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Abstract

RNAi technology has brought a new category of treatments for various diseases including genetic diseases, viral diseases, and cancer. Despite the great versatility of RNAi that can down regulate almost any protein in the cells, the delicate and precise machinery used for silencing is the same. The major challenge indeed for RNAi-based therapy is the delivery system. In this review, we start with the uniqueness and mechanism of RNAi machinery and the utility of RNAi in therapeutics. Then we discuss the challenges in systemic siRNA delivery by dividing them into two categories--kinetic and physical barriers. At the end, we discuss different strategies to overcome these barriers, especially focusing on the step of endosome escape. Toxicity issues and current successful examples for lipid-based delivery are also included in the review.
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2. Introduction

2.1 Discovery and uniqueness of RNAi

Post-transcriptional gene silencing by RNA interference, popularly known as RNAi, is a phenomenon that is exploited by cells to conduct gene regulation. This was first observed in plants by Napoli et al. [1]. The mechanism of gene suppression was finally established by Fire et al. [2] with the demonstration that when short stretches of 23mer to 25mer nucleotide sequence of double stranded RNA molecules were injected in C. elegans, complete degradation occurred of mRNA that was complementary to one of the strands of the injected double stranded RNA. This type of gene silencing, though initially shown to be a transient phenomenon, was later shown to be of a more stable type when short hairpin RNA containing the complementary feature of the double stranded RNA could be expressed in organisms as varied as C. elegans, Drosophila and plants [3–5]. The initially used double stranded RNA is now called siRNA and the later synthesized single stranded short hairpin RNA are called shRNA.
The uniqueness and impact of this discovery can be gauged from the fact that the initial discovery was done in the 1990s, the mechanism established by Fire et al. [2] in 1998, “breakthrough of the year” declared by the Science magazine in 2002 and the Nobel Prize for Medicine or Physiology awarded to its discoverers, Andrew Fire and Craig Mello, in 2006. What distinguishes this mode of gene silencing from the already established anti-sense mode of gene silencing is that though in both the methods the sequence complementarity feature on the mRNA is utilized for target recognition, the RNAi mechanism has a catalytic component incorporated into it, in the sense that a single siRNA/shRNA molecule can silence or destroy thousands of copies of mRNA molecules. This machinery is extremely efficient as compared to the anti-sense technology, where a single anti-sense nucleic acid molecule can bind to and thereby silence only a single mRNA molecule.

2.2 Mechanism of action

In mammalian cell, siRNA is generated by the cleavage of larger double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) precursors by the RNAse III endonuclease Dicer [6]. Dicer is complexed with the TAR-RNA binding protein (TRBP) and hands off the siRNA to the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC). RISC contains the protein that carries out the silencing activity by cleaving the target mRNA molecule between bases 10 and 11 relative to the 5’ end of the antisense siRNA strand. In the core of RISC lies the Argonaute (Ago) family members and in humans, Ago-2 carries out the catalytic cleavage activity [7, 8]. It has been observed that although the siRNA transferred to RISC are double stranded, Ago-2 cleaves and releases the “passenger” strand, leading to an activated form of RISC that contains a single-stranded “guide” RNA molecule. It is this guide RNA molecule that confers the specificity to the RISC and helps it in target recognition by intermolecular base pairing [9]. The selectivity of strand loading into RISC is governed by differential thermodynamic stabilities of the end of the siRNA [10, 11]. The less thermodynamically stable end is chosen for unwinding of the 5’ end of the guide strand and this binds to Ago-2. Ago-2 is composed of three domains: PAZ, MID, and PIWI domains. The function of PAZ and MID domains are docking and anchoring the RNA. PIWI domain is the one with slicer activity [12]. Messenger RNA molecule that displays perfect or near-perfect complementarity to the guide RNA are recognized and cleaved by Ago-2. Partial complementarity between a siRNA and target mRNA may in some cases repress translation or destabilize the transcripts if the binding mimics microRNA (miRNA) interactions with target sites. miRNA is actually the endogenous substrates for the RNAi machinery. It is initially expressed as long primary transcript (pre-miRNA) which is processed within the nucleus into 60–70bp hairpin by the microprocessor complex that consists of Drosha-DGCR8 [13, 14]. Finally, the loop is removed by further processing in the cytoplasm by the RNAse III Dicer and only one of the two strands is loaded into RISC in the cytoplasm. The mature miRNA can share only partial complementarity with sequences in the 3’UTR of target mRNA. The primary mechanism of action of miRNA is translation repression, although this can be accompanied by message degradation [15].

3. Utility of RNAi in therapeutics

It is possible to exploit this native gene silencing pathway for regulating gene(s) of choice. There are a number of methods for doing so. If a siRNA effector molecule with one strand having a complementarity match with a target nucleotide sequence is delivered into a cell, it can activate RISC directly and can result in specific silencing of the targeted mRNA. It is the reason why the procedure has become the method of choice for silencing specific gene expression in mammalian cells. Control of disease-associated genes can therefore make RNAi an attractive choice for future therapeutics. It can be envisaged that every human disease is caused by activity from one or a few genes and some of these genes should be amenable for RNAi intervention. The list of such disease includes cancer, cardiovascular and autoimmune disorders, dominant genetic disorders and viral infections. Moreover, the
fact that miRNA can function both as tumor repressor and oncogene, endogenous miRNA can also become therapeutic target [16]. Although RNAi therapy is of great potentials, the technology still has one major limitation--it can only achieve “lost of function” therapy. If the disease is caused by loss function of genes, the strategy should be introducing gene expression by virus or plasmid. Thus, the traditional gene therapy approach should be complementary to the RNAi approach.

In addition to the activities in utilizing the promise of RNAi technology in creating gene knockouts by stable transfection of short hairpin RNA (shRNA) [17, 18] or cre-lox mediated knockdown of genes in transgenics [19], there has been an increasing amount of efforts in harnessing this powerful technology for therapeutic purposes. But in order to become a therapeutic modality, certain issues of drug development have to be addressed. First of all, the drug should be manufactured at reasonable cost and be suitable for administration in a safe and convenient manner. Second, it must be stable and accumulate to an effective level in the target cell. Third, it must be restricted in its effect on or within the target cell. Finally, it must not pose any toxicity to other cells. Keeping these fundamental issues in mind, some of the areas where siRNA can be used as a potent therapeutic molecule are as follows:

3.1 Genetic Diseases

There is a big promise that siRNA can be successfully used to treat a large number of genetic diseases. Preliminary studies have revealed that single nucleotide polymorphism in mutant allele transcripts can be used as effective targets for RNAi [20, 21]. One of the challenging targets for siRNA based therapeutics is the disease causing polyglutamine proteins encoded by the CAG repeat containing transcripts. Such repeats are found in several neurological diseases. But the problem is that the repeats are also found in many normal transcripts as well. As a result, they are difficult to be selectively targeted by siRNA. Alternatively, single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) are very often found in mutant allele transcripts and represent potential selective targets. The challenge is therefore to find a siRNA/SNP combination that is highly selective. This has been accomplished by systematic analyses of siRNA in which the polymorphic nucleotide is complementary to the mid region of the siRNA. In some cases, the siRNA directs selective degradation of only the mutant transcripts, leaving the wild type transcripts intact despite having only a single mismatch with the wild type sequence [20, 21]. An additional application of siRNA targeting a SNP has been reported in the studies of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) [22]. This disease is caused by mutations in the Cu, Zn superoxide dismutase (SOD1) gene. Recently, siRNA has been systematically tested for their ability to discriminate wild type from mutant alleles for the SOD1 and the huntingtin (HTT) gene [23]. These studies have supported the notion that single nucleotide polymorphisms may indeed suffice to make mutant specific siRNA if mismatches are placed rationally within their sequence. Particular purine-purine mismatches at positions 10 and 16 relative to the 5’ end of the guide strand can provide selectivity. Since the wild type SOD1 performs important functions it is important to selectively eliminate the expression of only the mutant allelic transcript. Based on the above studies, it has been possible to achieve selective degradation of a mutant allele encoding SOD1, thereby providing a potential therapeutic application for the treatment of ALS. Furthermore, since siRNA and viral vectors encapsulating siRNA can be technically delivered to affected regions of the brain [24], the promise of clinical use of RNAi for treatment of degenerative, neurological diseases is expected to become a reality soon.

3.2 Viral Diseases

Initial application of the RNAi technology had been directed in the treatment of hepatitis B [25]. A significant knockdown (99%) of the hepatitis B virus (HBV) core antigens could be achieved in liver hepatocytes by using shRNA directed against them.
Hepatitis C virus (HCV) infects an estimated 3% of the world’s population and is a major cause of chronic liver disease, leading to the development of liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. The HCV genome is a positive-strand RNA molecule with a single open reading frame encoding a polyprotein that is processed post-translationally to produce at least ten proteins. The efficacy of siRNA mediated inhibition of virus replication has been studied in a number of replicon systems [26–28]. One of the encouraging results has been the one where siRNA has been targeted against the internal ribosomal entry site (IRES) and against non-structural protein NS3 and NS5b [27]. Similarly, anti-HCV siRNA has been shown to cure Huh-7.5 cells bearing persistently replicating HCV replicons [26]. In another study, both synthetic and Pol III promoter expressed anti-HCV siRNAs have been shown to direct efficient cleavage of HCV sequences in a HCV-luciferase fusion construct in vivo in mouse hepatocytes [29]. In a different in vivo study, siRNA has been used to treat fulminant hepatitis induced by an agonistic Fas-specific antibody in mice. Delivery of anti-Fas siRNA resulted in a survival rate of 82% in the treated mice after 10 days of observation, whereas the un-treated control mice died within 3 days [30].

HIV is another infectious agent that can be targeted by RNAi. This may be because of the fact that the life cycle of HIV is well understood together with its pattern of gene expression. Synthetic and expressed siRNAs have been used to target a number of early and late HIV-encoded RNAs and these include the TAR element [31], tat [32–34], rev [32, 34], gag [35, 36], env [36], vif [31], nef [31] and reverse transcriptase [34]. Cellular cofactors, such as NF-kb [34], the HIV receptor CD4 [35] and co-receptors CXCR4 and CCR5 [37] have also been successfully down-regulated by RNAi resulting in an inhibition of HIV replication. Moreover, inhibition of HIV replication has been achieved in numerous human cell lines and primary cells including T lymphocytes and hematopoietic stem cell derived macrophages [32, 34, 37–40].

Despite the success of in vitro RNAi-mediated inhibition of HIV-1, for future clinical applications, targeting the virus directly represents a substantial challenge since the high viral mutation rate will certainly lead to escape of mutants [41]. RNAi-mediated down-regulation of cellular co-factors required for HIV infection is an attractive alternative or complementary approach. One such target that holds particular promise is the macrophage-tropic chemokine (C-C motif) receptor 5 (CCR5) [42] that HIV uses as the most important co-receptor during the infection. CCR5 is non-essential for normal immune function. Individuals homozygous for a 32 bp deletion in this gene show resistance to HIV infection and individuals who are heterozygous for this deletion have delayed progression to AIDS [43, 44]. Based on this, Pol III expressed anti-CCR5 shRNA have been used to knocking off the expression of the gene in human lymphocytes [45]. Down-regulation of CCR5 resulted in a somewhat modest, but nevertheless significant 3 to 7 fold reduction in viral infectivity relative to controls. Despite this down-regulation, the anti-CCR5 shRNA treated cells were still susceptible to infection by T-tropic CXCR4 utilizing virus. This emphasizes the need to combine several shRNA against co-receptors and the virus in order to achieve a potent inhibition of HIV-1. Clinical trials have been undertaken where T lymphocytes from HIV infected individuals were utilized and transduced ex vivo with a lentiviral vector that encoded an anti-HIV antisense RNA. The transduced cells were subsequently expanded and reinfused into patients [46, 47]. This type of therapeutic approach is certainly applicable to vectors harboring genes that encode siRNA. A different approach is to transduce isolated hematopoietic progenitor or stem cells with the vector. This approach has the advantage that all the hematopoietic cells capable of being infected by the virus are derived from a multi-potent stem cell that can be transduced with an appropriate viral vector. Hematopoietic stem cells can be mobilized from the patients and transduced ex vivo prior to reinfusion.
3.3 Cancer

The use of RNAi for cancer therapeutics has the potentiality of revolutionizing the treatment of this devastating disease. The challenges for cancer are not dissimilar to those faced by other diseases. In most cases, mouse xenograft models have been used in studying the effect of the RNAi treatments [48]. In one case, shRNA targeting Hec1 has been delivered to show efficacy for treating adenocarcinoma-induced tumor growth [49]. Generally, RNAi mediated cancer therapies include: 1. Inhibit angiogenesis via inhibiting VEGF-signaling [50–55]; 2. Inhibit tumor survival and induce apoptosis [56] via inhibiting Wnt pathway [57, 58], NF-κB pathway [59, 60], EGFR [61], Her-2/neu [62], telomerase [63, 64], MDM2 and p53 [65, 66], or Bcl-2 [67]; 3. Enhance radio- or chemo-sensitivity by inhibit MDR1 [63, 64]. Combination siRNA therapy that inhibits multiple pathways shows great anti-tumor effect and could be a novel approach for anti-cancer therapy [68, 69].

4. Delivery of RNAi

4.1 Challenges in delivery

For a successful siRNA delivery, the final destination is the cytoplasm of the target cells. Although this is one barrier (the nucleus membrane) less than delivering plasmid, it still remains a great challenge. The first concern is the stability of siRNA itself. Naked siRNA is prone to degradation and has a half life less than five minutes in plasma [70]. Their relatively small size also leads to rapid clearance by kidney filtration after systemic injection. Together with the negatively charged nature of siRNA that prevent it from having association with cell membrane, it’s unlikely to simply use siRNA itself as a drug formulation. When formulate into a delivery system, the stability of the delivery formulation is another issue and it also needs to escape from the non-specific uptake by the reticuloendothelial system (RES), especially the Kupffer cells in the liver and the macrophages in the spleen. Even if the siRNA stays in the blood circulation long enough, the siRNA formulation has to extravasate and gain access to the target tissue if the target is not blood cells or blood vessel cells. All the above is considered as the “kinetic barriers” which prevent the siRNA formulation from having “the access to the target cells”. The other category of barriers, i.e., the “physical barrier”, is the hurdles that impede “the access to the cytoplasm”. First, the siRNA needs to get across the cell membrane. The most commonly used delivery strategy for macromolecules including siRNA is to take advantage of the receptor-mediated endocytosis. Second, endocytosed siRNA will need to escape the endosome or caveosome to reach the cellular cytoplasm where the RISC locates.

In the case of expressing shRNA in the target cells by delivering a plasmid, plasmid vectors [71–75] or viral vectors [76] are commonly used. Plasmid vectors have been successfully used in mammalian cells in vitro by simple transfection. Plasmid vectors need to cross the nuclear membrane for transcription. This is not a serious problem for cells actively growing in culture, because the nuclear membrane disappears during mitosis. For primary cells that are usually not actively dividing, delivering siRNA is more favorable than the plasmid. Viral vectors that can transduce non-dividing cells [77, 78] are usually used for introducing shRNA in primary cells. Lentiviral and other retroviral vectors have been used extensively for stable expression of shRNA due to their ability to insert into the host genome. Adeno-associated virus is non-pathogenic and can also achieve long term gene expression. Adenoviruses is known for its robust expression and herpes simplex virus is useful for its neuron-tropism [79]. However, immunogenicity and other safety issues are still great concerns of using viral vectors in human. On the other hand, molecular encapsulation of siRNA by non-viral vectors such as liposomes and other nanoparticles are preferred approach because of their low immunogenicity and high biocompatibility.
4.2 Strategies in lipid-based systemic siRNA delivery

There are many different types of non-viral delivery strategies. They include physical methods, such as hydrodynamic injection [80–82], particle bombardment [83] and electroporation [84–86], and chemical methods. Polymer, including proteins and peptides, mediated delivery of siRNA has been attempted by many investigators [87–90]. But this review will concentrate on the lipid-based vectors. Furthermore, only systematic deliveries will be discussed; local or topical deliveries will not be included. The following discussion is designed to address the two major barriers mentioned above, mainly using solid tumor as examples.

4.2.1 Kinetic barriers—Since safety and efficient delivery of siRNA is a necessary precondition for the delivery of a potential siRNA based therapy, the stability of the siRNA molecule becomes a major lingering issue [91]. In this regards, the in vivo stability of the siRNA molecule has been achieved by chemically modifying the basic RNA structure, and several methodologies are currently available for changing the backbone of the RNA molecule. It can not only lead to enhanced in vivo stability but also reduce in the dose of siRNA that is typically required for achieving a desired therapeutic effect. The strategies involve substituting the 2′-OH group on the ribose ring with –F, -O-Me, or simple –H groups to improve the stability of siRNA [92]. It has been observed that this type of selective substitution of the 2′-OH group does not reduce the efficiency of the RNAi effect [93]. Yet another modification is a phosphorothioate modification of the RNA backbone [70]. It has also been shown that linking a cholesterol molecule to the 3′ end of the sense strand of a siRNA molecule can suppress the siRNA degradation in a cell culture system [29, 80, 94]. This type of chemical modification has also been used widely on aptamer research. In fact, the most simple siRNA delivery design is the one that simply links siRNA with a RNA aptamer targeting prostate specific membrane antigen (PSMA) [95]. But the in vivo anti-cancer effect was only done with intra-tumor injection. Until now, no successful systemic delivery using the aptamer-siRNA chimera has been reported. It’s also worthy to note that in one study, simultaneous application of siRNA and aptamers against the transcription factor NF-κB could produce 90% knockdown, whereas either modality alone could only produce 60% inhibition [96]. Since aptamers bind and inhibit signaling protein in a different mechanism from that of RNAi, it provides another strategy for combination therapy with RNAi.

Cationic lipid formulations such as Lipofectamine 2000 [97, 98] or cardiolipin analogs [99, 100] have been widely used for in vitro plasmid DNA or siRNA delivery. By charge complementarities, negatively charged nucleic acids and positively charged lipids spontaneously form nanoparticles (called lipoplex) of 50 nm to 200 nm in diameter [101-103]. With optimal N/P (nitrogen to phosphate, or +/−) ratio (usually around 2 or 3), the complex has an overall positively charged surface and thus favors their interaction with cell membrane. Lipid-mediated siRNA transfection is at least 1000 fold more efficient than that of naked siRNA [98].

Though the information on the bio-distribution of the complex of siRNA with cationic liposomes is rather scarce [104–106], it depends on the colloidal properties of the complex as well as their interaction with blood components. Surface charge of the complex plays an important role in determining the final destination of the complex. Complexes with a strong anionic charge are usually absorbed by scavenger cells, resulting in a rapid elimination of the injected dose from the blood. A strong positive charge can also create problems. For example, following intravenous administration in mice, 60% of the dose of plasmid DNA delivered as a complex with cationic liposome accumulated in the liver. However, the level of transgene expression per microgram of DNA taken up in the tissue was a 1000-fold lower.
in the liver than in the lung. The decreased transgene expression in the liver may be due to
the rapid degradation of DNA following phagocytosis of the lipoplex by the Kupffer cells
[107]. This phenomenon falls into the same category of delivery problem for other colloidal
carriers, i.e. strong uptake by the reticuloendothelial system (RES), which include the
Kupffer cells in the liver [108]. The reason why unprotected lipoplex is prone to RES uptake
is because opsonins such as IgM, IgG, fibronectins, or complement C3 attaching to the bare
surface of lipoplex can attract phagocytic cells. One way to deal with this problem is to use
stealth liposome strategy with surface grafted carbohydrate or polyethylene glycol (PEG) for
protection and shielding the surface charge [109]. PEGylation of liposomes can increase the
circulation half life of the i.v. injected liposomes to 6–10h in mice and to about 40h in
human [110].

In a recent study, lipid-mediated delivery of a siRNA against apolipoprotein B (apoB) has
been used to target apoB mRNA in the liver. Administration of the apoB-specific siRNA
without a formulation or chemical conjugation showed no in vivo silencing activity even at
doses higher than 50 mg/kg [92]. However, more than 80% silencing of apoB mRNA and
apoB-100 protein could be achieved with a single 1 mg/kg dose of lipid-formulated siRNA
in a non-human primate [104]. The lipid formulation in this case contained the lipids 3-N-
[(ω-methoxypoly(ethylene glycol)2000) carbamoyl]-1,2-dimyrystoxyloxy-propylamine (PEG-
C-DMA), 1,2-dilinoleyloxy-N,N-dimethyl-3-aminopropane, (DLinDMA), 1,2-distearoyl-sn-
glycerol-3-phosphocholine (DSPC) and cholesterol, in a 2:40:10:48 molar ratio. A major
fraction of the siRNA delivered intravenously using this vector accumulated in the liver,
followed by spleen and small intestine. How much of the liver uptake was due to the
hepatocytes and how much due to the Kupffer cells was not known.

For delivering siRNA to solid tumor cells, it is important to review the work of Matsumura
and Maeda [111]. They discovered that macromolecules and colloidal nanoparticles could
accumulate in the solid tumor due to the leaky neovasculature of the tumor [112–115].
Accumulation of the Stealth liposomes [61] and other PEGylated colloidal systems [116,
117] in the tumor due to the so called Enhanced Permeability and Retention (EPR) effect is
also contributed by the fact that tumor lacks the lymphatic drainage like the normal tissues
[111, 118, 119]. It must be remembered that the degree of leakiness of the neovasculature
varies among different tumors [120]. Although the EPR effect has been demonstrated in
human [121–124], not all human tumors are equally leaky. For tumors with a not-so-leaky
neovasculature, nanoparticles with small size, e.g. less than 30 nm in diameter would be
desirable. Unfortunately, most of the self-assembled nanoparticles, lipid-based or polymer-
based, are larger than this size. Formulations with a small particle size may penetrate the
liver fenestrae and promote the uptake by the hepatocytes [125]. To provide improved
capacity and protection to siRNA, advanced core/shell lipid–based nanoparticles
(lipopolyplex) have been designed. The core consists of DNA (with or without siRNA)
condensed by a polycation by polyelectrolyte coacervation. The first such example was the
LPD (liposome-polycation-DNA) nanoparticles designed in our lab in the mid 90s [126]. To
deliver siRNA, we have used FDA approved protamine, a cationic polypeptide, to condense
siRNA with the help of the high molecular weight calf thymus DNA. The self-assembled
LPD nanoparticles were further modified by post-inserting a PEG-phospholipid conjugate
for surface protection [127]. Taking advantage of the EPR effect, the PEGylated LPD
nanoparticles can accumulate a high dose (60–80% injected dose per g of tissue) in the H460
lung cancer xenograft model [61]. Such very high tumor accumulation was not ligand
dependent, because particles with or without a targeting ligand, anisamide in this case [128],
the tumor uptake of the encapsulated siRNA was about the same [61]. However, due to the
presence of the sigma receptor on the H460 tumor cells, nanoparticles with anisamide were
internalized by the tumor cells. Nanoparticles without a targeting ligand stayed outside the
cells and accumulated in the interstitial fluid space in the tumor. siRNA delivered
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intracellularly by the anisamide targeted LPD nanoparticles almost completely silenced the target gene after three daily injections [61]. Although the result is already promising, confocal microscopy of tumor sections revealed that most of the fluorescently labeled siRNA were still retained in the endosomes in the tumor cells and were thus not bio-available [61]. Gene silencing could be accomplished by injecting a lower dose if the formulation can efficiently release the encapsulated siRNA from the endosomes to the cytoplasm. This subject is discussed in greater detail in the following section. In a similar approach, a plasmid DNA encoding a short hairpin RNA (shRNA) condensed by poly-L-lysine (PLL) into a Multifunctional Envelope type Nano Device (MEND) has been developed by the Harashima group [129]. This system produced 96% inhibition of the marker luciferase gene expression in the target cells.

It is important to mention that the LPD formulation contained 10 mol % surface grafted PEG-phospholipid conjugate [61]. It is known that lipid bilayer can maximally tolerate only about 5–6 mol % of PEGylation. This is due to the detergent-like activity of the PEG-phospholipid conjugate which lyses liposomes at high concentrations. The LPD formulation could be modified with a high amount of the conjugate because the lipid bilayer on the surface of the LPD is stabilized by charge-charge interaction with the negatively charged core. The high degree of PEGylation is probably the reason why the formulation is not taken up by the liver and spleen to a significant degree [61]. The injected dose could then extravasate into the tumor via the leaky neovasculature, i.e. the epr effect.

4.2.2 Physical barriers—After a siRNA formulation gains access to the target cells, the next step is to cross the cell membrane and enter into their action site—cytoplasm. Different ligands such as cell penetrating peptides, proteins, antibodies (including Fab, scFv, etc.), aptamers, and small molecular weight ligands have been used for binding and triggering endocytosis. But only being endocytosed is not sufficient. In fact, the most challenging part in siRNA delivery field now is how to get the siRNA out of the endosomes intactly. This requires both endosome escape and sufficient de-assembling of the formulation. Fig. 1 shows the overall endosome escape mechanisms for lipid mediated siRNA delivery. The details will be discussed below.

5. Endosome escape

5.1 Ion-pair formation (fusogenic property of liposomes & inverted hexagonal phase)

The mechanism by which cationic lipoplex triggers endosome release has been proposed by Xu & Szoka [130]. After endocytosis, cationic lipids form ion pairs with the anionic lipids in the endosome membrane and thus destabilize the endosomal membrane by excluding the surface bound water (Fig. 2) [130]. Electrostatic interaction between cationic lipid and anionic lipid could further promote the formation of the inverted hexagonal (HII) phase as purposed by Cullis et al [131]. Lipids with small and/or less hydrophilic head group and bulky acyl or alkyl chains favor HII phase formation. Thus, cationic lipid with a C18:2 alkyl chains showed higher delivery efficiency than the one with C18:1 chains [131]. DOTAP, containing two C18:1 acyl chains, is a commonly used cationic lipid for transfection. DSTAP, a close analog of DOTAP but with two C18:0 chains, is not. HII phase is an intermediate structure when two lipid bilayers fuse with each other [132, 133]. In the process of fusion, both bilayers are destabilized. Thus, the ion-pair formation between the cationic lipids in the lipoplex and the anionic lipids in the endosome membrane not only destabilizes the endosome membrane, but also promote the de-assembly of the lipoplex. Ion-pair formation requires close contact of the lipids with opposite charges. PEGylation of liposomes or LPD may significantly reduce the interactions between the cationic lipids and the endosomal lipids due to steric hindrance. Since PEGylation of the vector is often required to overcome the kinetic barriers as discussed above, this is a dilemma in the
delivery of nucleic acid therapeutics. Tunable stealth liposomes [134], cleavable PEG-lipid linker [135], or acid labile PEG molecule design could be the answers to this problem.

Besides cationic lipids, other protein transduction domain such as the famous HIV-1 Tat, Drosophila Antennapedia transcription factor, herpes simplex virus type-1 VP22 transcription factor, or even just simple oligo-arginine (R8, R9) peptides also show the ion-pair effect. It is interesting to note that many protein transduction domains contain arginine, and not lysine. For example, oligo-arginine, but not oligo-lysine, shows a membrane penetration activity [136]. Sakai & Matile [137] showed that the presence of multiple guanidinium cations is important to their ion-pair formation in endosome that leads to efficient endosome escape. The reason why oligo-lysine, which also has one positive charge on each amino acid residue, does not work as well as oligo-arginine is a very interesting question. Sakai & Matile proposed that this is because a guanidinium cation contains a delocalized positive charge that can interact with an anionic group which also contains a delocalized negative charge. It is interesting to note that naturally occurring anionic groups in biological molecules, including phosphate, carboxyl and sulfate, all contain the delocalized negative charge. Fig. 3 demonstrates the ion-pair formation between the delocalized positive charge of arginine and the delocalized negative charge of the phosphate group. Please note that in addition to the charge-charge interaction, H-bondings are also involved in the ion-pair. Note that the natural DNA condensing molecule in the sperm, i.e., protamine, is a polypeptide containing many arginines.

### 5.2 Proton sponge effect

Unlike lipoplex that relies on the fusogenic property of the lipid bilayer to mediate endosomal escape, polymeric carriers such as polyethylenimine (PEI) [138–141] are supposed to use the so-called “proton sponge” effect to enhance endosomal release of the endocytosed polyplex [142–145]. According to this mechanism, the unprotonated 1°-, 2°- and 3°-amines in a polymer will show different pKa values due to crowding of the amines and will confer a buffering effect over a wide range of pH. The buffering may protect the siRNA from degradation in the endosomal compartment during the maturation of the early endosomes to late endosomes and their subsequent fusion with the lysosomes. The buffering property allows the polycation polyethylenimine (PEI) to escape from the endosome. This is because at the typically lower pH of the endosomes, the buffering capacity of PEI causes an influx of protons, chloride ions and water into the endosomes, which eventually leads to swelling and burst the endosome due to increased osmotic pressure. PEI is not the only polymer has this buffering property, polymers containing crowded histidines (imidazoles) or morpholinos also show the same effect [146]. In fact, the problem of PEI is its high cell toxicity (especially the high MW PEI [141, 143, 147, 148]), although the purified PEI polyplex show reduced toxicity and could be useful in vivo [149].

Although Verkman et al. did a beautiful piece of work showing chloride accumulation in the endosomes containing the internalized polyplex and chloride release after osmotic swelling [145], they did not provide direct evidence showing that the polyplex itself was released to the cytoplasm. In fact, the protonation of the 2°- and 3°-amines in PEI may lead to even stronger association with the bound DNA or siRNA and make it more difficult to deassemble. Boeckle et al. showed that the presence of free unbound PEI 4h after transfect with purified polyplex can increase gene expression, probably by helping polyplex release form the endosomes [150]. Thus, free PEI may form ion pair with negatively charged endosome lipids and destabilize endosome membrane by excluding the surface water just like cationic lipids as discussed above. If so, we predict that free oligo-arginine would perform a better job than free PEI for reasons mentioned above. It is likely that proton sponge effect itself might not be sufficient for endosome escape of the endocytosed DNA or siRNA. Ion-pair formation may play a more important role in this case.
5.3 De-assembly

The siRNA complex must de-assemble sufficiently in the endosome or in the cytoplasm so that the released siRNA could access the RISC complex for gene silencing. The requirement is the same in any other lipid- or polymer-based DNA/siRNA condensing formulation. If the complex or condensed core is still bind tightly in the endosome, the size of the complex may be too large for the membrane holes that generated during the endosome rupture and will not escape. Strategies like enzyme active linker, acid labile cross-linkers [151], pH-sensitive detergent [152], thermal sensitive liposomes [153], or reductive environment sensitive disulfide cross-linker [154–156] have been explored to improve efficient self de-assembly of the nanoparticles. Clever design with efficient de-assembly could also involve the release of free membrane destabilizing molecules like cationic lipid, PEI or cationic peptides (for example, R8) and thus helps endosome destabilization. Ideally, sufficient de-assembly and endosome escape should occur at the same time. If successful, the required dose for siRNA based therapy could be reduced dramatically.

6. Toxicity

6.1 siRNA-mediated immune stimulation

Toxicity is a major concern when a formulation is designed for human therapeutic purpose. siRNA duplex (generally 19–21 base pairs) was originally thought to be immunologically inert. It is sufficiently short to bypass the cell’s intrinsic anti-viral response that mediated by the recognition of PKR (dsRNA-binding protein kinase) [157], the RNA helicase RIG-I (retinoic acid-inducible gene-I) [158], and MDA-5 (melanoma differentiation-associated protein-5) [159] in the cytoplasm. But recently, it has been shown that siRNA is capable of induce the production of type I interferon (IFN-α and IFN-β) via activating of PKR [160–162] or recognition by toll-like receptor 7, 8 and 9 (TLR 7/8/9) [163–165] especially with the aid of delivery systems such as lipoplex [166]. This can further lead to the production of other inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin-6 (IL-6), tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), and other pro-inflammatory chemokines [167] as well. Judge and MacLachlan et al. showed that the recognition of siRNA by innate immune system is sequence specific [168]. Some investigators misinterpreted their siRNA therapeutics results because they used a GFP siRNA as a control which showed unusually low immuno-stimulatory activity. Kleinman et al. also showed that non-targeted control siRNA could suppress angiogenesis as good as siRNAs targeting vascular endothelial growth factor-A (VEGFA) or its receptor VEGFR1 via cell-surface TLR3 [169]. Non-specific angiogenesis inhibition and immune stimulation are the toxicities which can confuse the data interpretation. It may be advantageous that siRNA itself can induce immune response as a synergistic effect in cancer treatment [170] or viral infection therapy. But it can be problematic for many other therapeutic purposes. Strategies such as selective incorporation of 2’-O-methyl uridine or guanosine nucleosides into one strand of siRNA duplex to overcome the immune response stimulated by siRNA have been reported [171] and reviewed by Judge and MacLachlan [167].

6.2 Carrier-mediated side effect (focused on lipid-based systems)

Although lipid-based drug delivery systems are far less toxic than the viral systems, they are not without overt toxicity. First, opsonins can attach to the charged surface of lipoplex as previously mentioned and thus activate the complement pathway. Studies showed that protecting the charged surface with PEG can prevent this side effect [172]. Second, in many lipoplex delivery systems, pulmonary inflammation and increased TNF-α, IL-6, IL-12, and interferon γ (INF-gamma;) have been observed [173–182]. This is mainly attributed to the unmethylated CpG motifs in the plasmid DNA. To minimize the cytokine response, strategies such as using methylated DNA [183] or non-bacterial amplification fragments [184] and incorporating anti-inflammatory molecule into liposomes have been reported.
[178, 185, 186]. For the LPD formulation [61], calf-thymus DNA was used as a carrier for siRNA. Calf-thymus DNA was selected because it contains fewer unmethylated CpG motifs than the bacterial plasmid DNA and was thus less immuno-stimulatory. For human use it is important to replace the DNA with other more acceptable carriers. Hyaluronic acid (HA) is a highly negatively charged natural polymer. The new Liposome-protamine-HA (LPH) nanoparticles significantly reduced the serum cytokine levels and still showed similar characteristics and siRNA delivery capabilities comparable to the previous LPD formulation [187]. Furthermore, recent data from this lab also showed that a particular cationic lipid, 1,2-dioleoyl-3-trimethylammonium propane (DOTAP) not only played the role in transfection but also stimulated dendritic cells and thus functioned as an adjuvant for vaccines [188, 189]. DOTAP at low concentration stimulated pERK which is an anti-apoptotic protein. When DOTAP was a part of the LPD formulation for siRNA, it protected the tumor cells from death (Chen et al. unpublished). The undesirability of the formulation was successfully eliminated by replacing DOTAP with another cationic lipid.

7. Examples of lipid-based siRNA delivery

Although there is still much to improve, lipid-based delivery system has been successfully used in siRNA therapy. For example, Zimmermann et al. [104] developed a stable nucleic acid lipid particle (SNALP) for delivering siRNA against apoB to the liver of monkeys. Significant apoB protein, low-density lipoprotein, and cholesterol level reduction can be achieved and lasted for up to 11 days. Halder et al. used their neutral liposomal formulation to deliver anti-FAK siRNA to three different human ovarian tumor bearing nude mice. Four days inhibition of FAK in tumor tissue and up to 72% mean tumor weight reduction was observed [190]. Recently, they reported that anti IL-8 siRNA delivery could reduce the micro-vessel density and the mean tumor weight by 32% and 52% in HeyA8 and SKOV3ip1 mouse models [191]. Since their lipid formulation was neutral, it is unclear how endosome escape could take place. Morrissey et al. showed that siRNA against HBV encapsulated by the polyethylene glycol (PEG) modified liposomes could reduce HBV titer in the mouse liver [192]. Li et al. [61, 69, 193] developed a modified LPD (liposome-polycation-DNA) formulation which was PEGylated and targeted with anisamide. The formulation was very effective in delivering siRNA to sigma receptor expressing tumor cells. Specifically, MDM2, c-myc, and VEGF siRNA combination was delivered to the pulmonary metastasis model of melanoma. Significant tumor growth and inhibition was observed (Fig.4).

8. Summary

Lipid-based drug delivery system has been widely accepted in the clinic, especially in chemotherapy, for more than two decades. Now, lipid-based delivery system also works promisingly and safely in systemic siRNA delivery. Although the recent success is encouraging, there still remains much room for improvement. If efficient tissue targeting and endosome escape can be achieved at the same time, the effective dose required for RNAi-based therapy may reduce significantly. This is important for two reasons. RNA is still an expensive drug. Lower dose would mean lower cost of manufacture. At the same time, the off-target side effect of siRNA [194, 195] would also be reduced. The challenge of siRNA delivery will remain the focus of much research in drug delivery in the near future. Acknowledgment: The original work in this lab has been supported by NIH grant CA129825. References:
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Fig. 1. Endosome escape in lipoplex mediated siRNA delivery
A. Lipoplex containing siRNA (shown as orange lipid bilayer and red siRNA) with PEG and targeting ligand on the tip (shown as blue circle) are taken up by target cell via receptor mediated endocytosis.
B. The cationic lipid of the lipoplex forms ion pairs with the anionic endosomal lipid (PEG molecules may leave the lipoplex spontaneously or under appropriate design) and can further form the inverted hexagonal phase (HII). This leads to the fusion of the lipoplex with endosomal membrane and release the siRNA into cytoplasm.
C. Lipoplex containing molecules having buffer capacity in endosomal pH range can trigger proton sponge effect that causes the influx of Cl⁻ and swelling of the endosome.
D. Free highly positive charged molecules (shown with orange colored cationic lipid and purple colored PEI or oligo-arginine) can interact with anionic endosomal membrane and destabilize it by excluding water.
E. Intact lipoplex may escape from the ruptured endosome and de-assemble in the cytoplasm and release siRNA if the particle is not too large for the “holes” of the ruptured endosome.
F. Lipoplex may also de-assemble inside the endosome and directly release siRNA out of the ruptured endosome.
Fig. 2.
Proposed mechanism of endosome escape by lipoplex (figure taken from reference 128 with permission). When lipoplex is endocytosed (step 1), the cationic lipids can interact with the anionic membrane lipids in the early endosome by ion-pair formation (step 2). Thus, it destabilizes the endosome membrane (step 3) with the formation of the inverted hexagonal phase (H_{II}), indicated by the red circle, as proposed by Cullis et al [131]. At the end, it causes the release of cargos (showed as plasmid DNA) to the cytoplasm (step 4).
Fig. 3.
Difference between oligo-arginine and oligo-lysine in ion-pairing with negatively charged group. Oligo-arginine has delocalized positively charged guanidinium (B) groups that can interact strongly with delocalized anion phosphate groups. Note that H-bondings are also involved in the interaction. On the other hand, the positive charged ammonium groups (A) of oligo-lysine are not delocalized. Figure is reproduced from reference [137] with permission.
Fig. 4.
LPD nanoparticles (NP) deliver siRNA for metastatic tumor growth inhibition. Mice were i.v. injected with lung-homing B16F10 melanoma cells. After 10 days, mice were intravenously injected twice with siRNA (0.45 mg/kg, MDM2/c-myc/VEGF = 1:1:1, weight ratio). Six days later, the mice were sacrificed for examination of tumor growth. The lungs of individual mice were photographed. Only the mice injected with the targeted NP containing therapeutic siRNA showed significant reduction in tumor load. Figure is reproduced from reference [69] with permission.