Published in final edited form as:

Contemp Clin Trials. 2020 November ; 98: 106153. doi:10.1016/j.cct.2020.106153.

Rationale and protocol for translating basic habituation research into family-based childhood obesity treatment: Families becoming healthy together study

Steve M. Douglas^a, Grace M. Hawkins^a, Kristoffer S. Berlin^b, Scott E. Crouter^c, Leonard H. Epstein^d, John G. Thomas^e, Hollie A. Raynor^{a,*}

^aDepartment of Nutrition, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA

^bDepartment of Psychology, University of Memphis, Memphis, TN, USA

^cDepartment of Kinesiology, Recreation, and Sport Studies, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA

^dDepartment of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, and Biomedical Sciences, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, USA

^eWeight Control and Diabetes Research Center, The Miriam Hospital/Brown Alpert Medical School, Providence, RI, USA

Abstract

This publication describes the rationale and protocol, including design, aims, intervention, and measures, of Families Becoming Healthy Together, a randomized clinical trial examining the effect of a limited RED (non-nutrient-dense, energy-dense) food variety prescription delivered within an 18-month family-based behavioral obesity treatment (FBT) on body mass index (BMI) and habituation rate to RED foods. One hundred fifty-six children (ages: 8–12 y; BMI: 85th percentile-for-age) and a caregiver (BMI: 25 kg/m²), both with overweight or obesity, will be randomized to one of two, interventions: FBT or FBT + Variety. All participants will receive 29 sessions of FBT and be prescribed the Traffic Light Diet (1000–1500 kcal/day, 2 RED food servings/day), and a physical activity goal (60 min/day [child] or 150 min/week [adult] of moderate-vigorous physical activity (MVPA)). FBT + Variety will also identify two RED foods, a dinner entrée and snack food, and develop meal plans that reduce variety of RED foods by regularly consuming these foods and limiting consumption of other RED foods. Measures of anthropometrics, dietary intake, habituation of salivary response to food cues, and physical activity will be assessed at 0, 6, 12, and 18-months. This study translates a line of basic behavioral research examining how dietary variety influences habituation into a dietary prescription that will be tested within an efficacy trial. It is hypothesized that a novel, limited dietary variety prescription within FBT should promote a faster food habituation rate, reducing energy intake and amplifying long-term weight loss in children.

*Corresponding author at: Jessie Harris Building Room 229, 1215 West Cumberland Avenue, Knoxville, TN 37996-1920, USA. hraynor@vols.utk.edu (H.A. Raynor).

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Keywords

Habituation; Pediatric Obesity; Family-based; Treatment; Variety

1. Introduction

Family-based childhood obesity treatment (FBT) is an efficacious short-term intervention for childhood obesity, and includes four components: a) dietary goals; b) physical activity and/or sedentary behavior goals; c) behavioral strategies; and d) inclusion of a family member (parent/caregiver) in treatment, who assists the child in changing and maintaining targeted behaviors through behavioral strategies and who also alters his/her own diet, activity and/or sedentary behaviors [1]. While FBT significantly reduces standardized body mass index (ZBMI) in the short-term (12-months), strengthening the effect of FBT to enhance long-term weight loss is needed [1]. One area important for improving long-term weight loss (> 12 months) in FBT is reducing intake of non-nutrient-dense, high-energy-dense foods (e.g., cookies, chips, candy) [2]. These foods are commonly called RED foods when the Traffic Light Diet, a highly investigated diet in childhood obesity treatment, is implemented within FBT [2].

Translating basic behavioral science into practical intervention strategies can improve intervention effects, particularly for behaviorally-based interventions designed to enhance health [3,4]. As reducing intake of RED foods may improve long-term weight loss in FBT, translating a basic behavioral science concept that has been shown to reduce intake of RED foods into FBT may aid in achieving better long-term weight loss. One such behavioral concept is habituation [5], a learned response, which is demonstrated by decreased responding to repeated presentation of a stimulus (i.e., food) [6]. Habituation is a basic behavioral determinant of amount of food consumed [6], with faster habituation rates reducing food intake [7]. Our work shows that the rate of habituation to food can be accelerated when food variety is limited, producing decreased intake [8–10]. Thus, a dietary prescription that limits RED food variety may boost ability to reduce long-term energy intake, enhancing long-term weight loss.

Furthermore, food habituation rates show large individual variability [11]. For example, individuals with overweight habituate slower to food than individuals with a healthy weight, and slower habituation rates predict greater increases in child ZBMI [11–16]. Thus, slower food habituation rates may be a behavioral phenotype for increased risk of suboptimal weight outcomes. Obesity interventions that accelerate habituation to food may then be more beneficial for those with this behavioral phenotype.

In a pilot study, a limited RED food variety prescription was implemented within a 6-month FBT program and compared to standard FBT, with the limited variety prescription producing a significantly greater reduction in child percent overweight [17]. While these results indicate that a limited variety prescription has promise for enhancing weight outcomes in FBT, research is needed to examine if limiting variety in FBT improves long-term weight loss, if this improvement is due to enhanced habituation, and if there is a behavioral phenotype that more greatly benefits from this dietary approach. Thus, this

publication describes the rationale and protocol, including design, aims, intervention, and measures, of Families Becoming Healthy Together, a randomized clinical trial examining the effect of a limited RED food variety prescription delivered within FBT on BMI and habituation rate to RED foods at 18 months.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design and aims

Families Becoming Healthy Together is an 18-month randomized clinical trial of FBT versus FBT with a limited RED food variety prescription (FBT + Variety), in which a dyad of a child with overweight or obesity and an adult caregiver with overweight or obesity is randomized to one of the two interventions. The trial is funded by the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Disease (1R01DK121360–01) and is registered at [clinicaltrials.gov](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT04027426) (NCT04027426). The study was approved by the University of Tennessee Knoxville (UTK) Institutional Review Board (IRB), with the IRBs of The Miriam Hospital, The University of Buffalo, and The University of Memphis establishing reliance agreements with UTK's IRB. All adult participants are asked to provide written informed consent and all child participants are asked to provide assent for participation. Assessments occur every 6 months during the trial (0, 6, 12, and 18 months). There are two primary aims of the study (Fig. 1), which are focused on determining the influence of FBT + Variety on long-term (18-month) change in BMI and habituation to RED foods. These aims also examine if habituation rate mediates the relationship between limited variety and changes in dietary intake and BMI between the two conditions. Finally, an exploratory aim of this study is to determine the influence of baseline habituation rate on moderating outcomes in FBT + Variety and FBT, which will allow for the identification of a behavioral phenotype that bests benefits from a limited variety prescription.

2.2. Participant eligibility criteria and recruitment

This investigation will include 156 children meeting the following criteria: 1) age between 8 and 12 years; 2) 85th percentile BMI; and 3) one adult caregiver (≥ 18 years) living in the household with a BMI ≥ 25 kg/m² and willing to attend treatment meetings.

Participants will be excluded if: 1) child and adult caregiver report living in two separate households for > 2 days per week; 2) child or adult caregiver report a heart condition, chest pain during periods of activity or rest, or loss of consciousness on the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire (PAR-Q) [18] (individuals reporting joint problems, prescription medication usage, or other medical conditions that could limit exercise will be required to obtain written primary care provider consent to participate); 3) child or adult caregiver report being unable to walk for 2 blocks (1/4 mile) without stopping; 4) child or adult caregiver report major psychiatric diseases or organic brain syndromes; 5) child or adult caregiver report currently participating in a weight loss program and/or taking weight loss or appetite regulation medication or lost > 5% of body weight during the past 6 months; 6) child report having bariatric surgery for weight loss/planning to have bariatric surgery in the next 18 months, adult caregiver report having bariatric surgery in the previous two years or planning to have bariatric surgery in the next 18 months; 7) adult caregiver reports being pregnant,

lactating, less than 6 months post-partum or planning to become pregnant in the next 18 months; 8) child or adult caregiver report planning to move outside of the metropolitan area within the time frame of the investigation; 9) child or adult caregiver report dietary restrictions for medical reasons; 10) child or adult caregiver report allergies to lemon or lime juice (used in habituation measures); and 11) child is not able to read at a third-grade reading level. Due to potential need for primary care provider consent, families must also be able to identify a primary care provider to participate in the study.

Families will be recruited through social media and advertisements in newspapers, direct mailings, and flyers posted around the greater metropolitan Knoxville, TN area, including in health care provider offices and other locations in which families may attend. Families will also be recruited via community events (i.e., farmer's markets, health expos). Finally, families will be recruited from pediatrician's offices interested in completing IRB-approved direct referrals. Interested potential families will be phone-screened for eligibility. Those that meet eligibility criteria will be invited to an orientation, at which informed consent and assent will be obtained.

2.3. Randomization

Proper randomization will avoid imbalance between treatment conditions in known and unknown prognostic factors. Weight status (85th to < 95th BMI percentile vs. 95th BMI percentile), child gender (male vs female), and ethnical or racial minority status (minority vs non-minority) are three potentially important prognostic factors that will be addressed in the randomization scheme. Stratification by prognostic factors is a common way to avoid imbalances in randomized clinical trials but may result in small or empty cells when the total sample size is small. This is also particularly problematic in cases (such as the current trial) where the treatment trial design involves group-based intervention wherein multiple families' simultaneously attend the same intervention and/or are unable to meet at certain times due to schedule conflicts. As such, treatment group times (earlier evening [5:30 pm] vs. later evening [7:00 pm]) will be randomized to the FBT or FBT + Variety intervention conditions using an "urn design" adaptive probability algorithm developed and described in Stout and colleagues in 1994 [19,20]. The urn design is widely used in randomized clinical trials where several prognostic factors need to be balanced and the sample size is relatively small (typically, <200). Simulations demonstrate that this procedure produces a higher probability of balanced randomization to treatment conditions than traditional fixed allocation procedures such as stratification. More specifically, for each treatment time to be randomized, the algorithm will consider the status (average proportion: 0%–33% vs. 34%–67% vs. 68–100%), on the three prognostic factors (male sex, 95th percentile BMI, % identifying as racial or ethnic minority) in making an overall assessment of the group balance or lack of balance. If any residual imbalance occurs in prognostic factors, these factors will be incorporated into the analysis plan as covariates. Families will be informed of their randomized condition at the first group meeting (group meetings will only contain families in the same condition).

3. Study intervention

3.1. Intervention framework – FBT

The FBT used in the investigation meets the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force [21] and the American Psychological Association [22] recommendation regarding the use of a comprehensive, intensive intervention for childhood obesity. These recommended interventions use a family-based approach, in which diet and physical activity and/or sedentary behavior goals are provided in combination with behavioral parenting strategies to assist children with meeting provided goals. These interventions provide 26 h of contact time.

FBT is a specific comprehensive intervention for childhood obesity [1]. It is a multi-component treatment, which includes diet and physical activity and/or sedentary behavior goals, and behavioral parenting strategies to assist children with behavior changes. Additionally, adult caregivers are robustly engaged in treatment, as behavioral (diet, physical activity, and/or sedentary behavior) and weight goals are targeted in both children and adults. Adult caregivers are also using behavioral strategies to change their own diet, physical activity, and/or sedentary behaviors. They are encouraged to model healthy eating and activity behaviors, and act as agents of change for their children. Engaging caregivers in this manner appears to be important for outcomes, as caregivers who lose the most weight in the program have children who have the greatest improvements in weight status [23].

3.1.1. Dietary goals—Participants in both interventions will be instructed to follow the Traffic Light Diet [17,24]. The Traffic Light Diet categorizes foods into the colors of the traffic light: GREEN, YELLOW, and RED. The categorization is based upon a food item's energy, fat, and added sugar content. In general, only vegetables are considered GREEN foods. YELLOW and RED foods are determined by caloric cut-offs per serving for each food group (vegetables; starchy vegetables; fruit; grains; dairy; protein; soups; and condiments, dressings, and other ingredients). All foods categorized as fats, oils, added sugars, and others; and fruit juices and dried fruits are considered RED foods regardless of the calories per serving. Furthermore, modified foods categorized as fats, oils, added sugars, and others (i.e., reduced-fat cookies), which may be lower in energy, fat, and/or added sugar than their non-modified counterparts are also considered RED foods, as these foods generally still contribute few nutrients to the diet and compete for consumption of healthier foods. Combination foods, foods that are comprised of several individual foods (i.e., pizza, hamburger, lasagna), will be considered RED foods if one of the individual foods in the combination food is a RED food and contributes one or more servings of RED food or if one serving of the combination food has more than 5 g of fat per serving. Participants will be instructed to consume between 1000 and 1500 kcal per day, with a goal of 2 servings of RED foods per day. Participants will be instructed to eat the size and number of servings from the differing food groups as recommended in the Dietary Guidelines [25]. Families will develop weekly meal plans to assist them with achieving these dietary goals.

Energy goals will be adjusted as needed for each individual participant for weight loss. When participants' weight decreases so that they are within the healthy weight range, they will be given the option of eating an additional 100 kcal per day in the form of GREEN and

YELLOW foods until no more weight loss or weight gain occurred. Once that new caloric intake is determined, participants will be encouraged to maintain calorie intake consistent to achieve weight maintenance.

3.1.2. Physical activity goals—The goal will be to increase moderate- to vigorous-intensity physical activity (MVPA) to meet recommended guidelines (60 min/day [children] or 150 min/week [adults], structured as 30 min/day at least 5 times/week to assist with forming daily habits) [26]. As some participants may likely be inactive coming into the intervention, participants will shape their physical activity to meet the targeted goals. Initially participants will be encouraged to complete 10 min/day 5 times/week at a moderate-intensity activity level starting at week 2, and then increase physical activity 10 min/day (child) and 5 min/day (adult) each week until they have reached the intervention goal. Children will be encouraged to do developmentally appropriate play activities and family-based physical activities (e.g., go for a family walk or a bike ride) and adult caregivers will be encouraged to do brisk walking and other aerobic activities, as well as family-based physical activities.

3.1.3. Behavioral strategies—Families will be instructed in behavioral skills, which form the basis of FBT [27]. The skills are based on Social Cognitive Theory. All strategies are introduced by the fourth month of the intervention, with review of all strategies occurring over the course of the intervention. Strategies provided to participants include self-monitoring, positive reinforcement, stimulus control, modeling, pre-planning and problem solving, goal setting, and relapse prevention.

Self-monitoring is introduced in the first session and is focused on throughout the intervention. Both children and adult caregivers will self-monitor daily intake by documenting food and beverage description; amount of food and beverage; calories; servings of RED foods; and if RED foods consumed were their chosen RED food (FBT + Variety only). FBT + Variety will also self-monitor weekly frequency of consumption of chosen RED foods and other RED foods per week. To accommodate the needs of families in the investigation, families will choose to self-monitor eating using technology (app on a smartphone, such as MyFitnessPal) or via written diaries. Given that no app tracks RED foods, all families will be asked to identify RED foods within their method of self-monitoring. For self-monitoring daily physical activity (MVPA minutes), families will be provided with two (one for the child [Garmin] and one for the parent [Fitbit]) wearable wrist activity trackers. Self-monitoring records (either in hard copy or electronic form) will be reviewed by an interventionist so that individualized feedback can be provided to families to assist them with meeting diet and physical activity goals. The self-monitoring and feedback will occur throughout the 18-month intervention. Families will also self-weigh at home weekly.

Caregivers will be taught to praise children for meeting goals specific to their intervention. In addition, caregivers will provide children points for meeting dietary and physical activity goals, and weight loss. Children will exchange points for mutually agreed upon reinforcers chosen from a reinforcement menu that will include privileges and activities that the child indicates he/she likes and the adult caregiver agrees to provide. Reinforcers could include

special time with adult caregivers and family members, having a sleepover, or a family trip or gathering. Reinforcers will not include food or material gifts. The purpose of both types of positive reinforcement are to establish and maintain behavior change in the children.

Altering the home environment, by reducing cues that prompt eating, consumption of RED foods, consumption of a variety of RED foods (FBT + Variety only) and increasing cues that encourage physical activity will be encouraged (stimulus control). For example, foods will be encouraged to be stored in places out of sight upon walking into any room, and equipment needed for physical activity (e.g., bikes, balls) will be stored in visible, easily accessible places for children.

As is traditional in a family-based approach, the caregiver will also change the same dietary and physical activity behaviors as the child, as adult caregivers can then model healthy behaviors for the child, assisting the child in learning the new weight-related behaviors [28]. Additionally, families will be encouraged to consume meals together and engage in physical activity together (e.g., go for a family walk or bike ride), providing opportunities for adult caregivers to model targeted behaviors in front of their children.

Preplanning and problem solving will be taught to facilitate decision making for difficult eating and activity situations, such as parties, holiday gatherings, restaurant eating, vacations, and school or work functions. Participants will be instructed to identify specific problems, brainstorm solutions, choose a solution, develop and implement a plan, and evaluate the plan's success. Furthermore, all families will develop weekly meal plans to assist them with achieving the dietary goals specific for their condition. Families in FBT + Variety will develop meal plans that repeat their chosen RED dinner entree and snack food, include leftover foods from their meal in which their chosen entrée was initially prepared, and reduce variety of RED foods. Families in FBT + Variety will also be encouraged to repeat weekly meals plans over the course of the intervention.

The importance of short- and long-term goal setting for successful behavior change will be taught to families. Shaping will be introduced and used for changing MVPA as rapid changes in this behavior increases risk of injury.

Based on Marlatt and Gordon's Relapse Prevention Model [29], families will be instructed to prepare and plan for lapses in eating and activity behaviors. Identifying and anticipating high-risk situations, and developing a detailed plan for these situations will be encouraged.

3.1.4. Family meetings—Families will be encouraged to have a brief daily family meeting. Families will be instructed that these meetings provide the opportunity for the adult caregiver to review self-monitoring of the child, ascertain child progress on eating and activity goals, answer child questions, problem-solve issues, and pre-plan situations as needed. These meetings also afford the opportunity for the adult caregiver to provide positive reinforcement (i.e., praise, points, reinforcers) and emotional support to the child and model behaviors (i.e., self-monitoring, meeting goals, problem solving, pre-planning).

3.1.5. Treatment structure—Intervention will be delivered via group meetings once a week for months 1 to 4, twice a month for months 5 to 6, once a month for months 7 to 12,

and once every two months for months 13 to 18 (29 sessions). For all meetings, child and adult caregiver weight will be reviewed and feedback regarding the relationship between weight change and eating and activity behaviors will be discussed with each family. At the start of each group meeting, a “check-in” occurs, in which progress on the behavioral goals and use of the behavioral strategies is reviewed, and a behavioral lesson, using the behavioral strategies as topics for these lessons, will be presented (see Table 1 for lesson topics). At the 60-min meetings, the child and adult will attend separate 40-min group meetings, and then for the last 20 min of the meetings they will meet together to engage in a family activity to address specific family-issues (e.g., challenges with meeting dietary goals) by developing behavioral action plans with the support of an interventionist. Individuals with training at the master- or doctorate-level in nutrition, exercise physiology, or behavioral psychology will lead the group meetings and meet with families.

3.2. Intervention framework – FBT + variety

Habituation theory provides a framework for how repeated presentation of a stimulus, such as repeated bites and tastes of a food, influences responding to the stimulus [6]. As previously stated, habituation is demonstrated by a reduction in physiological and behavioral responses to repeated presentation of a stimulus [6]. The reduction in response is not due to adaptation or fatigue, as presentation of a new stimulus causes the response to reoccur (dishabituation) [30]. Habituation theory is important in understanding food intake, as eating involves the repeated presentation of food stimuli (visual, olfactory, and gustatory stimuli), both within and across eating occasions. Thus, habituation theory can provide a model for understanding factors that influence the rate of reduction of responding to repeated food stimuli. Indeed, the rate of habituation is related to the amount of food consumed, such that a faster rate of habituation is related to a significantly lower amount of food consumed [30].

As a novel food cue produces dishabituation, presenting a variety of food cues across trials should slow down the overall rate of habituation [6]. Indeed, our research with children and adults has found that during a laboratory session, as compared to being presented with the same food cue over multiple trials in a session, presenting different food cues, either as completely different foods or the same food with differing flavors, over trials slows down habituation rate [31–34]. Furthermore, this slower habituation rate produces greater energy intake in laboratory sessions [31,33,34]. We have also examined the effect of food variety on habituation rate and intake over time periods longer than one laboratory session (long-term habituation) and have found in these situations variety conditions produce slower habituation rates and greater energy intake [35].

We have started to investigate the effect of applying habituation theory using the variety paradigm within obesity treatment. We have examined how dietary variety influences weight management in both secondary analyses and within randomized trials [5,36–39]. In a secondary data analysis, we found that adults with overweight or obesity participating in a traditional lifestyle intervention who made the greatest reduction in the number of different non-nutrient-dense, high-energy-dense foods (i.e., “snack foods” such as cookies, cake, chips) consumed had greater reductions in caloric and percent dietary fat intake and greater weight loss at 6 months [36]. We also examined the relationship between food group variety

and long-term weight loss maintenance in the National Weight Control Registry [39]. When Registry members were compared to individuals who had lost at least 7% of their initial weight after participating in a 6-month lifestyle intervention, Registry participants reported consuming significantly less variety in most food groups, but especially in those food groups higher in fat density [39].

We have begun to harness and translate the effects of habituation on intake within the context of obesity treatment by developing dietary goals that focus on reducing variety in high-energy-dense foods to assist with reducing energy intake [5,37,38]. Our latest “proof-of-concept” testing indicated that limited variety goals achieved a clinically relevant outcome [5]. Within a 6-month pilot study, 24 families, with a child 85th percentile BMI and aged 8 to 12 years, were randomized to FBT or FBT + Variety. Families in FBT + Variety identified two RED foods each month that they would consume during that month. These were RED foods usually consumed, rather than new RED foods introduced to their diet. The chosen RED foods were one dinner entrée and one snack food. Families in FBT + Variety developed monthly meal plans that repeated dinner entrees and reduced variety of other RED foods. Families were encouraged to repeat weekly meal plans each month. Significant between group differences in child percent overweight were found (FBT + Variety–15.4% vs. FBT – 8.9%, $p = 0.017$). Variety of RED foods showed a significant between group change by family (FBT + Variety = 20.2 to 12.6 vs. FBT = 19.7 to 16.8, $p = 0.01$) [5].

FBT + Variety in the current investigation will be similar to what was tested in our intervention in the pilot study. FBT + Variety will contain all components of FBT and include an additional dietary goal to reduce RED food variety. At the start of each month, families will identify two RED foods, one dinner entrée and one snack food, they will regularly consume during the month, while limiting consumption of other RED foods. Over the course of the 18-month intervention, families will be encouraged to repeat their two monthly RED food choices, with repetition occurring on months 1, 6, 12, and 18, to further assist with habituation. Entrees will be classified as those foods providing the largest amount of energy at a dinner meal (e.g., pizza, pasta dish, casserole, hamburger/sandwich, meat). Snack foods will be classified as foods that are commonly eaten as part of a meal, but not as an entrée, or snack (e.g., baked goods, granola/snack bars, high-fat crackers, flavored popcorn, frozen dairy-based desserts, ice cream, candy, chips, salty snacks) [38]. Families will be encouraged to pick RED foods previously consumed (to not introduce new foods in the diet) and feel they can consume while also meeting their calorie and RED food servings goals (i.e., they will be advised to not choose RED foods in which it would be challenging to consume only one serving at a time). The flavor of each chosen RED food will also be defined. For example, rather than just selecting ice cream for their chosen RED snack food, participants will choose the flavor of ice cream, such as strawberry ice cream. Families in FBT + Variety will have a goal of consuming the chosen entree 2 times per week and the snack food 4 times per week (any size of serving consumed of these foods will count toward the goal, but families will be encouraged to consume 1 serving of these foods each time so that they stay within the kcal goal). These goals should assist with repeated exposure of these foods, as well as limit consumption of other RED foods, enhancing habituation.

The first RED snack food chosen will be selected during baseline assessments to allow for baseline habituation measures. The first chosen RED dinner entrée will be selected at the start of treatment. These selected RED foods will be the foods consumed at 1, 6, 12, and 18 months.

3.3. Outcome measures

The primary outcome measures are BMI and salivary habituation. Secondary outcome measures are as follows: dietary intake; physical activity; percent overweight and waist circumference; meal planning, preparation, and grocery shopping; child eating pathology; home food inventory; sleep habits; child routines; and compliance and process data. All outcomes, except for compliance and process data will be assessed every 6 months. The assessors will be blinded to the family treatment group.

At each assessment, participants will complete two measurement sessions, one week apart. During the first session, a salivary habituation measure will be taken, an accelerometer-based device (wGT9x, ActiGraph, LLC, Pensacola, FL) will be given to each participant to wear during the next week, and participants will be given a packet of take-home surveys that assess secondary outcome measures of meal planning, preparation, and grocery shopping, child eating pathology, home food inventory, child and adult sleep habits, and child routines. During the week between the two sessions, dietary intake will be assessed using three 24-h recalls via phone. At the second session, the second habituation measure will be taken, and participants will return the wGT9x, and completed surveys.

3.3.1. Child and adult anthropometrics—Both the child's and adult caregiver's weight and height will be assessed using an electronic scale and a stadiometer, respectively. For the child, height and weight will be collected at the assessment visits that happen every 6 months. For the adult caregiver, height will be assessed at the first baseline appointment and weight will be assessed every 6 months. BMI will be calculated by weight in kg/height in m². The child's ZBMI score will be calculated based upon the value of the 50th BMI percentile and the standard deviation of the age and sex appropriate sample from the Centers for Disease Control growth charts [40]. Percent overweight (%OW) will also be calculated ($100 \times [\text{BMI}/50\text{th percentile BMI for child age and sex}]$) [41]. Waist circumference (WC) will be measured using standard procedures [42]. BMI is the primary anthropometric outcome.

3.3.2. Child and adult salivary habituation—To assess habituation of salivary responses to food cues, whole mouth parotid salivary flow will be measured using the Strongin-Hinsie Peck method [43]. Two measures will be taken, one with juice and one with food, with both measures involving 14 salivation trials. Prior to each assessment, participants—the adult caregiver and child dyad—will be given an energy bar (approximately 100 kcal in children and 200 kcal in adult caregivers and not similar in sensory qualities to foods used in the measure) to consume 3 h prior to the measure and be instructed to not consume anything else but water prior to the measure.

At the start of the measure, participants will report the time of consumption of the last food or beverage that was not the provided energy bar, the time of consumption of the provided energy bar, and rate hunger and fullness on a 100 mm visual analogue scale (VAS) (anchors

of extremely not hungry [full] and extremely hungry [full]). Participants will also rate their liking of the juice/food stimuli provided in the measures on a 100 mm VAS (anchors of extremely like and extremely dislike). Next, participants will be trained in the correct placement of three dental cotton rolls (cylindrical, 7 mm diameter, 38 mm length, Richmond Dental, Charlotte, NC); one on each side of the mouth between the cheek and lower gum and one under the tongue. Participants will be instructed not to swallow, talk, or move the cotton rolls once they have been placed in the mouth. Following the 14 salivary collection trials, participants will again rate their hunger and fullness on the VAS.

For the trials with juice stimuli, there will be 2 trials of water, 10 trials of lemon juice, and 2 trials of lime juice. After properly placing the dental cotton rolls in the mouth, a syringe with 0.1 ml of liquid will be given to the participant and the participant will be instructed to place the liquid onto the top of the tongue. While sitting still, the participant will wait for two minutes with the liquid on the tongue. After the two minutes are completed, any remaining liquid will be spit into a cup, the cotton rolls will be removed and placed back into a plastic bag, and a two-minute rest period will begin. Participants will cleanse their mouth with water between each trial.

For the trials with food stimuli, there will be 2 trials of water, 10 trials of RED food, and 2 trials of GREEN food. The two water trials will be conducted as previously stated above. For the food trials, after properly placing the three dental cotton rolls in the mouth, participants will be given a 1 g piece of the food to place and hold on their tongue and be asked to hold a petri dish containing 4 g of the food one inch away from their nose for the two-minute trial. After the two minutes are completed, the cotton rolls will be removed and placed back into a plastic bag, any remaining food will be swallowed, and a two-minute rest period will begin. Participants will cleanse their mouth with water between each trial.

For the habituation measure using food stimuli, the participants randomized to FBT + Variety will be given the RED food that is selected as their chosen RED snack food at the baseline assessment, which will also be consumed during the intervention at months 1, 6, 12, and 18. Child participants randomized to FBT will be given a RED snack food that was not selected as their chosen snack food during the baseline assessment. Each participant will receive the same RED snack food (i.e., their chosen or not their chosen) for each habituation measure. The GREEN food used in the measures will have no sensory similarity to any chosen RED snack food. Adult caregivers will receive the same RED snack food and GREEN food as their child. Participants will also be asked to report on the frequency of consumption of the RED snack food used in the habituation measure during the previous month.

3.3.3. Child and adult dietary intake—Dietary intake for both the child and adult caregiver will be assessed by 3 (2 weekdays and 1 weekend day) 24-h dietary phone recalls, using the five-step, multiple-pass method. A researcher will contact the adult caregiver by phone to ask about all foods and beverages consumed the day prior. After completing the recall for the adult caregiver, the researcher will then repeat the process for the child with the assistance of the adult caregiver.

To enhance the validity of the phone recalls, an ecological momentary assessment platform (EMA) will be used by participants on their smartphones during the week between the two sessions to collect digital images of food and beverages at the beginning and end (showing food remaining) of all eating occasions over the 7 days. Researchers conducting the phone recalls will have access to the images during the 24-h recall to prompt reporting of foods not described and to assist with accurate quantification of food portions consumed. Use of photos with 24-h recalls has increased accuracy of reported energy intake as compared to weighed food intake, with no identified systematic bias [44].

The data collected from the recalls will then be entered into the Nutrition Data System Software for Research (NDSR; Nutrition Coordinating Center, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota). Variables of interest are the variety of RED foods consumed over the three days; and the mean over the three days of daily servings and kcal from RED foods, kcal, energy density (kcal/g), and Healthy Eating Index (HEI)-2015 [45]. Adherence to the prescriptions in the two conditions will be examined. At 6, 12, and 18 months, the percentage of goals met each day will be calculated, with a mean percentage calculated.

3.3.4. Child and adult physical activity—The ActiGraph wGT9x is an accelerometer-based device that will be secured to an elastic belt that is placed around the participant's waist with the device positioned on the right hip at the anterior axillary line. The wGT9x will be initialized to collect raw data at 90 Hz. The child and adult caregiver will be instructed to wear the accelerometer during all waking hours, except during water activities. At minimum, the child and adult caregiver must wear the wGT9x for 10 h/d on at least 4 days, with at least one day being a weekend day. The raw wGT9x data will be used to estimate time spent in MVPA (physical activity at 3.0 metabolic equivalents units) using publicly available algorithms developed for youth [46] and adults [47]. All accelerometer data will be cleaned [48,49] and processed [50] in R.

3.3.5. Meal planning, preparation, and grocery shopping—A 9-item questionnaire assessing parent time scarcity and fatigue as barriers to planning and preparing meals will measure frequency of occurrence of these barriers in adult caregivers. The 9-items have a 5-point scale to assess frequency of occurrence of barriers in the past 2 weeks [51]. Scores for this measure are summed to create an overall score for meal planning and preparation. Higher scores indicate greater frequency of occurrence of barriers in the past 2 weeks. Eight additional questions will assess occurrence of meal planning and grocery shopping in adult caregivers [52]. These questions ask how frequently the caregiver plans meals, the amount of time spent planning meals, and grocery shopping behaviors (i.e. using a list, use of a meal plan when making a list, and time spent grocery shopping).

3.3.6. Child eating pathology—The Kids' Eating Disorder Survey (KEDS), is a 14-item questionnaire that has demonstrated acceptable test-retest reliability and has been validated in children aged 13 and younger, will be used to assess eating pathology. Scores > 16 are considered elevated. The KEDS contains weight dissatisfaction and purging/restricting subscales. Children with elevated scores (> 16) at baseline will require primary care provider consent to participate in the trial [53]. In following assessments, children with

elevated KEDS scores (> 16) will be referred to their primary care provider for further evaluation.

3.3.7. Home food inventory—The Home Food Inventory is a self-report inventory of different types of food in the home [54]. The inventory assesses home availability of fruits, vegetables, dairy products, frozen and prepared desserts, savory snacks, added fats, sugar-sweetened beverages, processed meats, high-fat microwavable foods, and candy. Participants mark yes or no if a listed food item is available in the home. For the categories of frozen desserts; microwavable or quick-cook frozen foods; prepared desserts; chips, crackers, and other snack foods; and candy, additional questions will ask families to list the number of different flavors/types of these foods. Inventory questions with yes/no responses are summed to create an overall food availability score, with a higher score indicating greater food availability. A sub-score for obesogenic home food availability is generated by summing the availability of the following: regular-fat versions of cheese, milk, yogurt, other dairy, frozen desserts, prepared desserts, savory snacks, added fats; regular-sugar beverages; processed meat; high-fat quick, microwavable foods; candy; and access to unhealthy foods in refrigerator and kitchen. For this sub-score, a higher score indicates higher obesogenic home food availability.

3.3.8. Child and adult sleep habits—Child and adult caregiver self-reported usual sleeping and waking behaviors over the previous 2 weeks will be collected. The following questions will be asked for school (work) and weekend nights separately: 1) usual total sleep time (“Figure out how long you usually sleep on a school night and fill it in here,” answered as specific hours and minutes such as 7 h, 30 min); 2) usual bedtime (“What time do you usually go to bed on school days?” answered as one specific time, such as 11:30 p.m.); and 3) usual wake time (“What time do you usually wake up on school days?” answered as one time, such as 6:10 a.m.) [55].

3.3.9. Child routines—The Child Routines Inventory (CRI), an empirically derived, content-valid, parent-report measure of commonly occurring routines in school-aged children will measure the frequency of occurrence of routines in children. This questionnaire has 36 items and asks for frequency of occurrence of the items over the past month, using a 5-point Likert scale with end-points of “almost never” and “nearly always” [56]. Responses are summed to generate a total score; higher CRI scores indicate greater regularity in the child’s routine.

3.3.10. Compliance and process data—As self-monitoring has been shown to be predictive of weight loss [57,58], total number of weekly, and type of self-monitoring records (hard copy or electronic) completed will be assessed. Number of treatment sessions attended will be recorded [59,60]. At follow-up assessments, participants will report on medications used; and participation in any additional diet, activity, or weight loss intervention program.

3.4. Treatment Fidelity

Treatment manuals based on protocols used by the team in the pilot study [17] will be developed. Interventionists will follow a session protocol based upon the treatment manuals. All sessions will be audiotaped and 33% of these sessions will be assessed for treatment fidelity. This will include a checklist of delivery of intervention components for each session (e.g., dietary goals, physical activity goals, behavioral parenting strategies) and fidelity to FBT and FBT + Variety (to address issues of potential contamination). Fidelity will be ascertained by discussion of variety goals in FBT + Variety and lack of discussion regarding variety goals in FBT.

3.5. Power analysis and statistical analysis plan

To characterize change and to determine whether significant variability existed in participants' (both children and their caregiver) rates of change and initial values, a fixed and random effects approach to growth modeling will be used. All models will be estimated in MPlus version 8 [61]. The extent and pattern of missing data will be carefully examined and attrition effects will be evaluated by testing whether systematic differences exist between those who complete the research versus those who drop out. In instances when a small amount of data is missing from a measure (i.e., < 10%) and relevant missing data correlates are included, data will be assumed to be missing at random, and a robust maximum likelihood estimator will be used (MLR) [62]. The MLR estimator adjusts standard errors and chi-squares/loglikelihoods to accommodate missing data, non-normal distributions, and nesting by clinicians and/or cohorts. Missing not at random models will also be estimated to determine whether the models are robust to the missing at random assumption of maximum likelihood estimation [62,63]. Treatment differences by sex, race, and ethnicity will be included as covariate.

Because a non-linear relationship is anticipated between time and all outcomes (e.g. change from 0 to 6, 6 to 12 months, and 12 to 18 months followed either stability or a slight return toward baseline values), unconditional piecewise growth will be modeled using the following random parameters (along with their respective variances): intercept (reflecting individual differences in baseline values), slope 1 (reflecting individually varying rates of change during 0 to 6 months), slope 2 (reflecting individually varying rates of change from 6 to 12 months), and slope 3 (reflecting individually varying rates of change from 12 to 18 months). Given the interest in change across the entire trial and 6 to 18 months, additional models will be estimated, in which the linear change from baseline to 18 months and 6 to 18 months will be modeled.

Although it is expected that randomization will equalize group characteristics, analyses will compare conditions on baseline characteristics (e.g., age, education), taking into account condition and wave. If differences occur, these variables will be covariates if related to the dependent variable of interest.

In order to determine the influence of FBT + Variety on long-term habituation to RED foods, analyses will use the growth modeling analyses as described in the overview, but will involve additional within-subjects source of variation from the two sets of stimuli in the

habituation trials, and covariates of liking measures in the salivary habituation measure (controlling for differences in food liking between participants). To examine if habituation rate mediates the relationship between limited variety and changes in dietary intake and BMI, path analyses will be conducted, controlling for baseline BMI (or dietary variable), sex, race, and intervention group, using exported slopes and intercepts from the previous growth models.

In order to assess the influence of habituation rate on moderating outcomes in FBT + Variety and FBT, analyses will use the aforementioned growth modeling analyses, but will involve an additional between-subjects source of variation from the 0-month lemon juice salivary habituation measure that will classify participants as “slower” and “faster” habituators.

Sample size calculations presume 2-sided hypothesis testing at 18-month follow-up, with type one error rate (alpha) equal to 0.05. There will be 78 participants randomized to each condition, and all analyses will be conducted with the intent-to-treat principle. To reject with 80% power the null hypothesis of no treatment difference vs. the alternative that the treatment difference is $d = 0.51$ or greater (projected effect size at 18-months based upon percent overweight differences and differences in energy from fat between the FBT and FBT + Variety conditions at 6 months in our pilot work) [17], 62 children per condition are required. This provides 80% power to detect a difference of 0.23 ZBMI between conditions (considered clinically relevant), given a standard deviation of 0.46 at 18 months [24] as well as medium-sized single regression coefficients ($f^2 \approx 0.06$). The intervention with 78 participants per group to allow for 20% attrition at 18 months.

4. Discussion

While FBT has been shown to be an efficacious intervention, strengthening long-term outcomes (> 12 months) for improving weight status is needed. Previous research suggests that enhancing long-term reduction of RED food intake could be a strategy for improving long-term weight outcomes from FBT. One method to achieve long-term reduction of RED food intake is to translate a basic behavioral science concept into a behavioral intervention, to strengthen the intervention’s ability to alter eating behavior.

The ORBIT model serves as a platform to guide the goal of translating basic behavior sciences into behavioral treatments aimed toward preventing or treating chronic illness [64]. After identifying a behavior risk factor that, if addressed, could solve a clinical problem, the model utilizes a four-phase approach of optimization of and translation into a clinical treatment. Phase I involves the identification of a hypothesized pathway by which a proposed treatment may solve the clinical problem identified (Phase Ia) and refining the treatment to improve efficiency without sacrificing clinically significant changes (Phase Ib). After this “design” phase is established, Phase II utilizes proof-of-concept studies to determine if a clinically significant change in a behavioral risk factor is observed using an ambitious clinical criterion within a randomized study design (Phase IIa) and pilot testing to determine if the clinically significant changes are still observed in a larger more representative sample (Phase IIb). Upon completion of the development and testing of the treatment package, Phase III studies evaluate the efficacy of the proposed behavioral

treatment before the effectiveness is evaluated in Phase IV. The purpose of this study is to advance a behavioral treatment built upon habituation theory within the ORBIT model framework as an efficacy trial (Phase III) to treat families with overweight and/or obesity.

We have conducted a line of research that fits the ORBIT model, and has assisted with translating basic behavioral research into a behavioral intervention. When contextualized within the ORBIT model, the identified clinical problem we have targeted is long-term reduction of RED food intake. As previously discussed, habituation theory provides a framework for how repeated presentation of a stimulus, such as repeated bites and tastes of a food, influences the response to the stimulus [7]. As habituation is a form of basic learning, hastening the rate of learning a specific food should reduce intake of that food, due to decreased responding [7]. Phase Ia research has demonstrated the occurrence of habituation to repeated presentations of the same food cue via the salivary response, a cephalic phase response that prepares the body for the ingestion of food, as well as the motivational response to food [65–67]. Furthermore, previous evidence suggests that the rate of habituation is related to the amount of food consumed, such that a faster rate of habituation is related to a significantly lower amount of food consumed [65]. In contrast, novel food cues produce dishabituation, such that presenting a variety of food cues across trials slows down the overall rate of habituation [7,8,68]. Furthermore, this slower habituation rate produces greater energy intake [8,10,68]. This line of research supports a hypothesized pathway, by which a proposed treatment, reducing variety of RED foods, may solve the clinical problem, over consumption of RED foods.

Evidence from Phase Ib studies have continued to refine the application of habituation theory by examining the effect of food variety on habituation rate and intake over 5 days [9]. In a laboratory setting, children were presented with macaroni and cheese to consume at dinner on day 1 of the study. On days 2–4, children either received macaroni and cheese to consume at dinner or three different entrees to consume at dinner. On day 5, all children received macaroni and cheese again for dinner. Habituation to macaroni and cheese was measured on day 1 and day 5. On day 5, the rate of habituation to macaroni and cheese was faster in children eating macaroni and cheese on all 5 days for dinner, while it was slower in the children receiving a variety of entrees for dinner. Furthermore, when macaroni and cheese intake at dinner was measured on day 5, children consuming macaroni and cheese at dinner over 5 days significantly decreased energy intake from the macaroni and cheese on day 5, while those children who had eaten a variety of entrees over 5 days significantly increased energy intake from the macaroni and cheese on day 5. These data suggest that repeated exposure to the same stimulus over several days increased the rate of habituation and reduced intake. Thus, limiting variety and repeating exposure to the same food is important to influence intake across several days.

Within Phase IIa of the ORBIT model, a second experiment examined variety on dinner intake over a 4-week period in a free-living situation [9]. During the 4 weeks, children consumed the same entrée for dinner for at least 2 nights per week or different entrees each night. When children consumed the same entrée on at least 2 nights per week (repeated exposure), total self-reported energy intake at dinner was lower as compared to the condition that consumed a variety of entrees.

Taken together, these data suggest that reducing variety of foods and repeating exposure to the same food causes habituation to occur more rapidly and decreases energy intake of the food that has been consistently consumed within one eating occasion and across eating occasions over several weeks. Thus, a limited variety dietary prescription that increases the rate of habituation to foods which contribute more energy to the diet, such as high energy-dense (RED) foods, may be a behavioral strategy that can assist with reducing intake, thereby improving weight management interventions.

In our 6-month pilot study (Phase IIb of the ORBIT model), 24 families were randomized to FBT or to FBT that included a limited dietary variety prescription (FBT + Variety) [17]. This pilot study found that FBT + Variety had greater improvements in child weight status at 6 months and that there was a greater decrease in RED food variety in FBT + Variety. Furthermore, significant between group differences in change in fat calories were also observed at the family level (FBT + Variety = 711.1 to 350.8 kcal vs. FBT = 653.9 to 494.0 kcal, $p = 0.027$), and positive relationships were observed between reductions in variety of RED foods and reductions in child ZBMI ($r = 0.54$, $p = 0.02$). These pilot data suggest that reducing the variety of RED foods, predominantly through limiting variety in dinner entrees and snack foods, within the context of FBT resulted in improved child weight changes at six months. Thus, reducing variety may be a complement to standard FBT that enhances weight loss.

The current study will translate this line of basic behavioral research on habituation into an efficacy trial (Phase III of the ORBIT model) for childhood obesity treatment. The trial will investigate the implementation of a novel limited dietary variety prescription within FBT to promote a faster food habituation rate, reducing energy intake, amplifying long-term weight loss in children. This dietary prescription is highly innovative as no other dietary strategy uses this mechanism to reduce intake. This is important, as other dietary approaches have not been shown to be efficacious over the long-term for reducing energy intake. Moreover, this investigation will be the first intervention study to measure the potential mechanism, habituation, by which reducing dietary variety assists with reducing intake. Finally, this study will explore the moderating effect of a behavioral phenotype on the limited dietary prescription. This will assist with identifying which children best benefit from the limited variety prescription. The concept of individualizing childhood obesity treatment (“precision medicine”) will be tested in future research.

Acknowledgements

Funding

The proposed study reported is supported by the National Institutes of Health under award number 1R01DK121360–01. The funder had no role in any aspects of study design or manuscript preparation and submission.

Abbreviations:

CRI	Child Routines Inventory
FBT	Family-based childhood obesity treatment

FBT + Variety	Family-based childhood obesity treatment with a limited RED food variety prescription
HEI	Healthy Eating Index
IRB	Institutional Review Board
KEDS	Kids' Eating Disorder Survey
PAR-Q	Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire
MVPA	Moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity
NDSR	Nutrition Data System for Research
UTK	University of Tennessee Knoxville
VAS	Visual analog scale
WC	Waist circumference
ZBMI	Standardized body mass index

References

- [1]. Altman M, Wilfley DE, Evidence update on the treatment of overweight and obesity in children and adolescents, *J. Clin. Child Adolesc. Psychol* 44 (2015) 521–537. [PubMed: 25496471]
- [2]. Best JR, Goldschmidt AB, Mockus-Valenzuela DS, Stein RI, Epstein LH, Wilfley DE, Shared weight and dietary changes in parent-child dyads following family-based obesity treatment, *Health Psychol* 35 (2016) 92–95. [PubMed: 26192385]
- [3]. Hommel KA, Modi AC, Piazza-Waggoner C, Myers JD, Topical review: translating translational research in behavioral science, *J. Pediatr. Psychol* 40 (2015) 1034–1040. [PubMed: 26050244]
- [4]. Czajkowski SM, Lynch MR, Hall KL, Stipelman BA, Haverkos L, Perl H, Scott MS, Shirley MC, Transdisciplinary translational behavioral (TDTB) research: opportunities, barriers, and innovators, *Transl. Behav. Med* 6 (2016) 32–43. [PubMed: 27012251]
- [5]. Epstein LH, Kilanowski C, Paluch RA, Raynor H, Daniel TO, Reducing variety enhances effectiveness of family-based treatment for pediatric obesity, *Eat. Behav* 17 (2015) 140–143. [PubMed: 25706950]
- [6]. Epstein LH, Temple JL, Roemmich JN, Bouton ME, Habituation as a determinant of food intake, *Psychol. Rev* 116 (2009) 384–407. [PubMed: 19348547]
- [7]. Epstein LH, Temple JL, Roemmich JN, Bouton ME, Habituation as a determinant of human food intake, *Psychol. Rev* 116 (2) (2009) 384–407. [PubMed: 19348547]
- [8]. Temple JL, Giacomelli AM, Roemmich JN, Epstein LH, Dietary variety impairs habituation in children, *Health Psychol* 27 (1S) (2008) S10–S19. [PubMed: 18248101]
- [9]. Epstein LH, Fletcher KD, O'Neill J, Roemmich JN, Raynor H, Bouton ME, Food characteristics, long-term habituation and energy intake, Laboratory and field studies, *Appetite* 60 (1) (2013) 40–50. [PubMed: 23085682]
- [10]. Epstein LH, Robinson JL, Temple JL, Roemmich JN, Marusewski AL, Nadbrzuch RL, Variety influences habituation of motivated behavior for food and energy intake in children, *Am. J. Clin. Nutr* 89 (3) (2009) 746–754. [PubMed: 19176724]
- [11]. Bond DS, Raynor HA, Vithiananthan S, Sax HC, Pohl D, Roye GD, Ryder BA, Wing RR, Differences in salivary habituation to a taste stimulus in bariatric surgery candidates and normal-weight controls, *Obes. Surg* 19 (7) (2009) 873–878. [PubMed: 19455371]

- [12]. Temple JL, Giacomelli AM, Roemmich JN, Epstein LH, Overweight children habituate slower than non-overweight children to food, *Physiol. Behav* 91 (2–3) (2007) 250–254. [PubMed: 17459429]
- [13]. Bond DS, Raynor HA, McCaffery JM, Wing RR, Salivary habituation to food stimuli in successful weight loss maintainers, obese and normal-weight adults, *Int. J. Obes* 34 (3) (2010) 593–596.
- [14]. Epstein LH, Robinson JL, Temple JL, Roemmich JN, Marusewski A, Nadbrzuch R, Sensitization and habituation of motivated behavior in overweight and non-overweight children, *Learn. Motiv* 39 (3) (2008) 243–255. [PubMed: 19649135]
- [15]. Aspen VA, Stein RI, Wilfley DE, An exploration of salivation patterns in normal weight and obese children, *Appetite* 58 (2) (2012) 539–542. [PubMed: 22172456]
- [16]. Epstein LH, Carr KA, Cavanaugh MD, Paluch RA, Bouton ME, Long-term habituation to food in obese and nonobese women, *Am. J. Clin. Nutr* 94 (2) (2011) 371–376. [PubMed: 21593492]
- [17]. Epstein LH, Kilanowski C, Paluch RA, Raynor H, Daniel TO, Reducing variety enhances effectiveness of family-based treatment for pediatric obesity, *Eat. Behav* 17 (2015) 140–143. [PubMed: 25706950]
- [18]. Thomas S, Reading J, Shephard RJ, Revision of the physical activity readiness questionnaire (PAR-Q), *Can. J. Sport Sci* 17 (4) (1992) 338–345. [PubMed: 1330274]
- [19]. Stout RL, Wirtz PW, Carbonari JP, Del Boca FK, Ensuring balanced distribution of prognostic factors in treatment outcome research, *J. Stud. Alcohol Suppl* 12 (1994) 70–75. [PubMed: 7723001]
- [20]. Wei LJ, Lachin JM, Properties of the urn randomization in clinical trials, *Control. Clin. Trials* 9 (4) (1988) 345–364. [PubMed: 3203525]
- [21]. Force UPST, Screening for obesity in children and adolescents: US preventive services task Force recommendation statement, *J. Am. Med. Assoc* 317 (23) (2017) 2417–2426.
- [22]. American Psychological Association Clinical Practice Guideline Panel, Clinical practice guideline for multicomponent behavioral treatment of obesity and overweight in children and adolescents: Current state of the evidence and research needs, (2018).
- [23]. Xanthopoulos MS, Moore RH, Wadden TA, Bishop-Gilyard CT, Gehrman CA, Berkowitz RI, The association between weight loss in caregivers and adolescents in a treatment trial of adolescents with obesity, *J. Pediatr. Psychol* 38 (7) (2013) 766–774. [PubMed: 23629145]
- [24]. Best JR, Goldschmidt AB, Mockus-Valenzuela DS, Stein RI, Epstein LH, Wilfley DE, Shared weight and dietary changes in parent-child dyads following family-based obesity treatment, *Health Psychol* 35 (1) (2016) 92–95. [PubMed: 26192385]
- [25]. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2015–2020 Dietary Guidelines for Americans - How to Build a Healthy Eating Pattern, (2017).
- [26]. Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee (Ed.), 2018 Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee Scientific Report, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Washington, DC, 20182018.
- [27]. Altman M, Wilfley DE, Evidence update on the treatment of overweight and obesity in children and adolescents, *J. Clin. Child. Adolesc. Psychol* 44 (4) (2015) 521–537. [PubMed: 25496471]
- [28]. Skelton JA, Buehler C, Irby MB, Grzywacz JG, Where are family theories in family-based obesity treatment?: conceptualizing the study of families in pediatric weight management, *Int. J. Obes* 36 (7) (2012) 891–900.
- [29]. Marlatt GA, Donovan DM, Relapse prevention: Maintenance strategies in the treatment of addictive behaviors, Guilford press, 2005.
- [30]. Myers MD, Epstein LH, The effect of dietary fat on salivary habituation and satiation, *Physiol. Behav* 62 (1997) 155–161. [PubMed: 9226356]
- [31]. Myers ME, Epstein LH, Habituation of responding for food in humans, *Appetite* 38 (2002) 224–234. [PubMed: 12071689]
- [32]. Epstein LH, Paluch RA, Habituation of facial muscle responses to repeated food stimuli, *Appetite* 29 (1997) 213–224. [PubMed: 9344429]

- [33]. Epstein LH, Robinson JL, Temple JL, Roemmich JN, Marusewski AL, Nadbrzuch RL, Variety influences habituation of motivated behavior for food and energy intake in children, *Am. J. Clin. Nutr* 89 (2009) 746–754. [PubMed: 19176724]
- [34]. Temple JL, Giacomelli AM, Roemmich JN, Epstein LH, Dietary variety impairs habituation in children, *Health Psychol* 27 (2008) S10–S19. [PubMed: 18248101]
- [35]. Epstein LH, Fletcher KD, O'Neill J, Roemmich JN, Raynor H, Bouton ME, Food characteristics, long-term habituation and energy intake: laboratory and field studies, *Appetite* 60 (2013) 40–50. [PubMed: 23085682]
- [36]. Raynor HA, Jeffery RW, Tate DF, Wing RR, Relationship between changes in food group variety, dietary intake, and weight during obesity treatment, *Int. J. Obes* 28 (2004) 813–820.
- [37]. Raynor HA, Niemeier HN, Wing RR, Effect of limiting snack food variety on long-term sensory-specific satiety and monotony during obesity treatment, *Eat. Behav* 7 (2006) 1–14. [PubMed: 16360618]
- [38]. Raynor HA, Steeves EA, Hecht J, Fava J, Wing RR, Limiting variety in non-nutrient-dense, energy-dense foods during a lifestyle intervention: a randomized controlled trial, *Am. J. Clin. Nutr* 95 (2012) 1305–1314. [PubMed: 22552025]
- [39]. Raynor HA, Jeffery RW, Phelan S, Hill JO, Wing RR, Amount of food group variety consumed in the diet and long-term weight loss maintenance, *Obes. Res* 13 (5) (2005) 883–890. [PubMed: 15919842]
- [40]. Kuczmarski RJ, Ogden CL, Grummer-Strawn LM, CDC Growth Charts: United States, National Center for Health Statistics, Hayattsville, MD, (2000).
- [41]. Coppock JH, Ridolfi DR, Hayes JF, St Paul M, Wilfley DE, Current approaches to the management of pediatric overweight and obesity, *Curr. Treat. Options Cardiovasc. Med* 16 (2014) 343. [PubMed: 25205083]
- [42]. Lohman TR, Roche AF, Martorell R, *Anthropometric Standardization Reference Manual*, Human Kinetics Books, Champaign, Illinois, 1988.
- [43]. Peck RE, The SHP test—an aid in the detection and measurement of depression, *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 1 (1959) 35–40.
- [44]. Lazarte CE, Encinas ME, Alegre C, Granfeldt Y, Validation of digital photographs, as a tool in 24-h recall, for the improvement of dietary assessment among rural populations in developing countries, *Nutr. J* 11 (2012) 61. [PubMed: 22931128]
- [45]. Krebs-Smith SM, Pannucci TE, Subar AF, Kirkpatrick SI, Lerman JL, Tooze JA, Wilson MM, Reedy J, Update of the healthy eating index: HEI-2015, *J. Acad. Nutr. Diet* 118 (9) (2018) 1591–1602. [PubMed: 30146071]
- [46]. Crouter SE, Horton M, Bassett DR Jr., Use of a two-regression model for estimating energy expenditure in children, *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc* 44 (6) (2012) 1177–1185. [PubMed: 22143114]
- [47]. Hibbing PR, Lamunion SR, Kaplan AS, Crouter SE, Estimating energy expenditure with actigraph GT9x inertial measurement unit, *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc* 50 (5) (2018) 1093–1102. [PubMed: 29271847]
- [48]. Hibbing PR, van Hees VT, LaMunion SR, Judge D, Maygarden J, AGread: Read Data Files from ActiGraph Monitors, <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/AGread/index.html>, (2019).
- [49]. Choi L, Zhouwen L, Matthews CE, Buchowski MS, PhysicalActivity: Process Physical Activity Accelerometer Data, <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=PhysicalActivity>, (2011).
- [50]. Hibbing PR, van Hees VT, TwoRegression: Process Data from Wearable Research Devices Using Two-Regression Algorithms, <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/TwoRegression/index.html>, (2018).
- [51]. Storer-Isser A, Musher-Eizenman D, Measuring parent time scarcity and fatigue as barriers to meal planning and preparation: quantitative scale development, *J. Nutr. Educ. Behav* 45 (2) (2013) 176–182. [PubMed: 23253605]
- [52]. Beatty TK, Nanney MS, Tuttle C, Time to eat? The relationship between food security and food-related time use, *Public Health Nutr* 17 (1) (2014) 66–72. [PubMed: 23340255]
- [53]. Childress AC, Brewerton TD, Hodges EL, Jarrell MP, The Kids' eating disorders survey (KEDS): a study of middle school students, *J. Am. Acad. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry* 32 (4) (1993) 843–850. [PubMed: 8340308]

- [54]. Fulkerson JA, Nelson MC, Lytle L, Moe S, Heitzler C, Pasch KE, The validation of a home food inventory, *Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act* 5 (2008) 55. [PubMed: 18983668]
- [55]. Wolfson AR, Carskadon MA, Acebo C, Seifer R, Fallone G, Labyak SE, Martin JL, Evidence for the validity of a sleep habits survey for adolescents, *Sleep* 26 (2) (2003) 213–216. [PubMed: 12683482]
- [56]. Sytsma SE, Kelley ML, Wymer JH, Development and initial validation of the child routines inventory, *J. Psychopathol. Behav. Assess* 23 (4) (2001) 241–251.
- [57]. Baker RC, Kirschenbaum DS, Self-monitoring may be necessary for successful weight control, *Behav. Ther* 24 (3) (1993) 17.
- [58]. Boutelle KN, Kirschenbaum DS, Further support for consistent self-monitoring as a vital component of successful weight control, *Obes. Res* 6 (3) (1998) 219–224. [PubMed: 9618126]
- [59]. Perri M, Corsica J, Improving maintenance of weight loss in behavioral treatment of obesity, in: Wadden T, Stunkard A (Eds.), *Handbook of Obesity Treatment*, Guilford Press, New York, 2002.
- [60]. Perri MG, The maintenance of treatment effects in the long-term management of obesity, *Clin. Psychol. Sci. Pract* 5 (4) (1998) 526–543.
- [61]. Muthén LK, Muthén BO, *Mplus User's Guide*, Eighth edition, Los Angeles, CA, 1998–2017.
- [62]. Enders CK, *Applied Missing Data Analysis*, Guilford press, New York, NY, 2010.
- [63]. Enders CK, Analyzing longitudinal data with missing values, *Rehabil. Psychol* 56 (4) (2011) 267–288. [PubMed: 21967118]
- [64]. Czajkowski SM, Powell LH, Adler N, Naar-King S, Reynolds KD, Hunter CM, Laraia B, Olster DH, Perna FM, Peterson JC, Epel E, Boyington JE, Charlson ME, From ideas to efficacy: the ORBIT model for developing behavioral treatments for chronic diseases, *Health Psychol* 34 (10) (2015) 971–982. [PubMed: 25642841]
- [65]. Myers MD, Epstein LH, The effect of dietary fat on salivary habituation and satiation, *Physiol. Behav* 62 (1) (1997) 155–161. [PubMed: 9226356]
- [66]. Epstein LH, Saad FG, Giacomelli AM, Roemmich JN, Effects of allocation of attention on habituation to olfactory and visual food stimuli in children, *Physiol. Behav* 84 (2) (2005) 313–319. [PubMed: 15708783]
- [67]. Temple JL, Kent KM, Giacomelli AM, Paluch RA, Roemmich JN, Epstein LH, Habituation and recovery of salivation and motivated responding for food in children, *Appetite* 46 (3) (2006) 280–284. [PubMed: 16624446]
- [68]. Myers Ernst M, Epstein LH, Habituation of responding for food in humans, *Appetite* 38 (3) (2002) 224–234. [PubMed: 12071689]

Fig. 1.

For the aims of the study, it is hypothesized that a limited variety RED food dietary prescription will improve weight outcomes (primary aim), and that the dietary prescription will produce a greater habituation rate to RED foods (primary aim), and habituation rate will mediate differences in RED food energy intake and body mass index. In addition, it is hypothesized that habituation behavioral phenotype will moderate the relationship between the limited variety RED food prescription and habituation rate to RED foods, such that those with a behavioral phenotype indicating a slower rate of habituation will benefit more from the limited variety RED food dietary prescription (exploratory aim).

Table 1

Families Becoming Healthy Together Group Meeting Schedule.

	Week	Session	Topic
Every Week	Week of	1	Introduction to the Families Becoming Healthy Together Program
	Week of	2	Why the Traffic Light Diet Eating Plan
	Week of	3	Learning More about the Traffic Light Diet Eating Plan
	Week of	4	How Keeping Track Helps with Developing a Healthy Lifestyle
	Week of	5	Getting Everyone to Be Physically Active
	Week of	6	Positive Reinforcement for Lifestyle Change
	Week of	7	Making A Healthy Home Environment That Supports Lifestyle Change
	Week of	8	Planning Meals and Snacks
	Week of	9	Role Modeling and Family Social Support
	Week of	10	Lifestyle and Family Activity
	Week of	11	Screen Time and Sedentary Behavior
	Week of	12	Healthy Eating Choices Away from Home
	Week of	13	Problem Solving and Pre-Planning
	Week of	14	Special Events: Holidays and Celebrations
	Week of	15	More Positive Reinforcement for Motivation
	Week of	16	Family Team Work and Communication
Every Other Week	Week of	17	How to Interpret Hunger and How Food is Used in Ways Not Related to Hunger
	Week of	18	Accepting Your Body and Handling Teasing and Bullying
	Week of	19	Relapse Prevention
	Week of	20	Looking at Your Progress and Developing Next Steps
Every Month	Week of	21	Emotions and Thoughts about Food
	Week of	22	Fun Family Activities
	Week of	23	Healthy Cooking
	Week of	24	Healthy Routines
	Week of	25	Plans for High Risk Situations
	Week of	26	What's the Latest Fad about Healthy Eating
Every Other Month	Week of	27	Handling Changes in Schedule
	Week of	28	Physical Activity: Resistance and Flexibility Training
	Week of	29	Moving on with Confidence