Published in final edited form as:

J Leis Res. 2020 ; 51(1): 36–55. doi:10.1080/00222216.2019.1620144.

Parenting Practice, Leisure Experience, and Substance Use Among South African Adolescents

Hui Xie, Ph.D.* [Associate Professor],

Department of Recreation and Tourism Management, Center for Assessment Research and Evaluation, California State University, Northridge, 18111 Nordhoff Street, Northridge, CA 91330-8269

Elizabeth H. Weybright, Ph.D. [Assistant Professor],

Department of Human Development, Washington State University

Linda L. Caldwell, Ph.D. [Professor Emerita],

The Pennsylvania State University, University of the Western Cape, South Africa

Lisa Wegner, Ph.D. [Professor],

Department of Occupational Therapy, University of the Western Cape, South Africa

Edward A. Smith, DrPH

The Pennsylvania State University, University of the Western Cape, South Africa

Abstract

There is limited understanding of parents' role in positive youth/adolescent development through leisure in developing countries. Using a sample of 6626 8th grade students in South Africa, this study examined the interrelationships among parenting practice, adolescents' leisure experience, and substance use. Results of structural equation modeling showed that parental leisure involvement was associated with less substance use, while parental leisure over-control was associated with greater substance use. The relationship of parental leisure involvement to substance use was mediated by healthy leisure engagement. The relationship of parental leisure over-control to substance use, on the other hand, was mediated by leisure boredom and healthy leisure engagement. The model path coefficients had little variation between genders and socioeconomic groups except that parental leisure over-control had a stronger positive relationship with leisure boredom for males than females. Theoretical and practical implications were discussed.

Keywords

Parental leisure involvement; parental leisure over-control; boredom; substance use; South Africa

*Corresponding Author jimmy.xie@csun.edu, Phone: (818) 677-5896; Fax: (818) 677-2695.

Introduction

Leisure is an important developmental context for adolescents across the world (Coatsworth et al., 2005; Dworkin, Larson, & Hansen, 2003; Hunter & Csikszentmihalyi, 2003; Larson, 2000). Studies have shown that positive leisure experience is associated with a number of desired youth development outcomes such as increased educational attainment and academic performance, increased self-esteem, improved interpersonal skills, and development of identity (Caldwell & Baldwin, 2003; Coatsworth, Palen, Sharp, & Ferrer-Wreder, 2006; Fletcher, Nickerson, & Wright, 2003; Mahoney, Larson, & Eccles, & Lord, 2005; McLaughlin, 2000; Youniss, McLellan, Su, & Yates, 1999). On the other hand, if leisure time is not spent wisely, it may become a context for adolescents' negative risky behaviors such as substance use (Caldwell & Darling, 1999; Weybright, Caldwell, Ram, Smith, & Wegner, 2015).

In general, many consider that adolescence is a developmental stage characterized by heightened risk-taking tendency (Chassin, Hussong, & Beltran, 2009; Farrington, 2003; Steinberg, 2008) due to desire for experimentation, sensation seeking, and novel experiences (Casey, Getz, & Galvan, 2008; Haugaard, 2001). Engagement in healthy and meaningful leisure may provide a mechanism to meet adolescents' need to partake in novel and developmentally productive risky experiences (Caldwell & Smith, 2006; Caldwell & Weybright, 2018). Therefore, it is promising to prevent adolescents' negative risky behavior through promotion of positive use of leisure time.

As one of the most important socializing agents of adolescents, parents usually have a substantial influence on adolescents' leisure pursuits and experience. For example, parents may control adolescents' use of leisure time (e.g., deciding on what activities adolescents can and cannot do) or get involved in adolescents' leisure activities (e.g., participating in leisure activities with adolescents) (Barber, Olsen, & Shagle, 1994; Caldwell, Darling, Payne, & Dowdy, 1999; Hutchinson, Baldwin, & Caldwell, 2003; Van der Eecken, Spruyt, & Bradt, 2018). Hence, parents may play an important role in promoting positive adolescent development through positively engaging in adolescents' leisure (Ward & Zabriskie, 2011). However, the vast majority of studies on parenting practices and influence on adolescent leisure have been focused on families from Western, educated, industrialized, relatively affluent, and developed societies (Bornstein & Putnick, 2012; Henrich, Heine, & Norenzayan, 2010). To date, there has been scant research on parents' role in adolescents' leisure and developmental outcomes in developing countries. To address this gap, we built a structural model to examine how parental involvement and parental over-control in adolescents' leisure affect adolescents' use of substance, using a large sample of South African adolescents. This study focused on use of alcohol and tobacco, which are the two most frequently used drugs among South African adolescents (Reddy et al., 2003) and are typical "gateway" drugs that precede more serious drugs (e.g., inhalants, cocaine, and heroin) (Palen, Smith, Caldwell, Matthews, & Vergnani, 2009; Patrick et al., 2009). Additionally, we investigated two leisure experience constructs, adolescents' perceived leisure boredom and healthy leisure engagement, as potential mediators of those relationships, and explored if the model varied between different genders and socioeconomic groups. We expect that the findings of this study will not only offer insights on the design of

leisure-based prevention intervention in South Africa or similar countries with adolescent substance use issues, but also provide valuable information for future cross-cultural comparisons in parenting, adolescents' leisure and substance use.

Leisure Experience and Substance Use

This study addresses two leisure experience constructs that may influence adolescents' substance use: leisure boredom and engagement in healthy leisure. Boredom is listed as one of the "triple threats" of substance use by National Center of Addiction and Substance Abuse (2003). From a neuroscience perspective, brain development occurs more rapidly in the socio-emotional system and slower in the cognitive control system resulting in a phase of seeking novel and sensational experiences (Dahl, 2004; Schulman et al., 2016). When adolescents experience boredom during leisure time, they are likely to search for novelty and sensation from risky behavior such as substance use. In fact, a number of studies have found that leisure boredom in adolescence is linked to risky behaviors including substance use, risky sexual behavior, and delinquency (Caldwell & Smith, 1995; Gordon & Caltabiano, 1996; Miller et al., 2014; Wegner & Flisher, 2009; Weybright et al., 2015).

In addition to reducing boredom during leisure, another way of preventing adolescent substance use is through engaging them in healthy leisure pursuits. Although the term "healthy" can include some subjectivity, it is empirically and theoretically associated with self-determined behavior (Weybright, Son & Caldwell, in press) and therefore associated with positive outcomes and wellbeing. Compared to other daily-life settings, the leisure context offers unique opportunities for adolescents to obtain optimal experiences and achieve healthy development such as enjoyment, exploration and development of interest, development of initiative and social skills, and identity formation (Coatsworth et al., 2006; Dworkin et al., 2003; Hunter & Csikszentmihalyi, 2003; Larson, 2000). Healthy leisure pursuits may also provide high sensation-seeking adolescents ways to experience risk in a positive context and potentially avoid boredom (Schulenberg, Freund, & Maslowsky, 2017). Promoting positive leisure experience is likely to reduce adolescents' risk of engaging in substance use and prior literature supports this association (Weybright et al., 2014). Therefore, we included adolescents' perceived healthy leisure engagement as another leisure experience construct associated with adolescents' substance use.

The Role of Parents in Adolescents' Leisure

Parents are important socializing agents in adolescence and influence different domains of adolescents' lives including use of leisure time (Coyle-Shepherd, & Hanlon, 2013; Larson, Dworkin, & Gillman, 2001). In this study, we distinguish two types of parenting practices in adolescents' leisure: Adolescent perception of excessive parental control (i.e., over-control; Borelli, Margolin, & Rasmussen, 2015; Xie, et al., 2017) and parental involvement in adolescent leisure (Sharp, Caldwell, Graham, & Ridenour, 2006). We define parental leisure over-control as interference, often in a coercive manner, in adolescents' leisure. Parental leisure involvement, on the other hand, focuses on facilitating and guiding adolescents' leisure through active listening, communication and collaboration. It should be clear that for the purposes of this paper we are using the concept of adolescent perception of parental over-control as opposed to parents who set standards and rules for their adolescents from an

authoritative perspective (e.g., Baumrind, 1978, 1989, 1991), which is typically developmentally important.

Concern among parents and adults about how much control or protection of young people is needed in a society faced with a growing number of environmental risks is one that has been at issue across time. One concern is to try to disentangle the tension among parental support, guidance, protection, and over-protection and over-control. A central issue is for whom and under what conditions adolescent autonomy is supported or undermined (Caldwell, 2007; Kloep & Hendry, 2007), an issue this paper addresses.

Understanding the role and influence of parents on adolescent leisure is important because adolescents' development and maintenance of leisure interest and intrinsic motivation requires that they perceive a sense of autonomy and self-determination (Deci & Ryan, 2012; Ryan & Deci, 2000). Therefore, excessive control from parents in adolescents' leisure will undermine intrinsic motivation for the activities (Kloep & Hendry, 2007; Mageau et al., 2015; Ryan, Mims, & Koestner, 1983), leading to amotivation and boredom among adolescents, and possibly disengagement in healthy leisure options (Caldwell et al., 1999). Meta analyses also found that parents' excessive or authoritarian control was positively associated with adolescents' externalizing and delinquency behaviors (Hoeve et al., 2009; Pinquart, 2017). On the other hand, parental control in adolescents' leisure may directly limit adolescents' access to or use of substances (Fletcher, Steinberg, Williams-Wheeler, 2004; de Looze et al., 2012). Therefore, these complicated and sometimes conflicting psychological and behavioral mechanisms make it difficult to anticipate the effect of parental leisure control, and perceptions thereof, on adolescents' healthy leisure engagement and substance use, especially in developing countries where there is limited empirical evidence.

Contrasted with parental control, parental involvement is often associated with desirable developmental outcomes and reduction of problem behaviors among adolescents (Sartor & Touniss, 2002; Savioja, Helminen, Fröjd, Marttunen, & Kaltiala-Heino, 2017; Wang, Hill, & Hofkens, 2014). For example, parents' knowledge about and monitoring of children's activities reduce the chance of substance use among children (Barnes, Farrell, & Dintcheff, 2006; Chilcoat, Dishion, & Anthony, 1995; Fletcher, Darling, & Steinberg, 1995; Rusby, Light, Crowley, & Westling, 2018;). In addition to providing guidance, parents may show interest in, support for, and facilitation of adolescents' healthy and meaningful leisure pursuits. These behaviors from parents are likely to develop or keep adolescents' interest and enjoyment in healthy leisure (Ryan & Deci, 2000), which in turn reduce adolescents' likelihood of substance use.

South Africa: The Study Context

Although the majority of the studies on parenting practices and youth/child development were conducted in developed countries, this study is set in a particularly under-studied and under-resourced area in the Western Cape of South Africa. In this context, there are few leisure resources, parks are typically vacant lots where substance use and gang activity take place, and there are limited health-related services. Limited number of studies showed that common leisure activities among South African adolescents include spending time with

friends, watching TV, listening to music, reading, playing sports, and participating in creative activities (Møller, 1992; Wegner, Flisher, Muller, & Lombard, 2006). However, due to the lack resources, many leisure activities (e.g., sports) that are generally adult-organized or school/community-organized in developed countries such as the United States are often unsupervised or self-organized by adolescents in South Africa, especially in impoverished areas (Burnett, 2002; Goslin, 2002; Wegner et al., 2006)

Lack of positive and healthy leisure opportunities is linked with adolescent substance use, which is a critical social and health issue among adolescents in South Africa (Parry et al., 2004). The combination of frequent discretionary time and lack of available leisure resources and opportunities (Wegner, 2011) results in a lack of things to do and lack of supervision. Prior research finds time spent in unstructured and unsupervised settings is associated with adolescent delinquent behavior such as substance use (Osgood & Anderson, 2004). Although rates of adolescent substance use in South Africa are comparable to those within Western societies, South African adolescents initiate substance use at an earlier age and transition through substances more rapidly (Patrick et al., 2009; Reddy et al., 2013). The most commonly used substances among South African adolescents are alcohol and tobacco (Reddy et al., 2013). At 8th grade, approximately 26% adolescents are alcohol users and 19% are smokers. By the 11th grade, the percent of alcohol and tobacco users increase to 40% and 24%, respectively (Reddy et al., 2013).

Research Questions and Hypotheses

To summarize, this study aimed to examine how adolescents' perceptions of parental involvement and parental over-control in leisure are associated with adolescents' leisure experience and substance use. Based on Western theorizing and empirical studies, we hypothesized that parental leisure involvement would be negatively associated with adolescents' leisure boredom and positively associated with adolescents' engagement in healthy leisure. Parental leisure over-control, on the other hand, was hypothesized to be positively associated with adolescents' leisure boredom. We did not set up a specific hypothesis for the relationship between parental leisure over-control and healthy leisure engagement because we believed that it might be positive, negative, or neutral (i.e., no effect) due to the multiple, potentially conflicting psychological/behavioral mechanisms parental over-control could trigger. Furthermore, we hypothesized that leisure boredom would have a positive relationship with adolescents' substance use, while healthy leisure engagement would have a negative relationship with adolescents' substance use. In other words, we posited that the relationship between parental leisure involvement/over-control and substance use would be mediated by adolescents' leisure boredom and healthy leisure engagement. However, it should be noted that we considered these hypotheses as exploratory because this study was conducted in a unique context from previous studies where the Western theories were developed. Finally, given that the parenting behavior and its impact on adolescents may vary between different genders and socioeconomic groups (Conger, Wallace, Sun, Simons, McLoyd, & Brody, 2002; Fischer & Crawford, 1992; Pychyl, Coplan, & Reid, 2002;) we also explored these two variables as potential moderators for the model.

Methods

Study Design and Participants

The current study sample consisted of 6626 8th grade students from the baseline data of a translational research study. The purpose of the translational study was to examine factors that influenced the degree to which South African teachers of an evidence-based prevention intervention aimed at reducing substance use and sexual risk behavior among adolescents taught the curriculum with fidelity (Caldwell et al., 2012). The translational research involved 10,103 students in 56 schools in the Metro South Education District and Metro East Education District in Cape Town, which provided a representative sample of students in the study areas. This study used the first wave of data collection in 8th Grade. These baseline data were collected before the implementation of treatment using a three-form planned missingness design (Graham, Taylor, Olchowski, & Cumsille, 2006). All students received an X set of questions and an additional two of the remaining A, B, and C sets which were rotated across forms giving each non-X set an equal likelihood of missing questions. Only the subsamples that were assigned with the current study's questions were included in the analysis. The study sample had a mean age of 14.2 (SD = 1.64), and 53.0% females. The majority of the students identified themselves as either Black (41.3%) or Colored/Multiracial (48.4%). Half (50.6%) of the participants spoke Afrikaans at home, followed by English (42.4%) and Xhosa (39.9%). In terms of housing, 65.6% lived in a brick house, flat, or apartment, followed by a "wendy house" or backyard building/room (13.3%) and shack (13.0%). 88.9% had tap water and 50.5% had a motor car in their homes.

During data collection, self-administered surveys in English were given to the students to complete on netbooks via trained research assistants. Researchers in South Africa reviewed the survey questions to ensure that they were culturally valid. The study was approved by the institutional review boards at study-affiliated universities and by school administrators in South Africa.

Measures

Perceptions of parental leisure over-control and involvement.—Questions for parental leisure involvement and over-control have been used in past studies (e.g., Sharp et al., 2006; Xie, et al., 2017). Perception of parental leisure over-control was measured by 3 items on a 4-point scale (1=Never or almost never; 2=Some of the time; 3=Quite often; 4=All of the time, or nearly all the time): *1) My parents have too much control over what I do in my free time; 2) My parents interfere too much in my free time; and 3) My parents won't allow me to do things I want to.* Parental leisure involvement, on the other hand, was measured by 5 items on the same 4-point scale: *1) My parents help me do things in my free time; 2) My parents are interested in what I do; 3) My parents know who my friends are; 4) How often do your parents like to hear your opinions, even if they don't agree with you? and 5) How often do you tell your parents what you are thinking about?*

Perceived leisure boredom and healthy leisure engagement.—The two leisure experience constructs were assessed using subscales of the Leisure Experience Battery for Adolescents (Caldwell, Smith & Weissinger, 1992). Leisure boredom was measured by 4

items. Specifically, participants were asked to answer the following question: 1) *How often do you feel your free time drags on and on?* 2) *How often do you not like (dislike) what you are doing in your free time?* 3) *How often is your free time boring?* and 4) *How often do you have nothing to do in your free time?* Participants' responses were recorded on a 4-point scale (1=Never or almost never; 2=Some of the time; 3=Quite often; 4=All of the time, or nearly all the time). Healthy Leisure engagement was measured by 3 items on the same 4-point scale: 1) *How often do you get benefits (good things) out of your free time activities?* 2) *How often do you do healthy things in your free time?* and 3) *How often do you feel good about yourself in your free time?*

Substance use.—Adolescents' substance use was represented by use of two substances in the last month including: alcohol and cigarettes (Motamedi, Caldwell, Wegner, Smith, & Jones, 2016). In the survey, participants were first asked to indicate lifetime use of each substance. Those who indicated they had used any of those substances were asked about the frequency of use in the last month: 1) How many drinks of alcohol have you had in the past 30 days (month)? (1=None, 2=Only sips for religious services alcohol, 3=Only sips NOT for religious services, 4=Part or all of 1 drink, 5=2 to 3, 6=4 to 7, 7=8 to 15, 8=16 to 30); and 2) *How many days in the past month have you smoked cigarettes?* (1=None, 2=1 day, 3=2 or 3 days, 4=4 to 7 days, 5=8 to 15 days, 6=16 to 30 days). All the scales were converted to 4-point scale using Little's (2013, pp. 17–20) procedure. We chose to use adolescents' use of substance in the last month for two reasons. First, the last month measure usually has less recall bias than the entire life measure. Second, the measures of the other constructs in the model all captured participants' current perception and attitude, which theoretically correspond better to last month substance use than entire life substance use. Using self-reported data of substance use has been deemed valid and reliable by a number of studies (e.g., Murphy, Hser, Huang, Brecht, & Herbeck, 2010; Napper, Fisher, Johnson, & Wood, 2010)

Moderators.—We examined potential moderating effect of gender and socioeconomic status, with the housing condition being used as a proxy for participants' socioeconomic status (Plüddemann, Flisher, McKetin, Parry, & Lombard, 2010; Weybright, Caldwell, Wegner, Smith, & Jacobs, 2016). Participants were asked to identify their gender (boy or girl) and describe their home (1 = shack; 2 = wendy house or backyard building/room; 3 = tent; 4 = brick house, flat, or apartment; 5 = other). Participants living in the brick house, flat, or apartment were categorized in the high SES group, while participants living in other types of housing were categorized in the low SES group.

All the measures used in this study were from or adapted from previous studies with South African adolescents (e.g., Weybright et al., 2015; Motamedi et al., 2016; Xie et al., 2017)

Data Analysis

Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) was used to examine the interrelationships between the five constructs in the model: Parental Leisure Over-Control (PC), Parental Leisure Involvement (PI), Leisure Boredom (LB), Healthy Leisure Engagement (HL), and Substance Use (SU). Prior to estimating the relationships between constructs, confirmatory factor

analysis (CFA) was used to examine the goodness of fit of the measurement model. To control for the potential confounding effect of and explore possible differences in gender and socioeconomic status (SES), we examined the model for different gender and SES groups, after testing the measurement invariance across the groups (MacCallum, Rosnowski, Mar, & Reith, 1994). The group differences were examined using multiple-group SEM, in which the model parameters in comparison (i.e., factor loadings and path coefficients) were constrained to be equal across groups in a series of nested models (Kline, 2016). Significance tests on change of model fit between original and nested models were then used to assess the group differences in model parameters. Several indices were used to jointly determine the goodness of fit of the models including Chi-Square, RMSEA (Browne & Cudeck, 1993), CFI (Bentler, 1990), and NNFI (Tucker & Lewis, 1973; Bentler & Bonett, 1980). Both SEM and CFA were performed using Mplus 8.2 (Muthén & Muthén, Los Angeles). The models were estimated using Robust Maximum Likelihood method (MLR) to accommodate the non-normality within the data (Rosseel, 2012). Missing data were handled using full information maximum likelihood (FIML) method.

Results

Descriptive Statistics

Table 1 reports the descriptive statistics of all constructs and indicators in the model. On average, participants perceived a moderate level of parental leisure over-control ($M=2.49$, $SD=0.784$) and parental leisure involvement ($M=2.72$, $SD=0.669$). In addition, participants reported that they often engaged in healthy leisure ($M=2.84$, $SD=0.698$) despite that they sometimes experienced boredom during leisure time ($M=2.25$, $SD=0.649$). Overall, the level of substance use in the last month was low among the participants ($M=1.41$, $SD=0.694$).

Estimation of Measurement and Structural Models

Results of multiple-group analyses showed that the low and high SES groups had good and equivalent measurement model in terms of factorial structural and factor loadings. In addition, the path coefficients did not differ significantly between the two groups. Therefore, the low and high SES groups were combined in the analyses when examining gender differences in the model.

The measurement model was also found to be equivalent between females and males. Specifically, the measurement model had a good fit when the same factorial structure was applied to both genders ($\chi^2 = 791.364$ ($df = 218$, $p < 0.001$), RMSEA = 0.028 (90% CI = 0.026–0.030), CFI = 0.949, NNFI = 0.937). After the factor loadings were constrained to be equal between the two groups, the change of model fit in Chi-square was non-significant ($\chi^2 = 16.433$ ($df = 12$, $p = 0.172$)). In the next step, the model path coefficients (i.e., relationships among constructs) were examined. After constraining all the path coefficients to be equal between males and females, a significant change in model fit was observed ($\chi^2 = 24.746$ ($df = 8$, $p < .01$)). Subsequent analyses using individual equality constraint showed that females and males differed significantly on the relationship between parental over-control and boredom, but did not differ significantly on the other model path coefficients. Therefore, in the final model estimation as reported below, the over-control $\rightarrow$ boredom path

coefficient was freely estimated for each gender, while the other path coefficients were constrained to be equal between genders.

The final model achieved a good model fit ($\chi^2 = 817.764$ ($df = 237$, $p < 0.001$), RMSEA = 0.027 (90% CI = 0.025–0.029), CFI = 0.949, NNFI = 0.941). All the factor loadings were significant at the $p = 0.001$ level (Table 2). After ensuring the fit of measurement model, the interrelationships of the constructs were examined through path coefficients in the structural model (Figure 1). Results showed that parental leisure over-control had a significant positive effect on leisure boredom (Females: $b = 0.334$, $p < 0.01$; Males: $b = 0.471$, $p < 0.01$) and healthy leisure engagement ($b = 0.048$, $p < 0.05$). Parental leisure involvement, on the other hand, had a significant effect on healthy leisure engagement ($b = 0.485$, $p < 0.01$) but not on leisure boredom ($b = -0.011$, $p > 0.10$). In turn, leisure boredom had a significant positive effect on substance use ($b = 0.184$, $p < 0.01$), while healthy leisure had a significant negative effect on substance use ($b = -0.135$, $p < 0.01$). According to MacKinnon, Lockwood, Hoffman, West, and Sheets (2002), this suggests that the effect of parental leisure over-control on substance use was mediated by leisure boredom and healthy leisure engagement, while the effect of parental leisure involvement was mediated by healthy leisure engagement. The test of indirect effects of parental leisure over-control (Males: $b = 0.080$, $p < 0.01$; Females: $b = 0.055$, $p < 0.01$) and parental leisure involvement ($b = -0.068$, $p < 0.01$) on substance use was also significant, supporting the conclusion on mediation.

Parental leisure over-control had a positive total effect on substance use (Males: $b = 0.138$, $p < 0.01$; Females: $b = 0.113$, $p < 0.01$). In contrast, parental leisure involvement had a negative total effect on substance use ($b = -0.157$, $p < 0.01$). After controlling for the mediators, the residual/direct effect of parental leisure over-control on substance use became marginally significant ($b = 0.058$, $p = 0.086$), while the residual/direct effect of parental leisure involvement remained significant ($b = -0.089$, $p < 0.05$).

Discussion

Using a sample of South African adolescents, this study found that perceptions of parents' involvement in and over-control on adolescents' leisure affected adolescents' leisure experience, which in turn affected adolescents' substance use. Overall, parental leisure involvement was associated with less substance use, while parental leisure over-control was associated with greater substance use. Even more interesting, perhaps, was the discovery of the potentially complicated psychological/behavioral mechanisms underlying those relationships. Specifically, the effect of parental leisure involvement on substance use was mediated by healthy leisure engagement. However, the impact of parental leisure over-control was exerted in two opposite directions through leisure boredom and healthy leisure engagement.

The positive relationship between parental leisure involvement and adolescents' healthy leisure engagement was expected. Previous studies have shown that parents' involvement in adolescents' lives is associated with positive youth development (Baumrind, 1991; Fantuzzo, McWayne, Perry, & Childs, 2004; Hill & Tyson, 2009). Through listening to, working with,

and providing necessary help to adolescents, parents are more likely to guide adolescents in their pursuit of healthy and meaningful leisure. In addition, it may also facilitate the development and maintenance of adolescents' intrinsic motivation for healthy leisure activities and improve the adolescents' satisfaction with their leisure (Deci & Ryan, 2012; Ryan & Deci, 2000).

Having said that, it is somewhat surprising that parental leisure involvement did not have a significant negative relationship with leisure boredom. A possible explanation is that although some parents in this study were involved in adolescents' leisure, the safety issues and the lack of recreation and leisure resources and opportunities in some local communities (Wegner et al., 2006) could have mitigated the potential impact of parental involvement on adolescents' leisure experience. Therefore, future studies should investigate the contexts and healthy leisure options that would promote positive parental involvement and adolescent developmental outcomes.

After controlling for the mediators, parental leisure involvement still had significant negative residual effect on substance use. This may suggest that the act of being involved in adolescents' leisure on its own has a protective effect on adolescents' risky behavior. When adolescents perceive their parents care about them, invest time in them, or are interested in what they do, they feel loved and supported (Piko & Balázs, 2012). This may increase their resistance to negative peer influence and reduce their chance of engaging in risky behavior (Gray & Steinberg, 1999)

Excessive parental control over adolescents' leisure, on the other hand, may be detrimental to adolescents, which is supported by this study. According to self-determination theory (Ryan & Deci, 2000), experience of autonomy and self-determination is a universal need of human beings that is essential to wellbeing and optimal functioning. Compared to other daily life settings, the leisure context offers unique opportunities for adolescents to explore freely, experience a sense of autonomy, and develop intrinsic motivation for activities (Larson, 2000; Silbereisen & Todt, 1994). Excessive control from parents may deprive adolescents' sense of autonomy and self-determination during their leisure pursuits, leading to amotivation and boredom (Caldwell et al., 1999). Further, we found that the negative impact of parental over-control on adolescent boredom was stronger for males than for females. This is generally consistent with some previous studies that found parental excessive control had a stronger negative impact on adolescent developmental outcomes for males than for females (e.g, Conger, Conger, & Scaramella, 1997; Harper, 2010). One possible explanation is females usually have better emotional regulation skills (Nolen-Hoeksema, & Aldao, 2011), which to some extent mitigate the negative impact of parental over-control and negative parenting. Another possibility is related to the types of leisure activities being control by parents. In South Africa, male and female adolescents usually engage in somewhat different types of leisure activities, with males being more likely to do sports or physically active leisure (Gleeson, 2008; Palen et al., 2010). These activities may take place in open lots or other outdoor areas that are characterized by gang violence. It is possible that some parents may try to exert more control of these types of activities, thereby inducing a sense of deprivation of autonomy, thus contributing to greater feelings of boredom among male adolescents in this study.

While parental leisure over-control might increase adolescents' boredom, our results showed that it also had a small positive relationship with adolescents' healthy leisure engagement in our study context. Although we know from past work in South Africa that adolescents do engage in leisure activities of some type (most of which are informal), we wonder if parents see the salience of leisure as a context for healthy development. Do parents of these adolescents understand or care about the concept of leisure at all as they are more concerned for their child's safety and protection given the environment? Many leisure opportunities for youth in these contexts are characterized by vacant lots or shebeens (unlicensed "bars" that serve as places for gang and drug activity). South Africa townships are breeding grounds for the development of new and lethal drug cocktails that are inexpensive. For example, nyaope is a recently developed drug consisting of low-grade heroin, marijuana, anti-retro-viral drugs, cleaning detergent, rat poison, and chlorine that is highly addictive. Thus, it would not be surprising that parents would try to place severe restrictions on their adolescents' behavior. This may explain why parental over-control was positively associated with healthy leisure as those strict controls kept adolescents from risky behavior and choices. In the meantime, however, adolescents whose parents placed many restrictions on their children's leisure activities may have felt bored because their autonomy was suppressed and they were not allowed to engage in risky and "exciting" behavior like their peers.

Although parental leisure over-control might affect substance use through different ways, our results showed that overall it was associated with increased likelihood of substance use among adolescents. Therefore, in order to maximize the positive impact of parents on adolescent development, future studies should further investigate the ways parents control adolescents' leisure and carefully examine the differences between appropriate and inappropriate/over parental control over adolescents' leisure. Obviously, researchers will need to take into account the social norms and cultures of the study population in their research.

Finally, we did not find significant differences between low and high SES groups in terms of the effect of parental leisure over-control and involvement. Although there is considerable evidence showing that SES is a predictor of parenting behavior/style (e.g., Conger et al., 2002; Pinderhughes, Dodge, Bates, Pettit, & Zelli, 2000; Querido, Warner, & Eyberg, 2002; Yeung, Sandberg, Davis-Kean, & Hofferth, 2001), studies examining SES as a moderator for the effect of parenting behavior/style are limited with mixed findings. For example, Rekker, Keijsers, Branje, Koot, and Meeus (2017) found that the impact of parental control on delinquency was stronger among low SES adolescents. However, in Anton, Jones, and Eric's (2015) study, SES (income) did not significantly moderate the relationship between parenting style and adolescent externalizing problems, which is generally consistent with our finding. Therefore, future studies should continue explore if the impact of parenting behavior and styles on adolescents' leisure experience/developmental outcomes vary between different SES groups.

Limitations

This study has a few limitations to consider in the interpretation of results. First, parental leisure involvement and over-control were reported by adolescents. Although adolescents'

own perceptions may better predict their behavior than perceptions by others, future research should consider using alternative ways to measure these two constructs. For example, researchers may have parents report their involvement in and control on adolescents' leisure. In addition, researchers may want to separately measure the control/involvement of fathers and mothers (Collins & Russell, 1991; Hart, DeWolf, Wozniak, & Burts, 1992) as they may have different impact on adolescents. Second, in our measure of parental leisure over-control and involvement, a few questions did not include the term "free time/leisure" (e.g., *My parents won't allow me to do things I want to*) in order to mirror the way these constructs were measured in some previous studies (e.g., Guo, Reeder, McGee, & Darling, 2011). Given that these measures were embedded in a section that entirely dealt with free time, we believe that participants would have interpreted the parental involvement/over-control items to be connected to free time. However, it is possible that certain participants might have interpreted those questions out of the free time context, causing a certain degree of measurement error. Therefore, researchers could improve these measurements by making the "leisure/free time" context more explicit in the questions. Third, this study made use of one time point, which was not ideal for testing mediation and limited our confidence in making conclusions on causality despite the availability of some theoretical support. Therefore, future research should consider testing similar models using longitudinal data or data obtained from experiments. For example, researchers may test if the change in parental leisure control/involvement is associated with the change in adolescents' leisure experience and substance use across time. Also, researchers may conduct qualitative studies to explore or confirm the psychological and behavioral mechanisms underlying the observed relationships. Finally, the model was tested using a sample of South African adolescents. As a result, the findings may not be fully generalizable to adolescents of other ethnicities and cultures. Future research should examine and compare the model in different cultures.

Conclusion and Implication for Practice

Leisure is an important developmental context for adolescents. Engagement in healthy leisure reduces adolescents' risk for substance use, while leisure boredom has the opposite impact. Parents have the potential to affect adolescents' substance use through influencing adolescents' leisure experience. They may improve adolescents' leisure experience through appropriate involvement such as showing interest in adolescents' activities and providing necessary help to adolescents. Excessive control from parents, however, may increase the levels of boredom in adolescents' leisure, which in turn increases adolescents' likelihood of using substance.

It is promising to prevent substance use through promoting healthy leisure and alleviating leisure boredom among adolescents in South Africa and possibly other similar countries. Based on the results of this study, it is useful to involve parents, possibly through partnership with schools, in promotion of healthy leisure and prevention of substance use among South African adolescents. To maximize parents' positive impact, researchers should consider implementing certain education sessions for parents to improve their knowledge/skills on effective parenting, youth development through healthy and enjoyable leisure, and particularly on how to develop adolescents' interests in healthy and meaningful leisure and alleviate adolescents' boredom during leisure time.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Institute of Health under Grant R01-DA029084 awarded to Linda Caldwell

Appendices

Table 1.

Descriptive Statistics of Constructs and Indicators in the Model

Constructs and Indicators	N	M	SD
Parental Leisure Over-Control (PC)	5624	2.49	0.784
My parents have too much control over what I do in my free time	5578	2.51	1.003
My parents interfere too much in my free time	5559	2.50	1.005
My parents won't allow me to do things I want to	5545	2.46	1.007
Parental Leisure Involvement (PI)	5603	2.72	0.669
My parents help me do things in my free time	5526	2.58	0.992
My parents are interested in what I do	5512	2.78	0.991
My parents know who my friends are	5511	3.01	1.005
How often do your parents like to hear your opinions, even if they don't agree with you	5511	2.75	1.001
How often do you tell your parents what you are thinking about	5505	2.48	1.034
Perceived Healthy Leisure (HL)	6286	2.84	0.698
How often do you get benefits (good things) out of your free time activities	6243	2.72	0.945
How often do you do healthy things in your free time	6249	2.74	0.95
How often do you feel good about yourself in your free time	6230	3.05	0.949
Perceived Leisure Boredom (LB)	6232	2.25	0.649
How often do you feel your free time drags on and on	6145	2.08	1.062
How often do you not like (dislike) what you are doing in your free time	6150	2.25	0.972
How often is your free time boring	6174	2.26	0.941
How often do you have nothing to do in your free time	6018	2.41	0.954
Substance Use (SU)	6438	1.41	0.694
How many drinks of alcohol have you had in the past 30 days (month)?	5573	1.54	0.853
How many days in the past month have you smoked cigarettes?	5643	1.29	0.717

Note: 4-point scale for "Parental Over-Control," Parental Involvement," "Perceived Healthy Leisure," and "Perceived Leisure Boredom": 1=Never or almost never, 2=Some of the time; 3=Quite often; 4=All of the time, or nearly all the time;

The original scale for the indicators of substance use varied from 4 to 7 points (see below) and were all converted to 4-point scales. Original scale for alcohol use: 1=None, 2=Only sips for religious services alcohol, 3=Only sips NOT for religious services, 4=Part or all of 1 drink, 5=2 to 3, 6=4 to 7, 7=8 to 15, 8=16 to 30; Original scale for cigarette use: 1=None, 2=1 day, 3=2 or 3 days, 4=4 to 7 days, 5=8 to 15 days, 6=16 to 30 days.

Table 2

Factorial Structure and Standardized Factor Loadings

Construct and Indicators	Factor Loading
Parental Leisure Over-Control (Cronbach $\alpha = 0.672$)	
My parents interfere too much in my free time	0.621
My parents have too much control over what I do in my free time	0.671
My parents won't allow me to do things I want to	0.597
Parental Leisure Involvement (Cronbach $\alpha = 0.672$)	
My parents are interested in what I do	0.586
My parents help me do things in my free time	0.629
My parents know who my friends are	0.514
How often do you tell your parents what you are thinking about	0.547
How often do your parents like to hear your opinions, even if they don't agree with you	0.515
Healthy Leisure Engagement (Cronbach $\alpha = 0.570$)	
How often do you do healthy things in your free time	0.550
How often do you get benefits (good things) out of your free time activities	0.620
How often do you feel good about yourself in your free time	0.526
Leisure Boredom (Cronbach $\alpha = 0.551$)	
How often do you not like (dislike) what you are doing in your free time	0.439
How often do you feel your free time drags on and on	0.589
How often do you have nothing to do in your free time	0.488
How often is your free time boring	0.507
Substance Use (Cronbach $\alpha = 0.564$)	
Last month's use of alcohol	0.666
Last month's use of cigarette	0.572

Note: All factor loadings were significant at $p < 0.001$ level

Table 3:

Correlations among Latent Constructs for Males and Females

	PC	PI	HL	LB	SU
PC	1.000	0.303 **	0.224 **	0.509 **	0.089 **
PI	0.642 **	1.000	0.553 **	0.142 **	-0.120 **
HL	0.395 **	0.564 **	1.000	0.229 **	-0.129 **
LB	0.597 **	0.375 **	0.412 **	1.000	0.140 **
SU	0.046 *	-0.059 **	-0.083 **	0.102 **	1.000

Note: Correlations for males are located below diagonal; Correlations for females are located above diagonal.

PC = Parental Leisure Over-Control; PI = Parental Leisure Involvement; HL = Perceived Healthy Leisure; LB = Perceived Leisure Boredom; SU = Substance Use.

* $p < 0.05$;

** $p < 0.01$

Figure 1:
Estimation Results of the Structural Model

Note: Unstandardized path coefficients; * $p < 0.10$ ** $p < 0.05$ *** $p < 0.01$;

R^2 : Males: Healthy Leisure Engagement = 0.320; Leisure Boredom = 0.356; Substance Use = 0.033;

R^2 : Females: Healthy Leisure Engagement = 0.309; Leisure Boredom = 0.259; Substance Use = 0.054.

References

- Anton MT, Jones DJ, & Youngstrom EA (2015). Socioeconomic status, parenting, and externalizing problems in African American single-mother homes: A person-oriented approach. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 29(3), 405–415. doi: 10.1037/fam0000086 [PubMed: 26053349]
- Barber BK, Olsen JE, & Shagle SC (1994). Associations between parental psychological and behavioral control and youth internalized and externalized behaviors. *Child Development*, 65(4), 1120–1136. DOI: 10.2307/1131309 [PubMed: 7956469]
- Barnes GM, Farrell MP, & Dintcheff BA (2006). Effects of Parental Monitoring and Peer Deviance on Substance Use and Delinquency. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 68, 1084–1104. DOI: 10.1111/j.1741-3737.2006.00315.x
- Baumrind D (1978). Parental disciplinary patterns and social competence in children. *Youth & Society*, 9(3), 239–276.
- Baumrind D (1989). Rearing competent children In Damon W (Ed.), *Child Development today and tomorrow* (pp. 349–378). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Baumrind D (1991). Parenting styles and adolescent development In Brooks-Gunn J, Lerner R, & Peterson AC (Eds.), *The encyclopedia of adolescence* (pp. 746–758). New York: Garland.
- Bentler PM (1990). Comparative fit indexes in structural models. *Psychological Bulletin*, 107(2), 238–246. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.107.2.238 [PubMed: 2320703]
- Bentler PM, & Bonett DG (1980). Significance tests and goodness of fit in the analysis of covariance structures. *Psychological Bulletin*, 88(3), 588–606. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.88.3.588
- Borelli JL, Margolin G, & Rasmussen HF (2015). Parental overcontrol as a mechanism explaining the longitudinal association between parent and child anxiety. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 24(6), 1559–1574. DOI: 10.1007/s10826-014-9960-1

- Bornstein MH (2007). On the significance of social relationships in the development of children's earliest symbolic play: An ecological perspective In Göncü A & Gaskins S (Eds.), *Play and development* (pp. 101–129). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Bornstein MH, & Putnick DL (2012). Cognitive and Socioemotional Caregiving in Developing Countries. *Child Development*, 83(1), 46–61. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8624.2011.01673.x [PubMed: 22277006]
- Browne MW, & Cudeck R (1993). Alternative ways of assessing model fit In Bollen KA & Long JS (Eds.), *Testing structural equation models* (pp. 136–162). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Burnett C (2002). Globalization and inequalities in a third world sport context. *African Journal of Physical, Health Education, Recreation and Dance*, 8(1), 176–188.
- Caldwell LL (2007). Open dialog peer review: Response to Kloop and Hendry: Bravo! Bravo! Bravo! ...With a friendly amendment. *Psychology of Education Review*, 31(2), 9–10.
- Caldwell LL, & Baldwin CK (2003). A serious look at leisure In Villarruel FA, Perkins DF, Borden LM, & Keith JG (Eds.), *Community youth development: Programs, policies, and practices* (pp. 181–200). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.
- Caldwell LL, & Darling N (1999). Leisure context, parental control, and resistance to peer pressure as predictors of adolescent partying and substance use: An ecological perspective. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 31(1), 57–77. doi: 10.1080/00222216.1999.11949851
- Caldwell LL, Darling N, Payne L, & Dowdy B (1999). “Why are you bored?” An examination of psychological and social control causes of boredom among adolescents. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 31(2), 103–121. doi: 10.1080/00222216.1999.11949853
- Caldwell LL, & Smith EA (1995). Health behaviors of leisure alienated youth. *Society & Leisure*, 18(1), 143–156. doi: 10.1080/07053436.1995.10715494
- Caldwell LL, & Smith EA (2006). Leisure as a context for youth development and delinquency prevention. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Criminology*, 39(3), 398–418. doi: 10.1375/acri.39.3.398
- Caldwell LL, Smith EA, Collins LM, Graham JW, Lai M, Wegner L, Vergnani T, Matthews C, & Jacobs J (2012). Translational research in South Africa: Evaluating implementation quality using a factorial design. *Child & Youth Care Forum*, 41(2), 119–136. doi: 10.1007/s10566-011-9164-4 [PubMed: 22707870]
- Caldwell LL, Smith EA, & Weissinger E (1992). Development of the Leisure Experience Battery for adolescents: Parsimony, stability, and validity. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 24(2), 361–376.
- Caldwell LL, & Weybright EH (2018). It's a no brainer: Understanding the adolescent brain is important In Witt PA & Caldwell LL (Eds.), *Youth development principles and practices in out-of-school time settings* (2nd ed., pp. 87–105). Urbana, IL: Sagamore Venture.
- Casey BJ, Getz S, & Galvan A (2008). The adolescent brain. *Developmental Review*, 28(1), 62–77. doi: 10.1016/j.dr.2007.08.003 [PubMed: 18688292]
- Chassin L, Hussong A, & Beltran I (2009). Adolescent substance use In Lerner R & Steinberg L (Eds.), *Handbook of adolescent psychology* (Vol. 1, pp. 723–763). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- Chilcoat HD, Dishion TJ, & Anthony JC (1995). Parent monitoring and the incidence of early drug sampling in urban elementary school children. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 141(1), 25–31. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a117340 [PubMed: 7801962]
- Coatsworth JD, Palen LA, Sharp EH, & Ferrer-Wreder L (2006). Self-defining activities, expressive identity, and adolescent wellness. *Applied Developmental Science*, 10(3), 157–170. doi: 10.1207/s1532480xads1003_5
- Coatsworth JD, Sharp EH, Palen L, Darling N, Cumsille P, & Marta E (2005). Exploring adolescent self-defining activities and identity experiences across three countries. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 29(5), 361–370. doi: 10.1080/01650250500166972
- Collins WA, & Russell G (1991). Mother-child and father-child relationships in middle childhood and adolescence: A developmental analysis. *Developmental Review*, 11(2), 99–136. doi: 10.1016/0273-2297(91)90004-8
- Conger KJ, Conger RD, Scaramella LV (1997). Parents, siblings, psychological control, and adolescent adjustment. *Journal of Adolescent Research*, 12(1), 113–138. doi: 10.1177/0743554897121007

- Conger RD, Wallace LE, Sun Y, Simons RL, McLoyd VC, & Brody GH (2002). Economic pressure in African American families: A replication and extension of the family stress model. *Developmental Psychology*, 38(2), 179–193. doi: 10.1037/0012-1649.38.2.179 [PubMed: 11881755]
- Coyl-Shepherd DD, & Hanlon C (2013) Family play and leisure activities: Correlates of parents' and children's socio-emotional well-being. *International Journal of Play*, 2(3), 254–272, DOI: 10.1080/21594937.2013.855376
- Dahl R (2004). Adolescent brain development: A period of vulnerabilities and opportunities. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1021, 1–22. doi: 10.1196/annals.1308.001 [PubMed: 15251869]
- de Looze M, van den Eijnden R, Verdurmen J, Vermeulen-Smit E, Schulten I, Vollebergh W, & ter Bogt T (2012). Parenting practices and adolescent risk behavior: Rules on smoking and drinking also predict cannabis use and early sexual debut. *Prevention Science*, 13(6), 594–604. doi: 10.1007/s11121-012-0286-1 [PubMed: 22960939]
- Deci EL, & Ryan RM (2012). Self-determination theory In Van Lange PAM Kruglanski AW, & Higgins ET (Eds.), *Handbook of theories of social psychology*. Vol. 1 (pp. 416–437). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Dworkin JB Larson R, Hansen D. (2003). Adolescents' accounts of growth experiences in youth activities. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 32(1), 17–26. doi: 10.1023/A:1021076222321
- Fantuzzo J, McWayne C, Perry MA, & Child S (2004). Multiple dimensions of family involvement and their relations to behavioral and learning competencies for urban, low-income children. *School Psychology Review*, 33(4), 467–480.
- Farrington DP (2003). Developmental and life-course criminology: Key theoretical and empirical issues. *Criminology*, 41(2), 221–255. doi: 10.1111/j.1745-9125.2003.tb00987.x
- Fischer JC, & Crawford DW (1992). Codependency and parenting styles. *Journal of Adolescent Research*, 7(3), 352–363. doi: 10.1177/074355489273005
- Fletcher AC, Darling N, & Steinberg L (1995). Parental monitoring and peer influences on adolescent substance use In McCord J (Ed.), *Coercion and punishment in long-term perspectives* (pp. 259–271). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Fletcher AC, Nickerson P, & Wright KL (2003). Structured leisure activities in middle childhood: Links to well-being. *Journal of Community Psychology*, 31(6), 641–659. doi: 10.1002/jcop.10075
- Fletcher AC, Steinberg L, & Williams-Wheeler M (2004). Parental influences on adolescent problem behavior: Revisiting Stattin and Kerr. *Child Development*, 75(3), 781–796. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8624.2004.00706.x [PubMed: 15144486]
- Gleeson SL (2008). Gender differences in leisure motivation, participation, and constraints for South African adolescents. (Master's thesis). Retrieved from <https://etda.libraries.psu.edu/catalog/8338>
- Gordon WR, & Caltabiano ML (1996). Urban–rural differences in adolescent self-esteem, leisure boredom, and sensation-seeking as predictors of leisure-time usage and satisfaction. *Adolescence*, 31(124), 883–901. [PubMed: 8970661]
- Goslin A (2002). Challenges for sport for all under the socio-economic conditions of South Africa. *African Journal of Physical, Health Education, Recreation and Dance*, 8(1), 161–175.
- Graham JW, Taylor BJ, Olchowski AE, & Cumsille PE (2006). Planned missing data designs in psychological research. *Psychological Methods*, 11(4), 323–343. doi: 10.1037/1082-989X.11.4.323 [PubMed: 17154750]
- Gray MR, & Steinberg L (1999). Unpacking authoritative parenting: Reassessing a multidimensional construct. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 61(3), 574–587. doi:10.2307/353561
- Harper SE (2010). Exploring the role of Filipino fathers: Paternal behaviors and child outcomes. *Journal of Family Issues*, 31(1), 66–89. DOI: 10.1177/0192513X09342858
- Hart CH, DeWolf MD, Wozniak P, & Burts DC (1992). Maternal and paternal disciplinary styles: Relations with preschoolers' playground behavioral orientations and peer status. *Child Development*, 63(4), 879–892. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8624.1992.tb01668.x [PubMed: 1505246]
- Haugaard JJ (2001). *Problematic behaviors during adolescence*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- Henrich J, Heine SJ, & Norenzayan A (2010). weirdest people in the world? *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 33(2-3), 61–135. doi: 10.1017/S0140525X0999152X [PubMed: 20550733]

- Hill NE, & Tyson DF (2009). Parental involvement in middle school: A meta-analytic assessment of the strategies that promote achievement. *Developmental Psychology*, 45(3), 740–763. doi: 10.1037/a0015362 [PubMed: 19413429]
- Hoeve M, Dubas JS, Eichelsheim VI, Van Der Laan PH, Smeenk W, & Gerris JRM (2009). The relationship between parenting and delinquency: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 37(6), 749–775. DOI: 10.1007/s10802-009-9310-8 [PubMed: 19263213]
- Hutchinson SL, Baldwin CK, & Caldwell LL (2003). Differentiating parent practices related to adolescent behavior in the free time context. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 35(4), 396–422. DOI: 10.1080/00222216.2003.11950003
- Hunter JP, & Csikszentmihalyi M (2003). The positive psychology of interested adolescents. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 32(1), 27–35. doi: 10.1023/A:1021028306392
- Kline RB (2016). *Principle and practice of structural equation modeling* (4th ed.). New York: The Guilford Press.
- Kloep M, & Hendry LB (2007). ‘Over-protection, over-protection, over-protection!’ Young people in modern Britain. *Psychology of Education Review*, 31(2), 4–8.
- Larson R (2000). Toward a psychology of positive youth development. *American Psychologist*. 55(1), 170–183. doi: 10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.170 [PubMed: 11392861]
- Larson RW, Dworkin J, & Gillman SA (2001). Facilitating adolescents’ constructive use of time in one-parent families. *Applied Developmental Science*, 5(3), 143–157. DOI: 10.1207/S1532480XADS0503_3
- Little TD (2013). *Longitudinal structural equation modeling*. New York: The Guilford Press.
- MacCallum R, Rosnowski C. Mar., & Reith J. (1994). Alternative strategies for cross-validation of covariance structure models. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 29(1), 1–32. DOI: 10.1207/s15327906mbr2901_1 [PubMed: 26771552]
- MacKinnon DP, Lockwood CM, Hoffman JM, West SG, & Sheets V. (2002). A comparison of methods to test mediation and other intervening variable effects. *Psychological Methods*, 7(1), 83–104. doi: 10.1037//1082-989X.7.1.83 [PubMed: 11928892]
- Mageau GA, Joussemet M, Koestner R, Moreau E, & Forest J (2015). Validation of the perceived parental autonomy support scale (P-PASS). *Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science/Revue canadienne des sciences du comportement*, 47, 251–262. DOI: 10.1037/a0039325
- Mahoney JL, Larson RW, Eccles JS, & Lord H (2005). Organized activities as developmental contexts for children and adolescents In Mahoney JL, Larson RW, & Eccles JS (Eds.), *Organized activities as contexts of development* (pp. 3–22). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- McLaughlin MW (2000). *Community counts: How youth organizations matter for youth development*. Washington, DC: Public Education Network.
- Miller J, Caldwell LL, Weybright EH, Smith EA, Vergnani T, & Wegner L (2014). Was Bob Seger right? Relation between free time boredom and [risky] sex. *Leisure Sciences*, 36(1), 52–67. doi: 10.1080/01490400.2014.860789. [PubMed: 25684832]
- Møller V (1992). Spare time use and perceived well-being among Black South African youth. *Social Indicators Research*. 26(4), 309–351. DOI: 10.1007/BF00347894
- Motamedi M, Caldwell LL, Wegner L, Smith E, & Jones D (2016). Girls just want to know where to have fun: Preventing substance use initiation in an under-resourced community in South Africa through HealthWise. *Prevention Science*, 17(6), 700–709. DOI: 10.1007/s11121-016-0654-3 [PubMed: 27129478]
- Murphy DA, Hser Y-I, Huang D, Brecht M-L, & Herbeck DM (2010). Self-report of longitudinal substance use: A comparison of the UCLA Natural History Interview and the Addiction Severity Index. *Journal of Drug Issues*, 40(2), 495–515. doi: 10.1177/002204261004000210 [PubMed: 21212856]
- National Center on Addiction and Substance Use (2003). National survey of American attitudes on substance abuse VIII: Teens and parents. Retrieved July 13, 2017, from www.centeronaddiction.org/download/file/fid/458
- Napper LE, Fisher DG, Johnson ME, & Wood MM (2010). The reliability and validity of drug users’ self reports of amphetamine use among primarily heroin and cocaine users. *Addictive Behaviors*, 35(4), 350–354. doi: 10.1016/j.addbeh.2009.12.006 [PubMed: 20053503]

- Nolen-Hoeksema S, & Aldao A (2011). Gender and age differences in emotion regulation strategies and their relationship to depressive symptoms. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 51(6), 704–708. DOI: 10.1016/j.paid.2011.06.012
- Osgood DW, & Anderson AL (2004). Unstructured socializing and rates of delinquency. *Criminology*, 42(3), 519–549. doi:10.1111/j.1745-9125.2004.tb00528.x
- Palen L-A, Patrick ME, Gleeson SL, Caldwell LL, Smith EA, Wegner L, & Flisher AJ (2010). Leisure constraints for adolescents in Cape Town, South Africa: A qualitative study. *Leisure Sciences*, 32(5), 434–452. doi: 10.1080/01490400.2010.510975
- Palen LA, Smith EA, Caldwell LL, Matthews C, & Vergnani T (2009). Transitions to substance use and sexual intercourse among South African high school students. *Substance Use & Misuse*, 44(13), 1872–1887. DOI: 10.3109/10826080802544547. [PubMed: 20001285]
- Parry CDH, Myers B, Morojele NK, Flisher AJ, Bhana A, Donson H, & Plüddemann A (2004). Trends in adolescent alcohol and other drug use: Findings from three sentinel sites in South Africa (1997-2001). *Journal of Adolescence*, 27(4), 429–440. DOI: 10.1016/j.adolescence.2003.11.013 [PubMed: 15288752]
- Patrick ME, Collins LM, Smith E, Caldwell L, Flisher A, & Wegner L (2009). A prospective longitudinal model of substance use onset among South African adolescents. *Substance Use & Misuse*, 44(5), 647–662. doi:10.1080/10826080902810244 [PubMed: 19360538]
- Piko BF, & Balázs MÁ (2012). Authoritative parenting style and adolescent smoking and drinking. *Addictive Behaviors*, 37(3), 353–356. doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2011.11.022 [PubMed: 22143001]
- Pinderhughes EE, Dodge KA, Bates JE, Pettit GS, & Zelli A (2000). Discipline responses: Influences of parents' socioeconomic status, ethnicity, beliefs about parenting, stress, and cognitive-emotional processes. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 14(3), 380–400. doi: 10.1037/0893-3200.14.3.380 [PubMed: 11025931]
- Pinquart M (2017). Associations of parenting dimensions and styles with externalizing problems of children and adolescents: An updated meta-analysis. *Developmental Psychology*, 53(5), 873–932. DOI: 10.1037/dev0000295 [PubMed: 28459276]
- Plüddemann A, Flisher AJ, McKetin R, Parry C, & Lombard C (2010). Methamphetamine use, aggressive behavior and other mental health issues among high-school students in Cape Town, South Africa. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 109(1–3), 14–19. doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2009.11.021 [PubMed: 20064699]
- Pychyl TA, Coplan RJ, & Reid PAM (2002). Parenting and procrastination: gender differences in the relations between procrastination, parenting style and self-worth in early adolescence. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 33(2), 271–285
- Querido JG, Warner TD, & Eyberg SM (2002) Parenting styles and child behavior in African American families of preschool children. *Journal of Clinical Child & Adolescent Psychology*, 31(2), 272–277. doi: 10.1207/S15374424JCCP3102_12 [PubMed: 12056110]
- Reddy SP, Panday S, Swart D, Jinabhai CC, Amosun SL, James S, et al. (2003) Umthente Uhlaba Usamila - The South African Youth Risk Behaviour Survey 2002. Cape Town: South African Medical Research Council.
- Reddy SP, James S, Sewpaul R, Sifunda S, Ellahebokus A, Kambaran NS, & Omardien RG (2013). Umthente Uhlaba Usamila - The 3rd South African National Youth Risk Behaviour Survey 2011. Cape Town.
- Rekker R, Keijsers L, Branje S, Koot H, & Meeus W (2017). The interplay of parental monitoring and socioeconomic status in predicting minor delinquency between and within adolescents, *Journal of Adolescence*, 59, 155–165. doi: 10.1016/j.adolescence.2017.06.001 [PubMed: 28672155]
- Rosseel Y (2012). lavaan: An R package for structural equation modeling. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 48(2), 1–36. doi: 10.18637/jss.v048.i02
- Rusby JC, Light JM, Crowley R, & Westling E (2018). Influence of parent–youth relationship, parental monitoring, and parent substance use on adolescent substance use onset. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 32(3), 310–320. DOI: 10.1037/fam0000350 [PubMed: 29300096]
- Ryan RM, & Deci EL (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*, 55(1), 68–78. doi: 10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.68 [PubMed: 11392867]

- Ryan RM, Mims V, & Koestner R (1983). Relation of reward contingency and interpersonal context to intrinsic motivation: A review and test using cognitive evaluation theory. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 45(4), 736–750.
- Sartor Carolyn & Youniss James. (2002). The relationship between positive parental involvement and identity achievement during adolescence. *Adolescence*, 37, 221–234. [PubMed: 12144156]
- Savioja H, Helminen M, Fröjd S, Marttunen M, & Kaltiala-Heino R (2017). Parental involvement, depression, and sexual experiences across adolescence: a cross-sectional survey among adolescents of different ages. *Health Psychology and Behavioral Medicine*, 5(1), 258–275. DOI: 10.1080/21642850.2017.1322908
- Schulenberg J, Freund V, & Maslowsky J (2017). Boredom by sensation seeking interactions in associations with risk behaviors and psychosocial adjustment: US national data. Paper presented at the bi-annual meeting on the 2017 Society for Research on Child Development, Austin, Texas.
- Sharp E,H, Caldwell LL, Graham JW, & Ridenour TA (2006). Individual motivation and parental influence on adolescents' experience of interest in free time: A longitudinal examination. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 35, 359–372. doi: 10.1007/s10964-006-9045-6
- Shulman EP, Smith AR, Silva K, Icenogle G, Duell N, Chein J & Steinberg L (2016). The dual systems model: Review, reappraisal, and reaffirmation. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, 17, 103–117. doi: 10.1016/j.dcn.2015.12.010 [PubMed: 26774291]
- Silbereisen RK, & Todt E (1994). *Adolescence in context: The interplay of family, school, peers, and work in adjustment*. New York, NY: Springer.
- Steinberg L (2008). A social neuroscience perspective on adolescent risk-taking. *Developmental Review*, 28(1), 78–106. doi: 10.1016/j.dr.2007.08.002 [PubMed: 18509515]
- Tucker LR, & Lewis C (1973). A reliability coefficient for maximum likelihood factor analysis. *Psychometrika*, 38, 1–10.
- Van der Eecken A, Spruyt B, & Bradt L (2018). Parental Encouragement and Autonomy-granting and Adolescents' Use of Organized and Unorganized Leisure Time. *Young*, 26(4), 406–425. DOI: 10.1177/1103308817714919.
- Wegner L (2011). Through the lens of a peer: understanding leisure boredom and risk behaviour in adolescence. *South African Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 41(1), 18–24.
- Wegner L, & Flisher AJ (2009). Leisure boredom and adolescent risk behavior: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Mental Health*, 21(1), 1–28. doi: 10.2989/JCAMH.2009.21.1.4.806 [PubMed: 25859637]
- Wegner L, Flisher AJ, Muller M, & Lombard C (2006). Leisure boredom and substance use among high school students in South Africa. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 38(2), 249–266. DOI: 10.1080/00222216.2006.11950078
- Wang M-T, Hill NE, & Hofkens T. (2014). Parental involvement and African American and European American adolescents' academic, behavioral, and emotional development in secondary school. *Child Development*, 85(6), 2151–2168. DOI: 10.1111/cdev.12284 [PubMed: 25156187]
- Ward PJ, & Zabriskie RB (2011). Positive youth development within a family leisure context: Youth perspectives of family outcomes. *New Directions for Youth Development*, 130, 29–42. DOI: 10.1002/yn.395.
- Weybright EH, Caldwell LL, Ram N, Smith EA, & Jacobs J (2014). The dynamic association between healthy leisure and substance use in South African adolescents: A state and trait perspective. *World Leisure Journal*, 56(2), 99–109. doi:10.1080/16078055.2014.903726 [PubMed: 24948905]
- Weybright EH, Caldwell LL, Ram N, Smith EA, & Wegner L (2015). Boredom prone or nothing to do? Distinguishing between trait and state leisure boredom and its association with substance use in South African adolescents. *Leisure Sciences*, 37(4), 311–331. doi: 10.1080/01490400.2015.1014530 [PubMed: 26085700]
- Weybright EH, Caldwell LL, Wegner L, Smith EA, & Jacobs JJ (2016). The state of methamphetamine ('tik') use among youth in the Western Cape, South Africa. *South African Medical Journal*, 106(11), 1125–1128. doi: 10.7196/SAMJ.2016.v106i11.10814 [PubMed: 27842636]
- Weybright EH, Son JS & Caldwell LL (in press). "To have healthy leisure is to have balance." Young adults' conceptualization of healthy and unhealthy leisure. *Journal of Leisure Research*. doi:10.1080/00222216.2019.1588694

- Xie H, Caldwell LL, Graham JW, Weybright EH, Wegner L, & Smith EA (2017). Perceived parental control, restructuring ability, and leisure motivation: A cross-cultural comparison. *Leisure Sciences*, 39(4), 319–335. doi: 10.1080/01490400.2016.1194790 [PubMed: 30498285]
- Yeung WJ, Sandberg JF, Davis-Kean PE, & Hofferth SL (2001). Children's time with fathers in intact families. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 63(1), 136–154. doi:10.1111/j.1741-3737.2001.00136.x
- Youniss J, McLellam JA, Su Y, & Yates M (1999). The role of community service in identity development: Normative, unconventional, and deviant orientations. *Journal of Adolescent Research*, 14(2), 248–261. doi: 10.1177/0743558499142006