Prevention of muscular dystrophy in mice by CRISPR/Cas9–mediated editing of germline DNA
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Abstract

Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) is an inherited X-linked disease caused by mutations in the gene encoding dystrophin, a protein required for muscle fiber integrity. DMD is characterized by progressive muscle weakness and a shortened life span, and there is no effective treatment. We used clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat/Cas9 (CRISPR/Cas9)–mediated genome editing to correct the dystrophin gene (Dmd) mutation in the germ line of mdx mice, a model for DMD, and then monitored muscle structure and function. Genome editing produced genetically mosaic animals containing 2 to 100% correction of the Dmd gene. The degree of muscle phenotypic rescue in mosaic mice exceeded the efficiency of gene correction, likely reflecting an advantage of the corrected cells and their contribution to regenerating muscle. With the anticipated technological advances that will facilitate genome editing of postnatal somatic cells, this strategy may one day allow correction of disease-causing mutations in the muscle tissue of patients with DMD.

Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) is caused by mutations in the gene for dystrophin on the X chromosome and affects approximately 1 in 3500 boys. Dystrophin is a large cytoskeletal structural protein essential for muscle cell membrane integrity. Without it, muscles degenerate, causing weakness and myopathy (1). Death of DMD patients usually occurs by age 25, typically from breathing complications and cardiomyopathy. Hence, therapy for DMD necessitates sustained rescue of skeletal, respiratory, and cardiac muscle structure and function. Although the genetic cause of DMD was identified nearly three decades ago (2), and several gene- and cell-based therapies have been developed to deliver functional Dmd alleles or dystrophin-like protein to diseased muscle tissue, numerous therapeutic challenges have been encountered, and no curative treatment exists (3).

RNA-guided, nuclease-mediated genome editing, based on type II CRISPR (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat)/Cas (CRISPR-associated) systems, offers a
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new approach to alter the genome (4–6). In brief, Cas9, a nuclease guided by single-guide RNA (sgRNA), binds to a targeted genomic locus next to the protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) and generates a double-strand break (DSB). The DSB is then repaired either by nonhomologous end-joining (NHEJ), which leads to insertion/deletion (indel) mutations, or by homology-directed repair (HDR), which requires an exogenous template and can generate a precise modification at a target locus (7). Unlike other gene therapy methods, which add a functional, or partially functional, copy of a gene to a patient’s cells but retain the original dysfunctional copy of the gene, this system can remove the defect. Genetic correction using engineered nucleases (8–12) has been demonstrated in immortalized myoblasts derived from DMD patients in vitro (9), and rodent models of rare diseases (13), but not yet in animal models of relatively common and currently incurable diseases, such as DMD.

The objective of this study was to correct the genetic defect in the Dmd gene of mdx mice by CRISPR/Cas9–mediated genome editing in vivo. The mdx mouse (C57BL/10ScSn-Dmdmdx/J) contains a nonsense mutation in exon 23 of the Dmd gene (14, 15) (Fig. 1A). We injected Cas9, sgRNA, and HDR template into mouse zygotes to correct the disease-causing gene mutation in the germ line (16, 17), a strategy that has the potential to correct the mutation in all cells of the body, including myogenic progenitors. Safety and efficacy of CRISPR/Cas9–based gene therapy was also evaluated.

Initially, we tested the feasibility and optimized the conditions of CRISPR/Cas9–mediated Dmd gene editing in wild-type mice (C57BL6/C3H and C57BL/6) (see the supplementary materials). We designed a sgRNA to target Dmd exon 23 (fig. S1A) and a single-stranded oligodeoxynucleotide (ssODN) as a template for HDR-mediated gene repair (fig. S1B and table S1). The wild-type zygotes were co-injected with Cas9 mRNA, sgRNA-DMD, and ssODN and then implanted into pseudopregnant female mice. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) products corresponding to Dmd exon 23 from progeny mice were sequenced (fig. S1, C to E). Efficiency of CRISPR/Cas9–mediated Dmd gene editing is shown in table S2.

We next applied the optimized CRISPR/Cas9–mediated genomic editing method to mdx mice (Fig. 1B). The CRISPR/Cas9–mediated genomic editing system will correct the point mutation in mdx mice during embryonic development via HDR or NHEJ (Fig. 1, C and D, and fig. S2A). “Corrected” mdx progeny (termed mdx-C) were identified by restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis and the mismatch-specific T7 endonuclease I (T7E1) assay (Fig. 1E, table S2, and supplementary materials). We analyzed a total of 11 different mdx-C mice. PCR products of Dmd exon 23 from seven mdx-C mice with HDR-mediated gene correction (termed mdx-C1 to C7) and four mdx-C mice containing NHEJ-mediated in-frame deletions of the stop codon (termed mdx-N1 to N4) were sequenced. Sequencing results revealed that CRISPR/Cas9–mediated germline editing produced genetically mosaic mdx-C mice displaying from 2 to 100% correction of the Dmd gene (Fig. 1E and fig. S2, B and C). A wide range of mosaicism occurs if CRISPR/Cas9–mediated repair occurs after the zygote stage, resulting in genomic editing in a subset of embryonic cells (18). All mouse progeny developed to adults without signs of tumor growth or other abnormal phenotypes.
We tested four different mouse groups for possible off-target effects of CRISPR/Cas9–mediated genome editing: (A) mdx mice without treatment (termed mdx), (B) CRISPR/Cas9–edited mdx mice (termed mdx+Cas9), (C) Wild-type control mice (C57BL6/C3H) without treatment (termed WT) and (D) CRISPR/Cas9–edited wild-type mice (termed WT+Cas9) (fig. S3A). Sequences of the target site (Dmd exon 23) and a total of 32 potential off-target (OT) sites in the mouse genome were predicted by the CRISPR design tool (http://crispr.mit.edu) and are listed in table S3. Ten of the 32 sites, termed OT-01 through OT-10, represent the genome-wide “top-10 hits.” Twenty-two of the 32, termed OTE-01 through OTE-22 are located within exons.

Deep sequencing of PCR products corresponding to Dmd exon 23 revealed high ratios of HDR and NHEJ-mediated genetic modification in groups B and D but not in control groups A and C (fig. S3A and table S4). There was no difference in the frequency of indel mutations in the 32 potential off-target regions among the different groups (fig. S3, B and C, table S5, and supplementary materials). These results are also consistent with recent genome-wide studies showing that DNA cleavage by Cas9 is not promiscuous (19–21). Thus, off-target effects may be less of a concern in vivo than previously observed in vitro (22, 23).

To analyze the effect of CRISPR/Cas9–mediated genomic editing on the development of muscular dystrophy, we performed histological analyses of four different muscle types [quadriceps, soleus (hindlimb muscle), diaphragm (respiratory muscle), and heart muscle] from wild-type mice, mdx mice, and three chosen mdx-C mice with different percentages of Dmd gene correction at 7 to 9 weeks of age. mdx muscle showed histopathologic hallmarks of muscular dystrophy, including variation in fiber diameter, centralized nuclei, degenerating fibers, necrotic fibers, and mineralized fibers, as well as interstitial fibrosis (Fig. 2 and figs. S4A and S5A). Immunohisto-chemistry showed no dystrophin expression in skeletal muscle or heart of mdx mice, whereas wild-type mice showed dystrophin expression in the subsarcolemmal region of the fibers and the heart (Fig. 2). Although mdx mice carry a stop mutation in the Dmd gene, we observed 0.2 to 0.6% revertant fibers, consistent with a previous report (24). mdx-C mice with 41% of the mdx alleles corrected by HDR (termed HDR-41%) or with 83% correction by in-frame NHEJ (termed NHEJ-83%) showed complete absence of the dystrophic muscle phenotype and restoration of dystrophin expression in the subsarcolemmal region of all myofibers (Fig. 2). Strikingly, correction of only 17% of the mutant Dmd alleles (termed HDR-17%) was sufficient to allow dystrophin expression in a majority of myofibers at a level of intensity comparable to that of wild-type mice, and the muscle exhibited fewer histopathologic hallmarks of muscular dystrophy than mdx muscle (fig. S4A). The substantially higher percentage (47 to 60%) of dystrophin-positive fibers associated with only 17% gene correction (fig. S6, A and B) suggests a selective advantage of the corrected skeletal muscle cells. Western blot analysis showed restored dystrophin protein in skeletal muscle (quadriceps) and heart of mdx-C mice to levels consistent with percentages of dystrophin-positive fibers (figs. S4B and S6B).

To compare the efficiency of rescue over time, we chose mdx-C mice with comparable mosaicism of rescue of ~40%. As shown in fig. S7A, a 3-week-old mdx-C mouse with ~40% HDR-mediated gene correction (termed HDR-40%-3wks) showed occasional dystrophin-
negative myofibers among a majority of dystrophin-positive fibers. In contrast, no dystrophin-negative fibers were seen in a mouse with comparable gene correction at 9 weeks of age, suggesting progressive rescue with age in skeletal muscle. In mdx-C mice with comparable mosaicism, we did not observe a significant difference in dystrophin expression in the heart between 3 and 9 weeks of age (fig. S7B), suggesting that age-dependent improvement may be restricted to skeletal muscle.

The widespread and progressive rescue of dystrophin expression in skeletal muscle might reflect the multinucleated structure of myofibers, such that a subset of nuclei with corrected Dmd genes can compensate for nuclei with Dmd mutations. Fusion of corrected satellite cells (the stem cell population of skeletal muscle) with dystrophic fibers might also progressively contribute to the regeneration of dystrophic muscle (25). To investigate this possibility, we identified satellite cells in muscle sections of mdx-C mice by immunostaining with Pax-7, a specific marker for satellite cells (fig. S8A). Using laser microdissection (see the supplementary materials), we dissected Pax-7 positive satellite cells and isolated genomic DNA for PCR analysis (Fig. 3A and fig. S8B). Sequencing results of PCR products corresponding to Dmd exon 23 from these isolated satellite cells showed the corrected Dmd gene (Fig. 3B). These results indicate that CRISPR/Cas9 genomic editing corrected the mutation in satellite cells allowing these muscle stem cells to rescue the dystrophic muscle (Fig. 3C and fig. S8C).

Serum creatine kinase (CK), a diagnostic marker for muscular dystrophy that reflects muscle leakage, was measured in wild-type, mdx, and mdx-C mice. Consistent with the histological results, serum CK levels of the mdx-C mice were substantially decreased compared with mdx mice and were inversely proportional to the percentage of genomic correction (Table 1). Wild-type, mdx, and mdx-C mice were also subjected to grip-strength testing to measure muscle performance, and the mdx-C mice showed enhanced muscle performance compared with mdx mice (Table 1).

Our results show that CRISPR/Cas9–mediated genomic editing is capable of correcting the primary genetic lesion responsible for muscular dystrophy (DMD) and preventing development of characteristic features of this disease in mdx mice. Because genome editing in the germ line produced genetically corrected animals with a wide range of mosaicism (2 to 100%), we were able to compare the percentage of genomic correction with the extent of rescue of normal muscle structure and function. We observed that only a subset of corrected cells in vivo is sufficient for complete phenotypic rescue. As schematized in Fig. 3C, histological analysis of partially corrected mdx mice revealed three types of myofibers: (i) normal dystrophin-positive myofibers; (ii) dystrophic dystrophin-negative myofibers; and (iii) mosaic dystrophin-positive myofibers containing centralized nuclei, indicative of muscle regeneration. We propose that the latter type of myofiber arises from the recruitment of corrected satellite cells into damaged myofibers, forming mosaic myo-fibers with centralized nuclei. Efforts to expand satellite cells ex vivo as a source of cells for in vivo engraftment have been hindered by the loss of proliferative potential and regenerative capacity of these cells in culture (26). Thus, direct editing of satellite cells in vivo by the CRISPR/Cas9 system represents a potentially promising alternative approach to promote muscle repair in DMD.
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Genomic editing within the germ line is not currently feasible in humans. However, genomic editing could, in principle, be envisioned within postnatal cells in vivo if certain technical challenges could be overcome. For example, there is a need for appropriate somatic cell delivery systems capable of directing the components of the CRISPR/Cas9 system to dystrophic muscle or satellite cells in vivo. In this regard, the adeno-associated virus (AAV) delivery system has proven to be safe and effective and has already been advanced in clinical trials for gene therapy (27, 28). Moreover, the AAV9 serotype has been shown to provide robust expression in skeletal muscle, heart, and brain, the major tissues affected in DMD patients. Other nonviral gene delivery methods, including injection of naked plasmid DNA (29), chemically modified mRNA (30, 31), and nanoparticles containing nucleic acid (32), also warrant consideration. Another challenge with respect to the feasibility of clinical application of the CRISPR/Cas9 system is the increase in body size between rodents and humans, requiring substantial scale-up. More efficient genome editing in postnatal somatic tissues is also needed for the advancement of the CRISPR/Cas9 system into clinical use. Although CRISPR/Cas9 can effectively generate NHEJ-mediated indel mutations in somatic cells, HDR-mediated correction is relatively ineffective in postmitotic cells, such as myofibers and cardiomyocytes, because these cells lack the proteins essential for homologous recombination (33). Coexpression of components of the HDR pathway with the CRISPR/Cas9 system might enhance HDR-mediated gene repair. Finally, safety issues of the CRISPR/Cas9 system, especially for long-term use, need to be evaluated in preclinical studies in large-animal models of disease. Despite the challenges listed above, with rapid technological advances of gene delivery systems and improvements to the CRISPR/Cas9 editing system (33), the approach we describe could ultimately offer therapeutic benefit to DMD and other human genetic diseases in the future.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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Fig. 1. CRISPR/Cas9–mediated Dmd correction in mdx mice
(A) Schematic of the targeted exon of mouse Dmd and sequence from wild-type (upper) and mdx mice (lower). The mdx point mutation (C to T) is marked in red, and the premature stop codon is underlined. (B) Schematic of the 20-nucleotide sgRNA target sequence of the mdx allele (blue) and the PAM (red). The red arrowhead indicates the Cas9 cleavage site. ssODN, which contains 90 base pairs (bp) of homology sequence flanking each side of the target site, was used as HDR template. ssODN incorporates four silent mutations (green) and adds a TseI restriction enzyme site (underlined) for genotyping and quantification of HDR-mediated gene editing (fig. S1B). (C) Schematic for the gene correction by HDR or NHEJ. The corresponding DNA and protein sequences are shown in fig. S2A. (D) Strategy of the gene correction in mdx mice via germline gene therapy. (E) Genotyping results of mdx-C mice with mosaicism of 2 to 100% corrected Dmd gene. Undigested PCR product (upper panel), TseI digestion (middle panel), and T7E1 digestion (lower panel) on a 2% agarose gel. The red arrowhead in the middle panel marks the DNA band indicating HDR-mediated correction generated by TseI digestion. The blue arrowhead marks the DNA band of the uncorrected mdx allele. The relative intensity of the DNA bands (indicated by blue and red arrowheads) reflects the percentage of HDR in the genomic DNA. The percentage of HDR is located under the middle panel. The band intensity was quantified by ImageJ (NIH). The blue and red arrowheads in the lower panel indicate uncut and cut bands by T7E1, respectively. M denotes size marker lane. bp indicates the length of the marker bands.
Fig. 2. Histological analysis of muscles from wild-type, mdx, and mdx-C mice

Immunostaining and histological analysis of muscles from 7- to 9-week-old wild-type, mdx, and mdx-C mice (HDR-17%, HDR-41%, or NHEJ-83%). Dystrophin immunofluorescence (green) in wild-type mice is present in all muscles, including quadriceps, soleus, diaphragm, and heart, and is absent in mdx mice, except for a single revertant fiber in skeletal muscle. Skeletal muscle from the HDR-17% mouse has a characteristic pattern of clusters of dystrophin-positive fibers adjacent to clusters of dystrophin-negative fibers, whereas HDR-41% or NHEJ-83% mdx-C skeletal muscle is composed of dystrophin-positive myofibers only. White arrows indicate the adjacent clusters of dystrophin-positive fibers. Scale bar, 100 μm.
Fig. 3. Analysis of satellite cells from *mdx*-C mice and a model for rescue of muscular dystrophy by CRISPR/Cas9–mediated genomic correction

(A) Frozen sections of *mdx*-C gastrocnemius were mounted onto polyethylene membrane frame slides and immunohistochemically stained for Pax-7, a marker for satellite cells. Cross sections of muscle before (left) and after (right) laser dissection show the precise isolation of satellite cells (brown, in red circle). Scale bar, 25 μm. (B) PCR products corresponding to *Dmd* exon 23 were generated from genomic DNA isolated from satellite cells of *mdx*-C mice. PCR products were sequenced and show that CRISPR/Cas9–mediated genomic editing corrected a subset of satellite cells in vivo. Purple arrow indicates the corrected allele mediated by HDR. Green arrows indicate the silent mutation sites. The corresponding amino acid residues are shown under the DNA sequence. The red box indicates the corrected site. (C) A model for rescue of muscular dystrophy by CRISPR/Cas9–mediated genomic correction. There are three types of myo-fibers in *mdx*-C mice: (i) normal dystrophin-positive myofibers (green membrane) and satellite cells originating from corrected progenitors (green nuclei); (ii) dystrophic dystrophin-negative myofibers (brown membrane) and satellite cells originating from *mdx* progenitors (brown nuclei); and (iii) mosaic dystrophin-positive myofibers with centralized nuclei (green and brown nuclei) generated by fusion of corrected and *mdx* progenitors or by fusion of corrected satellite cells with preexisting dystrophic fibers. Immunostaining of the three types of myofibers in *mdx*-C mice is shown in fig. S8C.
Table 1

Serum creatine kinase (CK) levels and forelimb grip strength of wild-type, mdx, and mdx-C mice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Litter</th>
<th>Mouse no.</th>
<th>Percent of correction</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>CK (U/L)</th>
<th>Forelimb grip strength (grams of force)</th>
<th>Avg. ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. 1</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>170 163 140 132 169</td>
<td>154.8 ± 17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mdx-04</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6,366</td>
<td>64 56 52 59 57</td>
<td>57.6 ± 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mdx-06</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7,118</td>
<td>102 123 109 79 97</td>
<td>102.0 ± 16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mdx-C1</td>
<td>HDR-41%</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>141 150 154 143 133</td>
<td>144.2 ± 8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 2</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>128 116 109 102 103</td>
<td>111.6 ± 10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mdx-20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>30,996</td>
<td>107 105 92 78 61</td>
<td>88.6 ± 19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mdx-10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>38,715</td>
<td>84 64 67 62 53</td>
<td>66.0 ± 11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mdx-C3</td>
<td>HDR-17%</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>4,290</td>
<td>123 126 101 107 102</td>
<td>111.8 ± 11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 25</td>
<td>mdx-02</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>14,059</td>
<td>54 64 47 41 52</td>
<td>51.6 ± 12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mdx-03</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4,789</td>
<td>129 120 116 104 92</td>
<td>112.2 ± 35.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mdx-05</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>11,841</td>
<td>91 94 54 64 54</td>
<td>71.4 ± 24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mdx-N1</td>
<td>NHEJ-83%</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>145 154 147 138 133</td>
<td>143.4 ± 44.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mdx-01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>7,241</td>
<td>108 95 103 105 85</td>
<td>99.2 ± 30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mdx-04</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>5,730</td>
<td>100 112 103 114 100</td>
<td>105.8 ± 32.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mdx-07</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6,987</td>
<td>74 73 73 73 70</td>
<td>72.6 ± 19.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>