NON RUMINANT NUTRITION

Nutritional value of a new source of fermented soybean meal fed to growing pigs

Charmaine D. Espinosa,[†] Maryane S. F. Oliveira,[†] L. Vanessa Lagos,[‡] Terry L. Weeden,^{||} Aileen J. Mercado,^{||} and Hans H. Stein^{†,‡,1}

[†]Department of Animal Sciences, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801, [‡]Division of Nutritional Sciences, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801, ^{||}Purina Animal Nutrition, Arden Hills, MN 55126

¹Corresponding author: hstein@illinois.edu

ORCID number: 0000-0002-0659-2348 (C. D. Espinosa).

Abstract

Three experiments were conducted to test the hypothesis that the standardized ileal digestibility (SID) of amino acids (AA), concentrations of digestible energy (DE) and metabolizable energy (ME), and the standardized total tract digestibility (STTD) of P in a new source of fermented soybean meal (Fermex 200) are greater than in conventional soybean meal (SBM-CV). In experiment 1, 9 barrows (initial body weight: 9.17 ± 1.03 kg) were surgically fitted with a T-cannula in the distal ileum and allotted to a triplicated 3×3 Latin square design. A nitrogen-free diet and 2 diets that contained cornstarch and SBM-CV or Fermex 200 as the sole source of crude protein (CP), and AA were formulated. Results indicated that there were no difference between SBM-CV and Fermex 200 for SID of CP and AA. In experiment 2, 24 growing pigs (initial body weight: 14.19 ± 1.18 kg) were housed individually in metabolism crates. Pigs were allotted to a corn-based diet or 2 diets that contained corn and SBM-CV or corn and Fermex 200. Feces and urine samples were collected using the marker-to-marker approach with 5-d adaptation and 4-d collection periods. Results indicated that the concentration of DE and ME in Fermex 200 were not different from DE and ME in SBM-CV. In experiment 3, 40 barrows (initial body weight: 11.01 ± 1.38 kg) were allotted to 1 of 4 diets with 10 replicate pigs per diet. Four diets were formulated to contain Fermex 200 or SBM-CV and either 0 or 1,000 units/kg of microbial phytase. Pigs were housed individually in metabolism crates. Fecal samples were collected as explained for experiment 2. Results indicated that the STTD of P in Fermex 200 was greater ($P < 0.01$) than in SBM-CV, but the addition of microbial phytase to the diets increased the ATTD and STTD of P in SBM-CV, but not in Fermex 200 (interaction; $P < 0.01$). In conclusion, the SID of AA and concentrations of DE and ME in Fermex 200 were not different from values determined for SBM-CV, but the STTD of P was greater in Fermex 200 than in SBM-CV if microbial phytase was not added to the diet.

Key words: amino acid digestibility, energy digestibility, fermented soybean meal, phosphorus digestibility, pigs, soybean meal

Introduction

Soybean meal (SBM) is one of the most important protein sources in swine diets because of its wide availability, and its

high concentration and digestibility of amino acids (AA; Stein et al., 2008). However, one negative aspect of using SBM in diets for young pigs is the presence of anti-nutritional factors such

Abbreviations

AA	amino acids
AEE	acid hydrolyzed ether extract
AID	apparent ileal digestibility
ATTD	apparent total tract digestibility
CP	crude protein
DE	digestible energy
DM	dry matter
EPL	endogenous phosphorus loss
FTU	phytase units
GE	gross energy
IDF	insoluble dietary fiber
ME	metabolizable energy
SBM	soybean meal
SBM-CV	conventional soybean meal
SDF	soluble dietary fiber
SID	standardized ileal digestibility
STTD	standardized total tract digestibility
TDF	total dietary fiber
TIU	trypsin inhibitor units

as trypsin inhibitors, lectins, antigens, and allergens, which negatively affect nutrient availability, feed efficiency, and health of the animals (Smiricky-Tjardes et al., 2003; Palacios et al., 2004; Stein et al., 2008). Soybean meal also contains nondigestible oligosaccharides, mainly stachyose, raffinose, and verbascose (Grieshop et al., 2003), which may increase diarrhea incidence (Liyang et al., 2003) and reduce nursery growth performance (Hong et al., 2004). The concentration of oligosaccharides in SBM can be reduced via alcohol extraction (Lenehan et al., 2007), fermentation (Cervantes-Pahm and Stein, 2010; Rojas and Stein, 2013), or enzyme treatment (Cervantes-Pahm and Stein, 2010; Goebel and Stein, 2011), or a combination of nonalcohol extraction and enzyme treatment (Oliveira and Stein, 2016). These technologies also result in increased concentrations of gross energy (GE), crude protein (CP), AA, and ash because of the removal of oligosaccharides and other soluble carbohydrates in SBM (NRC, 2012). It has, therefore, been demonstrated that processed SBM with reduced concentrations of oligosaccharides are better tolerated by weanling pigs than conventional SBM (SBM-CV; Jones et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2010).

Fermex 200 (Fermentation Experts USA LLC) is a new source of fermented SBM that may serve as an alternative to other protein sources in diets fed to pigs. There are, however, no data demonstrating the nutritional value of Fermex 200 in diets for pigs. Therefore, the objective of this research was to test the hypothesis that values for standardized ileal digestibility (SID) of AA, concentrations of digestible energy (DE) and metabolizable energy (ME), and the standardized total tract digestibility (STTD) of P are greater in Fermex 200 than in SBM-CV.

Materials and Methods

Protocols for 3 experiments were submitted to the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Illinois and protocols were approved prior to initiation of the experiments. Pigs that were the offspring of Line 359 boars mated to Camborough females (Pig Improvement Company, Hendersonville, TN) were used in all experiments. Conventional SBM was sourced from the same batch of SBM that was used to produce Fermex 200. The SBM-CV used in the production of Fermex 200 was produced by cracking and dehulling

full-fat soybeans that were subsequently defatted using a hexane solvent, desolventized, toasted, and ground. Fermex 200 was produced via fermentation of SBM-CV in the presence of *Lactobacillus*. The SBM-CV and Fermex 200 used in the 3 experiments originated from the same batches (Table 1).

Experiment 1: amino acid digestibility

Animals and treatments

Nine barrows (initial body weight: 9.17 ± 1.03 kg) were used. Pigs had a T-cannula installed in the distal ileum and were allotted to a triplicated 3×3 Latin square design with 3 diets and three 7-d periods in each square (Kim and Stein, 2009). There was, therefore, a total of 9 observations per treatment. Pigs were placed in individual pens (1.2 $\times$ 1.5 m) that were equipped with a

Table 1. Analyzed nutrient composition of ingredients (as-fed basis)

Item	Fermex 200 ¹	SBM-CV ¹
Dry matter, %	87.26	88.48
GE, kcal/kg	4,166	4,091
CP, %	47.98	45.67
AEE, %	0.86	0.80
IDF, %	18.30	18.30
SDF, %	5.20	0.10
TDF, %	23.80	18.40
Ash, %	6.26	6.16
Ca, %	0.26	0.32
Total P, %	0.62	0.63
Phytic acid, %	0.79	1.62
Phytate bound P ² , %	0.22	0.46
Non-phytate P ³ , %	0.40	0.17
Trypsin inhibitor, TIU ⁴ /mg	<1.00	5.10
Carbohydrates		
Glucose, %	0.94	ND ⁵
Sucrose, %	0.70	7.65
Maltose, %	0.06	ND
Fructose, %	0.05	ND
Stachyose, %	0.48	5.50
Raffinose, %	0.34	0.97
Indispensable AA, %		
Arg	3.71	3.51
His	1.33	1.26
Ile	2.54	2.45
Leu	3.97	3.77
Lys	3.18	3.13
Met	0.72	0.71
Phe	2.68	2.50
Thr	1.93	1.88
Trp	0.66	0.62
Val	2.29	2.31
Dispensable AA, %		
Ala	2.21	2.10
Asp	5.83	5.57
Cys	0.75	0.76
Glu	9.23	8.86
Gly	2.17	2.06
Pro	2.24	2.13
Tyr	1.64	1.61
Ser	2.08	2.03
Lys:CP	6.63	6.85

¹Fermex 200 = fermented SBM (Fermentation Experts USA LLC).

²Calculated as 28.2% of phytic acid (Tran and Sauvant, 2004).

³Calculated as total P – phytate P.

⁴TIU, trypsin inhibitor units.

⁵ND, not detected.

self-feeder, a nipple waterer, and a slatted tri-bar floor. Two diets were based on Fermex 200 or SBM-CV as the only AA-containing ingredient (Table 2). A nitrogen-free diet was included in the experiment to measure basal endogenous losses of CP and AA. Thus, a total of 3 diets were formulated. Vitamins and minerals were included in all diets to meet or exceed the estimated nutrient requirements for growing pigs (NRC, 2012). All diets contained 0.40% chromic oxide as an indigestible marker.

Table 2. Composition of diets used in experiment 1

Ingredient, %	Diet		
	Fermex 200 ¹	SBM-CV ¹	Nitrogen free
Fermex 200	35.00	—	—
SBM-CV	—	46.50	—
Soybean oil	3.00	3.00	4.00
Solka floc	—	—	4.00
Dicalcium phosphate	1.10	1.10	2.15
Limestone	0.85	0.75	0.45
Lactose	20.00	20.00	20.00
Chromic oxide	0.40	0.40	0.40
Cornstarch	39.10	27.70	67.95
Magnesium oxide	—	—	0.10
Potassium carbonate	—	—	0.40
Salt	0.40	0.40	0.40
Vitamin–mineral premix ²	0.15	0.15	0.15
Analyzed composition			
DM %	92.98	92.87	96.29
CP, %	20.45	23.26	1.80
Indispensable AA, %			
Arg	1.39	1.65	0.01
His	0.51	0.59	0.01
Ile	0.95	1.12	0.02
Leu	1.52	1.78	0.03
Lys	1.23	1.48	0.01
Met	0.27	0.32	0.00
Phe	1.01	1.18	0.01
Thr	0.75	0.89	0.01
Trp	0.26	0.30	0.02
Val	0.98	1.16	0.01
Dispensable AA, %			
Ala	0.85	0.99	0.01
Asp	2.24	2.63	0.02
Cys	0.29	0.36	0.01
Glu	3.60	4.22	0.03
Gly	0.83	0.97	0.01
Pro	0.94	1.11	0.07
Tyr	0.67	0.80	0.01
Ser	0.83	0.98	0.01

¹Fermex 200 = fermented SBM (Fermentation Experts USA LLC); SBM-CV = conventional SBM.

²Provided the following quantities of vitamins and microminerals per kilogram of complete diet: vitamin A as retinyl acetate, 11,150 IU; vitamin D3 as cholecalciferol, 2,210 IU; vitamin E as DL- α tocopheryl acetate, 66 IU; vitamin K as menadione nicotinamide bisulfate, 1.42 mg; thiamin as thiamine mononitrate, 1.10 mg; riboflavin, 6.59 mg; pyridoxine as pyridoxine hydrochloride, 1.00 mg; vitamin B12, 0.03 mg; D-pantothenic acid as D-calcium pantothenate, 23.6 mg; niacin, 44.1 mg; folic acid, 1.59 mg; biotin, 0.44 mg; Cu, 20 mg as copper chloride; Fe, 125 mg as iron sulfate; I, 1.26 mg as ethylenediamine dihydriodide; Mn, 60.2 mg as manganese hydroxychloride; Se, 0.30 mg (0.15 mg as sodium selenite and 0.15 mg as selenium yeast); and Zn, 125.1 mg as zinc hydroxychloride.

Experimental procedure

Pigs were limit fed to 3 times their estimated ME requirement for maintenance (i.e., 197 kcal/kg body weight^{0.60}; NRC, 2012). Throughout the experiment, pigs had free access to water. The first 5 d of each period was considered the adaptation period to the diet, whereas ileal digesta were collected for 8 hr on days 6 and 7 of each period. A 225-mL plastic bag was attached to the cannula barrel using a cable tie, and digesta flowing into the bag were collected. Bags were removed whenever they were filled with digesta—or at least once every 30 min—and immediately frozen at -20°C to prevent bacterial degradation of AA in the digesta. On the completion of 1 experimental period, animals were deprived of feed overnight, and the following morning, a new experimental diet was offered.

Sample analyses

After the experiment, ileal digesta samples were thawed and mixed within animal and diet, and a subsample was collected for analysis. Samples of all diets and AA-containing ingredients were also collected. Ileal digesta were lyophilized and finely ground before analysis. Concentrations of dry matter (DM) and all nutrients except AA were analyzed in duplicate. Samples of diets, digesta, and ingredients were analyzed for DM (Method 930.15; AOAC Int., 2007), and N was analyzed (Method 990.03; AOAC Int., 2007) on an FP628 protein analyzer (Leco Corporation, St. Joseph, MI) with CP calculated as $\text{N} \times 6.25$. AA were analyzed on a Hitachi AA Analyzer (Model No. L8800; Hitachi High Technologies America, Inc., Pleasanton, CA) using ninhydrin for postcolumn derivatization and norleucine as the internal standard. Diets and digesta samples were analyzed for chromium (Method 990.08; AOAC Int., 2007).

Calculation and statistical analyses

The apparent ileal digestibility (AID) and the SID of CP and AA were calculated for the two diets containing Fermex 200 or SBM-CV (Stein et al., 2007). Values calculated for these 2 diets also represent the values for each ingredient. The basal endogenous losses of CP and AA were calculated from pigs fed the nitrogen-free diet.

Data were analyzed using the MIXED procedure of SAS (SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC). Homogeneity of the variances among treatments was confirmed using the UNIVARIATE procedure, and this procedure was also used to test for outliers. Means that deviated from the treatment mean by more than 3 times the interquartile range were considered outliers. One pig fed the Fermex 200 diet in period 3 was identified as an outlier and removed from the data set. Data for AID and SID of CP and AA were analyzed using a model that included diet as fixed effect and pig and period as random effects. Results were considered significant at $P \leq 0.05$ and considered a trend at $P \leq 0.10$.

Experiment 2: energy measurements

Animals and treatments

A corn-based diet and two diets containing corn and Fermex 200 or corn and SBM-CV were formulated (Table 3). Vitamins and minerals were included in all diets to meet or exceed current requirement estimates (NRC, 2012). Twenty-four growing pigs (initial body weight: 14.19 ± 1.18 kg) were allotted to a completely randomized design with 3 diets and 8 replicate pigs per diet. Pigs were housed individually in metabolism crates that were equipped with a self-feeder, a nipple waterer, and a slatted floor. A screen and a urine pan were placed under the slatted floor to allow for the total, but separate, collection of urine and fecal materials.

Table 3. Composition of diets used in experiment 2

Ingredient, %	Diet		
	Corn	Fermex 200 ¹	SBM-CV ¹
Ground corn	97.55	72.25	69.75
Fermex 200	—	25.50	—
SBM-CV	—	—	28.00
Monocalcium phosphate	0.80	0.70	0.70
Ground limestone	1.10	1.00	1.00
Salt	0.40	0.40	0.40
Vitamin–mineral premix ²	0.15	0.15	0.15
Analyzed composition			
DM, %	87.32	87.95	87.90
GE, kcal/kg	3,687	3,816	3,837

¹Fermex 200 = fermented SBM (Fermentation Experts USA LLC); SBM-CV = conventional SBM.

²Provided the following quantities of vitamins and microminerals per kilogram of complete diet: vitamin A as retinyl acetate, 11,150 IU; vitamin D3 as cholecalciferol, 2,210 IU; vitamin E as DL- α tocopheryl acetate, 66 IU; vitamin K as menadione nicotinamide bisulfate, 1.42 mg; thiamin as thiamine mononitrate, 1.10 mg; riboflavin, 6.59 mg; pyridoxine as pyridoxine hydrochloride, 1.00 mg; vitamin B12, 0.03 mg; D-pantothenic acid as D-calcium pantothenate, 23.6 mg; niacin, 44.1 mg; folic acid, 1.59 mg; biotin, 0.44 mg; Cu, 20 mg as copper chloride; Fe, 125 mg as iron sulfate; I, 1.26 mg as ethylenediamine dihydriodide; Mn, 60.2 mg as manganese hydroxychloride; Se, 0.30 (0.15 mg as sodium selenite and 0.15 mg as selenium yeast); and Zn, 125.1 mg as zinc hydroxychloride.

Experimental procedure

Pigs were fed at 3 times the ME requirement for maintenance (i.e., 197 kcal/kg $\times$ body weight^{0.60}), which were provided each day in 2 equal meals at 0800 and 1600 hours. Water was available at all times. The initial 5 d was considered an adaptation period to the diet. Fecal markers were fed on day 6 (chromic oxide) and on day 10 (ferric oxide). Fecal collections were initiated when chromic oxide appeared in the feces and ceased when ferric oxide appeared (Adeola, 2001). Feces were collected twice daily and stored at -20°C immediately after collection. Urine collections were initiated on day 6 at 1600 hours and ceased on day 10 at 1600 hours. Urine buckets were placed under the metabolism crates to allow for the total collection of urine. Buckets were emptied every morning, and a preservative of 50 mL of 6N HCl was added to each bucket when they were emptied. The collected urine was weighed, and a 10% subsample was stored at -20°C .

Sample analyses

All samples were analyzed in duplicate. Fecal samples were thawed and mixed within pig and diet, and then dried at 50°C using a forced-air drying oven. Fecal samples were finely ground through a 1-mm screen in a Wiley mill (Model 4; Thomas Scientific, Swedesboro, NJ) before analysis. Urine samples were thawed and mixed within animal and diet, and a sub-sample was lyophilized before analysis (Kim et al., 2009). Diets and fecal samples were analyzed for DM as explained for experiment 1. Ingredients, diets, fecal, and urine samples were analyzed for GE using bomb calorimetry (Model 6400; Parr Instruments, Moline, IL). Ingredients were analyzed for insoluble dietary fiber (IDF) and soluble dietary fiber (SDF) according to method 991.43 (AOAC Int., 2007) using the AnkomTM Dietary Fiber Analyzer (Ankom Technology, Macedon, NY). Total dietary fiber was calculated as the sum of IDF and SDF. The SBM-CV and Fermex 200 used in the experiment were also analyzed for sugars and

oligosaccharides. Glucose, fructose, maltose, sucrose, stachyose, and raffinose were analyzed in SBM-CV and Fermex 200 by extracting and quantifying the sugars using high-performance liquid chromatography with an autosampler (Alcott, Norcross, GA), a pump (Waters 510, Milford, MA), a column (Dionex CarboPac PA1, Sunnyvale, CA), and a pulsed amperometric detector (Dionex) based on the procedure of the study by Rocklin et al. (1998). Results were compared with known standards for glucose, sucrose, maltose, and fructose (Chem Service, West Chester, PA) and known standards for stachyose and raffinose (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) to determine concentrations of monosaccharides, disaccharides, and oligosaccharides. The trypsin inhibitor activity in SBM-CV and Fermex 200 was also determined (Method Ba 12–75; AOCS, 2006).

Calculation and statistical analyses

The apparent total tract digestibility (ATTD) of GE and DM was calculated for each diet. The DE and ME of corn were calculated by dividing the DE and ME of the corn diet by the inclusion rate of corn in the diet. The contribution of DE and ME from corn to the DE and ME in the diets containing Fermex 200 or SBM-CV was then calculated and subtracted from the DE and ME of these diets, and the DE and ME of Fermex 200 or SBM-CV were calculated by difference (Adeola, 2001). The ATTD of GE and DM in Fermex 200 and SBM-CV was calculated using the same procedure.

Data were analyzed using the MIXED procedure (SAS Inst. Inc.) with pig as the experimental unit. Homogeneity of the variances was confirmed as explained for experiment 1. The fixed effect was diet, and replicate was considered as the random effect. The LS means statement was used to calculate treatment means, and the PDIF option was used to separate means if differences were detected. Statistical significance and tendencies were considered at $P < 0.05$ and $0.05 \leq P < 0.10$, respectively.

Experiment 3: phosphorus digestibility

Animals and treatments

Two diets were formulated to contain Fermex 200 and either 0 or 1,000 phytase units/kg of complete diet (Ronozyme HiPhos, DSM, Kaiseraugst, Switzerland; Table 4). Two additional diets were formulated to contain SBM-CV and either 0 or 1,000 phytase units/kg of complete diet. The only source of P in the diets was Fermex 200 or SBM-CV. Vitamins and minerals, except P, were included in all diets to meet or exceed the requirements for weanling pigs (NRC, 2012). Forty growing barrows (initial body weight: 11.01 ± 1.38 kg) were allotted to a completely randomized design with 4 diets and 10 replicate pigs per diet. Pigs were housed individually in metabolism crates that were equipped with a self-feeder, a nipple waterer, and a slatted floor. A screen floor was placed under the slatted floor to allow for the total collection of fecal materials.

Experimental procedure

Pigs were fed 3 times the daily ME requirement for maintenance (i.e., 197 kcal/kg $\times$ body weight^{0.60}), which were provided in 2 equal meals at 0800 and 1600 hours. Water was available at all times. The initial 5 d was considered an adaptation period to the diet. Feces were collected twice daily from days 6 to 10 as explained for experiment 2, and stored at -20°C immediately after collection.

Sample analyses

At the conclusion of the experiment, fecal samples were thawed and mixed within pig and diet and dried and ground

Table 4. Composition of diets used in experiment 3

Ingredient, %	Fermex 200 ¹		SBM-CV ¹	
	No phytase	1,000 FTU ² /kg	No phytase	1,000 FTU/kg
Fermex 200	35.00	35.00	—	—
SBM-CV	—	—	40.00	40.00
Soybean oil	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
Sucrose	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
Cornstarch	50.55	50.54	45.55	45.54
Ground limestone	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Salt	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40
Vitamin–mineral premix ³	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15
Phytase concentrate	—	0.01	—	0.01
Analyzed composition				
Dry matter, %	89.72	90.38	90.25	90.79
Ash, %	4.52	4.61	4.17	4.28
Ca, %	0.49	0.48	0.46	0.45
P, %	0.30	0.28	0.31	0.31
Phytase, FTU/kg	<70	650	<70	850

¹Fermex 200 = fermented SBM (Fermentation Experts USA LLC); SBM-CV = conventional SBM.

²Ronozyme HiPhos (10,000 phytase units/g; DSM, Kaiseraugst, Switzerland); FTU = phytase units.

³Provided the following quantities of vitamins and microminerals per kilogram of complete diet: vitamin A as retinyl acetate, 11,150 IU; vitamin D₃ as cholecalciferol, 2,210 IU; vitamin E as DL- α tocopheryl acetate, 66 IU; vitamin K as menadione nicotinamide bisulfate, 1.42 mg; thiamin as thiamine mononitrate, 1.10 mg; riboflavin, 6.59 mg; pyridoxine as pyridoxine hydrochloride, 1.00 mg; vitamin B₁₂, 0.03 mg; D-pantothenic acid as D-calcium pantothenate, 23.6 mg; niacin, 44.1 mg; folic acid, 1.59 mg; biotin, 0.44 mg; Cu, 20 mg as copper chloride; Fe, 125 mg as iron sulfate; I, 1.26 mg as ethylenediamine dihydriodide; Mn, 60.2 mg as manganese hydroxychloride; Se, 0.30 mg (0.15 mg as sodium selenite and 0.15 mg as selenium yeast); and Zn, 125.1 mg as zinc hydroxychloride.

as explained for experiment 2. All samples were analyzed in duplicate. Fecal, ingredient, and diet samples were analyzed for DM as explained for experiment 1, and Ca and P were analyzed by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry using an internally validated method (Method 985.01 A, B, and C; AOAC, 2007) after wet ash sample preparation [Method 975.03 B(b); AOAC, 2007]. Diets and ingredients were also analyzed for ash (Method 942.05; AOAC Int., 2007). Ingredients were analyzed for phytic acid (Ellis et al., 1977), and diets were analyzed for phytase activity (Method 2000.012; AOAC Int., 2007).

Calculation and statistical analyses

The ATTD of P in Fermex 200 and in SBM-CV was calculated. By correcting these values for the basal endogenous loss of P (i.e., 190 mg per kg DM intake), values for the STTD of P in Fermex 200 and in SBM-CV were calculated (Almeida and Stein, 2010; NRC, 2012). The ATTD of Ca was also calculated for all 4 diets (NRC, 2012).

Data were analyzed in a 2 × 2 factorial arrangement using the MIXED procedure (SAS Inst. Inc.) with pig as the experimental unit. Homogeneity of the variances was confirmed, and outliers were identified as explained for experiment 1. One pig fed the Fermex 200 with phytase diet was identified as an outlier and removed from the data set. The model included the source of SBM, phytase, and the interaction between the source of SBM and phytase as the main effects. Pig was the random effect. Results were considered significant at $P \leq 0.05$ and considered a trend at $P \leq 0.10$.

Results

Experiment 1: AA digestibility

Pigs readily consumed their assigned diets and remained healthy throughout the experiment. No differences were observed between Fermex 200 and SBM-CV for the AID of CP

and all AA, total indispensable AA, and total dispensable AA (Table 5). Likewise, SID values for CP and AA were not different between Fermex 200 and SBM-CV.

Experiment 2: energy measurements

Pigs fed the Fermex 200 diet or the SBM-CV diet had greater ($P < 0.01$) GE intake compared with pigs fed the corn diet (Table 6). Pigs fed the corn diet had reduced ($P < 0.01$) fecal and urine excretion of GE compared with pigs fed the Fermex 200 or the SBM-CV diet. On an as-fed basis, the ATTD of GE and DM were not different among diets. However, concentration of DE in the SBM-CV diet tended to be greater ($P < 0.10$) than in the corn diet, but ME values were not different among diets.

The ATTD of GE and DM were not different among ingredients. However, the DE on an as-fed basis was greater ($P < 0.05$) in SBM-CV than in corn, but not different from Fermex 200. On a DM basis, the concentration of DE in SBM-CV tended to be greater ($P < 0.10$) than in corn, but ME values were not different among ingredients.

Experiment 3: phosphorus digestibility

Neither the source of SBM nor phytase influenced daily feed intake or basal endogenous P loss (EPL; Table 7). However, daily P intake tended to be greater ($P < 0.10$) for pigs fed diets containing SBM-CV than for pigs fed diets containing Fermex 200. Pigs fed the SBM-CV diets had reduced P ($P < 0.05$) in feces when phytase was added, but that was not the case for pigs fed the Fermex 200 diets (interaction; $P < 0.01$). However, pigs fed the Fermex 200 diets had reduced ($P < 0.01$) excretion of P in feces compared with pigs fed the SBM-CV diets. When phytase was not included in diets, the ATTD and STTD of P were greater ($P < 0.01$) in Fermex 200 than in SBM-CV. Addition of microbial phytase to the diets increased ($P < 0.05$) the ATTD and STTD of P in diets containing SBM-CV, but not in Fermex 200 diets (interaction; $P < 0.01$). As a result, the ATTD and STTD of P in the SBM-CV diet with phytase

Table 5. AID and SID of CP and AA in Fermex 200 and in SBM-CV, experiment 1^{1,2}

Item, %	AID				SID			
	Fermex 200 ³	SBM-CV	SEM	P-value	Fermex 200	SBM-CV	SEM	P-value
CP	77.8	78.0	2.37	0.945	87.8	86.9	2.09	0.763
Indispensable AA								
Arg	90.1	91.4	1.23	0.474	94.0	95.0	1.02	0.524
His	84.9	83.9	2.00	0.735	89.4	88.0	1.77	0.583
Ile	85.6	84.0	1.65	0.505	90.4	87.9	1.45	0.255
Leu	85.0	83.4	1.67	0.514	90.0	87.7	1.46	0.271
Lys	80.0	84.1	2.20	0.207	84.9	87.9	1.96	0.298
Met	87.3	86.8	1.55	0.801	91.5	90.0	1.36	0.452
Phe	85.8	83.8	1.63	0.399	90.7	88.0	1.43	0.212
Thr	76.0	76.8	2.39	0.822	87.0	86.1	2.11	0.751
Trp	85.3	85.6	1.85	0.934	90.3	89.8	1.64	0.820
Val	82.7	81.7	1.87	0.710	89.3	87.2	1.65	0.368
Total indispensable AA	84.2	84.3	1.71	0.951	89.6	88.9	1.51	0.746
Dispensable AA								
Ala	77.7	78.8	2.47	0.766	85.5	85.2	2.17	0.938
Asp	81.8	82.9	2.08	0.729	86.7	86.8	1.83	0.974
Cys	69.3	71.9	3.42	0.603	79.6	79.4	3.08	0.954
Glu	82.5	80.0	2.52	0.496	85.6	83.0	2.23	0.415
Gly	69.1	67.5	3.92	0.781	91.4	86.9	3.46	0.382
Tyr	86.0	85.6	1.39	0.832	91.5	90.2	1.39	0.514
Ser	83.4	83.4	2.03	0.986	91.1	89.4	1.80	0.528
Total dispensable AA	80.3	79.3	2.18	0.764	89.4	87.0	2.24	0.462
All AA	80.7	84.1	2.81	0.413	89.8	88.5	2.26	0.638

¹Data are least squares means of 8 and 9 observations for Fermex 200 treatment and SBM-CV treatment, respectively.

²Values for SID were calculated by correcting the values for AID for basal ileal endogenous losses. Basal ileal endogenous losses were determined (g/kg of DM intake) as CP, 22.16; Arg, 0.58; His, 0.25; Ile, 0.49; Leu, 0.83; Lys, 0.65; Met, 0.12; Phe, 0.53; Thr, 0.89; Trp, 0.14; Val 0.69; Ala, 0.71; Asp, 1.19; Cys, 0.32; Glu, 1.21; Gly, 1.99; Tyr, 0.40; and Ser, 0.69.

³Fermex 200 = fermented SBM (Fermentation Experts USA LLC).

Table 6. ATTD of GE and DM, and concentrations of DE and ME in experimental diets and in corn, Fermex 200, or SBM-CV, experiment 2¹

Item	Corn	Fermex 200 ²	SBM-CV	SEM	P-value
GE intake, diet, kcal/d	1,975 ^b	2,925 ^a	3,203 ^a	160	<0.001
GE in feces, kcal/d	236 ^b	403 ^a	415 ^a	33	0.001
GE in urine, kcal/d	37 ^b	114 ^a	91 ^a	11	<0.001
ATTD of GE, diet, %	88.0	86.4	87.1	0.8	0.412
ATTD of DM, diet, %	90.0	88.5	88.7	0.7	0.259
DE, diet, kcal/kg	3,246 ^y	3,299 ^{xy}	3,343 ^x	31	0.091
ME, diet, kcal/kg	3,173	3,153	3,234	33	0.215
ATTD of GE, ingredient, %	88.0	82.2	84.9	2.2	0.199
ATTD of DM, ingredient, %	90.0	84.6	85.6	1.9	0.110
As-fed basis					
DE, ingredient, kcal/kg	3,328 ^b	3,507 ^{ab}	3,650 ^a	89	0.046
ME, ingredient, kcal/kg	3,253	3,149	3,446	102	0.143
Dry matter basis					
DE, ingredient, kcal/kg	3,798 ^y	4,040 ^{xy}	4,131 ^x	102	0.067
ME, ingredient, kcal/kg	3,712	3,627	3,900	117	0.266

¹Data are least squares means of 8 observations per treatment.

²Fermex 200 = fermented SBM (Fermentation Experts USA LLC).

^{a,b}Means within a row lacking a common letter are different ($P < 0.05$).

^{x,y}Means within a row lacking a common letter tend to be different ($P < 0.10$).

were not different from values obtained for the Fermex 200 diet without phytase.

Intake of Ca was greater ($P < 0.05$) for pigs fed the Fermex 200 diets compared with pigs fed the SBM-CV diets. The concentration of Ca in feces was reduced ($P < 0.05$) if phytase was added to diets containing SBM-CV, but that was not the case

if phytase was added to Fermex 200 diets (interaction; $P < 0.01$). When phytase was not included in diets, the ATTD of Ca was greater ($P < 0.01$) in Fermex 200 than in SBM-CV. However, when phytase was included in the diets, the ATTD of Ca increased in SBM-CV, but not in Fermex 200 (interaction; $P < 0.01$), and therefore, the ATTD of Ca in SBM-CV with phytase was not

Table 7. Effects of microbial phytase on ATTD of P and Ca and STTD of P in Fermex 200 and SBM-CV, experiment 3¹

Item	Fermex 200 ²		SBM-CV		SEM	P-value		
	No phytase	1,000 FTU ³ /kg	No phytase	1,000 FTU/kg		Source	Phytase	Source × phytase
Feed intake, g/d	737	738	730	733	21	0.796	0.908	0.964
P intake, g/d	2.2	2.1	2.3	2.3	0.1	0.054	0.321	0.216
P in feces, %	1.2 ^b	1.0 ^b	2.2 ^a	1.3 ^b	0.1	<0.001	<0.001	0.001
ATTD of P, %	78.6 ^{ab}	80.8 ^a	49.9 ^c	73.7 ^b	2.0	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Basal EPL ⁴ , mg/d	125	127	125	126	3.6	0.939	0.728	0.983
STTD of P ⁵ , %	84.3 ^{ab}	87.1 ^a	55.5 ^c	79.3 ^b	2.1	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Ca intake, g/d	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.3	0.1	0.036	0.317	0.667
Ca in feces, %	1.5 ^{bc}	1.8 ^b	2.5 ^a	1.4 ^c	0.1	0.095	0.011	<0.001
ATTD of Ca, %	83.2 ^a	80.3 ^a	62.5 ^b	81.5 ^a	2.0	<0.001	0.001	<0.001

¹Data are least squares means of 10 observations per treatment, except for Fermex 200 with phytase treatment, which represents 9 observations.

²Fermex 200 = fermented SBM (Fermentation Experts USA LLC).

³Ronozyme HiPhos (10,000 phytase units/g; DSM, Kaiseraugst, Switzerland); FTU = phytase units.

⁴This value was assumed to be 190 mg/kg DM intake (NRC, 2012). The daily basal EPL (mg/d) for each diet was calculated by multiplying the EPL (mg/kg dry matter intake) by the daily DM intake of each diet (Almeida and Stein, 2010).

⁵Values for STTD were calculated by correcting values for ATTD for the basal endogenous loss of P (NRC, 2012).

^{a-c}Means within a row lacking a common letter are different ($P < 0.05$).

different from the ATTD of Ca in Fermex 200 without or with phytase.

Discussion

The SBM-CV contained <46% CP, which is likely a result of the soybeans being grown in the north-western part of the corn belt where CP usually is less than in beans grown further to the east and south (Sotak-Peper et al., 2015). As a consequence, the analyzed concentration of CP in Fermex 200 was less compared with other fermented SBM (Yang et al., 2007; NRC, 2012; Rojas and Stein, 2013; 2015). The greater concentrations of CP and AA in Fermex 200 compared with SBM-CV is likely a result of the fermentation process, which ferments most of the low molecular weight carbohydrates (sucrose, raffinose, and stachyose) in SBM, and therefore results in an increased concentration of other nutrients (Hong et al., 2004; Cervantes-Pahm and Stein, 2010). The increased total dietary fiber (TDF) in fermented SBM is a result of the concentration of nutrients after fermentation of the low molecular weight carbohydrates, but the observation that IDF was not increased, whereas SDF increased, indicates that some of the IDF in SBM-CV may have been solubilized during fermentation, which has also been previously described (Tu et al., 2014).

The lack of differences in AID and SID of CP and AA between Fermex 200 and SBM-CV is in contrast with previous data indicating that fermentation of SBM-CV resulted in a reduction in the SID of some AA (Cervantes-Pahm and Stein, 2010; Pedersen et al., 2016) due to heat damage in the fermented SBM. The Lys:CP ratio for Fermex 200 was 6.63%, which indicates that the fermented SBM was not heat damaged (Gonzalez-Vega et al., 2011). Lysine is the most susceptible AA to destruction via the Maillard reaction due to its ϵ -amino group, which reacts with reducing sugars in the presence of heat and moisture (Erbersdobler and Hupe, 1991). Therefore, it appears that the fermentation and drying procedures used to produce Fermex 200 were effective in maintaining AA digestibility in the ingredient. As a result, greater concentrations of digestible AA are provided to the diet by Fermex 200 compared with SBM-CV.

A corn-based diet was used in the energy balance experiment because corn is well tolerated by pigs, and therefore, corn is often

used in experiments where DE and ME values are determined using the difference procedure. The lower GE intake for pigs fed the corn diet than for pigs fed the 2 SBM diets is the result of the fact that the ME:GE ratio in corn is greater than in SBM and because feed was provided to the same daily ME, less GE per day was fed of the corn diet than of the 2 SBM diets. In addition, there were more orts from pigs fed the corn diet than from pigs fed the diets containing Fermex 200 or SBM-CV, which also contributed to the reduced GE intake of the corn diet. The ATTD of GE in corn obtained in this experiment is in close agreement with reported data for young pigs (Rojas and Stein, 2013). However, values for DE and ME in corn from this experiment are less than previously reported values (Baker and Stein, 2009; Rojas and Stein, 2013; Espinosa and Stein, 2018), which is likely a result of the reduced concentration of GE and acid hydrolyzed ether extract (AEE), as well as increased concentration of IDF in the corn used in this experiment compared with published data (NRC, 2012; Stein et al., 2016; Rostagno et al., 2017; Espinosa and Stein, 2018). Values for DE and ME in SBM-CV are in agreement with reported data (NRC, 2012; Stein et al., 2016) and the observation that SBM-CV had a greater concentration of DE than corn also concurs with previous data (Rojas and Stein, 2013; Zhang et al., 2013). Because of the reduced concentrations of sucrose and oligosaccharides, Fermex 200 had increased CP and AEE compared with SBM-CV, which is likely the reason for the lack of differences between SBM-CV and Fermex 200 for DE and ME. This observation is in agreement with data by Goebel and Stein (2011), indicating that fermentation of SBM does not affect DE or ME despite compositional differences caused by fermentation.

The analyzed concentrations of ash, Ca, and P in SBM-CV used in the experiment agree with published values (NRC, 2012; Sotak-Peper et al., 2016; She et al., 2017). The analyzed values for ash, Ca, and P in Fermex 200 are also in agreement with previous data for fermented SBM (Rojas and Stein, 2012). The observation that the analyzed concentration of phytic acid in Fermex 200 is less than in SBM-CV also concurs with previous data (Rojas and Stein, 2012). Values for STTD of P are more additive in mixed diets compared with values for ATTD of P (She et al., 2018) and are determined by correcting ATTD values for the basal endogenous loss of P (Almeida and Stein, 2010; NRC, 2012). The

ATTD and STTD of P in SBM-CV without phytase concur with previous values (Bohlke et al., 2005; She et al., 2017), and the ATTD and STTD of P obtained for SBM-CV with phytase are in agreement with values reported by Almeida and Stein (2010). The observation that the STTD of P and ATTD of Ca were greater in Fermex 200 compared with SBM-CV if no microbial phytase is included in diets is likely a result of the partial degradation of phytate during fermentation, which results in increased Ca and P digestibility due to increased concentrations of non-phytate bound Ca and P in Fermex 200 (Ilyas et al., 1995). In contrast, the majority of the Ca and P in SBM-CV is bound to phytate, which results in reduced digestibility of both Ca and P. Therefore, when phytase was included in the diets, increased digestibility of Ca and P in SBM-CV was observed, but that was not the case for Fermex 200. These observations are in agreement with data indicating that the effect of microbial phytase is much greater in SBM-CV than in fermented SBM (Rojas and Stein, 2012).

Conclusion

The DE and ME values, as well as SID of AA in Fermex 200 were not different from SBM-CV. The ATTD and STTD of P were greater in Fermex 200 than in SBM-CV if microbial phytase was not added to diets, which reduces the need for inclusion of inorganic P in diets containing Fermex 200. These results indicate that Fermex 200 can likely be used as a source of AA, energy, and P in diets for weanling pigs.

Acknowledgment

Funding for this research by Purina Animal Nutrition LLC and Fermentation Experts USA LLC is greatly appreciated.

Conflict of Interest Statement

TLW and AJM are employees of Purina Animal Nutrition who distributes Fermex 200 in the United States. CDE, MSFO, LVL, and HHS declare no real or perceived conflicts of interest.

Literature Cited

- Adeola, O. 2001. Digestion and balance techniques in pigs. In: A. J. Lewis and L. L. Southern, editors, *Swine nutrition*. Washington, DC: CRC Press; p. 903–916.
- Almeida, F. N., and H. H. Stein. 2010. Performance and phosphorus balance of pigs fed diets formulated on the basis of values for standardized total tract digestibility of phosphorus. *J. Anim. Sci.* **88**:2968–2977. doi:10.2527/jas.2009-2285
- AOAC Int. 2007. *Official methods of analysis of AOAC int.* 18th ed. Rev. 2. ed. Gaithersburg, MD: AOAC Int.
- AOCS. 2006. *Official methods and recommended practices*, 5th ed. Urbana, IL: American Oil Chemists' Society.
- Baker, K. M., and H. H. Stein. 2009. Amino acid digestibility and concentration of digestible and metabolizable energy in soybean meal produced from conventional, high-protein, or low-oligosaccharide varieties of soybeans and fed to growing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* **87**:2282–2290. doi:10.2527/jas.2008-1414
- Bohlke, R. A., R. C. Thaler, and H. H. Stein. 2005. Calcium, phosphorus, and amino acid digestibility in low-phytate corn, normal corn, and soybean meal by growing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* **83**:2396–2403. doi:10.2527/2005.83102396x
- Cervantes-Pahm, S. K., and H. H. Stein. 2010. Ileal digestibility of amino acids in conventional, fermented, and enzyme-treated soybean meal and in soy protein isolate, fish meal, and casein fed to weanling pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* **88**:2674–2683. doi:10.2527/jas.2009-2677
- Ellis, R., E. R. Morris, and C. Philpot. 1977. Quantitative determination of phytate in the presence of high inorganic phosphate. *Anal. Biochem.* **77**:536–539. doi:10.1016/0003-2697(77)90269-X
- Erbersdobler, H. F., and A. Hupe. 1991. Determination of lysine damage and calculation of lysine bio-availability in several processed foods. *Z. Ernährungswiss.* **30**:46–49. doi:10.1007/BF01910731
- Espinosa, C. D., and H. H. Stein. 2018. High-protein distillers dried grains with solubles produced using a novel front-end-back-end fractionation technology has greater nutritional value than conventional distillers dried grains with solubles when fed to growing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* **96**:1869–1876. doi:10.1093/jas/sky052
- Goebel, K. P., and H. H. Stein. 2011. Phosphorus digestibility and energy concentration of enzyme-treated and conventional soybean meal fed to weanling pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* **89**:764–772. doi:10.2527/jas.2010-3253
- González-Vega, J. C., B. G. Kim, J. K. Htoo, A. Lemme, and H. H. Stein. 2011. Amino acid digestibility in heated soybean meal fed to growing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* **89**:3617–3625. doi:10.2527/jas.2010-3465
- Grieshop, C. M., C. T. Kadzere, G. M. Clapper, E. A. Flickinger, L. L. Bauer, R. L. Frazier, and G. C. Fahey, Jr. 2003. Chemical and nutritional characteristics of United States soybeans and soybean meals. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **51**:7684–7691. doi:10.1021/jf034690c
- Hong, K. J., C. H. Lee, and S. W. Kim. 2004. *Aspergillus oryzae* GB-107 fermentation improves nutritional quality of food soybeans and feed soybean meals. *J. Med. Food* **7**:430–435. doi:10.1089/jmf.2004.7.430
- Ilyas, A., M. Hirabayasi, T. Matsui, H. Yano, F. Yano, T. Kikishima, M. Takebe, and K. Hayakawa. 1995. A note on the removal of phytate in soybean meal using *Aspergillus usami*. *Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* **8**:135–138. doi:10.5713/ajas.1995.135
- Jones, C. K., J. M. DeRouchey, J. L. Nelssen, M. D. Tokach, S. S. Dritz, and R. D. Goodband. 2010. Effects of fermented soybean meal and specialty animal protein sources on nursery pig performance. *J. Anim. Sci.* **88**:1725–1732. doi:10.2527/jas.2009-2110
- Kim, B. G., G. I. Petersen, R. B. Hinson, G. L. Allee, and H. H. Stein. 2009. Amino acid digestibility and energy concentration in a novel source of high-protein distillers dried grains and their effects on growth performance of pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* **87**:4013–4021. doi:10.2527/jas.2009-2060
- Kim, S. W., E. van Heugten, F. Ji, C. H. Lee, and R. D. Mateo. 2010. Fermented soybean meal as a vegetable protein source for nursery pigs: I. Effects on growth performance of nursery pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* **88**:214–224. doi:10.2527/jas.2009-1993
- Kim, B. G., and H. H. Stein. 2009. A spreadsheet program for making a balanced Latin square design. *Rev. Colomb. Cienc. Pecu.* **22**:591–596.
- Lenahan, N. A., J. M. DeRouchey, R. D. Goodband, M. D. Tokach, S. S. Dritz, J. L. Nelssen, C. N. Groesbeck, and K. R. Lawrence. 2007. Evaluation of soy protein concentrates in nursery pig diets. *J. Anim. Sci.* **85**:3013–3021. doi:10.2527/jas.2007-0071
- Liyang, Z., D. Li, S. Qiao, E. W. Johnson, B. Li, P. A. Thacker, and I. K. Han. 2003. Effects of stachyose on performance, diarrhoea incidence and intestinal bacteria in weanling pigs. *Arch. Tierernähr.* **57**:1–10. doi:10.1080/0003942031000086662
- NRC. 2012. *Nutrient requirements of swine*. 11th rev. ed. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Oliveira, M. S., and H. H. Stein. 2016. Digestibility of energy, amino acids, and phosphorus in a novel source of soy protein concentrate and in soybean meal fed to growing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* **94**:3343–3352. doi:10.2527/jas.2016-0505
- Palacios, M. F., R. A. Easter, K. T. Soltwedel, C. M. Parsons, M. W. Douglas, T. Hymowitz, and J. E. Pettigrew. 2004. Effect of soybean variety and processing on growth performance

- of young chicks and pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* **82**:1108–1114. doi:[10.2527/2004.8241108x](https://doi.org/10.2527/2004.8241108x)
- Pedersen, C., J. S. Almeida, and H. H. Stein. 2016. Analysis of published data for standardized ileal digestibility of protein and amino acids in soy proteins fed to pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* **94**:340–343. doi:[10.2527/jas.2015-9864](https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2015-9864)
- Rocklin, R. D., A. P. Clarke, and M. Weitzhandler. 1998. Improved long-term reproducibility for pulsed amperometric detection of carbohydrates via a new quadruple-potential waveform. *Anal. Chem.* **70**:1496–1501. doi:[10.1021/ac970906w](https://doi.org/10.1021/ac970906w)
- Rojas, O. J., and H. H. Stein. 2012. Digestibility of phosphorus by growing pigs of fermented and conventional soybean meal without and with microbial phytase. *J. Anim. Sci.* **90**:1506–1512. doi:[10.2527/jas.2011-4103](https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2011-4103)
- Rojas, O. J., and H. H. Stein. 2013. Concentration of digestible, metabolizable, and net energy and digestibility of energy and nutrients in fermented soybean meal, conventional soybean meal, and fish meal fed to weanling pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* **91**:4397–4405. doi:[10.2527/jas.2013-6409](https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2013-6409)
- Rojas, O. J., and H. H. Stein. 2015. Effects of replacing fish, chicken, or poultry by-product meal with fermented soybean meal in diets fed to weanling pigs. *Rev. Colomb. Cienc. Pec.* **28**:22–41.
- Rostagno, H. S., L. F. T. Albino, M. I. Hannas, J. L. Donzele, N. K. Sakomura, F. G. Perazzo, A. Saraiva, M. L. Teixeira de Abreu, P. B. Rodrigues, R. F. de Oliveira, et al. 2017. *Brazilian tables for poultry and swine. Feedstuff composition and nutritional requirements*. 4th ed. Viçosa, Brazil: Universidade Federal de Viçosa.
- She, Y., Y. Liu, and H. H. Stein. 2017. Effects of graded levels of microbial phytase on apparent total tract digestibility of calcium and phosphorus and standardized total tract digestibility of phosphorus in four sources of canola meal and in soybean meal fed to growing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* **95**:2061–2070. doi:[10.2527/jas.2016.1357](https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2016.1357)
- She, Y., Q. Wang, H. H. Stein, L. Liu, D. Li, and S. Zhang. 2018. Additivity of values for phosphorus digestibility in corn, soybean meal, and canola meal in diets fed to growing pigs. *Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* **31**:1301–1307. doi:[10.5713/ajas.17.0547](https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.17.0547)
- Smiricky-Tjardes, M. R., E. A. Flickinger, C. M. Grieshop, L. L. Bauer, M. R. Murphy, and G. C. Fahey, Jr. 2003. In vitro fermentation characteristics of selected oligosaccharides by swine fecal microflora. *J. Anim. Sci.* **81**:2505–2514. doi:[10.2527/2003.81102505x](https://doi.org/10.2527/2003.81102505x)
- Sotak-Peper, K. M., J. C. Gonzalez-Vega, and H. H. Stein. 2015. Concentrations of digestible, metabolizable, and net energy in soybean meal produced in different areas of the United States and fed to pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* **93**:5694–5701. doi:[10.2527/jas.2015-9281](https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2015-9281)
- Sotak-Peper, K. M., J. C. González-Vega, and H. H. Stein. 2016. Effects of production area and microbial phytase on the apparent and standardized total tract digestibility of phosphorus in soybean meal fed to growing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* **94**:2397–2402. doi:[10.2527/jas.2016-0353](https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2016-0353)
- Stein, H. H., L. L. Berger, J. K. Drackley, G. C. Fahey Jr., D. C. Hernot, and C. M. Parsons. 2008. Nutritional properties and feeding values of soybeans and their coproducts. In: L. A. Johnson, P. J. White and R. Galloway, editors, *Soybeans: chemistry, production, processing and utilization*. Urbana, IL: AOCS Press; p. 613–660.
- Stein, H. H., L. V. Lagos, and G. A. Casas. 2016. Nutritional value of feed ingredients of plant origin fed to pigs. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **218**:33–69. doi:[10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2016.05.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2016.05.003)
- Stein, H. H., B. Sève, M. F. Fuller, P. J. Moughan, and C. F. M. de Lange. 2007. Invited review: amino acid bioavailability and digestibility in pig feed ingredients: terminology and application. *J. Anim. Sci.* **85**:172–180. doi:[10.2527/jas.2005-742](https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2005-742)
- Tran, G., and D. Sauvant. 2004. Chemical data and nutritional value. In: D. Sauvant, J. M. Perez, and G. Tran, editors, *Tables of composition and nutritional value of feed materials*. 2nd ed. Wageningen, The Netherlands: Wageningen Academic Publishers; p. 17–24.
- Tu, Z., L. Chen, H. Wang, C. Ruan, L. Zhang, and Y. Kou. 2014. Effect of fermentation and dynamic high pressure microfluidization on dietary fiber of soybean residues. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* **51**:3285–3292. doi:[10.1007/s13197-012-0838-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-012-0838-1)
- Yang, Y. X., Y. G. Kim, J. D. Lohakare, J. H. Yun, J. K. Lee, M. S. Kwon, J. I. Park, J. Y. Choi, and B. J. Chae. 2007. Comparative efficacy of different soy protein sources on growth performance, nutrient digestibility and intestinal morphology in weaned pigs. *Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* **20**:775–783. doi:[10.5713/ajas.2007.775](https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2007.775)
- Zhang, H. Y., J. Q. Yi, X. S. Piao, P. F. Li, Z. K. Zeng, D. Wang, L. Liu, G. Q. Wang, and X. Han. 2013. The metabolizable energy value, standardized ileal digestibility of amino acids in soybean meal, soy protein concentrate and fermented soybean meal, and the application of these products in early-weaned piglets. *Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* **26**:691–699. doi:[10.5713/ajas.2012.12429](https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2012.12429)