Published in final edited form as:

J Aging Phys Act. 2021 October ; 29(5): 721–734. doi:10.1123/japa.2020-0119.

Adherence to the Class-Based Component of a Tai Chi Exercise Intervention for People Living with Dementia and Their Informal Carers

Dr Yolanda Barrado-Martín, PhD,

Department of Psychology and Ageing & Dementia Research Centre (ADRC), Bournemouth University, Talbot Campus, Fern Barrow, Poole, BH12 5BB, United Kingdom

Dr Michelle Heward, PhD,

Ageing & Dementia Research Centre (ADRC) and Department of Rehabilitation and Sport Science, Bournemouth University, Talbot Campus, Fern Barrow, Poole, BH12 5BB, United Kingdom

Professor Remco Polman, PhD,

School Exercise & Nutrition Sciences, Queensland University of Technology, Kelvin Grove Campus Brisbane QLD, 4059, Australia

Dr Samuel R. Nyman, PhD

Department of Psychology and Ageing & Dementia Research Centre (ADRC), Bournemouth University, Talbot Campus, Fern Barrow, Poole, BH12 5BB, United Kingdom

Michelle Heward: mheward@bournemouth.ac.uk; Remco Polman: remco.polman@qut.edu.au; Samuel R. Nyman: snyman@bournemouth.ac.uk

Abstract

Objective—The aim of this study was to understand the experiences of people living with dementia and their informal carers' taking part together (in dyads) in Tai Chi classes and the aspects influencing their adherence.

Methods—Dyads' experiences of taking part in Tai Chi classes for 20 weeks within the TACIT Trial were explored through class observations (n = 22 dyads), home-interviews (n = 15 dyads) and feedback. Data was inductively coded following thematic analysis.

Correspondence to: Yolanda Barrado-Martín.

Corresponding author: Yolanda Barrado-Martín y.barrado@ucl.ac.uk.

Present address: Centre for Ageing & Population Studies, Research Department of Primary Care & Population Health, Upper 3rd Floor, Royal Free Campus, Rowland Hill St. London, NW3 2PF, United Kingdom. +44 (0)2031 088282

Present address: Department of Medical Science and Public Health, Bournemouth University, Talbot Campus, Fern Barrow, Poole, BH12 5BB, United Kingdom

Sponsor's Role

A representative of Southern Health NHS Foundation Trust was a member of the trial management group and had critical input into the design and management of the trial, including acting as a recruitment site. The Sponsor had no input into the methods, data collection, data analysis, or preparation of the paper.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Results—Tai Chi classes designed for people with dementia and their informal carers were enjoyable and its movements, easy to learn. Facilitators of their adherence were the socializing component and their enjoyment of the classes, whereas unexpected health problems were the main barrier.

Discussion—Finding the optimal level of challenge in the class setting might be crucial for people with dementia to feel satisfied about their progression over sessions and enable their continued participation.

Keywords

Falls; Barriers; Facilitators; Qualitative research; Self-determination theory

Introduction

Dementia is estimated to affect 50 million people around the globe with prospects pointing towards 131.5 million people living with dementia by 2050 (Alzheimer's Disease International, 2015). Its prevalence, the impact on the person with the diagnosis, their relatives, the strains on the social and healthcare systems, and the lack of a cure have made dementia a public health priority (Department of Health, 2015; WHO, 2018). Similarly, falls have also been identified as a global problem among older people due to its higher prevalence in this population and associated risk of mortality (WHO, 2007). Among older adults, people living with dementia are more likely to experience falls than their peers as well as experience more severe consequences after a fall, including increased dependence and death (Allan, Ballard, Rowan, & Kenny, 2009; Fernando, Fraser, Hendriksen, Kim, & Muir-Hunter, 2017; Shaw, 2003). Hence, the development of interventions to prevent falls and people living with dementia's adherence to these is key to improving their own and their carers' quality of life.

Exercise is an effective intervention to prevent falls, particularly those exercises challenging balance, such as Tai Chi (Nyman, 2020; Sherrington et al., 2019). However, adherence to exercise and falls prevention interventions has been reported as a limitation for older people living with dementia to get the benefits of these interventions (Burton et al., 2015). Previous research has highlighted characteristics of the exercise intervention that would facilitate people living with dementia's adherence to exercise classes (i.e., including a socializing component, tailoring exercise to individual needs, and memory aids such as attendance reminders) (Dal Bello-Haas, O'Connell, Morgan, & Crossley, 2014; Frederiksen, Sobol, Beyer, Hasselbalch, & Waldemar, 2014; Pitkälä et al., 2013), of the instructor (i.e., charisma and expertise) (Dal Bello-Haas et al., 2014; Frederiksen et al., 2014; Pitkälä et al., 2013; Prick, de Lange, van 't Leven, & Pot, 2014), and participants (i.e., ability to sustain attention, expectations, perceived benefits and motivations) (Dal Bello-Haas et al., 2014; Frederiksen et al., 2014; Prick et al., 2014). Whereas factors that hinder their sustained participation in exercise classes include previous health conditions and frailty (Chong et al., 2014; McCurry et al., 2011), excessive content (or introduced in a speedy manner or not adapted to participants needs) (Chong et al., 2014; Frederiksen et al., 2014; McPhate et al., 2016), or adverse events (Frederiksen et al., 2014; Teri et al., 1998).

Despite the positive qualities attributed to Tai Chi for older people (i.e., in terms of physical and psychological improvements (Li et al., 2005; Sun et al., 2015; Voukelatos, Cumming, Lord, & Rissel, 2007)), previous exercise trials have tended to exclude people living with dementia. When people living with dementia have been invited to participate, studies have mainly focused on the effectiveness of the interventions to prevent falls and their experiences have not been explored (Yao, Giordani, Algase, You, & Alexander, 2012). Although Suttanon et al. (2012) examined qualitatively the motivators and barriers for participants with dementia for home-based exercise to date no falls prevention studies have explored the factors associated with adherence to class-based exercise in individuals with dementia and their family carers. One previous study focused on the acceptability of Tai Chi among people living with dementia, however, this was conducted in the context of a four week Pilot Intervention Phase (Barrado-Martín, Heward, Polman, & Nyman, 2019). Hence, there is the need to study long-term barriers and facilitators to their adherence to Tai Chi classes, and whether lessons learnt have an impact on a long-term adherence intervention. Likewise, previous exercise research in people living with dementia have scarcely linked their findings to existing theory (Yu & Swartwood, 2012). Hence, there is a lack of understanding of what people living with dementia and their carers would need to facilitate attendance to exercise classes and potentially obtain its benefits.

Self-determination theory (SDT) seems particularly appropriate in the exercise context as it has been found useful to understand the motivational orientation to adopt and maintain exercise behaviour (Ingledew, Markland, & Medley, 1998). SDT postulates that individuals are driven by an innate tendency to discover their environment and satisfy three basic needs of autonomy, competence and relatedness. SDT suggests that individuals will be more likely to sustain behaviours that are self-determined, which are intrinsically enjoyable for the individual and fulfils their basic needs (Deci & Ryan, 2000).

The current study, embedded in the TACIT Trial (Trial Registration: NCT02864056) that was designed to explore Tai Chi's impact on people living with dementia's postural balance, aimed to understand what is influencing those living with dementia and their carers' participation in Tai Chi exercise classes. SDT was used jointly with previous research to discuss this study findings and its fit with SDT postulates regarding the relevance of the three basic needs and the self-determination required to sustain adherence to exercise practice.

Design and methods

Participants

Participants were recruited between April 2017 and July 2018. Recruitment sources included 3 National Health Service Trusts, and 15 General Practitioner surgeries, Join Dementia Research Website, the Alzheimer's Society and publicity (via flyers or face-to-face events attended by Bournemouth University Team) across three different research sites in the South of England. A total of 359 participants were referred and screened by the Bournemouth University Team, amongst those 86 were randomized after being found eligible and willing to take part in the trial. Of these 86 dyads, 42 dyads were allocated to the intervention arm and were divided into 10 different groups.

This study sample represents participant dyads allocated to the intervention arm of the first 6 out of the 10 groups organized ($n = 25$). Dyads were formed of a person with dementia and their informal carer (non-professional, unpaid carer, like a family member or friend providing support). Demographic characteristics of participants included in the study are provided in Table 1 and inclusion and exclusion criteria are described in Table 2. Amongst recruited participants, three dyads did not attend any of the classes (one participant living with dementia changed her mind regarding participation in the study after randomisation and a second participant had health issues that impeded attendance to classes) or discontinued participation before being observed (one dyad due to competing commitments), and hence only 22 dyads were included in the analysis. Most participants observed during classes ($n = 22$) were later interviewed ($n = 15$) as reflected in Supplementary Material B.

Design and instruments

A qualitative approach was used to explore dyads' experiences of taking part in the TACIT Trial. At baseline participants' demographic details were collected through a structured questionnaire (see Table 1). Semi-structured observation sheets were used during observations of classes, to help the researcher note their observations and collect feedback from instructors and participants at the end of the classes in a systematic way. Likewise, a semi-structured schedule was used to guide dyadic interviews with dyads following their participation in the intervention (see Supplementary Material A). Data collection tools were developed based on previous research and the experiences of our Pilot Intervention Phase (Barrado-Martín, Heward, Polman, & Nyman, 2019). Elements that could influence adherence as well as elements from self-determination theory such as the three basic needs were included in these sources of data collection. For instance, the interview topic guide included aspects about participants' feelings taking part in a group intervention and their willingness to sustain these relationships beyond their involvement in the study (relatedness) and how did they feel about the intensity or the level of difficulty of the classes (competence). Observation and feedback sheets, on the other side, had a box dedicated to comments made by participants during classes regarding their competence performing the moves and researcher's observations on their performance. Likewise, another box was dedicated to observations on relatedness or how participants reacted to practising with others (e.g. interacting with others, looking at others during the classes and non-verbal communication). Finally, another box was dedicated to autonomy need where participants' comments and observations on their independent and voluntary participation in the classes were captured.

Procedure

Participants in the intervention arm were invited to join weekly Tai Chi (Chen style) classes for 20 weeks (where 8 warm up patterns, and 5 tai chi forms pattern were taught), as well as practicing at home for 20 minutes a day. Each class consisted of 45 minutes of Tai Chi practice (warm-up, patterns and relaxation) with emphasis on posture and breathing plus up to 45 minutes for informal conversation with peers and Q&A with the instructor (for more detail see study protocol (Nyman et al., 2018)). The warm-ups and patterns were progressively introduced over classes. During classes, the new movements were taught through instructor demonstration and verbal cues. Each movement was repeated until

participants were able to follow the instructor correctly, the instructor corrected participants' moves verbally and where required also physically. Likewise, as per protocol, throughout their participation in the study (from baseline up until the follow-up home visit), participants received weekly falls monitoring calls by first author (second author acted as cover when first author was unavailable) (Nyman et al., 2019). The classes were led by two fully trained and experienced Tai Chi instructors. The class venues were chosen by the research team after assessing a series of accessibility, maintenance, and suitability criteria tested in the Pilot Intervention Phase of the TACIT Trial (Barrado-Martín et al., 2019).

Throughout the 20-week period a researcher observed a total of 23 classes, this was made up of between 2 and 5 classes from each Tai Chi group (less observations were made when the group was left with a single dyad attending until data saturation had been reached). Feedback from participants and instructors was collected at the end of each observed class ($n = 23$). Additionally, instructors' notes in the class registers were also incorporated in the analysis. Around week 16, 15 dyads were invited to take part in a dyadic interview at home. A purposive sampling strategy ensured a range of participants with differing adherence experiences were included, such as dyads that were able to attend all sessions and others who were not. All dyads invited to take part in an interview agreed to take part together at their home. These interviews were audio-recorded and professionally transcribed verbatim.

Researcher characteristics

Data was collected by first author who had a BSc (Hons) in Psychology, an MSc in Geropsychology and was working towards her PhD in Psychology when data was collected. She had previous clinical and research experience working with people living with dementia and their carers, but no expertise in exercise research. However, she was willing to learn about any intervention with a potential to have a positive impact on people living with dementia's lives. First author met participants over the phone at the time of their initial screening or soon after through weekly monitoring calls, which lasted throughout participants' involvement in the study. Participants knew the role of the first author within the study, and that she had never practised Tai Chi before. Participants were aware the role of the first author was to learn from their experiences and about the barriers and facilitators to their participation; and that their low or high adherence to the intervention was not the focus of first author's research, but their frank views to be able to further improve the Tai Chi intervention to meet their needs. She was interested in learning from participants' experiences, and perhaps because she was not used to exercise herself, thought people living with dementia and their carers would find difficulties in adhering to an exercise routine.

Ethical considerations

The trial was ethically approved by the West of Scotland Research Ethics Committee 4 (reference: 16/WS/0139) and the Health Research Authority (IRAS project ID: 209193). Participants were provided with a participant information sheet by post and given a minimum of 48-hours to consider their participation. Written informed consent was obtained from both members of the dyad. Additionally, process consent was verbally attained at each interaction with participants (Dewing, 2008).

Data analysis

Field notes and interviews' transcriptions were incorporated to Nvivo.11 (QSR International Pty Ltd., Doncaster, Victoria, Australia) and thematically analysed together. Each interview was coded promptly to afford refinement of the interview probes for subsequent interviews until data saturation was reached. The 6 steps described by Braun and Clarke (2013) were used at this stage to get familiarized with the data, generate initial codes, search for themes and review those initial themes to finally write-up the report. Data was inductively coded by the first author and 10% of the data was double coded by the second author following a coding manual developed by the first author, reaching a strong level of agreement in the quotes being coded under the same codes (Kappa value: .90). Finally, resulting themes and codes were reviewed by all four authors. All three sources of data (qualitative observations, feedback, and dyadic interviews) were analysed together as no substantial differences were identified between participants or between participants and researchers' accounts during data collection, which might reflect participants' comfort in reporting back to the researcher. - However, the richest source of data, and particularly of quotes, were the interviews, hence most part of the quotes provided in Table 4 were extracted from those interviews. This publication presents findings related to participants' experiences of the classes, a separate publication (Barrado-Martín, Heward, Polman, & Nyman, 2020) reports on their experiences to the home-based component.

Findings

Class attendance

Dyads' adherence to the classes varied widely among participants as shown in Supplementary Material B. Only three dyads attended 100% (20/20 class offered), one additional dyad attended all the classes offered since their recruitment (16 classes), and 10 dyads attended at least 50% of the classes. Overall, people living with dementia attended an average of 11.4 (ranging 0-20) sessions and carers, 11.6 (ranging 0-20) sessions.

Class attendance is measured from the time participants were recruited into the study until the end of the study or, where applicable, the point that they withdrew from the study. Overall attendance to classes fluctuated over the course of the intervention as shown in Supplementary Material C. People living with dementia attended an average of 58.1% of the classes, whilst their carers attended 59.1% as reflected in Supplementary Material B. This difference was due to one of the participants living with dementia not being able to attend several sessions due to previous health issues (i.e., back pain), but the carer continued attending.

Reasons for participants or instructors' missing classes are provided in Table 3. A total of 14 sessions had to be cancelled and postponed, due to none of the participants (n=8) or the instructors (n=6) being able to attend, to ensure the delivery of 20 classes originally planned either.

Qualitative findings

Within participants' classes experiences six subthemes were identified: the practicalities of the classes, instructors' characteristics, participants reactions to the intervention, class barriers, class facilitators and improvements suggested. Each theme is explained next and example quotes supporting those themes are provided in Table 4.

Practicalities of the classes—The venues and timing were generally perceived as suitable. Two carers in a venue with a big mirror at the end of the class valued this element, whereas a participant living with dementia in a class without a mirror missed it after struggling with mirroring the instructor and having practised in front of a mirror at home. When asked, Instructor 2 also thought that in an ideal situation, a mirror in the class would be helpful. As shown in Table 3, the duration of the classes (45 minutes, once a week), intensity, and level of challenge were adequate for all 15 dyads interviewed. The frequency of the classes was right for participants. Only two carers in the group taking part on Mondays (which clashed with several bank holidays) highlighted in their feedback that continuity would be preferable. They all seemed to agree that less frequent classes would not help their learning process or to create a routine and they would feel the Tai Chi would lose its impact.

Although, in some instances, dyads were provided with one-to-one tuition they preferred to practice in the company of others. When asked, participants pointed towards an ideal number of dyads per class being between four and eight, always depending on the size of the room and the instructor's ability to monitor the individuals in the group. Both instructors agreed that small groups were a challenge as the impact on dyads missing a class or withdrawing was greater on the other participants. Likewise, the socializing component of the classes was highly valued, and frequently perceived as one of the strengths of the intervention as it gave participants the opportunity to share time with other people 'in the same boat'. Participants positively described their relationships with other participants and the instructors and felt this socializing component was important for their well-being. This component was missed when not been fully implemented (e.g. when participants had competing demands after the class or their carpark ticket was about to expire by the end of the Tai Chi session) and participants suggested this could be promoted by: 1) Allocating 10/15/20 minutes for socializing (in groups where this did not happen) and presenting it as part of the class "*Well you have...you include that as a coffee break...um, and it seemed to...it...that's the hour. Instead of committing three quarters of an hour, you're there for an hour.*" (01022C-I); 2) Staying in the same room for socializing in the case of group 2 where they were required to move to another room on a different floor (however, another participant in this group thought this would have not been a difficulty) "*Well I think if we didn't have to move. (...) But the fact that we've all got to pack up and walk up to that room upstairs and then the kettle has to go on makes it all a bit time-consuming.*" (01002C-I). and; 3) Choosing a different room environment inviting to socialize in a more natural way (as reported in group 3 and 5 where refreshments were offered in the same venue). "*I mean, the <Venue 3> Centre is not exactly designed for sitting around and having a chat is it (...) ...it just wasn't as...you know, like a sit down and socialize sort of looking place.*" (03008C-I).

Instructors' characteristics—Despite the attempts to deliver the classes in a similar way, instructors showed some differences in their teaching styles. For instance, whereas Instructor 1 seemed more concerned about participants performing the movements exactly as instructed (and used more direct correction), Instructor 2 delivered the classes in a professional but more relaxed way, including the use of jokes and personal anecdotes during the classes. Regardless of these differences, however, both instructors received positive feedback from the participants. Participants frequently pointed Instructor 1's strengths were her patience, authenticity and her way to welcome the participants, as well as her attentive manner. Participants guided by Instructor 2 described him as an excellent instructor who created a failure free environment and explained the tales behind the movements which made the classes interesting.

Participants' reactions—Participants kept focused on the instructor during the classes and had little interaction with other participants or the instructor. Some participants tended to spontaneously comment more during the classes and were acknowledged by instructors with a reassuring response. Conversation between members of the dyad or with other participants during the classes was mostly non-existent except when one of the participants needed occasional carer's support in executing the movements or if there had been a joke between participants and the instructor.

Participants seemed to welcome both direct and indirect corrections. Two participants living with dementia expressed their willingness 'to do it [Tai Chi], the way it's got to be done' (01002P, 03003P). One carer felt physical support and corrections were crucial for the person living with dementia to learn the movements the right way and achieve the expected benefits. One carer and two people living with dementia reported some potentially negative feelings around corrections. However, these were only initial feelings that did not impact on their enjoyment of the classes or their impressions about Instructor 1.

Participants reported at the end of the classes their expectation that movement execution would get easier over time. Interviews confirmed this notion. Both people living with dementia and their carers appreciated that the more repetition, the more they could feel the flow when engaging in Tai Chi. Repetition provided feelings of improvement in their performance over sessions, which was supported by instructors.

Eight participants living with dementia and three carers perceived their ability to perform the movements was worse than others, that they struggled with some particular movement or part of their practice, or their ability had worsened after experiencing a stroke or fall (unrelated to Tai Chi). Most participants were able to identify their own strengths and weaknesses during their practice and were confident their performance could improve with further practice. This encouraged them to keep practicing Tai Chi and willing to continue with the classes as one of the dyads who joined Instructor 2's private lessons once their participation in the study finished (e.g. dyad 01012).

Three participants living with dementia described their learning process as a natural process, something they were learning from the instructor during the classes implicitly. One of the participants living with dementia who experienced two strokes during her participation in

the study and had to discontinue her practice reported she was still capable of remembering the movements when back practicing. Similarly, another dyad was able to resume and catch up with the classes after missing several sessions when recovering from an accident.

Class barriers—None of the participants reported any reluctance to attend classes. On the contrary, the potential benefits were perceived to be more important than potential difficulties to attend (i.e., time of the classes) and that they would miss the Tai Chi classes once their participation in the study would be finished.

Only one dyad described Tai Chi as a ‘boring’ activity (01022), however, they admitted their previous exercise history might have impacted on their experience of Tai Chi, as both the person living with dementia and the carer were used to higher intensity exercises (i.e., personal trainer and cardio exercises). Their continued attendance to the classes was motivated by the chance of getting health benefits from Tai Chi and their commitment to the study. One additional carer perceived Tai Chi not to be a suitable activity for her in a dyadic setting as she did not feel able to relax. She might have continued for the benefit of her mother living with dementia but would have preferred to continue in a separate group (i.e., Tai Chi carers group). This was not the reason for their withdrawal, however, this last dyad ended up withdrawing from the intervention after her new job clashed with the Tai Chi classes.

A limitation of Tai Chi pointed out by one person living with dementia was that it took them a while to familiarize themselves with the movements. This was probably increased in this dyad’s case as they joined the intervention later and the person living with dementia struggled with getting used to the mirroring.

Twelve participants living with dementia reported a health issue that interfered with their class Tai Chi practice at some point (i.e., not allowing participants to copy the movements or feeling discomfort when doing it). Less than half of them had to sit for a little while during the classes because of diabetes, low blood pressure, feeling wobbly, dizzy spells, balance difficulties, back pain, or shoulder problems. They joined the class following a short break and this did not result in dyad’s withdrawing from the intervention. Participants (ten of them) and instructors reported Tai Chi specific difficulties. These included left right differentiation, getting relaxed, copying the movements, remembering the movements, doing the footwork, mirroring, or practicing with little verbal guidance.

Class facilitators—Overall, participants reported that they enjoyed their participation in the classes, both people living with dementia and their carers were looking forward to attending the classes. It was observed and later confirmed in the interviews that a couple of participants living with dementia attending classes had difficulties recalling why they were at the venue before the start of the class. However, such difficulties expressed also by another two participants living with dementia did not impede them enjoying the classes. Three younger carers with an age gap with the person living with dementia (>10 years) expressed some surprise towards the person living with dementia’s enjoyment of Tai Chi, either for the way they positively reacted to it or the difficulties they faced to find an activity the person living with dementia was interested in.

Enjoyment and socializing opportunities were reported by all as two of the main factors associated with adherence. Two carers also liked the helpful environment and the instructors were also acknowledged for their motivational qualities by four dyads.

Dyads expressed the exercise itself, the potential benefits (i.e., helping to keep fit, feeling well afterwards), the habit of attending classes, the environment characteristics (i.e., failure free), and the enjoyment of specific parts of the session (such as the warm-ups or the integration between foot and arm work, when they felt were ‘actually doing the Tai Chi’) helped them to keep attending the classes.

An additional motivator was the fact that Tai Chi gave the participants the possibility of practicing exercise together, which for some participants was a must or an incentive (i.e., ‘It’s always nicer if you’ve got someone to go with.’ 01009P). The Tai Chi was described as potentially beneficial for both members, though three carers verbalised the target of the Tai Chi classes were those living with dementia. Lastly, more altruistic motivations such as helping people living with dementia and a firm commitment to the study were also mentioned as facilitators of classes’ attendance (by two carers and 3 dyads respectively).

Suggested improvements to the classes—Most dyads were happy with the classes. Ten dyads suggested the following improvements: a) Possibly increase the number of dyads per class, recruiting younger people living with dementia, or participants at earlier stages of dementia progression; b) Set up a morning class instead of late afternoon class as getting home when it is dark might be off-putting for older people; c) Offer another class for carers with less pausing or give the option for the person living with dementia to attend on their own so the carer can take some respite; d) Offer an outside practice in the summer; e) Keep verbal guidance throughout the classes; and f) Enhance the socializing component (see Practicalities of the classes).

Discussion and implications

Adherence is often reported as a challenge in exercise research. To our knowledge, this is the first study that qualitatively explores the adherence of those living with mild-to-moderate dementia and their carers to a class-based Tai Chi intervention. It builds on a previous study that qualitatively explored the acceptability of such intervention limited to a small number of classes (Barrado-Martín et al., 2019), providing further understanding on long-term barriers and facilitators to their adherence. Such exploration is key to understand the barriers and facilitators to class attendance identified by dyads with different adherence levels (and different dyadic relationships) throughout a longer study period. Furthermore, this is one of few exercise studies to include the voices of people living with dementia and their informal carers by observing their experiences and using dyadic interviews. Hence, this study makes a unique contribution to the understanding of the needs of people living with dementia and their carers to sustain their participation in Tai Chi exercise classes that could contribute to their wellbeing. This study highlights the value of using qualitative methods alongside trials as changes implemented to the intervention (e.g. reducing the number of patterns to learn throughout the course) after the lessons learnt from Barrado-Martín et al. (2019), were not raised as a barrier in the longer intervention.

Adherence facilitators

Several aspects of the classes have contributed to participants' adherence to the 20-week class practice in line with previous research findings: a) The enjoyment of the classes (Frederiksen et al., 2014; McPhate et al., 2016; Jacqueline Wesson et al., 2013; Yu & Swartwood, 2012); b) The group-based setting with its inherent socializing component with similar others (Burgener et al., 2008; Dal Bello-Haas et al., 2014; Frederiksen et al., 2014; Yao et al., 2012); c) The friendly and task-oriented environment of the classes where improvement resulted from repetition and effort, and where instructors invited participants to avoid comparing themselves with others (Barnes et al., 2015); d) Their dyadic participation (Yao et al., 2012); and e) The perceived benefits of Tai Chi (Logghe et al., 2011). Additionally, weekly monitoring calls were perceived by some individuals as an encouragement for their attendance to the classes. Despite not being the purpose of these calls, this could have acted as a facilitator in line with previous research findings (Frederiksen et al., 2014; Hawley-Hague, Horne, Skelton, & Todd, 2016; Lam et al., 2012). Likewise, the ability of people living with dementia to remember and perform the movements could have acted as a facilitator to their adherence to the Tai Chi classes. In addition, their progress in movement execution and their enhanced physical self-perceptions can help explain willingness to keep practicing.

Adherence barriers

Reasons for participants being unable to attend sessions are aligned to those reported in the literature. Amongst them, changes in health (including adverse events) or worsening health conditions affecting the person living with dementia or the carer (Chong et al., 2014; Farran et al., 2008; Prick et al., 2014; Suttanon, Hill, Said, Byrne, & Dodd, 2012; Wesson et al., 2013), competing commitments (Suttanon et al., 2012), or holiday periods (Wesson et al., 2013) were the most common. In our study health-related issues affecting the person living with dementia or the carer accounted for 81% of the sessions missed. This had an important impact on the overall adherence of people living with dementia and their carers (58.1-59.1% respectively) despite reporting no reluctance to attend classes. Consistent with previous research, in-class barriers such as occasional dizziness or physical discomfort (i.e., back pain) (Teri et al., 1998) led to a brief interruption of the Tai Chi practice. Similarly, difficulties to remember the Tai Chi moves (i.e., when the instructor was standing with their back to the participants) previously found amongst older adults in the community (Logghe et al., 2011), triggered one of the dyads to drop out.

Self-determination theory (SDT)

Participants joined the study aspiring to get a health benefit from their participation in Tai Chi. According to SDT, taking part in exercise for health benefit is considered an external form of behavioural regulation. It is not uncommon that during the adoption phase of a more active lifestyle participants are more motivated by such external motives. However, following continued participation behaviour becomes more internalized and intrinsic motives (i.e. enjoyment) can come to dominate motivation for continued engagement in the activity (Ingledeu et al., 1998). This process was facilitated by the class environment and the instructors' behaviour that generated a task-oriented motivational climate through

the use of constructive and informative feedback and discouragement of comparisons (Eys et al., 2013; Farrance, Tsofliou, & Clark, 2016). At the same time, the dyad nature and the provision of socialization opportunities assisted in developing relatedness (Annesi, Unruh, Marti, Gorjala, & Tennant, 2011; Farrance et al., 2016). The satisfaction of competence and relatedness needs, together with the intrinsic enjoyment obtained through their practice would have assisted in participants' continued participation in the classes (Lee, Arthur, & Avis, 2008). The basic need of autonomy was not relevant in the context of group classes, as participants were there voluntary and nor carers or instructors forced their practice during the classes (e.g. were able to stop if they felt unwell, and were practicing on their own throughout classes).

Additionally, this study findings suggest the usefulness of social cognitive theory as well in that performance accomplishments (Neupert, Lachman, & Whitbourne, 2009), verbal persuasion from professional instructors (Burton, Shapiro, & German, 1999) and vicarious experiences (Lee, Avis, & Arthur, 2007) might have been relevant for participants' adherence to the classes. Over the sessions, participants perceived their own improvement and realized they were able to perform the movements they initially found challenging. This way participants experienced mastery and developed their physical self-efficacy beliefs. Importantly, this study demonstrates that people living with dementia were actively able to participate in Tai Chi and improve in their performance over time. Participants reported becoming familiar with and progressively learning the moves, despite possible initial difficulties, which enhanced their perceived physical self-efficacy. Overall, Tai Chi might be less suitable for those preferring more vigorous activity but challenging enough for those with less exercise experience. An optimal challenge appeared to be offered to the majority of participants in the TACIT Trial as were able to develop their Tai Chi skill (Guadagnoli & Lee, 2004).

This study's strengths

An important strength of the current study was the use of field notes to collect '*in situ*' feedback from participants living with dementia, which enabled capturing comments from participants (i.e., describing the relaxed environment of the classes and encouraging themselves to give a go to Tai Chi). These details might or might not have come up in the context of the interview, which were generally scheduled within sessions (on average 3 days after the most recent session). Secondly, being in touch with participants to collect data for the TACIT Trial contributed to a natural development of rapport with the first author which helped the participants open up when she undertook the interviews. As well being involved in the collection of all data the first author gained an overview of the data set that enabled her to determine the consistency of the data collected during the classes and the interviews (enhancing credibility and dependability) and triangulate the findings. Finally, this study placed the person living with dementia at the centre and confirmed participants living with dementia's ability to contribute and be satisfied with their participation and input to the interviews, which supports future qualitative work with this group. The dyadic setting facilitated the data collection process as carers were able to make clarifications in a familiar environment.

This study's limitations

Because of slow recruitment into the trial, the groups observed, and dyads approached to participate in interviews were from the first six Tai Chi groups rather than representative of all 10 groups organized. Measures of in-class participation were not used, which does not allow a precise evaluation of their adherence (e.g., in terms of intensity) during the classes. In future studies this limitation could be overcome by video-recording the classes. Furthermore, participants who discontinued their participation were interviewed several weeks after having attended their last class as interviews were due around week 16 (i.e., 5 dyads had not attended classes for 6 consecutive weeks on average, ranging from 1 to 9, prior to the interview). Hence, future research might benefit from scheduling these visits as soon as possible after early discontinuation of the classes (i.e., if not immediately after the class, then the next day) to facilitate recall. Likewise, an exit interview with all participants who withdrew from the study would have been useful. The impact of small groups, withdrawal or discontinuation added to health issues and competing commitments (e.g. medical appointments) resulted in 27% of the sessions being run for one dyad only. Future research would benefit from larger groups to promote the group approach. Similarly, the dyadic format of interviews might have impacted on dyads' willingness to share the weaknesses of taking part together in the intervention together to avoid upsetting the other person.

Implications for practice

Findings of this study suggest that community-based interventions for individuals with mild-to-moderate dementia can be successful when conducted with a significant other (dyadic approach) (Moon & Adams, 2013). However, giving people living with dementia the choice to join on their own could facilitate access, particularly to those living alone (Rollin-Sillaire et al., 2013). The provision of normalised exercise (i.e., Tai Chi, which is also practiced by people living without dementia or other conditions) (Nyman & Skelton, 2017) for a group of people living with the same diagnosis (i.e., dementia) in the community is preferred. A Tai Chi program could benefit from multiple sessions per week on similar days and times to contribute to adherence and learning. Exercise interventions for people living with dementia should include substantial repetition of movements to facilitate such learning (Fenney & Lee, 2010; van Halteren-van Tilborg, Scherder, & Hulstijn, 2007). It is also important to have instructors who create a task-oriented motivational climate through instruction and positive feedback. Providing opportunities for socialising post-exercise also enhances participants' enjoyment and likelihood of continued participation in the activity (Farran et al., 2008; Frederiksen et al., 2014; Hawley-Hague et al., 2016; Lam & Cheng, 2013). Finally, in light of this study findings and to ensure the viability of the program, groups should be larger to allow its continuity when participants living with dementia and their carers inevitably experience health issues or adverse events that impact on their adherence to the classes.

Conclusions

The findings of this study suggest the ability of people living with dementia to learn a new exercise and appreciate their progress over time. The provision of an optimal level of challenge to the group class, accompanied with a failure free environment which has a task-oriented focus, the use of repetition, and supportive and accessible instructors can facilitate dyads enjoyment of the classes. Additionally, the social component of the class might be an incentive to their sustained participation and a way of fulfilling their needs to relate to others outside their usual social networks. Overall, Tai Chi classes might provide people living with dementia with a normalized, accessible and enjoyable activity to share with their informal carers. Future research would need to explore participants' adherence to Tai Chi classes in larger groups which could facilitate their viability in community settings.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the participants in the study. The authors acknowledge senior instructor Robert Joyce, Elemental Tai Chi, who designed the 20-week Tai Chi course for this study. The authors thank senior instructor Robert Joyce and instructor Vicki Fludgate for delivering the Tai Chi intervention under the company Elemental Tai Chi. The authors acknowledge our public and patient involvement group co-led with Helen Allen on our approach to recruitment and data collection. The authors thank the Alzheimer's Society for their assistance with publicizing the study, the General Practice surgeries in Wessex that assisted with recruitment, and the three main recruitment sites: Memory Assessment Research Centre, Southern Health NHS Foundation Trust (Principal Investigator: Brady McFarlane), Memory Assessment Service, Dorset HealthCare University NHS Foundation Trust (Principal Investigator: Kathy Sheret and then Claire Bradbury), and Research and Improvement Team and Older People's Mental Health Service, Solent NHS Trust (Principal Investigator: Sharon Simpson). We also thank the Trial Steering Committee for their expert input (Independent Chair: Frances Healey, NHS Improvement).

Funding

The TACIT trial and PhD studentship awarded to Dr Yolanda Barrado-Martín are funded by a National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Career Development Fellowship awarded to Dr Samuel R. Nyman, Bournemouth University. This paper presents independent research funded by the NIHR's Career Development Fellowship Program [grant number CDF-2015-08-030]. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

References

- Allan LM, Ballard CG, Rowan EN, Kenny RA. Incidence and prediction of falls in dementia: A prospective study in older people. *PLoS One*. 2009; 4 (5) doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0005521
- Alzheimer's Disease International. Dementia statistics. 2015. Retrieved from <http://www.alz.co.uk/research/statistics>
- Annesi JJ, Unruh JL, Marti CN, Gorjala S, Tennant G. Effects of the coach approach intervention on adherence to exercise in obese women: Assessing mediation of social cognitive theory factors. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*. 2011; 82 (1) :99–108. DOI: 10.1080/02701367.2011.10599726 [PubMed: 21462690]
- Barnes DE, Mehling W, Wu E, Beristianos M, Yaffe K, Skultety K, Chesney MA. Preventing loss of independence through exercise (PLIÉ): A pilot clinical trial in older adults with dementia. *Plos One*. 2015; 10 (2) doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0113367
- Barrado-Martín Y, Heward M, Polman R, Nyman SR. Acceptability of a Dyadic Tai Chi Intervention for Older People Living With Dementia and Their Informal Carers. *Journal of aging and physical activity*. 2019; 27 (2) :166–183. DOI: 10.1123/japa.2017-0267 [PubMed: 30160584]

- Barrado-Martín Y, Heward M, Polman R, Nyman SR. People living with dementia and their family carers' adherence to home-based Tai Chi practice. *Dementia (London)*. 2020; doi: 10.1177/1471301220957758
- Burgener SC, APRN-BC, FAAN. Yang Y, Gilbert R, Marsh-Yant S. The effects of a multimodal intervention on outcomes of persons with early-stage dementia. *American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease and Other Dementias*. 2008; 23 (4) :382–394. DOI: 10.1177/1533317508317527
- Burton E, Cavalheri V, Adams R, Browne CO, Boverly-Spencer P, Fenton AM, Hill KD. Effectiveness of exercise programs to reduce falls in older people with dementia living in the community: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Interventions in Aging*. 2015; 10 :421–434. DOI: 10.2147/CIA.S71691 [PubMed: 25709416]
- Burton LC, Shapiro S, German PS. Determinants of physical activity initiation and maintenance among community-dwelling older persons. *Preventive Medicine*. 1999; 29 (5) :422–430. DOI: 10.1006/pmed.1999.0561 [PubMed: 10564634]
- Chong TWH, Doyle CJ, Cyarto EV, Cox KL, Ellis KA, Ames D, Lautenschlager NT. Physical activity program preferences and perspectives of older adults with and without cognitive impairment. *Asia-Pacific Psychiatry: Official Journal of The Pacific Rim College of Psychiatrists*. 2014; 6 (2) :179–190. DOI: 10.1111/appy.12015 [PubMed: 23857923]
- Dal Bello-Haas VPM, O'Connell ME, Morgan DG, Crossley M. Lessons learned: Feasibility and acceptability of a telehealth-delivered exercise intervention for rural- dwelling individuals with dementia and their caregivers. *Rural and Remote Health*. 2014; 14 (3) :2715. [PubMed: 25081991]
- Deci EL, Ryan RM. The “what” and “why” of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*. 2000; 11 (4) :227–268. DOI: 10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01
- Department of Health. Prime Minister's Challenge on Dementia 2020. 2015.
Retrieved from https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/414344/pm-dementia2020.pdf
- Dewing J. Process consent and research with older persons living with dementia. *Research Ethics Review*. 2008; 4 (2) :59–64. DOI: 10.1177/174701610800400205
- Eys MA, Jewitt E, Evans MB, Wolf S, Bruner MW, Loughhead TM. Coach-initiated motivational climate and cohesion in youth sport. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*. 2013; 84 (3) :373–383. DOI: 10.1080/02701367.2013.814909 [PubMed: 24261017]
- Farran CJ, Staffileno BA, Gilley DW, McCann JJ, Li Y, Castro CM, King AC. A lifestyle physical activity intervention for caregivers of persons with Alzheimer's disease. *American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease and Other Dementias*. 2008; 23 (2) :132–142. DOI: 10.1177/1533317507312556
- Farrance C, Tsofliou F, Clark C. Adherence to community based group exercise interventions for older people: A mixed-methods systematic review. *Preventive Medicine*. 2016; 87 :155–166. DOI: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2016.02.037 [PubMed: 26921655]
- Fenney A, Lee TD. Exploring spared capacity in persons with dementia: What WiiTM can learn. *Activities, Adaptation and Aging*. 2010; 34 (4) :303–313. DOI: 10.1080/01924788.2010.525736
- Fernando E, Fraser M, Hendriksen J, Kim CH, Muir-Hunter SW. Risk factors associated with falls in older adults with dementia: A systematic review. *Physiotherapy Canada*. 2017; 69 (2) :161–170. DOI: 10.3138/ptc.2016-14 [PubMed: 28539696]
- Frederiksen KS, Sobol N, Beyer N, Hasselbalch S, Waldemar G. Moderate-to-high intensity aerobic exercise in patients with mild to moderate Alzheimer's disease: A pilot study. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*. 2014; 29 (12) :1242–1248. DOI: 10.1002/gps.4096 [PubMed: 24733599]
- Guadagnoli MA, Lee TD. Challenge point: A framework for conceptualizing the effects of various practice conditions in motor learning. *Journal of Motor Behavior*. 2004; 36 (2) :212. doi: 10.3200/JMBR.36.2.212-224 [PubMed: 15130871]
- Hawley-Hague H, Horne M, Skelton DA, Todd C. Older adults' uptake and adherence to exercise classes: Instructors' perspectives. *Journal of Aging and Physical Activity*. 2016; 24 (1) :119–128. DOI: 10.1123/japa.2014-0108 [PubMed: 26214265]
- Hsieh S, McGrory S, Leslie F, Dawson K, Ahmed S, Butler CR, Hodges JR. The Mini-Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination: A New Assessment Tool for Dementia. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders*. 2015; 39 (1-2) :1–11. DOI: 10.1159/000366040 [PubMed: 25227877]

- Ingledeu DK, Markland D, Medley AR. Exercise motives and stages of change. *Journal of Health Psychology*. 1998; 3 (4) :477–489. DOI: 10.1177/135910539800300403 [PubMed: 22021408]
- Lam LCW, Chau RCM, Wong BML, Fung AWT, Tam CWC, Leung GTY, Chan WM. A 1-year randomized controlled trial comparing mind body exercise (Tai Chi) with stretching and toning exercise on cognitive function in older chinese adults at risk of cognitive decline. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*. 2012; 13 (6) :515–520. DOI: 10.1016/j.jamda.2012.03.008
- Lam LCW, Cheng ST. Maintaining long-term adherence to lifestyle interventions for cognitive health in late life. *International Psychogeriatrics*. 2013; 25 (2) :171–173. DOI: 10.1017/S1041610212001603 [PubMed: 23010393]
- Lee L-L, Arthur A, Avis M. Using self-efficacy theory to develop interventions that help older people overcome psychological barriers to physical activity: A discussion paper. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*. 2008; 45 (11) :1690–1699. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2008.02.012 [PubMed: 18501359]
- Lee L-L, Avis M, Arthur A. The role of self-efficacy in older people's decisions to initiate and maintain regular walking as exercise: Findings from a qualitative study. *Preventive Medicine*. 2007; 45 (1) :62–65. DOI: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2007.04.011 [PubMed: 17561246]
- Li F, Harmer P, Fisher KJ, McAuley E, Chaumeton N, Eckstrom E, Wilson NL. Tai Chi and fall reductions in older adults: a randomized controlled trial. *The Journals of Gerontology Series A Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences*. 2005; 60 (2) :187–194. DOI: 10.1093/gerona/60.2.187
- Logghe IHJ, Verhagen AP, Rademaker ACHJ, Zeeuwe PEM, Bierma-Zeinstra SMA, Van Rossum E, Koes BW. Explaining the ineffectiveness of a Tai Chi fall prevention training for community-living older people: A process evaluation alongside a randomized clinical trial (RCT). *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics*. 2011; 52 (3) :357–362. DOI: 10.1016/j.archger.2010.05.013 [PubMed: 20965096]
- McCurry SM, Pike KC, Vitiello MV, Logsdon RG, Larson EB, Teri L. Increasing Walking and Bright Light Exposure to Improve Sleep in Community-Dwelling Persons with Alzheimer's Disease: Results of a Randomized, Controlled Trial. *Journal of The American Geriatrics Society*. 2011; 59 (8) :1393–1402. DOI: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2011.03519.x [PubMed: 21797835]
- McPhate L, Simek EM, Haines TP, Hill KD, Finch CF, Day L. "Are your clients having fun?" The implications of respondents' preferences for the delivery of group exercise programs for falls prevention. *Journal of Aging and Physical Activity*. 2016; 24 (1) :129–138. DOI: 10.1123/japa.2014-0168 [PubMed: 26215164]
- Moon H, Adams KB. The effectiveness of dyadic interventions for people with dementia and their caregivers. *Dementia*. 2013; 12 (6) :821–839. DOI: 10.1177/1471301212447026 [PubMed: 24337642]
- Neupert SD, Lachman ME, Whitbourne SB. Exercise self-efficacy and control beliefs: Effects on exercise behavior after an exercise intervention for older adults. *Journal of Aging and Physical Activity*. 2009; 17 (1) :1–16. [PubMed: 19299835]
- Nyman SR. Tai Chi for the Prevention of Falls Among Older Adults: A Critical Analysis of the Evidence. *Journal of Aging and Physical Activity*. 2020 :1–10.
- Nyman SR, Ingram W, Sanders J, Thomas PW, Thomas S, Vassallo M, Raftery J, Bibi I, Barrado-Martín Y. Randomised Controlled Trial Of The Effect Of Tai Chi On Postural Balance Of People With Dementia. *Clinical interventions in aging*. 2019; 14 :2017–2029. DOI: 10.2147/CIA.S228931 [PubMed: 31819385]
- Nyman SR, Skelton D. The case for Tai Chi in the repertoire of strategies to prevent falls among older people. *Perspectives in Public Health*. 2017; 132 (2) :85–86. DOI: 10.1177/1757913916685642
- Pitkälä KH, Pöysti MM, Laakkonen M-L, Tilvis RS, Savikko N, Kautiainen H, Strandberg TE. Effects of the Finnish Alzheimer disease exercise trial (FINALEX): A randomized controlled trial. *JAMA Internal Medicine*. 2013; 173 (10) :894–901. DOI: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2013.359 [PubMed: 23589097]
- Prick AE, de Lange J, van 't Leven N, Pot AM. Process evaluation of a multicomponent dyadic intervention study with exercise and support for people with dementia and their family caregivers. *Trials*. 2014; 15 (1) :401. doi: 10.1186/1745-6215-15-401 [PubMed: 25336121]

- Rollin-Sillaire A, Breuilh L, Salleron J, Bombois S, Cassagnaud P, Deramecourt V, Pasquier F. Reasons that prevent the inclusion of Alzheimer's disease patients in clinical trials. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*. 2013; 75 (4) :1089–1097. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2125.2012.04423.x [PubMed: 22891847]
- Shaw FE. Falls in older people with dementia. *Geriatrics and Aging*. 2003; 6 (7) :37–40.
- Sherrington C, Fairhall NJ, Wallbank GK, Tiedemann A, Michaleff ZA, Howard K, Clemson L, Hopewell S, Lamb SE. Exercise for preventing falls in older people living in the community. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2019; (1) doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD012424.pub2
- Sun J, Kanagawa K, Sasaki J, Ooki S, Xu HL, Wang L. Tai chi improves cognitive and physical function in the elderly: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Physical Therapy Science*. 2015; 27 (5) :1467–1471. DOI: 10.1589/jpts.27.1467 [PubMed: 26157242]
- Suttanon P, Hill KD, Said CM, Byrne KN, Dodd KJ. Factors influencing commencement and adherence to a home-based balance exercise program for reducing risk of falls: Perceptions of people with Alzheimer's disease and their caregivers. *International Psychogeriatrics*. 2012; 24 (7) :1172–1182. DOI: 10.1017/S1041610211002729 [PubMed: 22265269]
- Teri L, McCurry SM, Buchner DM, Logsdon RG, LaCroix AZ, Kukull WA, Larson EB. Exercise and activity level in Alzheimer's disease: A potential treatment focus. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development*. 1998; 35 (4) :411–419. [PubMed: 10220219]
- van Halteren-van Tilborg IADA, Scherder EJA, Hulstijn W. Motor-skill learning in alzheimer's disease: A review with an eye to the clinical practice. *Neuropsychology Review*. 2007; 17 (3) :203–212. DOI: 10.1007/s11065-007-9030-1 [PubMed: 17680369]
- van Halteren-van Tilborg IADA, Scherder EJA, Hulstijn W. Motor-skill learning in alzheimer's disease: A review with an eye to the clinical practice. *Neuropsychology Review*. 2007; 17 (3) :203–212. DOI: 10.1007/s11065-007-9030-1 [PubMed: 17680369]
- Wesson J, Clemson L, Brodaty H, Lord S, Taylor M, Gitlin L, Close J. A feasibility study and pilot randomised trial of a tailored prevention program to reduce falls in older people with mild dementia. *BMC Geriatrics*. 2013; 13 :89. doi: 10.1186/1471-2318-13-89 [PubMed: 24004682]
- WHO. WHO global report on falls prevention in older age. 2007. Retrieved from http://www.who.int/ageing/publications/Falls_prevention7March.pdf?ua=1
- WHO. Towards a dementia plan: A WHO guide. 2018. Retrieved from <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/272642/9789241514132-eng.pdf?ua=1>
- Yao L, Giordani BJ, Algase DL, You M, Alexander NB. Fall risk-relevant functional mobility outcomes in dementia following dyadic Tai Chi exercise. *Western Journal of Nursing Research*. 2012; 35 (3) :281–296. DOI: 10.1177/0193945912443319 [PubMed: 22517441]
- Yu F, Swartwood RM. Feasibility and perception of the impact from aerobic exercise in older adults with Alzheimer's disease. *American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease and Other Dementias*. 2012; 27 (6) :397–405. DOI: 10.1177/1533317512453492

Table 1
Participants' Characteristics

Participant	Item	Frequencies or means (Standard Deviations (SD))
People Living with Dementia	Gender	
	Male	13
	Female	12
	Mean age (SD)	77.60 (7.67)
	Relationship status	
	Married / Civil partnership	21
	Single	2
	Divorced	1
	Widowed	1
	Current living situation	
	Living with family/friends	23
	Alone	2
	Level of education	
	None	1
	Primary	1
	Secondary	15
	Higher education college / university	6
	Further education / professional qualification	2
	Ethnicity	
	White	25
	Dementia type	
	Alzheimer's	18
	Mixed Alzheimer's & Vascular	6
	Other (Frontal Lobe)	1
	Mean number of months diagnosed with dementia (SD)	20.96 (21.7)
	Other chronic conditions	
	Yes	19
	No	6
	Existing injuries or health injuries to be considered to do Tai Chi	
	Yes	5
	No	20
	Use of walking aid	
	Yes	7
	No	18
	Mean prescribed daily medications (SD)	5.52 (3.62)
	Falls in the last year	
	Yes	10
	No	15
	Falls in the last month	

Participant	Item	Frequencies or means (Standard Deviations (SD))
Carers	Yes	4
	No	21
	Frequency of moderate Physical Activity (PA) practice	
	Everyday	13
	3 times per week	3
	2 times per week	1
	Weekly	1
	Rarely/never	7
	Frequency of vigorous PA practice	
	Everyday	1
	Weekly	2
	Rarely/never	22
	Previous experience practicing Tai Chi	
	Yes	4
	No	21
	Mean M-ACE Score (SD)	15.76 (4.95)
	Gender	
	Male	7
	Female	18
	Mean age (SD)	71.64 (9.25)
	Relationship with the person living with dementia	
	Spouse/partner	20
	Son/daughter	2
	Brother/sister	3
	Live with the person living with dementia	
	Yes	21
	No	4
	Relationship status	
	Married / Civil partnership	22
	Single	2
	With partner	1
	Current living situation	
	Living with family/friends	24
	Living alone	1
	Level of education	
	Primary	2
	Secondary	13
	Higher education college/university	6
	Further education/professional qualification	3
	Missing data	1
	Ethnicity	
	White	25

Participant	Item	Frequencies or means (Standard Deviations (SD))
	Previous experience practicing Tai Chi	
	Yes	3
	No	22

Table 2
Inclusion and exclusion criteria for the TACIT Trial

	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
For people living with dementia	• A diagnosis of (mild to moderate) dementia; • Aged 18 years or older; • Living at home in the community (rather than residential care); • Able to practice standing Tai Chi; and • Have a carer available who would provide support during the assessments and during the group-based Tai Chi classes.	• A diagnosis of Lewy Body dementia or Parkinson's disease; • Receiving end-of-life care; • Severe dementia according to the MiniAddenbroke's Cognitive Examination (Hsieh <i>et al.</i>, 2015) (cut-off point M-ACE < 10); • Severe sensory impairments; • Already practicing Tai Chi, similar exercises (i.e., Qigong, yoga, or Pilates) or attending balance exercise programs (e.g., Otago classes); • Under the care or who had been referred to a falls clinic for assessment; • Unable to attend weekly classes; or • Lacking mental capacity to provide informed consent.
For carers	• Living with the person living with dementia or able to visit him/her at least twice per week; • Availability to support the person living with dementia by participating in data collection throughout the trial and in the intervention (if allocated to the intervention group); • Ability to do standing Tai Chi; and • Willingness to attend weekly Tai Chi classes.	• Presence of severe sensory impairment; or • Lack of capacity to provide informed consent.

Table 3
Reasons for Missing Classes

Reasons^I	Times reported	Percentage
Person living with dementia's health	53	50%
Carer's health	17	16%
Person living with dementia's Serious Adverse Event	10	9%
Holiday	9	8%
Carer's Serious Adverse Event	6	6%
Person living with dementia's doctor appointment	6	6%
Carer's doctor appointment	2	2%
Dogs unwell	1	1%
Job interview	1	1%
Visitors	1	1%

^I Occasionally, however, classes were also cancelled and postponed due to the instructor being ill (n = 4), having other commitments (n = 1), or being stuck in traffic (n = 1).

Table 4

Example Quotes per Subtheme

Theme / Subtheme	Example quote
Practicalities of the classes	
Duration	I don't think I could have done a longer class. Because, um, you're concentrating so much for that length of time. So, um, no, I don't think I could have done a longer one. I was ready to say right can we dress now. Have a cup of tea. (03005P-1)^a Yeah But, er...it's, er...it's...it's a good... [class duration] it's a really good... It is, because...and you don't have to worry, you know? If...if you weren't feeling as good as you did last week, it's not long enough to sort of get to your mind and think, oh, well, I did it last week, I can do it this week, and that's how it goes, you know? It's...it's pleasant that, you know... (03006P-1) I think after the forty-five minutes, I... especially the, the last piece, which I find a strain on my upper knees and legs, actually, it's, erm... but I think... Yeah, but I, I think it is...long enough. (01009C-4).
Frequency	(...) I think the more you practice it the better it is. And the easier it is, you know. If you, if you're not, if you've got the time to deal with it, and of course er, we, we are, we are retired and er, it's a question that you must make time for what you want to do, mustn't you, really? (01055P-1) 03006P-1: If somebody said you've got to do it four times or five times a week we might not be so happy with it, you know? But doing it once a week and just gradually improving the...the things that you perform, it's...it gets better and better and better. 03006C-1: It does, it gets easier as the... 03006P-1: Yeah, yeah. 03006C-1: ...classes go on, doesn't it? As the weeks go on. It's...it's ideal, I think. But, you know, one...one a week, I don't know, maybe it's enough for some people, maybe two. You could have an option to go to more maybe or something like that. (03008C-1). I mean, <Instructor 2> has said would you like a drink and we've gone no, no, no, we're off, we're going to do such...and so has the other couple, no, no, no, we're going to catch a bus. So I think that you've...you just start off with saying that you probably will need to stay and have a drink or you need to... 'cause I think it would do a lot of people some good. (01025C-1) Instructor 2 comments that he was not able to find milk today (due to the shortage after weather conditions), however, points that participants tend to leave soon after the class anyway. (Instructor 2-O) I think it...the social side was very good. Getting to know the people. <Research Assistant 1> was always there and good, making tea and being supportive. You know, and the social side was lovely. (03005C-1).
Instructors' characteristics	
Instructor 1	<Instructor 1>, she's been very good. Very, very good. (...) ...she takes time to stop and have individuals, not just a group. (03003P-1). So if she's, sort of, saying, you know, that's good then you can believe that at that stage, at that moment that's good. Um, no, she is an excellent, excellent trainer and she doesn't make you feel that you're being totally stupid when you make a mistake. (03005P-1). Mentions that the instructor is "Instructor 1" is lovely, isn't it?" (03006C-O).
Instructor 2	01039P-1: (...) ... he doesn't tell you, no, don't do it that way. He sort of is very clever because he moves it on to something else and says, well if we did this or that then, then you don't feel like you've made a complete mess of it, you know. 01039C-1: He says your best interpretation, that's what I like... <Instructor 2> is absolutely excellent. He's so patient. It's, I mean, obviously, as somebody just slightly younger than the, it, you kind of think, oh he's repeating it a lot. But I think he gauges it perfectly. He, erm, he's, he's definitely the right sort of person to have involved, erm, in that sort of environment. And I just think it is really helpful. (01021C-1).
Participants' reactions to...	
Physical support	I think if...one of the things he quite often does wrong when you do this one and come up under your arm, he's got his arm, hand there and if she [Instructor 1] comes and shows him it's better because it's all about muscle memory isn't it. (...) ...so it's important that it's the right memory and not the wrong one. (01002C-1). 03006P needs verbal and physical correction, and after repetition and correction he is able to do the movements right. (03006-O). 03005C approaches 03005P to correct her softly (physical support) (03005-O).
Instructors' corrections	I thought, well what difference does it make [doing the movements in the opposite direction]. Er, if...if...if I'm going to go that way...and instead of going that way, sort of, thing, so what. I've still...I've still done the same exercise, haven't I. (01021P-1). It's when you're going that way and that way and... but er, um, he makes it easier. Because he doesn't tell you, no, don't do it that way. He sort of is very clever because he moves it on to something else and says, well if we did this or that then, then you don't feel like you've made a complete mess of it, you know. (01039P-1).

Theme / Subtheme	Example quote
Repetition	01002P-I: You are teaching us or showing us how to do it the correct way not the way you want... I want to do it, the way that it's got to be done. 01002C: And it's much better if <Instructor I> tells him than if I tell him, he'll take it from me. He'll take it from me at home... <i>I think the more you go to the classes, the more you learn, so the more easier it becomes (...) I noticed that the, erm, especially with, like, Mum's obviously got mobility, and memory issues. And each week, as we get in (...) as we get into the class, erm, she kind of gets the move a bit quicker than the week before. (01012C-I). (...) gradually improving the...the things that you perform, it s...it gets better and better. (...) think we got to the stage now where anything is...anything you're capable of is use...is...is useful. (Laughs). (03006P-I).</i>
Other's practice	<i>You look at the other people seeing how they are doing it and you think that's better than the way that I do it. (01002P-I).</i> 01012C-I: ...you don't notice what the others are doing. 01012P: No. 01012C: You're just concentrating on what you're doing. 01039C: To begin with yeah, we were probably a little bit un nervous that everybody was watching up but nobody is watching you because they're all concentrating... 01039P: Well, but not...they're all trying to concentrate of what we're supposed to be doing. <i>As far as I'm concerned, it's my footwork that's a problem. But, erm, I'm getting there with it. (...) I love starting it and getting the first few actions (warm-ups)... but it's when I get to the foot work, and this, erm, it's, erm...I'll shut myself into a silent room and practice. (...) I am trying hard to become perfect, but...not with great, great results at the moment. (01012P-I).</i> <i>03008P found some of the footwork difficult last week as it is not something she has ever done before and it was her first lesson. By the end of last week's lesson she had got a lot better. (Instructor 2-F).</i> <i>... when I was talking to <Instructor 2> on the phone I said it's the footwork. I'm, I'm never quite sure whether I've got my feet in the right place. [chuckles] But I was just about getting the breathing. (01055C-I).</i> <i>"Difficulty is in putting it all together, but in general Tai Chi it not hard", then adds that it is okay when doing arm and footwork separately. (01045P-F).</i> <i>I was talking to <Instructor I> about it this last time and I said I can't remember it visually, but I do find that I'm automatically doing the moves that I really had learnt [before stroke]. (03005P-I).</i> <i>So it was a rough two or three weeks [after adverse event], but you're a lot better. And it was nice to get back, because you actually...the first time you went, you still had your plaster on, didn't you? (01008C-I).</i> <i>I think it's going to be difficult to sort to get back in to it [after interruption due to surgery], although I think we'll remember it pretty quickly once we do. (01055C-I).</i>
Class barriers	<i>Oh, largely it's...it's just getting used to it [Tai Chi], isn't it? (...) ...we're getting used to it. But it's, er...it's...it takes longer than you think, put it that way. (01021P-I).</i> Instructor 1 "Inwards and then outwards" - 03008P "I think it's because I'm looking at you in front" (explaining her difficulty doing the leg movements right). - Instructor 1 responds "I'm mirroring you, so you'll be doing the same" (O). Instructor 2 "you were right before, I'm mirroring you" - 01009P "oh, that's right" (O). 01021P-I: I...I have shoulder problem...which...which doesn't...doesn't help things, does it. 01021C-I: But, I mean, she's [Instructor I] told us he's [01021P] to keep his arms down. But he'll try and do it, 'cause he's such a stubborn little toe rag. He likes to do the same as everybody else. She's had three...two arthritis operations and one cosmetic operation on her foot. (...) And she's...not necessarily continuous pain but the pain varies considerably and it stops her doing exercises because she wants to rest it... (01022C-I). Comments he is still with this cold. Also mentions he's got some pain on his right shoulder and will need an injection. Apparently 03005C has a medical history of lesions in arms. (03005C-F).
Class facilitators	<i>I thoroughly enjoyed doing the exercises. I enjoy going. (...) we have a bit of laugh...a bit of a laugh over things. I don't...it's just quite a jolly, jolly place to be. (01008P-I).</i> <i>Every day he'll wake up in the morning, now what's on today? And if I say tai chi, oh, it's like Christmas to him. (03006C-I).</i> <i>I love to go to the lessons and go through the practice, but about three days later I can't remember what they were. (Laughs). But I like the lesson, like, you know? (03006P-I).</i> <i>Mum always enjoys it, she always looks forward to going to it, and she doesn't always enjoy going to places. Erm, you're always bright and breezy on a Thursday, aren't you? (01012C-I).</i> <i>...it gets a habit and if you wake up in the morning and you weren't feeling up to it, you'd very likely still go. (...) ...because you think it might be getting rid of what's...what's...in your mind in the morning. (Laughs). (03006P-I).</i>
Enjoyment	

Theme / Subtheme	Example quote
Doing the Tai Chi	...she'll do the arm movements, right through the sequence. And then she'll do the feet movement, right through the sequence. And then she'll put them together. We prefer it when they're both together [arm and footwork] (...) That bit I do enjoy, when we're actually doing the Tai Chi itself. (01021C-1). 03006C and 03006P did really well today, we focused on all 3 patterns and linking them together, which they both did and they really enjoyed the lesson. (Instructor 1-F).
Ability to recall the moves	It sticks in the mind sometimes, so I can remember it. (01002P-1). Well, erm, it's, it's my toe work, erm, footwork that's a problem. (...) I don't want to give up and say, oh that's enough, I can't cope anymore. I will press on regardless [laughing]. Erm, I think at the present stage, if I could carry, continue for a few more weeks, I'm sure I, I can meet, meet the system, you know. (01012P-1). I didn't find what we were doing difficult, but I...mm, there was a little bit in the middle there where I thought I...I felt that I'd done this before. (01025P-1).
Sense of commitment	...it's in the diary...and we'll go to the class. (...) ...we'll keep going because we're committed to the exercise and we'll...we'll continue to do it. But, um, it's a little bit boring. (01022C-1). ...we didn't want to commit if we couldn't do the full programme. (01021C-1).

^aParticipant numbers are followed by a "P" if provided by the person living with dementia or "C" for the carer; the second letter ("I/O/F") reflects the environment where it was collected (Interview/Observation/Feedback). Participants' identifiers are presented before the quote and without brackets, when an extract of the conversation is presented.