appropriateness rating for when to prescribe prophylactic antibiotics for dental procedures in implant patients. Available at: https://www.aaos.org/globalassets/quality-and-practice-resources/dental/dental-prophylaxis-auc-decision-tree-v2_condensed_1.29.20-003.pdf Accessed 6 October 2020.

Correspondence: Debra A. Goff, Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center, Department of Pharmacy, 410 West 10th Avenue Room, 368 Doan Hall, Columbus, OH 43210 (Debbie.goff@osumc.edu).

Clinical Infectious Diseases® 2021;73(3):e850-1
© The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For permissions, e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com. DOI: 10.1093/cid/ciaa1631

Antiretroviral Therapy, Sexually Transmitted Infections, and Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes in South Africa

To the Editor—We read with interest the article by Theron et al [1] on their post hoc analysis of the Promoting Maternal and Infant Survival Everywhere (PROMISE) 1077BF/1077FF trials. They found an increased incidence of low-birth-weight (LBW) infants (<2500 g) and adverse pregnancy outcomes in women who conceived while on antiretroviral therapy (ART) compared with those who restarted ART after pregnancy was diagnosed. Their study adds an important data point to the limited, and sometimes contradictory, evidence regarding safety of ART in pregnancy and its relationship with adverse pregnancy outcomes [2].

We recently completed 2 studies on sexually transmitted infections (STIs) in pregnant women living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in Pretoria [3] and Cape Town [4], South Africa. (Ethical approval for those studies was provided by the institutional review boards at the University of Cape Town's Faculty of Health Sciences Research Ethics Committee, University of Pretoria's Faculty of Health Sciences Research Ethics Committee, and the University of California, Los Angeles.

In both studies, we detected *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* infection at the first antenatal care (ANC) visit. We examined the combined dataset of 416 women living with HIV with live births for the effect of ART at conception on birth outcomes. Overall, 190 (46%) women were on ART at the time of conception and 226 (54%) women initiated ART during pregnancy. There was no significant difference between these groups with regard to maternal age, gestational age at enrollment, gravidity, and ART regimen (mostly tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, emtricitabine, and efavirenz). The prevalence of STIs was high (30%); STIs were less common among women who conceived while on ART than those who initiated ART during pregnancy (25% vs 34%; $P = .063$); most likely this reflects increased engagement with healthcare.

ART at conception and STI at first ANC visit were not associated with LBW in our combined cohort (after adjustment

for maternal age and gestational age at enrollment). After stratification by presence of an STI, there was a clear association of ART at conception with LBW infants among women with an STI detected at the first ANC visit (Table 1). This was not the case for women who tested STI-negative. In women with an STI, birthweight was significantly lower among those on ART at conception compared with those who initiated ART during pregnancy (3000 g vs 3140 g; $P = .034$). This association was absent in women without an STI (3060 g vs 3070 g; $P = .97$).

It is unclear why STIs may modify the effect of ART on birth weight. Methodological bias cannot be ruled out. Several pathogenic mechanisms may account for associations between ART and adverse pregnancy outcomes, including modulation of the Th1 to Th2 immune shift, which is associated with pregnancy, and changes in placental cytokine expression [5]. *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *N. gonorrhoeae* infection are associated with elevated genital tract cytokine concentrations and substantial changes in cytokine profile with upregulation of proinflammatory cytokines [6]. Possibly, combined alterations in cytokine expression related to ART and STIs might explain our observation.

Adverse pregnancy outcomes, STIs, and living with HIV are overlapping disease burdens in sub-Saharan Africa where the benefits of ART on maternal health and for prevention of

Table 1. Relationship Between Antiretroviral Therapy at Time of Conception and Low Birth Weight in Pregnant Women Living With Human Immunodeficiency Virus With and Without a Sexually Transmitted Infection in South Africa

Participant group	Time of ART Initiation	Low Birth Weight (n = 59)	Normal Birth Weight (n = 357)	OR (95% CI)	PValue
Total cohort	ART at conception	30 (16%)	160 (84%)	1.3 (.74–2.2)	.39
	ART initiated during pregnancy	29 (13%)	197 (87%)		
		Low Birth Weight (n = 19)	Normal Birth Weight (n = 105)	OR (95% CI)	PValue
STI detected at first ANC visit ^a	ART at conception	12 (25%)	36 (75%)	3.3 (1.2–9.1)	.017
	ART initiated during pregnancy	7 (9.2%)	69 (91%)		
		Low Birth Weight (n = 40)	Normal Birth Weight (n = 252)	OR (95% CI)	PValue
No STI detected at first ANC visit ^a	ART at conception	18 (13%)	124 (87%)	0.85 (.43–1.7)	.62
	ART initiated during pregnancy	22 (15%)	128 (85%)		

Data are presented as number with row proportion. Low birth weight is defined as <2500 g.

Abbreviations: ANC, antenatal care; ART, antiretroviral therapy; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; STI, sexually transmitted infection.

^aThe Xpert CT/NG assay was performed on vaginal swabs to detect *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*; 94 women had a positive test for *C. trachomatis*, 9 for *N. gonorrhoeae*, and 21 for both.

mother-to-child transmission are unquestioned. We therefore agree with the authors that continuous monitoring of any adverse effects of ART on pregnancy outcomes is warranted. Future studies should explore the potential role of STIs and inflammatory pathway changes.

Notes

Acknowledgment.

Financial support. Research was supported by the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) under award R21HD084274-01A1 to A. M.-M. and J. K. and by the President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief through the US Agency for International Development under the terms of Cooperative Agreement AID 674-A-12-00017 (to A. M.-M.). D. J. D. received funding from the NIH and Fogarty International Center (K01TW011187). D. J. D. and L. M. received funding from the National Institute of Mental Health (R01MH116771). R. P., J. K. and A. M. were supported through the National Institute for Allergy and Infectious Diseases (award number R01AI149339).

Potential conflicts of interest. The authors received a donation of STI Xpert assays from Cepheid (California). J. K. reports personal fees from Cepheid during the conduct of the study and personal fees from Danaher outside the submitted work. All remaining authors: No reported conflicts of interest. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

Remco P. H. Peters,^{1,2,3} Dvora L. Joseph Davey,^{4,5}
Linda-Gail Bekker,⁶ Landon Myer,⁴
Andrew Medina-Marino,^{1,6} and Jeffrey D. Klausner^{5,7}

¹Research Unit, Foundation for Professional Development, East London, South Africa; ²Department of Medical Microbiology, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa; ³CAPRI School for Public Health & Primary Care, Department of Medical Microbiology, Maastricht University Medical Centre, Maastricht, The Netherlands; ⁴School of Public Health and Family Medicine, Division of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa; ⁵Jonathan and Karin Fielding School of Public Health, Department of Epidemiology, University of California—Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, USA; ⁶University of Cape Town, Desmond Tutu HIV Centre, Cape Town, South Africa; and ⁷David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California—Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, USA

References

1. Theron G, Brummel S, Fairlie L, et al. Pregnancy outcomes of women conceiving on antiretroviral therapy (ART) compared to those commenced on pregnancy. *Clin Infect Dis* 2021; 73:e312–20.
2. Uthman OA, Nachega JB, Anderson J, et al. Timing of initiation of antiretroviral therapy and adverse pregnancy outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet HIV* 2017; 4:e21–30.

3. Mudau M, Peters RP, De Vos L, et al. High prevalence of asymptomatic sexually transmitted infections among human immunodeficiency virus-infected pregnant women in a low-income South African community. *Int J STD AIDS* 2018; 29:324–33.
4. Joseph Davey DL, Nyemba DC, Gomba Y, et al. Prevalence and correlates of sexually transmitted infections in pregnancy in HIV-infected and -uninfected women in Cape Town, South Africa. *PLoS One* 2019; 14:e0218349.
5. Mofenson LM. Antiretroviral therapy and adverse pregnancy outcome: the elephant in the room? *J Infect Dis* 2016; 213:1051–4.
6. Masson L, Mlisana K, Little F, et al. Defining genital tract cytokine signatures of sexually transmitted infections and bacterial vaginosis in women at high risk of HIV infection: a cross-sectional study. *Sex Transm Infect* 2014; 90:580–7.

Clinical Infectious Diseases® 2021;73(3):e851–2

© The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For permissions, e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com. DOI: 10.1093/cid/ciaa1669

Optimal Cycle Thresholds for Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Screening—Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC)-Based Methods Highlight Between-Study Differences

TO THE EDITOR—Several articles in recent issues of *Clinical Infectious Diseases* have addressed questions regarding the role of cycle thresholds in the interpretation of molecular polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based tests for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) [1–3]. The reason for this is that there is now a robust evidence base for the assertion that cycle thresholds are related to infectiousness, the development of an immune response, and symptom severity. However, translating this knowledge about significant relationships between cycle thresholds and relevant clinical outcomes into a specific threshold, for example, 27, 30, or 35, requires different analytic methods than the ones that have hitherto been used in the empirical literature. Specifically, we propose using receiver operating characteristic (ROC)-based methods [4, 5] to first determine empirically justified optimal cutoff scores for cycle thresholds and, second, to test their generality by comparing different studies.

To demonstrate the utility of this approach, we contacted the corresponding

authors of the studies identified by Jefferson and colleagues [6] as reporting on the association between cycle thresholds and viral culture positivity. Of the 8 authors contacted, 2 responded and provided the necessary data [5, 6]. For 2 additional studies [7, 8] we were able to extract these data from the figures that showed the cycle threshold and viral culture positivity in the published articles. We then analyzed these data using the *cutpointr* [5] package for the open-source software R. Specifically, we plotted the distribution of cycle thresholds in culture positive and culture negative patients across studies, the ROC curve for the 4 studies, the cut points identified as optimal (criterion minimum 95% detection of virus-positive culture), and the out-of-bag estimation for the specificity at 95% sensitivity

As can be seen in Figure 1, there are marked differences between the studies. Most importantly the cycle threshold scores that are identified as optimal range from 26 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 22–32) [9] to 37 (95% CI: 34–39) [7], whereas the other 2 studies provide optimal cut points of 29 (95% CI: 26–29) [8] and 31 (95% CI: 31–31) [2]. The confidence intervals indicate that estimation of optimal cut points is prone to random errors and that the differences between the studies are larger than what can simply be attributed to chance.

Although our analysis is limited by the poor data availability, our results already provide evidence for systematic differences in the optimal cycle thresholds. Therefore, great care is required when deciding which threshold should be used to determine whether a person is COVID-19 positive or negative. In addition, the width of the confidence intervals demonstrates that estimates of optimal cut points need to be based on very large samples. We believe that ROC-based methods are a valuable addition to the methodological toolkit because they allow formulating explicit criteria for what constitutes optimal cycle thresholds. Furthermore, although others have speculated before that it might not be possible to determine a universally