Microarray Gene Profiling of Laser-Captured Cells: a New Tool to Study Atherosclerosis in Mice
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Abstract

Genetically modified mice susceptible to atherosclerosis are widely used in atherosclerosis research. Although the atherosclerotic lesions in these animals show similarities to those in humans, comprehensive expression profile analysis of these lesions is limited by their very small size. In this communication, we have developed an approach to analyze global gene expression in mouse lesions by a combination of (a) laser capture microdissection (LCM) to isolate RNA from specific lesions, (b) an efficient RNA amplification method that reliably yields sufficient quantities of high quality cRNA for quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR), as well as for microarray analysis. The RNA passed multiple quality controls and the expression profile observed in lesional cells compared with the whole artery encompasses genes that are characteristic of a macrophage/foam cell population. We believe that this method represents a useful new tool for the unbiased analysis of global gene expression of specific sub-regions in atherosclerotic lesions in different rodent models.
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Introduction

Atherosclerosis is the main underlying pathology of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and stroke, two major causes of morbidity and mortality in the developed countries[1]. Genetically modified mouse models with increased susceptibility to atherosclerosis, such as the apolipoprotein E-deficient mice (apoE⁻/⁻) mice, are an excellent tool to study the pathophysiology of atherogenesis and to test novel treatments [2–4]. There is substantial similarity in the histopathology of atheromatous lesions in apoE⁻/⁻ mice and in humans, but the time span needed to observe significant progression is weeks to months in these mice as compared with decades in people[4,5]. A major drawback in studying atherosclerotic lesions in mice is their small size, which makes it difficult to perform certain kinds of analysis, such
as gene expression profiling. One way of studying gene expression of microscopic areas in
histological sections is by laser capture microdissection (LCM), which allows the selective
isolation of individual cells or cell clusters from tissue sections under direct microscopy[6]. In
fact, this technique has been applied in experiments on gene expression in atherosclerotic
lesions in mice [7]. On the other hand, the small amount of RNA that can be isolated by this
technique limits the analysis to the targeted quantitative PCR of genes of interest. While useful
information is generated, such an approach by necessity introduces a selection bias, as only a
very small subset of transcripts can be quantified compared to thousands that could be examined
using a microarray approach. Microarray analysis is a powerful technique to examine tissue-
specific expression profiles and has been used extensively to analyze normal and pathological
tissues, including human atherosclerotic lesions[8]. Unfortunately, such analysis requires the
isolation of RNA from a sizeable amount of tissue, a technical limitation that has thus far
precluded the use of microarrays to study the expression profile of atherosclerotic lesions in
mouse models. Interestingly, in other situations where it is necessary to analyze small cell
populations with minimal interference of surrounding cells, such as for example pure cancer
cell populations or different neuronal cell subtypes, the amplification of the RNA captured by
LCM has facilitated extensive expression profiling [9–11]. The combination of the unbiased
and global expression profile afforded by microarray analysis and the highly selective isolation
of cells from subregions within atherosclerotic lesions by LCM, if it can be accomplished,
would represent a significant advance, not only in atherosclerosis research but also in other
fields.

In this communication, we show that we can couple microarray analysis with LCM sample by
demonstrating that RNA isolated from macrophage-rich areas of mouse-atherosclerotic lesions
by LCM can be amplified to produce sufficient amounts of complementary RNA (cRNA),
which can be used for quantitative RT-PCR (qPCR) and for microarray analysis. Multiple
quality control experiments show that the cRNA is of good quality, and the analysis of this
cRNA produces reproducible data that have the expected representation of specific marker
gen, thus supporting the efficacy and power of the technology.

Methods

Mice

Male apolipoprotein E-deficient (apoE−/) mice (B6.129P2-Apoeem1Unc, Jackson
Laboratories, Bar Harbor, Me) were used for this study. The mice had free access to standard
chow and water until the time of sacrifice, which was performed by exsanguination under
general anesthesia (avertin). All animal experiments were conducted following protocols for
handling and treatment of animals approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use
Committee at Baylor College of Medicine.

LCM and RNA extraction and amplification

We isolated macrophage-rich areas from atherosclerotic lesions of four ~29-weeks old
apoE−/− mice. Immediately after sacrifice, the hearts were perfused with PBS, excised, bisected
with a parallel cut approximately 1 mm under the tips of the atria, embedded in O.C.T. (Sakura),
frozen on dry ice, and stored at −80°C. For LCM, approximately 30-7 µm sections of each
aortic sinus were performed on a Leica CM3050 S cryostat and consecutive sections were
mounted on 3 different Superfrost®/Plus slides (Fisher Scientific). The first and third slides,
used for LCM, were immediately fixed, stained with toluidine blue and dehydrated with the
HistoGene™ LCM Frozen Section Staining Kit (Molecular Devices Corporation). These slides
were stored O/N at room temperature in a slide box containing fresh desiccant. The second
slide was stained for macrophages with anti Mac-3 antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) as
previously described [12], and used as template to distinguish the macrophage-rich areas in
the lesions. LCM of macrophages was performed with a Veritas Microdissection System (Molecular Devices Corporation) using the CapSure™ HS LCM Caps (Molecular Devices Corporation). We used approximately 20 sections of each aortic sinus to perform ~2000 laser shots (the laser power was set to ~65 mV and the pulse duration was 2500 µ-seconds, resulting in a spot size of ~20 µm) on macrophage-rich areas of atherosclerotic lesions (Figure 1a–1c). RNA was extracted immediately after LCM with the PicoPure™ RNA Isolation Kit (Molecular Devices Corporation) and stored at ~80°C until amplification. In our experience it takes approximately one hour to perform LCM for each sample and the RNAs can be extracted in less than two hours.

To amplify the RNA, we performed two rounds of amplification with the RiboAmp™ HS RNA Amplification Kit (Molecular Devices). Briefly, each amplification cycle consists of a reverse transcription and double strand cDNA synthesis followed by an in vitro transcription (IVT) reaction with a T7 RNA polymerase. This is a method of linear amplification that can yield µg amounts of cRNA from picogram or low nanogram amounts of starting RNA[13]. Because the initial RNA amount is very low, 1 µL of 200 ng/µL nucleic acid carrier such as Poly (I) or Poly (dI-dC) must be added to the sample prior to the first round of amplification. We note that we got better results for both quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) and microarrays with Poly (I) than with Poly (dI-dC). The time required for RNA amplification is ~20 hours, but since the amplification protocol can be stopped at several points, the whole process can be performed in two days.

We also used four apoE−/− mice to obtain RNA from whole aorta. At ~35-weeks, when apoE−/− mice’s lesions are widely distributed throughout the arterial tree[4,14], the mice were sacrificed by exsanguination, the aortas were rapidly isolated, thoroughly cleaned under a dissection microscope, and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. RNA extraction was performed with Absolutely RNA® Miniprep Kit (Stratagene) as previously described [12].

### Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR)

Reverse transcription of lesional or aortic cRNA was performed with SuperScript™ III (Invitrogen) using random hexamers as primers. Primers for qPCR were designed with primer3 software [15]. Because the concentration of the house-keeping genes may vary between both types of samples, we used seven different housekeeping genes {Cyclophilin A (cyclo A), ubiquitin C (UBC), β-actin, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), topoisomerase I (TOP1), eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4A2 (EIF4A2), and calnexin} and, among them, selected the most stable in both the whole aorta and lesional macrophages using the GENORM software as previously described by Vandesompele et al. [16]. Since the IVT amplification is known to result in a 3'-bias, i.e. a shortening of the amplified cRNA compared to the parent mRNA, the primers were designed in the 3‘-terminus region of the mRNA, including the 3’ untranslated region (Table 1). qPCRs were performed on 25 ng of cDNA with iQ™ SYBR® Green Supermix (BIO-RAD) using 40 amplification cycles (95°C for 30 s, 55°C for 1 min, 72°C for 1 min) with the Mx3000P (Stratagene). The specificities of the reactions were assessed by analyzing the melting curves (single peak) and by gel electrophoresis (single band).

### Microarrays

The cRNA was hybridized to Mouse Genome 430 2.0 Arrays from Affymetrix (Santa Clara, California). Affymetrix protocols require the addition of 15 µg of fragmented biotinylated cRNA to a final volume of 300 µL of hybridization cocktail. The standard Affymetrix labeling protocol uses an IVT amplification reaction to incorporate biotinylated nucleotide analogs. In case the initial concentration of RNA is very low, a first cycle of amplification can be performed with unlabeled nucleotides and the product can be amplified and labeled in a second
amplification cycle that incorporates biotinylated ribonucleotide analogs. In our case, we performed two rounds of amplification using unlabeled ribonucleotides, and labeled an aliquot (20 µg) of the cRNA with the TURBO Labeling™ Biotin kit from Molecular Devices Corporation, based on a chemical reaction that forms a coordinate bond between the N7 position of guanine and a platinum-biotin complex. This labeling method can be performed in less than 1 hour and allows retaining a portion of the cRNA as unlabeled, for other applications such as qPCR. 15 µg of the labeled cRNA were fragmented and hybridized to the Mouse Genome 430 2.0 Arrays following the protocols from Molecular Devices Corporation and Affymetrix. Washing, staining and scanning were performed following the Affymetrix protocols.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was carried out with SPSS 15.0 for windows. The groups were compared by the Student’s t-test or the Mann-Whitney U. Differences were considered significant when p<0.05. In all tables and figures the values are presented as mean ± SD.

Results

cRNA yield and quality control
We determined the yield of amplified cRNA by reading the absorbance at 260 nm (A$_{260}$) of samples diluted 1:10 with the NanoDrop® ND-1000 Spectrophotometer. In all cases we were able to obtain a considerable amount of cRNA (average: 66.0 µg; minimum: 42.6; maximum: 89.7 µg). We then proceeded to assess the quality of the cRNA. Most of the cellular RNA is ribosomal RNA; thus, the integrity of the ribosomal RNA bands is commonly used to determine RNA quality[17]. However, our protocol for RNA amplification involves two rounds of in vitro transcription with T7 RNA polymerase. For that, the T7 promoter is ligated to an oligo (dT)-primer {oligo(dT)-T7} and incorporated during the generation of the cDNA. Thus, only mRNAs, that have a polyA tail, but not ribosomal RNAs, are amplified and, therefore, the ribosomal RNA cannot be used for quality control to test the integrity of the cRNA and other parameters need to be used. Typically, an A$_{260}$/A$_{280}$ ratio between 2.0 and 2.6 indicates very pure cRNA and, in our samples, this ratio ranged between 2.19 and 2.45. Assessing the size of the amplification product is also a useful parameter to assess the quality of the cRNA, which typically ranges in length between 200 and 2000 nucleotides[18]. We assessed the size of the cRNA by agarose gel electrophoresis and also with the Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer and, as shown in Figure 1d and 1e, by both techniques the cRNA appears as a single broad peak and no degradation products are observed in any sample. Therefore, RNA isolated from macrophage-rich areas of atherosclerotic lesions by LCM can be amplified to produce large amounts of cRNA of high quality.

qPCR analysis
After showing that we can produce large amounts of cRNA, we tested if it could be used for qPCR analysis and, if so, if the gene expression pattern was consistent with that expected in a cell population composed predominantly of foam cells. For example, we anticipate that, compared to RNA isolated from whole aorta, the RNA captured from macrophages would be enriched in macrophage specific transcripts and depleted of markers of other cell types such as smooth muscle cells (SMC). To assess this, we compared gene expression in amplified cRNA captured by LCM from macrophage–rich areas of lesions with amplified cRNA isolated from whole aorta. Of the 7 housekeeping genes we used, by the Genorm approach[16], we determined that the two most stable housekeeping genes for both tissues were EIF4A2 and cyclo A and, therefore, we normalized the data to the combination of these two genes. As expected, the two macrophage transcripts we analyzed, CD68 and CD14, were markedly increased in the cRNA from lesions (Figure 2a), whereas the two SMC markers, α-actin and
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MYH1 (encoding SMC myosin heavy chain) were markedly depleted (Figure 2b). Furthermore, two markers of inflammation (TNFα and IL-1β) were also enriched in macrophage cRNA (Figure 2c). Finally, SR-A, a major player in lipoprotein uptake by foam cells[20], ABCA-1, which plays an important role in cholesterol efflux[21], and ADFP, the main lipid-droplet associated protein in macrophages[22], are also well enriched in the cRNA isolated from macrophages (Figure 2d). Therefore, we conclude that the cRNA amplified from macrophage rich areas of atherosclerotic lesions is well suited for qPCR analysis, and that the pattern of gene expression is consistent with that expected in a macrophage/foam cell rich cell population.

Microarray analysis

Microarray analysis enables the measurement of the expression of thousands of genes in a single RNA sample[23] and, thus, it would be a very useful technique for the study of atherosclerosis in mouse models. After showing that with amplification we can obtain microgram amounts of cRNA necessary for microarray hybridization, we tested whether this cRNA would yield reproducible data in microarray analysis. We assessed several general quality controls used for microarrays. In the 4 samples the spots were relatively uniform in size and there were not areas of low intensity or high background. In all cases the boundaries of the arrays were easily identified by the hybridization of the B2 oligonucleotides. As shown in Table 2, the arrays displayed relatively low and comparable background and noise values. Furthermore, the % of genes called “present” (%P) was also similar among different samples, and so was the average signal of the genes called present, which was ~20-fold higher than the average background (Table 2). The scaling factor is the number used to adjust the value of every array to a common value in order to make the arrays comparable. Per Affymetrix protocols, the scaling factors should lie within three folds of each other, and larger discrepancies may indicate assay variability or sample degradation. As shown in Table 2, the scaling factors of the four samples analyzed were very similar. As we commented on before, a 3′ bias is expected when IVTs are used to amplify RNA[24]. Therefore, not surprisingly we found relatively high β-actin and GAPDH 3'/5' ratios in all our samples; the high ratio is inherent to the amplification process and is one difference that we can expect from amplified RNA as compared to unamplified RNA. Furthermore, since the standard Affymetrix labeling procedure also involves an IVT reaction, to maximize the %P most probe sets are designed to be within the most 3’ 600 nucleotides of the transcripts, which has enabled us to obtain a high %P in the analysis in the presence of the relatively high 3'/5' ratios.

Another important question was whether RNA amplification was reproducible between different samples. The Affymetrix microarrays have a large signal range, and the signal of the different genes is distributed along the entire range. However, for individual genes, particularly those that are not expected to change among samples, the signal intensities should remain similar among arrays. To assess this, we compared the signals for the same housekeeping genes that we used for qPCR normalization. As shown in Table 3, Cyclo A and UBC had relatively high signals; β-actin and GAPDH had intermediate signals; and TOP1, EIF4A4 and Calnexin had relatively low signals. However, the genes with high signal intensity were high in all the samples, and the same happened with the genes with medium or low signal (Table 3). Therefore, overall, the quality controls show that the cRNA amplified from LCM-captured cells are suitable for microarray analysis.

Discussion

Atherosclerosis, the most prevalent underlying pathology of cardiovascular diseases, is a complex multifactorial process [25,26]. Mouse models of atherosclerosis are widely used to study atherosclerosis. In fact, if we search in PUBMED “atherosclerosis and mice” we can find...
over 4000 articles. However, the small size of mice, and therefore of their lesions, and the cellular heterogeneity of the atherosclerotic lesions, severely limit the application of analyses that are otherwise commonly used in biomedical research, such as measuring gene and protein expression, thus impeding the generation of information from the study of atherosclerosis in mice.

LCM enables us to examine specific gene expression from individual cell types in heterogeneous tissues, and Trogan et al. [7] showed that this is a good approach to analyze gene expression in macrophages from atherosclerotic lesions of apoE−/− mice. However, the low amount of RNA that can be isolated from LCM-captured cells limits the number of genes that can be analyzed. We asked whether we could amplify the RNA to obtain sufficient amounts to perform a genome-wide expression profiling in macrophage-rich areas of atherosclerotic lesions using microarrays in the same mouse model. The fidelity of the T7 polymerase-mediated linear amplification of RNA from microscopic samples has been confirmed in multiple studies [10,27,28]. We obtained a large amount of cRNA after two rounds of IVT. The cRNA passed the standard quality controls, but we wanted to ensure that the amplification process did not change the relative abundance levels of various messages found in the original cellular RNA. Therefore, we used qPCR to validate the gene expression differences seen on microarrays. We compared gene expression in cRNA amplified from macrophage-rich areas of lesions or from whole aorta and showed that, compared aortic cRNA, the cRNA from lesions is greatly enriched in markers of macrophage/foam cells, but is depleted of markers of SMC, the most abundant cells in the arterial wall. Furthermore, when we performed microarray hybridizations with 4 different cRNAs from lesions, we found a high %P, that the most commonly used parameters used for microarray quality control were within the normal range, and, most importantly, that the signals for all the housekeeping genes tested were in a similar range in all the arrays, in support of a similar amplification for all independently obtained samples. Importantly, the amplification process does not seem to be associated with very large variations, which would have made the data interpretation difficult.

In conclusion, in this study we show that LCM and subsequent amplification of RNA allow a comprehensive study of gene expression in macrophage-rich areas of atherosclerotic lesions. The ample amount of cRNA obtained after amplification indicates that this technique can also be used to study other cell populations, such as SMC and endothelial cells. Future applications of LCM in the study of atherosclerosis in mice could also include proteomics analysis, that have already been used in human atherosclerotic plaque [29,30], and cell signaling. In conclusion, we believe that the analysis of LCM-captured cells by microarray and other analytic technology represents an important addition to our technical arsenal that will help unravel the mechanistic intricacies of atherosclerotic development.
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Figure 1.
(a) Immunoreactive macrophages in aortic atherosclerotic lesions (brown color); (b) a consecutive section used for LCM showing that some of the macrophages have been dissected; and (c) picture of the cap used for LCM showing the captured macrophages. (d and e) Size distribution of the amplified cRNA by agarose gel electrophoresis (d) and in an electropherogram performed with the Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer (e).
Figure 2.
Relative expression of (a) macrophages markers; (b) SMC markers; (c) inflammation markers; and (d) other foam cell markers in cRNA amplified from macrophage rich areas of atherosclerotic lesions or from whole aorta (n=4, *p<0.05). Bars represent means and SDs.
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer Sequences</th>
<th>GenBank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cyclo A</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-ttgggaagttgaaaggaag-3'</td>
<td>NM_008907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: 5'-ttacaggacattgcgagcag-3'</td>
<td>NM_019639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBC</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-agaagagggcccacgtgac-3'</td>
<td>NM_007393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: 5'-tcacacceaaaggaacacac-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-actin</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-gggaaaggtacagcattg-3'</td>
<td>NM_007393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: 5'-etggtgctgccacact-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-ggctgtccctcaagctac-3'</td>
<td>NM_01473623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: 5'-tggagggagatgtctcag-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOP1</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-ggctcaagaaaaaacaac-3'</td>
<td>NM_009408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: 5'-ggctcaagaaaaaacaac-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIF4A2</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-tgctgctgtgtggc-3'</td>
<td>NM_013506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: 5'-tgctgctgtgtggc-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calnexin</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-tctgctttatgtgttctca-3'</td>
<td>NM_007597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: 5'-tctgctttatgtgttctca-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD68</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-ttttctctctctatcttc-3'</td>
<td>NM_009853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: 5'-ttttctctctctatcttc-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD14</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-tgctgctgtgtgtcag-3'</td>
<td>NM_009841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: 5'-tgctgctgtgtgtcag-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-actin</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-tcacagagggcagccac-3'</td>
<td>NM_007392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: 5'-tcacagagggcagccac-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYH11</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-ccacagaaactcgctgta-3'</td>
<td>NM_013607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-tctgctttatgtgttctca-3'</td>
<td>NM_008361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: 5'-tctgctttatgtgttctca-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFα</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-gccaggacagggcagctg-3'</td>
<td>NM_013696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: 5'-gccaggacagggcagctg-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR-A1</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-ggtgtgctgtgcatg-3'</td>
<td>NM_031195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: 5'-ggtgtgctgtgcatg-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABCA1</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-tggtgctgctgtgctg-3'</td>
<td>NM_013454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: 5'-tggtgctgctgtgctg-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADFP</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-tggtgctgctgtgctg-3'</td>
<td>NM_007408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse: 5'-tggtgctgctgtgctg-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2

**Selected quality control parameters of microarray hybridization**

The % of genes called present, background, noise, average signal of the genes called present, and scaling factor lie within the expected normal ranges in Affymetrix microarrays. High 3'/5' ratios are expected when the RNA is submitted to two rounds of amplification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Background</th>
<th>Noise</th>
<th>% Present</th>
<th>Average Signal of Present</th>
<th>Scaling Factor</th>
<th>Actin 3'/5'</th>
<th>GAPDH 3'/5'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample 1</td>
<td>91.56</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>2051</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>51.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample 2</td>
<td>92.71</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>1847</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>39.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample 3</td>
<td>86.84</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>2678</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>157.4</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample 4</td>
<td>131.6</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>2191</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>104.6</td>
<td>31.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3

Microarray expression of the housekeeping genes

The signal intensities for each one of the housekeeping genes tested were in a similar range across all the arrays, thus supporting the reproducibility of the RNA amplification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Average Signal</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cyclo A</td>
<td>10801</td>
<td>11576</td>
<td>10164</td>
<td>655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBC</td>
<td>11129</td>
<td>11778</td>
<td>10210</td>
<td>686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-actin</td>
<td>6707</td>
<td>7250</td>
<td>6465</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>6143</td>
<td>7209</td>
<td>5162</td>
<td>864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOP1</td>
<td>1247</td>
<td>1489</td>
<td>1051</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIF4A2</td>
<td>1466</td>
<td>1780</td>
<td>1236</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calnexin</td>
<td>1866</td>
<td>2263</td>
<td>1651</td>
<td>272</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>