Misdirection of regenerating motor axons after nerve injury and repair in the rat sciatic nerve model
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Abstract

Misdirection of regenerating axons is one of the factors that can explain the poor results often found after nerve injury and repair. In this study, we quantified the degree of misdirection and the effect on recovery of function after different types of nerve injury and repair in the rat sciatic nerve model; crush injury, direct coaptation, and autograft repair. Sequential tracing with retrograde labeling of the peroneal nerve before and 8 weeks after nerve injury and repair was performed to quantify the accuracy of motor axon regeneration. Digital video analysis of ankle motion was used to investigate the recovery of function. In addition, serial compound action potential recordings and nerve and muscle morphometry were performed. In our study, accuracy of motor axon regeneration was found to be limited; only 71% (±4.9%) of the peroneal motoneurons were correctly directed 2 months after sciatic crush injury, 42% (±4.2%) after direct coaptation, and 25% (±6.6%) after autograft repair. Recovery of ankle motion was incomplete after all types of nerve injury and repair and demonstrated a disturbed balance of ankle plantar and dorsiflexion. The number of motoneurons from which axons had regenerated was not significantly different from normal. The number of myelinated axons was significantly increased distal to the site of injury. Misdirection of regenerating motor axons is a major factor in the poor recovery of nerves that innervate different muscles. The results of this study can be used as basis for developing new nerve repair techniques that may improve the accuracy of regeneration.
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Introduction

Functional results after nerve injury and repair are often disappointing (Sunderland, 1991). Several factors that influence the results of regeneration have been identified, including the type of injury (sharp or blunt trauma or traction), location of the injury, time between the injury and surgery (Fu and Gordon, 1995a,b; Birch et al., 1998), and the age of the patient.
Misdirection of regenerating axons is also a factor that may explain poor functional recovery (Brushart, 1991). After repair of motor nerves, misdirection may lead to cocontraction of muscles or synkinesis. After repair of a sensory nerve, misdirection may lead to disturbed sensory function even years after the injury (Dyck et al., 1988).

Misdirection has been investigated experimentally with different tools and techniques, including Y-shaped tubes (Politis, 1985; Abernethy et al., 1992), selective muscle contraction measurements (Bernstein and Guth, 1961; Zhao et al., 1992), and compound muscle action potential recordings (Evans et al., 1991). Different retrograde tracing techniques have also been used to investigate the accuracy of motor and sensory nerve regeneration, including single labeling (Brushart and Mesulam, 1980; Aldskogius and Thomander, 1986; Aldskogius et al., 1987), simultaneous double labeling (Brushart, 1988, 1993; Madison et al., 1996), and sequential double labeling (Rende et al., 1991; Bodine-Fowler et al., 1997; Brushart et al., 2005), and recently, a new technique that uses mice with a fluorescent marker in a subset of their axons has been introduced (Nguyen et al., 2002; Witzel et al., 2005). The results of these studies all suggest that the accuracy of regeneration is limited. However, little is known about the extent of misdirection and the effect on the recovery of function, especially in the repair of motor nerves that innervate different distal target muscles. This becomes of more interest as new repair techniques that may more accurately guide regeneration become available (eg, selective nerve growth factors and nerve guides with a more complex microarchitecture).

In this study, we investigated misdirection in the rat sciatic nerve model after different types of nerve injury; crush injury vs transection injury, and repair techniques; direct coaptation vs autograft repair (in clinical practice, direct coaptation repair is always attempted first in case of a transection injury; in case a nerve graft is needed to bridge a defect, the autograft is still the gold standard of repair). Two recently introduced methods were used to evaluate results: sequential retrograde tracing (Puigdollivol-Sanchez et al., 2000, 2002, 2003, 2006) and digital video ankle motion analysis (Varejao et al., 2002, 2003; de Ruiter et al., 2007). Sequential retrograde tracing was performed to quantify the accuracy of motor axons for regeneration to the original target nerve (Fig. 1). Ankle motion was analyzed to investigate the effect of misdirection on the recovery of function. Markers placed on the leg of the rat were automatically tracked for the change in ankle angle (Fig. 1). Ankle motion analysis is sensitive in detecting differences in effect on ankle plantar flexion and dorsiflexion after separate sciatic, tibial, and peroneal nerve crush injuries. Also, it is a more sensitive method than the sciatic function index, currently the standard method for assessment of function in the rat sciatic nerve model. In addition, compound muscle action potential (CMAP) recordings were made every other week, nerve and muscle morphometry were performed at the end of the experiment, and correlations between results of the different evaluation methods were investigated.

The results presented in this study can be used as a baseline for future experiments that focus on the improvement of regeneration after nerve injury and repair.

**Materials and methods**

**Experimental groups**

All animals (n=34), Sprague–Dawley rats (250–275 g), were randomly assigned to one of the experimental groups of crush injury, direct coaptation, or autograft repair. In the sequential tracing experiment, 4 animals per group were used. In 4 animals, the efficacy of the tracing method was determined on the contralateral (normal) side. In the motion analysis experiment, 5 animals per group were used and normal ankle motion was analyzed in 3 control animals. CMAP recordings and nerve and muscle morphometry were performed in the animals used in the motion analysis experiment (except for the control values that were obtained from a

All procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and performed according to the animal care guidelines of Mayo Foundation.

**Surgical procedures and postoperative care**

Animals were anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of a mixture of 80 mg/kg ketamine and 2.5 mg/kg xylazine. The sciatic nerve was exposed through a dorsal gluteal-splitting approach with the aid of a Zeiss operating microscope. The nerve was either crushed by applying maximal force with a smooth forceps for 5 s or transected with sharp microscissors 2 mm distally from the white line formed by the fascia of the paraspinal muscles. The transection injury was repaired immediately by direct coaptation of the nerve ends, with optimal fascicular alignment, with 4 10-0 monofilament sutures (Ethicon, Inc, Somerville, New Jersey). For autograft repair, in addition to the proximal transection injury site, a second transection injury site was made 1 cm distally by transection of the tibial and peroneal branches (Fig. 1A). Both injury sites were repaired microsurgically. For the distal repair site, the tibial and peroneal nerves were repaired separately with 4 and 2 10-0 sutures, respectively. The wound was closed in layers. Buprenorphine hydrochloride (Reckitt Benckiser Healthcare, Slough, United Kingdom) was administered subcutaneously just before and 12 h after the repair for prevention of pain. During follow-up, animals were housed separately in cages, with a 12-hour light–dark cycle. Water and food were available ad libitum. The hindlimbs that had been operated on were sprayed daily with Chewguard (Butler Corporation, Greensboro, North Carolina) to prevent autotomy. A wire mesh was placed inside the cages for exercise enrichment and to prevent contractures (Strasberg et al., 1996). In addition, manual physiotherapy (by passively moving ankle) was performed once a week.

**Sequential retrograde tracing**

One week before injury, 1 μL of 5% diamidino yellow (DY) solution (EMS-Chemie, Groß-Umstadt, Germany) was injected, with a 25-gauge needle attached to a scaled Hamilton syringe, into the peroneal nerve (15 mm from the intended injury site) (Fig. 1B). Eight weeks after injury, the peroneal nerve was transected proximal to the injection site of DY tracer, and the proximal nerve end was placed in 1.5 μL of 5% fast blue (FB) solution (EMS-Chemie) for 30 min. After the application of FB, the nerve stump was cleaned with 0.9% saline and sutured into surrounding fat tissue to prevent leakage of the tracer. (The method of preventing tracer leakage was validated by simultaneous application of FB and DY to the tibial and peroneal nerve branches, respectively, in normal animals, after which no double-labeled profiles were found.) The same procedures and time intervals were used to determine the labeling efficiency in control animals. Six days after the application of FB tracer, the animals were perfused with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and 4% paraformaldehyde in 10% sucrose solution. Spinal cord segments L1–6 were removed and postfixed overnight in a solution of 15% sucrose in PBS. Tissue was embedded in a tissue freezing medium (TFM; TBS, Durham, North Carolina) and stored at −80 °C until being sectioned.

Longitudinal 30-μm-thick sections were cut on a cryostat at −20 °C. Slides were evaluated immediately with a fluorescent microscope (Axioplan 2, Carl Zeiss, Inc., Oberkochen, Germany) with a DAPI filter set (360/400-nm band-pass excitation filter, 440-nm long-pass emission filter, and 400-nm dichroic beam splitter) at ×20 magnification with a Plan Apochromat ×20/0.75 objective (Carl Zeiss, Inc.). Neuronal profiles were counted in every section by an observer blinded to the experimental groups. Only profiles with a visible nucleus were counted. Profiles with blue cytoplasm and dark nuclei were counted as FB-labeled cells, and those with yellow nuclei and dark cytoplasm as DY-labeled cells. Profiles with blue
cytoplasm and yellow nuclei were counted as double-labeled FB–DY cells (Fig. 1). No corrections were made for lost caps of motoneuron nuclei or double counting of split motoneuron nuclei. The total number of regenerated profiles was calculated by adding the number of single FB-labeled and double FB–DY-labeled profiles. The percentage of correctly directed peroneal motoneurons was calculated by dividing the number of double-labeled profiles by the total number of DY-labeled profiles (single- and double-labeled DY). Labeling efficiency was determined from the percentage of double labeling in normal animals. Spinal distributions of profiles (rostrocaudal and anteroposterior) were microscopically analyzed in all sections.

Two-dimensional digital video ankle motion analysis

Ankle motion was analyzed 1 week after nerve injury and repair and every other week starting 2 weeks after sciatic nerve crush injury and 4 weeks after direct suture and autograft repair. For the analysis of ankle motion, rats were briefly anesthetized with isoflurane inhalation (Abbott Animal Health, North Chicago, Illinois). The left leg was shaved. Black dot markers (Sharpie, Sanford Manufacturing, Chicago, Illinois) were placed on bony landmarks of the tibia, lateral malleolus, calcaneus, and fifth metatarsal to create a two-dimensional (2D) biomechanical model of the ankle (Fig. 1) (Varejao et al., 2002). Animals were placed in a transparent runway (120 cm long, 12 cm wide, 30 cm high) and filmed using a 60-Hz digital camera (DinionXF CCD Camera; Bosch Security Systems, Fairport, New York) that was positioned on a tripod 1 m perpendicular to the runway. Rats were trained to walk inside the runway by shifting a black box from one end to the other. Trials of the rat running from the right to the left side were selected because of the presence of 1 complete step cycle and a total duration of the step cycle of 0.25 to 0.50 s. After filming, the digital videos were processed using motion analysis software (Vicon Peak, Centennial, Colorado) that automatically tracks the markers on the leg of the rat in each frame of the video. The data were filtered with a Butterworth filter set at 6 Hz recorded with Vicon software. The results of ankle motion after crush injury, direct coaptation, and autograft repair were compared for the value of the ankle angle at different moments during the step cycle: midstance (MSt), the moment the right foot in the air crosses the left foot in the stance (that bears the weight); toe-off (TO), the moment the left foot comes off the runway (in normal animals, the moment of maximum plantar flexion); and midswing (MSw), the moment the left foot crosses the right foot in the stance (in normal animals, the moment of maximal dorsiflexion). Data for the ankle angles were reported in degrees from the neutral position of the ankle angle (the plantar surface of the foot perpendicular to the tibia), with dorsiflexion being positive and plantar flexion being negative (Fig. 1C).

Compound muscle action potential recording

After the animals were filmed, they were (again) briefly anesthetized with ketamine and xylazine. CMAPs were recorded in the tibial- and peroneal nerve-innervated foot muscles using a Nicolet Viking IV EMG machine (Viasys NeuroCare, Madison, Wisconsin). Fine needle electrodes were placed in the injured/operated leg of the rat; recording electrodes were placed in the plantar and dorsal foot muscles, and stimulating electrodes were placed directly posterior to the tibia, with approximately 5 mm between the distal cathode and proximal anode. The stimulating electrodes were adjusted locally to produce maximal CMAP amplitude. The stimulus was increased incrementally to produce a supramaximal response.

Nerve morphometry

At the end of the follow-up period (16 weeks), the sciatic nerve was reexposed and fixed in situ with 2.5% glutaraldehyde in PBS for 30 min (Dyck et al., 2005). A 1-mm nerve segment was transected/selected 2 mm distal to the site of injury. The nerve specimen was immersed
(immediately) in glutaraldehyde overnight and postfixed in 1% osmium tetroxide and embedded in spur resin. Semithin (1 μm) sections were cut on an ultramicrotome with a glass knife and stained with 1% p-phenylenediamine. Sections were analyzed with the imaging system for nerve morphometry (Dyck et al., 2005) for the total number of myelinated fibers/nerve, mean size and size distribution of myelinated fibers, and mean myelin thickness (Dyck et al., 2005).

**Muscle morphometry**

For analysis of the total muscle fiber surface area and mean muscle fiber size after nerve injury and repair, the soleus muscle was resected from the left limb and imbedded in tissue freezing medium (TBS) using isopentane and liquid nitrogen. Transverse 10-μm-thick sections were cut on a cryostat (at −20 °C) from the midbelly of the muscle and were stained for myofibrillar ATPase (at pH 9.4), according to the method described by Brooke and Kaiser (1969), which stains slow (type I) fibers light and fast (type II) fibers dark. The total muscle fiber surface area (without areas of fibrosis or vessels) was determined with the KS400 system (Zeiss, Version 3.0) (Vleggeert-Lankamp et al., 2005). The number of type I and type II fibers was counted in every section. The mean muscle fiber size was calculated from the muscle fiber surface area and the total number of muscle fibers.

**Statistical analysis**

All results are reported as the mean±SD, unless stated otherwise. The 2-tailed Student t test was used for comparisons between 2 groups, with the assumption that the data were normally distributed. Repeated measures ANOVA was used for comparison of 3 or more groups.

Linear correlations (Pearson) were investigated between the mean percentages of correctly directed peroneal motoneurons and the mean ankle angles at 8 and 16 weeks after crush injury, direct coaptation, and autograft repair. Linear correlations were also investigated between the mean quantitative results of regeneration and the mean ankle angles at 16 weeks.

**Results**

**Sequential retrograde tracing**

The distribution of retrogradely labeled profiles within the anterior horn of the spinal cord after sequential tracing of the peroneal nerve was changed after nerve injury and repair compared with the normal distribution of peroneal motoneurons in control animals; single-labeled FB profiles were also present or found in an area that normally is occupied exclusively by tibial motoneurons, suggesting misdirection of regenerating axons originating from the tibial motoneuron pool into the peroneal nerve branch (Fig. 2). This area was also used to exclude extreme cases of DY tracer reuptake; the presence of double-labeled FB–DY profiles in this area would indicate reuptake of persistent DY tracer by misdirected axons from tibial profiles. In no case were double-labeled FB–DY profiles found in this area.

The number of FB, DY, and FB–DY profiles counted after crush injury, direct coaptation, and autograft repair is listed in Table 1. The number of single-labeled FB profiles represents the total number of tibial motoneurons from which axons had regenerated to the peroneal nerve after injury and repair. Correction for incomplete labeling of peroneal motoneurons by the first DY injection was not necessary because of the high labeling efficacy in normal animals (91% ±2.4%). The number of single-labeled DY profiles represents the total number of peroneal motoneurons from which axons had regenerated to the tibial nerve branch. No corrections were made for inclusion of nonregenerated peroneal motoneurons because of the high number of profiles from which axons had regenerated to the peroneal nerve: crush injury (401±227), direct coaptation (342±92), and autograft repair (372±17) (numbers not significantly different from...
the normal number of peroneal profiles (427±54, ANOVA, P=.36). The number of double-labeled FB–DY profiles represents the number of correctly directed peroneal motoneurons. Thus, the percentages of correctly directed peroneal motoneurons, calculated for the number of correctly directed profiles (FB–DY) divided by the total number of profiles that was labeled before injury with DY (DY and FB–DY), were 71±4.9% after crush injury, 42±4.2% after direct coaptation repair, and 25±6.6% after autograft repair (P<.001).

An interesting difference was found in the distribution of differently labeled profiles after crush injury versus transection injury and repair. After crush injury, single-labeled FB and double-labeled FB–DY profiles were more segregated, whereas after direct coaptation and autograft repair, profiles were all intermingled.

Two-dimensional digital video ankle motion analysis

No contractures of the ankle were present after sciatic crush injury, direct suture, or autograft repair. Autotomy was not observed after sciatic crush injury but was seen in 1 animal after direct suture and in 2 animals after autograft repair.

All ankle angles decreased after sciatic crush injury, direct coaptation, and autograft repair. The angle at TO showed decreased plantar flexion (Fig. 3A). The angle at MSw showed decreased dorsiflexion (Fig. 3B). The angle at MSt showed decreased ability to support the body weight (Fig. 3C).

After sciatic crush injury all angles had recovered to normal values 4 weeks after injury (TO, P=.45; MSw, P=.27; MSt, P=.26). However, after that, the angles at MSt and MSw showed increased plantar flexion compared with normal (P=.047 and .04, respectively, at 14 weeks [Figs. 3B and C], but not quite significant at 16 weeks, P=.09 and .08).

Recovery of the different ankle angles after direct coaptation and autograft repair was incomplete. At the end of the experiment (16 weeks), the angles at TO, MSw, and MSt were still significantly different from normal (direct coaptation repair: TO, P=.04; MSw, P=.007; MSt, P=.25; autograft repair: TO, P=.01; MSw, P=.08; MSt, P=.002). The best recovery was observed for the angle at TO (Fig. 3A). Sixteen weeks after direct coaptation repair, the angle had recovered to about 67% of normal (angle TO in normal animals, −15.0°; 1 week after direct coaptation repair, 30°; and 16 weeks after repair, 0°; P=.003). For the angle at TO, recovery after autograft repair was poor (27%; angle at 16 weeks, 17.5°) and not significantly different from that after 1 week (P=.25).

The angle at MSw decreased further after direct coaptation and autograft repair (Fig. 3B); 8 weeks after direct coaptation repair, the angle had decreased even more (−0.83°) compared with the angle 1 week after transection of the nerve (24.7°, P=.047). Also 8 weeks after autograft repair, the angle had decreased further (17.8°, compared with 28.9° after 1 week), but not significantly (P=.42). After 8 weeks, the angle at MSt did not change; 16 weeks after direct coaptation repair, the angle (∼−2.83°) was still significantly different from that at 1 week (P=.04).

The angle at MSt did not recover significantly over time (Fig. 3C): 16 weeks after direct coaptation and autograft repair, the angles (68.6° and 62.3°, respectively) were not significantly different from the angles after 1 week (56.8° and 57.1°, P=.07 and .32).

Compound muscle action potential recordings

No CMAPs were recorded in the plantar and dorsal foot muscles 1 week after sciatic crush injury, direct suture, and autograft repair (Fig. 4). The first CMAPs were recorded 4 weeks after sciatic nerve crush injury and 6 weeks after direct suture and autograft repair. The CMAP
amplitude in the plantar foot muscles had recovered by 12 weeks after sciatic crush injury \((P=.02\) at 10 weeks and \(P=.28\) at 12 weeks) (Fig. 4A). After direct suture and autograft repair, CMAP amplitude recovered only slightly, and at the end of the experiment (at 16 weeks) it was still significantly different from that of normal animals \((P=.02\) and \(.002\), respectively). Similar results were found for CMAP amplitudes recorded in dorsal foot muscles (Fig. 4B) and the CMAP areas (Figs. 4C and D). The CMAP latency (Figs. 4E and F) was increased compared with normal after all types of nerve injury and repair. It decreased again to normal values 12 weeks after sciatic crush injury and 14 weeks after direct suture repair (although at the end of the experiment, there were still small differences after all types of nerve injury and repair compared with results in normal animals).

Nerve morphometry

After crush injury, the number of myelinated fibers was increased slightly compared with normal (Table 2), but they were also smaller and less myelinated (Fig. 5). After direct suture and autograft repair, there were more myelinated fibers than after crush injury (direct coaptation repair, \(P=.002\), but not significantly after autograft repair, \(P=.68\)). These myelinated fibers were also smaller \((P<.001\) for crush injury and autograft repair) and less myelinated (although not significantly, \(P=.08\) and \(.49\), respectively).

Muscle morphometry

After crush injury, the total muscle fiber surface area and mean muscle fiber size were slightly decreased compared with normal (Table 3). After direct coaptation and autograft repair, the total muscle fiber surface area and mean muscle fiber size were even smaller than after crush injury, although not significantly \((P>.05\) for both comparisons). The number of muscle fibers was similar to the normal number after all types of nerve injury and repair. The distribution of type I and type II fibers, however, had changed after direct coaptation and autograft repair from predominantly type I in normal soleus muscles to more type II than type I in reinnervated soleus muscles.

Correlations

There were no significant correlations between the percentages of correctly directed peroneal motoneurons and the ankle angles after crush injury, direct coaptation, and autograft repair at 8 weeks \((TO, P=.05; MSw, P=.49; MST, P=.51)\) or at 16 weeks \((TO, P=.09; MSw, P=.62; MST, P=.33)\). Also, there were no significant correlations between the quantitative results of regeneration and the ankle angles at 16 weeks (Table 4), except for the mean myelinated diameter and the angle at MST \((r=0.9970\) and \(P=.0495)\) and the mean myelin thickness and the angle at MSw \((r=0.9999\) and \(P=.007)\).

Discussion

To improve functional results for patients with nerve injuries, it is important to investigate different factors that may contribute to recovery. One of these factors includes the number of axons that regenerate. Another important factor is the degree to which the original pathways are restored. In our study, the number of motoneurons whose axons had regenerated to the peroneal nerve after crush injury, direct suture, and autograft repair was not significantly different from the normal number of peroneal motoneurons. The number of myelinated fibers was increased after all types of nerve injury and repair. Although other factors (eg, time to reinnervation and quality of the axon) also have to be considered, our study of sequential tracing and ankle motion analysis shows that misdirection of regenerating axons is an important factor that may limit results after nerve injury and repair.
Accuracy of motor axon regeneration

The percentages of correctly directed peroneal motoneurons showed that the accuracy of motor axon regeneration is limited: only 71.4% of the peroneal motoneurons were correctly directed 2 months after crush injury, 42.0% after direct suture repair, and 25.1% after autograft repair. These percentages of correct routing were unexpectedly low.

The percentage after crush injury (71%) was low considering that clinical recovery after crush injury is often complete (Sunderland, 1991). Also experimentally, scores for the sciatic function index return to normal 4 weeks after a crush injury (Hare et al., 1992). This complete recovery after crush injury has been explained by the guidance of regenerating axons through their original basal lamina tubes (de Medinaceli, 1988; Nguyen et al., 2002). Thus, in our study, misdirection may have been caused by damage to the basal lamina tubes by the applied crush technique (Beer et al., 2001; Varejao et al., 2004). Others, however, have also found indications for the presence of some misdirection after crush injury (Swett et al., 1991; Molander and Aldskogius, 1992; Bodine-Fowler et al., 1997; Nguyen et al., 2002).

The percentages after direct coaptation (42.0%) and autograft repair (25%) were low even after maximal attempt to correct fascicular alignment. On the basis of the size of the peroneal motoneuron pool (31% of the rat sciatic nerve (Swett et al., 1986), this suggests that regeneration after transection of the nerve occurs at random. Although this finding supports earlier studies that indicated that reinnervation of muscles is nonspecific (Weiss and Taylor, 1944; Bernstein and Guth, 1961; Miledi and Stefani, 1969; Brushart and Mesulam, 1980; Gillespie et al., 1986; Abernethy et al., 1992) some studies have reported specificity (Bernstein and Guth, 1961; Beer et al., 2001). This discrepancy among different studies may be explained by several factors, including the size of the nerve and technical factors, as discussed below. The mechanism for misdirection, even with correct alignment, may be explained by dispersion of regenerating axons at the coaptation site, as demonstrated earlier by Ramón y Cajal (1928). Witzel et al. (2005) recently confirmed this finding using mice with a fluorescent marker in a subset of their axons and showed that regenerating axons have access to more than 100 basal lamina tubes.

Finally, the lowest percentage of correctly directed peroneal motoneurons after autograft repair can be explained by crisscrossing of regenerating axons at the distal tibial and peroneal coaptation sites, as recently demonstrated by Lutz (2004).

Although these percentages provide insight to the accuracy of regeneration after nerve injury and repair and can be used as a baseline for future experiments, additional factors must be considered in the interpretation of the results. First, the size of the nerve must be considered. Puigdellivol-Sanchez et al. (2006) recently found a much higher percentage of correctly directed tibial motoneurons (87%), although the same sequential tracing technique, animal model, and time points of evaluation were used as in our study. The difference (with 42% correctly directed peroneal motoneurons in our study) can be explained at least partly by the relatively larger size of the tibial nerve (Swett et al., 1986). Using simultaneous tracing of the tibial (FB) and peroneal (DY) nerves, we found that the sciatic nerve consists of about 61% tibial motoneurons and 39% peroneal motoneurons (de Ruiter GC, Spinner RJ, Malessy MJA, Moore MJ, Sorenson EJ, Currier BL, Yaszenski MJ, Windebank AJ, unpublished data.).

Second, the time point of evaluation must be considered. Pruning may later correct for misdirection (Birch et al., 1998). However, the relative importance of this mechanism in the repair of motor nerves that innervate different muscles has not been determined (see below). Third, the percentages of correctly directed motoneurons may also differ depending on the animal model and age of the animal (Robinson and Madison, 2006).
Fourth, factors concerning the sequential tracing technique must also be considered. We used a technique that was introduced by Puigdellivol-Sanchez et al. (2000). This technique has high labeling efficiency (91% after 8 weeks in our study) and does not cause marked damage to the nerve; also, there is no significant fading of the first tracer (DY) or blockage of uptake of the second one (FB) (Puigdellivol-Sanchez et al., 2000, 2002). However, a potential problem of the technique might be persistence of DY tracer at the injection site, resulting in an overestimation of the percentage of correct direction. Puigdellivol-Sanchez et al. (2003) estimated that the last accounts for about 17% of the DY labeling after 8 weeks. Review of their data demonstrated that this was in part due to 1 outlier. After exclusion of this outlier, the percentage decreased to 6%. We grossly determined persistence of DY tracer by examining the distribution of double-labeled profiles in an area of the anterior horn that is normally occupied exclusively by tibial motoneurons (Fig. 2) and found no sign of persistence of tracer.

Another potential problem of this sequential tracing technique is that no distinction can be made between profiles only labeled by the second tracer (FB) as a result of misdirection of tibial axons versus correctly directed peroneal motoneurons not labeled by the first tracer (DY). The technique also cannot distinguish between profiles only labeled by the first tracer (DY) as a result of misdirection of peroneal axons versus peroneal motoneurons that had not regenerated. This was not a problem in our study because of the high labeling efficiency and high total number of regenerated profiles after all types of injury and repair, but it must be considered, for example, in the analysis of repair techniques with lower numbers of regenerated motoneurons. A third tracer applied simultaneously with the second tracer to the tibial nerve branch might solve this problem. Finally, it must be noted that in this study we used an ideal autograft (mixed nerve and size-matched). Results may be different for clinical repair of motor nerves with multiple sensory sural nerve grafts (Sulaiman et al., 2002).

**Recovery of function**

Ankle motion analysis showed that misdirection may have an effect on recovery of function. After all 3 types of nerve injury and repair, the balance of ankle plantar and dorsiflexion was disturbed. Two months after crush injury, the angles of plantar flexion at MS1 and MS2 were increased, confirming previous results of Varejao et al. (2003). After direct coaptation and autograft repair, the maximal angle of dorsiflexion at MS2 was decreased further compared with the angle 1 week after transection of the nerve (Fig. 3B). This disturbed balance of plantar and dorsiflexion can be explained by the random regeneration of tibial and peroneal motoneurons resulting in a much higher percentage of correctly directed tibial motoneurons than of correctly directed peroneal motoneurons. The tibial motoneuron pool, as mentioned above, is significantly larger than the peroneal motoneuron pool. This random regeneration may even lead to more regenerated tibial than peroneal motoneurons in the peroneal nerve and, thus, to active plantar flexion during the swing phase. However, this was not investigated in our study with simultaneous CMAP recordings.

Ankle motion analysis also showed there probably is little adaptation for misdirected motoneurons; the angle at MS2 did not change significantly from 8 to 16 weeks after direct coaptation repair, and at 16 weeks, the angle was still significantly decreased compared with the angle 1 week after nerve transection. However, a longer period of follow-up may be needed. A mechanism to later correct for misdirection might be initial polyinnervation of muscles by axonal branches from the same motoneuron, followed by pruning of misdirected axon collaterals (Gorio et al., 1983; Hennig and Dietrichs, 1994). This mechanism would also explain the increased number of myelinated fibers after nerve injury and repair (Giannini et al., 1989) that has been found to subsequently decrease to normal values after 1 year (Mackinnon et al., 1991). However, the percentage of motoneurons with multiple projections to different muscles after sciatic nerve injury and repair is low (5.6% 90 days after autograft...
repair (Valero-Cabre et al., 2001)); thus, the effect of this mechanism on the recovery of function is questionable.

Another mechanism that may later correct for misdirection is central adaptation. The role of this mechanism after nerve injury and repair remains to be defined. Of note, we found that after sciatic nerve crush injury different labeled profiles were more organized in the anterior horn than they were after direct coaptation and autograft repair. This may have contributed to better functional recovery after crush injury. For example, central adaptation might be better for groups of motoneurons from which axons were misdirected to the same nerve branch than for intermingled motoneurons with correct and incorrect projections. This could also explain the closer to normal distribution of type I and type II muscle fibers after sciatic crush injury compared with more type II than type I fibers after direct coaptation and autograft repair.

Aside from accuracy of regeneration, other factors also contribute to the recovery of function after nerve injury and repair. In our study, CMAPs were recorded earlier after crush injury than after direct coaptation and autograft repair, probably as a result of staggered axonal regeneration across the coaptation site. A shorter period of denervation leads to better muscle (Fu and Gordon, 1995b) and functional recovery. Furthermore, myelinated fibers were smaller and less myelinated after direct suture and autograft repair than after crush injury (Fig. 5). This combined effect of different factors on the recovery of function can explain that no significant correlations were found in our study between the percentages of correctly directed peroneal motoneurons and the different ankle angles. Nevertheless, this study demonstrates that misdirection of regenerating motor axons (in addition to the degree of regeneration) is a significant factor that can explain the poor outcome after nerve injury and repair. The results of this study can be used as the baseline for the evaluation of new techniques of nerve repair that may improve the accuracy of regeneration—for example, for nerve tubes with a more advanced microarchitecture (multichannel nerve tube)—and the selective application of nerve growth factors.
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Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CMAP</td>
<td>compound muscle action potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DY</td>
<td>diamidino yellow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D</td>
<td>two-dimensional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FB</td>
<td>fast blue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSt</td>
<td>midstance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSw</td>
<td>midswing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>phosphate-buffered saline</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 1.
A. The rat sciatic nerve model with distal tibial and peroneal nerve branches that respectively innervate the gastrocnemius-soleus and anterior tibial muscles for ankle plantar and dorsiflexion. The proximal site of injury for all types of nerve injury and repair, the distal site of injury for autograft repair, and the site of tracer application are shown. B. Sequential retrograde tracing technique with injection of the first tracer (diamidino yellow [DY]) into the peroneal nerve 1 week before nerve injury and the second tracer (fast blue [FB]) 8 weeks after nerve injury and repair. C. 2D digital video analysis of the ankle angles at midstance (MSt), toe-off (TO), and midswing (MSw), reported in degrees from the neutral position, with plantar flexion being negative and dorsiflexion being positive. (Used with permission of Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research.)
Fig. 2.
Distribution of differently labeled profiles (diamidino yellow [DY], fast blue [FB], and FB–DY) for the number of profiles per longitudinal section taken from medial to lateral through the anterior horn. A, Normal distribution of tibial (blue) and peroneal (yellow) motoneurons after simultaneous tracing, with FB and DY application to the tibial and peroneal nerve branches, respectively. B, Distribution of profiles labeled by sequential tracing 8 weeks after autograft repair. In this case there were no signs of reuptake of persistent DY tracer because no double-labeled profiles were found in the area of the anterior horn normally occupied exclusively by tibial motoneurons (indicated by brackets, compare to A).
Fig. 3.
Recovery of ankle angles at toe-off (TO) (A), midswing (MSw) (B), and midstance (MSt) (C) after sciatic nerve crush injury and direct coaptation repair. Results for the recovery of ankle angles after autograft repair are not shown because these were not different from the results after direct coaptation repair except that the results were more variable after autograft repair (larger SD).
Fig. 4.
Recovery of compound muscle action potential (CMAP) amplitude (A, B), area (C, D), and latency (E, F) in the plantar (A, C, E) and dorsal foot muscles (B, D, F). White bars, results for normal animals; light gray bars, results after crush injury; dark gray bars, results after direct coaptation repair; black bars, results after autograft repair.
Fig. 5.
Size distribution of myelinated fibers (MF) after crush injury (A), direct coaptation (B), autograft repair (C).
Table 1

Comparison of single-labeled FB and DY profiles and double-labeled FB–DY profiles in the normal, crush injury, nerve suture, and autologous nerve graft repair groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>FB</th>
<th>DY</th>
<th>FB–DY</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Labeling efficacy, mean% (±SD)</th>
<th>Percentage of correctly directed profiles, b mean % (±SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>12±13</td>
<td>25±10</td>
<td>389±49</td>
<td>427±54</td>
<td>91.3 (± 2.4)</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crush injury</td>
<td>95±115</td>
<td>120±79</td>
<td>281±36</td>
<td>376±69</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>71.4 (±4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct coaptation repair</td>
<td>92±54</td>
<td>338±48</td>
<td>250±73</td>
<td>342±92</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>42.0 (±4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autograft repair</td>
<td>99±14</td>
<td>230±74</td>
<td>73±8</td>
<td>372±17</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>25.1 (±6.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DY, diamidino yellow; FB, fast blue.

a Each group included 4 animals.

b The percentage of correctly directed profiles was calculated for the total number of double-labeled (FB–DY) profiles divided by the total number of DY-labeled profiles: FB–DY/(FB–DY+DY).
### Table 2

Results for nerve morphometry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Fascicle area, mm²</th>
<th>No. of MF/fascicle</th>
<th>Mean MF diameter, μm</th>
<th>Myelin thickness, μm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0.73±0.09</td>
<td>7,650±464</td>
<td>8.02±0.46</td>
<td>1.20±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crush injury</td>
<td>0.486±0.03</td>
<td>9,642±1,184</td>
<td>4.971±0.323</td>
<td>0.737±0.154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct coaptation repair</td>
<td>0.472±0.051</td>
<td>13,079±1,166</td>
<td>3.729±0.189</td>
<td>0.591±0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autograft repair</td>
<td>0.601±0.332</td>
<td>10,058±1,725</td>
<td>3.816±0.199</td>
<td>0.672±0.103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MF, myelinated fiber.

*All groups contained 5 animals.*

*Results for normal animals are from [de Ruiter GC, Spinner RJ, Malessy MJA, Moore MJ, Sorenson EJ, Currier BL, Yaszemski MJ, Windebank AJ, unpublished data.]* In this study, the follow-up period was 12 weeks instead of 16 weeks, as in the current experiment.
Table 3

Morphometric features and distribution of fiber types in the soleus muscle in normal animals and after crush injury and autograft repair

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphometric feature, mean±SD</th>
<th>Muscle fiber surface area (mm²)</th>
<th>Mean no. of fibers</th>
<th>Mean muscle fiber size (×10³ μm²)</th>
<th>Type I fibers, mean±SD</th>
<th>Type II fibers, mean±SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controlα</td>
<td>4.77±1.42</td>
<td>717±120</td>
<td>6.60±1.33</td>
<td>648±79</td>
<td>91±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crush injuryβ</td>
<td>4.09±0.86</td>
<td>667±38</td>
<td>6.10±1.00</td>
<td>617±53</td>
<td>93±9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autograft repairγ</td>
<td>3.31±1.02</td>
<td>754±294</td>
<td>4.50±0.43</td>
<td>307±151</td>
<td>40±10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

αFour animals.

βThree animals.

γSix animals.
Table 4

P values for correlations between the results for ankle angle at TO, MSw, and MSt 16 weeks after all types of nerve injury and repair and the number of myelinated fibers (MF), mean myelinated fiber diameter, mean myelin thickness, CMAP amplitude, and mean muscle fiber size

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ankle angle</th>
<th>No. of MF</th>
<th>Mean MF diameter</th>
<th>Mean myelin thickness</th>
<th>CMAP amplitude</th>
<th>Mean muscle fiber size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TO</td>
<td>.92</td>
<td>.37</td>
<td>.70</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSw</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>.34</td>
<td>&lt; .01</td>
<td>.40</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSt</td>
<td>.51</td>
<td>&lt; .05</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CMAP, compound muscle action potential; MSt, midstance; MSw, midswing; TO, toe-off.

Values in italics are significant.