
mentioned above, the more acidic environment of tumors, compared to the normal surrounding tissues, provides a specific opportunity which has been applied for targeted treatment of cancer. In addition, we address various methods for preparation of these materials and discuss two distinct mechanisms and a combined route for drug release. Last but not least, the challenges and difficulties which researchers have dealt with in the synthesis and application of these vehicles are summarized.

FEATURES OF MALIGNANT CELLS AND TUMORS AND DESIGN OF DIFFERENT NANOCARRIERS

Smart nanocarriers are advanced drug delivery vehicles that can overcome the biological barriers faced by conventional drug dosage formulations.24 The last decade has seen great strides made in developing efficient nanocarriers for selective targeting and delivery of cytotoxic agents against cancer cells.25,26 Efficient nanocarriers with controlled drug release can be designed by employing knowledge and a sound understanding of the physiology and microenvironment of cancer cells. Various nanocarriers like core-shell structures, polymers, liposomes, dendrimers, and metallic NP-based nanocarriers have been studied extensively. To date, more than 250 nanocarrier-based drug delivery strategies have been reported to be in the preclinical and clinical development pipelines.27

Despite the advances made in this regard, a few challenges like poorly-controlled drug release and limited availability of the drug at the tumor site still have remained unsolved. To address these challenges, several stimuli-responsive NP-based carriers have been devised.28,29 The activating stimulus can either be external or internal in nature, but both lead to alteration of the physiochemical properties of the carrier and aid in drug release or targeting. Differences in pH, temperature, redox potential,30 enzyme activation,31 ligand–target molecular interactions,32 variation in electrical and magnetic fields,33 can all be used as stimuli for drug release. Nevertheless to make the use of smart nanocarriers more rational, one should have a better understanding of the features of the tumor microenvironment and how it differs from normal healthy tissue. For example, cancerous sites and tumor tissues have many peculiar properties including aberrant tumor vascularization and heterogeneous vascular density (a potential route for passive targeting of nanocarriers),34 a preponderance of veins/venules and a paucity of arteries/arterioles35 leading to both irregular blood flow within tumor (i.e., higher around the periphery than in the central parts).36 There is a positive net pressure difference in tumors (i.e., elevated interstitial fluid pressure (IFP) of about 60 mm Hg) (especially in the central parts compared to the periphery) in contrast to a negative pressure difference between blood vessels and interstitial space in normal tissues. A positive pressure difference deters convective transvascular transport of large molecules to the deeper areas of tumor tissues, but a negative pressure difference facilitates biomolecular transport to the interstitium.37–40 Tumors have a reduced number of pericytes around the blood vessels inducing leaky vasculature.41 Other factors like interstitial fibrosis, the compact and dense nature of the tumor cell populations, and poorly formed or absent lymphatic drainage further elevates the IFP.42,43
Poor lymphatic drainage and accumulation of macromolecules in tumors (due to poor clearance) is termed the ‘enhanced permeability and retention’ (EPR) effect in tumors. Here, the large gaps in the tumor vasculature allow selective extravasation of macromolecules (and even NPs) that will accumulate within tumor tissues. This property can be successfully used for targeting NPs, which accumulate in the tumor interstitium and are able to release therapeutic or cytotoxic agents.

Rapid tumor growth crucially depends on oxygen and nutrient supplies, and when these are consumed and cannot be resupplied due to a lack of blood vessels in the tumor core, this rapid growth induces hypoxia (i.e., lack of oxygen). Hypoxia leads to angiogenesis and also increases the malignant properties and proliferation rate of tumors, and their invasiveness into other areas.

The reticuloendothelial system (RES) and renal clearance constitute the natural processes for elimination of NPs which are considered as foreign bodies in the bloodstream. The process of opsonization (i.e., the coverage of the surface of the NPs by a protein layer or a protein corona which facilitates this elimination process) must be considered in the design of NPs. For example, 100–200 nm sized NPs show avoidance of RES clearance, a size below 100 nm (10–100 nm) shows reduced clearance, and a size of 20–150 nm is used for passive targeting of tumors via the EPR effect.

**Tumor Extracellular pH Targeting**

The tumor mass at both the early stage of growth and at later growth stages and when it is present as metastases has a significantly lower extracellular pH (pH\(_e\)) than the surrounding normal tissue (pH about 7.4). This lower level of pH varies for different cancers (e.g., breast cancer, lung cancer, and gastrointestinal cancer) depending upon cancer type, size and its anatomical location. However, occasionally the environmental pH in a tumor can increase rather than decrease due to necrosis occurring in a large tumor mass.

Hypoxia induced by the high proliferation rate in the tumor mass and lower blood flow in tumor vessels activates a range of genes, which are responsible for changing metabolism in the cells. For example, hypoxia up-regulates hypoxia inducible factor (HIF 1) which triggers the expression of glycolytic enzymes and glucose transporters (GLUT1 and GLUT3). Anaerobic glycolysis that occurs at low oxygen concentrations converts glucose molecules to pyruvate, which are then used as the source of energy in cancer cells. In this condition, pyruvate instead of going through tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, is converted into lactic acid directly, which consequently lowers the pH. Pyruvate molecules are then eventually transported outside of the cell membrane.

Another fundamental peculiarity of cancer cells is based on the Warburg effect, i.e., the preference for glucose fermentation remains even in the presence of adequate supplies of oxygen (i.e., aerobic glycolysis). This is despite the fact that the aerobic glycolysis pathway produces less adenosine triphosphate (ATP) molecules than the TCA cycle. Large amounts of H\(^+\) ions which are produced by glycolysis and lactic acid must be washed out. The overproduced H\(^+\) ions tend to accumulate in the tumor interstitium due to the poor perfusion rate in tumor vessels and the effects of hypoxia on the function of some
transporters. Carbonic anhydrase (CA), which is present in the cell membrane and is induced by HIF, is another factor in the overproduction of H\(^+\) ions. CA converts carbon dioxide into carbonic acid, which eventually diffuses out of the membrane. Carbonic acid decomposes into HCO\(_3^-\) and H\(^+\). The H\(^+\) remains in the extracellular fluid and the HCO\(_3^-\) is taken up by the cell. Hence, lactic acid (in the form of lactate in physiological conditions) and carbonic acid are the two main acids giving rise to low pH in the tumor mass. Figure 1 illustrates the hypoxia in tumor cells (Figure 1(a)) as well as the glucose metabolism involving aerobic glycolysis (Warburg effect) (Figure 1(b)).

It is noteworthy that the low pH in tumors can reduce the activity of some important anticancer drugs, such as doxorubicin (DOX). Finding a way to overcome these problems and while at the same time using the low tumor-pH for better drug delivery is a major challenge. Recent studies highlight the development of some promising carriers with pH-sensitivity and therefore the capability for tumor-selective delivery.

**Tumor Intracellular pH Targeting**

Some intracellular organelles naturally have mildly to moderately acidic pH (pH 4.5 to 6.8). Drugs and other macromolecules are engulfed by invaginations in the plasma membrane, which are then internalized into the cell inside early endosomes by the endocytic uptake pathway. An ATP-driven H\(^+\) pump, which is located in the endosomal membrane pulls H\(^+\) ions into lumen from the cytosol and further reduces the pH. When the endosomes are internalized into the cell, the internal pH is lowered.

Finally, the late endosome fuses with another intracellular organelle containing enzymes released from the Golgi apparatus to form lysosomes. When the pH reaches ~5 (by the action of the ATP-driven H\(^+\) pump) lysosomal enzymes are activated and are able to digest all foreign biomolecules. If the endosome carries a drug, to avoid degradation in the lysosomes, the drug must be released from the endosomes (endosomolysis) before fusion to obtain the maximum pharmacological effect.

These extracellular and intracellular pH gradients can be efficiently exploited to design nanocarriers for a selective drug delivery approach.

**pH-SENSITIVE NANOCARRIERS**

pH-Sensitive nanocarriers for drug/gene delivery systems can be constructed from organic and inorganic materials including polymers, lipids (liposomes, nanoemulsions, and solid-lipid NPs), metal, and ceramic NPs. A summary of the various types of pH-responsive nanocarriers is presented in Table 1.

Various nanostructures that have been evaluated as pH-sensitive nanocarriers are illustrated in Figure 2. pH-Sensitive nanocarriers can be categorized into three main groups; polymeric nanocarriers, (which are sub-divided into four types including nanogels, micelles, polymer-drug conjugates, and core-shell polymeric NPs), liposomes, and inorganic NPs.
Polymeric Nanocarriers

**Polymeric Nanogels**—Cross-linked hydrophilic polymer chains can form a highly porous three-dimensional network either by self-assembly or by formation of covalent bonds. Drugs can be encapsulated into the inner gel structure and released by swelling caused by environmental pH changes. Nanogels possess higher capacity than micelles and liposomes for drug loading, but they cannot completely isolate hydrophobic drugs in their cores. They can be adapted for targeted delivery by appropriate surface modifications. Nanogels can be simply prepared by proper combination of amphiphilic block copolymers leading to binding of oppositely charged polymeric chains. One method for preparation of large pore-size nanogels is chemical crosslinking. Crosslinkers prevent rapid dissolution of the hydrophilic polymer chains in aqueous environments.

Abandansari et al. synthesized a new pH-sensitive nanogel based on H40 by using a click reaction via mini-emulsion polymerization. Boltorn® H40 (H40) is a hyper-branched aliphatic polyester with attractive properties such as biodegradability, biocompatibility, globular architecture, and possibility for functionalized chains. This research group used H40-poly(ξ-caprolactone) (H40-PCL) as a core for improving the hydrophobicity of the core nanogel to load hydrophobic drugs, together with poly(vinylpyridine) (PVP) as a pH-sensitive crosslinker, and poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) for enhancing the water solubility of the nanogels and increasing biocompatibility in the body environment.

Rigogliuso et al. synthesized nanogels by pulsed electron irradiation. The results showed non-toxicity of the nanogels toward cells.

**Polymeric Micelles**—Micelles are spherical supramolecular aggregates of amphiphilic molecules forming a liquid colloid. Nanosized polymeric micelles are formed by amphiphilic block copolymers which can self-assemble via hydrophobic and ionic interactions between the polymer blocks in an aqueous environment. They have been investigated as drug nanocarriers and have several attractive features such as their ability to solubilize water-insoluble drugs in the hydrophobic interior, high solubility, low toxicity, and the ability to take advantage of the EPR effect for passive tumor-targeting. Different functional groups including targeting ligands such as monoclonal antibodies, and cell-penetrating peptides to improve intracellular uptake can be attached to the hydrophilic exterior of micelles. pH-sensitive polymeric micelles take advantage of the lower tumor-pH to selectively release their cargo.

Yang et al. synthesized a series of amphiphilic 4- and 6-armed star triblock co-polymers-poly(e-caprolactone)-b-poly(2-(diethylamino)ethyl methacrylate)-b-poly(poly(ethylene glycol) methyl ether methacrylate) (4/6AS-PCL-b-PDEAEMA-b-PPEGMA) by using two polymerization methods including ring opening polymerization and a continuous activated radical polymerization process regenerated by electron transfer. The three-layered self-assembled micelles were pH-sensitive for the release of the hydrophobic anticancer drug DOX. Figure 3 schematically shows the loading and release of drugs from pH-sensitive micelles. The micelles swelled at acidic pH due to the ionization of tertiary amine groups in DEAEMA in the middle layer of the micelles, and the rate of DOX release increased when pH decreased from 7.4 to 5.0. The *in vitro* cytotoxicity of DOX-encapsulated micelles to
HepG2 (hepatocellular carcinoma) cells showed higher anticancer activity and bioavailability than free DOX, so a lower amount of drug could be used for therapeutic applications.

Liu et al.\textsuperscript{87} prepared a pH-sensitive amphiphilic copolymer nanocarrier. Here, the amide groups in the core of micelles underwent pH-dependent hydrolysis that changed the charge of the PEI from negative to positive in the acidic tumor environment, thus the size of the micelles significantly reduced from 90 to 25 nm. This shrinkage disassembled the micelles and released the drug.

Kamimura et al.\textsuperscript{88} synthesized polyionic complex micelles from poly(ethylene glycol)-block-poly(4-vinylbenzylphosphonate) (PEG-b-PVBP) loaded with DOX as pH-sensitive nanocarriers (DOX@PNP). These self-assembled NPs (45–55 nm) overcame the premature drug release problem by hydrophobic and electrostatic interactions between phosphonate groups of PEG-b-PVBP as hydrophobic segments and cationic DOX. These DOX@PNPs showed high stability when diluted. After cellular endocytosis, DOX was delivered into endosomal and/or lysosomal compartments and spreaded into cytosol stepwise and transported into the cell nuclei.

**Polymer-drug Conjugates (Prodrugs)—**Conjugation of drugs to pH-sensitive polymers can be used as a carrier in DDSs. The drug molecules can be covalently bound to the polymer chains, or alternatively they can be encapsulated via electrostatic or hydrophobic interactions. Polymer-drug conjugates can possess long blood circulation time and stability against environmental destruction.\textsuperscript{89} Moreover poorly water-soluble drugs can be conjugated to water-soluble polymer.\textsuperscript{82,90} However it is still necessary to improve the control of drug release at the target sites. For this purpose Shixian et al.\textsuperscript{89} prepared 3,3′-dithiodipropionic acid functionalized poly(ethylene glycol)-b-poly(lysine) (mPEG-b-P(LL-DTPA)) with paclitaxel (PTX) directly conjugated via ester bonds. She et al.\textsuperscript{91} prepared DOX conjugated to a dendronized heparin block via an acid-labile hydrazine linkage and self-assembly, that showed safe and efficient pH-sensitive drug release. Du et al.\textsuperscript{92} prepared a folate-bovine serum albumin (BSA)-cis-aconitic anhydride-DOX prodrug. Folic acid was linked to BSA to improve tumor targeting ability of prodrugs. BSA enhanced the water solubility of drugs and cis-aconitic anhydride acted as pH-sensitive linker between the BSA and DOX.

**Core-Shell Polymeric NPs—**Polymeric NPs with colloidal, spherical, and branched properties can have core-shell architectures. They can be synthesized form biodegradable synthetic or natural polymers by methods such as salting out, spontaneous emulsion, nanoprecipitation, emulsion evaporation, supercritical CO\textsubscript{2} polymerization, etc.\textsuperscript{93}

Liu et al.\textsuperscript{94} prepared pH-sensitive poly(ethylene glycol)-poly(L-histidine)-poly(L-lactide) (PEG-PH-PLL) core-shell NPs as antitumor drug carriers encapsulated DOX. The results showed that the size of blank NPs and drug-loaded NPs at pH 7.4 were smaller than at pH 5.0 and the release of DOX at pH 5.0 was faster than pH 7.4. In vitro experiments showed the prepared NPs were nontoxic to both NIH 3T3 fibroblasts and HepG2 cells. Xu et al.\textsuperscript{95} prepared pH-sensitive NPs with potential as a protein delivery system. The core contained
glassy NPs formed from cross-linked dextran that was pH-sensitive and the protein was encapsulated inside.

**Liposomes**

Liposomes are self-assembled spherical vesicles made up of a single (unilamellar) or several (multilamellar) concentric lipid bilayers with various sizes from 50 nm to several micrometers. A liposome surrounds an aqueous solution inside a hydrophobic membrane. The encapsulated hydrophilic interior solution cannot easily pass through the membrane so liposomes can be used delivery vehicles for hydrophobic molecules (contained within the bilayer) or hydrophilic molecules (contained in the aqueous interior). Moreover by the addition of agents to the membrane surface, properties such as size, surface charge, and targeting to diseased cells or tissue can be tailored. Liposomes possess a better biocompatibility profile, making them good candidate for drug/gene delivery systems. However, they have some limitations such as low encapsulation efficiency, too rapid a release rate of the drug, low storage stability and lack of tunable triggers for drug release. As a result, several investigations have focused on enhancing liposome stability and circulation half-life, for example by surface modification, and assembly of layer-by-layer liposomal NPs (LBL-LNPs) to improve targeting and drug release. pH-Sensitive liposomes including various pH-sensitive components have been designed to release the active contents from the inside the endosomes into the cytoplasm.

Ramasamy et al. prepared LBL-LNP nanocarriers loaded with DOX and mitoxantrone (MTX). These LBL were assembled by consecutive deposition of poly-L-lysine (PLL) and poly(ethylene glycol)-block-poly(L-aspartic acid) (PEG-b-PLD) (Figure 4 (a)). The results showed effectively-controlled burst-release kinetics, increased drug half-life, and improved biodistribution. Furthermore, free DOX (as well as LNPs), showed higher cytotoxicity than LBL-LNPs due to accelerated drug diffusion into the cell nucleus (Figure 4(b)).

Yoshizaki et al. synthesized cationic lipid-incorporated liposomes modified with an acid-labile polymer hyper-branched poly(glycidol) (HPG) that could be used as pH-sensitive nanocarriers for efficient delivery of antigen molecules to the cytosol and endosomes/lysosomes for cancer immunotherapy. They reported that the modified liposomes were taken up by murine dendritic cells more than unmodified liposomes, and the modified ovalbumin (OVA)-loaded liposomes decreased the burden of an OVA-expressing tumor in a mouse model. Cationic lipid incorporation noticeably decreased tumor volume although OVA-loaded unmodified liposomes slightly reduced tumor growth. In another work pH-sensitive fusogenic polymer-(SucPG-) modified liposomes were prepared as vaccine carriers.

In another study, 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-28[methoxy(polyethylene glycol)] (mPEG-DSPE) and stearoyl-poly(ethylene glycol)-poly(methacryloyl sul-29 fadimethoxine) copolymer (stearoyl-PEG-polySDM) were used to form pH-sensitive liposomes for anticancer drug delivery. The ionization of polySDM in the acidic tumor environment led to aggregation of the liposomes. The relatively small amount of stearoyl-PEG-polySDM led to rapid rearrangement in the tumor environment.
Inorganic NPs

Inorganic NPs formed from mesoporous silica, gold, or CaCO$_3$ have been used as nanocarriers for drug/gene delivery systems. They display good encapsulation capability and their rigid surfaces allow controlled functionalization. Some inorganic NPs can be used as pH-sensitive functional materials when combined with organic components such as chitosan, polydopamine, poly(acrylic acid), etc.

Mesoporous silica NPs (MSNs) have favorable properties such as structural stability, large area for functionalization, tunable pore size, and good bio-compatibility. Zheng et al. prepared pH-sensitive nanocarriers from polydopamine (PDA) coated MSNs with a large pore size obtained by using 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene as a pore expanding agent (Figure 5(a)). They were coated with PDA by a self-polymerization method that were stable at neutral pH but released DOX in acidic media (Figure 5(b)). PDA showed good biocompatibility and low cytotoxicity.

Chitosan-coated MSN have been used as pH-sensitive nanocarriers. Deng et al. used TNF-α as an anticancer drug, and antibodies that recognized ErbB2 attached to the surface of the chitosan-MSN in order to release the drug at the target sites. Here, mono-disperse cationic polystyrene (PS) nanospheres were synthesized as templates for preparation of hollow silica NPs (HNPs).

Yang et al. prepared multilayered, multifunctional nanocarriers as DDSs. They used superparamagnetic iron oxide NPs (SPIONs) sandwiched between the hydrophobic head of a pH-sensitive amphiphilic polymer (HAMAFA-b-DBAM) and MSN, which were surface-modified by the hydrocarbon octadecyltrimethoxysilane (C18). High drug loading was achieved and drug release was delayed because of the surface modification. They could be tracked by MRI due to the inclusion of SPIONs.

THE MECHANISMS OF DRUG RELEASE FROM pH-SENSITIVE NPs

Different pH-sensitive nanocarriers that swell or dissolve in response to a pH stimulus have been used to release drugs or genes at the target. The main mechanisms of pH-induced instability are discussed below.

Drug Release due to Dissolution of the Nanocarriers at Specific pH Values

pH-sensitive nanocarriers generally display a burst-release kinetic profile when the NPs dissolve or destabilize. Nanocarriers made from polycarboxylic acids are solid matrices at low pH that encapsulate the drug, but as soon as the pH changes from acidic to neutral, the carboxylic acid groups de-protonate, the linear polymers dissolve and drug release takes place.

Calcium phosphate (CaP), due to its excellent biocompatibility, is a promising candidate for DDSs. As the pH decreases, the aqueous solubility of CaP increased. At a physiological pH, CaP has a fixed structure in contrast to the acidic endosomal environment when it dissolves. Unmodified carboxylate groups in carboxymethyl-chitosan have been used to bind Ca$^{2+}$ ions. Poly (β-amino ester) (PbAE) belongs to a group of biodegradable cationic polymers.
used in pH-sensitive nanocarriers. At low levels of pH (pH ≤ 6.5), PbAE dissolves rapidly and releases the drug.\textsuperscript{112} Dan et al.\textsuperscript{113} prepared acid-labile micelles based on a β-thiopropionate linker, to encapsulate hydrophobic dye. The micelles were stable at neutral pH, but dissolved in an acidic environment due to hydrolysis of the ester functionality of the micelles, thus selectively releasing the dye which is illustrated in Figure 6.

Ulbrich et al.\textsuperscript{114} synthesized an antibody-targeted pH-sensitive polymer-drug conjugate. They selected a water-soluble polymer as the carrier with a hydrolytic-labile linker including hydrazine bonds. These conjugates were stable in the blood circulation, but with changes in pH inside the target cells, released DOX. Water-soluble, biodegradable, hydrolytically-labile, amine-functionalized polyacetals (APEGs) were synthesized by tri-polymerization of PEG, divinyl ethers, and serinol. Polyacetals quickly underwent hydrolysis, as the pH decreased.\textsuperscript{115} Different methods have been developed to release the liposomal contents into the cytoplasm by using pH-responsive functionalities. Inside the endosomes, the low pH destabilizes the liposomal membrane, allowing interaction with the endosomal membrane and leading to drug release into the cytoplasm. pH-Sensitive liposomes often use fusogenic lipids incorporated in the liposome composition such as unsaturated dioleoyl-phosphatidyl-ethanolamine (DOPE).\textsuperscript{116} Mildly acidic amphiphiles can be added to DOPE, to act as stabilizers at neutral pH, such as cholesteryl hemisuccinate (CHEMS), oleic acid (OA), and palmitoyl-homocysteine (PHC). In the acidic environment their carboxyl groups protonate, leading to shrinkage of the hydrophilic part causing membrane destabilization and drug-release.\textsuperscript{117}

pH-Responsive liposomes may undergo transformation from a conventional lipid-bilayer to an inverted hexagonal phase, when the carboxylic groups become protonated. In addition to drug release due to liposome destabilization, the endosomal membrane can also be destabilized, and the drugs can be released directly into the cytoplasm.\textsuperscript{118} Micelles can release the loaded drug by two different mechanisms: the first one is to protonate the hydrophobic inner part of the micelle at low pH, which disassembles the micelle and releases the encapsulated drug. Poly(L-histidine)-b-PEG(PHisb-PEG) and poly(L-lactic acid)-b-PEG-b-polyHis-ligand (PLLA-b-PEG-b-Phis-ligand) exhibit this behavior. The second way is to utilize acid-degradable linker units to attach the drug to the hydrophobic block of the amphiphilic polymer. At low pH (around 6 or lower), drug release is enhanced in both mechanisms.\textsuperscript{119} Figure 7 illustrates drug release from liposomes associated with dissolution of the nanocarrier with pH variation.

**Drug Release as a Result of Polymer Swelling at Specific pH Values**

Another mechanism for drug release from the nanocarriers is the pH-induced swelling of the materials. Figure 8 shows swelling of various nanocarriers at certain pH values. The most commonly used functional groups for synthesis of pH-sensitive NPs are carboxyl and pyridine groups.

**Alkali-swellable Carboxyl Groups**—At acidic pH values, cross-linked polymers with carboxyl groups maintain a dense conformation, which results in a reduction of the porosity of the matrix and resistance against diffusion of the drug. In fact, at low pH levels, carboxyl
groups undergo protonation and hydrophobic interactions dominate, which causes the volume shrinkage of the polymer. In contrast, at basic pH, the NPs swell and reach the highest porosity, thus diffusion resistance is reduced, and the release of the drug become much easier. At high levels of pH, the polymer swells because of the dissociation of carboxyl groups into carbonate anions, which results in increased charge density in the polymer.

The hydrolysis of polycarbonate acetals within polymersomes and micelles, which were synthesized based on PEG and an acid-labile polycarbonate, poly (2,4,6-trimethoxybenzylidene)pentaerythritol carbonate) (PTMBPEC) was evaluated. The results showed that the hydrolysis rate from PEG(1.9K)-PTMBPEC (6K) was affected by pH changes. According to dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurements, there was a rapid size change in response to acetal hydrolysis at low pH, indicating swelling.\textsuperscript{120}

The release of erythromycin (EM) at low pH was quite low, while at pH values above 7 the amount of drug release increased. Therefore EM release would be minimal in the stomach (pH < 3), due to absence of swelling of the NPs, which would protect EM against destruction by gastric acid. As the NPs pass along the intestinal tract, the increased pH, would cause ionization of the carboxylic groups which increased the swelling of the NPs.\textsuperscript{121}

\textbf{Acid-swellable Pyridine Groups}—In contrast to alkali-swellable carboxyl group, at acidic pH, pyridine groups become protonated leading to internal charge repulsion between the pyridinium groups. An enlargement in the overall dimensions of the NP occurs, as a result of the charge repulsion. At higher pH, the polymer groups become less ionized which causes a decrease in the charge repulsion and an increase in the polymer–polymer interaction, leading to a reduction of the overall hydrodynamic diameter of the polymer. Poly(vinyl-pyridine), formed from monomers, like 4-vinylpyridine (4VP) and 2-vinylpyridine (2VP) is one of the most widely used polymers in this class.\textsuperscript{122}

\textbf{Drug Release as a Result of Both Polymer Dissolution and Swelling}—It is difficult to separate these two mechanisms, namely dissolution and swelling, from each other. Some DDSs might employ both mechanisms to release the encapsulated drug. In the DDSs loaded with EM, the NPs possessed partial degradability in the intestine at the presence of dextranase enzymes, so release occurred as a result of both swelling and enzyme-mediated dissolution.\textsuperscript{121} Li et al.\textsuperscript{123} reported the release of hydrophilic insulin from Eudragit L100-55 polymer-coated chitosan NPs. The results showed that the NP size remained unchanged because of the low water permeation into the particle at low pH, while when the pH reached 5.8, Eudragit L100-55 dissolved and water penetrated into the core of the particles and insulin was released due to swelling and higher porosity of chitosan.

\textbf{CHALLENGES AND ISSUES IN THE DESIGN AND USE OF pH-SENSITIVE NANOCARRIERS}

In the preparation process of pH-sensitive nanocarriers, researchers have recognized several challenges in terms of selection of materials, choosing appropriate methods of synthesis, selection, and measurement of properties, etc. Moreover there are several possible routes and
applications to utilize these materials for drug release. Some of these challenges will be discussed below.

**Materials and Preparation Methods**

The first step in selection of materials for pH-sensitive nanocarriers is choosing ionizable polymers which possess pKa values between 3 and 10. These polymers tend to be polyelectrolytes and typically, they are weak acids and bases with pendant groups which can be ionized with variation in environmental pH. In addition, the pH-sensitivity of different polymers varies markedly. Carboxylic groups, for example, owing to their inherent pKa do not respond to small variations around physiological pH.

One of the most important problems with pH-sensitive polymers is the rapid cleavage of micelles and polymers containing acetal, hydrazone, orthoester, and ketal functionalities in an acidic environment. This makes it difficult to achieve true controlled release. In the study by Zhang et al., pyrene-containing surface-cross-linked micelles (SCMs) were prepared with 8–10 nm diameter. They reported that the cross-linkages within these SCMs were cleaved rapidly (<1 min) and as a consequence the loaded drugs were released during a few minutes. As a result, researchers have proposed β-thiopropionate as a crosslinker which possesses a relatively slow hydrolysis rate for better control. The effect of introducing sulfur atoms leads to development of a partial positive charge on the ester carbonyl carbon and this in turn, controls the hydrolysis rate.

Although polymers with high molecular weights provide several benefits such as stability and better targeting, they may lack biodegradability. Since biopolymers should be removed after use, their nonbiodegradability is assumed to be one of the most critical limitations. Although biodegradability is not strictly necessary for some local and topical application routes such as oral drug delivery, its desirability for systemic applications such as intravenous injection have been widely discussed. Therefore, with regard to biodegradability, pH-sensitive biopolymers consisting of polypeptides, proteins, and polysaccharides have been proposed as good choices. Sun et al. studied hemi-cellulose (HC) based hydrogels synthesized from HC extracted from wheat straw together with acrylic acid (AAc) as monomers. According to swelling evaluation with pH variation, they proposed bulk erosion as the main process involved in degradation of the hydrogels. In this process, water diffusion into samples occurs at a faster rate than the rate of the hydrolysis reaction. Moreover, increase in the HC content led to a greater weight loss of samples whereas a higher density of crosslinking had the opposite effect. Moreover, in the presence of proteases, degradation was increased, and degradation occurred more rapidly in simulated gastric fluid. Wang et al. introduced hydrogels based on poly(e-caprolactone); PCL, methacrylic acid (MAA), and Pluronic (L35) as a potential biodegradable polymer for drug delivery use. The hydrolytic degradation behavior was higher with an increase in proportion of PCL due to the acid cleavage of ester bonds. Furthermore, a higher proportion of the crosslinker, i.e., N,N0-methylene-bis-acrylamide (BIS), resulted in decreased water diffusion into the hydrogel network and consequently a lower degradation rate.

Furthermore, pH-sensitive polymers often suffer from low mechanical strength. According to Kim et al., the compressive strength of hydrogel formed from poly(acrylamide-co-
acrylic acid)/polyethylenimine (P(AM-co-AA)/PEI) decreased with an increase in polyacrylic acid (a classical polyelektrolyte). The reduction of mechanical strength was even accompanied by cracking at a high concentration of AA. This phenomenon stemmed from excessive swelling stress during water uptake. If water diffusion takes place faster than relaxation of the polymer molecules, stress accumulates in the polymer structure and cracking can easily occur. With this in mind, various studies have attempted to improve the mechanical properties of the hydrogels through addition of a secondary component in the nanocomposites. For instance, it was observed that addition of 0.3 wt. % of graphene oxide nanosheet (GONS) to a poly acrylic acid/gelatin (PAA/gel) resulted in significant improvements in tensile strength and elongation at breaking point by 71% and 26%, respectively.130

Applications and Delivery Routes

pH-Sensitive hydrogels have been utilized for controlled release of drugs in two main applications. Firstly, they can be placed into capsules. Gutowska et al.131 examined drug delivery of hydrogels prepared by a mechanical squeezing process. Drug-loaded hydrogels placed in capsules were examined in various release media. After immersion, the gel swelled immediately to its equilibrium swelling state, resulting in closing of the pores in the capsule. Under certain circumstances such change in pH, the gel shrank, squeezing the capsule to open the holes. Consequently, drug could be released through the open holes with a controlled rate. In the field of cross-linked nanosphere carriers, disintegration at the correct pH depending on the desired stage of the digestive tract is required. Sonaje et al.132 reported that drug release from chitosan-poly(L-glutamic acid); γ-PGA occurred at pH 7–7.4 which simulates the intestinal environment. However the carboxyl groups of γ-PGA were protonated at the low pH of stomach which led to instability of the nanospheres owing to reduced electrostatic interaction. To rectify this problem, the nanospheres were freeze-dried and filled in an enteric-coated capsule.

Secondly, pH-sensitive nanocarriers can be applied embedded in silicone matrices. In a study by Carelli et al.,133 the hydrogels were semi-interpenetrating polymer networks [semi-IPN(s)] containing various amounts of poly(methacrylic acid-co-methylmethacrylate) (Eudragit (EUD) L100) and polyethylene glycol 8000 (P8000C) as a crosslinker. 35 wt. % Hydrogel particles with a diameter ranging from 89 to 123 μm, loaded with 15 wt.% prednisolone (PDN), were placed into silicone microspheres with a 500–1000 μm size range with acceptable morphology, by a modified emulsion vulcanization method.

There are other challenges that may be faced in employing these materials. For instance, the stability in serum is considered to be a major point to be considered in drug delivery carriers. According to Ashley et al.,134 liposomes composed of dioleoylphosphatidyl-dylethanolamine (DOPE) exhibit poor stability in serum. Accordingly, Wu et al.135 designed liposomes composed of copolymer soy phosphatidylcholine (SPC) for solving the problem. They observed that in comparison to DOPE-liposomes, the SPC copolymer –liposomes released lower amounts of calcine in the PBS buffer solution during 20 h, which indicated higher stability in serum.
Importantly, elimination of undesirable premature drug release is a critical issue. For example, premature degradation of therapeutic agents that can occur in lysosomes can be a problem. The process of endosomolysis (the destabilization of the endosomal membrane at low pH) by designing nanocarriers that are taken up via endocytosis can be a solution.\textsuperscript{136}

Dual/multi responsive DDSs that are pH-responsive in addition to another stimulus-responsive feature are new concepts in efficient targeting and release of therapeutic agents from NPs or membranes. Thus various dual/triple stimuli sensitive systems such as pH/magnetic, pH/redox, pH/temperature, pH/biomolecules, pH/thermo/redox, pH/temperature/magnetic, and pH/redox/magnetic have been developed with properties such as unique control of drug delivery and release, and significant anticancer efficacies.\textsuperscript{17,29} A pH/biomolecule responsive MSN based nanocarrier with immobilized polycaprolactone (esterase degradable) in the MSN core and pH-labile polyacrylic acid (PAA) showed a payload (DOX) release only in presence of both the acidic milieu and an esterase found in tumors (i.e., AND logic gate).\textsuperscript{137} A pH/redox nanohydrogels showed negligible premature drug release in bloodstream but rapid release in low pH milieu and glutathione (GSH) presence.\textsuperscript{138}

Theranostic DDSs with both therapeutic and imaging capability have also been tested as pH-responsive nanosystems. A CdTe quantum dot (with pH-dependent fluorescence intensity) loaded into nanogels (QDs-NGs) showed promising drug delivery and tracking capabilities, and was suggested for cellular imaging of methotrexate (MTX) intracellular delivery in clinical therapy.\textsuperscript{139} In another attempt, a graphene oxide (GO)-based platform with a pH-labile fluorescence trace (rhodamine dye) used for concurrent pH-sensing and targeted release of RNAi in acidic tumors by a rhodamine-triggered competition reaction.\textsuperscript{140}

Various disorders and diseases such as Wilson’s disease (i.e., copper (Cu) ion deficiency) and Alzheimer’s disease (due to dysregulation of Cu ions followed by aggregation of myloid-beta (A\textsubscript{β}) peptides in the brain) have been reported to have involvement of pH-alteration. Thus efficient pH-sensitive cargo (e.g., Cu)-delivery systems can be designed for treatment of such diseases.\textsuperscript{141} Recently, pH-responsive nanocarriers for intracellular delivery of antioxidants [natural scavengers of reactive oxygen species (ROSs)] have been designed with high stability, low cytotoxicity, and antioxidant activity. Such systems can be used for therapy of cardiovascular diseases (e.g., atherosclerosis) and neurological disorders (e.g., Alzheimer’s).\textsuperscript{142}

Parameters involved in delivery of drugs can be enhanced by using pH-sensitive nanosystems. Docetaxel (DTX)-loaded micelles in a pH-responsive hydrogel showed enhanced oral bioavailability and small intestine targeted release that produced inhibition of subcutaneous breast tumor growth.\textsuperscript{143} In some cases due to limited drug bioavailability, a single high dosage of oral administration is required. This applies to delivery of therapeutic protein with high isoelectric point-exhibiting.\textsuperscript{144} Also, in some conditions such as long-term administration of therapeutic agents, nanosystems like carbon dot coated alginate beads (CA-CD) can be applied for gastrointestinal tract administration.\textsuperscript{145}
Dual pH-triggered release of drugs has been developed. A polymer-DOX conjugate nanocarrier was designed to be sensitive to both the extracellular pH and the intracellular pH of tumor tissues. At extracellular pH (e.g., 6.8), cellular uptake was facilitated due to positive to negative change in the surface charge. According to Figure 9 which shows cumulative release of DOX versus time for different pH values, in the intracellular endosomal compartment at pH 5.0, faster drug release was induced. This could significantly enhance cytotoxicity.\textsuperscript{146}

Co-delivery systems have been considered as an innovative approach in design of pH-sensitive nanocarriers. For example, a dual-cargo nanocarrier was developed for delivery of small interfering RNA (siRNA) and anticancer PTX. Hence, simultaneous expression of gene silencing nucleic acids and cytotoxic chemotherapy was demonstrated in carcinoma cell lines. Such approaches could be used to overcome cancer multi-drug resistance (MDR).\textsuperscript{147} pH-responsive MSNs were fabricated for which one cargo was released at pH 7.0 and the second cargo was released at pH 2.0.\textsuperscript{148}

The development of novel pH-sensitive NPs and novel applications has been a challenging goal. Some specific examples are metal-phenolic network (MPN) capsules with low fouling, fast assembly and pH-degradability,\textsuperscript{149} and amphiphilic ‘Janus’ NPs (i.e., emulsion droplets) with a pH-dependent dynamically-tunable structure and aggregation/dispersion behavior\textsuperscript{150} have been reported. Such novel particles could provide new concepts in the design of pH-responsive DDSs.

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTION

In the field of stimuli-responsive nanocarriers, those nanovehicles that respond to changes in pH have possibly attracted the most attention compared to other stimuli. The reasons for this predominance are threefold and depend on the versatility of the approach. Firstly, the ability to have drugs released in a controlled manner in the acidic environment of endosomes and lysosomes (pH 4.5–5.5), and moreover to also possess the ability to carry out endosomolysis (destruction of the intracellular organelle) so the active ingredients can gain access to the cytoplasmic milieu where their effects are optimal is highly attractive. Secondly, the well-described low pH environment characteristic of tumors caused by the switch to the glycolytic metabolism (Warburg effect) typical of malignancies, has encouraged the development of drug-release vehicles tailored to respond to the relatively limited reduction in tumor pH (pH 6.8). Thirdly the ability to tailor drug-release either at the very low pH environment in the stomach (pH < 3), or alternatively at the higher pH environment in the intestines (pH > 7) is very important for oral drug delivery. It should be noted that there is an increasing trend for oral delivery of medicines previously routinely administered by injection (e.g., insulin). Furthermore, the impressive novel applications of pH-dependent DDSs in treatment of Alzheimer’s disease, dual-pH-sensitive delivery systems, dual/multi stimuli-responsive DDSs, theranostics, co-delivery systems, etc., is likely to increase in the future.

Looking forward to the future, highly sensitive nanocarriers leading to on-demand and controlled drug delivery and release, can be produced by combining pH-responsive elements.
with other stimuli-responsive elements. These other elements could be responsive to either externally applied forces or fields (heat, light, ultrasound, magnetic, or electric fields) or to other internal triggers (enzymes, redox, and specific biomolecules). Furthermore, by fabrication of nanosystems that are sequentially-responsive to different pH values that are typical of different biological environments, new breakthroughs can be obtained for orchestrated delivery of several different therapeutic cargos, in such a way that each cargo is released at a specific milieu/pH value. Thus strategy may be particularly applicable for gene/drug co-delivery systems which have recently been introduced for efficient cancer therapy and related MDR inhibition.

The capability of pH-responsive DDSs may pave the way for finding new therapies for other common but serious affiliations such as Alzheimer’s disease. Delivery of various biomolecules such as proteins, peptides, vaccines, and antigens while maintaining their biological activity is a challenging issue for which smart DDSs (especially pH-sensitive nanocarriers) have been suggested. In recent years, advent of multifunctional nanocarriers particularly the ones including pH-responsiveness (like multifunctional micellar NPs), suggests novel approaches for combining active targeting and smart stimuli-responsive DDSs, for theranostic applications and more efficient cancer therapy.

Many of the individual properties of DDSs such as bioavailability, biodegradability, and biodistribution, as well as efficient loading of cargo, controlled delivery, and release without premature drug leakage can be improved by taking advantage of the innovations in pH-sensitive nanosystems.

When looking toward the future, the effect that pH variations within different biological environments such as the gastrointestinal tract and the tumor extracellular/intracellular milieus have on the fate of the NPs, their nanotoxicity/biocompatibility (reduction of toxicity toward normal cells/tissues, and increased toxicity toward diseased sites and cancerous cells), targeting and cell uptake ability, drug release rate as well as protein corona formation around the NPs should be more comprehensively taken into account. Overcoming the unfortunate side-effects of potent anticancer chemotherapy drugs such as DOX, motivates investigations in which protecting the drug and eliminating its premature release and mitigating its harmful activity en route to its target, are indispensable.

It is expected that pH-responsive drug delivery vehicles will continue to be an active area of research involving polymer chemists, nanotechnologists, cell biologists, physicians and eventually biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies. Unceasing progress in pH-sensitive nanocarrier technology and related new advances in delivery of triggered therapeutic agents will continue for the foreseeable future.
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FIGURE 1.
(a) Hypoxia and the resultant decreased pH$_e$ induced by different routes including production and export of H$^+$ and lactate (through up-regulation of NHE1, MCT4), conversion of CO$_2$ to carbonic acid, influx of the dissociated weak base HCO$_3^-$ while H$^+$ is left outside, etc., (b): glucose metabolism in mammalian cells involving aerobic glycolysis metabolism (i.e., Warburg effect). ((a) Reprinted with permission from Ref. 62 Copyright 2012 Elsevier. (b) Reprinted with permission from Ref. 63 Copyright 2004 Nature)
FIGURE 2.
Several examples of pH-sensitive nanocarrier platforms.
FIGURE 3.
Schematic illustration of drug loading and release of drug in a pH-sensitive micelle.
FIGURE 4.
(a) Schematic illustration of the LBL-coated LNPs fabrication process, and (b) cell viability of LNPs, LBL-LNPs, and free DOX. (Reprinted with permission from Ref. 96 Copyright 2014 Elsevier)
FIGURE 5.
(a) Schematic of fabrication of PDA coated MSNs and pH-dependent drug release, (b) DOX release profile from MSN-DOX@PDA at various pH values. (Reprinted with permission from Ref. 105 Copyright 2014 Elsevier)
FIGURE 6.
Dissolution of micelles based on pH changes.
FIGURE 7.
Schematic representation of drug delivery and release by using liposomes.
FIGURE 8.
The swelling mechanism for different kinds of nanocarriers.
FIGURE 9.
Cumulative release of DOX from polymer-drug conjugate NPs through cleavage of acid-labile hydrazonelinker. (Reprinted with permission from Ref.146 Copyright 2011 American Chemical Society)
**TABLE 1**

Examples of Different Kinds of pH-Responsive Nanocarriers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nanocarrier Type</th>
<th>Material – Polymer, Lipid, etc.</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Properties and Therapeutic Outcome</th>
<th>Drug Locating Strategy in Nanocarrier</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Micelles</td>
<td>poly(ethylene glycol)–polyaspartate copolymer with 45 nm particle size</td>
<td>proteasome inhibitor MG132</td>
<td>Low in vivo toxicity of micelles than free MG132, prolonged circulation of drug-loaded micelles in the bloodstream, high stability in physiological pH, hydrolytic degradation in late-endosomes and lysosomes, release after EPR-mediated accumulation of drugs in the tumor, retained cytotoxicity by proteasome inhibition of released MG132-conjugated carriers</td>
<td>covalent binding of MG132 bound to the block copolymer</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liposomes</td>
<td>multifunctional envelope-type nanodevice encompassing pH-5 lipid YSK05 (YSK05-MEND)</td>
<td>anti-miR-122</td>
<td>Enhance in target genes in the liver followed by reduction in plasma cholesterol, encapsulation efficiency of 42–48%, uniform distribution of curcumin in nanogel network, high anticancer activity than pristine curcumin, promising carriers for colorectal cancer delivery</td>
<td>miR-122 (AMO122) encapsulated in YSK05-MEND</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nanogels</td>
<td>Interpenetrating polymeric network (IPN) spherical nanogels composed of poly(acrylamidoglycolic acid) and natural gelatin biological protein with 100 nm size</td>
<td>Curcumin</td>
<td>Good bioavailability and dispersion in aqueous solution, encapsulation efficiency of 42–48%, uniform distribution of curcumin in nanogel network, high anticancer activity than pristine curcumin, promising carriers for colorectal cancer delivery</td>
<td>Hydrophobic curcumin was encapsulated into the nanogels</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymer-drug conjugates</td>
<td>Poly(ethylene oxide)-block-Polyphosphoester-graft-Paclitaxel conjugates with acid-sensitive linker</td>
<td>Paclitaxel</td>
<td>Enhanced drug release in acidic conditions with pH-trigger, increased cytotoxicity against cancer cells, capability to conjugate imaging labels, stability during blood circulation and uptake by tumor by EPR effect</td>
<td>Paclitaxel conjugated to polymer backbone via Acid-labile β-thiopropionate linkage</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core-shell NPs</td>
<td>Chitosan-alginate NPs with 100–200 nm size and spherical/sub-spherical shape</td>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>Enhanced insulin bio-efficiency, Significant hypoglycemic effects with improved insulin-relative bioavailability, high retention of encapsulated insulin in simulated gastric buffer, sustained release in simulated intestinal milieu, no systemic toxicity, promising oral insulin delivery</td>
<td>Insulin entrapped in alginate core</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inorganic NPs</td>
<td>Mesoporous silica NPs (MSNs) with acetal-modified dextran as gating valves</td>
<td>DOX</td>
<td>Valves opened by acetal hydrolysis to retrieve hydrophilic state of acetal-modified dextran in model of endosomal/lysosomal compartments inducing rapid drug release after endocytosis, restricted release in pH 7.4, comparable cytotoxicity with free DOX, biocompatible carriers</td>
<td>DOX entrapped inside the MSN pores</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>