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Abstract

Gastric cancer remains one of the leading causes of global cancer mortality. Multipotent gastric stem cells have been identified in both mouse and human stomachs, and they play an essential role in the self-renewal and homeostasis of gastric mucosa. There are several environmental and genetic factors known to promote gastric cancer. In recent years, numerous in vitro and in vivo studies suggest that gastric cancer may originate from normal stem cells or bone marrow–derived mesenchymal cells, and that gastric tumors contain cancer stem cells. Cancer stem cells are believed to share a common microenvironment with normal niche, which play an important role in gastric cancer and tumor growth. This mini-review presents a brief overview of the recent developments in gastric cancer stem cell research. The knowledge gained by studying cancer stem cells in gastric mucosa will support the development of novel therapeutic strategies for gastric cancer.
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1. Introduction

Although the number of cases and the mortality associated with gastric cancer (GC) has recently been declining, it remains the fourth most common cancer and the second leading cause of global cancer mortality [1]. Every year about one million new patients are diagnosed and 800,000 GC-related deaths occur in the world [2]. Of all the cases reported, two-thirds occurred in developing countries, with high-risk areas including China, Japan, and Central and South America. The American Cancer Society estimates that in the United States about 21,320 cases of GC will be diagnosed and about 10,540 people will die from GC in 2012 [3]. The detailed mechanisms that regulate GC are not yet fully understood; however, several factors (environmental and genetic) are reported to play an important role in promoting GC [4–11]. The environmental factors include Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) infection, foods high in salt, nitrates, smoking, low fiber intake, and a diet low in fruits and vegetables [4,5,7–9,12–17]. In addition to environmental factors, genetic factors such as sex, hereditary diffuse GC (mutation in the E-cadherin/CDH1 gene), hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer (Lynch syndrome), and familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) also play crucial roles in GC [4,5,7–9,12–17].
Among GC patients, 90% develop adenocarcinoma and only 10% develop lymphoma or a gastrointestinal stromal tumor. Gastric adenocarcinomas include the intestinal (50% differentiated) type, diffuse (33% undifferentiated) type, and mixed (17%) type [9, 18, 19]. The invasive nature of GC is linked to mutations in several oncogenes, tumor suppressor genes, changes in several growth factors and their receptors, epigenetic alterations, altered expression of microRNAs, inflammatory cytokines, and angiogenesis [9–11]. It is estimated that about $1.82 billion each year is spent in the United States on GC treatment [20]. Regardless of traditional treatments such as surgery, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and targeted therapy, the overall five-year survival rate in GC patients is very low [21].

Recent studies suggest that like other tumors, GC is a heterogeneous disease [22]. However, the mechanisms that control GC invasion and metastasis remain to be clarified. It is postulated that tumors develop because of a rare subpopulation of cells (known as cancer stem cells [CSCs]) within a tumor. CSCs have been identified in many solid tumors, and they are promising for the development of anticancer drugs that can target all CSC subsets within a tumor to prevent recurrence [23]. Because of the failure of traditional treatments, CSCs, which already received great attention in the field of cancer research, are potential novel therapeutic targets in the treatment of GC. This mini-review presents a brief overview of the recent developments in gastric stem cell research and the role of CSCs in GC.

2. Gastric epithelial stem cells
2.1. The stomach epithelium

The mammalian gastrointestinal (GI) tract is involved in digestion, nutrient absorption, and homeostasis. It also shows the highest cellular turnover [24–25]. Although human and mouse stomachs may be functionally similar, they are different anatomically [25]. Histologically, the human gastric mucosa, which is of endodermal origin, is divided into three zones: cardiac, fundus/corpus, and antral/pyloric [25,26]. Gastric mucosa is also surrounded by subepithelial myofibroblasts (SMFs) [27]. In each gastric zone, the gastric unit is composed of a short pit and a long tubular gland that subdivides into the isthmus, neck, and base regions [24]. The corpus and pyloric zones of the stomach are different in morphology, cell type, and cellular turnover. The proximal corpus zone contains long glands with small pits and several epithelial cell types, such as surface mucous cells (pit), acid-producing parietal cells (oxyntic), mucous neck cells, chief cells (zymogenic), and hormone-secreting endocrine cells (gastrin and somatostatin) [25,26,28]. The distal pyloric zone is simple, contains short glands with a single long pit, and is composed of mucous-producing cells, endocrine cells, and rare parietal cells [25,26,28–30].

2.2. Stem cells in the gastric gland: location, identification, and markers

Gastric stem cells (GSCs) play essential roles in the self-renewal and homeostasis of the gastric gland, and they are crucial in epithelial repair after injury [24,31,32]. The self-renewal of the human stomach differs from that of the mouse [25]. In the gastric gland, based on circumstantial morphological and cell kinetic evidence, and in combination with 3H-thymidine-labeling, multipotent stem cells (undifferentiated granular-free progenitors) have been characterized at the isthmus region of both the fundic and antral zones [24,25,29,30,33–35]. The multipotent GSCs first differentiated into three progenitor cells: pre-pit, pre-neck, and pre-parietal cells. The granule-free pre-pit cells migrate up towards the lumen to become terminally differentiated mucous-secreting pit cells. The granule-free pre-neck cells migrate downwards and differentiate into zymogenic/chief cells. In contrast to pit and zymogenic lineages, parietal cells and endocrine cells differentiate within the isthmus from pre-parietal cells and migrate up either towards the lumen or down to the gland.
Furthermore, it has been reported that gastric fundic units are composed of many types of stem cells [40]. Some of the stem cell markers were detected to label the GSC populations [41–45, Table 1]. However, in vivo lineage tracing to identify such populations was not identified until recently [26,45,46, Table 1]. Qiao et al. [45] found a rare population of quiescent Villin+ cell resides in the isthmus region of the pyloric zone of the stomach; however, these cells are not involved in normal gastric gland homeostasis, but are active only in response to damage. Recently, Lgr5 (leucine-rich repeat-containing G-protein-coupled receptor 5), which is considered as a stem cell marker in intestine, colon, and hair follicle and have been reported to express at the base of the antrum zone of the gastric gland [26]. Furthermore, by lineage tracing, Lgr5+ cells have been functionally characterized as self-renewing, multipotent stem cells, located at the base of the glands. They are responsible for the long-term renewal of the gastric epithelium and can also generate self-renewing gastric organoids in vitro [Fig. 1; 26,46]. Using lineage tracing with trefoil factor 2 (TFF2) transgenic lines, Quante et al. [47] demonstrated that TFF2 is restricted to the isthmus region and can produce only mucous neck, parietal, and chief cells. Furthermore, keratin, type I cytoskeletal 19 (Krt19)+ cells have been shown through lineage-tracing experiments to label gastric progenitor cells [48]. Mist1, a basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor, is another marker identified in the gastric unit, which is expressed in mature chief cells. Lineage-tracing experiments suggest that Mist1+ cells can produce spasmylocytolytic peptide-producing metaplasia (SPEM) [49, 50]. Using Sox2-CreER; ROSA26-Isl-EYFP mice, Arnold et al. [51] performed lineage tracing and found that a small population of Sox2 (sex determining region Y)-box 2)+ cells can populate the entire glands of both the corpus and pylorus zones of the stomach, suggesting that Sox2-expressing cells can self-renew and give rise to the mature cell types of the glandular stomach. Recently, it was found that the two zones (fundic and antral) of the gastric gland vary because of differences in proliferation and differentiation, as well as in expression profiles [52].

Based on the above experiments, it is clear that gastric mucosa and glands are maintained by bidirectional self-renewal of gastric stem and progenitor cells [25]. Recent studies have suggested that the balance between self-renewal and differentiation in gastric mucosa is regulated by several signaling pathways or molecule such as wnt, notch, hedgehog, and runt-related transcription factor 3 (Runx3) [25,26,28,32,44,46,53–56].

### 3. Gastric cancer stem cells

#### 3.1. Stem cells and cancer stem cells

Stem cells are functional units of growth that regenerate tissues and organs and play a role in tissue homeostasis and repair after damage or loss [57,58]. Stem cells have the unlimited ability to self-renew and the capacity to differentiate into several specialized cell types. Stem cells reside in a microenvironment called a niche that protects them from depletion and overproliferation [57,58]. Recent studies have shown that tumors contain phenotypically and functionally heterogeneous cancer cells. Tumors may originate from a small subpopulation of CSCs that are able to maintain long-term tumor growth, tumor recurrence, and apoptosis and chemotherapy resistance [23,59–62]. CSCs display characteristics that are similar to normal stem cells, including unlimited self-renewal, proliferation, and multi-lineage differentiation. It is also postulated that CSCs occupy the same niche, called the CSC niche, as normal stem cells [63]. The existence of CSCs was first demonstrated by Bonnet and Dick [64] from human acute myeloid leukemia (AML) using cell surface markers CD34+/CD38−. Cell surface markers were used because leukemic stem cells can reproduce the tumor after serial xenografting into immunodeficient mice. In recent years, accumulating evidence indicates the presence of CSCs in many solid tumors, including those in brain
3.2. Origin, identification, and regulation of gastric cancer stem cells (GCSCs)

3.2.1. Lineage-tracing and expression analysis—Gastric mucosa is histologically complex and is maintained by multiple stem cells located in different regions of the fundic and antral zones. It is suggested that GC may originate from normal resident stem cells or bone marrow–derived cells (BMDCs) [26, 28, 46, 77–82]. McDonand et al. [40] provided evidence of intestinal metaplasia to dysplasia in human gastric units and found that intestinal dysplasia is clonal, contains multiple stem cells, and spreads by gland fission. However, the first study to trace the origin of GC from stem cells came from the experiments demonstrated by Barker et al. [26]. In this experiment, they tested the tumorigenic potential of the Lgr5+ pyloric stem cells by injecting a single dose of tamoxifen into Lgr5-EGFP-CreERT2/APC<sup>flox/flox</sup> mice to activate the Lgr5-driven Cre in the pyloric stem cells. Their lineage-tracing results suggest that APC loss in Lgr5+ stem cells efficiently drives the rapid appearance of proliferating adenomas in the pylorus zone of the stomach [26]. Simon et al. [83] further tested the prevalence, distribution, and tumor biological significance of Lgr5 cells in the human stomach by studying the differential expression of Lgr5 at the transcriptional and translational levels (Fig. 2A–G). They used malignant and non-malignant tissues from different primary tumor sites in 127 patients and tested the clinico-pathological significance of Lgr5 expression in 100 patients with GC. Simon et al. [83] found that Lgr5+ cell expression was higher in malignant compared to non-malignant tissues. Furthermore, they found the relocation of Lgr5+ cells in different stages of GC [83]. They showed that in non-neoplastic stomach mucosa, Lgr5+ cells were located mainly in the mucous neck region; in intestinal metaplasia, the cells were located in the crypt base; and in GC, the cells were located at the luminal surface, tumor centre, and invasion front (Fig. 2D). Furthermore, Simon et al. found that the tumor centre and invasion front of GC are significantly correlated with local tumor growth and nodal spread. Nevertheless, they also found that patients with Lgr5+ cells have a shorter median survival rate than patients with Lgr5-negative cells GCs. This study suggests that Lgr5 could be a general marker of stemness in the GI tract [26, 83]. It has been demonstrated that targeted deletion of Klf4 (Kruppel-like factor 4) in Villin+ quiescent gastric progenitor cells at the antral mucosa induces transformation of the gastric mucosa and tumorigenesis in the antrum in mice [84]. Recently, Quante et al. [85] have shown the presence of Lgr5-labeled cells in the gastric cardia of Lgr5-Cre-ERT mice crossed with Rosa-LacZ reporter mice shortly after tamoxifen induction that within 7 days produces lineage-traced cardia epithelium. Furthermore, when they crossed L2-IL-1β mice with Lgr5-Cre-ERT/Rosa-LacZ mice, they observed labeled cells in Barrett esophagus (BE) metaplasia within four months of tamoxifen induction, which were treated with bile acid at the ages of 6–8 weeks. The above findings suggest that Lgr5+ cells in the cardia possibly function as progenitor cells and serve as the cells of origin for BE and dysplasia. In addition, Quante et al. also found an accumulation of doublecortin-like kinase 1 (Dclk1) + cells near metaplastic mucous-secreting cells in BE tissues, since Dclk1+ cells are highly expressed in gastric cardia. Furthermore, they also found that Lgr5 and Dclk1 expression was significantly elevated in the gastric cardia of BE patients. The above experiments using lineage-tracing and expression analyses suggest that BE metaplasia in mice and humans may originate from gastric cardia lineage [85].

3.2.2. Cell surface markers—The concept of CSCs may provide a novel approach in GC therapies. Recently, gastric cancer stem cells (GCSCs) have been reported in many GC cell
lines as well as in primary tumors using several candidate cell surface markers [22,86–112, Table 1] and a side population (SP) assay [113–118, but see 119].

3.2.2.1. GC cell lines: Takaishi et al. [86] examined several human GC cell lines (NCI-N87, AGS, MKN-28, MKN-45, and MKN-74) and found that CD44 can be used as a potential GCSC marker. They also found that a CD44+ cellular fraction isolated from these cell lines had a sphere-forming capacity, and they found xenograft tumors in the stomachs of immunodeficient mice [86]. Furthermore, Zhang et al. [87] examined the expression of cell surface markers CD44 and CD24 in gastric cell lines and in AGS and GC tissues from five patients using fluorescence-activated cell sorting. They identified the tumorigenic properties, the self-renewal, and the differentiation between CD44+/CD24+ and CD44−/CD24− cell populations by in vivo serial transplantation and in vitro culture. They found that these cells have the capacity to both self-renew and form tumors, which suggests that CD44+/CD24+ can be used as a GCSC marker. Yang et al. [88] isolated and characterized GCSCs from GC cell line SGC7901, which has the capacity for in vitro invasion and in vivo metastasis. Furthermore, they found that decreased expression of E-cadherin and increased expression of MMP-2 (matrix metalloproteinase-2) may be associated with invasion and metastasis in GCSCs [88]. Recently, aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 (ALDH1) was identified as an additional marker for GCSCs by Katsuno et al. [93] using human GC cell lines. Recently, Liu et al. [95] found that non-adherent spheroid body-forming cells from GC cell line MKN-45, cultured in a stem cell–conditioned medium, contained GCSC characteristics of sustained self-renewal, high proliferation, resistance to drugs, and high expression of CSC markers such as Oct4 (octamer-binding transcription factor 4), Nanog, Sox2, and CD44, compared to parental cells [95].

3.2.2.2. Human GC tissues: Chen et al. [89] isolated CSCs from human GC tissues and the peripheral blood of GC patients using CD44 and CD54 surface markers. These CD44− and CD54+ cells have the capacity to generate tumors both in vivo and in vitro. The results from Chen et al. suggest that CD44 and CD54 are potential biomarkers for GCSCs. In another report, using human GC tissues, the epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM) and CD44 were identified as putative GCSC markers [90]. Furthermore, CD90 was identified as a potential CSC marker in human gastric primary tumors [91]. Ohkuma et al. [94] further identified CD71 as a potential marker for CSCs in human GC tissues. Recently, aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 (ALDH1) was identified as an additional marker for GCSCs by Katsuno et al. [93] using human GC cell lines. Jiang et al. [92] demonstrated the expression of CSC markers ATP-binding cassette sub-family B member 1 (ABCB1), ATP-binding cassette sub-family G member 2 (ABCG2), and CD133 in 90 human GC tissue samples and 3 human GC cell lines and concluded that the expression of these markers varied in GC with various degrees of differentiation; poorly differentiated GC can express a high level of CSC markers [92].

3.2.3. Side population (SP) assay—In addition to the surface markers named above, studies demonstrated the presence of CSCs in side population (a subset of stem cells) cells isolated from human GC tissues and cell lines. Using flow cytometry and the DNA-binding dye, Hoechst 33342, Haraguchi et al. [113] isolated SP cells from many human GC cell lines and found that the cell lines contained 0.3–2.2% SP cells. Furthermore, Fukuda et al. [114] isolated SP cell populations (ranging from 0.02 to 1.93) from human GC cell lines (MKN45, KATOIII, MKN74, MKN28, and MKN1) using flow cytometry. They found that the MKN45 cell line harbored the highest percentage of SP cells because tumorigenesis was shown in vivo. SP cells were isolated by Nishii et al. [115] using GC cell lines OCUM-2M, OCUM-2D, and OCUM-2MD3. Nishii et al. found that these SP cells from GC cell lines can self-renew and produce non-SP cells and peritoneal metastasis. In addition, they found
increased expression of adhesion molecules $\alpha_2$, $\alpha_5$, $\beta_3$-integrins, and $\beta_5$-integrins, and CD44 in SP cells compared to parent cells [115]. Furthermore, Schmuck et al. [116] characterized the SP cells from two GC cell lines, AGS and MKN45. They found that SP cells were smaller and expressed CD133 and MSI-1, which produce SP and non-SP cells in recultivation experiments. Their transcriptional analyses showed that SP cells expressed genes that encoded for stem cell properties such as FZD7, HEY1, SMO, and ADAM17 [116]. Ehata et al. [117] characterized the SP cells within human diffuse-type GC cells isolated from patients and found the amount of SP cells between 1 and 4% of the total cells. The SP cells showed greater tumorigenicity than non-SP cells in vivo. Recently, She et al. [118] analyzed SP cells and non-SP cells in human GC cell lines KATO III, HS-746T, and AGS. They found that KATO III and HS-746T had high tumorigenic capacities. KATO III contained 0.57% of SP cells out of the total cell population, and HS-746T contained 1.04% SP cells out of the total cell population. Only 0.02% SP cells were reported in the AGS cell line. However, She et al. did not find any clear difference between SP and non-SP cells when they injected these cells into nude mice [118]. Of note, studies suggest that not all SP cells contain CSC characteristics [119]; therefore, the precise identification of CSCs from GC cell lines needs to follow the functional assay such as sphere formation and xenotransplantation in immunodeficient mice [62,77].

3.2.4. Regulation of GCSCs—Recent studies suggest that several signaling pathways including hedgehog and wnt/beta-catenin are essential for maintaining GCSCs in human GC [87, 120–122]; therefore, understanding the regulation of these pathways represents a justified therapeutic approach to target GCSCs.

3.3. H. pylori, BMDC, and gastric cancer stem cells (GCSCs)

$H.\text{ pylori}$ is a spiral-shaped, gram-negative microaerophilic bacterium that colonizes in the stomachs of almost half of all humans [123]. It is known that $H.\text{ pylori}$ infection of the stomach results in stomach inflammation, which leads to step-wise changes such as chronic gastritis, intestinal metaplasia, and ultimately GC [123–125]. $H.\text{ pylori}$ was isolated by Marshall and Warren in 1984, and it is classified as a class 1 carcinogen [12]. There are four major virulence factors reported from $H.\text{ pylori}$: cytotoxin-associated antigen A (CagA), cag-pathogenicity island (cagPAI), vacuolating cytotoxin (VacA), and outer membrane proteins (OMPs). It was demonstrated that $H.\text{ pylori}$ carrying the major protein virulence factor, CagA, is closely associated with the development of GC [126]. In the last few years, several studies demonstrated the possible interaction between $H.\text{ pylori}$, stem cells, and GC [78,82,123,125,127–131]. Recent studies suggest that CSCs exist in GC and that these cells possibly originated from resident stem cells, differentiated epithelial cells [26], or stem cells derived from BMDCs [78]. The existence of CSCs from BMDCs and the interaction between these two types of cells were first demonstrated by Houghton et al. [78] using models of Helicobacter-induced cancer. They found that chronic infection of C57BL/6 mice with $H.\text{ felis}$ results in chronic inflammation and injury in gastric mucosa, which results in the loss of resident GSCs and induces BMDC repopulation in the stomach, followed by hyperplasia, metaplasia, dysplasia, and finally GC [78]. These results from Houghton et al. suggest that Helicobacter plays an important role in the progression of GC by modulating stem cells. These results were further confirmed by Varon et al. [82] using a similar mouse model. Furthermore, Giannakis et al. [128] used a genetically engineered gnotobiotic mouse model of chronic atrophic gastritis (ChAG) and found that $H.\text{ pylori}$ could attach and invade GSCs and that this residency results in GC initiation. Ferrand et al. [129] demonstrated that GI epithelial cells infected by different strains of $H.\text{ pylori}$ can influence the migration of mesenchymal stem cell (MSC) due to the secretion of a combination of cytokines by infected epithelial cells, which are NF- B (nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells) dependent. Recently, Uehara et al. [125] demonstrated the relationship
between *H. pylori* colonization, GC, and DNA damage within Lgr5+ epithelial stem cells in the stomachs of patients with GC. They found that Lgr5+ cells expanded in the presence of *H. pylori* in the antrum of patients with GC. Furthermore, they found that Lgr5+ cells were more susceptible to DNA damage compared to Lgr5-negative cells, which suggests that *H. pylori* infection directly affects epithelial stem cells in the stomach, resulting in GC [125]. Similarly, Noto et al. [131] recently found that *H. pylori* induced the expansion of a KLF5+ cell population that was also positive for stem cell marker, Lrig1 (leucine-rich repeat and Ig-like domain-containing-1). They also found that the degree of KLF5 expression increased in parallel with the advancing stages of GC compared to normal gastric tissue. Recently, Tsugawa et al. [7] used CD44v9-expressing GC cell lines to study the ability of intracellular CagA to escape from autophagy, and they found a molecular link between *H. pylori*-derived CagA and GC stem-like cells. The studies described above suggest that chronic inflammation because of *H. pylori* infection plays an important role in transforming resident stem cells into tumor cells.

### 3.4. GCSC niche and novel therapeutic strategies in GC

Like normal stem cells, CSCs have the capacity for self-renewal and multi-lineage differentiation. Accumulating evidence suggests that the behavior of stem cells and CSCs is controlled by a tissue-specific niche microenvironment [23, 58, 60–63, 132–136]. The balance between self-renewal and differentiation of a stem cell is essential for normal tissue homeostasis, which is missing in the CSC niche [137]. There are many components of the niche that have been suggested to regulate normal stem cell and CSC properties in vivo, and these components are involved in tumor growth, including extracellular matrix, stromal cells, vascular and endothelial molecules, secreted modifier proteins, growth factors, bone marrow–derived myofibroblasts, and hypoxia. In the stomach, GSCs are surrounded by a sheet of subepithelial myofibroblasts (SMFs) that acts as a niche and secretes different types of growth and differentiation factors, including bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs), transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGF-β1), Wnt ligands, the chemokine stromal-derived factor 1 (SDF), and matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) [25, 27]. Recently, bone marrow–derived myofibroblasts, an important niche component, have been shown to be crucial in GC [80, 81, 138]. Guo et al. [138] have shown that gastric tumor cells activate stromal fibroblasts (SFs) and become myofibroblasts, which express vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGFA) and other angiogenic factors. They suggested that suppressing fibroblast activation by inhibiting tumor cell–derived factors would be an effective strategy for the chemoprevention of GC, in combination with the eradication of *H. pylori* [138]. Shibata et al. [81] showed that overexpression of stromal cell-derived factor-1 (SDF-1/CXCL12), a ligand for CXCR4 (C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4), induces GC recruitment of BMDCs and modulation of the progenitor niche. In addition to myofibroblasts, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), TGF-β, vasculogenic mimicry (VM), and hypoxia-inducible factors (HIFs) are critical components of the CSC niche that regulates GC [139–146].

Understanding the origin of CSCs and their interaction with niches would be helpful for precisely targeting CSCs.

For many years, conventional anticancer therapies such as chemotherapy, radiation, and immunotherapy have been used, which can kill only differentiated tumor cells, resulting in tumor size reduction; however, the tumors relapse after some time, possibly because of the presence of quiescent CSCs, as per the CSC hypothesis. In the gastric mucosa, quiescent and active stem cells have been reported, which suggests that there is dire need to design drugs that specifically target CSCs, including stem cell–targeting drugs, stemness-inhibitor drugs, stemness-promoting drugs, and microenvironment-modulating drugs [23, 62, 74–76, 147]. For targeting GCSCs, several novel strategies have been suggested, including a combination of chemotherapy-associated apoptosis, targeted imaging, and tumor stem cell differentiation.
induction; inducing apoptosis in the tumor; therapies targeting GCSC cell surface molecules; monoclonal antibody development; targeting the GCSC microenvironment; and inhibiting GCSC pathways [77,81,93,118,122,142,148–160]. Jiang et al. [91] treated gastric tumor cells, which express CD90, with trastuzumab (humanized anti-ERBB2 antibody) and found that trastuzumab can reduce the CD90+ population in tumor size and growth when it is combined with traditional chemotherapy. Zhi et al. [149] screened ALDH activities using several GC cell lines and divided them based on ALDH (high) and ALDH (low) GC groups. They found that ALDH (high) cancer cells displayed high CSC properties because they express higher levels of Sox2, Nanog, and Nestin; they express more floating spheroid bodies and more colony formation; and they exhibit more resistance to traditional chemotherapeutic drugs, 5-Fu and cisplatin (CDDP), compared to those properties found in ALDH (low) cancer cells. In addition, Zhi et al. found that ALDH (high) cancer cells were very sensitive to salinomycin, compared to ALDH (low) cancer cells. This suggests that ALDH could be a potential marker of the CSC population in GC and that salinomycin could be used as selective therapy for the CSC fraction that is resistant to traditional anticancer drugs, 5-Fu and CDDP [149]. Recently, Akagi [150] examined the roles of myeloid cell leukemia-1 (Mcl-1), an anti-apoptotic protein, in chemotherapy-associated apoptosis using seven GC cell lines, as well as whether Mcl-1 plays any role in apoptosis resistance in CSC-like populations in GC. Akagi found that six out of the seven GC cell lines overexpressed the Mcl-1 protein and showed resistance to 5-FU and CDDP. Depleting the Mcl-1 protein by RNAi results in effectively sensitizing these cells to anticancer drug-induced mitochondrial cytochrome c release, caspase activation, and apoptosis. Furthermore, Akagi found the expression of Mcl-1 mRNA in CD44+ CSC-like cells. Reduced Mcl-1 expression enhances apoptosis in CD44+ cells, similar to CD44-negative cells. Akagi’s results suggest that Mcl-1 mediates chemotherapy resistance in CSC-like populations.

Park et al. [154] discussed a near-infrared-sensitive molecular imaging probe based on hydrogel complexes, which can target GCSC marker, CD44. It has been shown that genetically engineered stem cells (GESTECs) expressing the cytosine deaminase (CD), a suicide enzyme, and human interferon β (IFN-β) fusion gene has a synergic antitumor effect on gastric cancer cells [159]. It is known that MSCs have the capacity to migrate into tumors; therefore, they can be utilized as potential vehicles cancer therapy. Zhu et al. [160] engineered umbilical cord blood mesenchymal stem cells (UCB-MSCs) to deliver a secretable form of LIGHT, a member of tumor necrosis factor (TNF) superfamily. They found that MSC-LIGHT had a strong suppressive effect on gastric cancer growth, which suggest that this system have the potential to be used as efficient delivery vehicles in the treatment of GCs Zhu et al. [160]. Zhan et al. [157] demonstrated that the expression of orphan receptor TR3, a regulator of cell proliferation and apoptosis, is elevated in gastric tumorsphere cells, which display CSC properties. Loss of TR3 results in reduced stem cell properties in GC cells and tumorsphere cells, as well as reduced expression of Oct-4 and Nanog and the invasion-related gene MMP-9. Zhan et al. identified Nanog as a novel target of TR3. Their data suggest that TR3 is essential for CSC maintenance in human GC cells; therefore, TR3 can be used as a new therapeutic target for GC [157]. Although the strategies described above would be helpful in developing anti-CSC drugs to cure GC, not all pathways/markers should be active in each CSC in tumor tissues. Therefore, early diagnosis and drugs having multiple targets are crucial to CSCs for treating GC and other types of cancer [60].

4. Conclusion

In summary, accumulative evidence supports the existence of CSCs that have the capacity to generate tumors, are resistant to chemotherapy, and can produce more differentiated non-tumorigenic cells within gastric tumors. In the last few years, using lineage tracing and
molecular marker labeling, several markers identified multiple pools of quiescent or active stem cells in gastric units. Some of these markers are upregulated in GCSCs. In addition, several GCSC populations have been defined, showing that heterogeneity exists in GC, which suggest that we need to use a combination of biomarkers to target different populations of GCSCs. Studies also suggest that CSC behavior is regulated by the niche microenvironment. Furthermore, chronic inflammation because of H. pylori infection also plays a crucial role in transforming resident stem cells into tumor cells. Dysregulation of several pathways has also been identified in gastric tumor cells. An in-depth understanding of the interaction between GCSCs and their niches, as well as targeting stem cell pathways and identifying new candidate therapies that target the CSCs, may lead to the development of novel therapeutic strategies that eradicate GCSC populations, thereby curing GC.
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Figure 1.
Adult stem cell-driven epithelial renewal in the pyloric stomach. (A) The location and general architecture of pyloric gastric units, (B) schematic diagram showing generation of functional epithelial cells from LGR5+ pyloric stem cells, (C) Cartoon of a self-renewing gastric organoid grown from a single LGR5+ pyloric stem cell. (D) A model for LGR5+ stem cell-driven epithelial renewal [Adapted from Barker et al. 2010, Cell Stem Cell, 7: 656–670, with permission from Elsevier].
Figure 2.
Staining patterns of LGR5 in gastric cancer tissues. (A, E) healthy gastric mucosa, (B, F) intestinal metaplasia, (C, G) gastric adenocarcinoma, with invasion front (D). (A–D) show representative immunohistochemical staining with an anti-LGR5 antibody on the whole mount sections of intestinal types gastric cancers (GC). (E–G) schematic model of the distributional changes in different stages of gastric tumorigenesis. Arrows mark the LGR5+ cells, astrick (*) marks the luminal site and the black line highlights the tumor host interface (invasion front). Original magnification x200. [Adapted from Simon E, et al. 2012, PLoS ONE 7(4): e35486, with permission from Dr. Christoph Rocken].
### Table 1

Summary of putative gastric stem/progenitor cells and cancer stem cell markers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Markers</th>
<th>Location and function</th>
<th>Gastric cancer</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Normal stomach</strong></td>
<td><strong>Gastric cancer</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gland base, antrum of mouse stomach and give rise to all cell types (lineage tracing).</td>
<td>Luminal surface, tumor center and invasion front (Immunostaining, 100 GC patients analyzed)</td>
<td>Qiao et al. [45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normally quiescent, multiply in response to interferon gamma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villin promoter</td>
<td>Base of pyloric gland, give rise to all cell types (lineage tracing)</td>
<td>Give rise to SPEM (lineage tracing using 3 mouse models of oxyntic atrophy (induced by DMP-777 treatment, L-635 treatment, or <em>H. pylori</em> infection) Present in the preneoplastic states (normal &amp; gastritis) and lost in neoplasia in human</td>
<td>Barker et al. [26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normally quiescent, multiply in response to interferon gamma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lgr5</td>
<td>Mature chief cells of corpus gland base in mice and human</td>
<td>Expressed in SPEM following DMP-777 treatment, due to trans-differentiation of chief cells progressive loss of expression in <em>H. pylori</em>-positive gastritis, GM and GC in human and mice</td>
<td>Quante et al. [47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mist1</td>
<td>Isthmus region of corpus, gland base (miRNA expression) in mice, give rise to neck, chief and parietal cells only (lineage tracing)</td>
<td>Expression expanded in murine models of acute gastritis, chronic ulcer and in Kras environment</td>
<td>Zhang and Huang [97]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCKL1/DCAM KL1</td>
<td>Isthmus region of corpus (immunostaining)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musashi-1</td>
<td>Isthmus/neck region of antrum in human stomach (Immunohistochemistry)</td>
<td>Weakly expressed elevate in gastric lesions and invasive GCs</td>
<td>Akasaka et al. [43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sox2</td>
<td>1 and 2 cells above the base of corpus and antrum in mice stomach, give rise to cells in both the corpus and pylorus (lineage tracing)</td>
<td>Expression associated with invasion and lymph node metastasis in GC (Immunostaining, 290 GC patients analyzed)</td>
<td>Wang et al. [110]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sox9</td>
<td>Weak expression in neck/isthmus of corpus, moderate expression in neck/isthmus of pylorus (immunostaining)</td>
<td>Strong expression observed in GC (46 patients analyzed by immunostaining)</td>
<td>Sashikawa Kimura et al. [101]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSmad2/3-L-Thr</td>
<td>Small number of cells in the corpus and antrum, isthmus region (immunostaining)</td>
<td>Significantly increased in the corpus and antrum of mice with <em>Helicobacter</em>-associated gastritis</td>
<td>Fukui et al. [102]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agr2 (anterior gradient 2)</td>
<td>Corpus neck and base of antrum gland (immunostaining)</td>
<td>Involve in the development of neoplasia</td>
<td>Gupta et al. [103]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bmi-1</td>
<td>Weakto moderate expression in pits and isthmus of corpus and neck region of antrum</td>
<td>Strong expression in GC (immunostaining)</td>
<td>Reinsich et al. [104]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct4</td>
<td>Isthmus region of pit gland, pyloric antrum of human stomach (immunostaining)</td>
<td>Increased expression in GC</td>
<td>Al-Marzoqee et al. [105]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD44</td>
<td>Strong expression in lower glandular cells of the gastric antrum and rare expression in corpus</td>
<td>Strong expression GC (isolated cells from cancer cell lines and mouse and human gastric samples produce spheroid colonies, and show tumorigenicity)</td>
<td>Takashi et al. [86]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD71− cell</td>
<td>n/t</td>
<td>Show higher tumorigenicity and multipotency, highly invasive and to exist in the invasive fronts of cancer foci</td>
<td>Ohkuma et al. [94]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD133</td>
<td>Base of gastric glands, antrum</td>
<td>Strong expression in GC, expressed on the luminal surface membrane of gland-forming cells (patient samples)</td>
<td>Zhao et al. [106]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Markers</th>
<th>Location and function</th>
<th>Gastric cancer</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Normal stomach</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Gastric cancer</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldh1</td>
<td>Cytoplasm of parietal cells (patient samples-immunostaining)</td>
<td>Highly expressed in GC, basal lesion of the metaplastic gland</td>
<td>Qiao and Gumucio [31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fukamachi et al. [107]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jiang et al. [92]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EpCAM+/CD44+</td>
<td>n/t</td>
<td>Isolated cells produce spheroid colonies, and show tumorigenicity</td>
<td>Zhi et al. [149]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD44+CD24+</td>
<td>n/t</td>
<td>Isolated cells from gastric tissues of patients produce spheroid colonies, and show tumorigenicity</td>
<td>Han et al. [90]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD44+CD54+</td>
<td>n/t</td>
<td>Isolated cells from human gastric tumor tissues and peripheral blood of patients produce spheroid colonies, and show tumorigenicity</td>
<td>Zhang et al. [87]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD90</td>
<td>n/t</td>
<td>Isolated cells from gastric primary tumors produce spheroid colonies, and show tumorigenicity</td>
<td>Chen et al. [89]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABCB1</td>
<td>n/t</td>
<td>Highly expressed in poorly differentiated GC</td>
<td>Jiang et al. [92]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABCG2</td>
<td>n/t</td>
<td>Highly expressed in poorly differentiated GC</td>
<td>Jiang et al. [92]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>