Published in final edited form as:

ACS Synth Biol. 2020 September 18; 9(9): 2301–2315. doi:10.1021/acssynbio.9b00517.

Tuning Extracellular Electron Transfer by *Shewanella oneidensis* Using Transcriptional Logic Gates

Christopher M. Dundas,

McKetta Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712, United States;

David J.F. Walker,

Institute for Cellular and Molecular Biology, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712, United States

Benjamin K. Keitz

McKetta Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712, United States;

Abstract

Extracellular electron transfer (EET) pathways, such as those in the bacterium *Shewanella oneidensis*, interface cellular metabolism with a variety of redox-driven applications. However, designer control over EET flux in *S. oneidensis* has proven challenging because a functional understanding of its EET pathway proteins and their effect on engineering parametrizations (e.g., response curves, dynamic range) is generally lacking. To address this, we systematically altered transcription and translation of single genes encoding parts of the primary EET pathway of *S. oneidensis*, CymA/MtrCAB, and examined how expression differences affected model-fitted parameters for Fe(III) reduction kinetics. Using a suite of plasmid-based inducible circuits maintained by appropriate *S. oneidensis* knockout strains, we pinpointed construct/strain pairings that expressed *cymA*, *mtrA*, and *mtrC* with maximal dynamic range of Fe(III) reduction rate. These optimized EET gene constructs were employed to create Buffer and NOT gate architectures that predictably turn on and turn off EET flux, respectively, in response to isopropyl β -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). Furthermore, we found that response functions generated by these

Corresponding Author: Benjamin K. Keitz – McKetta Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712, United States; keitz@utexas.edu.

Complete contact information is available at: <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acssynbio.9b00517>

Author Contributions

C.M.D. and B.K.K. conceived the project, analyzed the results, and wrote the manuscript. C.M.D. designed the genetic constructs and performed the experiments. D.J.F.W. contributed to revision experiments.

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acssynbio.9b00517>.

Supplementary Note S1, steady-state Monod-type model for Fe(III) reduction; Supplementary Note S2, polynomial model for Fe(III) reduction; Supplementary Figures S1–S12 and S15, additional figures and raw data supporting the main text; Supplementary Figures S13 and S14, circuit maps for *sfgfp*, *cymA*, *mtrA*, and *mtrC* plasmids used in this study; Supplementary Table S1, bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study; Supplementary Table S2, strain/plasmid/predicted RBS strength information for the RBS library; Supplementary Table S3, sequences for genetic parts used in this study; Supplementary Table S4, nonlinear fit parameters for Figures 4–6 (PDF)

All raw data collected for this manuscript is available free of charge through the Texas Data Repository at DOI: [10.18738/T8/REMLVQ](https://doi.org/10.18738/T8/REMLVQ).

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

logic gates (i.e., EET activity vs inducer concentration) were comparable to those generated by conventional synthetic biology circuits, where fluorescent reporters are the output. Our results provide insight on programming EET activity with transcriptional logic gates and suggest that previously developed transcriptional circuitry can be adapted to predictably control EET flux.

Graphical Abstract

Keywords

extracellular electron transfer; *Shewanella oneidensis*; logic gate

Extracellular electron transfer (EET) is an anaerobic respiratory mechanism through which electroactive microbes interact with and influence their environment.¹ For example, EET flux can be harnessed for power generation in microbial fuel cells,² the remediation of environmental pollutants,³ and for material synthesis.^{4,5} In theory, EET can connect biological computation with modern electronics, potentially enabling the creation of new sensors and other hybrid living-electronic devices.⁶ Because redox-active proteins and metabolites mediate microbial electron transfer, these applications have the potential for genetic and metabolic optimization. However, strict control overexpression/activity of metabolic and proteomic elements governing EET flux and thus programmable control over cellular electron flux remains challenging.

Shewanella oneidensis is a facultatively anaerobic bacterium that couples carbon oxidation to EET flux.⁷ The EET pathways of *S. oneidensis* are common engineering targets owing to the bacteria's favorable growth characteristics and genetic tractability. In the absence of oxygen, EET facilitates respiration onto a variety of technologically relevant metal species, including Fe(III),⁸ Mn(IV), and Pd(II).⁹ The primary EET pathway in *S. oneidensis* is the CymA/MtrCAB conduit (Figure 1), which comprises a periplasmic/outer membrane *c*-cytochrome network that links carbon metabolism to the reduction of inorganic substrates.¹⁰ Manipulating EET in *S. oneidensis* has been predominantly explored in the context of bioelectrochemical applications.¹¹ For example, a frequent power generation goal to increase EET flux above wild-type *S. oneidensis* levels can be accomplished through overexpression of flavin biosynthesis enzymes.¹² However, controlled expression of the CymA/Mtr components has received relatively little attention outside of electrode-based characterizations.

While electron transfer rates below wild-type levels are advantageous in certain applications and can be accomplished using genomic deletion strains,¹³ programmable expression of EET proteins remains a nontrivial engineering task. Despite a few examples of inducible EET flux via circuits that control Mtr protein expression,^{14–17} basic engineering parametrizations, such as output/input response curves¹⁸ (i.e., EET flux vs inducer concentration) and dynamic range optimization (i.e., induced EET rate/uninduced EET rate), have not been systematically studied. It is not clear the magnitude to which each EET protein affects these parametrizations nor the relative effect of each pathway component. Additionally, many previously engineered circuits exhibited “leaky” expression of EET genes.^{17,19} Finally, most EET genetic circuits have focused on “turning on” EET activity, but “turning off” EET activity at the protein expression level remains largely unexamined.²⁰ Taken together, an overall picture of how controlled changes in CymA/Mtr protein expression relate to changes in EET flux is lacking. Developing this understanding is critical for adopting synthetic biology approaches to control EET activity and expanding the versatility of EET in applications such as sensing and catalysis.

To address these challenges, we designed a well-defined and modular expression system for the CymA/Mtr proteins that could be used to rapidly parametrize electroactivity by engineered *S. oneidensis* strains. Transcriptional regulation can be harnessed to precisely control protein expression and design synthetic biology circuits that behave similarly to electronic circuits.^{21–23} By pairing transcriptional regulators (e.g., repressors, activators) with cognate promoter DNA sequences, expression of promoter-downstream genes can be modulated based on the presence/absence of inducing molecules. Using this regulation scheme, transcriptional logic gates can be constructed that facilitate rational programming of complex cellular functions.^{24–26} We hypothesized that rigorous control of EET activity could similarly be enabled using transcriptional logic gates that control expression of single EET genes. By tuning logic gate elements, including RBS sequences and regulatory topology, we predicted that EET activity could exhibit response functions comparable to those observed with fluorescent reporters. Transcriptional control over individual EET components would simplify programming of EET flux and potentially facilitate its integration into previously developed genetic circuits.

In this work, we developed a suite of plasmids to control expression of the EET genes *mtrC*, *mtrA*, and *cymA*. *S. oneidensis* strains with appropriate genomic deletions were transformed by these plasmids, and the effects of EET gene transcription and translation were systematically interrogated. When evaluating genetic designs, soluble Fe(III) reduction was used as a high throughput reporter of EET activity. *In situ* reduction kinetics were fitted to transcription-governed models, which allowed us to parametrize strain electron transfer rates and optimize inducible EET turn-on behavior, including dynamic range and fitting to Hill models of gene transcription. After identifying transcriptionally responsive EET constructs, we further demonstrated their utility by constructing NOT gates that functionally turn off EET activity in response to IPTG. Our results show that EET activity can be programmed via expression of single genes and will provide insight on the amenability of EET pathway components for future engineering efforts.

HIGH THROUGHPUT AND REAL-TIME QUANTIFICATION OF MICROBIAL FE(III) REDUCTION

To rapidly prototype EET genetic circuits, we developed a simple and high throughput means to detect expression-driven changes in EET flux (Figure 2a). Soluble Fe(III) reduction is a common assay for microbial EET activity and can be measured using the colorimetric dye, ferrozine. Ferrozine complexes microbially generated Fe(II) and has an absorption peak at 562 nm.²⁷ Inspired by previous *Shewanella* studies^{28–30} and a recent report that analyzed the electroactivity of several microorganisms *en masse*,³¹ we found that adding ferrozine directly to cell suspensions in anaerobically sealed 96-well plates enabled high throughput and real-time monitoring of Fe(III) reduction and EET activity (Supplementary Figure S1). Each experimental 96-well plate was filled with *Shewanella* Basal Medium (SBM) that contained 1 mg mL⁻¹ ferrozine, which showed minimal absorbance change in the absence of bacterial inoculum. In contrast, wells containing ferrozine and *S. oneidensis* cells exhibited color changes attributable to Fe(III) reduction. As plates also contained abiotic Fe(II) standards, this setup enabled rapid detection of Fe(II) levels up to 96 μ M across varying biological and chemical conditions. For example, when adding ferrozine to suspensions of *S. oneidensis* MR-1 (wild-type), we observed distinct Fe(III) reduction profiles that follow known *S. oneidensis* metabolisms on different carbon sources.³² Bacteria cultured with lactate and pyruvate displayed fast Fe(III) reduction kinetics relative to starved cultures and those supplemented with metabolically inaccessible acetate (Figure 2b). We also measured drastic kinetic differences between *S. oneidensis* MR-1 and previously characterized Fe(III) reduction-deficient strains.¹³ Cytochrome knockout strains exhibited markedly slower Fe(III) reduction levels relative to those of *S. oneidensis* MR-1 (Figure 2c). Although all these samples were analyzed in an aerobic plate reader, the anaerobic seal on our 96-well plates prevented oxygen penetration into growth medium so long as plates remained stationary and were not shaken (Supplementary Figures S1 and S2). Together, these results demonstrate that our assay provides a high-throughput means to quantify engineered EET activity via microbial Fe(III) reduction kinetics.

While our *in situ* ferrozine method enables rapid Fe(II) quantification, we note that ferrozine likely alters cell physiology. For example, it may affect microbial Fe(III) reduction kinetics³³ or compete with *S. oneidensis* siderophores for Fe assimilation.³⁴ Because it is challenging to dissect these potentially competing effects during Fe(III) citrate reduction, we briefly examined how ferrozine affects cells grown with 20 mM lactate and 40 mM fumarate. Although we did observe an initial lag in cell growth for ferrozine supplemented samples, final OD600 values were comparable regardless of ferrozine supplementation (Supplementary Figure S3). This result falls in line with ferrozine acting as a potential competitor for assimilated Fe(II), but this may not be an issue in iron-rich media (e.g., our standard Fe(III)-citrate conditions used throughout the manuscript). Moreover, because all our growth media uses the same ferrozine concentration, further tested conditions should exhibit equal effects on cell physiology and enable determination of ferrozine-independent trends.

A MONOD-TYPE MODEL CONNECTS STEADY-STATE GENE EXPRESSION TO FE(III) REDUCTION

Having established a platform for directly measuring Fe(III) reduction kinetics by various *S. oneidensis* strains and conditions, we next developed a genetic and mathematical framework that could tie EET gene expression to Fe(III) reduction. Despite functional redundancy by other cytochromes and electron transfer proteins,³⁵ the CymA/MtrCAB pathway is the primary conduit for electron transfer to soluble Fe(III) by *S. oneidensis*. Knockout strains that lack just one protein in this conduit exhibit significantly reduced rates of Fe(III) reduction relative to wild-type. Given these results, we hypothesized that complementing appropriate knockout strains with a single EET gene from the CymA/MtrCAB conduit would cause Fe(III) reduction kinetics to be rate limited and controllable by expression of that gene. To test this, we designed plasmids that placed *mtrC*, *mtrA*, and *cymA* downstream of the promoter P_{tacsymO} ,³⁶ which is under control of the plasmid-expressed transcriptional regulator, LacI.³⁷ These genes were chosen as they are all cytochromes, span a range of sizes and cellular localizations, and have precedence for altering EET flux via genetic circuits in *S. oneidensis*. Constructed plasmids were used to transform the following knockout strains when appropriate: *mtrC omcA mtrF*, *mtrA*, *cymA*. These strains exhibit substantially lower Fe(III) reduction capabilities relative to *S. oneidensis* MR-1 that can be restored by complementation.^{13,38} With addition of IPTG and use of various regulator topologies, we varied transcriptional flux for each gene and systematically examined expression effects on Fe(III) reduction rate.

To facilitate comparisons between different tested conditions, we identified cell-intrinsic metrics to analyze our Fe(III) kinetic data. While microbial Fe(III) reduction rates are often normalized by bulk biomass, measurements of cell concentration or protein levels were challenging to perform during *in situ* kinetics. Previous modeling of soluble Fe(III) reduction by *Shewanella* spp. has leveraged Monod-type models to analyze microbial kinetic data.³⁹ With these exponential models, the fitted exponential constant is a cell-intrinsic, biomass-independent, parameter that determines substrate utilization rates (Supplementary Note S1). Indeed, we found that a Monod-type model fit well to our Fe(II) kinetic data and that qualitative trends in raw Fe(III) reduction kinetics matched fitted rate constants for *S. oneidensis* MR-1 cultured on different carbon sources (Figure 2d) and between different *S. oneidensis* strains (Figure 2e). Using the Monod-type model, extrapolated Fe(II) kinetics from our ferrozine-containing experiments also match previous measurements with these strains in ferrozine-free experiments over longer time scales.¹³ Moreover, culture supplementation with 5 mM Fe(III) citrate was high enough that the fitted rate constant was not appreciably affected by substrate limitation in the range of Fe(II) quantification (0 to 96 μM) (Supplementary Figure S4).

Another benefit of the Monod-type model is that it can directly relate plasmid-based EET gene expression to Fe(III) reduction rate. Similarly to Michaelis–Menten kinetics, the fitted Fe(III) reduction rate constant can be assumed to be proportional to cellular concentration of the rate-limiting protein (i.e., the expressed EET protein) (Supplementary Note S1).⁴⁰ Because EET protein levels are proportional to steady-state mRNA levels during exponential

growth,⁴¹ we predicted that transcriptional logic gate regulation of EET genes would control Fe(III) reduction rates. Thus, the fitted exponential rate constant may serve as a valuable parameter to evaluate how various genetic constructs/experimental conditions affect Fe(III) reduction kinetics.

RBS STRENGTH TUNES DYNAMIC RANGE OF INDUCIBLE FE(III) REDUCTION

Toward designing more complex EET behavior, an important preliminary objective was to determine the dynamic range over which EET gene circuits can turn cellular electron flux “on” relative to an uninduced “off” state. Typically, dynamic range of inducible systems is determined by measuring reporter activity (e.g., GFP fluorescence) under conditions of maximal induction and in the absence of inducer.⁴² These reporter measurements serve as a proxy for the maximum and minimum expression of the reporter gene and can be normalized by cell number or taken at the single cell level. In contrast, our system measures Fe(III) reductase activity in a bulk cell suspension, which makes similar normalizations challenging. Nonetheless, we predicted the fitted reduction rate constants would vary with EET gene expression, and that the ratio of fitted constants could be used to determine the dynamic range of inducible EET circuits.

Maximizing dynamic range in inducible systems is necessary for practical applications because it affords high signal-to-noise ratios when actuating cellular responses. Thus, we sought to identify inducible EET gene constructs that exhibited high dynamic range and thus strong control over EET activity. Although dynamic range in our circuit is ultimately determined by changing gene transcription rates, gene translation strength also affects the magnitude of expression levels. For example, even in the absence of inducer, leaky transcription of inducer-regulated genes may occur. If the gene possesses high translational strength, low levels of transcript may be sufficient to saturate protein expression indistinguishably from maximal induction. Indeed, previous LacI regulated *mtrC* constructs we and others have utilized exhibit such behavior.^{19,43} Alternatively, genes with weak translational strengths may exhibit no protein expression under inducing conditions. Because the ribosome binding site (RBS) sequence modulates gene translation rate, this sequence can be optimized for each expressed gene to find a “transcriptional window” that exhibits minimal leaky expression and high dynamic range. Computational tools developed by Salis et al. are frequently utilized to predict and forward engineer gene translation rates.⁴⁴ With their RBSLibrary Calculator, users provide the DNA sequences for a target gene, the desired RBS-upstream region, and the 16S rRNA sequence of the host organism to generate a library of candidate RBS sequences with a spectrum of predicted translational strengths.^{45,46}

We leveraged this tool to generate/predict RBS sequences that would optimize the dynamic range of inducible EET gene constructs. For *mtrC*, *mtrA*, and *cymA*, we assembled a small library of plasmids (5 to 6 members per gene) that varied only in RBS sequence (Figure 3a, Supplementary Table S2). As mentioned above, each plasmid was used to transform an appropriate knockout strain of *S. oneidensis* to avoid native chromosomal expression (Figure 3b–d). To permit insulation from genetic context and potentially enable combination of

different EET genes in future assemblies, we also added a self-cleaving ribozyme downstream of the P_{tacsymO} promoter and upstream of each RBS.⁴⁷ Despite the presence of unique ribozymes for each EET gene, the RBSLibrary Calculator includes these different RBS-upstream sequences into translation strength calculations and outputs comparable predictions. We report translation strength for each plasmid as the G_{total} , which is the predicted change in Gibbs free energy associated with mRNA binding to the host ribosome relative to the unbound state of both components. Under ideal conditions, the G_{total} for a given gene should be linearly proportional to the logarithm of that gene's translation rate (and thus steady-state protein levels), with more negative G_{total} values corresponding to higher translation rates.⁴⁴

To analyze dynamic range of EET activity for each library member, we measured Fe(III) reduction kinetics in the presence of 0 and 1500 μM IPTG. These conditions facilitate minimal and maximal transcription in our circuit, as determined by sfGFP expression (Figure 4b). To maximize differences in EET protein expression, we chose to induce cells with IPTG immediately prior to Fe(III) reduction. Cells were pregrown uninduced either anaerobically on lactate/fumarate (*mtrC*, *mtrA*) or aerobically on lactate (*cymA*) for ca. 18 h and diluted 100-fold into growth medium containing ferrozine and IPTG. Aerobic pregrowth is required for *cymA*-deficient strains due to the protein's global role in several anaerobic respiratory pathways.^{10,38} After addition of 5 mM Fe(III) citrate, measurement of Fe(III) reduction kinetics began. In the absence of IPTG, we observed that fitted rates constants for all tested genes monotonically increased with more negative G_{total} (Figure 3e–g). This result is consistent with increases in leaky gene expression as translation strength increases and validates the predictive power of the RBSLibrary Calculator in *S. oneidensis*. In contrast, fitted reduction rate constants from IPTG-containing samples showed qualitatively different trends based on the tested gene. With *cymA*, fitted rate constants were comparable for induced and uninduced strains harboring weaker translating library members (Figure 3e). However, rate constants increased to comparable levels for *cymA* strains harboring constructs with predicted G_{total} less than 8.2 kcal mol⁻¹. Given the increase in reduction rate constant observed for all uninduced strains with increasing translation strength, a maximum in dynamic range was observed at a relatively intermediate translation strength within our *cymA* library (pCD26r4, G_{total} = 8.19 kcal mol⁻¹, dynamic range = 3.9 ± 0.3) (Figure 3h). In contrast, maximums in dynamic range were observed for the weakest translating library members of *mtrA* (pCD25r0, G_{total} = 16.4 kcal mol⁻¹, dynamic range = 5.3 ± 1.0) and *mtrC* (pCD24r1, G_{total} = 18.6 kcal mol⁻¹, dynamic range = 12.5 ± 0.3) strains (Figure 3i, j). For induced *mtrA* strains, the fitted rate constants showed minimal change across varying translation strength (Figure 3f). Consequently, dynamic range increased with decreasing translation strength as the background uninduced reduction increases. Interestingly, induced *mtrC* strains exhibited a decrease in fitted rate constant with increasing translation strength, which fell below uninduced levels for constructs with predicted G_{total} less than 5 kcal mol⁻¹ (Figure 3g). This result suggests that *mtrC* expression imposes a metabolic burden at higher translation strengths.⁴⁸ Raw kinetic data from each of the optimal RBS/ gene combinations show that different time scales of Fe(III) reduction arise based on construct identity (Supplementary Figure S5). Together, these results highlighted the ability of RBS engineering to modulate EET activity and facilitated

the identification of three inducible EET plasmids with minimal leakiness and dynamic ranges spanning ca. 4 to 12 in their respective strain backgrounds.

INDUCIBLE FE(III) REDUCTION OCCURS IN THE ABSENCE OF FERROZINE

To confirm that inducibility was not an artifact of our real-time kinetics setup, we also performed inducible expression for each optimized EET gene in lactate/Fe(III) citrate medium that lacked ferrozine. Indeed, we observed that Fe(III) reduction remained highly inducible via IPTG for each construct (Supplementary Figure S6). In these experiments, we concomitantly measured viable cell density and observed comparable, albeit slightly higher, growth yields for induced samples relative to uninduced. Although growth dynamics were not continuously monitored, cell concentrations for each strain differed based on the presence of IPTG by at most ca. 2-fold after 8 h, while Fe(II) levels varied 7- to 65-fold. This result suggests that relative differences in biomass do not appreciably contribute to observed differences in Fe(III) reduction rate between induced/uninduced samples and that inducible Fe(III) reduction is primarily controlled by EET protein expression. Additionally, our viability measurements show that inducibility is not due to spurious effects from cell lysis or plasmid maintenance. We also examined the early time scale of inducible Fe(III) reduction for the optimized *mtrC* construct in the absence of ferrozine. Similar to ferrozine-containing experiments, Fe(II) concentration increased in the presence of IPTG after ca. 2–3 h, while uninduced samples remained at baseline levels (Supplementary Figure S7). Collectively, these results demonstrate that ferrozine does not markedly alter inducible Fe(III) reduction and further validate our real-time methodology as a means to assay EET gene expression.

REDUCTION RATE RESPONSE FUNCTIONS FROM STEADY-STATE EET GENE EXPRESSION

Using our translation-optimized EET gene expression plasmids, we next examined how Fe(III) reduction kinetics changed with varying transcription rate. Because our RBS library screening aided in identifying RBS/EET gene pairs that display high dynamic range between maximal (1500 μ M IPTG) and minimal (0 μ M IPTG) induction, we hypothesized that graded EET activity would be measured when testing intermediate inducer concentrations. Given that EET activity in our system should be proportional to steady-state mRNA levels of the expressed EET gene and transcriptionally regulated via LacI (Figure 4a), we further reasoned that generated response functions (i.e., fitted rate constant vs IPTG concentration) may exhibit Hill function-type responses to changing IPTG concentration and functionally behave as Buffer logic gates.

To promote steady-state behavior and minimize simultaneous effects of growth and dynamic protein expression, we initially preinduced each EET construct at various IPTG concentrations for 24 h in lactate/fumarate-containing growth medium. Subsequently, these cultures were diluted 100-fold into growth medium containing the same concentrations of IPTG and ferrozine, and Fe(III)-citrate was finally added prior to kinetic measurements. As predicted, we observed that fitted rate constants increased for all tested EET genes with increasing concentration of IPTG (Figure 4c–e). Generally, each response function followed

a sigmoidal shape and could be modeled using an activating Hill function. Similar fitted dynamic ranges (4.1 and 5.0), cooperativity values ($n = 1.296$ and 1.750), and threshold-response ($K_{1/2} = 14.2$ and $15.5 \mu\text{M}$ IPTG) values were observed between *cymA* and *mtrC* (Figure 4c,e). These fitted Hill parameters also compare favorably to those generated by a fluorescent *sfGFP* circuit that was anaerobically induced with IPTG under steady-state expression (dynamic range = 4.8, $n = 1.699$, $K_{1/2} = 97.8 \mu\text{M}$ IPTG) (Figure 4b). This is expected if transcription of each gene is controlled by the same regulator (LacI) and promoter (P_{tacsymO}) and was evidence that gene transcription rate limits EET activity in our constructs. While the *mtrA* construct exhibited a more gradual increase in Fe(III) reduction rate (dynamic range = 4.8, $n = 0.6530$, $K_{1/2} = 221.4 \mu\text{M}$ IPTG), it still demonstrated an ability to turn-on EET activity in response to IPTG (Figure 4d). Given that empty vector controls showed baseline or lower than uninduced rates of Fe(III) reduction (Supplementary Figure S8), increases in EET activity appear to be due to IPTG-driven increases in EET gene expression for all Buffer gate constructs.

To verify if differential EET activity arose from transcriptional control of EET protein levels, we analyzed whole cell lysate from each EET gene expression construct via SDS-PAGE and heme-staining. For the *mtrC* construct, we observed high molecular weights bands (ca. 250 kDa) that increased in intensity with increasing concentration of supplemented IPTG (Supplementary Figure S9a). Bands in this size range have previously been associated with MtrC-containing complexes.⁴⁹ For the *cymA* construct a faint but noticeable band migrated around the size of CymA (19 kDa) (Supplementary Figure S9c).⁵⁰ While IPTG-dependent differences could not be discerned by the naked eye, densitometry analysis indicated that band intensity increased with IPTG concentration above uninduced levels (Supplementary Figure S9d). Although we could not identify bands whose formation/disappearance was a result of MtrA expression (Supplementary Figure S9b), the MtrC/CymA heme staining results are evidence that functional cytochromes can be generated from our expression system. Together, these data demonstrate that transcriptionally regulated *cymA*, *mtrA*, and *mtrC* constructs can controllably turn on EET activity (i.e., Buffer gate behavior) and suggests that changes in protein expression are linked to changing Fe(III) reduction rates.

A POLYNOMIAL MODEL DESCRIBES DYNAMIC GENE EXPRESSION AND FE(III) REDUCTION

While these previous experiments demonstrated that our inducible constructs afforded predictable control over EET activity under conditions closest to steady-state protein expression, there are many applications where dynamic control of protein expression and EET activity would be advantageous (e.g., sensing, catalysis).^{15,43} Thus, we also examined EET response functions by the *cymA*, *mtrA*, and *mtrC* constructs in response to nonsteady state gene expression. As described above, we previously fit Fe(III) reduction kinetics to a Monod model of substrate utilization. However, a major assumption of Monod models is that transcriptomic and proteomic changes during growth do not affect the fitted rate constant.⁵¹ In practice, this means that engineered changes to mRNA and protein levels should rapidly reach steady-state. As this may not be the case under certain experimental conditions, we also examined a model that accounted for the dynamics of protein expression

immediately after induction. Under the assumption of constant biomass (i.e., minimal growth) and rapid equilibrium of steady-state mRNA levels, a simplified dynamic induction model predicts a linear increase in the cellular concentration of expressed EET proteins with time (Supplementary Note S2). The minimal growth assumption appears valid based on comparable cell counts measured for each induced/uninduced EET construct during dynamic expression (Supplementary Figure S6). While this model exhibits an accelerating Fe(III) reduction rate similar to Monod-based exponential models, kinetics are more accurately described by a second-order polynomial. Using this polynomial model, the fitted constant preceding the time-squared term is also predicted to be proportional to steady-state mRNA levels and should be controllable in our system. As our system is likely somewhere in-between the limits of no-growth/dynamic protein levels and cell growth/steady-state protein levels, we expected that both models could aid characterization of dynamic EET gene expression and Fe(III) reduction.

DYNAMIC EXPRESSION OF EET GENES PREDICTABLY CONTROLS REDUCTION RATE

To evaluate dynamic expression of EET genes, cells were pregrown in the absence of inducer and induced with IPTG immediately prior to Fe(III) citrate addition. Initially, we mimicked our steady-state experiments by preculturing the *mtrA* and *mtrC* constructs anaerobically and the *cymA* construct aerobically. Using the polynomial model to fit Fe(III) reduction kinetics, the response function generated by the *cymA* construct fit strongly to an activating Hill equation (dynamic range = 7.2, $n = 1.176$, $K_{1/2} = 79.54 \mu\text{M}$) (Figure 5b) and fitted Hill parameters were comparable to the response function generated via steady-state *cymA* expression (Figure 4c). Rate constants obtained from the Monod-type model yielded similar *cymA* response function behavior (Supplementary Figure S10f). In contrast, response functions generated by the *mtrA* and *mtrC* strains fit poorly to Hill models and exhibited low Hill coefficients ($n = 0.5492$ to 0.7049) for both polynomial and Monod-type model fitting (Supplementary Figure S10b–e). While polynomial model fitting produced response functions with higher Hill coefficients relative to the Monod-type model, coefficient values for each construct were still substantially lower than what was expected based on the *cymA* and sfGFP circuits (Figure 4b). While the *mtrC* construct exhibited a steep EET turn-on under steady-state expression, turn-on behavior under dynamic expression was substantially more graded. The slope of the *mtrA* dynamic expression response function was similar to its steady-state expression counterpart and to the *mtrC* dynamic expression response function. Together, this was suggestive that other cellular processes (e.g., protein maturation/localization, background Fe(III) reduction) decrease sensitivity of the EET output to IPTG during dynamic induction.

Encouraged by the predictability of the *cymA* response function, we hypothesized that aerobic pregrowth conditions may facilitate higher sensitivity of EET flux to IPTG. Most of the EET machinery is downregulated under aerobic conditions, which we predicted could minimize background Fe(III) reduction.^{52,53} Furthermore, dynamic expression in parallel with aerobic to anaerobic transition may ensure that all EET pathways, including those under exogenous control, turn on simultaneously. Thus, we examined dynamic expression

by the *mtrA* and *mtrC* constructs after aerobic pregrowth. Excitingly, we found that response functions for the *mtrA* construct fit more strongly to activating Hill models (polynomial model fitted dynamic range = 42, Figure 5c). Kinetic fitting using both the polynomial and Monod-type models generated similar values for fitted Hill parameters (Figure S10g). Additionally, the fitted Hill coefficients for the *mtrA* construct were comparable to those for *cymA* and *sfgfp* circuits and overall kinetic variability significantly decreased. In contrast, the *mtrC* construct only showed a marginal improvement in fitting to all models (polynomial model fitted dynamic range = 50, Figure 5d, Supplementary Figure S10h). These collective results demonstrate that our engineered *cymA*, *mtrA*, and *mtrC* constructs can controllably turn on EET activity in response to both steady-state and dynamic transcriptional cues.

NOT GATE CIRCUITS TURN OFF FE(III) REDUCTION IN THE PRESENCE OF IPTG

Having developed a set of EET gene expression constructs that turn on EET activity via transcriptional regulation, we next asked if similar genetic designs could be leveraged to turn off cellular electron flux. The NOT gate is the simplest expression-based logic gate that inverts the signal from an inducer molecule to turn off expression of a target gene.^{22,54} Mechanistically, an inducer molecule (e.g., IPTG) stimulates expression of a repressor protein, which subsequently down-regulates the target gene. Because our *cymA* and *mtrC* constructs were optimized to exhibit maximal transcriptional responsiveness with high dynamic range, we hypothesized that converting their Buffer gate constructs into NOT gate designs would enable transcriptional downregulation of these genes. Accordingly, NOT gate genetic architectures should facilitate EET turn-off behavior in the presence of IPTG.

We designed NOT gate plasmids for the *cymA* and *mtrC* constructs using TetR as the repressor that regulates EET gene transcription (Figure 6a).⁵⁵ NOT gate transcriptional outputs were identical to our optimized Buffer gate designs for *cymA* and *mtrC* (i.e., ribozyme-optimized RBS-target gene-terminator), but instead of regulation via P_{tacsymO} , each transcriptional unit was placed downstream of the promoter P_{tet} . Genes under control of P_{tet} are constitutively expressed in the absence of the TetR and downregulated in its presence. In our NOT gate plasmids, TetR expression was controlled via LacI/ P_{tacsymO} . Thus, IPTG should elevate TetR levels and subsequently decrease EET gene expression in appropriate knockout strains (*mtrC omcA mtrF* and *cymA*) transformed by these plasmids. Although EET activity can readily be turned on through dynamic expression of EET genes, turning off EET activity via transcriptional regulation is more challenging. Using NOT gate architectures, regulated EET genes are maximally expressed in the absence of inducer. Upon adding IPTG, not only must downregulation of EET gene transcription be considered, but also the fate of preexisting EET proteins. While EET proteins can potentially be diluted through cell division,⁵⁶ this process may occur at time scales slower than what is required for functional NOT gate behavior.

To avoid complications from dynamic expression/induction and achieve steady-state expression levels, an 18–24 h IPTG preinduction was performed for each NOT gate prior to Fe(III) reduction. Response functions were generated using a range of IPTG concentrations,

and we found that fitted rate constants were reasonably approximated by deactivating Hill functions for both the *cymA* (dynamic range = 4.8, $n = 2.419$; $K_{1/2} = 50.4 \mu\text{M}$) and *mtrC* (dynamic range = 5.2, $n = 1.930$; $K_{1/2} = 17.5 \mu\text{M}$) constructs (Figure 6b, c). In the absence of IPTG, both constructs exhibited rapid Fe(III) reduction, and fitted rate constants were similar to those observed with our maximally induced EET turn-on circuits. This suggested that both *mtrC* and *cymA* were being constitutively expressed as expected from the NOT gate design. In contrast, cells preinduced with high levels of IPTG exhibited slower Fe(III) reduction kinetics, with fitted rate constants comparable to both uninduced *mtrC* and *cymA* Buffer gate constructs. To control for the effect of TetR expression on Fe(III) reduction, we also constructed an empty NOT gate plasmid that increased TetR expression in response to IPTG, but lacked an EET gene downstream of the P_{tet} promoter. All knockout strains transformed by this plasmid exhibited low levels of Fe(III) reduction and minimal change in reduction rate with IPTG addition (Supplementary Figure S11). While *S. oneidensis* MR-1 transformed by this plasmid exhibited a slight drop in Fe(III) reduction in the presence of IPTG, induced and uninduced rate constants remained substantially higher than empty vector or NOT gate transformed knockout strains. This further evidenced that changes in Fe(III) reduction were due to NOT gate-controlled EET gene expression and not spurious effects from varying TetR levels.

We briefly examined dynamic expression experiments with the *mtrC* NOT gate. As predicted, only a marginal decrease in Fe(III) reduction rate was observed when IPTG was added immediately prior to kinetic measurements (ca. 35%) relative to decreases observed with steady-state expression (ca. 85%) (Supplementary Figure S10, Figure 6c). Attempts to accelerate growth-based protein turnover with addition of fumarate were similarly hampered by poor EET turn-off behavior relative to steady-state experiments (Supplementary Figure S12). Although dynamic expression will require further optimization, our results indicate that it is possible to program EET turn-off by downregulating expression of EET genes.

DISCUSSION

Developing rigorous and predictable control over EET flux is of critical importance to expand the utility of electroactive microbes in redox-driven technologies.^{11,57} In this work, we asked if expression of single EET genes (*cymA*, *mtrA*, *mtrC*) in *S. oneidensis* knockout strains could enable such control. By making simple and systematic changes to translation and transcription of these genes, we explored the design space of programmable Fe(III) reduction kinetics.

Although there are notable examples of engineered genetic circuits that control EET activity in *S. oneidensis*, many of these circuits simultaneously regulate multiple genes (e.g., *mtrCAB* operon,^{17,20} NADH/flavin metabolite pathways^{12,58}) or lack detailed engineering parametrizations typically measured for fluorescence-parametrized circuits (e.g., response functions, dynamic range optimization). Although more systematic optimizations have been performed to incorporate the Mtr proteins into *Escherichia coli*, this organism requires extensive engineering to facilitate proper functioning of pathway components.^{19,48,59} Because the underpinnings of EET performance can be challenging to interpret, we adopted a reductionist approach by studying single EET genes and their contribution to EET flux.

Expressing each gene in appropriate *S. oneidensis* knockout strains allowed us to abrogate native expression, functionally generate each EET protein and associated conduit proteins, and isolate each gene's influence on EET parameters. Moreover, we chose to target the CymA/ Mtr conduit because these proteins are dispensable under many growth conditions, relative to more universal metabolites (e.g., NADH, flavins, quinones). In contrast to electrode-based setups, Fe(III) reduction provided a convenient and high throughput assay to analyze EET activity. Notably, our results demonstrate that fitted Fe(III) reduction rate constants from engineered strains follow predictable behavior, akin to circuits where fluorescent reporters are the output.²² While cellular physiology likely differs between knockout strains (e.g., loss of one cytochrome may upregulate expression/maturation of others)⁴⁸ and absolute kinetics are subject to change based on plasmid composition (e.g., origin of replication, resistance marker),^{36,60} our system could identify pairings of engineered plasmids and genetic backgrounds that yielded the desired circuit function.

For example, a major challenge in the electromicrobiology community is engineering of EET circuits that exhibit minimal leakiness and high signal-to-noise outputs.¹¹ More broadly, developing genetic circuits with these properties is a ubiquitous goal in synthetic biology.^{18,22} With other engineered genetic circuitries, RBS optimization for target genes is typically performed to address this.⁵⁵ Thus, we developed a small library of plasmids for each tested EET gene to first identify the range of inducibility afforded by different RBS sequences/translation strengths. After analyzing Fe(III) reduction kinetics by all library members, we pinpointed RBS sequences for each EET gene that facilitated the highest dynamic range in EET activity. Notably, the optimal *mtrC* and *mtrA* plasmids possessed the weakest translation strength in their respective libraries (Figure 3f, g). Like other outer membrane proteins, MtrC has a low turnover rate (half-life ca. 16 h) relative to intracellular proteins.⁶¹ MtrC also forms a large multiprotein complex (MtrCAB) that may further stabilize it from degradation.^{62,63} These two factors may cause high levels of background MtrCAB expression (i.e., in the absence of IPTG) with stronger RBS sequences, thereby resulting in poorer dynamic range. While the degradation rate of MtrA has not been studied, it is also part of the MtrCAB complex, and thus, its RBS library may be impacted similarly to *mtrC* due to low protein turnover.⁶⁴ Conversely, the small size of CymA (19 kDa) relative to MtrC (76 kDa) and MtrA (35 kDa), along with its more intracellular localization, may increase its susceptibility to proteolytic degradation and result in a higher turnover rate. This may explain why the optimal *cymA* construct possessed a more intermediate translation strength (Figure 3e). Separately, we observed that dynamic range of *mtrC* constructs decreased below 1 with increasing translation strength (Figure 3j), which also suggested that high levels of MtrC expression impose a metabolic burden.⁴⁸ Taken together, one or a combination of these elements may explain why only the weaker translation strength construct for *mtrC* and *mtrA* facilitated high dynamic range. Overall, we identified transcriptional units (ribozyme, RBS, and terminator sequences) for *cymA*, *mtrA*, and *mtrC* that exhibited a spectrum of dynamic ranges, which could inform the choice of EET construct based on application needs.

We leveraged RBS optimization of each EET gene to forward engineer EET turn-on and turn-off behavior in response to logic gate-driven transcriptional cues. While there are several means to regulate the expression and activity of electron transfer proteins within

cells,^{20,65} a benefit of transcriptional logic gates is that desired gene expression behavior is relatively straightforward to program. Using the two simplest logic gates, we validated our underlying hypothesis that EET flux can be precisely controlled via transcriptional modulation of single EET genes. Upon addition of IPTG to each Buffer gate strain, increases in EET protein levels were concomitant with increases in Fe(III) reduction rate (Figures 4 and 5). Although direct measurement of MtrA protein expression posed challenges, our heme staining results suggest that MtrC and CymA are being functionally expressed (Supplementary Figure S9). We also note that all of our circuit plasmids rescued Fe(III) reduction deficiencies that have been previously characterized via knockout complementation.^{13,38} Additionally, minimal changes in cell growth were observed between induced/uninduced strains, further suggesting that kinetic differences were a result of protein expression (Supplementary Figure S6). Furthermore, we observed that fitted Fe(III) reduction rate constants vs inducer IPTG concentrations (i.e., EET response functions) fit well to Hill models for both our Buffer and NOT gate architectures (Figures 4–6). Because fluorescent protein-based response functions can be readily parametrized by such models (Figure 4b),¹⁸ these collective results support a scheme whereby transcriptional regulation controls EET protein levels and thus EET flux. Excitingly, our NOT gate circuits were able to dial down EET activity, albeit only at steady-state protein expression levels. As shown from our experiments, utilizing NOT gate architectures under dynamic conditions remains an outstanding challenge and is likely due to limited turnover of the expressed EET proteins (Supplementary Figure S12). Because growth-based dilution is the primary contributor to protein level decreases,⁵⁶ future studies can potentially optimize bacterial respiration onto secondary substrates to improve such decreases for target EET proteins. Altogether, our system demonstrates that EET genes may serve as useful outputs for other transcriptionally controlled circuits, such as two-component sensors and stimuli-responsive logical operators.^{26,66}

As determined by the value of fitted Hill coefficients, the sensitivity of EET turn-on activity to IPTG appears to strongly depend on the identity of the expressed EET gene/genetic background and strain pregrowth conditions. As our sfGFP Buffer gate and EET turn on circuits utilize the same transcriptional regulatory mechanism (Figure 4a), fitted Hill coefficients should be comparable for both systems if transcriptional control rate-limits functional activity. Hill parameters for EET response functions that deviate from those measured via sfGFP suggests that other cellular processes compete with transcriptional control.^{18,48} For example, *cymA* and *mtrC* strains that were preinduced with IPTG exhibited Hill coefficient values closest to those determined for sfGFP ($n = 1-2$) (Figure 4b, c, e), suggesting strong transcriptional control is facilitated via steady-state protein expression. For dynamic expression experiments, we observed lower than expected Hill coefficients ($n < 1$) for anaerobically pregrown *mtrC* and *mtrA* strains (Supplementary Figure S10). This lower sensitivity to IPTG is reasonable given that these genes encode proteins with numerous post-translational processing steps (e.g., maturation, periplasmic/extracellular localization) that may rate-limit their functional activity when dynamically expressed.⁴⁸ Moreover, other cytochromes may be expressed during anaerobic pregrowth that contribute to background Fe(III) reduction.¹³

Interestingly, we observed that some aerobically pregrown strains (*cymA* and *mtrA*) exhibited a more characteristically sensitive response to IPTG during dynamic expression and fit better to Hill models relative to anaerobic pregrowth (Figure 5b, c). Given that relative growth rates for these strains were not significantly different based on the presence/absence of IPTG (Supplementary Figure S6), we primarily attribute this sensitivity to a decrease in background reduction from spurious EET pathway expression. Under aerobic conditions, much of the EET machinery is downregulated in *S. oneidensis*.^{52,53} Even when switched to anaerobic conditions, Fe(III) reduction capabilities by aerobically pregrown *S. oneidensis* are considerably diminished relative to anaerobic pregrowth.⁶⁷ Thus, the switch to anaerobic Fe(III) respiring conditions likely causes reduction by aerobically pregrown strains to be more rate-limited by plasmid-based EET gene expression. For example, the *mtrA* construct's genetic background (*mtrA*) has functional redundancy by other cytochromes and is less “insulatory” than the other tested strains (*mtrC omcA mtrF* and *cymA*),^{13,35} which may explain why the aerobic pregrowth facilitated markedly higher IPTG sensitivity (Figure 5c). Future engineering efforts may seek to identify other strain backgrounds that improve transcriptional control of EET activity. We note that strain/circuit functioning in our soluble EET substrate assays (i.e., Fe(III) citrate) may differ from when an insoluble EET substrate is utilized (e.g., electrodes, metal oxides). Specifically, the effect of inducible MtrA and MtrC expression or strain genetic background (e.g., *mtrC omcA mtrF*) may have ancillary effects on EET activity, such as binding and colonization of insoluble materials.^{68,69} Nonetheless, our results demonstrate that inducible EET activity can follow a spectrum of sensitivity to inducer stimuli, which may be advantageous based on application needs such as switch-like behavior for computation or graded responses for sensing/detection.^{15,70,71}

Logic gate formalisms were originally intended to abstract electrical circuit construction and aid the development of more complex electronic devices. Synthetic biologists have adapted these concepts to generate countless transcriptional circuits,⁷² which range from simple Buffer gates³⁷ (a single inducer causes gene expression “turn on”) to complex multilayered logic constructs,^{42,70,71,73,74} where one regulator modulates the expression of multiple regulators and actuators. In this work, we showed that transcriptional logic gate regulation can be extended to EET protein expression and can consequently control electron transfer to soluble Fe(III). Notably, simple exponential and polynomial relationships captured the dynamics of Fe(III) reduction. From these models, we demonstrated that EET protein actuations can be parametrized similarly to other transcriptionally controlled logic gates (i.e., fitting rate constants to Hill models) and rationally programmed to exhibit desired behavior. Although we only examined the two simplest transcriptional logic gates, the ability to turn on and turn off EET flux is foundational for constructing more complex circuit architectures. Given the respiratory flexibility of the CymA/Mtr pathway, our system is potentially extendable to other metals and EET acceptors. We expect that this work will serve as the basis for future engineering of logic gate-driven EET activity and further blend the fields of synthetic biology and electrical engineering.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

DNA and Strain Construction.

All bacterial strains, plasmids, genetic circuit maps, and sequence information for each genetic part are detailed in the Supporting Information. All plasmids were assembled via Golden Gate cloning procedures using enzymes (BsaI, SapI, BsmBI) and buffers from New England Biolabs. DNA fragments used in Golden Gate cloning⁷⁵ were generated via partial/whole-plasmid PCR (Phusion High Fidelity DNA Polymerase, New England Biolabs) or commercially synthesized (gBlocks, Integrated DNA Technologies). Generally, 10 μL Golden Gate reactions were set up that contained 10 fmol of pCD plasmid backbone and 40 fmol of each gBlock and/or PCR insert (as necessary). In a thermocycler, Golden Gate reactions were cycled 25 times: 90 s at 37 °C (for BsaI and SapI) or 42 °C (for BsmBI) followed by 3 min at 16 °C. After the 25 cycles, reactions were incubated at 37 °C (for BsaI and SapI) or 55 °C (for BsmBI) for 5 min, 80 °C for 10 min, and then held at 4 °C.

Golden Gate reactions were used to directly transform freshly prepared electrocompetent *S. oneidensis* strains. To prepare electrocompetent *S. oneidensis*, bacterial stocks stored in 22.5% glycerol at –80 °C were streaked onto LB agar plates and grown aerobically overnight at 30 °C. Single colonies were used to inoculate 5 mL of LB medium and grown aerobically in a shaking incubator (30 °C and 250 rpm). After 18 h, the entire 5 mL culture was washed 3 times with sterile 10% glycerol at room temperature and concentrated to ~300 μL . Two microliters of Golden Gate reaction was mixed with 30 μL of concentrated electrocompetent *S. oneidensis*, transferred to a 1 mm electroporation cuvette, and electroporated at 1250 V. To recover electroporated cells, 250 μL of LB was immediately added postelectroporation, and cells were incubated/shaken at 30 °C and 250 rpm. After 2 h of recovery, 100 μL of cell suspension was plated onto LB agar plates containing 25 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ kanamycin sulfate and incubated overnight at 30 °C to obtain single colonies (generally 5–100 colonies observed for 1–3 part assemblies). Single colonies were used to inoculate LB liquid medium containing 25 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ kanamycin sulfate and incubated/shaken overnight at 30 °C and 250 rpm. These cultures were used to generate 22.5% glycerol stocks, which were stored at –80 °C, and harvest assembled plasmid for Sanger sequencing (DNA Sequencing Facilities, University of Texas at Austin).

RBS Library Design.

Ribosome binding site (RBS) sequences with variable translation strength were computationally designed for each EET gene (*cymA*, *mtrA*, and *mtrC*) using the RBS Library Calculator (v2.0) from Salis et al.^{45,46} The calculator was utilized in design/search mode with the DNA sequence of each gene, the 16S rRNA sequence of *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1, and the constant upstream region of each unique ribozyme after self-cleavage serving as software inputs (see Supplementary Table S2). The target minimum translation initiation rate (0.1 au), target maximum translation initiation rate (1 000 000 au), and target library size (64 members) were chosen such that output RBS sequences covered a broad translational space. One RBS sequence for *mtrC* (pCD24) was not designed using the RBS Library Calculator and was instead derived from the BioBrick collection, B0032. The translational strength of this sequence ($G_{\text{total}} = -5.34 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$) was calculated using the

RBS Calculator (v2.0) using the same software inputs used for library construction. Translation strengths for each sequence were reported as G_{total} , which is related to translation initiation rate (r) according to the exponential relationship $r \propto \exp(-\beta G_{\text{total}})$.

Measurement of Fe(III) Reduction Kinetics by *S. oneidensis*.

Except where noted, all Fe(III) reduction experiments were monitored *in situ* using 96-well plates that contained *S. oneidensis*, lactate, Fe(III) citrate, and ferrozine.²⁷ The general protocol for an Fe(III) reduction setup was as follows. Bacterial stocks stored in 22.5% glycerol at -80°C were streaked onto LB agar plates (for wild-type and knockout strains) or LB agar with $25\ \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ kanamycin (for plasmid-harboring strains). For anaerobic pregrowths, plates were brought into an anaerobic chamber (3% H_2 , balance N_2 , Coy) and single colonies were used to inoculate a pregrowth medium consisting of *Shewanella* Basal Medium (SBM) supplemented with 100 mM HEPES, 1X trace mineral supplement (ATCC), 0.05% casamino acids, 20 mM sodium lactate as the electron donor, and 40 mM sodium fumarate as the electron acceptor. For dynamic expression and growth of strains absent of plasmid, this culture medium was inoculated and statically incubated in an anaerobic chamber at 30°C for 18–24 h. For steady-state expression experiments, this culture medium was inoculated and statically incubated in an anaerobic chamber at 30°C for 6 h. Afterward, cultures were diluted 25-fold ($10\ \mu\text{L}$ into $250\ \mu\text{L}$ final volume) into 96-well plates containing preinduction medium. Preinduction medium was identical to the SBM/lactate/fumarate pregrowth medium, but also contained varying concentrations of IPTG. These cultures were statically incubated in an anaerobic chamber at 30°C for an additional 18–24 h. Aerobic pregrowths were identical to the above except that single colonies were used to inoculate pregrowth medium that lacked 40 mM sodium fumarate and grown aerobically in a shaking incubator (250 rpm, 30°C). All cultures were performed in biological triplicate where each culture was derived from a single picked colony. Kanamycin sulfate ($25\ \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) was added to pregrowth and preinduction media for strains harboring plasmids. Optical density for each overnight growth was monitored by pipetting $100\ \mu\text{L}$ (for preinduced samples) or $250\ \mu\text{L}$ cell suspension aliquots into 96-well plates and measuring absorbance at 600 nm using a BMG LABTECH CLARIOstar plate reader. The plate reader software converted measured optical density values into standard 10 mm cuvette readings based on the plate dimensions (96-well flat bottom, sterile, Greiner) and utilized liquid volume.

In situ Fe(III) reduction was set up within an anaerobic chamber using degassed media and solutions. Immediately prior to each experiment, 24 mg of ferrozine was dissolved in 12 mL of $2\times$ SBM solution that was supplemented with 200 mM HEPES, 0.1% casamino acids, and $2\times$ trace mineral supplement (ATCC). A master mix was generated that combined the $2\times$ SBM/ferrozine with sodium lactate and kanamycin sulfate, such that the final concentrations of these components would be $1\times$ casamino acid/trace mineral solution supplemented SBM, $1\ \text{mg mL}^{-1}$ ferrozine, 20 mM sodium lactate, and $25\ \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ kanamycin sulfate, within a final $250\ \mu\text{L}$ solution. $220\ \mu\text{L}$ aliquots of this master mix were pipetted into each 96-well plate well and subsequently mixed with $10\ \mu\text{L}$ of appropriate IPTG stock. Next, (un)induced *S. oneidensis* pregrowth was diluted 4-fold ($25\ \mu\text{L}$ into $75\ \mu\text{L}$ SBM supplemented with kanamycin) in a separate 96-well plate. Ten microliters of this 4-fold dilution was used to inoculate the 96-well plate containing the Fe(III) reduction mixture (final dilution equal to

100-fold from overnight pregrowth). Lastly, 10 μL of 125 mM Fe(III) citrate was added to each well such that the final concentration was 5 mM Fe(III). To generate an Fe(II) calibration curve, freshly prepared Fe(II) sulfate stocks dissolved in sterile water were also added to each 96-well plate. Ten microliters of each Fe(II) stock, 10 μL of sterile water, and 10 μL of abiotic SBM were mixed with 220 μL of master mix such that the final concentration of Fe(II) standards ranged from 0 to 96 μM Fe(II).

After addition of all plate components, the 96-well plate was sealed with a sterile and optically transparent sealing film (PCR-SP-S, AxySeal Scientific) and covered with a polystyrene plate lid (Eppendorf) that had silicone grease lining the edges. This plate was removed from the anaerobic chamber and placed within a BMG LABTECH CLARIOstar plate reader with temperature control set to 30 °C. Without shaking, the absorbance at 562 nm was measured every 10 min for at least 14 h. For the ferrozine-free experiment, the Fe(III) reduction setup was identical to the above except that ferrozine was not dissolved in the 2 $\times$ SBM. Instead, the 96-well plate was kept within an anaerobic chamber at 30 °C for the entirety of the experiment. Aliquots were taken and either acidified in equal volume 1 N HCl for measurement of Fe(II) levels or used for serial dilutions to perform colony counting on LB agar plates containing 25 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ kanamycin sulfate. Plated dilutions were grown aerobically overnight at 30 °C.

Reduction Kinetics and Rate Constant Response Function Fitting.

Derivations of the exponential and polynomial Fe(III) reduction models can be found in Supplementary Notes S1 and S2. Prior to kinetic fitting, the initial Fe(II) concentrations were subtracted from every time point within each replicate (i.e., $\text{Fe}^{\text{II}} - \text{Fe}_0^{\text{II}}$). These subtracted Fe(II) concentrations, $\text{Fe}_{\text{subt}}^{\text{II}}$, were then fit to either $\text{Fe}_{\text{subt}}^{\text{II}} = K(\exp(\mu t) - 1)$ (exponential model) or $\text{Fe}_{\text{subt}}^{\text{II}} = \mu_{\text{poly}} t^2 + K_2 t + K_3$ (polynomial model) to obtain μ and μ_{poly} rate constants, respectively. Response functions for Buffer gate circuits (fitted rate constants vs IPTG) were modeled using a four-parameter activating Hill function

$$y = \min + (\max - \min) \frac{I^n}{K_{1/2}^n + I^n}. \text{ Response functions for NOT gate circuits were modeled}$$

using a four-parameter deactivating Hill function $y = \min + (\max - \min) \frac{K_{1/2}^n}{K_{1/2}^n + I^n}$. Individual

replicate kinetic fitting was performed using least-squares regression with no weighting. Response function fitting was performed using least-squares regression weighting by $1/y^2$ and each replicate y value was treated as an individual point. All fitting was performed in Prism 8.3 (GraphPad Software). Dynamic range was calculated as the ratio of induced (1500 μM IPTG) and uninduced (0 μM IPTG) fitted rate constants for each replicate, and the mean $\pm$ SEM was determined.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Welch Foundation (Grant F-1929) and by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under Award R35GM133640. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. Additional research support was provided by the National Science Foundation through the Center for Dynamics and Control of Materials: an NSF Materials Research Science and Engineering Center under Cooperative Agreement DMR-1720595. We would like to thank Prof. Jeffrey Gralnick (U. Minnesota) for generously providing *S. oneidensis* strains *mtrC omcA mtrF* and *cymA*. We thank Prof. Derek Lovley (U. Mass. Amherst) and Prof. Andrew Ellington (UT Austin) for providing resources that contributed to revision experiments. We also acknowledge the ICMB Core Facilities at UT Austin for performing DNA sequencing.

REFERENCES

- (1). Shi L, Dong H, Reguera G, Beyenal H, Lu A, Liu J, Yu H-Q, and Fredrickson JK (2016) Extracellular Electron Transfer Mechanisms between Microorganisms and Minerals. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol* 14 (10), 651–662. [PubMed: 27573579]
- (2). Logan BE (2009) Exoelectrogenic Bacteria That Power Microbial Fuel Cells. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol* 7 (5), 375–381. [PubMed: 19330018]
- (3). Rabaey K, and Rozendal RA (2010) Microbial Electrosynthesis — Revisiting the Electrical Route for Microbial Production. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol* 8 (10), 706–716. [PubMed: 20844557]
- (4). Edmundson MC, Capeness M, and Horsfall L (2014) Exploring the Potential of Metallic Nanoparticles within Synthetic Biology. *New Biotechnol* 31 (6), 572.
- (5). Lovley DR (2017) E-Biologics: Fabrication of Sustainable Electronics with “Green” Biological Materials. *mBio* 8 (3), e00695. [PubMed: 28655820]
- (6). Zhou AY, Baruch M, Ajo-Franklin CM, and Maharbiz MM (2017) A Portable Bioelectronic Sensing System (BESSY) for Environmental Deployment Incorporating Differential Microbial Sensing in Miniaturized Reactors. *PLoS One* 12 (9), e0184994. [PubMed: 28915277]
- (7). Hau HH, and Gralnick JA (2007) Ecology and Biotechnology of the Genus *Shewanella*. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol* 61 (1), 237–258. [PubMed: 18035608]
- (8). Myers CR, and Nealson KH (1988) Bacterial Manganese Reduction and Growth with Manganese Oxide as the Sole Electron Acceptor. *Science* 240 (4857), 1319–1321. [PubMed: 17815852]
- (9). Windt WD, Aelterman P, and Verstraete W (2005) Bioreductive Deposition of Palladium (0) Nanoparticles on *Shewanella Oneidensis* with Catalytic Activity towards Reductive Dechlorination of Polychlorinated Biphenyls. *Environ. Microbiol* 7 (3), 314–325. [PubMed: 15683392]
- (10). Shi L, Squier TC, Zachara JM, and Fredrickson JK (2007) Respiration of Metal (Hydr)Oxides by *Shewanella* and *Geobacter*: A Key Role for Multihaem C-type Cytochromes. *Mol. Microbiol* 65 (1), 12–20. [PubMed: 17581116]
- (11). TerAvest MA, and Ajo-Franklin CM (2016) Transforming Exoelectrogens for Biotechnology Using Synthetic Biology. *Biotechnol. Bioeng* 113 (4), 687–697. [PubMed: 26284614]
- (12). Yang Y, Ding Y, Hu Y, Cao B, Rice SA, Kjelleberg S, and Song H (2015) Enhancing Bidirectional Electron Transfer of *Shewanella Oneidensis* by a Synthetic Flavin Pathway. *ACS Synth. Biol* 4 (7), 815–823. [PubMed: 25621739]
- (13). Coursolle D, and Gralnick JA (2010) Modularity of the Mtr Respiratory Pathway of *Shewanella Oneidensis* Strain MR-1. *Mol. Microbiol* 55, 995–1008.
- (14). Golitsch F, Bücking C, and Gescher J (2013) Proof of Principle for an Engineered Microbial Biosensor Based on *Shewanella Oneidensis* Outer Membrane Protein Complexes. *Biosens. Bioelectron* 47, 285–291. [PubMed: 23584391]
- (15). Webster DP, TerAvest MA, Doud DFR, Chakravorty A, Holmes EC, Radens CM, Sureka S, Gralnick JA, and Angenent LT (2014) An Arsenic-Specific Biosensor with Genetically Engineered *Shewanella Oneidensis* in a Bioelectrochemical System. *Biosens. Bioelectron* 62, 320–324. [PubMed: 25038536]

- (16). Hu Y, Yang Y, Katz E, and Song H (2015) Programming the Quorum Sensing-Based AND Gate in *Shewanella Oneidensis* for Logic Gated-Microbial Fuel Cells. *Chem. Commun* 51 (20), 4184–4187.
- (17). West EA, Jain A, and Gralnick JA (2017) Engineering a Native Inducible Expression System in *Shewanella Oneidensis* to Control Extracellular Electron Transfer. *ACS Synth. Biol* 6 (9), 1627–1634. [PubMed: 28562022]
- (18). Ang J, Harris E, Hussey BJ, Kil R, and McMillen DR (2013) Tuning Response Curves for Synthetic Biology. *ACS Synth. Biol* 2 (10), 547–567. [PubMed: 23905721]
- (19). Jensen HM, Albers AE, Malley KR, Londer YY, Cohen BE, Helms BA, Weigele P, Groves JT, and Ajo-Franklin CM (2010) Engineering of a Synthetic Electron Conduit in Living Cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* 107 (45), 19213–19218. [PubMed: 20956333]
- (20). Cao Y, Li X, Li F, and Song H (2017) CRISPRi–SRNA: Transcriptional–Translational Regulation of Extracellular Electron Transfer in *Shewanella Oneidensis*. *ACS Synth. Biol* 6 (9), 1679–1690. [PubMed: 28616968]
- (21). Elowitz MB, and Leibler S (2000) A Synthetic Oscillatory Network of Transcriptional Regulators. *Nature* 403 (6767), 335–338. [PubMed: 10659856]
- (22). Brophy JAN, and Voigt CA (2014) Principles of Genetic Circuit Design. *Nat. Methods* 11 (5), 508–520. [PubMed: 24781324]
- (23). Gardner TS, Cantor CR, and Collins JJ (2000) Construction of a Genetic Toggle Switch in *Escherichia Coli*. *Nature* 403 (6767), 339–342. [PubMed: 10659857]
- (24). Tamsir A, Tabor JJ, and Voigt CA (2011) Robust Multicellular Computing Using Genetically Encoded NOR Gates and Chemical ‘Wires.’ *Nature* 469 (7329), 212–215. [PubMed: 21150903]
- (25). Bonnet J, Yin P, Ortiz ME, Subsoontorn P, and Endy D (2013) Amplifying Genetic Logic Gates. *Science* 340 (6132), 599–603. [PubMed: 23539178]
- (26). Nielsen AAK, Der BS, Shin J, Vaidyanathan P, Paralanov V, Strychalski EA, Ross D, Densmore D, and Voigt CA (2016) Genetic Circuit Design Automation. *Science* 352 (6281), aac7341. [PubMed: 27034378]
- (27). Stookey LL (1970) Ferrozine-A New Spectrophotometric Reagent for Iron. *Anal. Chem* 42 (7), 779–781.
- (28). Arnold RG, Hoffmann MR, Dichristina TJ, and Picardal FW (1990) Regulation of Dissimilatory Fe(III) Reduction Activity in *Shewanella Putrefaciens*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol* 56 (9), 2811–2817. [PubMed: 16348289]
- (29). Dobbin PS, Powell AK, McEwan AG, and Richardson DJ (1995) The Influence of Chelating Agents upon the Dissimilatory Reduction of Fe(III) by *Shewanella Putrefaciens*. *BioMetals* 8 (2), 163–173.
- (30). Borloo J, Vergauwen B, Smet LD, Brigé A, Motte B, Devreese B, and Beeumen JV (2007) A Kinetic Approach to the Dependence of Dissimilatory Metal Reduction by *Shewanella Oneidensis* MR-1 on the Outer Membrane Cytochromes OmcA and OmcB. *FEBS J* 274 (14), 3728–3738. [PubMed: 17608722]
- (31). Light SH, Su L, Rivera-Lugo R, Cornejo JA, Louie A, Iavarone AT, Ajo-Franklin CM, and Portnoy DA (2018) A Flavine-Based Extracellular Electron Transfer Mechanism in Diverse Gram-Positive Bacteria. *Nature* 562 (7725), 140–144. [PubMed: 30209391]
- (32). Pinchuk GE, Geydebrekht OV, Hill EA, Reed JL, Konopka AE, Beliaev AS, and Fredrickson JK (2011) Pyruvate and Lactate Metabolism by *Shewanella Oneidensis* MR-1 under Fermentation, Oxygen Limitation, and Fumarate Respiration Conditions. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol* 77 (23), 8234–8240. [PubMed: 21965410]
- (33). Fujii M, Dang TC, Rose AL, Omura T, and Waite TD (2011) Effect of Light on Iron Uptake by the Freshwater Cyanobacterium *Microcystis Aeruginosa*. *Environ. Sci. Technol* 45 (4), 1391–1398. [PubMed: 21265504]
- (34). Dong Z, Guo S, Fu H, and Gao H (2017) Investigation of a Spontaneous Mutant Reveals Novel Features of Iron Uptake in *Shewanella Oneidensis*. *Sci. Rep* 7 (1), 11788. [PubMed: 28924168]
- (35). Coursolle D, and Gralnick JA (2012) Reconstruction of Extracellular Respiratory Pathways for Iron(III) Reduction in *Shewanella Oneidensis* Strain MR-1. *Front. Microbiol* 3 (56), 1–11. [PubMed: 22275914]

- (36). Segall-Shapiro TH, Sontag ED, and Voigt CA (2018) Engineered Promoters Enable Constant Gene Expression at Any Copy Number in Bacteria. *Nat. Biotechnol* 36 (4), 352–358. [PubMed: 29553576]
- (37). Lutz R, and Bujard H (1997) Independent and Tight Regulation of Transcriptional Units in *Escherichia Coli* via the LacR/O, the TetR/O and AraC/I1-I2 Regulatory Elements. *Nucleic Acids Res* 25 (6), 1203–1210. [PubMed: 9092630]
- (38). Myers CR, and Myers JM (1997) Cloning and Sequence of *CymA*, a Gene Encoding a Tetraheme Cytochrome c Required for Reduction of Iron(III), Fumarate, and Nitrate by *Shewanella Putrefaciens* MR-1. *J. Bacteriol* 179 (4), 1143–1152. [PubMed: 9023196]
- (39). Liu C, Gorby YA, Zachara JM, Fredrickson JK, and Brown CF (2002) Reduction Kinetics of Fe(III), Co(III), U(VI), Cr(VI), and Tc(VII) in Cultures of Dissimilatory Metal-Reducing Bacteria. *Biotechnol. Bioeng* 80 (6), 637–649. [PubMed: 12378605]
- (40). Monod J (1949) The Growth of Bacterial Cultures. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol* 3 (1), 371–394.
- (41). Alon U (2006) *An Introduction to Systems Biology: Design Principles of Biological Circuits*, CRC Press.
- (42). Moon TS, Lou C, Tamsir A, Stanton BC, and Voigt CA (2012) Genetic Programs Constructed from Layered Logic Gates in Single Cells. *Nature* 491 (7423), 249–253. [PubMed: 23041931]
- (43). Fan G, Dundas CM, Graham AJ, Lynd NA, and Keitz BK (2018) *Shewanella Oneidensis* as a Living Electrode for Controlled Radical Polymerization. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* 115 (18), 4559–4564. [PubMed: 29666254]
- (44). Salis HM, Mirsky EA, and Voigt CA (2009) Automated Design of Synthetic Ribosome Binding Sites to Control Protein Expression. *Nat. Biotechnol* 27 (10), 946–950. [PubMed: 19801975]
- (45). Farasat I, Kushwaha M, Collens J, Easterbrook M, Guido M, and Salis HM (2014) Efficient Search, Mapping, and Optimization of Multi-protein Genetic Systems in Diverse Bacteria. *Mol. Syst. Biol* 10 (6), 731. [PubMed: 24952589]
- (46). Ng CY, Farasat I, Maranas CD, and Salis HM (2015) Rational Design of a Synthetic Entner-Doudoroff Pathway for Improved and Controllable NADPH Regeneration. *Metab. Eng* 29, 86–96. [PubMed: 25769287]
- (47). Lou C, Stanton B, Chen Y-J, Munsky B, and Voigt CA (2012) Ribozyme-Based Insulator Parts Buffer Synthetic Circuits from Genetic Context. *Nat. Biotechnol* 30 (11), 1137–1142. [PubMed: 23034349]
- (48). Goldbeck CP, Jensen HM, TerAvest MA, Beedle N, Appling Y, Hepler M, Cambray G, Mutalik V, Angenent LT, and Ajo-Franklin CM (2013) Tuning Promoter Strengths for Improved Synthesis and Function of Electron Conduits in *Escherichia Coli*. *ACS Synth. Biol* 2 (3), 150–159. [PubMed: 23656438]
- (49). Lower BH, Hochella MF, and Lower SK (2005) Putative Mineral-Specific Proteins Synthesized by a Metal Reducing Bacterium. *Am. J. Sci* 305 (6–8), 687–710.
- (50). Ross DE, Ruebush SS, Brantley SL, Hartshorne RS, Clarke TA, Richardson DJ, and Tien M (2007) Characterization of Protein-Protein Interactions Involved in Iron Reduction by *Shewanella Oneidensis* MR-1. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol* 73 (18), 5797–5808. [PubMed: 17675441]
- (51). Kovárová-Kovar K, and Egli T (1998) Growth Kinetics of Suspended Microbial Cells: From Single-Substrate-Controlled Growth to Mixed-Substrate Kinetics. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev* 62 (3), 646–666. [PubMed: 9729604]
- (52). Beliaev AS, Klingeman DM, Klappenbach JA, Wu L, Romine MF, Tiedje JM, Nealson KH, Fredrickson JK, and Zhou J (2005) Global Transcriptome Analysis of *Shewanella Oneidensis* MR-1 Exposed to Different Terminal Electron Acceptors. *J. Bacteriol* 187 (20), 7138–7145. [PubMed: 16199584]
- (53). Rosenbaum MA, Bar HY, Beg QK, Segrè D, Booth J, Cotta MA, and Angenent LT (2012) Transcriptional Analysis of *Shewanella Oneidensis* MR-1 with an Electrode Compared to Fe(III)Citrate or Oxygen as Terminal Electron Acceptor. *PLoS One* 7 (2), e30827. [PubMed: 22319591]
- (54). Yokobayashi Y, Weiss R, and Arnold FH (2002) Directed Evolution of a Genetic Circuit. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* 99 (26), 16587–16591. [PubMed: 12451174]

- (55). Stanton BC, Nielsen AAK, Tamsir A, Clancy K, Peterson T, and Voigt CA (2014) Genomic Mining of Prokaryotic Repressors for Orthogonal Logic Gates. *Nat. Chem. Biol* 10 (2), 99–105. [PubMed: 24316737]
- (56). Rosenfeld N, and Alon U (2003) Response Delays and the Structure of Transcription Networks. *J. Mol. Biol* 329 (4), 645–654. [PubMed: 12787666]
- (57). Glaven SM (2019) Bioelectrochemical Systems and Synthetic Biology: More Power, More Products. *Microb. Biotechnol* 12 (5), 819–823. [PubMed: 31264368]
- (58). Li F, Li Y-X, Cao Y-X, Wang L, Liu C-G, Shi L, and Song H (2018) Modular Engineering to Increase Intracellular NAD(H/+) Promotes Rate of Extracellular Electron Transfer of *Shewanella Oneidensis*. *Nat. Commun* 9 (1), 3637. [PubMed: 30194293]
- (59). Jensen HM, TerAvest MA, Kokish MG, and Ajo-Franklin CM (2016) CymA and Exogenous Flavins Improve Extracellular Electron Transfer and Couple It to Cell Growth in Mtr-Expressing *Escherichia Coli*. *ACS Synth. Biol* 5 (7), 679–688. [PubMed: 27000939]
- (60). Cao Y, Song M, Li F, Li C, Lin X, Chen Y, Chen Y, Xu J, Ding Q, and Song H (2019) A Synthetic Plasmid Toolkit for *Shewanella Oneidensis* MR-1. *Front. Microbiol* 10, 410. [PubMed: 30906287]
- (61). Xiong Y, Chen B, Shi L, Fredrickson JK, Bigelow DJ, and Squier TC (2011) Targeted Protein Degradation of Outer Membrane Decaheme Cytochrome MtrC Metal Reductase in *Shewanella Oneidensis* MR-1 Measured Using Biarsenical Probe CrAsH-EDT 2. *Biochemistry* 50 (45), 9738–9751. [PubMed: 21999518]
- (62). Edwards MJ, White GF, Lockwood CW, Lawes MC, Martel A, Harris G, Scott DJ, Richardson DJ, Butt JN, and Clarke TA (2018) Structural Modeling of an Outer Membrane Electron Conduit from a Metal-Reducing Bacterium Suggests Electron Transfer via Periplasmic Redox Partners. *J. Biol. Chem* 293 (21), 8103–8112. [PubMed: 29636412]
- (63). Hartshorne RS, Reardon CL, Ross D, Nuester J, Clarke TA, Gates AJ, Mills PC, Fredrickson JK, Zachara JM, Shi L, Beliaev AS, Marshall MJ, Tien M, Brantley S, Butt JN, and Richardson DJ (2009) Characterization of an Electron Conduit between Bacteria and the Extracellular Environment. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* 106 (52), 22169–22174. [PubMed: 20018742]
- (64). Schicklberger M, Bücking C, Schuetz B, Heide H, and Gescher J (2011) Involvement of the *Shewanella Oneidensis* Decaheme Cytochrome MtrA in the Periplasmic Stability of the β -Barrel Protein MtrB. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol* 77 (4), 1520–1523. [PubMed: 21169449]
- (65). Atkinson JT, Campbell IJ, Thomas EE, Bonitatibus SC, Elliott SJ, Bennett GN, and Silberg JJ (2019) Metalloprotein Switches That Display Chemical-Dependent Electron Transfer in Cells. *Nat. Chem. Biol* 107, 1–10.
- (66). Schmidl SR, Ekness F, Sofjan K, Daeffler KN-M, Brink KR, Landry BP, Gerhardt KP, Dyulgyarov N, Sheth RU, and Tabor JJ (2019) Rewiring Bacterial Two-Component Systems by Modular DNA-Binding Domain Swapping. *Nat. Chem. Biol* 15 (7), 690–698. [PubMed: 31110305]
- (67). Myers CR, and Myers JM (1994) Ferric Iron Reduction-Linked Growth Yields of *Shewanella Putrefaciens* MR-1. *J. Appl. Bacteriol* 76 (3), 253–258. [PubMed: 8157545]
- (68). Coursolle D, Baron DB, Bond DR, and Gralnick JA (2010) The Mtr Respiratory Pathway Is Essential for Reducing Flavins and Electrodes in *Shewanella Oneidensis*. *J. Bacteriol* 192 (2), 467–474. [PubMed: 19897659]
- (69). Bücking C, Popp F, Kerzenmacher S, and Gescher J (2010) Involvement and Specificity of *Shewanella Oneidensis* Outer Membrane Cytochromes in the Reduction of Soluble and Solid-Phase Terminal Electron Acceptors. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett* 306 (2), 144–151. [PubMed: 20370837]
- (70). Daniel R, Rubens JR, Sarpeshkar R, and Lu TK (2013) Synthetic Analog Computation in Living Cells. *Nature* 497, 619–623. [PubMed: 23676681]
- (71). Andrews LB, Nielsen AAK, and Voigt CA (2018) Cellular Checkpoint Control Using Programmable Sequential Logic. *Science* 361 (6408), eaap8987. [PubMed: 30237327]
- (72). Endy D (2005) Foundations for Engineering Biology. *Nature* 438 (7067), 449–453. [PubMed: 16306983]

- (73). Tabor JJ, Salis HM, Simpson ZB, Chevalier AA, Levskaya A, Marcotte EM, Voigt CA, and Ellington AD (2009) A Synthetic Genetic Edge Detection Program. *Cell* 137 (7), 1272–1281. [PubMed: 19563759]
- (74). Kotula JW, Kerns SJ, Shaket LA, Siraj L, Collins JJ, Way JC, and Silver PA (2014) Programmable Bacteria Detect and Record an Environmental Signal in the Mammalian Gut. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* 111 (13), 4838–4843. [PubMed: 24639514]
- (75). Engler C, Kandzia R, and Marillonnet S (2008) A One Pot, One Step, Precision Cloning Method with High Throughput Capability. *PLoS One* 3 (11), e3647. [PubMed: 18985154]

Figure 1.
CymA/MtrCAB extracellular electron pathway in *S. oneidensis* MR-1.

Figure 2.

Measurement and modeling of Fe(III) reduction kinetics by *S. oneidensis*. (a) General workflow for 96-well plate Fe(III) reduction setup, kinetic measurements, and obtaining fitted rate constants. (b) Raw Fe(III) reduction kinetics for *S. oneidensis* MR-1 cultured with 1 mg mL^{-1} ferrozine, 5 mM Fe(III) citrate, and either 20 mM sodium lactate (black), 20 mM sodium pyruvate (purple), 20 mM sodium acetate (red), or no carbon source (gray). (c) Raw Fe(III) reduction kinetics for *S. oneidensis* MR-1 (black), $mtrC^- omcA^-$ (purple), and $mtrA^-$ (red) cultured with 1 mg mL^{-1} ferrozine, 5 mM Fe(III) citrate, and 20 mM lactate. Lines through the data in (b) and (c) represent Monod-type model fits to concatenated triplicate data. Fitted rate constants from the carbon source experiments (b) and different *S. oneidensis* strains (c) are shown in (d) and (e), respectively. Rate constants were obtained by

fitting raw kinetic data for individual replicates to a Monod-type model. For (b) and (c), all data represent the mean $\pm$ SD of raw Fe(II) levels. For (d) and (e), all data represent the mean $\pm$ SEM of fitted rate constants. All experiments were performed with $n = 3$ biological replicates.

Figure 3.

Dynamic range optimization for inducible EET circuits. (a) Diagram of the RBS library/IPTG inducible circuit (Buffer gate) used to control each EET gene transcriptional output. (b) Strain backgrounds and transcriptional unit pairings that were used to assay each RBS library for *cymA* (*cymA*+pCD26r#), (c) *mtrA* (*mtrA*+pCD25r#), and (d) *mtrC* (*mtrC omcA omcA*+pCD24r#). Dashed outlines represent proteins removed via genomic deletion. Induced (1500 μM IPTG, filled circles) and uninduced (0 μM IPTG, open circles) Fe(III) reduction rate constants for the (e) *cymA*, (f) *mtrA*, and (g) *mtrC* RBS libraries. *cymA* circuit strains were pregrown aerobically, and *mtrA*/*mtrC* circuit strains were pregrown anaerobically. For all experiments, IPTG was supplemented immediately prior to measuring Fe(III) reduction kinetics. Rate constants were obtained by fitting raw kinetic

data to a Monod-type model. Dynamic range of inducible Fe(III) reduction for the (h) *cymA*, (i) *mtrA*, and (j) *mtrC* RBS libraries. Dynamic range was calculated as the ratio of induced (1500 μM IPTG) and uninduced (0 μM IPTG) fitted rate constants for each replicate. All data represent the mean $\pm$ SEM of fitted rate constants or dynamic range for $n = 3$ biological replicates. Raw data for all replicates are shown in Supplementary Figure S5.

Figure 4.

Buffer gate response functions under steady-state EET gene expression. (a) Diagram of Buffer gate circuit used to control each EET gene or *sfGFP* transcriptional output. (b) Fluorescence/OD₆₀₀ response function generated by *S. oneidensis* MR-1 expressing the *sfGFP* Buffer gate circuit. Cells were induced with IPTG for 18 h during anaerobic growth on lactate/fumarate. To produce mature and functional sfGFP, translation was arrested with 2 mg mL⁻¹ kanamycin sulfate, and cell aliquots were transferred to an aerobic shaking incubator (30 °C, 250 rpm) for 1 h prior to fluorescence/optical density measurements. (c) Fe(III) reduction response function generated by aerobically pregrown *S. oneidensis* *cymA* expressing the *cymA* Buffer gate circuit (pCD26r4). (d) Fe(III) reduction response function generated by anaerobically pregrown *S. oneidensis* *mtrA* expressing the *mtrA* Buffer gate circuit (pCD25r0). (e) Fe(III) reduction response function generated by anaerobically pregrown *S. oneidensis* *mtrC omcA mtrF* expressing the *mtrC* Buffer gate circuit (pCD24r1). For Fe(III) reduction experiments, all strains were preinduced with IPTG for 18–24 h prior to measuring Fe(III) reduction kinetics. Cultures were also supplemented with IPTG during kinetic measurements. Rate constants were obtained by fitting raw kinetic data to a Monod-type model. The solid line and Hill parameters shown in each graph were obtained by fitting individual replicates to a four-parameter activating Hill function with weighted error. To improve Hill function fitting for (d), the minimum and maximum Hill function values were constrained by the mean fitted rate constants at 0 and 1500 μM IPTG, respectively. All data represent the mean ± SEM of fitted rate constants for *n* = 3 biological replicates. Raw data for all replicates are shown in Supplementary Figure S14.

Figure 5.

Buffer gate response functions under dynamic EET gene expression and from aerobic pregrowth. (a) Diagram of Buffer gate circuit to control each EET gene transcriptional output. (b) Fe(III) reduction response function generated by *S. oneidensis cymA* expressing the Buffer gate circuit (pCD26r4). (c) Fe(III) reduction response function generated by *S. oneidensis mtrA* expressing the *mtrA* Buffer gate circuit (pCD25r0). (d) Fe(III) reduction response function generated by *S. oneidensis mtrC omcA mtrF* expressing the *mtrC* Buffer gate circuit (pCD24r1). In these experiments, all strains were pregrown aerobically, and IPTG was supplemented immediately prior to measuring Fe(III) reduction kinetics. Rate constants were obtained by fitting raw kinetic data to a polynomial model. The solid line and Hill parameters shown each graph were obtained by fitting individual replicates to a four-parameter activating Hill function with weighted error. All data represent mean $\pm$ SEM of fitted rate constants for $n = 3$ biological replicates.

Figure 6.

NOT gate response functions under steady-state EET gene expression. (a) Diagram of NOT gate circuit used to control each EET gene transcriptional output. (b) Fe(III) reduction response function generated by aerobically pregrown *S. oneidensis* *cymA* expressing the *cymA* NOT gate circuit (pCDD3r4). (c) Fe(III) reduction response function generated by anerobically pregrown *S. oneidensis* *mtrC omcA mtrF* expressing the *mtrC* NOT gate circuit (pCDD1). In these experiments, all strains were preinduced with IPTG for 18–24 h prior to measuring Fe(III) reduction kinetics. Cultures were also supplemented with IPTG during kinetic measurements. Rate constants were obtained by fitting raw kinetic data to a Monod-type model. The solid line and Hill parameters shown in each graph were obtained by fitting individual replicates to a four-parameter deactivating Hill function with weighted error. To improve Hill function fitting for (b), the maximum and minimum Hill function values were constrained by the mean fitted rate constants at 0 and 1500 μM IPTG,

respectively. All data represent the mean $\pm$ SEM of fitted rate constants for $n = 3$ biological replicates.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript