Simple Time-lapse Imaging for Quantifying the Hydrostatic Production of Oxygenic Photogranules

Esmee D. Joosten, Jérôme Hamelin and Kim Milferstedt

¹INRAE, Univ Montpellier, LBE, 102 Avenue des Etangs, 11100, Narbonne, France

*For correspondence: kim.milferstedt@inrae.fr

[Abstract] Oxygenic photogranules (OPGs) are dense, three-dimensional aggregates containing a syntrophic, light-driven microbial community. Their temporal and spatial development interests microbial ecologists working at the bioprocess engineering interface, as this knowledge can be used to optimize biotechnological applications, such as wastewater treatment and biomass valorization. The method presented here enables the high-throughput quantification of photogranulation. OPGs are produced from a loose sludge-like microbial matrix in hydrostatic batch cultures exposed to light. This matrix transforms into a consolidated, roughly spherical aggregate over time. Photogranulation is quantified by time-lapse imaging coupled to automated image analysis. This allows studying the development of many OPGs simultaneously and in a fully automated way to systematically test what factors drive photogranulation. The protocol can also be used to quantify other types of (a)biotic aggregation.

Keywords: Oxygenic photogranules, Microbial mats, Biofilms, Aggregation, Spatialization, Photogranulation, Dynamics, Time-lapse imaging

[Background] OPGs are dense, roughly spherical aggregates with diameters of several millimeters containing a syntrophic community of heterotrophic and phototrophic microorganisms (Milferstedt *et al.*, 2017). Microbial ecologists study photogranulation to understand what factors drive the formation of the three-dimensional (3D) structure. This knowledge can be applied to steer ecosystem function towards a desired function for biotechnological processes, such as wastewater treatment and the production of value-added products (Abouhend *et al.*, 2018; Quijano *et al.*, 2017). OPGs can be produced from a sludge-like microbial matrix, *i.e.*, activated sludge from the aeration basin of a wastewater treatment plant (Milferstedt *et al.*, 2017; Park and Dolan, 2015). The transformation of this sludge takes place in closed, unagitated vials exposed to light. Over the course of several weeks, the sludge bed compacts (*i.e.*, reduces in height) and contracts (*i.e.*, reduces in diameter) and transforms

into one consolidated, 3D aggregate per vial

Figure 1A). Experimental images are automatically acquired through the bottom of vials at a pre-set

interval (Figure 1B) of multiple replicates simultaneously. Images are treated in ImageJ (Schneider *et al.*, 2012), extending a macro developed for the quantification of naturally occurring OPGs called cryoconite granules (Irvine-Fynn *et al.*, 2010). Dynamics of biomass contraction is calculated and plotted in the software environment R (R Core Team, 2019). This protocol enables testing photogranulation in a large number of repetitions, e.g., using different sludge sources or environmental conditions to advance the understanding of photogranulation. The protocol can also be used to quantify other types of (a)biotic aggregation.

Figure 1. Typical course of photogranulation, including compaction and contraction. Note the displayed images are for illustration purposes and were not obtained with the protocol presented here. A. Temporal progression of the transformation of loose activated sludge into a consolidated OPG in a 10 ml serum bottle with an outer diameter of 24 mm (adapted from Milferstedt *et al.*, 2017). Arrows illustrate the terms compaction and contraction; B. Temporal progression of biomass contraction seen through the bottom of one well of a 24-well microplate with an outer diameter of 16 mm.

Materials and Reagents

1. Fresh activated sludge from the aeration basin of a wastewater treatment plant
2. 0.5-5 ml pipette with tips (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Finn timerTM F1, catalog number: 4641110N; 5 ml Finn timerTM, catalog number: 9402030)
3. Grid for aligning and spacing vials on scanner surface. Custom-made dark gray metal grid measuring 30.4 × 22.2 × 0.2 cm (L × W × thickness)

4. Figure 2A-2B)

The grid should be sufficiently low so that it does not shadow the biomass from the side, *e.g.*, it should not be much higher than the thickness of the vial bottom (错误!未找到引用源。A-3B). The required cut-out where to place the vials can be produced using computer numerical control (CNC) metal milling or 3D printing. You can also make the grid out of other materials, such as paper.

5. Light-impermeable box for covering distance between vials and light source to prevent loss of light and uncontrolled illumination. Home-made cardboard box of the approximate dimensions of 65 × 35 × 65 cm (L × W × H), enclosing the scanner and the lighting device.

Figure 2. Grid. A. Grid design; B. Custom-made dark gray metal grid positioned on top of the scanner.

Equipment

1. 1 L break resistant bottle with a wide neck for sampling (Fisher Scientific, Gosselin, catalog number: 11728643)
2. 2 L polypropylene beaker for mixing (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Nalgene, catalog number: 1201-2000)
3. 4 ml clear glass vials measuring 15 mm × 45 mm × 8 mm (outer diameter × height × inner diameter) with a screw top for cultivations (Sigma-Aldrich, Supelco, catalog number: 27111) (错误!未找到引用源。A)

A clear, smooth and flat bottom is critical for the success of this experiment (错误!未找到引用源。C). We used glass vials here, and successfully used polystyrene vials previously.

4. Screw caps with a contrasting color to the biomass for image treatment (Agilent Technologies, catalog number: 5183-4305)
5. Magnetic stirrer and stir bar (Bioblock Scientific, AM AMC BBS 3000) to homogenize activated sludge so that differences in sample composition between vials are minimized
6. Holding device for mounting a light source, *e.g.*, copy stand with camera arm (Kaiser, RS1, RA1,

catalog number: 205510)

7. Flat light source, *e.g.*, light emitting diode (LED) panel measuring 59.5 × 59.5 (cropped to 30) × 1.06 cm (L × W × H) (Rexel, LEDVANCE, PANEL LED 600, 40W, 6500K, 4000 lm, catalog number: 4058075000582)

We used cool white light (6,500 K) for this experiment, but other light temperatures were also successfully tested (*e.g.*, 5,600 and 6,000 K)

8. Photoactive synthetically radiation (PAR) quantum light sensor and display meter system (Skye Instruments Ltd, SKP 215/S 39520, SKP 200 39521)
9. Desktop scanner (Epson, Perfection V500 Photo, model: J251A)

Figure 3. Vials. A. Glass vial with screw cap; B. Vial bottom thickness; C. Clear, smooth and flat vial bottom.

Software

1. Scanner driver allowing time-lapse acquisition of images using a desktop scanner, *e.g.*, VueScan version 9.5.51 (Hamrick Software, <https://www.hamrick.com/>)
2. Tool to read, write and edit meta information in batches of images, *e.g.*, ExifTool version 11.78 (Phil Harvey, <https://exiftool.org/>)
3. ImageJ version 1.52a (image processing program) (National Institutes of Health (NIH), <https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/>) (Schneider *et al.*, 2012)
MorphoLibJ plugin to ImageJ version 1.4.0 (collection of mathematical morphology methods and plugins) (INRA-IJPB Modeling and Digital Imaging lab, <https://imagej.net/MorphoLibJ>) (Legland *et al.*, 2016).
4. R version 3.6.0 (software environment for statistical computing and graphics) (R Core Team, <https://www.r-project.org/>) (R Core Team, 2019)
exiftoolr package version 0.1.3 (O'Brien, 2020)
ggplot2 package version 3.2.0 (Wickham, 2016)
plyr package version 1.8.4 (Wickham, 2011)

readr package version 1.3.1 (Wickham *et al.*, 2018)

Procedure

The steps below describe the production of OPGs in hydrostatic batch cultivations and the automated time-lapse acquisition of experimental images. The image analysis follows at “Data analysis”.

A. Scanner setup (
B. Figure 4A-4B)

1. Connect a scanner to a computer and install scanner driver, *e.g.*, VueScan.
2. Set scanner settings and save program.
 - a. 24-bit RGB image.
 - b. 800 dots per inch (dpi) resolution yielding a pixel size of 32 μm . This resolution is sufficient to resolve the biological process and prevents the generation of excessively large files.
 - c. Make sure there is no automatic color correction because this will complicate subsequent data analysis.
 - d. Select the uncompressed Tagged Image File Format (TIFF) for saving images.
 - e. Assign TIFF file names, *e.g.*, YY-MM-DD_01+.tif and directory to save acquired scans.
 - f. Set acquisition interval. Eight hours were sufficient to capture the dynamics of photogranulation. The interval can be increased as the experiment progresses.
3. Take scanner image with a plain white sheet on top of the grid. This will be the background image for data analysis

4. Figure 5A).

Figure 4. Experimental setup. A. Light source and scanner setup (Note the cardboard box is not shown); B. Close-up of scanner setup with vials positioned on the grid.

C. Light source setup (Figure 4A-4B)

1. Install a flat light source above a scanner, preferably using a device that allows adjusting the distance between the light source and vials, for example by connecting it to the camera arm of a copy stand. A flexible distance makes it possible to adjust the light intensity that vials receive and allows easy placement and removal of vials.

2. Light intensity is typically not homogeneously distributed over a LED panel. To ensure that the local light conditions are known, you can map local PAR at different points on the scanner surface, draw a contour map and decide where to place the vials, *e.g.*, along contour lines of similar light intensities. You can design a grid with circles that indicate where to place

the vials (

3. Figure 2A). You can print the grid on paper, cut out the circles and place it on top of the desktop scanner for positioning the vials. If you want to use the grid regularly, you can make it out of a more solid material, *e.g.*, metal

4. Figure 2B) using CNC metal milling or 3D printing.
5. Adjust the distance between the vials and light source such that the light intensity at the vial positions corresponds to approximately $60 \mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ PAR. This can be measured using a PAR quantum light sensor.

E. Activated sludge sampling and characterization

1. Sample 200 ml of activated sludge from the aeration basin of a wastewater treatment plant. This volume is largely sufficient for an experiment using 72 vials inoculated with 1.5 ml of activated sludge each, as described in the following. The sample volume needs to be adapted if you are interested in characterizing the activated sludge, e.g., total and volatile solids, chemical oxygen demand, nitrogen, phosphorus, pH, chlorophyll, microbial community.
2. It is useful to have available the measurement of total solids. This can be used to adjust the sludge concentration to a value comparable between experiments. We typically run an experiment with a concentration between 4 and 5 g/L of activated sludge.

F. Vial preparation and placement

1. Keep activated sludge in suspension upon arrival at the lab, e.g., using a magnetic stirrer.
Note: Sludge can be stored at 4 °C when it cannot be used directly, but we recommend to use fresh activated sludge.
2. Pipette 1.5 ml well-mixed activated sludge into 4 ml vials. Close vials with a screw cap. Our typical incubation set contains 72 vials.
3. Place vials unagitated at the assigned areas on top of desktop scanner under constant light illumination at ambient room temperature (22-26 °C)

(
4. Figure 4A-4B).

6. Place a light-impermeable box around the scanner and light source to limit loss of light and uncontrolled illumination of your samples.

G. Vial incubation and time-lapse imaging

1. Start scanner software to take an image of the bottom of the vials at the desired interval. Make sure the scanner takes an image at time 0 and that images are taken at indicated intervals.
2. The unconsolidated sludge transforms into one OPG per vial that is typically situated at the bottom of the vial, unless it starts floating due to attached gas bubbles. Run the experiment until mature OPGs have been formed. A mature OPG is roughly spherical and remains its shape after vigorous shaking. Under the given experimental conditions, photogranulation can be expected to occur between three to six weeks. The experimental conditions, *e.g.*, light intensity and sludge characteristics, may influence the time needed for photogranulation.
3. Take a final scan before removing the vials from the scanner.

H. Photogranulation success

1. Note down whether OPGs are sitting on the bottom or floating for image analysis.
2. Take camera images of OPGs to remember later what they looked like.
3. Shake vials vigorously and note down in which vials a successful OPG has been formed. Photogranulation success is the percentage of successful OPGs among the total incubated vials.
4. Characterize OPGs depending on the factors you are interested in, *e.g.*, physicochemical parameters, microbial community.

Data analysis

For data analysis, we show the results of an experiment performed with 72 vials of a volume of 4 ml using two sludge sources, therefore having 36 replicates per condition. Some files are created as preparation for automatic data treatment (Procedures A-B). Experimental time-lapse images are treated in ImageJ (Schneider *et al.*, 2012) using particle size analysis to measure particle characteristics

including surface area (Procedures C-D). The surface area is subsequently transformed to equivalent diameters in the software environment R (R Core Team, 2019) and plotted over time. The decrease in equivalent diameter per sample is a measure for proceeding photogranulation (Procedure E). Text in green are comments from the authors, text in red require user input, text in blue show files that will be imported into R (Procedure E).

A. Experimental conditions

1. Create a text file ([experimental_conditions.txt](#)) containing the vial positions and their respective experimental conditions in three columns, *i.e.*, Location, ExpCondition, ExpID. You can find an example of this file at <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3938457>.

B. Extract acquisition date/ time and remove scan resolution

1. The Exchangeable Image File (EXIF) data of the scanner images contains the filename and the creation date of the image. Both need to be available in a separate file for subsequent plotting of the data. You can automatically extract filename (*e.g.*, 2018-03-14-01.tif) and creation date/ time (*e.g.*, 2018:03:14 16:47:36) from EXIF data using the R script below.
2. The EXIF data may also contain the scan resolution. This resolution in dpi interferes with the particle size quantification using ImageJ. Remove scan resolution from EXIF data running ExifTool from within R so that ImageJ does not use dpi as a scaling factor. You can later assign a measurement unit to the images in ImageJ.
 - a. Install ExifTool
 - b. Open a new R script in an R editor, for example RStudio, by clicking on 'file', 'new file', 'R script'.
 - c. Copy the following script into the source window. Text in red require user input and adaptations.

```
##Packages -----
require(exiftoolr) #(O'Brien, 2020)

##Working directory -----
rm(list=ls())
directory <- ("/path-to-directory/")
setwd(directory)

##Extract acquisition date/ time and remove scan resolution -----
image.vec <- list.files(directory, pattern = ".tif") #Generates a list
of all *.tif files in the directory
exif.images <- exif_read(path = image.vec) #Reads the EXIF data from
```

```

the specified *.tif images
image.data <- cbind(exif.images$FileName, exif.images$CreateDate)
colnames(image.data) <- c("Name", "DateTime")
write.csv(image.data, file = "image_acquisition.csv", quote = F,
row.names = F) #Writes the tags file name and creation date to a
separate file to be used later. You can find an example of this file
at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3938457.
exiftool_cmd <- paste("exiftool -ResolutionUnit= -XResolution= -
YResolution= ", "*.tif",sep='') #Defines the ExifTool command to erase
the Resolution data in the EXIF data of all images with the *.tif
extension
system(exiftool_cmd) #Executes ExifTool from within R. You can also
run ExifTool outside R. Original scanner images are automatically
saved as *.tif_original by ExifTool. Created images without scan
resolution (*.tif) will be used for subsequent data analysis in this
protocol.

```

The method presented below shows an example of how to define and measure regions of interest. ImageJ macros are adapted from Irvine-Fynn *et al.* (2010) who quantified granule geometry of cryoconite, microbial aggregates that share similarities with OPGs, under laboratory conditions. Extracting information from experimental time-lapse images is a means to an end and not the main interest of this protocol. Other methods may be used equally well to obtain particle characteristics, including area and X-, Y-coordinates.

C. Marker image

1. Here we convert the background image

2. Figure 5A) into a marker image (错误!未找到引用源。 B). The marker image will be used to determine the X-, Y-coordinates of areas that could possibly contain particles, *i.e.*, the circles that vials occupy. These data are used to assign measured particle characteristics to unique samples and their respective experimental conditions (Procedure E).
 - a. Open an empty macro in ImageJ by clicking on 'plugins', 'new', 'macro'.
 - b. Copy the following script into the macro.txt window.

```

jobdirectory = getDirectory("Please choose a directory for saving
result files and the marker image.");
waitForUser("Please open the background image. Then click ok.");
backgroundimage = getTitle();
run("Split Channels");
selectImage(backgroundimage + " (red)");
close();
selectImage(backgroundimage + " (green)");
close();
selectImage(backgroundimage + " (blue)");
//Converts RGB to grayscale image. Retains only the channel which
presents most contrast between the areas on which vials are placed
(white) and the grid (black).
run("Invert");
//Vial areas now appear black and the grid white.
setAutoThreshold("Default");
run("Threshold...");

```



```

waitForUser("Please set the threshold manually. Then press OK.");
setOption("BlackBackground", false);
run("Convert to Mask");
run("Close");

//Creates binary image from grayscale image: choose and apply cut-
off value to divide image into foreground, i.e., vial areas, and
background, i.e., grid. This works well when the grid is darker than
the biomass. Otherwise you will have to setOption ("Black
background", true).
run("Fill Holes (Binary/Gray)");
//Fills holes in areas occupied by vials to achieve a solid area
(Legland et al., 2016).
run("Morphological Filters", "operation=Opening element=Disk
radius=10");
//Removes isolated pixels and breaks connections between areas
occupied by vials and the grid so that it becomes less likely that
the grid is accidentally considered part of the vial area.
run("Morphological Filters", "operation=Erosion element=Disk
radius=35");
//Decreases the size of detected particles to exclude areas that are
not part of the biomass, e.g., a ring corresponding to a vial that
connects the biomass to the grid, resulting in a larger biomass
particle than it actually is.
//Note: You may adjust the parameter disk radius depending on your
images and experiment.
saveAs("Tiff", jobdirectory + "marker.tif");
run("Set Measurements...", "area centroid fit shape display
redirect=None decimal=3");
//Specifies which measurements are recorded.
run("Analyze Particles...", " show=Overlay display exclude");
//Generates a result table containing information about each
particle in the image, including a running number to label detected
particles (i.e., the area that a vial occupies), the particle area
and the centroid X-, Y-coordinates of the particles
(

```

Results

File	Edit	Font	Results									
	Label	Area	X	Y	Major	Minor	Angle	Circ.	AR	Round	Solidity	
1	marker_image.tif	123575	550.395	581.911	409.002	384.693	59.160	0.881	1.063	0.941	0.992	
2	marker_image.tif	127173	1479.350	583.627	409.309	395.597	41.282	0.891	1.035	0.966	0.993	
3	marker_image.tif	128059	2416.586	584.535	408.102	399.532	34.467	0.893	1.021	0.979	0.994	
4	marker_image.tif	128921	3356.865	585.888	407.679	402.638	10.264	0.892	1.013	0.988	0.993	
5	marker_image.tif	129140	4299.750	588.106	409.149	401.873	169.507	0.895	1.018	0.982	0.994	
6	marker_image.tif	129302	5242.654	590.593	411.063	400.505	159.725	0.890	1.026	0.974	0.993	
7	marker_image.tif	128118	6185.716	594.240	412.684	395.278	144.008	0.882	1.044	0.958	0.991	
8	marker_image.tif	125235	1012.232	1396.957	409.081	389.786	51.402	0.887	1.050	0.953	0.993	
9	marker_image.tif	127522	1946.099	1397.412	408.748	397.228	39.538	0.888	1.029	0.972	0.993	
10	marker_image.tif	128929	2885.779	1398.364	408.507	401.847	21.075	0.888	1.017	0.984	0.993	
11	marker_image.tif	129452	3827.864	1399.979	409.390	402.607	176.597	0.892	1.017	0.983	0.994	
12	marker_image.tif	129192	4772.122	1402.374	410.199	401.007	164.326	0.892	1.023	0.978	0.994	
13	marker_image.tif	129451	5716.275	1404.482	412.253	399.808	155.670	0.893	1.031	0.970	0.993	
14	marker_image.tif	124386	544.065	2208.516	409.310	386.927	51.105	0.880	1.058	0.945	0.992	

Figure 6). It furthermore overlays the particle labels with the marker image to visually relate the particle characteristics in the table to the detected particles on the image.

```
saveAs("Results", jobdirectory + "results_marker.csv");
//You can find an example of this file at
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3938457.
```

- c. Run the macro by clicking on 'macros', 'run macro'. During execution of the macro, manually select the output directory to save the created marker image and open the background image. You can use your own background image or run a demo with the image that can be downloaded from <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3938457>.
- d. Take a screenshot of the resulting marker image with particle labels overlaid that is not automatically saved running the macro (

- e. Figure 5B). We numbered vial locations 1 to 72 from top left to bottom right. The order in which ImageJ detected the areas occupied by vials on the marker image does not necessarily correspond to this numbering as the particle analyzer in ImageJ scans the image until it finds an edge of an object, which becomes particle 1 (see number 1 in

- f. Figure 5B), which in this case also corresponds to location 1 according to our numbering. In the R script (Procedure E1b), we use the screenshot to relate ImageJ particle numbers (lines in result table) to our vial locations.

Figure 5. Marker image created from background image. Screenshots of A. the background image, that is converted into B. the marker image, here displayed with the particle label overlay. The images that are saved in the macro do not have the headers and overlay as displayed in this figure. Columns are indicated in blue and rows in green.

Results

File	Edit	Font	Results									
	Label	Area	X	Y	Major	Minor	Angle	Circ.	AR	Round	Solidity	
1	marker_image.tif	123575	550.395	581.911	409.002	384.693	59.160	0.881	1.063	0.941	0.992	
2	marker_image.tif	127173	1479.350	583.627	409.309	395.597	41.282	0.891	1.035	0.966	0.993	
3	marker_image.tif	128059	2416.586	584.535	408.102	399.532	34.467	0.893	1.021	0.979	0.994	
4	marker_image.tif	128921	3356.865	585.888	407.679	402.638	10.264	0.892	1.013	0.988	0.993	
5	marker_image.tif	129140	4299.750	588.106	409.149	401.873	169.507	0.895	1.018	0.982	0.994	
6	marker_image.tif	129302	5242.654	590.593	411.063	400.505	159.725	0.890	1.026	0.974	0.993	
7	marker_image.tif	128118	6185.716	594.240	412.684	395.278	144.008	0.882	1.044	0.958	0.991	
8	marker_image.tif	125235	1012.232	1396.957	409.081	389.786	51.402	0.887	1.050	0.953	0.993	
9	marker_image.tif	127522	1946.099	1397.412	408.748	397.228	39.538	0.888	1.029	0.972	0.993	
10	marker_image.tif	128929	2885.779	1398.364	408.507	401.847	21.075	0.888	1.017	0.984	0.993	
11	marker_image.tif	129452	3827.864	1399.979	409.390	402.607	176.597	0.892	1.017	0.983	0.994	
12	marker_image.tif	129192	4772.122	1402.374	410.199	401.007	164.326	0.892	1.023	0.978	0.994	
13	marker_image.tif	129451	5716.275	1404.482	412.253	399.808	155.670	0.893	1.031	0.970	0.993	
14	marker_image.tif	124386	544.065	2208.516	409.310	386.927	51.105	0.880	1.058	0.945	0.992	

Figure 6. Result table of marker image. Screenshot of the result table showing characteristics of the first 15 out of 72 particles of the marker. This is not the result table that is saved in the macro, which is a comma-separated value (csv) file.

D. Experimental time-lapse images

1. Here we convert scanner color images to black and white binary images, displaying biomass particles in black and the grid in white. Characteristics of each particle will be saved in a corresponding result table. The grid for positioning the vials will be automatically removed

from the images. Possible erratic particles that are not part of the OPGs are equally removed during the process. The macro includes a manual thresholding step which is relatively time-consuming, but automatic thresholding may not always result in particles that reflect well the visual impression on the scanner images. In theory, we obtain 72 biomass particles per image for an incubation set of 72 vials. We acquired experimental images over a period of six weeks, resulting in 110 color images, each of a size of 182 MB. An image that is taken halfway through the experiment is shown as an example in

2.

3. Figure 7A.

- a. An image containing the background (*i.e.*, areas that cannot possibly contain biomass, for example the grid) is required. This image needs to be a grayscale image in which the grid is white and the areas potentially containing particles during the experiment are black. In the simplest case, the image could be the marker image generated in Procedure C, or, for improved particle detection, a manually curated image based on either the background or an experimental image. During manual curation, the area available for photogranulation may be redrawn as disks with the inner diameter of the vials. A foreground limited to the potential areas where particles can be detected avoids artefacts interfering with image detection that can be caused by reflections of the vial walls or shadowing effects of the grid during scanning.
- b. Open an empty macro in ImageJ by clicking on 'plugins', 'new', 'macro'.
- c. Copy the following script into the macro.txt window.

```
setBatchMode(false);
//Enters or remains in batch mode and hide active images during
macro execution.
function action(input, output, filename){
open(input + filename);
jobname = getTitle();
jobnamemod = indexOf(jobname, ".");
jobname = substring(jobname, 0, jobnamemod);
//The file paths and filenames entered when starting the macro are
converted to variables that become useable to the commands where
```

```

they are used.
run("Split Channels");
selectImage(jobname + ".tif (blue)");
close();
selectImage(jobname + ".tif (green)");
close();
selectImage(jobname + ".tif (red)");
red_image = getTitle();
//Converts RGB to grayscale image. Retains only the channel which
presents most contrast between the areas on which vials are placed
(white) and the grid (black).
open(path);
//Opens the grid image (e.g., the marker image) selected in Procedure
D1a using the file specified below.
//Vial areas now appear blackish and the grid whitish.
grid = getTitle();
imageCalculator("Add create", red_image, grid);
close("\\Others");
//Making grid appear as true white so that it will be detected as
background in the subsequent binarization step.
run("Set Scale...", "distance=0 known=0 pixel=1 unit=pixel");
//Removes spatial scale of active image so that measurement results
(e.g., area measurements) have the unit pixel.
run("Threshold...");
waitForUser("Please set the threshold manually. Then press OK, or
cancel to exit macro");
setOption("BlackBackground", false);
run("Convert to Mask");
selectWindow("Threshold");
run("Close");
//Creates binary image from grayscale image: choose and apply cut-
off value to divide image into foreground, i.e., vial areas, and
background, i.e., grid. Define your own criteria and try to treat
each image of your dataset in the same way.
//Note: This can be tricky because we normally do not find a
threshold that works ideally for every particle on an image. We
recommend testing a small subset (e.g., first, middle and last images)
to get an idea of what works well.
run("Fill Holes (Binary/Gray)");
//Fills holes in the areas occupied by vials to achieve a solid area

```

```
(Legland et al., 2016).
//Note: This may fill up entire vial area when a biomass particle
is surrounded by a ring corresponding to a vial. If this happens,
you can reduce the size of the respective particle on the marker
image using for example image editor Gimp and run the macro again
(see also Procedure D1a).
run("Morphological   Filters",   "operation=Opening   element=Disk
radius=10");
close("\\Others");
//Removes isolated pixels and breaks connections between areas
occupied by vials and the grid so that it becomes less likely that
the grid is accidentally considered part of the vial area.
mask_image = getTitle();
//Created image becomes mask image.
run("Morphological   Filters",   "operation=Erosion   element=Disk
radius=40");
marker_image = getTitle();
//Drastically decreases the size of detected particles on the mask
image to create an image that will be used for morphological
reconstruction.
//Note: Images of small granules may be removed when the disk radius
is too large, you may adjust the parameter disk radius depending on
your images and experiment.
print("The marker image name is " + marker_image);
print("The mask image name is " + mask_image);
//Prints active marker and mask images.
run("Morphological   Reconstruction",   "marker=["+marker_image+"]
mask=["+mask_image+"] type=[By Dilation] connectivity=4");
//Keeps particles from the mask image that overlap with at least one
pixel on the marker image and remove other pixels. This step assures
that only particles are retained that overlap with vial areas and
that possible erratic particles that are not part of OPGs are removed.
run("Set Measurements...", "area centroid fit shape display
redirect=None decimal=3");
rename(jobname);
//Specifies which measurements are recorded.
run("Analyze Particles...", "size=0-Infinity circularity=0.00-1.00
show=[Overlay Outlines] display exclude");
//Generates result table (see explanation at Procedure C).
save(output + jobname + ".final.tif");
```

```

close();
saveAs("Results", output+ jobname + "_results.csv" );
run("Clear Results");
selectWindow("Log");
run("Close All");
}
//Erases any previous measurement results.
input = getDirectory("Choose an input directory with the raw images,
please.");
output = getDirectory("Choose an output directory for result images
and tables, please.");
waitForUser("Please open the grid image. Then click ok.");
//Selects the grid image (e.g., the marker image) selected in
Procedure D1a. This image allows the removal of the grid from the
scanner images.
grid = getTitle();
dir = getDirectory("image");
path = dir+grid;
close();
//Define input directory with experimental images and output
directory to save created images. Open the grid image selected in
Procedure D1a. In the chronology of the script, results from these
commands will be displayed once when starting the macro.
list = getFileList(input);
for (i = 0; i < list.length; i++)
action(input, output, list[i]);
setBatchMode(false);
//Definition of a loop structure that will execute the macro function
as many times as there are images found in the input directory.

```

- d. Run the macro by clicking on 'macros', 'run macro'. During execution of the macro, manually select the input directory with experimental images, output directory to save created images and open the grid image selected in Procedure D1a. You can use your own experimental images and grid image or run a demo with exemplary images that can be downloaded from <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3938457>. Actions are automatically repeated for all images in the input directory.

Note: Make sure to not have unrelated files in the folder as otherwise ImageJ attempts to treat them as images.

- e. Manually check whether the particles on the obtained images (

- f.
- g. **Figure 7B)** correspond to what you visually detect on the raw images.
Note: This sanity check is important because the automated analysis is supposed to mimic and automate the visual inspection but is not infallible. You can create a series of thumbnails by rescaling the original scanner images to a reduced size to browse more easily through the images.
- h. Concatenate (combine) result tables into one csv file to simplify the analysis.
- Open a new R script in an R editor, for example RStudio (R Core Team, 2019), by clicking on 'file', 'new file', 'R script'.
 - Copy the following script into the source window. Text in red require user input and adaptations.

```
##Packages -----
require(plyr) # (Wickham, 2011)
require(readr) # (Wickham et al., 2018)

##Working directory -----
rm(list=ls())
directory <- ("/path-to-directory/")
setwd(directory)

##Concatenate result tables -----
---
results.df <- list.files(path = directory, pattern = "*.csv",
full.names = T) #Generates a list of all *.csv files in the
```



```

directory
results.df <- ldply(results.df, read_csv) #Combines result tables
of all images into a single data frame
colnames(results.df)[1] <- "ObjNum" #Assigns name ObjNum to first
column
write.csv(results.df, file = "results_scanner_images.csv", quote
= F, row.names = F) #Writes result tables of all images to a
separate file to be used later. You can find an example of this
file at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3938457.

```

Figure 7. Result image created from scanner image. Screenshots of A. a scanner image taken halfway through the experiment, that is converted into B. the result image for which the particle characteristics are determined. The images show particles contracted to varying degrees for the two sludge sources. The images that are saved in the macro do not have the headers as displayed in this figure.

E. Quantification of photogranulation

1. The experimental results are now imported into the software environment R (R Core Team, 2019). The challenge is to automatically convert the particle characterizations done per image into one time series per vial. The steps of the R script are as follows:
 - Calculate average X-, Y-coordinates of vial areas identified on the marker image (Figure 5B);
 - Assign experimental time to all experimentally measured particles per image by adding a column with experimental time to the particle data obtained with ImageJ. This facilitates the plotting to temporal dynamics;
 - Identify particles from a vial at a specific physical location on each image using the X-, Y-coordinates of vial areas. Relate particles to their location by assigning a location number and match them to the appropriate experimental conditions;
 - Transform the detected surface area of particles into equivalent diameters;
 - Plot the average decrease in particle diameter per experimental condition over time.
 - a. Open a new R script in an R editor, for example RStudio, by clicking on 'file', 'new file', 'R script'.
 - b. Copy the following script into the source window. Text in red require user input and adaptations.

```
##Packages -----
require(plyr) # (Wickham, 2011)
require(ggplot2) # (Wickham, 2016)

##Working directory -----
rm(list=ls())
directory <- ("/path-to-directory/")
setwd(directory)

##Start time -----
start.time <- c("2018:03:14 17:51:19")
#Manually enter start date/ time of the first image of the experiment.
#Experimental time will be calculated based on this time point, using
#the acquisition time of the scanner images.

##Scaling factor -----
scaling.factor <- 475.417/1.5 #pixels/cm
#Manually enter the scale of the images, i.e., number of pixels to
#cover the diameter of a vial. This value can be easily measured in
#ImageJ.

##Margin -----
margin.vial <- 212
#Manually enter average number of pixels between the center and
#edges of a particle as measured in ImageJ, typically the inner radius
#of the vials in pixel.

##Files to be imported -----
#You can run a demo with the files presented at
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3938457.
coordinates.name <- "results_marker.csv" #Information about each
#particle on marker image (generated using ImageJ).
raw.data.name <- "results_scanner_images.csv" #Joined result tables
#of scanner images (generated using ImageJ).
acquisition.time.name <- "image_acquisition.csv" #Filename and
#creation date of scanner images (generated using ExifTool from
#within R).
experimental.condition.name <- "experimental_conditions.txt"
#Experimental conditions per sample (manually generated).
```

```
##Loading files -----
coordinates <- read.csv(coordinates.name, header=T, as.is =T)
raw.data <- read.csv(raw.data.name, header = T, as.is = T)
acquisition.time <- read.csv(acquisition.time.name, header = T,
as.is = T)
experimental.condition <- read.delim(experimental.condition.name,
header = T, as.is = T)
```

####Calculate average X-, Y-coordinates of vial areas identified on the marker image (Figure 5B).

```
##Average X-, Y-coordinates of vial areas -----
-----
column.1 <- c(1,14,27,40,53,66) #Manually enter which particle label
on the marker screenshot corresponds to which column, as indicated
in                                     blue
(
```

Figure 5B). The grid consists of columns alternating in starting position and size, e.g., the first particle detected corresponds to the first particle in the first column of the scanner grid, the fourteenth particle detected is situated on the grid in column 1, row 3, etc.

```
column.2 <- c(8,21,34,47,60)
```

```
column.3 <- c(2,15,28,41,54,67)
column.4 <- c(9,22,35,48,61)
column.5 <- c(3,16,29,42,55,68)
column.6 <- c(10,23,36,49,62)
column.7 <- c(4,17,30,43,56,69)
column.8 <- c(11,24,37,50,63)
column.9 <- c(5,18,31,44,57,70)
column.10 <- c(12,25,38,51,64)
column.11 <- c(6,19,32,45,58,71)
column.12 <- c(13,26,39,52,65)
column.13 <- c(7,20,33,46,59,72)
```

```
row.1 <- c(1,2,3,4,5,6,7) #Manually enter which particle label on
the marker screenshot corresponds to which row, as indicated in
green
(
```

Figure 5B). The grid consists of rows alternating in starting position and size, e.g., the first particle detected corresponds to the most left particle in the first row of the grid, etc.

```
row.2 <- c(8,9,10,11,12,13)
row.3 <- c(14,15,16,17,18,19,20)
row.4 <- c(21,22,23,24,25,26)
row.5 <- c(27,28,29,30,31,32,33)
row.6 <- c(34,35,36,37,38,39)
```



```

row.7 <- c(40,41,42,43,44,45,46)
row.8 <- c(47,48,49,50,51,52)
row.9 <- c(53,54,55,56,57,58,59)
row.10 <- c(60,61,62,63,64,65)
row.11 <- c(66,67,68,69,70,71,72)

pos.names <- c(row.1, row.2, row.3, row.4, row.5, row.6, row.7,
row.8, row.9, row.10, row.11)
#Order of particle numbers from top left to bottom right.

average.x.1 <- mean(coordinates$X[coordinates$X.1 %in% column.1])
average.x.2 <- mean(coordinates$X[coordinates$X.1 %in% column.2])
average.x.3 <- mean(coordinates$X[coordinates$X.1 %in% column.3])
average.x.4 <- mean(coordinates$X[coordinates$X.1 %in% column.4])
average.x.5 <- mean(coordinates$X[coordinates$X.1 %in% column.5])
average.x.6 <- mean(coordinates$X[coordinates$X.1 %in% column.6])
average.x.7 <- mean(coordinates$X[coordinates$X.1 %in% column.7])
average.x.8 <- mean(coordinates$X[coordinates$X.1 %in% column.8])
average.x.9 <- mean(coordinates$X[coordinates$X.1 %in% column.9])
average.x.10 <- mean(coordinates$X[coordinates$X.1 %in% column.10])
average.x.11 <- mean(coordinates$X[coordinates$X.1 %in% column.11])
average.x.12 <- mean(coordinates$X[coordinates$X.1 %in% column.12])
average.x.13 <- mean(coordinates$X[coordinates$X.1 %in% column.13])
#Calculates average X-coordinates.

average.y.1 <- mean(coordinates$Y[coordinates$X.1 %in% row.1])
average.y.2 <- mean(coordinates$Y[coordinates$X.1 %in% row.2])
average.y.3 <- mean(coordinates$Y[coordinates$X.1 %in% row.3])
average.y.4 <- mean(coordinates$Y[coordinates$X.1 %in% row.4])
average.y.5 <- mean(coordinates$Y[coordinates$X.1 %in% row.5])
average.y.6 <- mean(coordinates$Y[coordinates$X.1 %in% row.6])
average.y.7 <- mean(coordinates$Y[coordinates$X.1 %in% row.7])
average.y.8 <- mean(coordinates$Y[coordinates$X.1 %in% row.8])
average.y.9 <- mean(coordinates$Y[coordinates$X.1 %in% row.9])
average.y.10 <- mean(coordinates$Y[coordinates$X.1 %in% row.10])
average.y.11 <- mean(coordinates$Y[coordinates$X.1 %in% row.11])
#Calculates average Y-coordinates.

average.x.a <-c(average.x.1, average.x.3, average.x.5, average.x.7,
average.x.9, average.x.11, average.x.13)

```

```

average.x.b <- c(average.x.2, average.x.4, average.x.6, average.x.8,
average.x.10, average.x.12)
#Calculates average Y-coordinates of rows having the same X-
coordinate.
average.y.a <- c(average.y.1, average.y.3, average.y.5, average.y.7,
average.y.9, average.y.11)
average.y.b <- c(average.y.2, average.y.4, average.y.6, average.y.8,
average.y.10)
#Calculates average X-coordinates of rows having the same Y-
coordinate.
first.half <- expand.grid(average.x.a, average.y.a)
second.half <- expand.grid(average.x.b, average.y.b)
#Couples X-, Y-coordinates for both a and b.
average.coordinates <- rbind(first.half, second.half)
colnames(average.coordinates) <- c("X","Y")
#Combines first.half and second.half by rows.
average.coordinates                                     <-
average.coordinates[order(average.coordinates$Y,
average.coordinates$X),]
#Arranges coordinates to be in the same order as the particle numbers
that can be found on the marker screenshot.
average.coordinates <- cbind(average.coordinates, pos.names, 1:72)
colnames(average.coordinates) <- c("X","Y", "ParticleLabel",
"Location")
#Adds columns with order of particles numbers that can be found on
the marker screenshot and experimental vial locations (1 to 72 from
top left to bottom right).

####Assign experimental time to all experimentally measured
particles per image by adding a column with experimental time to the
particle data obtained with ImageJ. This facilitates the plotting
to temporal dynamics.

##Experimental time -----
start.time <- strptime(start.time, "%Y:%m:%d %H:%M:%S")
#Converts start time to date/ time representation.
date.time <- strptime(acquisition.time$DateTime,
"%Y:%m:%d %H:%M:%S")
#Acquires acquisition time per image and converts it to a date/ time
representation.

```

```

exp.time      <-      round(-1*(as.numeric(start.time      -      date.time,
units="hours")),3)
#Converts date/ time to experimental time.
acquisition.time <- cbind(acquisition.time, exp.time)
#Adds experimental time to acquisition time file.
colnames(acquisition.time) <- c("Name", "Date", "ExpTime")
acquisition.time$Name <- gsub(".tif", "", acquisition.time$Name)
#Removes *.tif from file names.
unique.images <- unique(raw.data$Label)
#Checks number of unique images.
ExpTime.vec <- rep(0, nrow(raw.data))
#Creates vector with as many zeros as there are rows in the raw data
file (equals number of particles per image times number of images).
for(i in 1:length(unique.images))
{time.i <- acquisition.time$ExpTime[which(acquisition.time$Name ==
unique.images[i])]
indices.1 <- which(raw.data$Label == unique.images[i])
ExpTime.vec[indices.1] <- time.i}
raw.data <- cbind(raw.data, ExpTime.vec, rep(NA, nrow(raw.data)),
rep(NA,
nrow(raw.data)), rep(NA, nrow(raw.data)))
colnames(raw.data)[(ncol(raw.data)-3):ncol(raw.data)]      <-
c("ExpTime", "Location",
"ExpCondition", "ExpID")
raw.data <- raw.data[order(raw.data$ExpTime),]
#Loops over number of scanner images (i.e., 110 images), gives
experimental time of image in acquisition.time file that corresponds
to image i. Gives rows that correspond to image raw.data file that
corresponds to image i (each image typically has 72 particles).
Replaces zeros in vector belonging to these rows by experimental
time belonging to image i.

####Identify particles from a vial at a specific physical location
on each image using the X-, Y-coordinates of vial areas. Relate
particles to their location by assigning a location number and match
them to the appropriate experimental conditions.

##Identify particles per location and add experimental conditions -
-----

for(j in 1:nrow(average.coordinates) ){indices.2 <- which(

```

```

sqrt(((raw.data$X- average.coordinates$X[j])^2) + ((raw.data$Y-
average.coordinates$Y[j])^2)) < margin.vial)
if(length(indices.2) == length(unique.images)){print(paste(c("All
looks fine for Location ", j, "."), sep = "", collapse =
""))}else{print(paste(c("Warning: there is an issue for Location ",
j, "."), sep = "", collapse = ""))}
#If there is an issue for Location j, go manually through the images
and make sure the issue is corrected. It may happen that a particle
was not detected on all images, e.g., because it started to float,
or more than one particle has been detected in the same well on one
image, e.g., because there was a small piece of loose biomass. In
the latter case, you can manually remove the data from the erroneous
particle that is not a granule from the dataset.
raw.data$Location[indices.2] <- average.coordinates$Location[j]
indices.1 <- which(experimental.condition$Location ==
average.coordinates$Location[j])
raw.data$Location[indices.2] <-
experimental.condition$Location[indices.1]
raw.data$ExpCondition[indices.2] <-
experimental.condition$ExpCondition[indices.1]
raw.data$ExpID[indices.2] <-
experimental.condition$ExpID[indices.1]}
if (length(which(raw.data$Location == 0)) == 0) {print("No 0s left
and all coordinates are assigned a location")} else {"Watch out!
There are unidentified particles left in the data."}
#Loops over number of rows in average.coordinates file (i.e., 72
rows for 72 particles). Returns the particle that falls within the
X- , Y-coordinates for a specific position, i.e., 110 particles for
each location because there are 110 images. Note: small and not-
centered particles may not be detected. Relates the location number
(i.e., 1 to 72) to the X, Y position so that the particle has the
same label on every image. Couples experimental conditions to
locations.

####Transform the detected surface area of particles into equivalent
diameters.

##Calculation of equivalent diameter from area -----
-----

raw.data <- cbind(raw.data, 2*sqrt(raw.data$Area/pi), rep(NA,

```

```

nrow(raw.data)))
colnames(raw.data)[(ncol(raw.data)-1):ncol(raw.data)] <-
c("EquivDiam_pix",
"EquivDiam_cm")
#Calculates diameter of particle area assuming that it represents a
circle.
raw.data$EquivDiam_cm <- raw.data$EquivDiam_pix/scaling.factor
#Converts pixels to centimeters.

####Plot the average decrease in particle diameter per experimental
condition over time.

##Plot diameter over experimental time per experimental condition -
-----
treated.final.data <- ddply(raw.data, c("ExpTime", "ExpID"),
summarise,
average.diameter = mean(EquivDiam_cm), sd.diameter =
sd(EquivDiam_cm), sem.diameter=
sd(EquivDiam_cm)/sqrt(length(EquivDiam_cm)))

treated.final.data$ExpID <- as.character(treated.final.data$ExpID)
average.diameter <- treated.final.data$ average.diameter
sd <- treated.final.data$sd.diameter

p <- ggplot(treated.final.data, mapping = aes(x=ExpTime, y=
average.diameter, shape=ExpID, color=ExpID))
p <- p + geom_point()
p <- p + scale_shape_discrete(name = "ExpID", breaks=c("1", "2"),
labels=c("sludge1", "sludge2"))
p <- p + scale_color_discrete(name = "ExpID", breaks=c("1", "2"),
labels=c("sludge1", "sludge2"))
p <- p + geom_errorbar(aes(ymin= average.diameter-sd, ymax=
average.diameter+sd))
p <- p + labs(x = "Experimental time [h]", y = "Biomass diameter
[cm]")
p #This is

```

Figure 8.

- c. You can use your own generated files or you can run a demo with the files presented at <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3938457>.
- d. Run the script by clicking on 'Run'.
- e. Verify whether the generated plot

- (
- f. Figure 8) matches with what you would expect based on the experimental time-lapse images.

Figure 8. Progression of photogranulation shown as biomass contraction, *i.e.*, decrease in diameter. Hydrotatic batch cultivations were started with two different sludge sources, each containing 36 replicates. Error bars present standard deviations.

Progression of photogranulation as quantified by biomass contraction, *i.e.*, the decrease in diameter over time, is presented in

Figure 8 for two different sludge sources. Initially, the sludge bed covers the entire bottom and corresponds to the inner diameter of the vial, *i.e.*, 1.27 cm. During successful photogranulation, a final diameter of about half the initial value or less can be expected. Here the biomass did not granulate well in all 36 replicates per sludge source, and the formation of microbial mats was observed. This large variation in degree of contraction, *i.e.*, the formation of two very different phenotypes, is reflected by the large standard deviations around the average diameters. Despite this heterogeneity, sludge source 2 resulted in more compact OPGs with a smaller average diameter than sludge source 1. Data was not obtained between 354 and 472 hours due to a technical issue.

F. Data exclusion

1. Failed experiments (e.g., overgrown vial bottoms, floating biomass, tipped vials) can be excluded manually from the analysis by removing the corresponding lines in the raw data or using a file specifying data points to be removed within the script (not described here).

G. Statistical analysis

1. Standard deviations around the average diameters of replicates are calculated at the end of the

R script in Step E1b.

Notes

The biological phenomenon of photogranulation is not always reproducible: success rates greatly vary and may depend on the inoculum (*i.e.*, the activated sludge) or experimental conditions, *e.g.*, temperature, light intensity or light quality. This variability is subject of ongoing research using this protocol.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded by Graduate School GAIA of Montpellier University of Excellence (100% PhD fellowship for Esmee Joosten) and the ANR project PSST ANR- 16-CE04-0001.

Production of OPGs under hydrostatic batch conditions was earlier presented by Park & Dolan (2015) and Milferstedt *et al.* (2017).

Competing interests

The authors declare not to have any (non-)financial competing interests.

References

1. Abouhend, A. S., McNair, A., Kuo-Dahab, W. C., Watt, C., Butler, C. S., Milferstedt, K., Hamelin, J., Seo, J., Gikonyo, G. J., El-Moselhy, K. M. and Park, C. (2018). [The oxygenic photogranule process for aeration-free wastewater treatment](#). *Environ Sci Technol* 52(6): 3503-3511.
2. Irvine-Fynn, T. D. L., Bridge, J. W. and Hodson, A. J. (2010). [Rapid quantification of cryoconite: granule geometry and in situ supraglacial extents, using examples from Svalbard and Greenland](#). *J Glaciol* 56(196): 297-308.
3. Legland, D., Arganda-Carreras, I. and Andrey, P. (2016). [MorphoLibJ: Integrated library and plugins for mathematical morphology with ImageJ](#). *Bioinformatics* 32(22): btw413.
4. Milferstedt, K., Kuo-Dahab, W. C., Butler, C. S., Hamelin, J., Abouhend, A. S., Stauch-White, K., McNair, A., Watt, C., Carbajal-González, B. I., Dolan, S. and Park, C. (2017). [The importance of filamentous cyanobacteria in the development of oxygenic photogranules](#). *Sci Rep* 7(1): 17944.
5. O'Brien, J. (2020). exiftoolr: ExifTool Functionality from R. <https://cran.r-project.org/package=exiftoolr>
6. Park, C. and Dolan, S. (2015). [Algal-sludge granule for wastewater treatment and bioenergy feedstock generation](#). Pat Appl WO 2015112654 A2, Appl. number PCT/US2015/012332.
7. Quijano, G., Arcila, J. S. and Buitrón, G. (2017). [Microalgal-bacterial aggregates: Applications](#)

- [and perspectives for wastewater treatment](#). *Biotechnol Adv* 35(6): 772-781.
8. R Core Team (2019). [R: A language and environment for statistical computing](#). R Found. Stat. Comput. Vienna, Austria.
 9. Schneider, C. A., Rasband, W. S. and Eliceiri, K. W. (2012). [ImageJ](#). *Fundam Digit Imaging Med* 9(7): 185-188.
 10. Wickham, H. (2016). [ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis](#). Springer-Verlag New York.
 11. Wickham, H. (2011). [The split-apply-combine strategy for data analysis](#). *J Stat Software* 40(1): 1-29.
 12. Wickham, H., Hester, J., Francois, R. (2018) readr: Read Rectangular Text Data. <https://cran.r-project.org/package=readr>