Research Article

Falls After Hospital Discharge: A Randomized Clinical Trial of Individualized Multimodal Falls Prevention Education

Anne-Marie Hill, PhD,^{1,*} Steven M. McPhail, PhD,^{2,3} Terry P. Haines, PhD,⁴ Meg E. Morris, PhD,⁵ Christopher Etherton-Beer, PhD,⁶ Ronald Shorr, PhD,^{7,8} Leon Flicker, PhD,⁶ Max Bulsara, PhD,⁹ Nicholas Waldron, MBBS,¹⁰ Den-Ching A. Lee, PhD,⁴ Jacqueline Francis-Coad, PhD,¹¹ and Amanda Boudville, MBBS¹²

¹School of Physiotherapy and Exercise Science, Curtin University, Perth, Western Australia, Australia. ²School of Public Health and Social Work, Faculty of Health, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia. ³Centre for Functioning and Health Research, Metro South Health, Buranda, Queensland, Australia. ⁴School of Primary and Allied Health Care, Monash University, Clayton, Victoria, Australia. ⁵Healthscope and La Trobe Centre for Sport and Exercise Medicine Research, La Trobe University, Bundoora, Victoria, Australia. ⁶Western Australian Centre for Health & Ageing, Medical School, University of Western Australia, Crawley, Western Australia, Australia. ⁷Malcom Randall VA Medical Center, Geriatric Research Education and Clinical Center (GRECC), Gainesville, Florida. ⁸College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville. ⁹Institute for Health Research, The University of Notre Dame Australia, Fremantle, Western Australia, Australia. ¹⁰Department of Aged Care and Rehabilitation, Armadale Kelmscott Memorial Hospital, East Metropolitan Health Service, Armadale, Western Australia, Australia. ¹¹School of Physiotherapy, Institute for Health Research, The University of Notre Dame Australia, Fremantle, Western Australia, Australia. ¹²Department of Aged Care and Rehabilitation, St John of God Midland Public and Private Hospital, Midland, Western Australia, Australia.

*Address correspondence to: Anne-Marie Hill, PhD, School of Physiotherapy and Exercise Science, Curtin University, Kent Street, GPO Box U1987, Perth, Western Australia 6845, Australia. E-mail: Anne-marie.hill@curtin.edu.au

Received: September 6, 2018; Editorial Decision Date: January 2, 2019

Decision Editor: Anne Newman, MD, MPH

Abstract

Background: Older people are at high risk of falls after hospital discharge. The study aimed to evaluate the effect of providing individualized falls prevention education in addition to usual care on falls rates in older people after hospital discharge compared to providing a social intervention in addition to usual care.

Methods: A randomized clinical trial at three hospitals in Western Australia: participants followed for 6 months after discharge. Baseline and outcomes measured by assessors masked to group allocation. Participants: aged 60 years and over, admitted for rehabilitation. Eligibility included: cognitively able to undertake education (Abbreviated mental test score >7/10). Intervention: tailored education comprising patient video and workbook, structured discussion and goal setting led by trained therapist. Main outcomes: falls in the 6 months after discharge; proportion of participants sustaining one or more falls.

Results: There were 382 (194 intervention; 188 control) participants (mean age 77.7 [SD 8.7] years). There were 378 falls (fall rate per 1,000 patient-days, 5.9 intervention; 5.9 control) reported by 164 (42.9%) participants in the 6 months following hospital discharge; 188 (49.7%) of these falls were injurious. There were no significant differences in falls rates between intervention and control groups: (adjusted IRR, 1.09; 95% CI [0.78 to 1.52]) or the proportion of participants who fell once or more (adjusted OR, 1.37; 95% CI [0.90 to 2.07]).

Conclusions: Providing individualized falls prevention education prior to discharge did not reduce falls at home after discharge. Further research is warranted to investigate how to reduce falls during this high-risk transition period.

Key words: Accidental falls, Patient discharge, Patient education, Randomized controlled trial

Falls are a significant problem for older people recently discharged from acute medical, orthopedic, aged care or rehabilitation wards, with up to 40% falling in the 6 months after discharge (1–3). A prior history of falls also increases the risk of post-hospital falls (1,2). Up to 50% of older people who fall sustain an injury after discharge and between 10% and 15% require readmission to hospital (1,3). Associated adverse events include functional decline, unplanned readmission, and hip fractures in the transition period from hospital to home (4–7). Interventions to improve the transition from hospital to home have yielded mixed outcomes, especially for older people with complex needs (8–10).

There is strong evidence that exercise as a single intervention significantly reduces injurious falls (11). However, post-hospital discharge there is limited and comparatively low-quality evidence about the efficacy of falls prevention interventions for older people (12). Older people are known to have low levels of knowledge and motivation to engage in falls prevention after hospital discharge (13,14). But behavioral change interventions which engage older people in falls prevention strategies have only been tested in a limited number of studies (15,16). A systematic review suggested that providing fall-prevention education either as a single or as part of a multifactorial intervention could increase the uptake of relevant strategies, but there was significant heterogeneity regarding the education intensity and duration and underlying theory. Interventions intensity also ranged from providing a brochure to a structured program of materials and face to face discussion of approximately 1 hour (16). Two trials that evaluated an education intervention in a hospital setting found the intervention reduced falls rates and injurious falls rates in hospitals (17,18). These provided inpatient fall-prevention education using multimedia formats and tailored follow-up by a therapist in approximately two to four 15-minute sessions. However there was no sustained protective effect in the immediate discharge period (1), most likely because those education interventions were designed specifically for the inpatient setting.

Given the lack of attention to falls prevention after hospital discharge, we designed a tailored multimedia education program for older people that focused on actively addressing post hospital functional decline and capability and motivation regarding falls prevention. Multimedia education has established efficacy for raising knowledge and awareness about falls prevention in older patients (19). A pilot trial also demonstrated that providing the tailored education increased engagement in falls prevention strategies for 1 month after discharge (20). The older people also responded positively to the education (20).

We aimed to evaluate the effect of providing a tailored multimedia falls prevention education program plus usual care on falls rates in the 6 months after hospital discharge.

Methods

Design

A single-blinded randomized controlled trial was conducted in rehabilitation wards at three hospitals in Perth, Western Australia, using a two-group parallel design and adhering to the CONSORT guidelines (21). Hospital and university ethics committees approved the study. All participants provided written informed consent. The trial's protocol and description of the education intervention were published previously (20,22). Patients were enrolled from August 2015 to September 2017 and followed up for 6 months after discharge. Trial registration: Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (ACTRN12615000784516).

Participants

Participants and setting have been detailed previously (22). Briefly, participants were older patients admitted to participating hospital rehabilitation wards. Patients on these wards receive rehabilitation for new onset stroke or other neurological conditions, orthopedic diagnoses such as hip fracture, functional decline, general medical conditions or reconditioning after acute surgery. Eligible patients were those who were community dwelling (not admitted from nursing homes) and were 60 years of age older. Patients were included if they had sound cognitive function, classified as having an Abbreviated Mental Test Score $>7/10$ (23), and were able to receive telephone calls, as these two conditions were necessary to receive the education intervention. Patients were excluded if they had short stay admissions (<5 days), were receiving palliative care or had a medical plan for discharge to a nursing home.

Randomization

Participants were randomly allocated to either group in a 1:1 ratio. The computer-generated randomization sequence was prepared and sealed in consecutively numbered opaque envelopes by a researcher (located externally) who was not involved in recruitment, intervention delivery or assessments. The envelopes were held securely at an external university not accessible to the investigators. Each participant was assigned a trial identification number in the order of recruitment. After a participant's baseline assessment had been recorded, the trial manager telephoned a randomization gatekeeper at the external university who opened the next envelope to reveal group allocation.

Masking

Trained research officers masked to group allocation separately completed baseline and outcome measures. The participants were instructed not to reveal their group allocation to the assessors. Collected data were entered into a secure online database, with access provided only to these research officers.

Experimental Group Education Intervention

Participants in the experimental group received the education intervention while in hospital in addition to usual care. Therapists commenced delivery of the allocated intervention within 48 hours. The education program has been described in detail previously (20,22). The program was piloted for use prior to the present trial to establish that the dosage and content raised knowledge and motivation and engendered a positive response among older patients (20). The program used a workbook and digital video to initially present information about falls and falls prevention specific to the post-discharge period. Therapists then had face to face structured discussions with each participant to tailor the information to be personally relevant for their medical and social circumstances. They helped each participant to develop a documented goal-oriented action plan to be used once they arrived home. The same therapist made a monthly phone call for 3 months after discharge to reinforce the education and to modify the plan as appropriate.

The education design and delivery were based on the concepts of the COM-B model of behavioral change theory (24). It aimed to: (i) raise capability (knowledge and awareness about falls risk and falls prevention); (ii) raise motivation to undertake and sustain falls prevention strategies; and (iii) assist participants to identify opportunity (both social and environmental) to implement falls prevention strategies and address barriers during the

post-discharge period. The content was based on three key areas: (i) seeking assistance with personal care and other daily activities that, after discharge, participants were not able to undertake independently; (ii) engaging in exercise to regain functional mobility; and (iii) planning to gradually re-commence usual activities and making home modifications if required to safely re-engage in usual activities. Strategies were undertaken with the aim of ensuring treatment fidelity (22). This included providing the three therapists (experienced in geriatric treatment and rehabilitation) with structured training to deliver the intervention. This training was provided by therapists with experience in designing and delivering falls prevention education. Therapists were also provided with a purpose-designed intervention workbook which they completed for each patient, recording weekly summaries of patient interactions, including telephone calls. Additionally, each stage of intervention completion was recorded, including session time, whether participants received the video and workbook materials, which key areas were identified as requiring a strategy to be developed with the patient and if a written action plan accompanied the participant at discharge. This action plan was subsequently used by therapists to structure the telephone calls after discharge. The falls prevention education was designed to include two to four sessions in hospital (total approximately 45 minutes) and three telephone calls (total 60-minute contact) after discharge. However, therapists were also instructed to modify the time according to each participant's ability to engage with the education and time taken to develop their action plan to ensure that the desired intervention was delivered in its entirety.

Control Group Intervention

Control group participants received usual care plus a scripted education program of 45 minutes with a trained health professional that discussed aspects of positive aging. It did not include any falls prevention or medical health information. If control group participants enquired about medical or falls prevention topics, the health professional advised and subsequently reminded them to discuss the topic with their usual hospital multidisciplinary team.

Usual Care Conditions

Usual care was provided on the hospital wards and when the participants went home. The educator therapists were employed by the university for the purposes of the trial alone. Participants at all three hospitals received comprehensive geriatric care from a multidisciplinary team. This consisted of comprehensive medical and allied health services, 24-hour nursing care, home visiting services, outpatient rehabilitation, and a discharge summary.

Outcomes

The primary outcome was: (i) the rate of falls in the 6 months following hospital discharge. Secondary outcomes were: (ii) the rate of injurious falls; (iii) proportion of participants who sustained one or more falls in the 6 months following hospital discharge.

A fall was defined according to World Health Organization criteria as being "an event which results in a person coming to rest inadvertently on the ground or floor or other lower level (25)." An injurious fall was defined as any fall reported which also resulted in an injury (18). Falls and falls injuries post-discharge were measured using the falls diary issued to participants at discharge by the baseline research officer and follow-up telephone calls monthly for 6 months to every participant (26).

Other secondary outcomes were initially measured at baseline in hospital. Follow-up measures were undertaken during a telephone interview conducted at 6 months after discharge. These outcomes were: (iv) participants' functional ability, classified as activities of daily living (ADL) measured using the Katz index (27) and instrumental activities of daily living measured using the Lawton's index (28); (v) participants' health-related quality of life measured using the Assessment of Quality of Life-6 dimensions scale (AQOL-6D) (29).

Process Evaluation

The process evaluation is detailed in a separate, previously published protocol (30). The educators facilitated intervention group participants to set individualized strategies in all three key content areas, appropriate for their personal health and social circumstances. These strategies were receiving assistance with ADL (either personal or instrumental activities), undertaking exercise and modifying their environment. The strategies undertaken were measured in both groups by participant self-report, at baseline in hospital and 6 months after discharge through telephone interview.

Statistical Analysis

Sample Size

The sample size estimate was based upon 80% power to detect a 30% relative reduction in the rate of falls (negative binomial incidence rate ratio = 0.70) from a control rate of 0.80 falls per person over the 6-month follow-up (two-tailed α = 0.05) (1). We applied a 1:1 control to intervention allocation ratio and determined that a total sample size of n = 372 was required (31). We enrolled 390 participants to allow for a drop-out rate of approximately 5%.

All analyses were performed using Stata 15 (Stata Statistical Software, College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC) and following intention-to-treat principles. Between-group comparisons at baseline were performed using χ^2 tests (categorical variables), unpaired t -tests (Gaussian, interval or ratio variables) or Mann-Whitney U (ordinal/ non-Gaussian variables). Statistical significance was set at 0.05. Between-group comparisons for the primary outcome of rates of falls and the secondary outcome of rate of injurious falls were analyzed using negative binomial regression with adjustment for participant's length of observation in the study. The proportion of people who become fallers during the observation period was compared between groups using logistic regression. Comparisons of primary and secondary fall-related outcomes between groups were adjusted for whether each participant fell or not during hospital admission, whether they fell in the 6 months prior to hospital admission, whether they received assistance with ADL prior to hospital admission, presence of a depressed mood at baseline as measured by the Geriatric Depression Scale (32) and whether the participant used a walking aid or not at baseline. Functional ability and health-related quality of life were compared between groups using linear regression with adjustment for the baseline values of each individual outcome respectively. Hospital site was included as a random effect in each regression (for primary and secondary outcomes) to account for potential clustering within sites.

Results

The flow of participants (n = 390) is presented in the CONSORT flow chart in Figure 1. Eight participants were lost to follow-up in hospital

Figure 1. Participant flow through the study.

and 30 participants were lost to follow-up during the post-discharge period, hence 382 participants were included in final primary analyses and of those, 352 patients provided falls data for the complete 6 months of observation. There were no trial-related adverse events (other than falls) reported to the investigators during the trial.

Demographic characteristics of participants are presented in [Supplementary Table 1](#). Participants were older adults (mean age intervention, 77.4 [± 8.8]; control, 78.1 [± 8.5] years) and 70.9% had fallen in the 6 months prior to admission to hospital (intervention $n = 141$ [72.7%]; control $n = 130$ [69.1%]). There were no significant differences between intervention and control groups, other than more control group participants were hospitalized in the 12 months prior to their current admission.

The investigators considered the intervention to have been delivered with a high level of fidelity. The median (IQR) number of sessions delivered was 3 (3–5) in hospital with 98% of intervention group participants receiving at least three sessions in a total time of 140 (110–185) minutes. There were 184 (94%) participants who additionally received three follow-up phone calls. Overall 188 (95.5%) control group participants received the healthy aging education using a time of 45 (35–45) minutes. Intervention group participants developed a median (IQR) of 3 (3–5) goals to undertake strategies to reduce their risk of falling. The number of strategies undertaken by the intervention group was not significantly different to the control group ([Table 1](#)).

There were no statistically significant differences between the intervention and control groups in the falls rate, injurious falls rate

or proportion of participants who fell once or more ([Table 2](#)). There were 378 falls reported by 164 (42.9%) participants in the 6 months following hospital discharge with 188 (49.7%) of the falls being injurious. Of the total falls 328 (86.8%) occurred at home and 50 (13.2%) ($n = 20$ intervention, $n = 30$ control) occurred when the participant was re-admitted to a hospital or admitted to a residential care setting after discharge. The overall falls rate was 5.9 per 1,000 patient-days and the injurious falls rate was 2.9 per 1,000 patient-days. Monthly falls rates demonstrated a reducing incidence over time (see Figures i to iv in [Supplementary File 2](#)). Of the 164 participants who fell, 79 fell once ($n = 43$ intervention; $n = 36$ control), 46 fell twice ($n = 26$ intervention; $n = 20$ control) and 39 fell more than twice ($n = 22$ intervention; $n = 17$ control).

Two hundred ninety-two (82.9%) of the final 352 participants completed the final post-discharge survey at 6 months, measuring functional ability (ADL and instrumental activities of daily living) and health-related quality of life, which were compared with baseline measures ([Table 3](#)). The most common reason for not completing the survey was admission to a nursing home during the 6 months after discharge ($n = 37$) and hence no longer being able to engage in and report falls strategies relevant for community home dwellings. There were no significant differences between the groups in functional ability (ADL or instrumental activities of daily living) or health-related quality of life at 6 months post discharge.

Discussion

This randomized trial found that providing a tailored education intervention, prior to hospital discharge plus telephone support after discharge did not reduce falls in the 6 months after hospital discharge. Therapists encouraged patients in a positive manner through the education to undertake necessary functional activities including exercise, in a graduated and safe manner. A previous RCT in a post-discharge population found that exercise as a single intervention increased falls (2). However, exercise programs are important to address rehabilitation including functional decline, which can be a significant problem after hospital discharge (6). We hypothesized that encouraging participants to exercise to regain function, while concurrently providing safety messages that encouraged participant engagement in strategies addressing increased risk of falls, would reduce falls. As part of these safety messages we also encouraged participants to initiate home modifications. Home visits by an occupational therapist who provide home modifications have been found to reduce the risk of falling in patients with a previous history of falls (33).

The education intervention was intensive with each participant receiving individualized support and feedback from a trained therapist and results demonstrated strong uptake of tailored evidence-based strategies. However, use of these strategies was noted to be high in the control group as well, with no significant differences between the two groups. Our intervention provided approximately 2 hours of face-to-face contact with the therapist which was more intensive than the pilot study (20). This was higher than planned (22) but was found to be required to enable therapists to maintain the fidelity of the intervention and spend sufficient time with these older participants to develop their written action plan. Over 98% of participants received the hospital component of the intervention, which included setting written goals to engage in tailored strategies after discharge. It was provided in addition to existing discharge plans of the patients own multidisciplinary team and included multimedia materials consistent with those that had demonstrated success in our earlier inpatient trials (18,19). There were some early differences in

Table 1. Falls Prevention Strategies Reported by Participants

Strategy Reported	Intervention <i>n</i> = 149 (100%)	Control <i>n</i> = 143 (100%)	OR (95% CI), <i>p</i> -value ^{a,b}
Received formal ^c assistance with ADL/IADL through home care agency	97 (65.1)	92 (64.3)	1.28, (0.75 to 2.20), .36
Received informal ^d assistance with ADL/IADL	96 (64.4)	103 (72.0)	0.73, (0.43 to 1.24) .24
Engaged in exercise ^e	111 (74.5)	101 (70.6)	1.28, (0.76 to 2.17), .35
Home visit from hospital occupational therapist	95 (63.7)	89 (62.2)	1.02 (0.62 to 1.67), .93
Completed home modifications ^f	105 (70.5)	94 (65.7)	1.24, (0.76 to 2.04), .38

Notes: ADL, activities of daily living; IADL, instrumental activities of daily living; OR, odds ratio.

^aClustered by site (three sites).

^bAdjusted for levels reported at baseline.

^cFormal means assistance provided by employed carers from community home care organizations for activities including showering, shopping, cooking, and other personal care as required.

^dInformal means assistance provided by family, friend or other for showering, shopping, cooking, and other personal care as required.

^eAny type of exercise, including walking, exercise program provided by health care professional, swimming or gym attendance.

^fIncludes all modifications provided by occupational therapist and those completed by the participant, family or other (such as rails, equipment, environmental alterations).

Table 2. Falls Outcomes in the 6 Months After Hospital Discharge

	Intervention Group	Control Group	Unadjusted ^a IRR or OR, (Robust 95% CI), <i>p</i> -value	Adjusted ^{a,b} IRR or OR, (Robust 95% CI), <i>p</i> -value
Falls/injurious falls/fractures/number of participants who fell one or more times, days of observation, <i>n</i>	194/98/9/91/32521	184/90/12/73/31004		
Falls rate (95% CI) per 1,000 patient-days	5.9 (4.7 to 7.2)	5.9 (3.9 to 8.8)	1.0, (0.73 to 1.46), .85	1.09, (0.78 to 1.52), .61
Injurious falls rate (95% CI) per 1,000 patient-days	2.7 (2.1 to 3.5)	3.1 (2.4 to 4.0)	0.88, (0.60 to 1.28), .49	0.86, (0.60 to 1.24), .42
Proportion of participants who fell one or more times %, (95% CI)	46.9 (40.0 to 54.0)	38.8 (32.0 to 46.0)	1.42, (0.95 to 2.14), .09	1.37, (0.90 to 2.07), .14

Notes: IRR, incident rate ratio; OR, odds ratio.

^aAnalyses clustered by site (three sites).

^bAdjusted for history of falls, requiring assistance with activities of daily living in 6 months prior to admission; sustaining a fall while in hospital; depressed mood, use of a gait aid at baseline.

falls rates between the groups (Supplementary File 2) and it could be that the intervention group participants initiated behavior change immediately following hospital discharge, which was not maintained by telephone call contact alone from therapists. Behavior change is known to be mediated by the intervention components chosen (24) and our intervention aimed to raise capability and motivation. However, it may not have provided sufficient opportunity, including enablement, for participants to initiate and maintain desired strategies after discharge. It is also possible that the educational intervention provided patients with a safety advantage relative to the usual care control group in the first month after hospitalization, but usual care interventions combined with natural recovery contributed to this advantage eroding over time until convergence of falls rates occurred at approximately 6 months (Supplementary File 2). Another possible explanation for each group having similar fall-rates at 6 months was that hospitals in Australia may have incorporated formerly novel fall-prevention intervention strategies that were effective during the pilot for this study (1,20) into what is now considered to be usual care interventions. A large systematic review demonstrated that interventions tested more recently were less efficacious when

compared with controls, and suggested this could reflect general improvement over time in the standard of care delivered in this transition period (9).

Falls rates in both groups were higher than a similar randomized trial in this population (2), possibly because our population was more functionally declined (having a longer hospital length of stay), although the increased rate of falls in the first month after discharge accords with trials in this population (2,3). Over 50% of the falls that occurred were injurious with a rate of one injurious fall per person-year, with a sharp increase immediately after discharge, confirming the vulnerability to adverse events described in this population (4,34,35). These injuries accord with a U.S. national study which demonstrated a sharp increase in hip fractures in the 30 days after discharge (4) and is twice the rate of injurious falls in trials conducted in general community-dwelling populations (36). Further research is warranted to determine whether combined strategies promoted as part of our education are actually effective in reducing falls after discharge. The samples in this trial were at high risk as they were functionally declined and over 70% had fallen in the previous year. We observed that more participants from the intervention

Table 3. Functional Ability and Health-Related Quality of Life Outcomes at 6 Months After Hospital Discharge

	Intervention <i>n</i> = 149 (100%)	Control <i>n</i> = 143 (100%)	Coefficient, (95% CI), <i>p</i> -value ^a
Functional ability at 6 months, median (IQR)			
ADL ^b	6 (4–6)	6 (4–6)	0.91, (0.65 to 1.28), .60
IADL ^c	5 (5–6)	6 (5–6)	0.84, (0.65 to 1.09), .19
Health-related quality of life at 6 months, AQOL6 ^d mean <i>SD</i>)			
Independent living	0.4 (0.3)	0.4 (0.3)	1.00, (0.94 to 1.07), .90
Relationships	0.5 (0.3)	0.5 (0.3)	0.99, (0.93 to 1.06), .82
Mental health	0.7 (0.3)	0.7 (0.3)	0.98, (0.92 to 1.04), .53
Coping	0.6 (0.3)	0.6 (0.3)	0.95, (0.89 to 1.01), .12
Pain	0.5 (0.3)	0.6 (0.3)	0.94, (0.87 to 1.01), .10
Senses	0.9 (0.2)	0.9 (0.2)	1.01, (0.97 to 1.04), .67

Notes: ADL, activities of daily living; AQOL6, Australian quality of life 6 dimension version; IADL instrumental activities of daily living; IQR, interquartile range.

^aAdjusted for levels reported at baseline and clustered by site (three sites).

^bADL measured using Katz index of independence in activities of daily living scale (27), range from 0 to 6, higher score indicates more independence.

^cIADL measured using Lawton's instrumental activities of daily living scale (28), range 0–8, higher score indicates more independence.

^dMeasured using AQOL 6 (29), range from 0 to 1, higher scores indicate better self-perceived health-related quality of life.

group fell once or more. A recent network meta-analysis found that combined interventions that included patient-level quality improvement strategies, environmental modifications and exercise, increased the risk of injurious falls among patients at high risk of falls (11).

Limitations of the program were that therapists only provided telephone support after discharge and could not directly address problems that arose for participants. Falls data were collected monthly, which accords with good practice for falls research (26), although we are uncertain if the three monthly phone calls by therapists to the intervention group participants may have raised their awareness of reporting falls relative to the control group. This could have reduced early post-discharge differences in falls rates between the groups. Another important consideration was the extent to which the study was at risk of Type II error on account of our sample size target. Our a priori sample size estimate was based on our previous trial that achieved a relatively large effect within the first month after hospital discharge (1). We envisaged that this worthwhile effect could be maintained, but no such effect was evident at the 6-month primary end-point in the present study. We concluded that the negative finding was likely to be genuine in this case, and not the product of an insufficient sample size, due to the close similarity in each group's falls rate at the 6-month primary end-point. There were also low levels of attrition, with falls data collected for 6 months of observation for more than 90% of participants.

Participants' levels of engagement in falls prevention strategies were measured at 6 months after discharge so as not to influence engagement during the observation period. Engagement may have been mediated by medical and social barriers after discharge which have been described previously (37) and a detailed process evaluation will identify barriers and intensity of engagement in tailored strategies (30). Furthermore, the intervention might not have addressed other post-discharge problems sufficiently. Medical and social follow-up care in the community is an important consideration after discharge, as ongoing social and medical challenges are known to cause adverse events and contribute to readmission, both early and late after discharge (9,37). Further analyses of the participants' hospital readmissions, medical care and costs of care will be completed using patients' health records and healthcare costings data (22).

Conclusions

Providing tailored education for older people to assist them to safely transition from hospital to home did not reduce falls or injurious falls post discharge. Further research should investigate how falls and injury can be reduced among older people recently discharged from hospital.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary data are available at *The Journals of Gerontology, Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences* online.

Funding

This work was supported by a grant awarded from the National Health and Medical Research Council (Australia) (Project grant APP1078918). The funders had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or the decision to submit results for publication. S.M.M. receives salary support through a National Health and Medical Research Council (Australia) Career Fellowship.

Acknowledgments

A.M.H., T.H., C.E.B., and S.M.M. led the study conception and design and M.M., L.F., N.W., R.S., and M.B. contributed to study conception and design. A.M.H., S.M.M., and T.H. led overall trial procedures including intervention delivery protocols and data management. A.M.H. and S.M.M. led the statistical analyses with input from T.H., L.F., A.B., C.E.B., and N.W. contributed to overall trial management, including data collection and procedure and led trial management at the sites and J.F.C., D.C.L., M.M. contributed to intervention design, delivery, and evaluation. A.M.H. was responsible for original manuscript drafting with support from S.M.M. All authors appraised the manuscript critically for intellectual content and read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of Interest

R.S. declares he serves as an expert witness regarding falls in hospital. The other authors have no conflicts to declare.

References

- Hill AM, Hoffmann T, McPhail S, et al. Evaluation of the sustained effect of inpatient falls prevention education and predictors of falls after hospital discharge—follow-up to a randomized controlled trial. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2011;66:1001–1012. doi:10.1093/gerona/glr085
- Sherrington C, Lord SR, Vogler CM, et al. A post-hospital home exercise program improved mobility but increased falls in older people: a randomised controlled trial. *PLoS One*. 2014;9:e104412. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104412
- Mahoney JE, Palta M, Johnson J, et al. Temporal association between hospitalization and rate of falls after discharge. *Arch Intern Med*. 2000;160:2788–2795. doi:10.1001/archinte.160.18.2788
- Wolinsky FD, Bentler SE, Liu L, et al. Recent hospitalization and the risk of hip fracture among older Americans. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2009;64:249–255. doi:10.1093/gerona/gln027
- Forster AJ, Murff HJ, Peterson JF, Gandhi TK, Bates DW. The incidence and severity of adverse events affecting patients after discharge from the hospital. *Ann Intern Med*. 2003;138:161–167. doi:10.200302040-00007
- Mudge AM, O'Rourke P, Denaro CP. Timing and risk factors for functional changes associated with medical hospitalization in older patients. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2010;65:866–872. doi:10.1093/gerona/glq069
- Jencks SF, Williams MV, Coleman EA. Rehospitalizations among patients in the Medicare fee-for-service program. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;360:1418–1428. doi:10.1056/NEJMs0803563
- Dhalla IA, O'Brien T, Morra D, et al. Effect of a postdischarge virtual ward on readmission or death for high-risk patients: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2014;312:1305–1312. doi:10.1001/jama.2014.11492
- Leppin AL, Gionfriddo MR, Kessler M, et al. Preventing 30-day hospital readmissions: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2014;174:1095–1107. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2014.1608
- Buurman BM, Parlevliet JL, Allore HG, et al. Comprehensive geriatric assessment and transitional care in acutely hospitalized patients: the transitional care bridge randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2016;176:302–309. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2015.8042
- Tricco AC, Thomas SM, Veroniki AA, et al. Comparisons of interventions for preventing falls in older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2017;318:1687–1699. doi:10.1001/jama.2017.15006
- Naseri C, Haines TP, Etherton-Beer C, et al. Reducing falls in older adults recently discharged from hospital: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Age Ageing*. 2018;47:512–519. doi:10.1093/ageing/afy043
- Hill AM, Hoffmann T, McPhail S, et al. Factors associated with older patients' engagement in exercise after hospital discharge. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2011;92:1395–1403. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2011.04.009
- Lee DC, McDermott F, Hoffmann T, Haines TP. 'They will tell me if there is a problem': limited discussion between health professionals, older adults and their caregivers on falls prevention during and after hospitalization. *Health Educ Res*. 2013;28:1051–1066. doi:10.1093/her/cyt091
- Gillespie LD, Robertson MC, Gillespie WJ, et al. Interventions for preventing falls in older people living in the community. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2012;12:CD007146. http://www.cochrane.org/CD007146/MUSKINJ_interventions-for-preventing-falls-in-older-people-living-in-the-community. Accessed July 20, 2018.
- Lee D-CA, Pritchard E, McDermott F, Haines TP. Falls prevention education for older adults during and after hospitalization: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Health Ed J* 2014;73:530–544. doi:10.1177/0017896913499266
- Haines TP, Hill AM, Hill KD, et al. Patient education to prevent falls among older hospital inpatients: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Intern Med*. 2011;171:516–524. doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2010.444
- Hill AM, McPhail SM, Waldron N, et al. Fall rates in hospital rehabilitation units after individualised patient and staff education programmes: a pragmatic, stepped-wedge, cluster-randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2015;385:2592–2599. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61945-0
- Hill AM, McPhail S, Hoffmann T, et al. A randomized trial comparing digital video disc with written delivery of falls prevention education for older patients in hospital. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2009;57:1458–1463. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2009.02346.x
- Hill AM, Etherton-Beer C, Haines TP. Tailored education for older patients to facilitate engagement in falls prevention strategies after hospital discharge—a pilot randomized controlled trial. *PLoS One*. 2013;8:e63450. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063450
- Moher D, Hopewell S, Schulz KF, et al. CONSORT 2010 Explanation and elaboration: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. *Int J Surg*. 2012;10:28–55. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.03.004
- Hill AM, Etherton-Beer C, McPhail SM, et al. Reducing falls after hospital discharge: a protocol for a randomised controlled trial evaluating an individualised multimodal falls education programme for older adults. *BMJ Open*. 2017;7:e013931. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013931
- Hodkinson HM. Evaluation of a mental test score for assessment of mental impairment in the elderly. *Age Ageing*. 1972;1:233–238. doi:10.1093/ageing/afs148
- Michie S, van Stralen MM, West R. The behaviour change wheel: a new method for characterising and designing behaviour change interventions. *Implement Sci*. 2011;6:42. doi:10.1186/1748-5908-6-42
- World Health Organisation. 2018. Falls. <http://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/falls>. Accessed June 29, 2018.
- Lamb SE, Jørstad-Stein EC, Hauer K, Becker C; Prevention of Falls Network Europe and Outcomes Consensus Group. Development of a common outcome data set for fall injury prevention trials: the Prevention of Falls Network Europe consensus. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2005;53:1618–1622. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2005.53455.x
- Katz S. Assessing self-maintenance: activities of daily living, mobility, and instrumental activities of daily living. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 1983;31:721–727. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.1983.tb03391.x
- Lawton MP, Brody EM. Assessment of older people: self-maintaining and instrumental activities of daily living. *Gerontologist*. 1969;9:179–186. doi:10.1093/geront/9.3_Part_1.179
- Richardson JR, Peacock SJ, Hawthorne G, Iezzi A, Elsworth G, Day NA. Construction of the descriptive system for the Assessment of Quality of Life AQoL-6D utility instrument. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2012;10:38. doi:10.1186/1477-7525-10-38
- Naseri C, McPhail SM, Netto J, et al. Impact of tailored falls prevention education for older adults at hospital discharge on engagement in falls prevention strategies postdischarge: protocol for a process evaluation. *BMJ Open*. 2018;8:e020726. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020726
- Faul F, Erdfelder E, Buchner A, Lang AG. Statistical power analyses using G*Power 3.1: tests for correlation and regression analyses. *Behav Res Methods*. 2009;41:1149–1160. doi:10.3758/BRM.41.4.1149
- Yesavage JA, Brink TL, Rose TL, et al. Development and validation of a geriatric depression screening scale: a preliminary report. *J Psychiatr Res*. 1982;17:37–49. doi:10.1016/0022-3956(82)90033-4
- Nikolaus T, Bach M. Preventing falls in community-dwelling frail older people using a home intervention team (HIT): results from the randomized Falls-HIT trial. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2003;51:300–305. doi:10.1046/j.1532-5415.2003.51102.x
- Krumholz HM. Post-hospital syndrome—an acquired, transient condition of generalized risk. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;368:100–102. doi:10.1056/NEJMp1212324
- Ensrud KE, Lui LY, Langsetmo L, et al. Effects of mobility and multimorbidity on inpatient and post-acute health care utilization. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2017;73:1343–1349. doi:10.1093/gerona/glx128
- El-Khoury F, Cassou B, Charles MA, Dargent-Molina P. The effect of fall prevention exercise programmes on fall induced injuries in community dwelling older adults: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ*. 2013;347:f6234. doi:10.1136/bmj.f6234
- Greysen SR, Harrison JD, Kripalani S, et al. Understanding patient-centred readmission factors: a multi-site, mixed-methods study. *BMJ Qual Saf*. 2017;26:33–41. doi:10.1136/bmjqs-2015-004570