Published in final edited form as:

AIDS Care. 2020 February ; 32(2): 170–174. doi:10.1080/09540121.2019.1634789.

Female Adherence Self-Efficacy before and after Couple HIV Testing and Counseling within Malawi's Option B+ Program

Austin Wesevich

University of North Carolina Project, Lilongwe, Malawi; Tidziwe Centre, Private Bag A-104, Lilongwe, Malawi;

Mina C. Hosseinipour

University of North Carolina Project, Lilongwe, Malawi; Department of Medicine, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill; Tidziwe Centre, Private Bag A-104, Lilongwe, Malawi;

Carol E. Golin

Departments of Medicine and of Health Behavior, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill; 135 Dauer Drive, Chapel Hill, NC 27559;

Nuala McGrath

Departments of Primary Care and Population Sciences and of Social Statistics and Demography, University of Southampton; University Road, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, United Kingdom;

Mercy Tsidya

University of North Carolina Project, Lilongwe, Malawi; Tidziwe Centre, Private Bag A-104, Lilongwe, Malawi;

Limbikani Chimndozi

University of North Carolina Project, Lilongwe, Malawi; Tidziwe Centre, Private Bag A-104, Lilongwe, Malawi;

Nivedita Bhushan

University of North Carolina Project, Lilongwe, Malawi; Department of Health Behavior, School of Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill; 135 Dauer Drive, Chapel Hill, NC 27599;

Irving Hoffman

University of North Carolina Project, Lilongwe, Malawi; Department of Medicine, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill; Tidziwe Centre, Private Bag A-104, Lilongwe, Malawi;

William C. Miller

Division of Epidemiology, The Ohio State University; 1841 Neil Ave., Columbus, OH 43220;

Nora E. Rosenberg

University of North Carolina Project, Lilongwe, Malawi; Department of Health Behavior, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill; Tidziwe Centre, Private Bag A-104, Lilongwe, Malawi;

Abstract

Corresponding author: Austin Wesevich, University of North Carolina Project, Austin.Wesevich@duke.edu.

Adherence self-efficacy, belief in one's ability to adhere to daily medication, is strongly associated with antiretroviral therapy (ART) adherence and preventing mother-to-child HIV transmission. Couple-based interventions could enhance self-efficacy and adherence. We assessed the relationship between couple HIV testing and counseling (cHTC) and adherence self-efficacy using a 100-point culturally-adapted adherence self-efficacy scale (ASES). Secondly, we explored the relationship between ASES and ART adherence. Ninety HIV-positive pregnant women at an antenatal clinic in Lilongwe, Malawi were enrolled in an observational cohort study. They were assessed with ASES immediately before and one month after receiving cHTC. Median ASES scores were 100 (IQR 95, 100) before and 100 (IQR 99, 100) after cHTC; there was a significant median difference ($p=0.02$) for participants before and after cHTC. This change in ASES scores was associated with the odds of self-reported ART adherence in the full population (OR 1.1, $p=0.01$), and there was a trend in the same direction for participants with imperfect baseline ASES scores (OR 1.1, $p=0.2$). In our population, adherence self-efficacy and ART adherence were both quite high, and those who had room to improve in self-efficacy may have benefited from cHTC, which in turn could impact ART adherence and ultimately mother-to-child transmission.

Keywords

adherence; self-efficacy; HIV; couples; Malawi

Introduction

In Malawi's Option B+ program, which provides lifelong antiretroviral therapy (ART) for HIV-positive pregnant and breastfeeding women (Schouten et al. 2011), half of early mother-to-child transmission events have occurred among mothers with known HIV status who are on ART (Tippett Barr et al. 2018). This observation suggests poor ART adherence and high viremia. ART adherence self-efficacy, belief in one's ART adherence ability, has consistently been linked to ART adherence (Been et al. 2016; Côté et al. 2016; Mao et al. 2018; McCoy et al. 2016; Voisin et al. 2017) and thus is an important target for intervention.

Couple HIV testing and counseling (cHTC) could improve ART adherence self-efficacy. Through cHTC, trained health workers provide HIV infection status results to both couple members together and, when applicable, support ART initiation, discuss adherence barriers and strategies, and promote partner support. Although studies examine the positive effects of couple-based interventions on self-efficacy in other health conditions (Chen, Liu, and You 2017; Arden-Close and McGrath 2017), there is no evidence assessing the impact of couple-based interventions on ART adherence self-efficacy (Johnson et al. 2012; Robbins et al. 2014; Ridgeway et al. 2018).

We aim to assess ART adherence self-efficacy changes after cHTC and the relationship between adherence self-efficacy and self-reported ART adherence.

Methods

Setting

The study was conducted from 2015–2016 at Bwaila District Hospital, a maternity hospital in Lilongwe, Malawi that provides antenatal care to 18,000 pregnant women annually and has offered Option B+ since 2011.

Study Design and Participants

This analysis was part of an observational cohort study of pregnant women and male partners. This analysis includes the 90 enrolled HIV-positive pregnant women but excludes HIV-negative women and all male partners. Couples presented for a baseline visit where cHTC was provided and a follow-up visit one month later. Further information can be found in earlier reports from the parent study (Rosenberg et al. 2017; Rosenberg et al. 2018).

Measures

We explored the change in the ART adherence self-efficacy score from baseline to one-month post-cHTC and the relationship between change in self-efficacy score and self-reported ART adherence one-month post-cHTC.

Self-efficacy was assessed at both visits using an adapted version of the HIV Treatment Adherence Self-Efficacy Scale (ASES) (Johnson et al., 2007). The original 12-item scale was validated in predominantly white, homosexual American men. HIV-positive individuals were asked their confidence in carrying out treatment adherence behaviors under a variety of circumstances, with responses ranging from feeling unable to do it at all to feeling certain that one can do it. It has subsequently been used in a variety of settings with different ART regimens, including in Malawi (Cornelius et al. 2017; Sun et al. 2017; Been et al. 2016; McCoy et al. 2016; Adefolalu et al. 2014; Umar et al. 2019).

Formal adaptation procedures were followed (Terwee et al. 2007): translation, back-translation, and piloting (Sousa and Rojjanasrirat 2011). The pilot revealed two non-applicable items related to changed eating habits and T-cell counts. Thus, an abbreviated 10-item Chichewa version was used (Table 2). Item scores (0–10) were summed for a total score (0–100). For some analyses, overall scores were dichotomized (100 versus <100).

At follow-up, ART adherence was calculated based on self-reported 7-day recall. All participants were on a single daily tablet (tenofovir/lamivudine/efavirenz).

Several baseline covariates were collected: age, highest education level, flooring, number of days hungry over the past month, occupation, prior HIV testing and results, marital status, pregnancy duration, number of previous pregnancies, number of children, and partner HIV status.

Statistical Analysis

Covariates were compared between those with a baseline ASES scores of 100 and <100 using Fisher exact and Mann-Whitney U tests because of left-skewed ASES responses. Median overall ASES score difference and item-specific ASES score differences were

compared between those with baseline ASES of 100 and <100 using Wilcoxon signed-rank tests.

Self-reported adherence was dichotomized into 7/7 days versus <6/7 days. Unadjusted and adjusted logistic regression models assessed whether changes in overall ASES scores predicted complete versus incomplete ART adherence. Adjustments were based on covariates significantly associated with the baseline ASES score (Table 1).

Analyses were performed using Stata 14.1 (College Station, Texas, USA).

Ethics

The study received approval from both the National Health Science Research Committee in Malawi and the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Institutional Review Board.

Results

The 90 HIV-positive women had a median age of 25 years (IQR 22,30) and almost all were married (99%), non-income-earning (84%), and newly diagnosed with HIV (93%) (Table 1). Only 24% of male partners were HIV-negative. The median time from HIV diagnosis to the baseline visit was 8 days (IQR 4,22).

ASES scores were collected at both visits for 76 (84%) participants. Ten (11%) were missing at baseline due to delayed implementation of the ASES scale, and four (4%) did not return for follow-up. These four had significantly lower baseline ASES scores than the 76 who returned ($p=0.005$). The median baseline ASES score was 100 (IQR 95,100), with 14% below 90, 22% from 90–99, and 63% at 100.

Comparing those who reported baseline ASES scores of 100 to <100, a statistical difference was only observed for male partner HIV status ($p=0.03$): women with HIV-negative partners were more likely to report perfect ASES scores (Table 1).

Overall median follow-up ASES score was 100 (IQR 99,100). Despite perfect median scores at both visits, the median difference was significant (median 0, IQR 0,4.5, $p=0.02$). Of the 48 participants (63%) with perfect baseline scores, only ten participants' ASES scores declined at follow-up (median -4.5, IQR -8,-3). Two items significantly decreased. Of the 28 participants (37%) with imperfect baseline ASES scores, two participants' ASES scores declined (median -18, IQR -22,-14), and 26 increased (median 8.5, IQR 4,17). Nine items significantly improved (Table 2).

ART adherence at follow-up ranged from 0/7 days to 7/7 days (median 7, IQR 7,7) but was strongly left-skewed: 84% 7/7 days, 8% 6/7 days, and 8% <6/7 days. In a multivariable model adjusted for male partner HIV status, change in overall ASES score was significantly associated with perfect ART adherence (OR 1.1, 95% CI 1.0, 1.3, $p=0.01$). When stratified by baseline ASES score (100 versus <100), change in overall ASES score trended positively with perfect ART adherence for participants with imperfect baseline ASES scores (OR 1.1, $p=0.2$).

Discussion

In a cohort of HIV-positive pregnant women, ASES scores statistically improved from immediately before cHTC to one month later. While many reported perfect baseline ASES scores, for those who did not, nine of the ten ASES items significantly increased after cHTC, reflecting a variety of ways self-efficacy could improve. Furthermore, change in ASES scores were positively associated with ART adherence.

The World Health Organization strongly endorses cHTC, which has been associated with changes in social support and consistent condom use (Rosenberg et al. 2017; Wall et al. 2017; Bhushan et al. 2018). This is the first study to show statistical improvement in self-efficacy scores following cHTC. Female self-efficacy could improve through cHTC via partner verbal persuasion, physiologic feedback of emotional support, and vicarious encouragement of seeing partners take ART successfully (Bandura 1977).

Our work builds on prior research in sub-Saharan Africa linking self-efficacy to ART adherence and viral suppression for pregnant women and adolescents (Brittain et al. 2018; Umar et al. 2019; Wagner et al. 2017) and in China demonstrating the mediating role of adherence self-efficacy in subsequent ART adherence ((Zhang et al. 2016; Chen et al. 2018). Our findings suggest that partner dynamics could be an additional avenue by which to leverage the relationship between self-efficacy and ART adherence.

The rigorous ASES adaptation process was based on systematic methodology, but the resulting data were highly skewed. We observed limited variability in responses with 63% of participants reporting perfect scores at baseline, much higher than the average score when administered in South Africa (Adefolalu et al. 2014). This could be due to collecting baseline information roughly eight days after starting ART instead of when ART-naïve. Additionally, nearly all women reported perfect seven-day adherence at follow-up, which might be influenced by recall and social desirability biases (Berg and Arnsten 2006) and loss-to-follow-up of the likely least adherent participants given significantly lower baseline ASES scores. Scales are not routinely used in Malawi, and participants seemed to utilize the scale in a binary fashion (10 versus <10). The adapted, translated ASES scale should be tested for validity within this population, which might help delineate further adaptations needed.

Limitations included a small sample size, skewed responses to ASES and adherence measures, and lack of biologic data such as viral load. Additionally, because the analysis lacks a control group who did not receive cHTC, we are not able to determine whether the observed trends are the result of cHTC or simply from more elapsed time since diagnosis.

Nearly perfect ART adherence is essential for achieving viral suppression (Suleiman and Momo 2016; Kapiamba, Masango, and Mphuthi 2016). Our analyses suggest that cHTC may be a strategy for improving self-efficacy, which could play a role in improving ART adherence and viral suppression.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge the contributions of all the participants who shared their opinions. We also would like to thank the Lilongwe District Health Office and the staff of Bwaila Hospital for their support of the study, as well as Lauren Graybill for assisting with data management.

Sources of Support:

This work was supported by UNC Hopkins Morehouse Tulane Fogarty Global Health Fellows Program under Grant R25 TW009340; National Institute of Mental Health under Grant R00 MH104154; the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (P30 AI50410 and R01 AI131060-01); Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development under Grant (R01HD080485); the National Institute for Health Research using Official Development Assistance (ODA) funding (NIHR Global Health Research Professorship, Professor Nuala McGrath, RP-2017-08-ST2-008), and Doris Duke Charitable Foundation International Clinical Research Fellows Program at University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the National Health Service, the National Institute for Health Research or the Department of Health and Social Care in the UK.

References

- Adefolalu Adegoke, Nkosi Zerish, Olorunju Steve, and Masemola Palesa 2014 Self-Efficacy, Medication Beliefs and Adherence to Antiretroviral Therapy by Patients Attending a Health Facility in Pretoria. *South African Family Practice* 56(5): 281–285.
- Arden-Close Emily, and McGrath Nuala 2017 Health Behaviour Change Interventions for Couples: A Systematic Review. *British Journal of Health Psychology* 22(2): 215–237. [PubMed: 28150410]
- Bandura Albert 1977 Self-Efficacy: Toward a Unifying Theory of Behavioral Change. *Psychological Review* 84(2): 191–215. [PubMed: 847061]
- Been Sabrina K., van de Vijver David A. M. C., Nieuwkerk Pythia T., et al. 2016 Risk Factors for Non-Adherence to CART in Immigrants with HIV Living in the Netherlands: Results from the ROTterdam ADherence (ROAD) Project. *PLoS ONE* 11(10). <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5051866/>, accessed March 9, 2017.
- Berg Karina M., and Arnsten Julia H. 2006 Practical and Conceptual Challenges in Measuring Antiretroviral Adherence. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes* (1999) 43(Suppl 1): S79–S87.
- Bhushan Nivedita L., Golin Carol E., Nuala McGrath, et al. 2018 The Impact of HIV Couple Testing and Counseling on Social Support among Pregnant Women and Their Partners in Lilongwe, Malawi: An Observational Study. *AIDS Care*: 1–8.
- Brittain Kirsty, Remien Robert H., Mellins Claude A., et al. 2018 Determinants of Suboptimal Adherence and Elevated HIV Viral Load in Pregnant Women Already on Antiretroviral Therapy When Entering Antenatal Care in Cape Town, South Africa. *AIDS Care* 30(12): 1517–1523. [PubMed: 30047287]
- Chen Hong-Lin, Liu Kun, and You Qing-Sheng 2017 Effects of Couple Based Coping Intervention on Self-Efficacy and Quality of Life in Patients with Resected Lung Cancer. *Patient Education and Counseling* 100(12): 2297–2302. [PubMed: 28693921]
- Chen Wei-Ti, Shiu Chengshi, Yang Joyce P., et al. 2018 A Structural Equation Model of Patient-Healthcare Provider Relationships and HIV-Infected Patient Outcomes in Chinese Populations. *AIDS Care* 30(3): 383–390. [PubMed: 28934872]
- Cornelius Talea, Jones Maranda, Merly Cynthia, et al. 2017 Impact of Food, Housing, and Transportation Insecurity on ART Adherence: A Hierarchical Resources Approach. *AIDS Care* 29(4): 449–457. [PubMed: 27846730]
- Côté José, Delmas Philippe, de Menezes Succi Regina Céilia, et al. 2016 Predictors and Evolution of Antiretroviral Therapy Adherence Among Perinatally HIV-Infected Adolescents in Brazil. *The Journal of Adolescent Health: Official Publication of the Society for Adolescent Medicine* 59(3): 305–310. [PubMed: 27320032]
- Johnson Mallory O., Dilworth Samantha E., Taylor Jonelle M., et al. 2012 Primary Relationships, HIV Treatment Adherence, and Virologic Control. *AIDS and Behavior* 16(6): 1511–1521. [PubMed: 21811842]

- Kapiamba Germain, Masango Thembekele, and Mphuthi Ditaba 2016 Antiretroviral Adherence and Virological Outcomes in HIV-Positive Patients in Ugu District, KwaZulu-Natal Province. *African Journal of AIDS Research* 15(3): 195–201. [PubMed: 27681144]
- Mao Limin, John de Wit Philippe Adam, et al. 2018 Beliefs in Antiretroviral Treatment and Self-Efficacy in HIV Management Are Associated with Distinctive HIV Treatment Trajectories. *AIDS and Behavior* 22(3): 887–895. [PubMed: 27995435]
- McCoy Katryna, Drenna Waldrop-Valverde Benjamin H. Balderson, Mahoney Christine, and Catz Sheryl 2016 Correlates of Antiretroviral Therapy Adherence among HIV-Infected Older Adults. *Journal of the International Association of Providers of AIDS Care* 15(3): 248–255. [PubMed: 27071744]
- Ridgeway Kathleen, Dulli Lisa S., Murray Kate R., et al. 2018 Interventions to Improve Antiretroviral Therapy Adherence among Adolescents in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review of the Literature. *PLoS ONE* 13(1). <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5749726/>, accessed December 9, 2018.
- Robbins Reuben N., Spector Anya Y., Mellins Claude A., and Remien Robert H. 2014 Optimizing ART Adherence: Update for HIV Treatment and Prevention. *Current HIV/AIDS Reports* 11(4): 423–433. [PubMed: 25304006]
- Rosenberg Nora E., Graybill Lauren A., Wesevich Austin, et al. 2017 The Impact of Couple HIV Testing and Counseling on Consistent Condom Use Among Pregnant Women and Their Male Partners: An Observational Study. *JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes* 75(4): 417–425. [PubMed: 28426440]
- 2018 Individual Partner, and Couple Predictors of HIV Infection among Pregnant Women in Malawi: A Case–Control Study. *AIDS and Behavior* 22(6): 1775–1786. [PubMed: 29086117]
- Schouten Erik J., Jahn Andreas, Midiani Dalitso, et al. 2011 Prevention of Mother-to-Child Transmission of HIV and the Health-Related Millennium Development Goals: Time for a Public Health Approach. *Lancet (London, England)* 378(9787): 282–284.
- Sousa Valmi D., and Rojjanasrirat Wilaiporn 2011 Translation, Adaptation and Validation of Instruments or Scales for Use in Cross-Cultural Health Care Research: A Clear and User-Friendly Guideline. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice* 17(2): 268–274. [PubMed: 20874835]
- Suleiman Ismail A., and Momo Andrew 2016 Adherence to Antiretroviral Therapy and Its Determinants among Persons Living with HIV/AIDS in Bayelsa State, Nigeria. *Pharmacy Practice* 14(1). <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4800010/>, accessed March 8, 2017.
- Sun Liang, Yang Shu-Min, Wu Hui, et al. 2017 Reliability and Validity of the Chinese Version of the HIV Treatment Adherence Self-Efficacy Scale in Mainland China. *International Journal of STD & AIDS* 28(8): 829–837.
- Terwee Caroline B., Bot Sandra D. M., de Boer Michael R., et al. 2007 Quality Criteria Were Proposed for Measurement Properties of Health Status Questionnaires. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 60(1): 34–42. [PubMed: 17161752]
- Barr Tippet, Beth A, Monique van Lettow, van Oosterhout Joep J, et al. 2018 National Estimates and Risk Factors Associated with Early Mother-to-Child Transmission of HIV after Implementation of Option B+: A Cross-Sectional Analysis. *The Lancet HIV* 5(12): e688–e695. [PubMed: 30467022]
- Umar Eric, Levy Judith A., Bailey Robert C., et al. 2019 Virological Non-Suppression and Its Correlates Among Adolescents and Young People Living with HIV in Southern Malawi. *AIDS and Behavior* 23(2): 513–522. [PubMed: 30132172]
- Voisin Dexter R., Quinn Katherine, Ha Kim Dong, and Schneider John 2017 A Longitudinal Analysis of Antiretroviral Adherence Among Young Black Men Who Have Sex With Men. *The Journal of Adolescent Health: Official Publication of the Society for Adolescent Medicine* 60(4): 411–416. [PubMed: 28043754]
- Wagner Glenn J., Bonnie Ghosh-Dastidar Eric Robinson, et al. 2017 Effects of Depression Alleviation on ART Adherence and HIV Clinic Attendance in Uganda, and the Mediating Roles of Self-Efficacy and Motivation. *AIDS and Behavior* 21(6): 1655–1664. [PubMed: 27438460]
- Wall Kristin M., Kilembe William, Vwalika Bellington, et al. 2017 Sustained Effect of Couples' HIV Counselling and Testing on Risk Reduction among Zambian HIV Serodiscordant Couples. *Sex Transm Infect* 93(4): 259–266. [PubMed: 28082662]

Zhang Liying, Li Xiaoming, Lin Zhenping, et al. 2016 Side Effects, Adherence Self-Efficacy, and Adherence to Antiretroviral Treatment (ART): A Mediation Analysis in a Chinese Sample. *AIDS Care* 28(7): 919–926. [PubMed: 27010870]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 1.

Comparison of baseline covariates and baseline ASES score

	ASES = 100 (n = 48)	ASES < 100 (n = 28)	p-value
	N (%)	N (%)	<i>Fisher exact</i>
<i>Age Category</i>			
18–19	2 (4%)	2 (7%)	
20–24	22 (46%)	10 (36%)	
25–29	12 (25%)	8 (29%)	
30–34	11 (23%)	6 (21%)	
35–39	1 (2%)	2 (7%)	0.7
<i>Education Level</i>			
No school	3 (6%)	4 (14%)	
Some primary	16 (33%)	9 (32%)	
Completed primary	8 (17%)	4 (14%)	
Some secondary	14 (29%)	7 (25%)	
Completed secondary	7 (15%)	4 (14%)	0.9
<i>Flooring</i>			
Sand/Dirt/Dung	8 (17%)	5 (18%)	
Cement/Tiles	36 (75%)	18 (64%)	
Other	4 (8%)	5 (18%)	0.4
<i>Occupation</i>			
Housewife/Unemployed	43 (90%)	25 (89%)	
Income-Earning	5 (10%)	3 (11%)	1.0
<i>Income Support from husband? (1 Mo)</i>			
None	4 (8%)	3 (11%)	
>\$0	44 (92%)	25 (89%)	0.7
<i>Prior HIV Testing</i>			
Never tested	12 (25%)	8 (29%)	
Previously negative	34 (71%)	17 (61%)	
Previously positive	2 (4%)	3 (11%)	0.4
<i>Male Partner's HIV status</i>			
Male HIV-negative	16 (34%)	3 (11%)	
Male HIV-positive	31 (66%)	25 (89%)	0.03
	Median (IQR)	Median (IQR)	Mann-Whitney U
Days Elapsed from Diagnosis to Baseline Visit	9 (2,29)	8.5 (1,26)	0.8
Number of Marriages	1 (1,2)	2 (1,2)	0.3
Months Pregnant	5 (4,7)	5 (4,7)	0.7
Previous Pregnancies	1.5 (0,4)	3 (0,3)	0.5
Number of Children	1 (0,3)	1.5 (0,3)	0.6
Days Hungry (Past Month)	0 (0,2)	0 (0,3)	0.6

Table 2. Difference in median ASES scores before and after cHTC stratified by baseline ASES score

Variable	Median Difference (IQR) [Range] if ASES < 100 at baseline	p-value	Median Difference (IQR) [Range] if ASES ≥ 100 at baseline	p-value
a) Stick to your treatment plan even when <i>side effects</i> begin to interfere with daily activities.	0 (0, 0) [-1, 0]	0.05	0 (0, 1) [0, 3]	< 0.01
b) Integrate your treatment into your <i>daily routine</i> .	0 (0, 0) [-2, 0]	0.08	0 (0, 0) [0, 2]	0.03
c) Integrate your treatment into your daily routine even if it means taking medication or doing things <i>in front of other people</i> who don't know you are HIV-infected.	0 (0, 0) [-10, 0]	0.08	1 (0, 5) [-7, 10]	< 0.01
d) Stick to your treatment schedule even when your <i>daily routine is disrupted</i> .	0 (0, 0) [-10, 0]	< 0.01	1 (0, 2) [-5, 10]	< 0.01
e) Stick to your treatment schedule when you <i>aren't feeling well</i> .	0 (0, 0) [-2, 0]	0.2	1 (0, 1.5) [-9, 10]	< 0.01
f) Continue with your treatment even if doing so interferes with your <i>daily activities</i> .	0 (0, 0) [0, 0]	-	0 (0, 1) [-2, 10]	< 0.01
g) Continue with your treatment even when you are <i>feeling discouraged</i> about your health.	0 (0, 0) [0, 0]	-	0 (0, 0) [0, 10]	0.2
h) Continue with your treatment even when getting to your <i>clinic appointment is a major hassle</i> .	0 (0, 0) [-10, 0]	0.01	1 (0, 1) [-2, 10]	< 0.01
i) Continue with your treatment even when people close to you tell you that they <i>don't think that it is doing any good</i> .	0 (0, 0) [0, 0]	-	0 (0, 1) [-2, 10]	0.02
j) Get something positive out of your participation in treatment, even if the medication you are taking <i>does not improve your health</i> .	0 (0, 0) [-1, 0]	0.2	1.5 (1, 3.5) [-4, 10]	< 0.01
ASES Sum	0 (0, 0) [-22, 0]	< 0.01	8 (2.5, 17) [-22, 59]	< 0.01