Role of trophic factors GDNF, IGF-1 and VEGF in major depressive disorder: A comprehensive review of human studies
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Abstract

Rationale—The neurotrophin hypothesis of major depressive disorder (MDD) postulates that this illness results from aberrant neurogenesis in brain regions that regulates emotion and memory. Notwithstanding this theory has primarily implicated BDNF in the neurobiology of MDD. Recent evidence suggests that other trophic factors namely GDNF, VEGF and IGF-1 may also be involved.

Purpose—The present review aimed to critically summarize evidence regarding changes in GDNF, IGF-1 and VEGF in individuals with MDD compared to healthy controls. In addition, we also evaluated the role of these mediators as potential treatment response biomarkers for MDD.

Methods—A comprehensive review of original studies studies measuring peripheral, central or mRNA levels of GDNF, IGF-1 or VEGF in patients with MDD was conducted. The PubMed/
MEDLINE database was searched for peer-reviewed studies published in English through June 2nd, 2015.

Results—Most studies reported a reduction in peripheral GDNF and its mRNA levels in MDD patients versus controls. In contrast, IGF-1 levels in MDD patients compared to controls were discrepant across studies. Finally, most studies reported high peripheral VEGF levels and mRNA expression in MDD patients compared to healthy controls.

Conclusions—GDNF, IGF-1 and VEGF levels and their mRNA expression appear to be differentially altered in MDD patients compared to healthy individuals, indicating that these molecules might play an important role in the pathophysiology of depression and antidepressant action of therapeutic interventions.
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1. Introduction

The neurotrophin hypothesis of depression was initially formulated by Duman, Heninger, and Nestler (R. S. Duman, Heninger Gr Fau - Nestler, & Nestler, 1997). It postulated that MDD is secondary to aberrant neurogenesis in discrete brain regions subserving emotion and memory regulation. According to this theoretical framework, stress-related alterations in BDNF signaling mediate aberrant neurogenesis in MDD. In addition, this theory indicates that antidepressants are efficacious because they increase BDNF expression, and thus resolve aberrant neuronal plasticity. Preclinical evidence allowing for mechanistic insights seems to fit well with these predictions. For example, Taliaz et al demonstrated that in rats a reduction in BDNF in the dentate gyrus impairs neurogenesis and induces depressive-like behaviors (Taliaz, Stall, Dar, & Zangen, 2010).

The neurotrophin theory is supported by studies demonstrating a decrease in BDNF in the postmortem brain of patients with MDD compared to non-depressed controls. Analyses of such post-mortem brains, that were harvested from depressed patients, found significant reduction in BDNF mRNA and protein levels in critical regions such as hippocampus, prefrontal cortex and amygdala (Dwivedi et al., 2003; Guilloux et al., 2012; Karege, Vaudan, Schwald, Perroud, & La Harpe, 2005). Interestingly, treatment with antidepressant medications was found to increase BDNF levels in the hippocampus, which further substantiated important role of this neurotrophin in MDD (Chen, Dowlatshahi, MacQueen, Wang, & Young, 2001). Blood levels of BDNF in MDD patients were also reported to be significantly low (Karege et al., 2002), which gets restored to normal after antidepressant treatment (H. Y. Lee & Kim, 2008). Recently, a large meta-analysis study indicated that peripheral BDNF levels are significantly lower in MDD patients compared to controls. In addition, antidepressant treatment increases peripheral BDNF levels in patients with MDD. Electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) also increases peripheral BDNF levels in MDD although the evidence is less compelling.
Thus, the biomedical literature is inundated with myriad of reports highlighting importance of BDNF in the MDD pathophysiology and treatment. In addition to BDNF’s role in the pathophysiology of MDD, other trophic factors may also contribute to neuroplasticity abnormalities in this disorder. For instance, glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) were shown to contribute to maturation and maintenance of developing neurons, and modulate adult neurogenesis (Hoshaw, Malberg, & Lucki, 2005; Naumenko et al., 2013). The major objective of the present review is to compile and discuss comprehensively the role of these 3 trophic factors (i.e. GDNF, VEGF, and IGF-1) in MDD.

1.1. Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF)

GDNF is member of the transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) superfamily, and is broadly expressed in the mammalian brain. GDNF exerts its effects primarily through binding to GDNF-family receptors α1 (GFRα1) and activation of tyrosine kinase signaling. GDNF is envisaged as a crucial factor for survival and maintenance of both dopaminergic and serotonergic neurons (P. Y. Lin & Tseng, 2015; Naumenko et al., 2013) due to its neuroprotective properties, particularly against oxidative and neuro-inflammatory damage. Additionally, the interplay between GDNF and dopaminergic pathways seems to be involved in memory and learning (Naumenko et al., 2013).

Preclinical evidence indicates that animals exposed to chronic unpredictable stress (CUS) - a model for depression, exhibit depression-like behavior, and decrease in GDNF expression in their hippocampus (Liu et al., 2012). Interestingly, chronic tricyclic antidepressant treatment helps to reverse depression-like behavior and restores hippocampal GDNF expression to normal (Liu et al., 2012). The role of GDNF in the pathophysiology of MDD has also been investigated in human studies. For example, studies that examined the serum, plasma and mRNA GDNF levels in MDD patients reported a significant reduction compared to healthy controls (P. Y. Lin & Tseng, 2015). A recent meta-analysis that evaluated GDNF changes in patients with depression strengthened this hypothesis (P. Y. Lin & Tseng, 2015). Thus, there seems to be a general trend for reduction in GDNF levels in MDD patients. However, there are few studies that reported increase in GDNF levels in the specific brain regions of MDD patients (Michel et al., 2008). For example, one post-mortem study reported an increase in GDNF levels in the parietal cortex of the MDD patients (Michel et al., 2008). Such discrepancy may be attributed to relatively smalls groups of MDD patients (n = 7) and healthy controls (n = 14) selected for this study.

1.2. Insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1)

Insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) is an endogenous peptide mainly produced in the liver, but also expressed in the brain. A pioneer study by Bach and colleagues (1991) examined IGF-1 mRNA expression in the rat brain starting from embryonic day 16 to postnatal day 82 (Bach, Shen-Orr, Lowe, Roberts, & LeRoith, 1991). It suggested that IGF-1 mRNA expression is regulated by the pre- and post-natal developmental time, especially in brain regions such as olfactory bulb, cerebral cortex, and hypothalamus (Bach et al., 1991). In contrast, IGF-1 mRNA expression in the brainstem and cerebellum remained constant throughout the study duration. Multiple effects have been attributed to IGF-1 in terms of its...
role in neuronal signaling, neurotrophic mechanisms, and neuroprotection in pro-
neuroinflammatory conditions. These effects of IGF-1 are mediated by its binding to
tyrosine kinase receptor (IGF-IR), which is structurally similar to the insulin receptor
(Hoshaw et al., 2005; Szczesny et al., 2013). Due to its participation in neurogenesis, it has
been theorized that imbalances in IGF-1 activity might be associated with the development
of depression. For instance, clinical data suggests that peripheral IGF-1 levels are increased
in depressed patients (Szczesny et al., 2013).

Interestingly, pre-clinical studies with rodents have demonstrated that IGF-1 may have
antidepressant-like behavioral effects (Paslakis, Blum, & Deuschle, 2012; Szczesny et al.,
2013). Central and peripheral administration of IGF-1 to rodents was shown to exhibit
antidepressant-like effect (C. H. Duman et al., 2009; Hoshaw et al., 2005). In contrast to
that, mice lacking IGF-1 gene, selectively in the hippocampal neurons, exhibit depression-
like behavior (Michelson et al., 2000). Further, IGF-1 might have a significant role in the
pathogenesis of BD, since its gene is located on a BD-associated chromosomal region.
Additionally, its peripheral levels seem to be decreased in BD patients and up-regulated in
lithium responsive patients (Scola & Andreazza, 2015). It will be interesting to examine if
alterations in IGF-1 levels in BD patients are a universal phenomenon, or differ based on
patients’ manic, depressive or euthymic status at the time of blood withdrawal. This may
also help to understand why there is a reciprocal relationship between MDD (increased
IGF-1) and BD (decreased IGF-1) in terms of their systemic IGF-1 levels.

1.3. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)

VEGF is an angiogenic mitogen that belongs to the family of vasoactive growth factors. It
exerts its characteristic molecular actions through the binding and activation of tyrosine
kinase receptors present on endothelial cells. VEGF is classically associated with
angiogenesis and vasculogenesis stimulation (Duric & Duman, 2013). However, recent
evidence has indicated that it also affects neural cells and plays a significant role in
hippocampal neurogenesis and neuroprotection (Clark-Raymond et al., 2014; Duric &
Duman, 2013). Additionally, it is suggested to be involved in hippocampal processes, such
as memory and learning (Clark-Raymond et al., 2014). Further, the relationship between
stress-related conditions such as mood disorders and VEGF has been greatly explored over
the last years (Newton, Fournier, & Duman, 2013). The role of VEGF in neurogenesis
appears to be pivotal in the pathogenesis of MDD. Its signaling also seems to be
significantly modified by the action of antidepressant medications and electroconvulsive
therapy (ECT), which indicates that the regulation of VEGF mechanisms might be partially
responsible for the behavioral effects observed with these treatments (Nowacka &
Obuchowicz, 2012; Warner-Schmidt & Duman, 2008). In addition, cerebral endothelial
dysfunction, caused by cerebrovascular diseases has been associated with a higher incidence
of depression. Thus, VEGF could potentially be a molecular link between these conditions
(Nowacka & Obuchowicz, 2012; Warner-Schmidt & Duman, 2008). VEGF may also be
involved in the pathogenesis of BD as well. For instance, there is a phasic alteration in
VEGF levels in BD, being usually high in maniac and depressive stages. It has also been
noticed that lithium treatment apparently decreases VEGF expression in remissive patients
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This may hint towards the role of VEGF in pharmacological effects of mood stabilizers such as lithium.

Although, there are handful of studies, as listed above (under section 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3), proposing role of GDNF, IGF-1 and VEGF in mood disorders such as MDD and BD, there are no attempts till date to comprehensively review their role and their potential interplay in the pathophysiology of MDD and impact of pharmacological interventions on them in MDD patients. Intrigued with above-cited reports, the aim of the present review was to critically summarize evidence regarding changes in GDNF, IGF-1 and VEGF in depressed patients and how these neurotrophic factors might be affected by antidepressant medication. Our main focus was to assess available and relevant clinical studies on these topics, discussing their limitations and proposing directions for further research.

1.4. Review objectives

The neurotrophin hypothesis for MDD is based on the notion that aberrations in the neurogenetic mechanisms in selective brain regions, specifically those regulating memory and emotions, are responsible for MDD. Hitherto, the neurotrophin hypothesis for MDD is primarily based on studies implicating aberrations in BDNF signaling. However, there is a need to comprehensively review the role of other trophic factors such as GDNF, IGF-1 and VEGF in MDD. Thus, the present review was aimed to critically summarize evidence regarding changes in GDNF, IGF-1 and VEGF in individuals with MDD compared to healthy controls. In addition, we also evaluated the role of these mediators as potential treatment response biomarkers for MDD.

2. Methods

2.1. Search strategy

The PubMed database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/) from the National Library of Medicine (NLM) was searched through June 2nd, 2015. The Boolean terms that were used are: "Glial Cell Line-Derived Neurotrophic Factor" OR "Glial Cell Line-Derived Neurotrophic Factor Receptors" OR "Glial Cell Line-Derived Neurotrophic Factors" OR "Insulin-Like Growth Factor I" OR "Receptor, IGF Type 1" OR "Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor A" OR "Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor B" OR "Insulin-Like Growth Factor II" OR "Receptor, IGF Type 2" OR “GDNF” OR “VEGF” OR “IGF-1” OR “IGF-2” AND “Depression” OR “Depressive Disorder” OR “depression” OR “antidepressant”. A reference management software (EndNote X7 for Windows, Thomson Reuters 2013) was used for literature search and screening purposes. Only original, peer-reviewed English language articles were considered for inclusion in this review.

The PubMed search resulted in 566 studies, published from 1986 to June, 2015. The titles and abstracts of retrieved articles were screened in order to determine if they were potentially eligible for inclusion. We included studies that: (1) measured GDNF, IGF-1 or VEGF protein level in the blood, plasma, serum, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and brain homogenates as well as those that assessed mRNA expression and genotyping of these neurotrophins; and (2) had study participants diagnosed with depression. Studies that were
selected for inclusion employed clinical diagnosis of study participants through a validated structured diagnostic interview instrument according to Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM), or International Classification of Diseases (ICD) criteria. Pre-clinical studies, case reports, and interventional studies employing treatments other than antidepressants, and reviews were excluded.

440 articles were discarded since they did not meet inclusion criteria. The full texts of the remaining articles were retrieved and examined. From the 126 studies considered for inclusion, 83 were excluded because they were reviews (n=17), pre-clinical studies (n=45), or evaluated interventions other than antidepressants (n=21). Forty-three references were included in this review.

2.2. Data extraction
The data that were extracted from the primary studies and included in this review are: (1) country of study origin; (2) measurement types, including levels of neurotrophin in serum, plasma, whole blood, cerebrospinal fluid or brain homogenates, as well as mRNA expression and genotyping; (3) the population evaluated by the study; (4) sample size discriminated by the number of cases and controls, if any; (5) mean age of cases and controls, if any; (6) percentage of females in case and control groups, if any; (7) depression instrument used, if any; (8) depression severity, determined by the mean score obtained from depression instrument; (9) neurotrophin changes in cases compared to controls, if any; and (10) antidepressant use and its effect on neurotrophins, if any.

3. Results
The characteristics of all 43 studies included in this review are summarized in tables 1, 2 and 3.

3.1. GDNF and Major Depressive Disorder
Table 1 presents a summary of GDNF studies in patients with MDD. 9 articles (Diniz et al., 2012; Michel et al., 2008; Otsuki et al., 2008; Pallavi et al., 2013; van Varsseveld et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2008) published from 2006 to 2014, are listed. Three studies were performed in China (Wang et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2008) and two in Japan (Otsuki et al., 2008; Takebayashi et al., 2006). The last four were conducted in four different countries (Taiwan (Tseng, Lee, & Lin, 2013), India (Pallavi et al., 2013), Brazil (Diniz et al., 2012) and Germany (Michel et al., 2008). Five studies measured GDNF serum levels (Diniz et al., 2012; Pallavi et al., 2013; Tseng et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2008), one measured GDNF plasma levels (Wang et al., 2011), and one evaluated GDNF whole blood levels (Takebayashi et al., 2006). While a study by Otsuki and colleagues was the only study that measured mRNA expression (Otsuki et al., 2008), Michel and co-workers performed a post-mortem assessment of GDNF levels in homogenates of different regions of the brain (Michel et al., 2008). Regarding the patient population included, only two studies evaluated MDD and BD patients simultaneously (Otsuki et al., 2008; Takebayashi et al., 2006). In contrast to that, the remaining articles were restricted to studies on depressed patients. Most populations
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evaluated in these studies were either middle aged (Otsuki et al., 2008; Tseng et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2008), or elderly (Diniz et al., 2012; Michel et al., 2008; Takebayashi et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2011). Only one study assessed patients with mean age ≤ 40 years (Pallavi et al., 2013). With the exception of studies by Zhang and co-workers (Zhang et al., 2014) and Pallavi and co-workers (Pallavi et al., 2013), in all studies females comprised more than 50% of cases, and the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS) was the preferred depression instrument.

In six different studies, GDNF levels were found to be decreased in depressed patients compared to controls (Diniz et al., 2012; Pallavi et al., 2013; Takebayashi et al., 2006; Tseng et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2008). Additionally, Otsuki and colleagues showed that GDNF mRNA expression was decreased in depressed patients (Otsuki et al., 2008). Only two studies demonstrated opposite results (Michel et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2011). Wang and colleagues found increased GDNF plasma levels in cases versus controls (Wang et al., 2011), while Michel and co-workers reported increased GDNF levels in the parietal cortex homogenates of deceased depressed patients compared to post-mortem controls (Michel et al., 2008).

At the time of evaluation, most patients were on antidepressant treatment. In addition, Zhang and co-workers found that the use of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI) or serotonin–norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRI) was associated with a statistically significant increase in GDNF serum levels (Zhang et al., 2008).

### 3.2. IGF-1 and Major Depressive Disorder (MDD)

Table 2 presents a summary of studies that examined IGF-1 in MDD patients. Eleven articles, published from 1988 to 2015 were included (Deuschle et al., 1997; Emeny et al., 2014; Franz et al., 1999; Kopczak et al., 2015; Lesch, Rupprecht, Muller, Pfuller, & Beckmann, 1988; F. Lin, Suhr, Diebold, & Heffner, 2014; Michelson et al., 2000; Rueda Alfaro et al., 2008; Sievers et al., 2014; van Varsseveld et al., 2015; Weber-Hamann et al., 2009). Six studies were conducted in Germany (Deuschle et al., 1997; Emeny et al., 2014; Kopczak et al., 2015; Lesch et al., 1988; Sievers et al., 2014; Weber-Hamann et al., 2009), and three in the US (Franz et al., 1999; F. Lin et al., 2014; Michelson et al., 2000). The remaining two studies were performed in the Netherlands (van Varsseveld et al., 2015) and Spain (Rueda Alfaro et al., 2008). While six studies measured IGF-1 serum levels (Emeny et al., 2014; Franz et al., 1999; Kopczak et al., 2015; Rueda Alfaro et al., 2008; Sievers et al., 2014; van Varsseveld et al., 2015), five assessed IGF-1 plasma levels (Deuschle et al., 1997; Lesch et al., 1988; F. Lin et al., 2014; Michelson et al., 2000; Rueda Alfaro et al., 2008). In six studies, the study population consisted exclusively of MDD patients (Deuschle et al., 1997; Kopczak et al., 2015; Michelson et al., 2000; van Varsseveld et al., 2015; Weber-Hamann et al., 2009). Additionally, one study evaluated MDD and BD populations at the same time (Lesch et al., 1988)\(^{37}\). Two studies included large cohorts of patients evaluated for depressive symptoms (Emeny et al., 2014; Sievers et al., 2014); and two studies assessed depression in individuals at certain age ranges. Only middle aged (Deuschle et al., 1997; Franz et al., 1999; Kopczak et al., 2015; Lesch et al., 1988; Michelson et al., 2000; Sievers et al., 2014; Weber-Hamann et al., 2009) and elderly (Emeny et al., 2014; F. Lin et al., 2014;
Rueda Alfaro et al., 2008; van Varsseveld et al., 2015) populations were evaluated in these articles and females comprised more than 50% of cases in most of these studies. Regarding depression instruments, HDRS was used in six studies (Deuschle et al., 1997; Franz et al., 1999; Kopczak et al., 2015; Lesch et al., 1988; Michelson et al., 2000; Weber-Hamann et al., 2009) and the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) was used in three of them (Emeny et al., 2014; F. Lin et al., 2014; Rueda Alfaro et al., 2008). Different depression instruments were utilized in the remaining articles (Sievers et al., 2014; van Varsseveld et al., 2015).

IGF-1 levels were found to be increased in depressed patients compared to controls in four studies (Deuschle et al., 1997; Franz et al., 1999; Kopczak et al., 2015; Lesch et al., 1988). Two of the evaluated plasma samples (Deuschle et al., 1997; Franz et al., 1999), and the other two assessed serum specimen (Franz et al., 1999; Kopczak et al., 2015). Van Varsseveld and co-workers demonstrated a decrease in the probability of depression among females with low IGF-1 levels after a 3 year-follow-up (van Varsseveld et al., 2015). In contrast, Sievers and colleagues described an increase in the odds of depression among females with low IGF-1 levels (Sievers et al., 2014). In males, an increase in the probability of depression was observed among those with high IGF-1 levels (F. Lin et al., 2014). Further, Lin and co-workers reported a strong association between depression and cognition in patients with high IGF-1 levels. Moreover, one study found a positive association between depression and IGF-1 levels in females (Emeny et al., 2014). And, finally another study reported that, after adjustment for depression, among females IGF-1 was positively associated with cognition. (Rueda Alfaro et al., 2008).

Patients from six of these studies were using antidepressants at the time of evaluation (Deuschle et al., 1997; Kopczak et al., 2015; F. Lin et al., 2014; Michelson et al., 2000; van Varsseveld et al., 2015; Weber-Hamann et al., 2009). Weber-Hamann and colleagues demonstrated that the use of antidepressants: amitriptyline and paroxetine was associated with a significant decrease in IGF-1 plasma levels (Weber-Hamann et al., 2009). Further, Michelson and colleagues found that the placebo substitution of paroxetine resulted in a significant increase in IGF-1 plasma levels (Michelson et al., 2000). In addition, Deuschle and co-workers reported a significant decrease in IGF-1 plasma levels in patients responding to antidepressant therapy (Deuschle et al., 1997).

3.3. VEGF and Major Depressive Disorder

Twenty-three articles published between 2007 and 2014 that studied VEGF in MDD patients are summarized in Table-3 (Arnold et al., 2012; Berent, Macander, Szemraj, Orzechowska, & Galecki, 2014; L. A. Carvalho et al., 2014; L. A. Carvalho et al., 2013; Clark-Raymond et al., 2014; Dome et al., 2012; Dome et al., 2009; Elfving et al., 2014; Fornaro et al., 2013; Galecki, Galecka, et al., 2013; Galecki, Orzechowska, et al., 2013; Halmi et al., 2013; Iga et al., 2007; Isung, Aeinehband, et al., 2012; Isung, Mobarrez, Nordstrom, Asberg, & Jokinen, 2012; Kahl et al., 2009; Kotan, Sarandol, Kirhan, Ozkaya, & Kirli, 2012; B. H. Lee & Kim, 2012; Shibata et al., 2013; Takebayashi, Hashimoto, Hisaoka, Tsuchioka, & Kunugi, 2010; Tsai et al., 2009; Ventriglia et al., 2009; Viikki et al., 2010). Researchers from Japan (Iga et al., 2007; Shibata et al., 2013; Takebayashi et al., 2010), Poland (Berent et al., 2014; Galecki, Galecka, et al., 2013; Galecki, Orzechowska, et al., 2013) and Hungary (Dome et
Aeinehband, et al., 2012) researchers conducted two studies each. Moreover, Denmark (Elfving et al., 2014), the Netherlands (L. A. Carvalho et al., 2014), the United Kingdom (UK) (L. A. Carvalho et al., 2013), Korea (B. H. Lee & Kim, 2012), Turkey (Kotan et al., 2012), Finland (Viikki et al., 2010), Taiwan (Tsai et al., 2009) and Germany (Kahl et al., 2009) scientists contributed to one of these studies each. Nine studies measured VEGF plasma levels (Arnold et al., 2012; Clark-Raymond et al., 2014; Dome et al., 2012; Dome et al., 2009; Fornaro et al., 2013; Halmai et al., 2013; Isung, Aeinehband, et al., 2012; B. H. Lee & Kim, 2012; Takebayashi et al., 2010); and eight assessed its serum levels (Berent et al., 2014; A. F. Carvalho et al., 2015; L. A. Carvalho et al., 2014; Elfving et al., 2014; Galecki, Galecka, et al., 2013; Kahl et al., 2009; Kotan et al., 2012; Ventriglia et al., 2009). Additionally, five studies evaluated mRNA expression (Berent et al., 2014; Galecki, Galecka, et al., 2013; Galecki, Orzechowska, et al., 2013; Iga et al., 2007; Shibata et al., 2013), and six assessed genotyping (Elfving et al., 2014; Galecki, Galecka, et al., 2013; Galecki, Orzechowska, et al., 2013; Iga et al., 2007; Tsai et al., 2009; Viikki et al., 2010). Only one study measured VEGF levels in the CSF (Isung, Mobarrez, et al., 2012). Sixteen studies exclusively evaluated MDD patients (Berent et al., 2014; L. A. Carvalho et al., 2014; L. A. Carvalho et al., 2013; Clark-Raymond et al., 2014; Dome et al., 2012; Dome et al., 2009; Elfving et al., 2014; Fornaro et al., 2013; Galecki, Galecka, et al., 2013; Galecki, Orzechowska, et al., 2013; Iga et al., 2007; Kotan et al., 2012; Takebayashi et al., 2010; Tsai et al., 2009; Ventriglia et al., 2009; Viikki et al., 2010), and four assessed MDD and BD populations simultaneously (Halmai et al., 2013; Kahl et al., 2009; B. H. Lee & Kim, 2012; Shibata et al., 2013). Two articles studied populations of suicide attempters (Isung, Aeinehband, et al., 2012; Isung, Mobarrez, et al., 2012), and one included a large cohort of depression patients (Arnold et al., 2012). Elderly populations were majorly studied in these articles, and females were more than 50% of cases in all except three studies (Berent et al., 2014; Takebayashi et al., 2010; Viikki et al., 2010). HDRS was the most commonly used depression instruments.

VEGF levels were increased in the plasma or serum of depressed patients versus controls in eight studies (Berent et al., 2014; L. A. Carvalho et al., 2014; Clark-Raymond et al., 2014; Elfving et al., 2014; Galecki, Galecka, et al., 2013; Kahl et al., 2009; B. H. Lee & Kim, 2012; Takebayashi et al., 2010). On the other hand, two studies (L. A. Carvalho et al., 2013; Dome et al., 2009) demonstrated decreased plasma or serum VEGF levels in depressed patients compared to healthy individuals. No significant difference in VEGF levels between cases and controls were reported in three studies (Fornaro et al., 2013; Kotan et al., 2012; Ventriglia et al., 2009). Additionally, four articles (Berent et al., 2014; Galecki, Galecka, et al., 2013; Halmai et al., 2013; Iga et al., 2007) found increased mRNA expression levels in MDD patients in comparison with controls, Halmai et al described increased plasma VEGF levels in depressed patients that did not respond to antidepressant therapy versus those that responded (Halmai et al., 2013). Moreover, Arnold et al reported a statically significant association between depressive symptoms and VEGF plasma levels(Arnold et al., 2012).

Among suicidal individuals, VEGF was found to be decreased in the plasma of victims (Isung, Mobarrez, et al., 2012) and in the CSF of attempters (Isung, Aeinehband, et al., 2012;
Regarding VEGF polymorphisms, Elfving and colleagues pointed out that the VEGF 1612G/A (rs10434) was significantly associated with depression (Elfving et al., 2014). On the other hand Galecki and Galecka reported that VEGF 405G/C was increased in depressed patients compared to controls (Galecki, Galecka, et al., 2013). Moreover, Viikki and colleagues suggested that VEGF 2578 C/A was associated with treatment resistant MDD (Viikki et al., 2010). In contrast, some studies suggested that VEGF genetic variations were not associated with depression or antidepressant therapeutic effect (Iga et al., 2007).

Patients from thirteen of these studies were being treated with antidepressants at the time of evaluation (Berent et al., 2014; Dome et al., 2012; Dome et al., 2009; Elfving et al., 2014; Fornaro et al., 2013; Halmai et al., 2013; Iga et al., 2007; Isung, Mobarrez, et al., 2012; Takebayashi et al., 2010; Tsai et al., 2009; Ventriglia et al., 2009; Viikki et al., 2010). Fornaro and colleagues found that VEGF plasma levels increased after six weeks of duloxetine treatment among respondent patients (Fornaro et al., 2013). Besides, VEGF levels were decreased after twelve weeks of therapy in patients that did not clinically respond to treatment. Dome and colleagues also reported an increase in VEGF plasma levels after antidepressant use, but it was not statistically significant (Dome et al., 2012).

4. Discussion

The principal findings of the present review are: (i) when compared with healthy individuals, MDD patients experience differential alterations in their systemic neurotrophins levels and their mRNA expression; and (ii) the balance of such neurotrophin alterations in MDD patients is tilted in such a manner that there is a significant decrease in GDNF and IGF-1 levels as well as increase in VEGF levels. These findings supports the neurotrophic hypothesis of depression - a novel and increasingly important theory that aims to expand current understanding pertaining to underlying mechanisms for MDD pathophysiology. Since half of the twentieth century, dysregulations in classic monoaminergic signaling were considered to be major pathophysiologic mechanisms for depression. All currently prescribed antidepressant medications are thought to modulate monoamine metabolism in order to promote positive behavioral outcomes (Walker, 2013). However, these medications come with a price-tag such as treatment refractoriness and myriad of undesired effects. These limitations put a question-mark on whether the monoamine theory provides a complete neurobiological explanation of depression (Hoshaw et al., 2005; Paslakis et al., 2012; Walker, 2013). Thus, alternative hypotheses such as neurotrophic changes in MDD patients are gradually been conceptualized as a viable potential mechanism for the pharmacological management of depression (Hoshaw et al., 2005; Paslakis et al., 2012). The findings based on studies summarized in this review corroborate with this hypothesis. It also helps to shed some light on how antidepressant drugs may possibly exert their beneficial effects by their significant influence on distinct neurotrophins.

4.1. Lower GDNF levels in Major Depressive Disorder

The vast majority of studies assessing GDNF alterations in MDD found that serum, plasma and mRNA expression levels were decreased in these patients when compared with healthy controls. These findings also corroborated with a recent meta-analysis that evaluated GDNF
changes in patients with depression, including those with concomitant bipolar disease (P. Y. Lin & Tseng, 2015). However, there are some conflicting reports as well regarding GDNF changes among patients with MDD and BD (P. Y. Lin & Tseng, 2015). For example, one study reported that the serum levels of this neurotrophin were significantly increased in depressed bipolar patients (Rosa et al., 2006). While another study reported exact opposite outcomes (Zhang et al., 2010). Despite the similarities, discrepancies in the results were also observed. The lack of consistency in the data might be because of different sample sources, diagnostic tools, age and gender distributions between studies as well as the presence of confounding organic or mental disorders (P. Y. Lin & Tseng, 2015). For instance, two studies reported increased GDNF levels in MDD patients compared to controls (Michel et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2011). Interestingly, these were the only studies measuring GDNF in the plasma and the brain of MDD patients. In contrast, rest of the studies assessed either serum or whole blood samples. There seems to be a general trend of reduction in GDNF levels in MDD patients. This argument is based on the fact that data presented in this review is extracted from diverse and heterogeneous groups of MDD patients, from different parts of the world, with differences in age ranges, rates of MDD recurrence, and severity. Moreover, the source and methods for measuring GDNF were very distinct. Thus, variables such as geographical location, age, severity and GDNF assay methods did not affect the MDD associated downhill trend in GDNF levels.

4.2. IGF-1 in Major Depressive Disorder: inconsistent findings

In contrast to GDNF, there were mixed findings with IGF-1 levels in MDD patients when compared with healthy controls. However, majority of studies reported elevated levels of IGF-1 in the MDD patients (Deuschle et al., 1997; Franz et al., 1999; Kopczak et al., 2015; Lesch et al., 1988). Gender-specific relationships between IGF-1 levels and MDD were also described by some studies (Emeny et al., 2014; Rueda Alfaro et al., 2008; van Varsseveld et al., 2015). An exact explanation for these differences among males and females is still unavailable; however, it has been hypothesized that it may be due to sex hormone variations across genders as well as GH- and IGF-1-binding protein level fluctuations (Sievers et al., 2014; van Varsseveld et al., 2015). Van Varsseveld and colleagues described conflicting cross-sectional and longitudinal findings regarding IGF-1 levels and depression (van Varsseveld et al., 2015). While a 3-year follow-up of the cohort found that mild IGF-1 concentrations decreased the probability of minor depression in females, a baseline evaluation of the same group of patients showed that low levels of this molecule may increase the probability of MDD. A baseline assessment of IGF-1 in a West Pomerania cohort also demonstrated that low IGF-1 concentrations increased the odds of depression disorder among females (Sievers et al., 2014). These findings suggest that IGF-1 may have a more acute role in depression, which fades over time (van Varsseveld et al., 2015). The main limitation regarding the compilation of these studies is heterogeneity. All cohorts included in the review have a relatively low prevalence of depressive disorders and depression was screened and diagnosed with the assistance of multiple instruments. Additionally, there were significant differences in the source and techniques for measuring IGF-1, age of population, depression severity and antidepressant use.
4.3. Elevated VEGF levels in Major Depressive Disorder

The majority of the VEGF studies included in this review reported increased serum, plasma and mRNA expression levels in MDD individuals compared to controls. A recent meta-analysis study that focused on clinical studies that assessed changes in VEGF peripheral (plasma, serum, or whole blood) concentrations in MDD patients reported similar conclusions (A. F. Carvalho et al., 2015). Previous non-meta-analytical reviews that aimed to investigate the role of VEGF in depression also described such trend in VEGF level among depressed individuals (Clark-Raymond & Halaris, 2013; Fournier & Duman, 2012). Two studies that explored VEGF alterations in suicide attempters reported decreased concentrations of this molecule among victims (Isung, Mobarrez, et al., 2012) and attempters (Isung, Aeinehband, et al., 2012). However, role of peripheral or central VEGF levels in suicide risk is unclear (Isung, Mobarrez, et al., 2012; Ventriglia et al., 2009).

Regarding VEGF polymorphisms, there were quite a few observations. The first one reported contrasting data about the association between VEGF 2578 C/A polymorphism and depression. While Iga co-workers did not find any significant correlation (Iga et al., 2007), Viikki and colleagues reported that this polymorphism was associated with treatment-resistant depression (Viikki et al., 2010). Correlations between depression and other polymorphisms were also described by Elfving and colleagues (Elfving et al., 2014) and Galecki and Galecka (Galecki, Galecka, et al., 2013). Finally, the pool of VEGF studies was extremely diverse and any inference from the combination of data from them might linger with certain limitations.

4.4. Impact of antidepressant treatment on GDNF, IGF-1 and VEGF in MDD patients

Another confounding factor that worth to mention is, use of antidepressants by patients at the time of evaluation in most studies. However, not all studies investigated their effects on neurotrophins. Previous reports suggest a significant association between SSRI and SNRI use with an increase in GDNF level (Zhang et al., 2008). Moreover, amitriptyline and paroxetine use was correlated with a decrease in IGF-1 plasma levels (Weber-Hamann et al., 2009). On the contrary, paroxetine substitution for placebo was shown to increase IGF-1 level (Michelson et al., 2000). In addition, duloxetine use among patients that responded to a 6-week course of this medication was associated with an increase in VEGF plasma level (Fornaro et al., 2013). This finding is of particular interest since the same study demonstrated that VEGF levels were not increased at baseline, contrasting with the majority of the literature in the present review. In addition to the classic regulation of monoaminergic activity, modulation of VEGF metabolism has been theorized to be instrumental in the mechanism of action of many antidepressant medications (Nowacka & Obuchowicz, 2012; Paslakis et al., 2012; Warner-Schmidt & Duman, 2008). An antidepressant effect of VEGF per se has also been described, which also corroborate with the hypothesis that VEGF might enhance neurogenesis (Nowacka & Obuchowicz, 2012; Warner-Schmidt & Duman, 2008). A better understanding of how antidepressant therapies modify GDNF, IGF-1 and VEGF metabolisms would permit the development of novel molecules targeting these neurotrophins, expanding the relatively limited current therapeutic arsenal against depression and other mood disorders (Hoshaw et al., 2005; Nowacka & Obuchowicz, 2012; Scola & Andreazza, 2015; Walker, 2013; Warner-Schmidt & Duman, 2008).
4.5. Limitations

Our review was restricted to peer-reviewed journals published in English. Herein we aimed to provide a comprehensive review of the field. Therefore, we included genetic studies as well as studies in which GDNF, VEGF and IGF-1 were measured in different body compartments. In addition, most studies so far have assayed these trophic mediators in the periphery. Notwithstanding, the “periphery as a window to the brain” model has provided valuable mechanistic insights in several psychiatric disorders, including MDD. Clearly, the extent to which peripheral findings reflect signaling mechanisms in the CNS remains to be established. To the best of our understanding, there are no studies that simultaneously examined peripheral and CNS levels of these trophic factors. Future studies that may examine peripheral and CNS levels of these trophic factors in treatment naïve MDD patients, MDD patients on antidepressant medications, and healthy controls may help to further substantiate their crucial role in MDD.

5. Conclusion

Based on extant data, we found that MDD patients have low GDNF and simultaneously high VEGF levels, while role of IGF-1 is still ambiguous (Figure 1; Table 1–3). The typical approach to the diagnosis and management of major depression has always been clinical, based on subjective findings and complaints. However, these practices are often associated with a great variability and imprecision, especially when compared to standardized assessments. The development of clinically useful biomarkers for MDD could significantly improve this scenario, allowing the identification of target populations, increasing diagnostic precision and refining therapeutic strategies (Scarr et al., 2015). Currently, there is only one commercial biological test available for clinical use, the MDDScore, which measures 9-biomarkers (alpha1 antitrypsin, apolipoprotein CIII, brain-derived neurotrophic factor, cortisol, epidermal growth factor, myeloperoxidase, prolactin, resistin and soluble tumor necrosis factor alpha receptor type II) associated with neurotrophic, metabolic, inflammatory, and HPA axis pathways (Scarr et al., 2015). It has been questioned whether GDNF, IGF-1 and VEGF could be potentially used for this purpose as well (A. F. Carvalho et al., 2015; Clark-Raymond & Halaris, 2013; P. Y. Lin & Tseng, 2015; Szczesny et al., 2013). Despite significant data supporting that these molecules might have an important role in the pathophysiology of MDD, it is still unclear if they would be clinically useful biomarkers for depression (A. F. Carvalho et al., 2015). Thus, large scale studies examining role of these trophic factors in MDD are warranted. Such studies may help to improve our understanding about their potential as biomarkers for depression.

Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>Major Depressive Disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YLD</td>
<td>Years Lost due to Disability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDNF</td>
<td>Brain derived neurotrophic factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDNF</td>
<td>Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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VEGF  Vascular endothelial growth factor
IGF-1  Insulin-like growth factor-1
BD    Bipolar Disorder
TGF-β Transforming growth factor-β
GFR α1 GDNF-family receptors α1
IGF-IR Tyrosine kinase receptor
ECS   Electroconvulsive therapy
CSF   Cerebrospinal fluid
DSM   Diagnostic and Statistical Manual
ICD   International Classification of Diseases
SSRI  Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors
SNRI  Serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors
HDRS  Hamilton Depression Rating Scale
USA   United States of America
GDS   Geriatric Depression Scale
GH    Growth hormone
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Highlights

- In general, MDD patients had low GDNF mRNA and protein levels.
- There is an ambiguity about the role of IGF-1 in MDD.
- Studies also suggest high VEGF levels in MDD patients.
Figure 1.
Hypothesized mechanism
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Patient population</th>
<th>Sample size (case/control)</th>
<th>Mean age (case/control)</th>
<th>% Female (case/control)</th>
<th>Depression instrument</th>
<th>Depression severity (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>GDNF in depressed vs. controls</th>
<th>Antidepressant use and its effect in GDNF, if any</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al., 2014</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>32/32</td>
<td>46.2 ± 16.0/40.3±10.8</td>
<td>46.9/56.2</td>
<td>HDRS</td>
<td>25.4 ±6.2</td>
<td>↓ (p &lt; 0.001)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tseng et al., 2013</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>55 (29 severe, 26 remitted:30/35)</td>
<td>Severe: 45.8±11.6, Remitted:46.4±14.0/4.8±10.7</td>
<td>Severe: 62.1, Remitted:53.8/57.1</td>
<td>HDRS</td>
<td>Severe:25.2±4.9/Remitted:30±2.2</td>
<td>↓ (p &lt; 0.001)</td>
<td>Yes, Imipramine equivalent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pallavi et al., 2013</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>Adolescent MDD</td>
<td>84/64</td>
<td>15.5±1.8/15.4±1.7</td>
<td>33.3/54.6</td>
<td>HDRS</td>
<td>30(10,60)^*</td>
<td>↓ (p &lt; 0.001)</td>
<td>Yes, SSRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diniz et al., 2012</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>Late-life MDD</td>
<td>34/37</td>
<td>69.7±4.58±7.8 ±5.4</td>
<td>73.5/78.3</td>
<td>HDRS</td>
<td>19.1 ±6.8</td>
<td>↓ (p &lt; 0.001)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al., 2011</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>Late-life MDD</td>
<td>27/28</td>
<td>67.8±5.63/65.3±8.0/7</td>
<td>59.2/57.1</td>
<td>HDRS</td>
<td>31.3±4.58</td>
<td>↑ (p &lt; 0.05)</td>
<td>Yes, not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al., 2008</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>76/50</td>
<td>45.1±14.7/43.4±13.4</td>
<td>55.3/56.0</td>
<td>HDRS</td>
<td>28.6±7.9</td>
<td>↓ (p &lt; 0.001)</td>
<td>Yes, SSRI/SNRI, ↑ in GDNF (p&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otsuki et al., 2008</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>mRNA</td>
<td>MDD, BD</td>
<td>60 MDD (40 remissive), 42 BD(13 depressive)^**</td>
<td>MDD: 52.3 ±3.5, Depressive BD: 55.5 ±3.5^**</td>
<td>MDD: 50, Depressive BD: 84.6^**</td>
<td>HDRS</td>
<td>MDD:25.9±13/BD depressive:24.6±1.1</td>
<td>↓ expression (p&lt;0.01)^**F</td>
<td>Yes, not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michel et al., 2008</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Brain homogenates</td>
<td>Recurrent MDD (Post-Mortem)</td>
<td>7/14</td>
<td>85.7±4.79/79.60±7.7 4 (Age of death)</td>
<td>71.4±42.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>↑ in parietal cortex (p = 0.0262)</td>
<td>Yes, SSRI/TCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takebayashi et al., 2006</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Whole blood</td>
<td>MDD, BD</td>
<td>56(39 MDD, 17 BD)/56</td>
<td>MDD:60.0±11.0, BD:56.9±11.2/47.5±49.4</td>
<td>MDD:66.6, BD:76.4±69.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>↓ (p = 0.0003)</td>
<td>Yes, not specified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^*Median (Range). ^**No control group.

MDD patients in current depressive state vs. those in remissive state.

Table 2

Summary of IGF-1 clinical studies in major depressive disorder (MDD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Patient population</th>
<th>Sample size (case/control)</th>
<th>Mean age (case/control)</th>
<th>% Female (case/control)</th>
<th>Depression instrument</th>
<th>Depression severity (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>IGF-1 in depressed vs. controls</th>
<th>Antidepressant use and its effect in IGF-1, if any</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>van Varseveld et al., 2015</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>Late-life MDD</td>
<td>1188 (Minor DD: 161/MDD:32; No DD:995)**</td>
<td>75.4 ±6.5**</td>
<td>50.33**</td>
<td>CBS-D</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Females ↓ FW: ↓ DD probability in IGF-1 (OR=0.43)**</td>
<td>Yes, not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kopczak et al., 2015</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>78/92</td>
<td>48.64±13.88/48.13±13.70</td>
<td>44.87±45.65</td>
<td>HDRS</td>
<td>26.37±6.73</td>
<td>↑ (p = 3.29E-04)</td>
<td>Yes, not specified. IGF-1 still increased after 6 wk Rx (p=0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sievers et al., 2014</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>West Pomerania Cohort *</td>
<td>4079 (1246 had depressive symptoms)**</td>
<td>50.0 ±16.4**</td>
<td>51**</td>
<td>WHO WMH-CIDI</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Females: ↑ DD probability in ↓ IGF-1 (OR=2.39). Males: ↑ DD probability in ↑ IGF-1 (OR=3.03)**</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin et al., 2014</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>Adults age ≥50</td>
<td>94**</td>
<td>60.68 ±8.42**</td>
<td>58.5**</td>
<td>GDS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Females: IGF-1 positively associated with depression (p = 0.045)**</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emeny et al., 2014</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>KORA-Age study cohort *</td>
<td>985 (144 had depressive symptoms)**</td>
<td>Men:75.4 (74.9-76.0), Women:75.7 (75.1-76.3) 50**</td>
<td>50**</td>
<td>GDS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Females: IGF-1 positively associated with depression (p = 0.045)**</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weber-Hamann et al., 2009</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>77 (34 on Amitriptyline, 43 on Paroxetine)**</td>
<td>Amitriptyline (R:51 ± 17, NR:46±16), Paroxetine (R:58 ±169, NR:57±14)**</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>HDRS</td>
<td>Amitriptyline (R:23.9±5.2, NR:22.1±3.9), Paroxetine (R:23.0±3.2, NR:23.7±3.5)</td>
<td>Yes, Amitriptyline and Paroxetine, ↓UGF-1 in R (p&lt;0.02)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Measurement</td>
<td>Patient population</td>
<td>Sample size (case/control)</td>
<td>Mean age (case/control)</td>
<td>% Female (case/control)</td>
<td>Depression instrument</td>
<td>Depression severity (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td>IGF-1 in depressed vs. controls</td>
<td>Antidepressant use and its effect in IGF-1, if any</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rueda Alfaro et al., 2008</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>Adults age &gt;70 **</td>
<td>313 (100 had depressive symptoms **)</td>
<td>Men: 76.7 ± 5.4, Women: 77.3 ± 6.4 **</td>
<td>51.11 **</td>
<td>GDS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Females: IGF-1 positively associated with cognition, after adjustment for depression (p = 0.04) **</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michelson et al., 2000</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>107 (37 on Fluoxetine, 34 on Sertraline-36 on Paroxetine **)</td>
<td>Fluoxetine: 40.0 ± 11.4, Sertraline: 38.7 ± 14.5, Paroxetine: 39.9 ± 1.1 **</td>
<td>Fluoxetine: 75.7, Sertraline: 76.5, Paroxetine: 81.1 **</td>
<td>HDRS</td>
<td>Fluoxetine: 4.8 ± 2.4, Sertraline: 4.7 ± 2.3, Paroxetine: 4.9 ± 2.8</td>
<td>Yes, Fluoxetine, Sertraline, Placebo substitution of Paroxetine resulted in ↑ IGF-1 (p = 0.007)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Franz et al., 1999         | USA          | Serum       | MDD                | 19/16                     | 34.7 ± 8.8/36.1 ± 6.6     | 100/100                | HDRS                 | 18.8 ± 3.9  
(p = 0.07)                         | No                              |
| Deuschle et al., 1997      | Germany      | Plasma      | MDD                | 24/33                     | Male: 46 ± 16, Female: 48 ± 18/Male: 53 ± 18, Female: 25 ± 2 | 45.83/33.33           | HDRS                 | Young: 31.6 ± 5.0, Old: 31.9 ± 6.7  
(p < 0.01) | Yes, Fluoxetine, Amitriptyline, Doxepin. IGF-1 decreased in R (p < 0.04) | No                              |
| Lesch et al., 1988         | Germany      | Plasma      | MDD/BD             | 34 (6 patients also had BD)/34 | 48.2 ± 12.2/44.7 ± 11.9 | 67.64 ± 6.64          | HDRS                 | 26.95 ± 5.4  
(p < 0.001)             | No                              |

*Evaluated for depressive symptoms.

**No control group.

$Median (Range)$

BD: Bipolar disorder, DD: Depression disorder, R: Responders, NR: Non-responders, CES-D: Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale, HDRS: Hamilton Depression Rating Scale, WHO WMH-CIDI: Composite International Diagnostic Interview, GDS: Geriatric Depression Scale, FW: Follow-up, DD, OR: Odds ratio, Rx: Treatment.
Summary of VEGF clinical studies in major depressive disorder (MDD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (Year)</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Case/Control</th>
<th>Control Instrument</th>
<th>Severity (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Effect in VEGF, if any</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elfving et al., 2014</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Serum and Genotyping</td>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>155/280</td>
<td>46.8 ± 9.5/45.8±10.4</td>
<td>84/80</td>
<td>WHO SCAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark-Raymond et al., 2014</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>66/21</td>
<td>41.3±12.2/38.9±11.8</td>
<td>63.6±66.7</td>
<td>HDRS, BDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carvalho et al., 2014</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>47/42</td>
<td>54 (32–82)/49 (31–74)</td>
<td>57/50</td>
<td>HDRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berent et al., 2014</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>Serum and mRNA</td>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>38/38</td>
<td>51.29 ±11.55/33.11 ±9.51</td>
<td>47.37/65.79</td>
<td>HDRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shibata et al., 2013</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>mRNA</td>
<td>MDD, BD</td>
<td>59 MDD (39 remissive), 44 BD (12 depressive)/28 MDD:52.3±3.6 depressive, Depressive BD:54.8±3/50±1.8 MDD:57.62 BD:79.54/46.42</td>
<td>MDD:25.9±1.9, Depressive BD:24.5±1.2</td>
<td>HDRS</td>
<td>↑ mRNA expression (p&lt;0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halmai et al., 2013</td>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>MDD, BD</td>
<td>34(21 MDD, 13 BD) R:46±12.5, NR:41±12.9</td>
<td>R: 69.56, NR:81.81</td>
<td>MADRS</td>
<td>R:35±1.5, NR:36.0±1.7 (Before Rx)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galecki and Orzechowska et al., 2013</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>mRNA and Genotyping</td>
<td>Recurrent MDD</td>
<td>268/200</td>
<td>45.5±9.98/37.1±7.84</td>
<td>56.7±60.5</td>
<td>HDRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galecki and Galecka et al., 2013</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>Serum, mRNA, Genotyping</td>
<td>Recurrent MDD</td>
<td>268/200</td>
<td>45.5 ± 9.98/37.1 ± 7.84</td>
<td>56.7/60.5</td>
<td>HDRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fornaro et al., 2013</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>30/32</td>
<td>48.27±9.674/45.23±11.623</td>
<td>80/75</td>
<td>HDRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Instrument</td>
<td>Severity</td>
<td>Depressed vs. Controls</td>
<td>Effect in VEGF, if Any</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carvalho et al., 2013</td>
<td>UK Serum MDD</td>
<td>19 (R:6, NR:14)/21</td>
<td>R:47.2±3.0, NR:50±3.6/45.9±2.4</td>
<td>73.68 (R:50, NR:78.57)/71.42</td>
<td>HDRS, BDI</td>
<td>HDRS: R:21±1.9, NR:21.7±2.1/BDI: R:32.7±3.7, NR:37.6±3.6</td>
<td>↓ (p=0.047)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al., 2012</td>
<td>Korea Plasma MDD, BD</td>
<td>35 MDD, 35 BD/80</td>
<td>MDD: 29.8±7.1, BD: 33.7±7.4/33.0±7.0</td>
<td>MDD: 65.71, BD: 62.85/55</td>
<td>HDRS</td>
<td>22.1±6.7</td>
<td>† (p=0.023)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isung and Mobarrez et al., 2012</td>
<td>Turkey Serum MDD</td>
<td>40/40</td>
<td>35±8/34±8</td>
<td>80/80</td>
<td>HDRS</td>
<td>31.1±3.2</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isung and Aeinehband et al., 2012</td>
<td>Sweden Plasma Suicide attempters</td>
<td>58**</td>
<td>Men: 39±12.7/Women: 36±12**</td>
<td>60.34**</td>
<td>MADRS</td>
<td>65.1±12 **</td>
<td>↓ among attempters (p=0.033)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dome et al., 2012</td>
<td>Hungary Plasma MDD</td>
<td>24**</td>
<td>42.7±12.1**</td>
<td>79.16**</td>
<td>MADRS</td>
<td>35.4±7.2 (Before Rx)</td>
<td>↓ among attempters (p=0.004)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arnold et al., 2012</td>
<td>USA Plasma ADNI cohort*</td>
<td>566 (165 had depressive symptoms) **</td>
<td>74.8±7.5**</td>
<td>62**</td>
<td>6DS</td>
<td>1.0±1.2 (All cohort)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viikki et al., 2010</td>
<td>Finland Genotyping Rx resistant MDD</td>
<td>217 (ECT Rx: 119, SSRI Rx: 98)/934</td>
<td>ECT Rx: 57.7±14.0, SSRI Rx: 40.7±13.9/44.4±11.1</td>
<td>43.31 (ECT Rx: 45.4, SSRI Rx: 40.8)/45.7</td>
<td>MADRS</td>
<td>ECT Rx: 32.5±8.2, SSRI Rx: 27.0±6.7</td>
<td>VEGF 2578 C/A associated with Rx resistant MDD (p=0.0264)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takebayashi et al., 2010</td>
<td>Japan Plasma MDD</td>
<td>16/16</td>
<td>53.2±13.0/53.8±12.5</td>
<td>50/50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>† (p=0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventriglia et al., 2009</td>
<td>Italy Serum MDD</td>
<td>25/30</td>
<td>43.36±9.97/41.57±8.26</td>
<td>80/83.33</td>
<td>HDRS</td>
<td>19.6±2.76</td>
<td>There was no difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsai et al., 2009</td>
<td>Taiwan Genotyping MDD</td>
<td>351**</td>
<td>43.7±15.1**</td>
<td>58.6±8**</td>
<td>HDRS</td>
<td>R: 28.5±5.0, NR: 29.3±5.0</td>
<td>VEGF genetic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>instrument</th>
<th>severity (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>depressed vs. controls</th>
<th>effect in VEGF, if any</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kahl et al., 2009</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>MDD, BD</td>
<td>12 (MDD + BD)/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dome et al., 2009</td>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>33/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iga et al., 2007</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>mRNA and Genotyping</td>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>32/32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Evaluated for depressive symptoms.
**No control group.
¥Median (Range)