Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2016 September 16; 18(18): 4590–4593. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.6b02237.

Bacteriochlorin Dyads as Solvent Polarity-Dependent Near-infrared Fluorophores and Reactive Oxygen Species Photosensitizers

Nopondo N. Esemoto[†], Zhanqian Yu[†], Linda Wiratan^{†,‡}, Andrius Satraitis[†], Marcin Ptaszek^{*,†}

[†]Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Maryland, Baltimore County, 1000 Hilltop Circle, Baltimore, Maryland, 21250, United States

[‡]Biotechnology Career Academy, Howard County Public School System, Ellicott City, Maryland, 21042, United States

Abstract

Symmetrical, near-infrared absorbing bacteriochlorin dyads exhibit gradual reduction of their fluorescence (intensity and lifetime) and reactive oxygen species (ROS) photosensitization efficiency with increasing solvent dielectric constant ϵ . For the directly-linked dyad significant reduction is observed even in solvents of moderate ϵ (CH_2Cl_2), while for the dyad containing a 1,4-phenylene linker, reduction is more parallel to an increase in solvent ϵ . Bacteriochlorin dyads are promising candidates for development of environmentally responsive fluorophores and ROS sensitizers.

Graphical Abstract

*Corresponding Author: mptaszek@umbc.edu.

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website.

Additional absorption and emission spectra, plots of DPBF decay in various solvents, experimental details, and copies of NMR spectra for new compounds. (PDF)

Photonic agents with photochemical properties responsive to the local microenvironment are of great interest, since they can function, for example, as fluorescent probes that monitor a variety of biological and biochemical processes¹ or photosensitizers of reactive oxygen species (ROS), selectively activated by a specific microenvironment.^{2,3,4} Dielectric properties expressed by the dielectric constant ϵ vary significantly between intracellular organelles^{5,6} and macromolecules.^{6–8} Recent findings also suggest that the local polarity (which is a function of ϵ) in the mitochondria of cancerous cells is lower compared to that of healthy ones.⁹ Therefore, the local ϵ can be a potential target for activation of imaging or therapeutic agents. Although there is a plethora of solvatochromic fluorophores,^{1,9,10} only a few of them have been utilized for determination of intracellular ϵ ,^{5,8} and there are only a handful of ROS photosensitizers which respond in predictable manner to the local dielectric properties.^{2,3,4} However, the majority of ϵ -responsive fluorophores and photosensitizers absorb and emit at $\lambda < 600$ nm, while these with excitation/emission at $\lambda > 650$ nm, suitable for deep-tissue applications, are less common.¹⁰ Moreover, such ϵ -responsive agents reported so far can usually perform either fluorescence or ROS sensitization functions, separately. Bacteriochlorins, strongly near-infrared (near-IR) absorbing tetrapyrrolic macrocycles, exhibit relatively high both fluorescence quantum yield (Φ_f) and quantum efficiency of ROS photosensitization (Φ_{ROS} , ROS include singlet oxygen 1O_2 , and superoxide radical $O_2^{\cdot-}$).^{11,12} The relatively high Φ_{ROS} for bacteriochlorins originates from high yield of intersystem crossing (Φ_{ISC}), leading to the long-living triplet state, capable of transferring either energy or electron to oxygen, to produce 1O_2 or $O_2^{\cdot-}$, respectively.¹² Thus, bacteriochlorins are utilized as near-IR fluorophores, ROS photosensitizers for photodynamic therapy, and both simultaneously.^{12,13} Recently, we found that strongly conjugated bacteriochlorin arrays exhibit a significant reduction in both Φ_f and τ_f in solvents of high ϵ , due to the greatly enhanced internal conversion.^{14,15} We reasoned that similar dependence should be true for Φ_{ROS} , thus allowing bacteriochlorin dyads to function as ϵ -dependent ROS photosensitizers. However, strongly-conjugated bacteriochlorin dyads exhibit a low Φ_{ISC} (i.e. 0.09 – 0.39)¹⁵ and consequently, low Φ_{ROS} even in non-polar solvents [see Supporting Information (SI) for an example]. Therefore, here we describe a new series of weakly-conjugated bacteriochlorin arrays, where bacteriochlorin subunits are connected either directly (**BC1**), or through 1,4-phenylene (**BC2**), or 4,4'-biphenylene (**BC3**) linkers. Our hypothesis is that such constructs should exhibit a relatively high Φ_f and Φ_{ROS} in solvents of low ϵ , and both of them will be reduced with increasing solvent polarity. As benchmarks we include bacteriochlorin monomer **BC4**, and directly-linked chlorin dyad **C1**, analogous to **BC1**, to determine whether observed properties are specific to bacteriochlorin dyads (Figure 1).

Synthesis of **BC1** starts from Miyaura borylation of known 15-bromobacteriochlorin **BC5**,¹⁶ which provides a boronic ester **BC6** in excellent yield (92%). Subsequent Suzuki coupling of **BC6** with **BC5**, provides **BC1** in 62% yield.¹⁷ Suzuki reaction of **BC5** with 1,4-bis(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)benzene or 4,4'-bis(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-1,1'-biphenyl provides **BC2** (88% yield) or **BC3** (49% yield), respectively. Monomer **BC4** was synthesized in similar Suzuki reaction (Scheme S1, SI). Chlorin dyad **C1** was prepared following a published procedure,¹⁸ via PIFA-mediated oxidative coupling of monomer **ZnC2**, and subsequent demetalation of resulted complex

ZnC1 (Scheme 2). All new compounds show ^1H , ^{13}C NMR, and MS data consistent with expected structures. Note, that both **BC1** and **C1** are axially chiral, and accordingly, their ^1H NMR spectra show distinctive resonances of diastereotopic protons.

The absorption spectra of **BC1-4** (Figure 2, Table 1) contain features typical for synthetic bacteriochlorins reported previously,¹¹ i.e. strong Q_y -type bands in the near-IR spectral window (712-738 nm), Q_x -type bands in the visible window (500-510), and B-type bands in UV range (365-370 nm). Note that the Q_y band for **BC1** is split with the second maximum at 706 nm. Emission spectra in toluene consist of narrow 0-0 bands, with small (<7 nm) Stokes' shift versus the Q_y -type band. The maxima of both Q_y -type absorption and emission for dyads are shifted bathochromically, compared to corresponding bands in monomer **BC4**; the most pronounced shift is observed for **BC1** (365 and 436 cm^{-1} for absorption and emission, respectively), and is much lower for **BC2** (98 cm^{-1} and 116 cm^{-1}) and **BC3** (39 cm^{-1} for both absorption and emission). The positions of absorption and emission maxima vary only slightly in solvents of different polarities (Table S1, SI). The linker-dependent, bathochromic shifts of both the Q_y absorption and emission bands is indicative of increasing electronic communications between bacteriochlorin subunits in dyads with decreasing distance between them.

Fluorescence properties of **BC1-4** and **C1** (Table 1, Figures S1–S3, SI) were determined in an array of aprotic and protic solvents of broad ϵ range: toluene ($\epsilon = 2.38$), THF ($\epsilon = 7.58$), dichloromethane (CH_2Cl_2 , $\epsilon = 8.93$), PhCN ($\epsilon = 26.0$), MeOH ($\epsilon = 32.7$), and DMF ($\epsilon = 36.7$). In toluene, **BC1** shows markedly higher Φ_f (0.28) than **BC4** (0.22), whereas both **BC2** and **BC3** show Φ_f in toluene comparable with that observed for **BC4**. For **BC1** both Φ_f and τ_f diminish when solvent ϵ increases, resulting in a significant reduction of fluorescence in solvents of moderate ϵ (THF, CH_2Cl_2 , PhCN) and almost no fluorescence in MeOH and DMF. A similar trend was observed for **BC2**; however, the reduction of both Φ_f and τ_f is less dramatic and more parallel with increase in ϵ of the solvents. The τ_f in **BC2** decreases nearly linearly when ϵ of the solvent increases (Figure S5, SI), which suggests that this dyad can be useful as a fluorescence lifetime probe for determination of local dielectric constants. **BC3** shows marked reduction of Φ_f in DMF only. Both **BC4** and **C1** show virtually no dependence of both Φ_f and τ_f on solvents ϵ .

These results indicate that for bacteriochlorin dyads, a new and efficient process for deactivation of the excited state, which competes with fluorescence, becomes accessible in polar solvents. The efficiency of this process clearly depends on ϵ of the solvent. Moreover, the efficiency of this new process appears to depend on the electronic conjugation between macrocycles in dyads, as the most extensive reduction of fluorescence in given solvent is observed for **BC1** (where electronic communication between macrocycles is presumed to be the strongest). Importantly, this process is specific only for bacteriochlorin dyads, since fluorescence properties for the monomer **BC4** and for the chlorin dyad **C1** are nearly solvent-independent. To the best of our knowledge, analogous porphyrin dyads show only slight dependence of their photophysical properties on solvent ϵ .¹⁹

Next, we determined the influence of solvent ϵ on ROS photosensitization for **BC1-4**, using 2,5-diphenylisobenzofuran (DPBF). DPBF reacts with $^1\text{O}_2$ and $\text{O}_2^{\cdot -}$, causing a decay of

DPBF absorbance at 414 nm.^{20,21} The comparison of Φ_{ROS} for given photosensitizer in different solvents is more complex than in case of Φ_{f} discussed above. Φ_{ROS} depends on both the properties of the photosensitizer excited states (i.e. Φ_{ISC} and triplet state lifetime) as well as solvent properties such as oxygen solubility, rate of oxygen diffusion, rate of bimolecular quenching of triplet state by oxygen, etc.²² Thus, influence of a solvent on Φ_{ROS} is observed, to some extent, for many photosensitizers.²³ Moreover, rate of DPBF degradation depends not only on the amount of singlet oxygen generated by the photosensitizer, but also on the lifetime of singlet oxygen in given solvents and bimolecular rate constants for reaction of singlet oxygen with DPBF, both of which vary in different solvents.²⁴ Hence, to evaluate the influence of solvent ϵ on ROS photosensitization of dyads, we determined how different the influence of solvent ϵ on ROS photosensitization is for given dyad, compared to that of the benchmark monomer **BC4**. Quantitatively, this influence was expressed through relative quantum yield of ROS photosensitization ϕ_{ROS} (see SI for the exact definition and measurement methodology). The ϕ_{ROS} were determined for dyads **BC1-3** in four solvents: toluene (as a reference solvent), THF, CH_2Cl_2 , and DMF. The plots of rate of DPBF absorbance decays for **BC1-4** are shown in Figure S8, SI, and the resulting ϕ_{ROS} are given in Table 1. For **BC1** we observed a dramatic reduction of ϕ_{ROS} in both CH_2Cl_2 (10-fold) and DMF (125-fold) compared to that in toluene. For **BC2** and **BC3** ϕ_{ROS} exhibit a lesser reduction than **BC1**, with the exception of DMF, for which ϕ_{ROS} is significantly (2.5-fold) lower for **BC2** but only slightly (1.2-fold) lower for **BC3**, compared to toluene. The influence of solvent ϵ on ϕ_{ROS} reduction was further confirmed by determining the rate of DPBF decay in the presence of **BC1** in a series of toluene/DMF mixtures with increasing DMF concentration. The ϕ_{ROS} gradually decreases with increasing ratio of DMF in the mixture (Figure S9, SI).

The quenching of $^1\text{O}_2$ photosensitization of **BC1** in DMF was further confirmed by monitoring $^1\text{O}_2$ luminescence at 1270 nm, which in toluene is observed for both **BC1** and **BC4**, but in DMF it is observed only for **BC4** and is completely absent for **BC1** (Figure S7, SI). The quantum yields of $^1\text{O}_2$ photosensitization (Φ) in air-equilibrated toluene (determined by comparing the intensity of $^1\text{O}_2$ luminescence generated by bacteriochlorins, to those generated by TPP) are 65% for both **BC1** and **BC4**. Φ corresponds well with Φ_{ISC} determined previously for various *meso*-substituted bacteriochlorins, (i.e. $\Phi_{\text{ISC}} = 0.54\text{-}0.71$).¹¹

In summary, fluorescence and ROS photosensitization ability in bacteriochlorin dyads are reduced when the solvent ϵ increases. The degree of quenching of photochemical activity in these dyads appears to be dictated by the strength of electronic interaction between bacteriochlorin subunits. This indicates, that the degree of the response of photochemical properties to the solvent ϵ in dyads can be adjusted for desired applications and polarity ranges, by proper molecular design (i.e. selecting the linker between bacteriochlorin subunits). These properties, along with high Φ_{f} and relatively long τ_{f} of near-IR emission, as well as high Φ in non-polar environment, allow bacteriochlorin dyads to be promising platform for development of smart fluorophores and ROS photosensitizers activated in environment of low ϵ , and fluorescence lifetime probes for determination of local dielectric properties.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by NSF CHE-1301109 and UMBC (start-up funds and SRAIS Award). N.N.E. is a member of the Meyerhoff Scholars Program at UMBC, supported by the NIGMS Initiative for Maximizing Students Development Grant (Grant 2 R25-GM55036), and CBI Program at UMBC, supported by the NIH (Grant No. 5T32GM066706). We thank Drs. Zeev Rosenzweig and Taeyjuana Y. Curry (UMBC) for help in fluorescence lifetime measurements..

REFERENCES

- (1). Yang Z; Cao J; He Y; Yang JH; Kim T; Peng X; Kim JS Chem. Soc. Rev 2014, 43, 4563–4601. [PubMed: 24723011]
- (2). Lovell JF; Liu TWB; Chen J; Zheng G Chem. Rev 2010, 110, 2839–2857. [PubMed: 20104890]
- (3). (a)Yogo T; Urano Y; Mizushima A; Sunahara H; Inoue T; Hirose K; Lino M; Kikuchi K; Nagano T Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A 2008, 105, 28–32. [PubMed: 18172220] (b)Hirakawa K; Nishimura Y; Arai T; Okazaki SJ Phys. Chem. B 2013, 117, 13490–13496.(c)Hirakawa K; Hirano T; Nishimura Y; Arai T; Nosaka Y Photochem. Photobiol 2011, 87, 833–839. [PubMed: 21466558] (d)Hirakawa K; Harada M; Okazaki S; Nosaka Y Chem. Commun 2012, 48, 4770–4772.
- (4). (a)Zhang X-F; Yang XJ Phys. Chem. B 2013, 117, 9050–9055.(b)Hahn U; Setaro F; Ragàs X; Gray-Weale A; Nonell S; Torres T Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys 2011, 13, 3385–3393. [PubMed: 21173965] (c)Ventura B; Marconi G; Bröring M; Krüger R; Flamigni L New. J. Chem 2009, 33, 428–438.(d)Fukuzumi S; Ohkubo K; Zheng X; Chen Y; Pandey RK; Zhan R; Kadish KM; J. Phys. Chem. B 2008, 112, 2738–2746. [PubMed: 18254618]
- (5). Theillet F-X; Binolfi A; Frembgen-Kesner T; Hingorani K; Sarkar M; Kyne C; Li C; Gierasch L; Pielak GJ; Elcock AH; Gershenson A; Selenko P Chem. Rev 2014, 114, 6661–6714. [PubMed: 24901537]
- (6). Signore G; Abbandonato G; Storti B; Stöckl M; Subramaniam V; Bizzarri R Chem. Commun 2013, 49, 1723–1725.
- (7). Cuervo A; Dans PD; Carrascosa JL; Orozco M; Gomila G; Fumagalli L Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A 2014, 111, E3624–E3639.
- (8). Sunahara H; Urano Y; Kojima H; Nagano TJ Am. Chem. Soc 2007, 107, 5597–5604.
- (9). (a)Jiang N; Fan J; Xu F; Peng X; Mu H; Wang J; Xiong X; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2015, 54, 2510–2514.(b)Xiao H.; Li P; Zhang W; Tang B. Chem. Sci 2016, 7, 1588–1593. [PubMed: 29899900]
- (10). (a)Reichardt C Chem. Rev 1994, 94, 2319–2358.Representative examples of near-IR polarity-responsive fluorophores:(b)Kim D;..Moon H; Baik SH Singha S; Jun YW; Wang T; Kim KH; Park BS. Jung J; Mook-Jung I; Ahn KH. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2015, 137, 6781–6789. [PubMed: 25951499] (c)Karpenko IA; Collot M; Richert L; Valencia C; Villa P; Mély Y; Hibert M; Bonnet D; Klymchenko AS J. Am. Chem. Soc 2015, 137, 405–412. [PubMed: 25506627] (d)Berezin MY; Lee H; Akers W; Achilefu S Biophys. J 2007, 93, 2892–2899. [PubMed: 17573433]
- (11). Yang E; Kirmaier C; Krayner M; Taniguchi M; Kim H-J; Diers JR; Bocian DF; Lindsey JS; Holten DJ Phys. Chem. B 2011, 115, 10801–10816, and references cited therein.
- (12). (a)Grin MA; Mironov AF; Shtil AA Anti-Cancer Agents Med. Chem 2008, 8, 683–697.(b)Silva EFF; Serpa C; Dabrowski JM; Monteiro CJP; Formosinho SJ; Stochel G; Urbanska K; Simões S; Pereira MM; Arnaut L Chem. Eur. J 2010, 16, 9273–9286. [PubMed: 20572171] (c)Yang E; Diers JR; Huang Y-Y; Hamblin MR; Lindsey JS; Bocian DF; Holten D Photochem. Photobiol 2013, 89, 605–618. [PubMed: 23163632] (d)Riyad YM; Naumov S; Schastak S; Griebel J; Kahnt A; Haupl T; Neuhaus J; Abel B; Hermann RJ Phys. Chem. B 2014, 118, 11646–11658.
- (13). Cao W; Ng KK; Corbin I; Zhang Z; Ding L; Chen J; Zheng G Bioconjugate Chem. 2009, 20, 2023–2031.(b)Liu TWB; Chen J; Burgess L; Cao W; Shi J; Wilson BC; Zheng G; Theranostics, 2011, 1, 354–362. [PubMed: 21938263] (c)Harada T; Sano K; Sato K; Watanabe R; Yu Z;

- Hanaoka H; Nakajima T; Choyke PL; Ptaszek M; Kabayashi H *Bioconjugate Chem* 2014 25, 362–369.
- (14). Yu Z; Pancholi C; Bhagavathy GV; Kang HS; Nguyen JK; Ptaszek MJ *Org. Chem* 2014, 79, 7910–385.
- (15). Kang HS; Esemoto NN; Diers J; Niedzwiedzki D; Greco J; Akhigbe J; Yu Z; Pancholi C; Viswanathan BG, ; Nguyen JK; Kirmaier C.; Birge R; Ptaszek M; Holten D; Bocian DF *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2016, 120, 379–385 [PubMed: 26765839]
- (16). Krayner M; Ptaszek M; Kim H-J; Meneely KR; Fan D; Secor K; Lindsey JS *J. Org. Chem* 2010, 75, 1016–1039. [PubMed: 20088604]
- (17). During the preparation of this manuscript, application of the analogous strategy for synthesis of chlorin dyads has been reported: Xiong R.; Arkhypchuk AI; Kovacs D; Orthaber A; Borbas KE *Chem. Comm* 2016, DOI: 10.1039/c6cc00
- (18). Ouyang Q; Yan K-Q; Zhu Y-Z; Zhang CH; Liu J-Z; Chen C; Zheng J-Y *Org. Lett* 2012, 14, 2746–2749. [PubMed: 22583057]
- (19). Cho S; Yoon M-C; Lim JM; Kim P; Aratani N; Nakamura Y; Ikeda T; Osuka A; Kim DJ *Phys. Chem. B* 2009, 113, 10619–10627.
- (20). Bacteriochlorins can photosensitize both $1O_2$ and $O_2^{.-}$, depending on their exact structures.¹² We confirmed that BC1–4 photosensitize $1O_2$ (by determining luminescence at 1270 nm); however, we did not make any efforts to determine whether or not any of the bacteriochlorins examined here photosensitizes $O_2^{.-}$.
- (21). (a) Spiller W; Kliesch H; Wöhrle D; Hackbarth S; Roder B; Schnurpfeil G. *J. Porphyrins Phthalocyanines*, 1998, 2, 145–158. (b) Gomes A; Fernandes E; Lima JL F. C. *J. Biochem. Biophys. Methods* 2005, 65, 45–80.
- (22). Turro NJ; Ramamurthy V; Scaiano JC 2010. *Modern molecular photochemistry of organic molecules*. University Science Books; Sausalito, CA; 2010.
- (23). Redmond RW; Gamlin JN *Photochem. Photobiol* 1999, 70, 391–475. [PubMed: 10546544]
- (24). Lissi EA; Encinas MV; Lemp E; Rublo MA *Chem. Rev* 1993, 93, 699–723.

Figure 1.
Structures of compounds BC1–4 and C1

Figure 2.
Absorption spectra of **BC1–4** in toluene: **BC1** (black, solid), **BC2** (blue), **BC3** (red), and **BC4** (black, dotted). All spectra are normalized at the *B*-bands maxima.

Scheme 1.
 Synthesis of dyads BC1–3

Scheme 2.
Synthesis of dyad C1

Table 1.Photophysical properties of BC1–4 and C1 in solvents of different dielectric constants.^{a)}

Solvent	Φ_f	τ_f [ns]	Φ_{ROS} ^{b)}
BC1: $\lambda_{Qy} = 706, 731$ nm, $\lambda_{em} = 738$ nm (in toluene)			
Toluene	0.28	3.6	1.00
THF	0.20	3.1	0.87
CH ₂ Cl ₂	0.037	0.48	0.097
PhCN	0.031	< 0.4 ^{c)}	nd ^{d)}
MeOH ^{e)}	0.007 ^{f)}	< 0.4 ^{c)}	nd ^{d)}
DMF	0.003 ^{f)}	< 0.4 ^{c)}	0.008
BC2: $\lambda_{Qy} = 717$ nm, $\lambda_{em} = 721$ nm (in toluene)			
toluene	0.22	4.1	1.00
THF	0.20	4.2	1.08
CH ₂ Cl ₂	0.17	3.6	0.94
PhCN	0.11	2.4	nd ^{d)}
MeOH ^{e)}	0.069	2.3	nd ^{d)}
DMF	0.066	1.6	0.39
BC3: $\lambda_{Qy} = 714$ nm, $\lambda_{em} = 717$ nm (in toluene)			
toluene	0.22	4.1	1.00
THF	0.19	4.1	1.06
CH ₂ Cl ₂	0.18	3.8	0.91
PhCN	0.18	3.9	nd ^{d)}
MeOH ^{e)}	0.15	3.7	nd ^{d)}
DMF	0.16	3.7	0.81
BC4: $\lambda_{Qy} = 712$ nm, $\lambda_{em} = 715$ nm (in toluene)			
Toluene	0.22	4.4	-
THF	0.19	4.5	-
CH ₂ Cl ₂	0.19	4.2	-
PhCN	0.22	4.6	-
MeOH ^{e)}	0.15	4.2	-
DMF	0.20	4.6	-
C1: $\lambda_{Qy} = 650$ nm, $\lambda_{em} = 654$ nm (in toluene)			
Toluene	0.31	5.9	nd ^{d)}
DMF	0.29	6.0	nd ^{d)}

^{a)} All data were determined in air-equilibrated solvents. For Φ_f and τ_f determination samples were excited at the maxima of their Q_x band.Fluorescence quantum yields were determined with respect to *meso*-tetraphenylporphyrin (TPP, $\Phi_f = 0.070$ in non-degassed toluene).¹¹ The estimated error in Φ_f , τ_f , and Φ_{ROS} determination is $\pm 10\%$.

b) For details of Φ_{ROS} determination see SI.

c) The τ_f is too short to be accurately determined by our experimental set-up.

d) Not determined.

e) 5% of THF (v/v) was used as a co-solvent.

f) The weak emission signal at 736 nm overlaps with the second weak emission peak at 717 nm of unknown origin; therefore the Φ_f is approximate.