Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2012 March 28; 134(12): 5556–5559. doi:10.1021/ja301642c.

Coassembly of Enantiomeric Amphipathic Peptides into Amyloid-Inspired Rippled β -Sheet Fibrils

Ria J. Swanekamp, John T. M. DiMaio, Charles J. Bowerman, Bradley L. Nilsson

Department of Chemistry, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627-0216

Abstract

Amphipathic peptides composed of alternating hydrophobic and hydrophilic amino acids self-assemble into amyloid-inspired, β -sheet nanoribbon fibrils. Herein, we report a new fibril type that is formed from equimolar mixtures of enantiomeric amphipathic peptides (L- and D-(FKFE)₂). Spectroscopic analysis indicates that these peptides do not self-sort and assemble into enantiomeric fibrils composed of all-L and all-D peptides, but rather coassemble into fibrils that contain alternating L- and D-peptides in a “rippled β -sheet” orientation. Isothermal titration calorimetry indicates an enthalpic advantage for rippled β -sheet coassembly compared to self-sorted β -sheet assembly of enantiomeric peptides.

Graphical Abstract

Peptide self-assembly into cross- β amyloid structures is significant in the formation of both pathological and functional materials.^{1,2} Amphipathic peptides, (XZXZ)_n, composed of alternating hydrophobic (X) and hydrophilic (Z) amino acids self-assemble into amyloid-inspired, bilayer β -sheet fibrils (Figure 1) that have been exploited as functional biomaterials including hydrogel matrices for the *ex vivo* growth of cells for regenerative medicine and multivalent scaffolds for the display of biological signal molecules.^{3–5} Based on the promising utility of these self-assembled materials, the development of sophisticated

Corresponding Author: nilsson@chem.rochester.edu; Phone: 585-276-3053; Fax: 585-276-0205.

Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. / All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Supporting Information. Additional figures, experimental procedures, and characterization data. This material is available free of charge via the internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

assembly systems in which peptides either selectively coassemble into hybrid, multicomponent fibrils or self-sort into distinct fibrils (Figure 1) is of interest.^{6,7} Herein we report the selective coassembly of enantiomeric L- and D-amphipathic Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ peptides into two-component fibrils composed of alternating L- and D-sequences.

There are few available methods to induce coassembly of complementary peptides into multicomponent fibrils. Coassembly of amphipathic peptides into two-component fibrils has previously been realized by exploiting complementary charged peptides (positive and negative) that fail to self-assemble due to charge repulsion, but that effectively coassemble.^{8,9} In 1953, Pauling and Corey predicted that equimolar mixtures of L- and D-peptides should pack into rippled β -sheet structures that feature alternating L- and D-sequences.¹⁰ There have been only a few examples in which these predicted rippled β -sheet structures have been exploited.¹¹ Conversely, it has been shown that equimolar mixtures of short, enantiomeric amyloid peptides, which form fibrils that consist of extended β -sheet laminates, do not coassemble into two-component rippled β -sheets but rather self-sort to form distinct L- and D-enantiomeric fibrils¹² or fail to form fibrils altogether.¹³

Based on these precedents, we hypothesized that mixtures of L- and D-enantiomeric Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ peptides should undergo selective self-sorting to form L- and D-enantiomeric fibrils. L-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ self-assembles in water (2 mM peptide) to initially form left-handed helical ribbons^{14–17} (8.3 ± 0.7 nm in diameter with a helical pitch of ~ 19 nm, Figure 2A); the initial helical structures relax into flat nanoribbons (Figure S1, Supporting Information). We found that, in isolation, D-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ self-assembles into enantiomeric right-handed helical ribbons with diameter (8.2 ± 1.0 nm) and helical pitch (~ 19 nm) values that correspond to those found with the L-peptide (Figure 2B). The CD signature (Figure 2D) of fibrils derived from L-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ and D-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ peptides are mirror images, with signals at 218 nm (corresponding to β -sheet secondary structure) and 205 nm (presumably from π - π effects of neighboring Phe aromatic groups in the hydrophobic core).¹⁶ IR spectra of the amide I region confirm antiparallel β -sheet secondary structure in the resulting fibrils (Figure 3).

As indicated previously, we predicted that equimolar mixtures of L-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ and D-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ should form mixtures of self-sorted left- and right-handed helical fibrils composed exclusively of either all-L or all-D peptides. However, we observed no helical fibrils in mixtures of the L- and D-peptides by TEM analysis; instead, flat nanoribbon structures were observed that were $\sim$ half the width (3.0 ± 0.4 nm) of the fibrils formed from the individual peptides in isolation (Figure 2C). The CD signatures of enantiomeric fibrils at equimolar peptide concentrations should be effectively eliminated due to destructive interference. Instead, we observed a weakened signal (Figure 2D) that was hypothesized to be due to slight excess of the L-peptide in these mixtures; however, the same CD signature was observed even when the D-peptide was included in excess (1.2 molar equivalents relative to the L-peptide). These unexpected results forced us to consider that alternative assembly modes may occur in these mixtures than are found in each enantiomeric peptide in isolation. Specifically, we hypothesized that these peptides may undergo coassembly to form hybrid fibrils.

We exploited isotope-edited IR (IE-IR) spectroscopy to characterize the assembly mode in fibrils of mixed L- and D-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ peptides. Antiparallel β -sheet structures exhibit strong amide I IR stretches at $\sim 1620\text{ cm}^{-1}$ with a weaker stretch at $\sim 1690\text{ cm}^{-1}$. Incorporation of 1-¹³C-labeled amino acids results in a shift of the amide I stretch of the labeled peak due to the lower vibrational frequency of the heavier ¹³C carbonyl group.¹⁸ The magnitude of the frequency shift can be used to analyze strand registry in amyloid fibrils; if ¹³C-labeled carbonyls are in close spatial proximity cross-strand in the β -sheet, the magnitude of the shift in the IR is greater due to coupling of these signals.¹⁸ For example, L-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ fibrils have an amide I stretch at 1618 cm^{-1} (Figure 3). When a 1-¹³C label is incorporated at Phe 1, the amide I stretch splits and two signals are observed at 1620 cm^{-1} (unlabeled amide groups) and at 1603 cm^{-1} (labeled Phe 1 amide). When two 1-¹³C amino acids are incorporated at Phe 1 and Phe 7, the labeled peak shifts an additional 10 cm^{-1} to 1593 cm^{-1} since Phe 1 and Phe 7 are in close spatial proximity (coupled) cross-strand in the resulting β -sheet fibrils.

Based on these results, we conducted an IE-IR analysis of fibrils formed from mixed L- and D-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ peptides in order to assess whether these peptides were coassembled into fibrils reminiscent of Pauling's predicted rippled β -sheets. L-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ that was doubly 1-¹³C-labeled at Phe 1/Phe 7 was assembled with D-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ (0.5 mM of each peptide). If these peptides self-sort into distinct enantiomeric fibrils, amide IR signals at 1620 and 1593 cm^{-1} should be observed since the coupled cross-strand relationship between the Phe 1/Phe 7 ¹³C-labels will be preserved in the all-L fibrils. Conversely, if L-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ and D-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ coassemble with high fidelity into rippled β -sheets with alternating L- and D-peptides the labeled stretch should shift to 1603 cm^{-1} since the coupled relationship between the Phe 1/Phe 7 ¹³C-labels of L-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ will be perturbed by cross-strand pairing with the unlabeled D-peptide. We found that equimolar mixtures of L-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ and D-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ had amide I stretches at 1620 cm^{-1} (unlabeled) and 1603 cm^{-1} (labeled) (Figure 3), consistent with an uncoupled cross-strand orientation of the Phe 1/Phe 7 labels on the L-peptide, and thus with a coassembled fibril consisting of alternating L-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ and D-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ in the extended β -sheets.

We next conducted fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) experiments in order to further confirm coassembly of L-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ and D-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ into hybrid, rippled β -sheet fibrils. We prepared L-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ that was labeled with the EDANS fluorophore (Figure 4A) at the Glu 4 side chain (L-Ac-FKFE(EDANS)-FKFE-NH₂) and D-Ac-FKFK(Dabcyl)-FKFE-NH₂ that was labeled with Dabcyl at the Lys 4 side chain (the Glu 4 to Lys mutation was made to facilitate attachment of Dabcyl at the Lys ϵ -amine via an amide bond). EDANS/Dabcyl are a fluorophore/quencher pair with a Forster radius of 3.3 nm .¹⁹ The described labeling pattern places EDANS and Dabcyl in cross-strand proximity on the exposed hydrophilic face of the proposed coassembled fibrils (Figure 4B). We confirmed that L-Ac-FKFE(EDANS)-FKFE-NH₂ and D-Ac-FKFK(Dabcyl)-FKFE-NH₂ independently self-assembled into β -sheet fibrils in isolation as evidenced by characteristic IR amide I signatures (Figure 4C) and that the EDANS fibrils were highly fluorescent (Figure S2). Mixing preassembled L-Ac-FKFE(EDANS)-FKFE-NH₂ and D-Ac-FKFK(Dabcyl)-FKFE-NH₂ fibrils elicited minor quenching of EDANS fluorescence at

higher concentrations due to incidental contact between the surface-exposed fluorophore/quencher molecules. Strikingly, fibrils derived from equimolar mixtures (0.5 mM of each peptide) of L-Ac-FKFE(EDANS)-FKFE-NH₂ and D-Ac-FKFK(Dabcyl)-FKFE-NH₂ were completely non-fluorescent (Figure 4D), confirming coassembly of these enantiomeric peptides into hybrid β -sheet fibrils with high fidelity.

We subsequently sought to understand the preference for coassembly of these enantiomeric amphipathic peptides. It is significant that amyloid peptides in which self-sorting of enantiomers has been observed are not amphipathic peptides with alternating hydrophobic/hydrophilic patterning.¹⁰ Fibrils derived from amphipathic (XZXX)_n sequences form putative bilayer fibrils in which the nonpolar X residues define a hydrophobic core that leaves the hydrophilic side chain groups exposed to solvent (Figure 1); this bilayer structure is unique relative to amyloid formed from other self-assembling peptide classes in which lamination of β -sheets in fibrils is not limited to bilayer structures. In order to adopt the putative bilayer, neighboring strands in Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ fibrils must orient the hydrophobic amino acid side chain groups on the same face; this structural constraint requires strands to be one residue out of register, which results in one dangling amino acid wherein hydrogen bond donors and acceptors and one side chain group are left unsatisfied/unpaired. Molecular modeling of Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ fibrils indicates that the strand alignment with the *N*-terminal Phe residue out of register (Figure S3A) is more favorable than that with the *C*-terminal Glu out of register.^{14,15} Based on molecular models (Figure S3B), it is apparent that L-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂/D-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ cofibrils can adopt a packing orientation in which the neighboring peptides are in perfect cross-strand alignment with all side chain groups and hydrogen bond donors/acceptors in a paired orientation. The larger width of the all-L or all-D fibrils (~double the width of L/D-cofibrils) is consistent with β -sheets that are two peptides wide (Figure S3), which may be promoted by the dangling amino acids at the edges of the constituent β -sheets that possibly function as “sticky edge” groups. The smaller width of the L/D-cofibrils is consistent with β -sheets that are one peptide wide; the lack of “sticky edge” functionality in a perfectly aligned L/D-cofibril may account for these morphological differences between L/D-cofibrils and all-L or D-fibrils. We hypothesized that the perfectly aligned orientation of L/D-cofibrils should provide an enthalpic advantage that explains the preference for formation of coassembled vs. self-sorted fibrils in equimolar L-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂/D-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ mixtures

We conducted isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) experiments to explore a possible enthalpic advantage for coassembly vs. self-sorting in L-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂/D-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ mixtures. In order to conduct these experiments, we designed amphipathic peptides (L-Ac-(FEFE)₂-NH₂ and D-Ac-(FKFK)₂-NH₂) that fail to undergo self-assembly in isolation due to charge repulsion at neutral pH/low ionic strength. IR spectra for these peptides in isolation show broad amide I stretches at 1646 cm⁻¹, consistent with random structures; no evidence of self-assembled fibrils was observed by extensive TEM analysis. However, when L-Ac-(FEFE)₂-NH₂ and D-Ac-(FKFK)₂-NH₂ are mixed (0.5 mM of each peptide, adjusted to pH 7 with NaOD), coassembly into antiparallel rippled β -sheet fibrils occurs as evidenced by an IR amide I stretch at 1616 cm⁻¹ with a minor stretch at 1681 cm⁻¹ (Figure S5) and by the appearance of abundant fibrils in TEM images (Figure S6).

The design of enantiomeric peptides that do not self-assemble in isolation, but readily coassemble, enables ITC analysis of enthalpy of coassembly. ITC was conducted to compare the enthalpy of coassembly for L-Ac-(FEFE)₂-NH₂ and D-Ac-(FKFK)₂-NH₂ compared to coassembly of L-Ac-(FEFE)₂-NH₂ and L-Ac-(FKFK)₂-NH₂. The cationic peptides were titrated into the anionic peptides and the enthalpy for assembly was measured (measurements were corrected for dilution energies; see Supporting Information for experimental details). The titration data (Figure 5A,C) was fit using a one-site binding model (Figure 5B,D) and enthalpy values were derived. While entropy values are also commonly derived from ITC data, the changing nature of the fibrils (changes in length, diffusion, etc.) as these titration experiments proceed complicates interpretation of entropy values; we therefore only report enthalpy values here. It was found that the enthalpy of coassembly for the L-Ac-(FEFE)₂-NH₂/D-Ac-(FKFK)₂-NH₂ pair was -31.6 ± 0.5 kcal mol⁻¹ and the enthalpy of assembly for the L-Ac-(FEFE)₂-NH₂/L-Ac-(FKFK)₂-NH₂ pair was -40.9 ± 0.6 kcal mol⁻¹. This indicates a clear enthalpic advantage for coassembly of enantiomeric amphipathic peptides (9.3 kcal mol⁻¹) relative to self-assembly of single stereoisomers of amphipathic peptides, as would be expected from the more perfect alignment of peptides within the rippled β -sheets of these cofibrils (as depicted in Figure S3). It should also be noted that the inflection point for these titrations is not precisely at molar ratios of 1:1 but varies between 1:1 and 1.5:1. This variance is most likely due to minor changes in peptide concentration during degassing procedures, but may also be due to imprecision in peptide packing during coassembly.

In conclusion, we have reported herein the high fidelity coassembly of enantiomeric peptides into rippled β -sheet L/D-cofibrils. This work complements recent work by Schneider, Pochan and coworkers in which they observed unique emergent hydrogelation properties of mixtures of self-assembling L/D-amphipathic β -hairpin peptides.²⁰ Schneider and Pochan's work indicates that these mixtures form hybrid fibrils that contain both L/D-peptides, and that the resulting hydrogels exhibit significant enhancements in viscoelasticity relative to all-L or all-D fibrils. While they could not fully explain these interesting observations, the work reported herein suggests that enthalpically favorable coassembly of L/D-peptide mixtures into hybrid rippled β -sheet fibrils may be a general feature of amphipathic peptides with alternating hydrophobic/hydrophilic patterning. This fundamentally new class of material increases the complexity that can be accessed in the design of amyloid-inspired materials.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by a grant from the Creative and Novel Ideas in HIV Research program (CNIHR, jointly funded by the National Institutes of Health and the International AIDS Society). The mass spectroscopy facility at the University of Rochester, Department of Chemistry was partially supported by grants from the National Science Foundation (CHE-0840410 and CHE-0946653). We acknowledge the assistance of Karen Bentley (University of Rochester Medical Center Electron Microscope Research Core) for assistance with transmission electron microscopy experiments and Dr. Benjamin Miller for assistance with ITC experiments.

REFERENCES

- (1). Cherny I; Gazit E *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2008, 47, 4062–4069.
- (2). Hamley IW *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2007, 46, 8128–8147.
- (3). Rajagopal K; Schneider JP *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* 2004, 14, 480–486. [PubMed: 15313243]
- (4). Collier JH; Rudra JS; Gasiorowski JZ; Jung J-WP *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2010, 39, 3413–3424. [PubMed: 20603663]
- (5). Hauser CAE; Zhang S *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2010, 39, 2780–2790. [PubMed: 20520907]
- (6). Safont-Sempere MM; Fernandez G; Würthner F *Chem. Rev.* 2011, 111, 5784–5814. [PubMed: 21846150]
- (7). Helmich F; Smulders MMJ; Lee CC; Schenning APJ; Meijer EW J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011, 133, 12238–12246. [PubMed: 21714481]
- (8). Behanna HA; Donners JJM; Gordon AC; Stupp SJ *Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005, 127, 1193–1200.
- (9). Ramachandran S; Taraban MB; Trehella J; Gryczynski I; Gryczynski Z; Yu YB *Biomacromolecules* 2010, 11, 1502–1506. [PubMed: 20481580]
- (10). Pauling L; Corey RB *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 1953, 39, 253–256. [PubMed: 16589257]
- (11). Weissbuch I; Illos RA; Bolbach G; Lahav M *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2009, 42, 1128–1140. [PubMed: 19480407]
- (12). Wadai H; Yamaguchi K; Takahashi S; Kanno T; Kawai T; Naiki H; Goto Y *Biochemistry* 2005, 44, 157–164. [PubMed: 15628856]
- (13). Koga T; Matsuoka M; Higashi NJ *Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005, 127, 17596–17597.
- (14). Marini DM; Hwang W; Lauffenburger DA; Zhang S; Kamm RD *Nano Lett.* 2002, 2, 295–299.
- (15). Hwang W; Marini DM; Kamm RD; Zhang SJ *Chem. Phys.* 2003, 118, 389–397.
- (16). Bowerman CJ; Ryan DM; Nissan DA; Nilsson BL *Mol. Biosyst.* 2009, 5, 1058–1069. [PubMed: 19668872]
- (17). Bowerman CJ; Liyanage W; Federation AJ; Nilsson BL *Biomacromolecules* 2011, 12, 2735–2745. [PubMed: 21568346]
- (18). Decatur SM *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2006, 39, 169–175. [PubMed: 16548505]
- (19). Gothard CM; Rao NA; Nowick JS J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007, 129, 7272–7273. [PubMed: 17503829]
- (20). Nagy KJ; Giano MC; Jin A; Pochan DJ; Schneider JP J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011, 133, 14975–14977. [PubMed: 21863803]

Figure 1.

Schematic depiction of self-assembly of enantiomeric, amphipathic Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ peptides (blue is L-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂, green is D-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂) into coassembled rippled β -sheet fibrils (top) or self-sorted enantiomeric fibrils (bottom).

Figure 2.

A. TEM image of L-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ fibrils. B. TEM image of D-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ fibrils. C. TEM image of mixed L- Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂/D-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ fibrils. D. CD spectra of L-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ fibrils (blue), D-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ fibrils (orange), and L-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂/D-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ fibrils (black).

Figure 3.

IR spectra of unlabeled L- and D-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ fibrils and various 1-¹³C isotope edited IR spectra of the L-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ and mixed L- and D-Ac-(FKFE)₂-NH₂ fibrils.

Figure 4.

A. Chemical structures of the EDANS and Dabcyl molecules. B. Proposed architecture of L-Ac-FKFE(EDANS)-FKFE-NH₂ (blue)/ D-Ac-FKFK(Dabcyl)-FKFE-NH₂ (green) rippled β -sheet fibrils indicating the relative positions of EDANS (yellow) and Dabcyl (red) in the fibril assemblies. C. IR spectra of L-Ac-FKFE(EDANS)-FKFE-NH₂ fibrils (blue), D-Ac-FKFK(Dabcyl)-FKFE-NH₂ fibrils (orange), and L-Ac-FKFE(EDANS)FKFE-NH₂/ D-Ac-FKFK(Dabcyl)-FKFE-NH₂ fibrils (black). D. Fluorescence spectra of L-Ac-FKFE(EDANS)FKFE-NH₂ fibrils and D-Ac-FKFK(Dabcyl)-FKFE-NH₂ fibrils that have been assembled in isolation and then mixed (black line) and L-Ac-FKFE(EDANS)FKFE-NH₂/ D-Ac-FKFK(Dabcyl)-FKFE-NH₂ coassembled fibrils (green line).

Figure 5.

A,B. Representative raw (A) and fit (B) ITC data for injection of L-Ac-(FKFK)₂-NH₂ into L-Ac-(FEFE)₂-NH₂. C,D. Representative raw (C) and fit (D) ITC data for injection of D-Ac-(FKFK)₂-NH₂ into L-Ac-(FEFE)₂-NH₂.