Published in final edited form as:

Oncol Nurs Forum. 2020 September 01; 47(5): 557–566. doi:10.1188/20.ONF.557-566.

Skeletal Muscle Mass Loss During Cancer Treatment: Differences by Race and Cancer Site

Min Kyeong Jang, PhD, RN, KOAPN [postdoctoral fellow],

Cancer Center and in the College of Nursing, University of Illinois at Chicago.

Chang Gi Park, PhD [research assistant professor],

College of Nursing, University of Illinois at Chicago.

Susan Hong, MD, MPH [director of cancer survivorship],

College of Medicine, University of Illinois at Chicago.

Deepika Laddu, PhD [assistant professor],

Department of Physical Therapy in the College of Applied Health Sciences, University of Illinois at Chicago.

Hongjin Li, PhD, MS, BSN [postdoctoral fellow],

Cancer Center and in the College of Nursing, University of Illinois at Chicago.

Esther Rhee, DO [clinical physician],

Cancer Survivorship Program and a clinical assistant professor in the Department of Medicine, University of Illinois at Chicago.

Ardith Z. Doorenbos, PhD, RN, FAAN [nursing collegiate professor]

College of Nursing and the director of palliative care in the Cancer Center, University of Illinois at Chicago.

Abstract

OBJECTIVES: To examine skeletal muscle mass change in a racially diverse sample of patients undergoing cancer treatment, determine significant predictors of muscle mass loss, and explore the interaction of race and cancer site.

SAMPLE & SETTING: A retrospective analysis was conducted for 212 patients seeking treatment at a university hospital clinic.

METHODS & VARIABLES: Skeletal muscle mass index (SMI) was determined by computed tomography at the time of cancer diagnosis and with cancer treatment.

Jang can be reached at mjang21@uic.edu, with copy to ONFEditor@ons.org.

Jang, Park, Hong, Laddu, Li, and Doorenbos contributed to the conceptualization and design. Jang and Hong completed the data collection. Jang, Park, and Hong provided the analysis. Jang, Park, Laddu, and Doorenbos provided statistical support. All authors contributed to the manuscript preparation.

The authors gratefully acknowledge Carla Prado, PhD, RD, for her assistance with the database analysis and additional expertise for this study. They also gratefully acknowledge Dana Sohmer, MS, Winnie Mar, MD, Joshua Mendoza-Elias, MD, Alicia Hulbert, MD, and Lane Lerner, MD, for abstracting the computed tomography records and assisting with merging and cleaning the files.

RESULTS: One hundred thirty-four patients (63%) had SMI loss with cancer treatment. Race and cancer site were found to be significant predictors of SMI loss. Compared to other racial groups, non-Hispanic Black (NHB) patients had the greatest SMI loss ($p < 0.001$) with cancer treatment. NHB patients with rectal cancer experienced the greatest SMI loss compared to patients of other races and cancer types.

IMPLICATIONS FOR NURSING: To improve survivorship care for patients with cancer, it is essential to develop strategies for assessing and managing skeletal muscle mass loss throughout treatment, particularly for NHB patients with rectal cancer.

Keywords

oncology; muscle mass; rectal cancer; race; non-Hispanic Blacks

Oncology researchers have turned their attention during the past decade to the growing burden of skeletal muscle mass loss, an important factor driving healthcare needs and cancer survivorship care (Chang et al., 2018; Rier et al., 2016; Shachar et al., 2016). Low skeletal muscle mass in patients with cancer has been related to toxicities from chemotherapy, overall survival rates, and disease-free survival (Antoun et al., 2013; Pamoukdjian et al., 2018; Prado et al., 2007; Shachar et al., 2016). Cancer-related research and treatment efforts have considered various causes for muscle loss in patients with cancer (Williams et al., 2019). The prevalence of low skeletal muscle mass, which is variously defined based on several cut-points, such as $52.4 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$ for men and $38.5 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$ for women (Prado et al., 2008), is known to vary widely across cancer types, with a range of 5%–89% (Rier et al., 2016). Sex also affects the risk of low muscle mass; more men (61%) than women (41%) have shown loss of muscle mass after the first line of chemotherapy (Choi et al., 2015). In addition, increasing age is known to be related to greater muscle mass loss among patients with cancer (Williams et al., 2019).

These issues are particularly important considerations in cancer survivorship care because low muscle mass has been directly related not only to cancer treatment toxicities but also to postoperative complications, longer hospitalizations, and higher cancer recurrence and mortality (Mei et al., 2016; Miyamoto et al., 2015; Prado et al., 2008, 2009; Simonsen et al., 2018; Villaseñor et al., 2012). In addition, the lowered physical function and frailty associated with cancer and its treatment are known to accelerate muscle mass loss (Ethun et al., 2017; Galvão et al., 2009; Handforth et al., 2014; Morishita et al., 2012), which, in turn, leads to reduced quality of life, increased fatigue and depression, and reduced engagement in activities known to reduce the risk of recurrence (Luciani et al., 2008; Nipp et al., 2018; Prado et al., 2013).

Despite the fact that skeletal muscle mass loss is a common cancer-related symptom and a measurable predictor of cancer treatment toxicity, and that research has shown differences in skeletal muscle mass by race and cancer site (Deurenberg et al., 1998; Hull et al., 2011), few studies have compared skeletal muscle mass loss with cancer treatment while also considering interactions with race and cancer site. In a retrospective study involving 3,262 patients with colorectal cancer, skeletal muscle mass measured by computed tomography (CT) scan at diagnosis was higher among non-Hispanic Black (NHB) and Hispanic patients

than among non-Hispanic White (NHW) and Asian patients (Feliciano et al., 2017). Similarly, in a study involving 2,914 patients with early-stage breast cancer, NHB patients showed lower odds of low skeletal muscle mass at diagnosis than patients of other races (Caan et al., 2017). With respect to cancer sites, a meta-analysis and systematic review involving 7,843 patients with cancer found the highest prevalence of skeletal muscle mass loss among patients with non-small cell lung cancer (74%), followed by patients with urothelial carcinoma (69%) (Shachar et al., 2016).

Conceptual frameworks for low muscle mass in general populations have been developed by Calvani et al. (2014) and Landi et al. (2016). These frameworks consider low muscle mass as an underlying biologic mechanism for physical frailty and the pathway by which frailty's negative health outcomes occur (Calvani et al., 2014; Landi et al., 2016). In the oncology field, Williams et al. (2019) stated that multiple causes of muscle loss exist, including aging, cancer-related factors, and cancer treatment-related effects. Considering the importance of low muscle mass in oncology practice and research, this study's theoretical design was drawn from Williams et al. (2019)'s multifactorial causes of low muscle mass, as well as from previous findings regarding low muscle mass based on sex-specific skeletal muscle mass index (SMI) cut-points (Prado et al., 2008) and race-/ethnicity-specific differences in muscle mass (Silva et al., 2010; Yoowannakul et al., 2018).

Given the existence of racial disparities in skeletal muscle mass and the range of cancer treatment regimens that depend on cancer site, an improved understanding of skeletal muscle mass loss with cancer treatment in various populations is needed to drive more effective survivorship care strategies. This study aimed to examine skeletal muscle mass with cancer treatment, to evaluate predictors of skeletal muscle mass loss, and to examine interactions of race and cancer site using data from 212 racially diverse patients with various cancer diagnoses.

Methods

Design and Sample

This study employed a retrospective analysis design that used de-identified data from a tumor registry at the University of Illinois Cancer Center in Chicago. The data subjected to secondary analysis were for 212 racially diverse patients aged 18 years or older who were diagnosed with a solid tumor cancer from April 2013 to December 2016 and treated at a single academic institution. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the institutional review board of the University of Illinois at Chicago.

Data Collection

The 212 cancer cases were linked with electronic health records, from which the authors obtained data on patient demographic characteristics (including age, sex, and race) and patient clinical characteristics related to cancer diagnosis (including date of diagnosis, cancer stage, and cancer type). The target population size was determined according to G*Power 3.1 software using paired t-test analysis. Based on previous studies (Chang et al., 2018; Rier et al., 2016; Yamaoka et al., 2015), a sample size of 199 was assumed with an

alpha of 0.05, medium effect size of 0.02, and power of 0.8. Therefore, the inclusion of 212 cases was sufficient and established in this study. Each patient had abdominal CT scans, which included images of the third lumbar spine vertebra (L3), at the time of cancer diagnosis and after cancer treatment. For patients with metastatic cancer, CT images were obtained at least two months after treatment initiation.

Derivation of Skeletal Muscle Mass Index

The CT images were retrieved using DICOM® (Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine) software. The de-identified CT information was then sent to the University of Alberta in Edmonton, Canada, where body composition analysis was performed using the TomoVision sliceOmatic 5.0 software. This software enables researchers to calculate SMI (as cm^2/m^2) by measuring the skeletal muscle mass cross-sectional area (cm^2) at L3 and then normalizing the results for patient height in meters squared (m^2).

Data Analysis

Descriptive data are shown as means and standard deviations (SDs) for continuous variables and as proportions and percentages for categorical variables. Mean differences in SMI change with cancer treatment were estimated for race- and sex-specific comparisons using a paired t test. Multiple linear regression was employed to determine which of the demographic and clinical variables (i.e., age, race, sex, cancer site, and cancer stage) were predictors of SMI loss. Because the treatment period differed by cancer type, treatment period was controlled for in the multiple linear regression model for SMI loss. To predict SMI loss by race and cancer site, marginal effects analysis was applied. To account for missing data for variables, maximum likelihood estimation was performed. All statistical analyses were performed with STATA, version 14.2, with a statistical significance value of $p < 0.05$ established by two-sided tests.

Results

Sample Characteristics

Table 1 describes the demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population. Of the 212 patients with cancer included for analysis, 128 (60%) were men and 132 (62%) self-identified as NHB. Patients' mean age was 63.8 years ($SD = 11$). More than 30% of patients overall ($n = 65$) had lung cancer, and more than half of the patient population had advanced-stage cancer, with stage IV cancer identified in more than one-third ($n = 83$, 39%) and stage III cancer in almost one-third ($n = 59$, 28%) of patients.

Skeletal Muscle Mass Loss

Figure 1 shows the distribution of absolute SMI change with cancer treatment by race. The mean change in SMI loss among all patients was 2.6 ($SD = 6.4$) cm^2/m^2 . The highest SMI loss for an individual patient was 22.6 cm^2/m^2 . Overall, 33% of patients in the study had improved skeletal muscle mass with cancer treatment, and 67% experienced a decrease in skeletal muscle mass. Accordingly, change in SMI across patients in all three racial groups (NHB, NHW, and other) was widely distributed. Patients who demonstrated the greatest loss

in SMI ($n = 7$) were NHB, and, overall, the NHW patients experienced less SMI loss than the NHB patients.

Table 2 presents a comparison of SMI changes with cancer treatment by gender. Based on the paired t test, there was a significant difference between men and women in SMI change with treatment ($p < 0.001$).

Predictors of Skeletal Muscle Mass Change

The multiple regression that examined the SMI loss group ($n = 134$) was significant, with model fit statistics significant at $F(12, 121) = 2.15$, $p < 0.05$, with 9% of the variance in SMI loss explained. Two predictors, race and cancer site, were found to be significant. The SMI loss of NHB patients with cancer showed $3.287 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$ more muscle loss than the reference group (NHW patients). In addition, the groups of patients with breast, lung, colon, and prostate cancer had less SMI loss compared with the SMI loss of patients with rectal cancer (see Table 3). Therefore, race (NHB) and cancer site (rectal cancer) were significant predictors for explaining the highest amount of SMI loss.

To examine SMI loss by race and cancer site with the estimated regression model, the authors used predictive margins and marginal effects analysis, as shown in Table 4. NHB patients with rectal cancer experienced the highest SMI loss, and NHB patients with breast cancer had the lowest SMI loss. Figure 2 shows that, compared to NHB patients, the group of NHW patients had lower SMI loss across all cancer sites.

Discussion

Changes in skeletal muscle mass with cancer treatment have not been widely studied by race or by cancer site. In examining skeletal muscle mass by using SMI with cancer treatment, this study found differences according to race and cancer site. Of note, NHB patients experienced appreciable losses in skeletal muscle mass, which were significantly greater than losses observed in NHW patients. Inclusion of cancer site to models showed that NHB patients with rectal cancer experienced the greatest loss of skeletal muscle mass (an average of $10.94 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$) with treatment. To the best of the authors' knowledge, no previous study has compared skeletal muscle mass values with cancer treatment while specifically examining its interaction with race and cancer site. These study findings provide preliminary guidance for identifying groups at high risk for muscle mass loss. To improve care and outcomes, patients in these groups will need to be carefully assessed and managed during cancer treatment.

Overall, this study's findings demonstrate that the prevalence of SMI loss with cancer treatment, assessed using CT scans, differs among patients of different races. The authors found that NHB men had the highest SMI before cancer treatment began, a finding similar to that of a previous descriptive study of 3,262 patients with colorectal cancer, which reported that NHB patients had higher skeletal muscle mass than NHW patients (Xiao et al., 2019). Specifically, the descriptive study reported that NHW men had the lowest SMI among men of all races, and NHW women had lower SMI than NHB, Hispanic, or Latino women (Xiao et al., 2019).

In an important contribution to the understanding of SMI changes, the current analysis revealed that the effects of cancer treatment on SMI differ by race and cancer site, and that race and cancer site are predictive of SMI loss with treatment. NHB patients with cancer showed the highest SMI before treatment, yet they experienced the greatest muscle mass loss with cancer treatment. This suggests that NHB patients with cancer may be particularly vulnerable to skeletal muscle mass loss. Considering body composition differences by race (Deurenberg et al., 1998; Goodpaster et al., 2006; Heymsfield et al., 2016; Hull et al., 2011) and known racial disparities in health (Cossrow & Falkner, 2004; Egede, 2006; Richardson & Norris, 2010), NHB patients with cancer should be carefully monitored for muscle mass loss during treatment. Loss of skeletal muscle mass has been related to postoperative complications and lower survival rates (Joglekar et al., 2015; Pamoukdjian et al., 2018; Shachar et al., 2016), and racial differences in muscle mass changes during cancer treatment should be further explored for their implications for survivorship care.

This study also contributed to addressing important knowledge gaps in skeletal muscle mass loss by cancer site. For example, the current analyses revealed that NHB patients with rectal cancer experienced greater skeletal muscle mass loss compared to NHB patients with cancers at other sites. Those with breast cancer experienced the lowest amount of SMI loss. In addition, the analyses evaluated SMI change among patients with colon and rectal cancer separately and showed that patients with rectal cancer had greater skeletal muscle mass loss than patients with colon cancer. These findings expand on current research that has focused predominantly on analyzing the prevalence of muscle mass loss among patients with colon and rectal cancer as a single group.

These findings are important because, in a previous retrospective study, 39% of patients with advanced rectal cancer had low skeletal muscle mass, and low muscle mass was a significant prognostic factor for overall survival (Choi et al., 2018). In another study (Levolger et al., 2018), loss of skeletal muscle mass during neoadjuvant chemotherapy was found to be an independent prognostic factor for disease-free survival and distant metastasis-free survival among patients with advanced rectal cancer. It is important to acknowledge that individuals diagnosed with colorectal cancer often experience malnutrition (Hu et al., 2015; Yamano et al., 2016) resulting from bowel obstruction, malabsorption, and cancer treatment (Ryan et al., 2016). The coexistence of low skeletal muscle mass and malnutrition has been related to lower survival rates compared to only one of those conditions being present (Vashi et al., 2019). In another retrospective analysis examining individuals with colorectal cancer, those with low skeletal muscle mass had shorter durations of recurrence-free survival and overall survival than patients not exhibiting low skeletal muscle mass, as well as longer hospital stays and higher incidence of postoperative morbidity, infection, and mortality (Sun et al., 2018).

These findings highlight the need for interventions among individuals with colorectal cancer that involve personalized nutrition regimens and resistance exercise to preserve skeletal muscle mass. In particular, resistance exercise has been shown to be a promising strategy for preserving muscle mass and improving treatment effectiveness in patients with various cancer types (Adams et al., 2016; Naito et al., 2019; Yamamoto et al., 2017). Development of early interventions for groups at high risk, such as NHB patients undergoing treatment

and specifically NHB patients with rectal cancer, will help to maintain muscle mass and enhance survivorship care and outcomes.

Limitations

The current study had several limitations. This was a retrospective study using available cancer cases that included CT images at diagnosis and with cancer treatment. Serial CT images are not standard of care, and the patients whose files contain these images may have been more malnourished, more symptomatic from their cancer treatment, or otherwise at higher risk for poor outcomes. This represents potential sampling bias. However, such a bias is unlikely to fully explain the higher SMI loss found among NHB versus NHW patients. Another limitation is that the authors were not able to take treatment into consideration. Chemotherapy is known to significantly alter body composition, and it is possible that the finding of a greater decrease in SMI among NHB patients with rectal cancer reflects more aggressive treatment regimens among these patients.

Although this was a retrospective study using tumor registry data for 212 patients with cancer who received treatment at one cancer center, the sample was heterogeneous in terms of racial composition and cancer site. In future studies, a prospective research design that includes samples from multiple cancer centers should be used to broaden the generalizability of results; such research should compare results by cancer type and cancer treatment, as well as by race/ethnicity. The study examined clinical and CT scan data that could be extracted from the tumor registry; therefore, potential confounding factors, such as physical, functional, and physiological factors, as well as health-related behavior during cancer treatment, could not be included. Additional potential factors that can independently affect a patient's SMI include age at diagnosis, treatment, treatment period, disease recurrence status, comorbidities, and social determinants of health. Future studies would benefit from examining data that incorporate more of these potentially relevant factors with respect to changes in skeletal muscle mass. In addition, because skeletal muscle mass loss does not necessarily result in declines in muscle strength, physical function, or performance, future studies should also include physical function tests.

Despite these limitations, this was the first study to compare skeletal muscle mass changes with cancer treatment by race and cancer site, and it was able to determine that skeletal muscle mass loss differs by race and cancer site. These potential prognostic factors deserve careful clinical attention and further research. Given the dramatic change in NHB patients' muscle mass over the course of cancer treatment, NHB patients with cancer should be considered a vulnerable group at high risk for skeletal muscle mass loss during treatment.

Implications for Nursing

This study identified significant predictors of muscle mass loss with cancer treatment and interactions of race, cancer site, and muscle mass loss in racially diverse patients undergoing cancer treatment. The findings have implications for development of future directions for oncology nursing research and practice focused on supporting individuals with cancer who experience muscle mass loss. Of note, more than two-thirds of the patients included in this study had decreases in skeletal muscle mass, and the NHB patients with rectal cancer

experienced the greatest SMI loss; by way of comparison, NHW patients showed less SMI loss across all cancer sites. This study highlights the critical importance of monitoring particular groups of patients with cancer (e.g., NHB patients with rectal cancer) for skeletal muscle mass loss as a routine part of survivorship care. To help prevent skeletal muscle mass loss in such patients, early intervention made possible by monitoring is critical. Because skeletal muscle mass loss with cancer treatment is an emerging issue in the oncology field, oncology nurses need to translate this growing body of knowledge into careful assessment and management of patients' muscle mass as part of their clinical practice.

Conclusion

The study findings reveal that the extent of skeletal muscle mass lost during cancer treatment varies by race and cancer site. NHB patients with rectal cancer appeared to be the most vulnerable to skeletal muscle loss, compared to NHW patients. These findings provide preliminary insights for healthcare professionals to develop patient-centered strategies to preserve skeletal muscle mass during cancer treatment. These findings also suggest a possible role for oncologic rehabilitation programs to optimize skeletal muscle mass during and after completion of cancer treatment.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Institute of Nursing Research of the National Institutes of Health (K24NR015340). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

REFERENCES

- Adams SC, Segal RJ, McKenzie DC, Vallerand JR, Morielli AR, Mackey JR, ... Courneya KS (2016). Impact of resistance and aerobic exercise on sarcopenia and dynapenia in breast cancer patients receiving adjuvant chemotherapy: A multicenter randomized controlled trial. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*, 158(3), 497–507. 10.1007/s10549-016-3900-2 [PubMed: 27394134]
- Antoun S, Borget I, & Lanoy E (2013). Impact of sarcopenia on the prognosis and treatment toxicities in patients diagnosed with cancer. *Current Opinion in Supportive and Palliative Care*, 7(4), 383–389. 10.1097/SPC.000000000000011 [PubMed: 24189893]
- Caan BJ, Meyerhardt JA, Kroenke CH, Alexeeff S, Xiao J, Weltzien E, ... Prado CM (2017). Explaining the obesity paradox: The association between body composition and colorectal cancer survival (C-SCANS study). *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention*, 26(7), 1008–1015. 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-17-0200
- Calvani R, Landi F, Marzetti E, Cuore S, Bernabei R, Martone AM, ... Marzetti E (2014). Frailty, physical frailty, sarcopenia: A new conceptual model In Riva G, Marsan PA, & Grassi C (Eds.), *Active ageing and healthy living: A human centered approach in research and innovation as source of quality of life* (pp. 78–84). IOS Press.
- Chang KV, Chen JD, Wu WT, Huang KC, Hsu CT, & Han DS (2018). Association between loss of skeletal muscle mass and mortality and tumor recurrence in hepatocellular carcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Liver Cancer*, 7(1), 90–103. 10.1159/000484950 [PubMed: 29662836]
- Choi MH, Oh SN, Lee IK, Oh ST, & Won DD (2018). Sarcopenia is negatively associated with long-term outcomes in locally advanced rectal cancer. *Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle*, 9(1), 53–59. 10.1002/jcsm.12234
- Choi Y, Oh DY, Kim TY, Lee KH, Han SW, Im SA, ... Bang YJ (2015). Skeletal muscle depletion predicts the prognosis of patients with advanced pancreatic cancer undergoing palliative chemotherapy, independent of body mass index. *PLOS ONE*, 10(10), e0139749 10.1371/journal.pone.0139749 [PubMed: 26437072]

- Cossrow N, & Falkner B (2004). Race/ethnic issues in obesity and obesity-related comorbidities. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 89(6), 2590–2594. 10.1210/jc.2004-0339 [PubMed: 15181028]
- Deurenberg P, Yap M, & van Staveren WA (1998). Body mass index and percent body fat: A meta analysis among different ethnic groups. *International Journal of Obesity*, 22(12), 1164–1171. 10.1038/sj.ijo.0800741 [PubMed: 9877251]
- Egede LE (2006). Race, ethnicity, culture, and disparities in health care. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 21(6), 667–669. 10.1111/j.1525-1497.2006.0512.x [PubMed: 16808759]
- Ethun CG, Bilen MA, Jani AB, Maithel SK, Ogan K, & Master VA (2017). Frailty and cancer: Implications for oncology surgery, medical oncology, and radiation oncology. *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*, 67(5), 362–377. 10.3322/caac.21406 [PubMed: 28731537]
- Feliciano E, Caan BJ, Prado C, Kroenke C, Alexeeff S, Bradshaw PT, ... Chen WY (2017). Prevalence of sarcopenia and predictors of body composition among women with early-stage breast cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 35(5, Suppl.), 160 10.1200/jco.2017.35.5_suppl.160
- Galvão DA, Taaffe DR, Spry N, Joseph D, Turner D, & Newton RU (2009). Reduced muscle strength and functional performance in men with prostate cancer undergoing androgen suppression: A comprehensive cross-sectional investigation. *Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases*, 12(2), 198–203. 10.1038/pcan.2008.51 [PubMed: 18852703]
- Goodpaster BH, Park SW, Harris TB, Kritchevsky SB, Nevitt M, Schwartz AV, ... Newman AB (2006). The loss of skeletal muscle strength, mass, and quality in older adults: The health, aging and body composition study. *Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences*, 61(10), 1059–1064. 10.1093/gerona/61.10.1059
- Handforth C, Clegg A, Young C, Simpkins S, Seymour MT, Selby PJ, & Young J (2014). The prevalence and outcomes of frailty in older cancer patients: A systematic review. *Annals of Oncology*, 26(6), 1091–1101. 10.1093/annonc/mdl540 [PubMed: 25403592]
- Heymsfield SB, Peterson CM, Thomas DM, Heo M, & Schuna JM Jr. (2016). Why are there race/ethnic differences in adult body mass index–adiposity relationships? A quantitative critical review. *Obesity Reviews*, 17(3), 262–275. 10.1111/obr.12358 [PubMed: 26663309]
- Hu WH, Cajas-Monson LC, Eisenstein S, Parry L, Cosman B, & Ramamoorthy S (2015). Preoperative malnutrition assessments as predictors of postoperative mortality and morbidity in colorectal cancer: An analysis of ACS-NSQIP. *Nutrition Journal*, 14, 91 10.1186/s12937-015-0081-5 [PubMed: 26345703]
- Hull HR, Thornton J, Wang J, Pierson RN Jr., Kaleem Z, Pi-Sunyer X, ... Gallagher D (2011). Fat-free mass index: Changes and race/ethnic differences in adulthood. *International Journal of Obesity*, 35(1), 121–127. 10.1038/ijo.2010.111 [PubMed: 20531353]
- Joglekar S, Nau PN, & Mezhir JJ (2015). The impact of sarcopenia on survival and complications in surgical oncology: A review of the current literature. *Journal of Surgical Oncology*, 112(5), 503–509. 10.1002/jso.24025 [PubMed: 26310812]
- Landi F, Cherubini A, Cesari M, Calvani R, Tosato M, Sisto A, ... Marzetti E (2016). Sarcopenia and frailty: From theoretical approach into clinical practice. *European Geriatric Medicine*, 7(3), 197–200. 10.1016/j.eurger.2015.12.015
- Levolger S, van Vledder MG, Alberda WJ, Verhoef C, de Bruin RWF, IJzermans J, & Burger JW (2018). Muscle wasting and survival following pre-operative chemoradiotherapy for locally advanced rectal carcinoma. *Clinical Nutrition*, 37(5), 1728–1735. 10.1016/j.clnu.2017.06.028 [PubMed: 28756039]
- Luciani A, Gilda A, Tagliabue L, Gilardi L, Oldani S, Codecà C, ... Foa P (2008). The impact of chemotherapy on sarcopenia and fatigue in elderly cancer patients: A prospective pilot study. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 26(15, Suppl.), 9635 10.1200/jco.2008.26.15_suppl.9635
- Mei KL, Batsis JA, Mills JB, & Holubar SD (2016). Sarcopenia and sarcopenic obesity: Do they predict inferior oncologic outcomes after gastrointestinal cancer surgery? *Perioperative Medicine*, 5, 30 10.1186/s13741-016-0052-1 [PubMed: 27800156]
- Miyamoto Y, Baba Y, Sakamoto Y, Ohuchi M, Tokunaga R, Kurashige J, ... Baba H (2015). Sarcopenia is a negative prognostic factor after curative resection of colorectal cancer. *Annals of Surgical Oncology*, 22(8), 2663–2668. 10.1245/s10434-014-4281-6 [PubMed: 25564158]

- Morishita S, Kaida K, Tanaka T, Itani Y, Ikegame K, Okada M, ... Domen K (2012). Prevalence of sarcopenia and relevance of body composition, physiological function, fatigue, and health-related quality of life in patients before allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, 20(12), 3161–3168. 10.1007/s00520-012-1460-5 [PubMed: 22526152]
- Naito T, Mitsunaga S, Miura S, Tatematsu N, Inano T, Mouri T, ... Takayama K (2019). Feasibility of early multimodal interventions for elderly patients with advanced pancreatic and non-small-cell lung cancer. *Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle*, 10(1), 73–83. 10.1002/jcsm.12351
- Nipp RD, Fuchs G, El-Jawahri A, Mario J, Troschel FM, Greer JA, ... Fintelman FJ (2018). Sarcopenia is associated with quality of life and depression in patients with advanced cancer. *Oncologist*, 23(1), 97–104. 10.1634/theoncologist.2017-0255 [PubMed: 28935775]
- Pamoukdjian F, Bouillet T, Lévy V, Soussan M, Zelek L, & Paillaud E (2018). Prevalence and predictive value of pre-therapeutic sarcopenia in cancer patients: A systematic review. *Clinical Nutrition*, 37(4), 1101–1113. 10.1016/j.clnu.2017.07.010 [PubMed: 28734552]
- Prado CMM, Baracos VE, McCargar LJ, Mourtzakis M, Mulder KE, Reiman T, ... Sawyer MB (2007). Body composition as an independent determinant of 5-fluorouracil-based chemotherapy toxicity. *Clinical Cancer Research*, 13(11), 3264–3268. 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-06-3067 [PubMed: 17545532]
- Prado CMM, Baracos VE, McCargar LJ, Reiman T, Mourtzakis M, Tonkin K, ... Sawyer MB (2009). Sarcopenia as a determinant of chemotherapy toxicity and time to tumor progression in metastatic breast cancer patients receiving capecitabine treatment. *Clinical Cancer Research*, 15(8), 2920–2926. 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-08-2242 [PubMed: 19351764]
- Prado CMM, Lieffers JR, Bowthorpe L, Baracos VE, Mourtzakis M, & McCargar LJ (2013). Sarcopenia and physical function: In overweight patients with advanced cancer. *Canadian Journal of Dietetic Practice and Research*, 74(2), 69–74. 10.3148/74.2.2013.69 [PubMed: 23750978]
- Prado CMM, Lieffers JR, McCargar LJ, Reiman T, Sawyer MB, Martin L, & Baracos VE (2008). Prevalence and clinical implications of sarcopenic obesity in patients with solid tumours of the respiratory and gastrointestinal tracts: A population-based study. *Lancet Oncology*, 9(7), 629–635. 10.1016/S1470-2045(08)70153-0 [PubMed: 18539529]
- Richardson LD, & Norris M (2010). Access to health and health care: How race and ethnicity matter. *Mount Sinai Journal of Medicine*, 77(2), 166–177. 10.1002/msj.20174 [PubMed: 20309927]
- Rier HN, Jager A, Sleijfer S, Maier AB, & Levin MD (2016). The prevalence and prognostic value of low muscle mass in cancer patients: A review of the literature. *Oncologist*, 21(11), 1396–1409. 10.1634/theoncologist.2016-0066 [PubMed: 27412391]
- Ryan AM, Power DG, Daly L, Cushen SJ, Bhuchalla N, & Prado CM (2016). Cancer-associated malnutrition, cachexia and sarcopenia: The skeleton in the hospital closet 40 years later. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, 75(2), 199–211. 10.1017/S002966511500419X
- Shachar SS, Williams GR, Muss HB, & Nishijima TF (2016). Prognostic value of sarcopenia in adults with solid tumours: A meta-analysis and systematic review. *European Journal of Cancer*, 57, 58–67. 10.1016/j.ejca.2015.12.030 [PubMed: 26882087]
- Silva AM, Shen W, Heo M, Gallagher D, Wang Z, Sardinha LB, & Heymsfield SB (2010). Ethnicity-related skeletal muscle differences across the lifespan. *American Journal of Human Biology*, 22(1), 76–82. 10.1002/ajhb.20956 [PubMed: 19533617]
- Simonsen C, de Heer P, Bjerre ED, Suetta C, Hojman P, Pedersen BK, ... Christensen JF (2018). Sarcopenia and postoperative complication risk in gastrointestinal surgical oncology: A meta-analysis. *Annals of Surgery*, 268(1), 58–69. 10.1097/SLA.0000000000002679 [PubMed: 29373365]
- Sun G, Li Y, Peng Y, Lu D, Zhang F, Cui X, ... Li Z (2018). Can sarcopenia be a predictor of prognosis for patients with non-metastatic colorectal cancer? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Colorectal Disease*, 33(10), 1419–1427. 10.1007/s00384-018-3128-1 [PubMed: 29987364]
- Vashi PG, Gorsuch K, Wan L, Hill D, Block C, & Gupta D (2019). Sarcopenia supersedes subjective global assessment as a predictor of survival in colorectal cancer. *PLOS ONE*, 14(6), e0218761. 10.1371/journal.pone.0218761 [PubMed: 31220163]

- Villaseñor A, Ballard-Barbash R, Baumgartner K, Baumgartner R, Bernstein L, McTiernan A, & Neuhouster ML (2012). Prevalence and prognostic effect of sarcopenia in breast cancer survivors: The HEAL study. *Journal of Cancer Survivorship*, 6(4), 398–406. 10.1007/s11764-012-0234-x [PubMed: 23054848]
- Williams GR, Rier HN, McDonald A, & Shachar SS (2019). Sarcopenia and aging in cancer. *Journal of Geriatric Oncology*, 10(3), 374–377. 10.1016/j.jgo.2018.10.009 [PubMed: 30343999]
- Xiao J, Caan BJ, Cespedes Feliciano EM, Meyerhardt JA, Kroenke CH, Baracos VE, ... Prado CM (2019). The association of medical and demographic characteristics with sarcopenia and low muscle radiodensity in patients with nonmetastatic colorectal cancer. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 109(3), 615–625. 10.1093/ajcn/nqy328
- Yamamoto K, Nagatsuma Y, Fukuda Y, Hirao M, Nishikawa K, Miyamoto A, ... Tsujinaka T (2017). Effectiveness of a preoperative exercise and nutritional support program for elderly sarcopenic patients with gastric cancer. *Gastric Cancer*, 20(5), 913–918. 10.1007/s10120-016-0683-4 [PubMed: 28032232]
- Yamano T, Yoshimura M, Kobayashi M, Beppu N, Hamanaka M, Babaya A, ... Tomita N (2016). Malnutrition in rectal cancer patients receiving preoperative chemoradiotherapy is common and associated with treatment tolerability and anastomotic leakage. *International Journal of Colorectal Disease*, 31(4), 877–884. [PubMed: 26888783]
- Yamaoka Y, Fujitani K, Tsujinaka T, Yamamoto K, Hirao M, & Sekimoto M (2015). Skeletal muscle loss after total gastrectomy, exacerbated by adjuvant chemotherapy. *Gastric Cancer*, 18(2), 382–389. 10.1007/s10120-014-0365-z [PubMed: 24715254]
- Yoowannakul S, Tangvoraphonkchai K, & Davenport A (2018). The prevalence of muscle wasting (sarcopenia) in peritoneal dialysis patients varies with ethnicity due to differences in muscle mass measured by bioimpedance. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 72(3), 381–387. 10.1038/s41430-017-0033-6 [PubMed: 29158495]

KNOWLEDGE TRANSLATION

- Non-Hispanic Black (NHB) patients with cancer showed the highest skeletal muscle mass index before treatment but also the greatest muscle mass loss with cancer treatment, showing that NHB patients undergoing cancer treatment may be particularly vulnerable to skeletal muscle mass loss.
- NHB patients with rectal cancer experienced the greatest loss of skeletal muscle mass with treatment; in the clinical context, cancer site and race may be significant predictors of skeletal muscle mass change.
- Oncology nurses need to carefully assess and manage patients' muscle mass and understand the importance of efforts to maintain skeletal muscle mass and to mitigate this condition in clinical survivorship care.

FIGURE 1. SMI Change With Cancer Treatment by Race

NHB–non-Hispanic Black; NHW–non-Hispanic White; SMI–skeletal muscle mass index

Note. 0 means no change in SMI. The higher the positive number, the greater the SMI loss. The higher the negative number, the greater the SMI gain. Other races were Asian and Hispanic.

Note. This is a Kernel density graph, which estimates the probability density function of a random variable. The y-axis of this graph can be interpreted as the probability that SMI values (cm²/m²) will be at that range.

FIGURE 2. SMI Loss by Race and Cancer Site

NHB–non-Hispanic Black; NHW–non-Hispanic White; PG–prostate gland; SMI–skeletal muscle mass index

TABLE 1.

Sample Characteristics (N = 212)

Characteristic	$\bar{X}$	SD	Range
Age (years)	63.8	11	23-92
BMI at diagnosis	27.5	6.3	16.4-45.1
BSA at diagnosis	1.9	0.3	1.4-2.7
Characteristic	n	%	
Sex			
Male	128	60	
Female	84	40	
Race			
Non-Hispanic Black	132	62	
Non-Hispanic White	40	19	
Asian	12	6	
Hispanic	3	1	
Cancer site			
Lung	65	31	
Colon	58	27	
Prostate gland	40	19	
Rectal	27	13	
Breast	22	10	
Cancer stage			
I	27	13	
II	27	13	
III	59	28	
IV	83	39	

BMI=body mass index; BSA=body surface area

Note. Because of rounding, percentages may not total 100.**Note.** Race and cancer stage do not total of 212 because of unreported data in the tumor registry.

TABLE 2.
Paired T-Test Comparison of Skeletal Muscle Mass With Treatment by Gender (N = 212)

Group	n	$\bar{X}$	SD	t	p
Total cohort				5.98	0.0001
Pre SMI	212	48	11.4		
Post SMI	212	45	11		
Male				4.41	0.0001
Pre SMI	128	51.2	11.9		
Post SMI	128	48.5	11.5		
Female				4.18	0.0001
Pre SMI	84	43.2	8.6		
Post SMI	84	40.7	8.4		

Post SMI—skeletal muscle mass index after cancer treatment has been initiated; Pre SMI—skeletal muscle mass index at cancer diagnosis

Note. For patients with stage I-III cancer, computed tomography images were taken after treatment completion. For patients with stage IV cancer, computed tomography images were obtained at least 60 days after therapy was started.

TABLE 3.

Multiple Linear Regression for Change in Skeletal Muscle Mass Index (N = 134)

Independent Variable	Coefficient	Standard Error	p
Race (reference: non-Hispanic White)			
Non-Hispanic Black	3.287	1.124	0.004
Other (Asian, Hispanic)	0.966	1.42	0.05
Cancer site (reference: rectal)			
Breast	-6.069	2.06	0.004
Lung	-4.277	1.662	0.011
Colon	-5.215	1.63	0.002
Prostate gland	-3.588	1.738	0.041

Note. F(12, 121) = 2.15, $p < 0.05$, adjusted R^2 : 9%

TABLE 4.

Marginal Effects of Race and Cancer Site on Skeletal Muscle Mass Index

Cancer Site	Predictive Margin	Standard Error	95% CI
Non-Hispanic Black patients			
Rectal	10.94 ^{***}	1.5	[7.97, 13.91]
Breast	4.87 ^{***}	1.41	[2.08, 7.66]
Lung	6.66 ^{***}	0.8	[5.07, 8.26]
Colon	5.72 ^{***}	0.91	[3.93, 7.52]
Prostate gland	7.35 ^{***}	1.07	[5.23, 9.48]
Non-Hispanic White patients			
Rectal	7.65 ^{***}	1.76	[4.18, 11.13]
Breast	1.58	1.75	[-1.88, 5.04]
Lung	3.38 ^{**}	1.13	[1.14, 5.61]
Colon	2.44 [*]	1.19	[0.08, 4.79]
Prostate gland	4.06 ^{**}	1.35	[1.39, 6.74]

*
p < 0.05**
p < 0.01***
p < 0.001

CI—confidence interval