Published in final edited form as:

Chem Biol Interact. 2020 October 01; 330: 109247. doi:10.1016/j.cbi.2020.109247.

Benoxacor Is Enantioselectively Metabolized By Rat Liver Subcellular Fractions

Derek Simonsen^{1,2,3}, David M. Cwiertny^{3,4,5}, Hans-Joachim Lehmler^{1,2,3}

¹Department of Occupational and Environmental Health, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 52242, United States

²Interdisciplinary Graduate Program in Human Toxicology, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 52242, United States

³IIHR Hydrosience and Engineering, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 52242, United States

⁴Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 52242, United States

⁵Center for Health Effects of Environmental Contamination, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, 52242

Abstract

This study investigated the enantioselective metabolism of benoxacor, an ingredient of herbicide formulations, in microsomes or cytosol prepared from female or male rat livers. Benoxacor was incubated for 30 minutes with microsomes or cytosol, and its enantioselective depletion was measured using gas chromatographic methods. Benoxacor was depleted in incubations with active microsomes in the presence and absence of NADPH, suggesting its metabolism by hepatic cytochrome P450 enzymes (CYPs) and microsomal carboxylesterases (CESs). Benoxacor was depleted in cytosolic incubations in the presence of glutathione, consistent with its metabolism by glutathione S-transferases (GSTs). The depletion of benoxacor was faster in incubations with cytosol from male than female rats, whereas no statistically significant sex differences were observed in microsomal incubations. The consumption of benoxacor was inhibited by the CYP inhibitor 1-aminobenzotriazole, the CES inhibitor benzil, and the GST inhibitor ethacrynic acid.

Corresponding Author: Dr. Hans-Joachim Lehmler, The University of Iowa, Department of Occupational and Environmental Health, University of Iowa Research Park, B164 MTF, Iowa City, IA 52242-5000, Phone: (319) 335-4981, Fax: (319) 335-4290, hans-joachim-lehmler@uiowa.edu.

Author contributions

Simonsen: Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Validation; Visualization; Roles/Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing. **Cwiertny:** Conceptualization; Funding acquisition; Project administration; Supervision; Roles/Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing. **Lehmler:** Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Funding acquisition; Project administration; Supervision; Validation; Visualization; Roles/Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Estimates of the intrinsic clearance of benoxacor suggest that CYPs are the primary metabolic enzyme responsible for benoxacor metabolism in rats. Microsomal incubations showed an enrichment of the first eluting benoxacor enantiomer (E_1 -benoxacor). A greater enrichment occurred in incubations with microsomes from female ($EF=0.67\pm0.01$) than male rats ($EF=0.60\pm0.01$). Cytosolic incubations from female rats resulted in enrichment of E_1 -benoxacor ($EF=0.54\pm0.01$), while cytosolic incubations from male rats displayed enrichment of the second eluting enantiomer (E_2 -benoxacor; $EF=0.43\pm0.01$). Sex-dependent differences in the metabolism of benoxacor in rats could significantly impact ecological risks and mammalian toxicity. Moreover, changes in the enantiomeric enrichment of benoxacor may be a powerful tool for environmental fate and transport studies.

Graphical Abstract

Keywords

Biotransformation; carboxylesterase; chiral; cytochrome P450 enzyme; enantiomer; glutathione S-transferase

1. Introduction

Safeners, such as benoxacor, are co-formulated with herbicides to protect crops from herbicidal damage [1, 2]. Benoxacor is a chiral dichloroacetamide safener typically co-applied with chloroacetanilide herbicides (e.g., metolachlor) [3]. It contains a 3-methyl-2,3-dihydro-1,4-benzoxazine moiety with a chiral center in the 3-position and, like other chiral agrochemicals, is produced as a racemate. Safeners promote the rapid biotransformation of herbicides to less toxic metabolites within cereal crops, but not weed species [1]. Under the United States' Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), active ingredients of pesticide preparations are compounds that prevent, destroy, repel, or mitigate pests. Since the biological activity of safeners, such as benoxacor, is directed towards crops, they are considered “inert” and, thus, are not held to the same scrutiny as the active ingredients of herbicides during the registration process under FIFRA [1]. However, inert ingredients may enhance the toxicity of their co-formulated pesticide or cause adverse effects themselves [4, 5].

Benoxacor is co-applied with metolachlor, an herbicide extensively used in the Midwest of the United States; however, few peer-reviewed studies of its occurrence, environmental fate

and transport, and toxicity are available. A study by the United States Geological Survey (USGS) detected benoxacor in surface water of Iowa and Illinois, United States [3]. In the USGS study, benoxacor detection was highly correlated with the planting of corn and was mostly detected between March-June at levels between 4-190 ng/L. This concentration is much lower than the experimental solubility of benoxacor (22 ± 1.7 mg/L) [6]. Studies also suggest that benoxacor moves through the environment, similarly to metolachlor [7]. Thus, wildlife, livestock, and individuals living in these areas may be exposed to safeners, e.g., via their drinking water. Therefore, studies are needed to assess potential human exposures to benoxacor and other safeners.

Benoxacor undergoes direct photolysis forming monochlorinated and fully dechlorinated transformation products [8]. It also undergoes abiotic hydrogenolysis in iron-reducing environments [9]. It has also been shown that benoxacor photoproducts serve as photosensitizers for metolachlor and that metolachlor on quartz is degraded 1.8 times faster than when benoxacor is not present [10]. Most metabolism studies of benoxacor are focused on its metabolism in plants [11]. In plants, several enzymes, including GSTs and CYPs, seem to play a major role in the detoxification of safeners and their co-formulated herbicides [11, 12]. CESs are also major detoxifying enzymes found in microsomes and cytosol that do not require the addition of an external cofactor and thus were monitored in our study [13]. The literature on the toxicity of benoxacor in animal models is limited to regulatory studies [14] or studies in insects, such as *Chironomus riparius* [1, 15].

The metabolism of benoxacor may influence its environmental fate, transport, and toxicity, despite its inert classification [1]. Like other chiral environmental contaminants, its metabolism and toxicity may be enantioselective [16, 17]. We used subcellular fractions, including cytosol and microsomes from female and male rat livers, to identify the classes of drug-metabolizing enzymes involved in the enantioselective metabolism of benoxacor in a toxicologically relevant mammalian model system. We focused on cytochrome P450 enzymes and glutathione S-transferases based off of results from structurally similar agrochemicals [18, 19] Determining which enzymes metabolize benoxacor and characterizing the enantioselectivity of these enzymes towards benoxacor addresses critical knowledge gaps for this common agrochemical.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Subcellular fractions

Microsomal (lot numbers 0810450 and 1610290 for pooled liver microsomes from female and male Sprague Dawley rats, respectively) and cytosolic preparations (lot numbers 0810450 and 1410273 for pooled liver cytosol from female and male Sprague Dawley rats, respectively) were purchased from Sekisui XenoTech (Kansas City, KS, USA). Benoxacor (Lot # BCBT8607) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA).

2.2. Chemicals

Benoxacor (98.4% purity; InChIKey: PFJJMJDEVDPNE-UHFFFAOYSA-N) and trifluralin (99.3% purity; InChIKey: ZSDSQXJSNMTJDA-UHFFFAOYSA-N; recovery

standard) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). The internal standard, acenaphthene (99.3% purity), was purchased from Accustandard (New Haven, CT, USA). The nonspecific inhibitor of cytochrome P450 enzymes, 1-aminobenzotriazole [20], was obtained from TCI (98% purity; InChIKey: JCXKHYLLVKZPKE-UHFFFAOYSA-N; Portland, OR, USA). Benzil, classical CES inhibitor [21, 22], was purchased from ACROS Organics (99% purity; InChIKey: WURBFLDFSFBTLW-UHFFFAOYSA-N; New Jersey, NJ, USA). Ethacrynic acid, a frequently used inhibitor of GSTs [23, 24], was provided by Enzo Life Sciences (98%; InChIKey: AVOLMBLBETYQHX-UHFFFAOYSA-N; Farmingdale, NY, USA). Pesticide grade ethyl acetate used for liquid-liquid extractions was supplied by Fisher Chemical (Fair Lawn, NJ, USA). Chemicals for the preparation of buffers were obtained from commercial sources and were of ACS grade (98% purity).

2.3. Microsomal incubations with benoxacor

Microsomal incubations contained 0.1 mg/mL protein, 0.5 mM NADPH, and 5 μ M benoxacor [19] in incubation buffer as described [25]. Samples were preincubated for 5-minute and then spiked with 10 μ L of 2.5 mM benoxacor in DMSO for a final concentration of 5 μ M benoxacor and a total volume of 5 mL. A 500 μ L aliquot was taken every 5 minutes for 30 minutes and added to 2 mL of ice-cold 1% formic acid. Control samples were incubated in parallel and consisted of buffer blanks spiked with vehicle (DMSO) to assess chemical degradation in aqueous solution, inactivated microsomes with NADPH and benoxacor to control for chemical degradation by the constituents (e.g., metals) in microsomal preparations, NADPH and benoxacor without microsomes to determine if benoxacor reacts with NADPH, and active microsomes with benoxacor but no NADPH to evaluate if the degradation is due to oxidative metabolism by cytochrome P450 enzymes or other enzymes, such as CESs.

2.4. Cytosolic incubations with benoxacor

Cytosolic incubations contained 1 mg/mL protein, 5 mM glutathione (GSH), and 5 μ M benoxacor in incubation buffer [19] and were carried out as described above for the microsomal incubations. Control incubations were identical as described above for microsomal incubation, except GSH was used as the cofactor instead of NADPH to assess if the loss of benoxacor was due to glutathione conjugation.

2.5. Microsomal inhibition studies

Inhibition studies for CYPs and CESs were prepared in the same way as the previous microsomal experiments except for the addition of 1-aminobenzotriazole in ethanol (vehicle volume 25 μ L) to inhibit CYP activity or benzil in ethanol (vehicle volume 25 μ L) to inhibit CES activity. Three concentrations of 1-aminobenzotriazole (35, 350, 3500 μ M) or benzil (5, 50, 500 nM), a vehicle control, and all other previously included controls were run in parallel. Concentrations of inhibitors were chosen based on k_i values reported previously [20–22]. Samples were collected at 0 and 15 minutes for CYPs, and 0 and 30 min for CESs, to keep the metabolism within the linear range of previous microsomal incubations. The reaction was quenched by adding a 500 μ L aliquot of the incubation to 2 mL of 1% ice-cold formic acid.

2.6. Cytosolic inhibition studies

Inhibition studies for GSTs were prepared in the same way as previous cytosolic incubation experiments with the exception of the addition of ethacrynic acid in ethanol (vehicle volume 25 μ L) to inhibit GST activity. Three concentrations of ethacrynic acid (10, 100, 1000 μ M), a vehicle control, and all other previously included controls were performed in parallel. Inhibitor concentrations were chosen based on previously reported inhibition studies [23, 24]. Samples were collected at 0 and 5 minutes to keep the metabolism within the linear range of previous microsomal incubations. The reaction was quenched by adding a 500 μ L aliquot of the incubation to 2 mL of 1% ice-cold formic acid.

2.7. Extraction of benoxacor

All samples were heated to 110°C for 10 minutes to denature the protein, allowed to cool to room temperature, and spiked with 25 μ L of 20 μ g/mL trifluralin in ethyl acetate before liquid-liquid extraction with 2 mL of ethyl acetate. The samples were then placed on a tube rotator for 1 minute at 40 rpm and centrifuged at 1811 *g* for 5 minutes. The organic layer was transferred to a new tube, and the aqueous phase was re-extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic extract was washed with 2 mL of 1% KCl, dried with approximately 0.25 g of MgSO₄, and transferred to a GC vial.

2.8. Gas chromatographic analyses

We adapted a method for detecting dichloroacetamide safeners in surface water [3] to analyze the depletion of benoxacor in our metabolism studies. These analyses were performed on an Agilent 6890N gas chromatograph coupled to an Agilent 5975 MS in the SIM mode to quantify benoxacor using acenaphthene as the internal standard. The inlet was set to splitless injection at a temperature of 280 °C. The column utilized was an Agilent SLB-5MS column (30 m length, 0.25 mm inner diameter, 0.25 μ m film thickness) with a constant helium flow rate of 1 mL/min. The oven conditions were as follows: Initial temperature, 50 °C, hold for 1 minute, 15 °C/min to 240°C, hold for 13 minutes, 15 °C/min to 300 °C, hold for 10 minutes. The temperatures of the MS source and the MS quad were 230 °C and 150 °C, respectively.

We used an Agilent 7890 A gas chromatograph coupled to a ⁶³Ni- μ ECD detector to determine the enantiomeric fraction (EF) of benoxacor. Enantioselective analyses of benoxacor were optimized on an Astec CHIRALDEX GTA column (GTA) (30 m length, 0.25 mm inner diameter, 0.12 μ m film thickness) (Table S1) and an Agilent CP-Chiralsil Dex CB (CB) column (25 m length, 0.25 mm inner diameter, 0.25 μ m film thickness) (Table S2). The CB column was used for all enantioselective analyses of benoxacor. The following temperature program was used: initial temperature, 50 °C, hold for 1 minute, 10 °C/min to 145 °C, hold for 50 minutes, 15 °C/min to 200 °C, hold for 12 minutes. The helium flow rate was 2 mL/min. The injector temperature was set at 250 °C, and the detector temperature was set to 300 °C. The EF was calculated by the equation $EF = A_1/(A_1+A_2)$, where A_1 is the area for the first eluting enantiomer, and A_2 is the area for the second eluting enantiomer. Details regarding the optimization of the separation of benoxacor enantiomers are provided below.

2.9. Intrinsic clearance calculations

Apparent intrinsic clearance and scaled intrinsic clearance of benoxacor were calculated for incubations with rat liver microsomes and cytosol from previously reported methods [26]. Briefly, initial rates of benoxacor metabolism (k_{dep}) were determined by plotting the natural logarithm of the percentage of benoxacor remaining against time. Linear regression analysis was applied to determine the time when 50% of benoxacor would be depleted ($t_{1/2}$). Apparent intrinsic clearance was calculated by equation 1, where V is the incubation volume, and $t_{1/2}$ is the in vitro half-life of the substrate [26]. For microsomal incubations, depletion rate (k_{dep}) values were corrected for endogenous CES activity.

$$CL_{\text{int}} = \sum_i^n \left(\frac{V_{\text{max},i}}{K_{\text{m},i}} \right) = \frac{0.693 \times V}{\text{in vitro } t_{1/2}}$$

After the CL_{int} was calculated, it was corrected for the total microsomal or cytosolic protein present within the incubation. The corrected values were then scaled to total hepatic clearance using previously reported scaling factors for rat microsomes and cytosol (Table 1) [26].

2.10. Statistical Analyses

Graphpad Prism 8.1.2 was used to explore statistical differences between both sexes and controls. Unpaired t-tests were used to compare time points and rates between preparations of microsomes and cytosol from female and male rats. Paired t-tests were employed to compare zero-minute time points of a specific sex to later time points. One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used to compare 30-minute time points for both depletion and change in enantiomeric analyses. Tukey's test for multiple comparisons was employed to confirm statistical significance in active incubations compared to control samples. For all analyses, $p < 0.05$ was considered significant.

3. Results and Discussion

3.7. Oxidative metabolism of benoxacor by rat liver microsomes

Benoxacor was depleted in incubations with microsomes from both female and male rats over a 30-minute time-course in the presence of NADPH (Fig. 1). The percentage of benoxacor decreased linearly for 20 and 30 minutes in experiments with liver microsomes from female and male rats, respectively (Fig. 1A, Table S3). At the 30-minute time point, $48 \pm 1\%$ and $45 \pm 1\%$ of benoxacor remained in incubations with microsomes from female and male rats, respectively. The depletion of benoxacor was not significantly different between female and male microsomes; however, the rate of benoxacor consumption, calculated at the 20-minute time point, was lower in experiments using microsomes from male rats (Fig. 1B). This observation, while not statistically significant, was unexpected as the total CYP content was lower in microsomal preparations from female than male rats (0.595 nmol/mg protein vs. 0.679 nmol/mg protein, respectively). Differences in the metabolism between sexes are quite common and occur with a wide range of xenobiotics and drugs [27, 28]. Control

incubations with heat-inactivated microsomes and without microsomes showed minimal disappearance of benoxacor (Figs. 1C and 1D). This observation is consistent with benoxacor decay resulting from oxidative, CYP-mediated metabolism in incubations with active liver microsomes.

In incubations with microsomes from male and female rats containing 1-aminobenzotriazole, a classical CYP inhibitor [20], the depletion of benoxacor was inhibited in a concentration-dependent manner (Figs. 2A and 2B), indicating that the depletion of benoxacor was from the metabolism of CYPs and not from protein binding. In microsomal preparations from female rats, the percent of benoxacor remaining at 15-minutes was $71 \pm 1\%$ without inhibitor and $98 \pm 1\%$ with $3500 \mu M$ of inhibitor present. In microsomal preparations from male rats, the percent of benoxacor remaining at 15-minutes was $72 \pm 1\%$ without inhibitor and $95 \pm 1\%$. These experiments provide further evidence that benoxacor, unlike other dichloroacetamide safeners [19], is metabolized by CYPs.

Levels of benoxacor were significantly lower in incubations with active microsomes from male rats without NADPH compared to control incubations with inactive microsomes ($p = 0.0002$; Fig. 1D). No significant depletion of benoxacor was observed in experiments with liver microsomes from female rats (Fig. 1C). Moreover, benoxacor decreased over time in incubations with rat microsomes from male rats in the absence of NADPH (Fig. S1). This finding suggests that drug-metabolizing enzymes other than CYPs are involved in the depletion of benoxacor in male but not female rats. Because no cofactors (e.g., NADPH) are involved in this metabolism, it is likely that CESs metabolize benoxacor in a sex-dependent manner. Multiple studies have reported higher protein levels and activities of CES in male rats [29, 30], which likely explains why we observed CES-mediated metabolism only with microsomal preparations from male rats. While benoxacor does not contain an ester, CESs are capable of metabolizing amide groups [31]. For example, the drug selexipag is hydrolytically cleaved at a sulfonamide moiety to yield its pharmacologically active metabolite [32]. We hypothesize that benoxacor is similarly cleaved at its amide moiety to form dichloroacetic acid and its 1,4-benzoxazine moiety.

We performed additional inhibition studies to confirm the role of CESs in the hepatic metabolism of benoxacor in male rat microsomal preparations. The loss of benoxacor was significantly inhibited by benzil, a classical CES inhibitor [21, 22], in metabolism studies with microsomes prepared from male rat liver and without NADPH (Fig 2C). Briefly, $92 \pm 2\%$ of benoxacor remained after 30 minutes in incubations without benzil. In contrast, benoxacor was not depleted in experiments with benzil at concentrations ranging from 5 to 500 nM . While the inhibition of benoxacor metabolism was independent of the benzil concentration, as with the inhibition of CYPs, this finding is not unexpected as only a relatively small amount of benoxacor was depleted in these studies. Analogous inhibition studies were not carried out with microsomal preparations from female rats since no significant depletion was seen in the previous incubations (Fig 1C). These observations demonstrate that microsomal CESs play a role in the metabolism of benoxacor in male but not female rats.

Work to identify products of benoxacor metabolism is ongoing, with complete metabolite elucidation beyond the scope of the current work focused on enantioselective metabolism. Generally, little is known in the peer-reviewed literature about benoxacor metabolites, with most microsome or whole organism work to date exploring other dichloroacetamide herbicide safeners (e.g., dichlormid) [19]. Our group has recently structurally elucidated abiotic transformation products of benoxacor generated via reaction with sunlight [8], and similar tools are being used to probe the nature of metabolites produced herein.

3.8. Enantiomeric enrichment in microsomal incubations

Rat liver microsomes enantioselectively metabolized the first eluting enantiomer of benoxacor (E_1) in the presence of NADPH (Fig. 3). Over the 30-minute time-course, the EF values of benoxacor increased from 0.48 to 0.67 in studies with microsomes from female rats and from 0.48 to 0.60 in incubations with microsomes from male rats (Fig. 4A, Table S4). EF values were significantly different at 30-minutes for incubations using microsomes from female vs. male rats (Fig. 4B). These differences are consistent with sex-dependent differences in the expression of the CYPs responsible for benoxacor metabolism.

Incubations of active microsomes without NADPH showed a slight, but significant decrease in the EF value from 0.48 to 0.47 (unpaired t-test, $p = 0.0012$) in incubations with microsomes from female rats and from 0.48 to 0.46 (unpaired t-test, $p = 0.0021$) in studies with microsomes from male rats (Figs. 4C and 4D). No significant change in the EF value of benoxacor was observed in the other control incubations. This observation is consistent with an enzymatic conversion of benoxacor, for example, by CESs. Consistent with our results, CESs can be highly enantioselective [33]. For instance, propranolol derivatives, cocaine, and cypermethrin analogs are enantioselectively metabolized by human CESs [34].

3.9. Metabolism of benoxacor by rat liver cytosol

In the presence of GSH, benoxacor was depleted in incubations with liver cytosol from both female and male rats over a 30-minute time-course (Fig. 5A, Table S5). At the 30-minute time point, $18 \pm 2\%$ and $9 \pm 2\%$ of benoxacor remained in incubations with cytosol from female and male rats, respectively. The depletion of benoxacor was significantly lower in experiments with cytosol from female rats compared to male rats at the 5-minute time point (t-test, $p = 0.0350$) (Fig. 5B). Control incubations with heat-inactivated cytosol and without cytosol showed no significant disappearance of benoxacor. This observation is consistent with a GST-mediated metabolism of benoxacor in incubations with active liver cytosol. In incubations containing different concentrations of the classical GST inhibitor ethacrynic acid [24, 35], the depletion of benoxacor was inhibited in a concentration-dependent manner compared to control incubations without the inhibitor (Figs 6A and 6B). This observation indicates that the depletion of benoxacor was from the metabolism of GSTs and not due to the binding of benoxacor to cytosolic proteins. In cytosolic preparations from female rats, the percent of benoxacor remaining at 5-minutes was $71 \pm 3\%$ without inhibitor and $98 \pm 2\%$ with $1000 \mu M$ of inhibitor present. In microsomal preparations from male rats, the percent of benoxacor remaining at 5-minutes was $64 \pm 1\%$ without inhibitor and $96 \pm 2\%$ with inhibitor.

3.10. Enantiomeric enrichment in cytosolic incubations

Benoxacor was enantioselectively metabolized by rat liver cytosol in the presence of GSH. E₁-benoxacor was enriched in cytosol preparations from female rats, whereas E₂-benoxacor was enriched in cytosol preparations from male rats (Fig. 7). Over the 30-minute time-course, the EF values of benoxacor increased from 0.48 to 0.55 in incubations with cytosol from female rats but decreased from 0.47 to 0.43 in experiments with cytosol from male rats (Fig. 8A, Table S6). The enrichment of different enantiomers in females and males is likely due to sex-specific differences in the expression of GST isoforms [36]. This finding could impact sex-dependent toxicities as typically only one enantiomer of a pharmaceutical or pesticide shows activity towards a specific cellular target [16]. EF values at the 30-minute time point were significantly higher (t-test, $p = 0.0002$) in experiments with cytosol from female than male rats (Fig. 8B). Control incubations with heat-inactivated cytosol and without cytosol showed no statistical change in EF value (Figs. 8C and 8D).

3.11. Apparent hepatic clearance of benoxacor

The intrinsic clearance of benoxacor by different drug-metabolizing enzymes, such as CYPs, GSTs, and CESs, was estimated using the data from rat microsomal and cytosolic incubations (Table 1) [26]. The scaled hepatic CL_{int} of benoxacor decreased in the order CYP > GST >> CES in male rats. Similarly, the scaled hepatic CL_{int} followed the rank order CYP > GST in female rats. However, CYPs appear to play a more important role in the clearance of benoxacor in female than male mice, with CESs making no contribution to the clearance of benoxacor in female rats. No significant difference was seen between the clearance of CYPs in female or male rats. The clearance by GSTs, however, was significantly higher in male than female rats (unpaired t-test, $p = 0.0038$). Overall, CYP and GST metabolism are both major players in the sex-dependent metabolism of benoxacor in rats. While this is the first estimation of the intrinsic clearance of benoxacor, there are clear limitations to these estimations. First, the simplicity of the incubation system cannot mimic the complexity of the liver. This system does not consider liver transporters or the interplay between the enzymes capable of metabolizing benoxacor. Second, the scaling factors are approximations of the amount of liver protein within a rat and may not fully reflect the protein/gram liver in the rats used to prepare the microsomes used. Despite these limitations, these estimations provide a valuable approximation of the clearance of benoxacor for future toxicity studies in rats.

3.12. Comparative metabolism of benoxacor and other structurally related compounds

CYPs did not play a substantial role in an earlier study of the metabolism of N,N-diallyl-2,2-dichloroacetamide, another dichloroacetamide safener [19]. In contrast, our research indicates that hepatic CYPs readily metabolize benoxacor. CYPs also metabolize metolachlor, a herbicide structurally related to benoxacor [37]. The oxidation of metolachlor by CYPs, especially CYP3A and CYP2B isoforms, can lead to the formation of DNA-reactive metabolites like 2-methyl-6-ethylbenzoquinoneimine [38, 39]. *In vitro* studies with rat and human hepatocytes suggest that, not surprisingly, humans are more sensitive to the toxicity of metolachlor than rats [40]. Analogously, oxidation of the 1,4-benzoxazine moiety of benoxacor by CYPs may produce reactive and toxic metabolites. Besides, the amidase

activity of CESs could produce dichloroacetic acid, a known hepato- and immunotoxicant in mice [41]. Based on limited metabolism studies with dichloroacetamide safeners with cytosol and plants, [11, 19] cytosolic GSTs were expected to be a major metabolic and, ultimately, detoxication pathway for benoxacor. For example, benoxacor is dechlorinated by GSTs and forms a formylcarboxamide metabolite in plants [11, 42]. Moreover, GSH protects cells in culture from the cytotoxicity of chloroacetanilide herbicides structurally related to benoxacor [43]. Overall, these findings indirectly indicate that conjugation with GSH by GSTs may be a detoxification route for benoxacor and its metabolites.

4. Conclusions

To our knowledge, this is the first paper reporting on the metabolism of benoxacor in mammalian subcellular fractions. Our results demonstrate that the enantioselective metabolism of benoxacor is complex. Specifically, benoxacor is enantioselectively metabolized by enzymes present in both the microsomal (e.g., CYPs and CESs) and cytosolic fractions (e.g., GSTs) from the rat liver. These results will be helpful for further in-depth studies aimed at characterizing the metabolites formed by different drug-metabolizing enzymes. Our results also revealed sex-dependent differences in the metabolism of benoxacor in rats, which could be a significant factor with regards to their ecological risks and mammalian toxicity. Although further studies with other species are needed, our results also suggest that changes in the enantiomeric enrichment of benoxacor may be useful for environmental fate and transport studies. Moreover, the identification of the benoxacor metabolites formed by different enzymes is needed to aid in environmental and human biomonitoring studies assessing the environmental fate and transport, and exposures of wildlife, livestock, and humans to this overlooked agrochemical.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank Mr. Jacob Heffelfinger (University of Iowa) for his help with the metabolism studies.

Funding Sources

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) [grant numbers CBET-1703796, CBET-1702610, CBET-1335711, CHE-1609791] and the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences/ National Institute of Health [grant number P30 ES005605].

ABBREVIATIONS:

E₁-benoxacor	First Eluting Enantiomer of Benoxacor
EF	Enantiomeric Fraction
E₂-benoxacor	Second Eluting Enantiomer of Benoxacor
FIFRA	Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act

USGS	United States Geological Survey
GSTs	Glutathione S-Transferases
CYPs	Cytochrome P450s
CEs	Carboxylesterases
GTA	Astec CHIRALDEX GTA column
CB	Agilent CP-Chiralsil Dex CB column
k_{dep}	rate of depletion
t_{1/2}	half-life
CL_{int}	apparent intrinsic clearance
NADPH	Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate
GSH	Glutathione
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance

References

- [1]. Sivey JD, Lehmler H-J, Salice CJ, Ricko AN, Cwiertny DM, Environmental fate and effects of dichloroacetamide herbicide safeners: “Inert” yet biologically active agrochemical ingredients, *Environ. Sci. Tech. Let*, 2 (2015) 260–269 10.1021/acs.estlett.5b00220.
- [2]. Davies J, Caseley JC, Herbicide safeners: a review, *Pestic. Sci*, 55 (1999) 1043–1058 10.1002/(SICI)1096-9063(199911)55:11<1043::AID-PS60>3.0.CO;2-L.
- [3]. Woodward EE, Hladik ML, Kolpin DW, Occurrence of dichloroacetamide herbicide safeners and co-applied herbicides in midwestern US streams, *Environ. Sci. Tech. Let*, 5 (2017) 3–8 10.1021/acs.estlett.7b00505.
- [4]. Rizzati V, Briand O, Guillou H, Gamet-Payrastra L, Effects of pesticide mixtures in human and animal models: An update of the recent literature, *Chem. Biol. Interact*, 254 (2016) 231–246 10.1016/j.cbi.2016.06.003. [PubMed: 27312199]
- [5]. Cox C, Surgan M, Unidentified inert ingredients in pesticides: implications for human and environmental health, *Environ. Health Perspect*, 114 (2006) 1803–1806 10.1289/ehp.9374. [PubMed: 17185266]
- [6]. Acharya SP, Weidhaas J, Solubility, partitioning, oxidation and photodegradation of dichloroacetamide herbicide safeners, benoxacor and furilazole, *Chemosphere*, 211 (2018) 1018–1024 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.08.024. [PubMed: 30223316]
- [7]. Acharya SP, Johnson J, Weidhaas J, Adsorption kinetics of the herbicide safeners, benoxacor and furilazole, to activated carbon and agricultural soils, *J. Environ. Sci*, 89 (2020) 23–34 10.1016/j.jes.2019.09.022.
- [8]. Kral AE, Pflug NC, McFadden ME, Lefevre GH, Sivey JD, Cwiertny DM, Photochemical transformations of dichloroacetamide safeners, *Environ. Sci. Tech*, 53 (2019) 6738–6746 10.1021/acs.est.9b00861.
- [9]. Sivey JD, Roberts AL, Abiotic reduction reactions of dichloroacetamide safeners: transformations of “inert” agrochemical constituents, *Environ. Sci. Tech*, 46 (2012) 2187–2195 10.1021/es203755h.
- [10]. Su L, Caywood LM, Sivey JD, Dai N, Sunlight photolysis of safener benoxacor and herbicide metolachlor as mixtures on simulated soil surfaces, *Environ. Sci. Tech*, 53 (2019) 6784–6793 10.1021/acs.est.9b01243.

- [11]. Miller KD, Irzyk GP, Fuerst EP, McFarland JE, Barringer M, Cruz S, Eberle WJ, Föry W, Time course of benoxacor metabolism and identification of benoxacor metabolites isolated from suspension-cultured zea mays cells 1 h after treatment†, *J. Agric. Food Chem*, 44 (1996) 3326–3334 10.1021/jf950542d.
- [12]. Kreuz K, Fonné-Pfister R, Herbicide-insecticide interaction in maize: malathion inhibits cytochrome P450-dependent primisulfuron metabolism, *Pestic. Biochem. Phys*, 43 (1992) 232–240.
- [13]. Hatfield MJ, Umans RA, Hyatt JL, Edwards CC, Wierdl M, Tsurkan L, Taylor MR, Potter PM, Carboxylesterases: General detoxifying enzymes, *Chem. Biol. Interact*, 259 (2016) 327–331 10.1016/j.cbi.2016.02.011. [PubMed: 26892220]
- [14]. Benoxacor (Ref: CGA 154281), University of Hertfordshire, <https://sitem.herts.ac.uk/aeru/ppdb/en/Reports/67.htm>
- [15]. Bolyard K, Gresens SE, Ricko AN, Sivey JD, Salice CJ, Assessing the toxicity of the “inert” safener benoxacor toward *Chironomus riparius* : Effects of agrochemical mixtures, *Environ. Toxicol. Chem*, 36 (2017) 2660–2670 10.1002/etc.3814. [PubMed: 28370367]
- [16]. Garrison AW, Probing the enantioselectivity of chiral pesticides, *Environ. Sci. Technol*, 40 (2006) 16–23 10.1021/es063022f. [PubMed: 16433329]
- [17]. Smith SW, Chiral toxicology: it’s the same thing...only different, *Toxicol. Sci*, 110 (2009) 4–30 10.1093/toxsci/kfp097. [PubMed: 19414517]
- [18]. Coleman S, Liu S, Linderman R, Hodgson E, Rose RL, In vitro metabolism of alachlor by human liver microsomes and human cytochrome P450 isoforms, *Chem. Biol. Interact*, 122 (1999) 27–39 10.1016/S0009-2797(99)00107-6. [PubMed: 10475613]
- [19]. Miaullis JB, Thomas VM, Gray RA, Murphy JJ, Hollingworth RM, Metabolism of R 25788 (N, N diallyl 2, 2 dichloroacetamide) in corn plants, rats and soil, *Chemistry and Action of Herbicide Antidotes 1978*, 10.1016/B978-0-12-544050-9.50012-X.
- [20]. Emoto C, Murase S, Sawada Y, Jones BC, Iwasaki K, In vitro inhibitory effect of 1-aminobenzotriazole on drug oxidations catalyzed by human cytochrome P450 enzymes: a comparison with SKF-525A and Ketoconazole, *Drug Metab. Pharmacokinet*, 18 (2003) 287–295 10.2133/dmpk.18.287. [PubMed: 15618748]
- [21]. Hatfield MJ, Potter PM, Carboxylesterase inhibitors, *Expert Opin. Ther. Pat*, 21 (2011) 1159–1171 10.1517/13543776.2011.586339. [PubMed: 21609191]
- [22]. Wadkins RM, Hyatt JL, Wei X, Yoon KJP, Wierdl M, Edwards CC, Morton CL, Obenauer JC, Damodaran K, Beroza P, Danks MK, Potter PM, Identification and characterization of novel benzil (diphenylethane-1,2-dione) analogues as inhibitors of mammalian carboxylesterases, *J. Med. Chem*, 48 (2005) 2906–2915 10.1021/jm049011j. [PubMed: 15828829]
- [23]. Ploemen JHTM, Van Ommen B, Van Bladeren PJ, Inhibition of rat and human glutathione S-transferase isoenzymes by ethacrynic acid and its glutathione conjugate, *Biochem. Pharmacol*, 40 (1990) 1631–1635 10.1016/0006-2952(90)90465-w. [PubMed: 2222516]
- [24]. Van Iersel MLPS, Ploemen J-PHTM, Struik I, Van Amersfoort C, Keyzer AE, Schefferlie JG, Van Bladeren PJ, Inhibition of glutathione S-transferase activity in human melanoma cells by α,β -unsaturated carbonyl derivatives. Effects of acrolein, cinnamaldehyde, citral, crotonaldehyde, curcumin, ethacrynic acid, and trans-2-hexenal, *Chem. Biol. Interact*, 102 (1996) 117–132 10.1016/S0009-2797(96)03739-8. [PubMed: 8950226]
- [25]. Wu X, Pramanik A, Duffel MW, Hrycay EG, Bandiera SM, Lehmler H-J, Kania-Korwel I, 2,2',3,3',6,6'-Hexachlorobiphenyl (PCB 136) is enantioselectively oxidized to hydroxylated metabolites by rat liver microsomes, *Chem. Res. Toxicol*, 24 (2011) 2249–2257 10.1021/tx200360m. [PubMed: 22026639]
- [26]. Houston JB, Galetin A, Methods for predicting in vivo pharmacokinetics using data from in vitro assays, *Curr. Drug Metab*, 9 (2008) 940–951, [PubMed: 18991591]
- [27]. Ohhira S, Enomoto M, Matsui H, Sex difference in the principal cytochrome P-450 for tributyltin metabolism in rats, *Toxicol. Appl. Pharm*, 210 (2006) 32–38 10.1016/j.taap.2005.06.013.
- [28]. Mugford CA, Kedderis GL, Sex-dependent metabolism of xenobiotics, *Drug Metab. Rev*, 30 (1998) 441–498 10.3109/03602539808996322. [PubMed: 9710703]

- [29]. Fonnum F, Sterri SH, Aas P, Johnsen H, Carboxylesterases, importance for detoxification of organophosphorus anticholinesterases and trichothecenes, *Toxicol. Sci*, 5 (1985) 29–38 10.1093/toxsci/5.6part2.29.
- [30]. Zhang J, Dean RA, Brzezinski MR, Bosron WF, Gender-specific differences in activity and protein levels of cocaine carboxylesterase in rat tissues, *Life Sci*, 59 (1996) 1175–1184 10.1016/0024-3205(96)00435-3. [PubMed: 8831805]
- [31]. Mentlein R, Heymann E, Hydrolysis of ester- and amide-type drugs by the purified isoenzymes of nonspecific carboxylesterase from rat liver, *Biochem. Pharmacol*, 33 (1984) 1243–1248 10.1016/0006-2952(84)90176-x. [PubMed: 6712734]
- [32]. Imai S, Ichikawa T, Sugiyama C, Nonaka K, Yamada T, Contribution of human liver and intestinal carboxylesterases to the hydrolysis of selexipag in vitro, *J. Pharm. Sci*, 108 (2019) 1027–1034 10.1016/j.xphs.2018.09.022. [PubMed: 30267780]
- [33]. Zhu H-J, Appel DI, Peterson YK, Wang Z, Markowitz JS, Identification of selected therapeutic agents as inhibitors of carboxylesterase 1: Potential sources of metabolic drug interactions, *Toxicology*, 270 (2010) 59–65 10.1016/j.tox.2010.01.009. [PubMed: 20097249]
- [34]. Imai T, Human carboxylesterase isozymes: Catalytic properties and rational drug design, *Drug Metab. Pharmacokinet*, 21 (2006) 173–185 10.2133/dmpk.21.173. [PubMed: 16858120]
- [35]. Ploemen JHTM, Bogaards JJP, Veldink GA, Van Ommen B, Jansen DHM, Van Bladeren PJ, Isoenzyme selective irreversible inhibition of rat and human glutathione S-transferases by ethacrynic acid and two brominated derivatives, *Biochemical Pharmacology*, 45 (1993) 633–639 10.1016/0006-2952(93)90137-1. [PubMed: 8442764]
- [36]. Igarashi T, Satoh T, Iwashita K, Ono S, Ueno K, Kitagawa H, Sex difference in subunit composition of hepatic glutathione S-transferase in rats, *J. Biochem*, 98 (1985) 117–123 10.1093/oxfordjournals.jbchem.a135249. [PubMed: 4044545]
- [37]. Feng PC, Wratten SJ, In vitro transformation of chloroacetanilide herbicides by rat liver enzymes: a comparative study of metolachlor and alachlor, *J. Agr. Food Chem*, 37 (1989) 1088–1093 10.1016/j.jep.2007.09.002.
- [38]. Coleman S, Linderman R, Hodgson E, Rose RL, Comparative metabolism of chloroacetamide herbicides and selected metabolites in human and rat liver microsomes, *Environ. Health Perspect*, 108 (2000) 1151–1157 10.1289/ehp.001081151. [PubMed: 11133395]
- [39]. Miranda SR, Meyer SA, Cytotoxicity of chloroacetanilide herbicide alachlor in HepG2 cells independent of CYP3A4 and CYP3A7, *Food Chem. Toxicol*, 45 (2007) 871–877 10.1016/j.fct.2006.11.011. [PubMed: 17207564]
- [40]. Kale VM, Miranda SR, Wilbanks MS, Meyer SA, Comparative cytotoxicity of alachlor, acetochlor, and metolachlor herbicides in isolated rat and cryopreserved human hepatocytes, *J. Biochem. Mol. Toxicol*, 22 (2008) 41–50 10.1002/jbt.20213. [PubMed: 18273908]
- [41]. Cai P, Boor PJ, Khan MF, Kaphalia BS, Ansari GAS, König R, Immuno- and hepato-toxicity of dichloroacetic acid in MRL+/+ and B6C3F1 mice, *J. Immunotoxicol*, 4 (2007) 107–115 10.1080/15476910701337225. [PubMed: 18958719]
- [42]. Miller KD, Irzyk GP, Fuerst EP, McFarland JE, Barringer M, Cruz S, Eberle WJ, Förty W, Identification of metabolites of the herbicide safener benoxacor isolated from suspension-cultured Zea mays cells 3 and 24 h after treatment, *J. Agric. Food. Chem*, 44 (1996) 3335–3341 10.1021/jf9505436.
- [43]. Dierickx P, Glutathione-dependent cytotoxicity of the chloroacetanilide herbicides alachlor, metolachlor, and propachlor in rat and human hepatoma-derived cultured cells, *Cell Bio. Toxicol*, 15 (1999) 325–332 10.1023/a:1007619919336. [PubMed: 10813365]

Highlights

- Benoxacor is metabolized by rat the hepatic cytochrome P450 system and carboxylesterases *in vitro*
- Benoxacor is metabolized by hepatic glutathione S-transferases *in vitro*
- The *in vitro* metabolism of benoxacor is sex-dependent in rats
- Benoxacor is enantioselectively metabolized by different drug metabolizing enzymes

Figure 1.

Benoxacor is metabolized by cytochrome P450 enzymes and, possibly, carboxylesterases in incubations with rat liver microsomes. (A) Depletion of benoxacor over a 30-minute time-course in female and male rat liver microsomes. Comparison of (B) the protein adjusted rate of depletion of benoxacor at 20 minutes for female and male rat liver microsomes; (C) the percentage of benoxacor in active female rat liver microsomal incubations and control incubations at 30 minutes, and (D) the percentage of benoxacor in active male rat liver microsomal incubations and control incubations at 30 minutes, see text for more details. An unpaired t-test was used to determine significance in (B). One-way ANOVA was used to determine significance in (C&D). Tukey's multiple comparisons test confirmed a significant decrease in active incubations in (C) ($p < 0.0001$) and a significant decrease in both the microsomes without NADPH ($p < 0.0001$) and active incubations ($p < 0.0001$) at the 30-

minute time point. The values of benoxacor depletion are shown in Table S1. Data are the mean $\pm$ standard deviation for three independent replicates; for some data points, the standard deviation is smaller than the size of the symbols. ns, not significant; NADPH, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate; IM, heat-inactivated microsomes; **** $p < 0.0001$ (one-way ANOVA).

Figure 2.

The depletion of benoxacor in metabolism studies with liver microsomes from female and male rats is inhibited in a concentration-dependent manner by classical inhibitors of (A) microsomal CYPs from female rats, (B) microsomal CYPs from male rats, and (C) microsomal CESs from male rats. Incubations with liver microsomes from (A) female and (B) male rats in the presence of NADPH were performed for 15 minutes with the addition of 1-aminobenzotriazole (0, 35, 350, and 3500 μM) to inhibit benoxacor metabolism by CYPs. (C) Incubations with liver microsomes from male rats without NADPH were performed for

30 minutes with the addition of benzil (0, 5, 50, and 500 nM) to inhibit metabolism by CESs; see text for more details. One-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test was used to determine significance in (A-C). Data are the mean $\pm$ standard deviation for three independent replicates. Control incubations showed minimal benoxacor depletion. For 1-aminobenzotriazole controls, benoxacor depletion was $101 \pm 1\%$ for 35 μM , and $100 \pm 1\%$ for 350 and 3500 μM controls. For benzil controls, benoxacor depletion was $100 \pm 1\%$ for 5, 50, and 500 nM.

Figure 3.

Representative gas chromatograms show time-dependent changes in the enantiomeric enrichment of benoxacor in metabolism studies with rat liver microsomes from (A) female and (B) male rats. Incubations with female and male rat liver microsomes showed an enrichment of the benoxacor enantiomer eluting first on the enantioselective column. Microsomal incubations contained 0.1 mg/mL protein, 0.5 mM NADPH, and 5 μ M benoxacor in incubation buffer. All samples were incubated for 30-minutes, and an aliquot was taken every 5-minutes for measurement. After extraction, a CB column was utilized to separate the enantiomers of benoxacor on an Agilent 7890 A gas chromatograph coupled to a ^{63}Ni - μ ECD detector as described under Materials and Methods.

Figure 4.

Benoxacor is enantioselectively metabolized by rat liver microsomes, with E₂-benoxacor being enriched in incubations with microsomes from both female and male rats. (A) Change in the enantiomeric fraction of benoxacor in experiments with female and male rat liver microsomes over a 30-minute time-course. Comparison of the enantiomeric fraction of benoxacor at 30 minutes between (B) incubations with female vs. male rat liver microsomes; (C) experiments with active female rat liver microsomes and controls, and (D) incubations with active male rat liver microsomal incubations and controls, see text for more details. The EF values at all time points were significantly different from the 0-minute timepoint. EF values were also significantly different between incubations with microsomes from female and male rats at all time point ≥ 5 minutes ($p = 0.0379$). An unpaired t-test was used to determine significance in (B). One-way ANOVA was used to determine significance in (C&D). Tukey's multiple comparisons test confirmed a significant increase in the EF values in active preparations from female ($p < 0.0001$) and male rats ($p < 0.0001$). EF values are

reported in Table S2. Representative chromatograms are shown in Fig. S2. Data are the mean $\pm$ standard deviation for three independent replicates; for some data points, the standard deviation is smaller than the size of the symbols. The dotted lines represent EF = 0.5. NADPH, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate; IM, heat-inactivated microsomes; **** $p < 0.0001$ (one-way ANOVA); ### $p < 0.001$ (unpaired t-test).

Figure 5.

Benoxacor is metabolized in the presence of GSH in incubations with rat liver cytosol, consistent with its metabolism by GSTs. (A) Depletion of benoxacor over a 30-minute time-course in female and male rat liver cytosol. Comparison of: (B) the protein-adjusted rate of depletion of benoxacor at 5 minutes for female and male rat liver cytosol; (C) the percentage of benoxacor in active female rat liver cytosolic incubations and controls at 30 minutes, and (D) the percentage of benoxacor in active male rat liver cytosolic incubations and controls at 30 minutes, see text for more details. The percentage of benoxacor at all time points were significantly different from the 0-minute time point, and changes in EF by preparations of cytosol from female and male rats were significantly different after 5 minutes ($p = 0.0071$). An unpaired t-test was used to determine significance in (B). One-way ANOVA was used to determine significance in (C&D). Tukey's multiple comparisons test confirmed a significant decrease in benoxacor in active preparations from female ($p < 0.0001$) and male rats ($p < 0.0001$) at the 30-minute time point. Values for benoxacor depletion are reported in Table S3. Data are the mean $\pm$ standard deviation for three independent replicates; for some data

points, the standard deviation is smaller than the size of the symbols. GSH, glutathione; IC, heat-inactivated cytosol; **** $p < 0.0001$ (one-way ANOVA); # $p < 0.05$ (unpaired t-test).

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Figure 6.

The depletion of benoxacor in metabolism studies with liver cytosol from female and male rats is inhibited in a concentration-dependent manner by classical inhibitors of cytosolic GSTs from female and male rats. Incubations with liver cytosol prepared from (A) female and (B) male rat liver at 5 minutes with the addition of ethacrynic acid (0, 10, 100, and 1000 μM) to inhibit GST metabolism, see text for more details. One-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test was used to determine significance in (A-C). Data are the mean $\pm$ standard deviation for three independent replicates. Control incubations showed minimal

benoxacor depletion ($100\pm1\%$, $102\pm1\%$, and $100\pm1\%$ for 10, 100, and 1000 μM ethacrynic acid, respectively).

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Figure 7.

Representative gas chromatograms show time-dependent changes in the enantiomeric enrichment of benoxacor in metabolism studies with rat liver cytosol from (A) female and (B) male rats. Incubations with female rat liver cytosol showed an enrichment of the benoxacor enantiomer eluting first on the enantioselective column. In contrast, an enrichment of the benoxacor enantiomer eluting second on the enantioselective column was observed in experiments with male rat liver cytosol. Cytosolic incubations contained 1 mg/mL protein, 5 mM glutathione (GSH) and 5 μ M benoxacor incubation buffer. All samples were incubated for 30-minutes, and an aliquot was taken every 5-minutes for measurement. After extraction, a CB column was utilized to separate the enantiomers of benoxacor on an Agilent 7890 A gas chromatograph coupled to a ^{63}Ni - μ ECD detector as described under Materials and Methods.

Figure 8.

Benoxacor is enantioselectively metabolized by rat liver cytosol. E₁-benoxacor was enriched in incubations with cytosol from female rats, and E₂-benoxacor was enriched in incubations with cytosol from male rats. (A) Change in the enantiomeric fraction of benoxacor in experiments with female and male rat liver cytosol over a 30-minute time-course.

Comparison of the enantiomeric fraction of benoxacor between (B) incubations with female vs. male rat liver cytosol at 30 minutes; (C) experiments with active female rat liver cytosol and controls at 30 minutes, and (D) incubations with active male rat liver cytosol and controls at 30 minutes, see text for more details. An unpaired t-test was used to determine significance in (B). One-way ANOVA was used to determine significance in (C&D).

Tukey's multiple comparisons test confirmed a significant increase in the EF values in active preparations from female rats ($p < 0.0001$) and a significant decrease in active preparations

from male rats ($p < 0.0221$) compared to heat-inactivated cytosol. EF values are reported in Table S4. Representative chromatograms are shown in Fig. S2. Data are the mean $\pm$ standard deviation for three independent replicates; for some data points, the standard deviation is smaller than the size of the symbols. The dotted lines represent EF = 0.5. GSH, glutathione; IC, heat-inactivated cytosol; **** $p < 0.0001$ (one-way ANOVA); ### $p < 0.001$ (unpaired t-test); * $p < 0.05$ (One-way ANOVA).

Table 1.

Predicted intrinsic and scaled intrinsic clearance values for CESs, CYPs, and GSTs estimated based on a previously presented method [26].

Value	Male	Female
CES CL _{int} (mL/min/mg protein)	0.15	N/A
CES Scaled CL _{int} (mL/min/g liver)	6.74	N/A
CYP CL _{int} (mL/min/mg protein)	1.11	1.28
CYP Scaled CL _{int} (mL/min/g liver)	50.13	57.80
GST CL _{int} (mL/min/mg protein)	0.44	0.28 ^a
GST Scaled CL _{int} (mL/min/g liver)	40.18	25.87 ^a

^aSignificant difference between female and male clearance using an unpaired t-test (p = 0.0038) N/A, not applicable