Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2020 January 22; 142(3): 1359–1366. doi:10.1021/jacs.9b10859.

Polaron And Exciton Delocalization in Oligomers of the High-Performance Polymer PTB7

Jens Niklas^{†,*}, Tianyue Zheng[§], Andriy Neshchadin[§], Kristy L. Mardis[#], Luping Yu^{§,*}, Oleg G. Poluektov^{†,*}

[†]Chemical Sciences and Engineering Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, Illinois 60439, United States

[§]Department of Chemistry and James Franck Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, United States

[#]Department of Chemistry, Physics, and Engineering Studies, Chicago State University, Chicago, Illinois 60628, United States

Abstract

A key characteristic of organic photovoltaic cells is the efficient charge separation in the active layer. Sufficient delocalization of the positive polaron in organic photovoltaic is considered essential for effective separation of the opposite charges and suppression of recombination. We use light-induced EPR and ENDOR spectroscopy combined with DFT calculations to determine the electronic structure of the positive polaron in PTB7-type oligomers. Utilizing the superior spectral resolution of high-frequency (130 GHz) D-band EPR, the principal components of the g-tensors were determined. Pulsed ENDOR spectroscopy at X-band allowed the measurement of ¹H hyperfine coupling constants. Comparison of g-tensors and ¹H hyperfine coupling constants of the PTB7-type oligomers with the high performance PTB7 polymer revealed a delocalization of the positive polaron in the polymer over about four monomeric units, corresponding to about 45 Å in length. Our current study thus not only determines the polaron delocalization length in PTB7, but also validates the approach combining EPR/ENDOR spectroscopy with DFT calculated magnetic resonance parameters. This is of importance in those cases where oligomers of defined length are not easily obtained. In addition, the delocalization of the neutral triplet exciton was also determined in the oligomers and compared with the polymer PTB7. The analysis revealed that the neutral triplet exciton is substantially more delocalized than the positive polaron, exceeding 10 monomeric units.

Keywords

PTB7 Oligomer; Polaron; triplet exciton; Electron Paramagnetic Resonance; High-Frequency EPR; ENDOR; Density Functional Theory

*Corresponding Authors Jens Niklas (jniklas@anl.gov; Phone: 630-252-3547), Oleg G. Poluektov (oleg@anl.gov; Phone: 630-252-3546), Luping Yu (lupingyu@uchicago.edu; Phone: 773-702-8698).

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. Effects of functional and basis set on the calculated energies, g-values, and hyperfine coupling.

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

INTRODUCTION

Organic photovoltaic (OPV) cells are light-weight, flexible, environment friendly, and can be made of a large and constantly growing choice of organic electron donor and electron acceptor materials.^{1–2} A very popular configuration for the active layer is the Bulk-Heterojunction (BHJ), which consists of an intercalating network of electron donor and acceptor materials.^{3–6} Semiconducting organic polymers are the most widely used donor material. Upon light absorption by the electron donor, an excited state is generated, the exciton then migrates to the donor-acceptor interface, which is followed by electron transfer from the donor to the acceptor.^{4, 7–8} The acceptor molecule was typically a fullerene derivative, but more recently polymers and especially small molecules have been very successfully developed as electron acceptors to improve organic photovoltaics.^{9–17} Further successive charge transfer steps finally result in two stable, separated ions, a positive polaron (radical cation) on the donor polymer and a negative polaron (radical anion) on the acceptor. An important characteristic of OPV cells is efficient charge separation and suppression of recombination in the active layer. Sufficient delocalization of the positive polaron in excitonic PVs is considered essential to achieve this. Many previous studies using a wide variety of materials and methods have attempted to determine the extent of delocalization but often yielded only qualitative information. This is frequently due to the weak dependence of the spectroscopic parameters on the actual delocalization of the positive polaron and the additional influence of the surroundings, *e.g.* solvent molecules, on those parameters, and the necessity of certain assumptions.^{18–24} In contrast, Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) spectroscopy, preferably performed at several frequencies, and its advanced techniques like Electron-Nuclear-Double-Resonance (ENDOR) or Electron-Spin-Echo Envelope Modulation (ESEEM) are ideally suited to study the delocalization of the positive polaron on the polymer.^{25–30} In our previous study, the delocalization length in the high performance polymer PTB7 (and two other polymers, P3HT and PCDTBT) was indirectly deduced by comparison of experimental ¹H hyperfine coupling constants with the ones obtained by Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations.²⁹ From the chemical/materials point of view, this approach relies only on the preparation of blends of the donor polymer with acceptors like fullerenes and does not require potential cumbersome synthesis like the preparation of oligomers of well-defined length or site-specific isotope labeling. This appealing combination has, despite its growing applicability, certain limitations. Among those are the inherent shortcomings of DFT as not being an *ab initio* method, where the choice of functional (in particular the fraction of exchange) influences the obtained delocalization of the positive polaron. In addition, there is also the limited accuracy of the calculation of magnetic resonance parameters even if the delocalization is properly calculated, which also depends on the chosen functional. Computational requirements restrict the size of systems which can be investigated, setting a limit to the length of the oligomers which can be calculated, requiring termination of the often-long side chains with their number of conformational substates, and the extent of completeness of the basis sets. Furthermore, the polymer backbone also has a potentially large number of conformations, which have to be calculated. Independent of what computational method (DFT or higher level wavefunction based methods like MP2 or

Coupled Cluster) is used, a potentially serious issue is that the polymer environment and its distribution (its heterogeneity) cannot simply be taken into account explicitly. These are, for example, interactions with solvent/additive molecules, interaction with other polymer chains or distant parts of the same polymer chain, twists and kinks of polymer chains which all could substantially influence delocalization. Purely computational approaches, without experimental reference data sensitive to delocalization, tend to be even more vulnerable to the partial or substantial neglect of the environment. Hence, an experiment based direct access to the delocalization is very valuable, since it not only can determine the delocalization length for one specific polymer on a purely experimental basis but also can be used to validate computational approaches not only for a specific polymer but for a whole class of polymers. The polymer PTB7 and its derivatives like PTB7-Th (also called PCE10 and PBDTT-FTTE, for chemical nomenclatures and structural formulas see SI) are among the highest performing polymers in the OPV field^{31–33} In addition, the general design principles of the PTB7 polymer class have also been used for other polymer groups. Hence, the PTB7 polymer is ideally suited as a model for high performing organic photovoltaic polymers.

In this work we combine multifrequency EPR and ENDOR spectroscopy with DFT calculations to investigate the electronic structure of the positive polaron in several short PTB7-type oligomers (Figure 1). The positive polaron on PTB7-type oligomers was created by illumination of a blend with the fullerene derivative PC₆₁BM. This way of generating the photoinduced positive polaron prevents “artificial localization”, like the one obtained by chemical oxidation, *e.g.* by treating polymer films with iodine. In the latter case an extra localization of the positive charge due to Coulomb interaction with counter ions may occur.^{28–29} In addition, the EPR spectrum of the negative polaron on PC₆₁BM at high frequencies does not overlap with the one of the positive polaron on PTB7-type oligomers.²⁹ The information obtained is then compared with the PTB7 polymer itself and allows a precise determination of the polaron delocalization under “real-world” OPV conditions. Furthermore, the delocalization of the neutral triplet exciton was also determined in these oligomers and compared with the polymer PTB7.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

EPR Spectroscopy on PTB7-type Oligomers in their Radical Cation State (Positive Polaron)

The PTB7-type oligomers are prepared in a blend with the fullerene derivative PC₆₁BM and illuminated with visible light at cryogenic temperatures. Light absorption is followed by fast energy and electron transfer steps which finally yield the positive polaron on the oligomer and the negative polaron on the fullerene derivative.^{1, 4, 7} If pairs of these ions are in close proximity, *e.g.* next neighbors at the donor-acceptor interface, they recombine quickly even at cryogenic temperatures. However, if the opposite charges move several tens of Å away from each other, these polarons (radical ions) are essentially stable at cryogenic temperatures and allow the application of steady state spectroscopy.³⁴ Furthermore, at these distances (>30 Å) the magnetic interactions between these species is negligible and EPR spectroscopy essentially detects the spectral signatures of isolated species.²⁵ At standard frequencies like X-band (9.5 GHz, 340 mT) the signals of PTB7-type oligomer and polymer partially overlap

with fullerene derivative PC₆₁BM and the g-tensor of the positive polaron is not resolved.²⁹ It is typical for blends of organic polymers and fullerene derivatives that high frequency/high field EPR (95 GHz, 3.4 T) is necessary to achieve sufficient spectral resolution.^{25–26, 28–29, 35} We thus used high frequency EPR at D-band (130 GHz, 4.6 T), where the about 14 times higher frequency allowed the spectral separation of PTB7-type oligomer and PC₆₁BM polaron signals and also the unambiguous determination of the PTB7-type oligomer g-tensor. Figure 2 displays pulsed D-band spectra of PTB7-type oligomer blends. The low field part of the spectra (<4.650 T) is due to the PTB7-type oligomers and the PTB7 polymer, while the high field part (>4.650 T) is due to the fullerene PC₆₁BM; g-values and the lineshape of the negative polaron on PC₆₁BM are essentially identical in the different blends and in very good agreement with previous results.^{25–26, 28–29, 35–36} Hence the further discussion focusses exclusively on the positive polaron on the PTB7-type oligomers and the PTB7 polymer.

The EPR spectra of the two shortest oligomers, the 1 mer and the 1.5 mer, exhibit a lower signal-to-noise ratio, since only a small fraction of oligomer-fullerene blends achieves stable charge separation at cryogenic temperatures. This is consistent with the lower efficiency of OPV cells based on short PTB7-type oligomers. The lowest principal g-value of the positive polaron, g_z , is essentially the same in all oligomers and the polymer PTB7 and very similar to the free electron g-value ($g_e \approx 2.0023$). From previous²⁹ and current DFT calculations, it is known that this component of the g-tensor is perpendicular to the π -system of all PTB7-type oligomers and the polymer, and should be largely independent from the amount of BF units over which the positive polaron is delocalized.^{37–39} The other two components of the g-tensor (g_x and g_y) lie in the molecular plane of the oligomers, and are expected to be sensitive to the polaron delocalization, which is indeed experimentally observed. The g-tensor of the 1 mer, the 1.5 mer and the 2.5 mer exhibits significant deviation from the that of PTB7, while the 6.5 mer is completely in line with the polymer PTB7. The delocalization length is thus clearly between 2.5 and 6.5 units. The g-tensor of the 3.5 mer (TIPS-4B3F) only slightly deviates from the polymer. This leads to the conclusion that the best description for the delocalization length is about 4 BF units, complying with our previous estimate of 3–4 BF units using EPR/ENDOR spectroscopy on the PTB7 polymer in combination with DFT calculations.²⁹

Another set of magnetic resonance parameters sensitive to the delocalization of the positive polaron are hyperfine coupling constants, in particular those of ¹H. In fact, in our previous study of the PTB7 polymer, the ¹H hyperfine coupling constants were better indicators of the delocalization length than the g-tensor.²⁹ But the ¹H hyperfine couplings are too small to be resolved in the EPR spectra either at X-band or at D-band. Hence, X-band ENDOR spectroscopy was used to determine the hyperfine coupling constants. This type of experiment corresponds to performing a NMR experiment (driving nuclear transitions using an RF pulse) but detecting with the EPR technique (MW pulses), and is often used to increase the spectral resolution of EPR lines which are inhomogeneously broadened by hyperfine interactions.^{40–43} Within a conventional oligomer extrapolation approach^{20, 44–48} the largest hyperfine coupling constants should scale proportional to 1/n, with n being the number of monomeric units (one unit consisting of one subunit B and one subunit F) over which the positive polaron is delocalized. Under our experimental conditions, the ENDOR

signals of ^1H are located at $\nu_{RF} = \nu_{1H} \pm \frac{A}{2}$, with A being the hyperfine coupling constant of a particular nucleus, and ν_{1H} the Larmor frequency of a “free” proton. Other nuclei with spin (beside hydrogen) are not expected to contribute substantially to the ENDOR spectra due to the combination of their smaller magnetic moment and lower abundance in the oligomer. Figure 3a shows pulsed ENDOR spectra of the positive polaron on the PTB7-type oligomers and polymer. We performed Davies-type ENDOR as it is free of blind-spots distortions for $|A| > 0$.^{40, 42} The Larmor frequency of a free proton ν_{1H} is about 14.8 MHz at our magnetic field (~ 340 mT) and can be easily recognized by the relative narrow and intense line in the center of the spectrum. The wider the ENDOR signal, the larger the hyperfine coupling constant(s). The ENDOR spectra of the two shortest oligomers are approximately equal in width and quite noisy, since only a very small fraction of oligomer-fullerene blends achieves stable charge separation, even at cryogenic temperatures (similar to the EPR signals at D-band, Figure 2). In general, the same picture is conveyed for ^1H hyperfine interaction as for the g-tensor: 1 mer, 1.5 mer and 2.5 mer are substantially different from the polymer, which is identical to the 6.5 mer, and the 3.5 mer is very slightly different from the polymer (Figure 3b). Thus, we can conclude that the delocalization of the positive polaron extends no further than the length of the tetramer.

EPR Spectroscopy on PTB7-type Oligomers in Photoexcited Triplet State

The neutral photoexcited triplet ($S=1$) of the PTB7-type oligomers is another paramagnetic state and hence accessible by EPR spectroscopy. Pulsed Laser irradiation of the oligomers generates an excited singlet state, which can be converted with a certain yield to the triplet state by intersystem crossing (ISC) process. The interactions between two spins within a triplet state characterized by the zero-field splitting (ZFS) parameter D , which is determined by the overlap of the wavefunctions between these two electrons.^{49–50} Thus the magnitude, $|D|$, will be larger when the two unpaired electrons are closer. A larger $|D|$ will result in a wider spectrum. Increasing delocalization of the triplet exciton in larger oligomers should decrease $|D|$ and make the spectrum narrower. This is qualitatively somewhat similar to the ENDOR results for the positive polaron discussed above. Note that while this highly simplified approach can be an appropriate approximation in these oligomers, it must be taken with great care when dealing with other geometries.⁵¹ Figure 4a shows the X-band spectra of the triplet state in the PTB7-type oligomers and polymer. While a decrease of $|D|$ is indeed observed with increasing oligomer length, the spectrum of the 6.5 mer is clearly not yet identical to the polymer, indicating that the triplet exciton is substantially more delocalized (Figure 4b). Extrapolation from the data for the 1.5 mer, 2.5 mer, 3.5 mer and 6.5 mer suggests that it is even delocalized over more than 10 BF units. Hence, we can safely conclude that the neutral triplet exciton on PTB7 is substantially more delocalized than the positive polaron counterpart.

This is an important discovery, considering number of publications which claim the high localization of triplet excitons in metalorganic oligomers and polymers.^{18, 22–24} However, systematic data on delocalization of triplet exciton in pure organic conjugated systems are not available, as triplet states are spectroscopically “silent” due to the forbidden character of S_0 - T_1 transition, and the low sensitivity of energetic parameters of triplet exciton to the

length of oligomer.^{18, 24} While multiple cases on metalorganic system are facilitated by efficient ISC, induced by heavy metal ion, these systems cannot be directly compared with pure organic conjugated oligomers. Thus in ²⁰ the experimental data on T₁-S₀ energies of oligofluorenes clearly demonstrate that triplet exciton might be delocalized over more than 10 repeating units. Thus, we conclude that the delocalization of triplet excitons is not well-understood and requires further investigation.

DFT Calculations

With the experimental g-tensor and hyperfine tensors for a variety of PTB7-type oligomers now available as reference, Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations were performed to better understand the electronic properties of these oligomers. The calculations can provide information like the energies and thus probability of the various potential conformers, and distribution of the unpaired spin density in the positive polaron/radical cation (charge +1) over the oligomers. This information is not available from the experimental data. In return, experimentally obtained magnetic resonance parameters such as g-values and hyperfine coupling constants can be directly compared with the calculated ones and thus act as a control if the structural models (like conformation and terminated side chains) and computational level (functional, basis sets, etc.) are chosen appropriately. The g-tensor and hyperfine calculations of such molecules are typically quite successful, yielding good agreement with experimental data. DFT modeling shows that conformers with similar energies (accessible at ambient temperature) exist in this type of molecule, as was shown previously.²⁹ While the B and F subunits (see Figure 1) themselves are very stable, rotations are possible around the bonds connecting them with rotational barriers of 11–12 kcal/mol for the charged species and 3.5 kcal/mol for the neutral species (Figure S3), and the stable conformers take either the *cis* or the *trans* configuration (abbreviated C and T in the following, respectively, see Figure 5).

Figure 5 shows the optimized structures and spin densities of all conformations of the 1.5 mer with Table 2 summarizing the calculated principal g-values for all possible conformations. The spin density plots show significant spin density only on the backbone, but not the fluorine atom or the alkyl or silicon groups. The termination of the alkyl and isopropyl groups had only very small effects on the g-values (see Table S2).

The g_z value is essentially invariant with respect to the conformation and complies with the experimentally determined value. It can thus not be used to distinguish between different conformers. The g_y value changes up to 5×10^{-4} depending on the conformation and the g_x value changes up to 1×10^{-3} , which indicates that the latter is a better indicator for the respective conformer. These results indicate that the CC and CT conformers are most likely not present under our experimental conditions.

Further calculations were performed for all possible conformers of the PTB7-type oligomer with $n=2.5$. The functionals PBEh-3c||def2-mSVP and ω B97XD3||6–31G* were used (Table S10). A complete computational study of the PTB7-type oligomers including those larger than $n=2.5$ is significantly more challenging and will require significant computation resources.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have carried out a comprehensive EPR and DFT study of the characteristic EPR parameters of the positive polaron/cation radical of PTB7-type oligomers. Comparison of the g -tensors and ^1H hyperfine couplings of the PTB7 oligomers with the polymer revealed a delocalization of the positive polaron about four monomeric units, corresponding to about 45 Å on the polymer chain. The delocalization length derived from this study is in excellent agreement with our previous EPR and ENDOR study of the polymer PTB7, where the delocalization length was indirectly derived by comparison of experimental and calculated ^1H hyperfine couplings. The experimental results obtained for the shortest oligomers have been compared with DFT calculations, which show good agreement with selected conformations of the oligomer. Our current study thus not only determines the polaron delocalization length in PTB7, but also validates the approach combining EPR/ENDOR with calculated magnetic resonance parameters. In addition, the delocalization of the neutral triplet exciton in the oligomers was also determined and compared with the polymer PTB7. The analysis revealed that the neutral triplet exciton is substantially more delocalized than the positive polaron, exceeding 10 monomeric units. This work clearly demonstrates that EPR spectroscopy in combination with DFT is a powerful approach for investigation of the electronic structure of paramagnetic organic molecules and its interactions with solid state environment.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Sample preparation.

The organic polymer PTB7 and its oligomers were synthesized as described previously.^{31–32, 52–53} The soluble fullerene derivative C_{60} -PCBM (PC_{61}BM) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Polymer/Oligomer-fullerene mixtures (1:2 or 1:4 weight ratios) were prepared under anaerobic conditions in a N_2 dry box, using de-oxygenated toluene or chlorobenzene as solvents (Sigma-Aldrich). The concentrations of the solutions were in 5–15 mg/mL range. Samples for triplet EPR spectroscopy contained no fullerene. The solutions were filled into EPR quartz tubes, sealed under N_2 -atmosphere, and then frozen quickly in liquid nitrogen. Measurements were performed at cryogenic temperatures to minimize light-induced degradation (photo damage) of the samples.

EPR spectroscopy.

Continuous wave (cw) X-band (9–10 GHz) EPR experiments were carried out with Bruker ELEXSYS E580 and ELEXSYS E500 II EPR spectrometers (Bruker Biospin, Rheinstetten, Germany), equipped with an Bruker ER4102ST resonator, or Flexline dielectric ring resonator (Bruker EN 4118X-MD4-W1). Pulsed EPR and pulsed ENDOR experiments were performed on the ELEXSYS E580 spectrometer using a BT01000-AlphaSA 1 kW RF amplifier (TOMCO Technologies, Stepney, Australia). ENDOR spectra^{40–42} were recorded using (i) the Davies ENDOR sequence (π – t – $\pi/2$ – τ – π – τ –echo) with an inversion π pulse of 148 ns, $t = 10 \mu\text{s}$, and radiofrequency (RF) π -pulse of 6 μs , or (ii) the Mims ENDOR sequence ($\pi/2$ – τ – $\pi/2$ – t – $\pi/2$ – τ –echo) with $\pi/2$ pulse of 24 ns, $t = 10 \mu\text{s}$, and RF π -pulse of 6 μs .

Helium gas-flow cryostats (Oxford Instruments and ICE Oxford, UK) and an ITC (Oxford Instruments, UK) were used for cryogenic temperatures.

Light excitation was done directly in the resonator with 532 nm Laser light (Nd:YAG Laser, INDI, Newport) or with a white light LED (Thorlabs, Newton).

High frequency (HF) EPR measurements were performed on a home-built D-band (130 GHz) spectrometer equipped with a single mode TE₀₁₁ cylindrical cavity.^{54–55} D-band EPR spectra were recorded in pulse mode in order to remove the microwave phase distortion due to fast-passage effects at low temperatures. Light excitation was done directly in the cavity of the spectrometer with 532 nm Laser light through an optical fiber (Nd:YAG Laser, INDI, Newport). Data processing was done using Xepr (Bruker BioSpin, Rheinstetten) and MatlabTM 7.11.2 (MathWorks, Natick) environment. Simulations of the EPR spectra were performed using the EasySpin software package.⁵⁶

Density Functional Theory Calculations.

Initial structures were built in PQSMOL. The initial geometry optimizations were carried out using density functional theory (DFT) and the B3LYP functional^{57–60} using, successively, the 6–31G and the 6–31G+(d) basis set, as implemented in PQSMol.⁶¹ Frequency calculations in PQSMOL were performed on all n=1.5 optimized structures to ensure stable minima were obtained. All additional optimized structures using alternate functionals (PBEh-3c, B97–3c, wB97X-D3, etc) were obtained using the program package ORCA (v. 4.0.0.2)⁶² using the B3LYP optimized structures as starting points. Orca 4.1.1 was used to obtain the spectroscopic parameters for each structure via single point DFT calculations, with the B3LYP functional in combination with the EPRII basis set.^{63–64} for the C, H, and O atoms and the def2-TZVPP basis was used for S and Si atoms. The principal electronic g-values were calculated employing the coupled-perturbed Kohn-Sham equations and the spin orbit operator computed using the RI approximation for the Coulombic term and the one-center approximation for the exchange term (SOMF(1X)).⁶⁵

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The experimental part is based upon work supported by U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences, under contract number DE-AC02–06CH11357 at Argonne National Laboratory. This work was supported by NSF (Chem-1802274, LPY), partially supported by the University of Chicago Materials Research Science and Engineering Center, which is funded by the National Science Foundation under award number DMR-1420709. We gratefully acknowledge the computing resources provided on Blues and Bebop, high-performance computing clusters operated by the Laboratory Computing Resource Center at Argonne National Laboratory. The computational work was supported by the Illinois Space Grant Consortium and National Institutes of Health (SC3 GM122614).

REFERENCES

- (1). Brabec CJ; Scherf U; Dyakonov V (Eds.) Organic Photovoltaics: Materials, Device Physics, and Manufacturing Technologies. 2 ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2014.
- (2). Inganas O Organic Photovoltaics over Three Decades. Adv. Mater 2018, 30, 26.

- (3). Yu G; Gao J; Hummelen JC; Wudl F; Heeger AJ Polymer Photovoltaic Cells - Enhanced Efficiencies Via a Network of Internal Donor-Acceptor Heterojunctions. *Science* 1995, 270, 1789–1791.
- (4). Deibel C; Dyakonov V Polymer-Fullerene Bulk Heterojunction Solar Cells. *Rep. Prog. Phys* 2010, 73, 096401.
- (5). Lee H; Park C; Sin DH; Park JH; Cho K Recent Advances in Morphology Optimization for Organic Photovoltaics. *Adv. Mater* 2018, 30, 39.
- (6). Lu LY; Zheng TY; Wu QH; Schneider AM; Zhao DL; Yu LP Recent Advances in Bulk Heterojunction Polymer Solar Cells. *Chem. Rev* 2015, 115, 12666–12731. [PubMed: 26252903]
- (7). Clarke TM; Durrant JR Charge Photogeneration in Organic Solar Cells. *Chem. Rev* 2010, 110, 6736–6767. [PubMed: 20063869]
- (8). Laquai F; Andrienko D; Deibel C; Neher D Charge Carrier Generation, Recombination, and Extraction in Polymer-Fullerene Bulk Heterojunction Organic Solar Cells In *Elementary Processes in Organic Photovoltaics*, Leo K, (Ed.) Springer-Verlag Berlin: Berlin, 2017; Vol. 272, pp 267–291.
- (9). Hou JH; Inganäs O; Friend RH; Gao F Organic solar cells based on non-fullerene acceptors. *Nat. Mater* 2018, 17, 119–128. [PubMed: 29358765]
- (10). Zhang GY; Zhao JB; Chow PCY; Jiang K; Zhang JQ; Zhu ZL; Zhang J; Huang F; Yan H Nonfullerene Acceptor Molecules for Bulk Heterojunction Organic Solar Cells. *Chem. Rev* 2018, 118, 3447–3507. [PubMed: 29557657]
- (11). Facchetti A Polymeric Acceptor Semiconductors for Organic Solar Cells In *Organic Photovoltaics*, Wiley-VCH Verlag: 2014; pp 239–300.
- (12). Wang G; Melkonyan FS; Facchetti A; Marks TJ All-Polymer Solar Cells: Recent Progress, Challenges, and Prospects. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2019, 58, 4129–4142.
- (13). Lu L; Kelly MA; You W; Yu L Status and prospects for ternary organic photovoltaics. *Nature Photon* 2015, 9, 491.
- (14). Yuan J; Zhang Y; Zhou L; Zhang G; Yip H-L; Lau T-K; Lu X; Zhu C; Peng H; Johnson PA; Leclerc M; Cao Y; Ulanski J; Li Y; Zou Y Single-Junction Organic Solar Cell with over 15% Efficiency Using Fused-Ring Acceptor with Electron-Deficient Core. *Joule* 2019, 3, 1140–1151.
- (15). Cui Y; Yao H; Zhang J; Zhang T; Wang Y; Hong L; Xian K; Xu B; Zhang S; Peng J; Wei Z; Gao F; Hou J Over 16% efficiency organic photovoltaic cells enabled by a chlorinated acceptor with increased open-circuit voltages. *Nat. Commun* 2019, 10, 2515. [PubMed: 31175276]
- (16). Wadsworth A; Moser M; Marks A; Little MS; Gasparini N; Brabec CJ; Baran D; McCulloch I Critical review of the molecular design progress in non-fullerene electron acceptors towards commercially viable organic solar cells. *Chem. Soc. Rev* 2019, 48, 1596–1625. [PubMed: 29697109]
- (17). Nielsen CB; Holliday S; Chen HY; Cryer SJ; McCulloch I Non-Fullerene Electron Acceptors for Use in Organic Solar Cells. *Acc. Chem. Res* 2015, 48, 2803–2812. [PubMed: 26505279]
- (18). Beljonne D; Wittmann HF; Kohler A; Graham S; Younus M; Lewis J; Raithby PR; Khan MS; Friend RH; Bredas JL Spatial extent of the singlet and triplet excitons in transition metal-containing poly-ynes. *J. Chem. Phys* 1996, 105, 3868–3877.
- (19). Takeda N; Miller JR Poly(3-decylthiophene) Radical Anions and Cations in Solution: Single and Multiple Polarons and Their Delocalization Lengths in Conjugated Polymers. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2012, 116, 14715–14723. [PubMed: 23157495]
- (20). Jansson E; Jha PC; Aren H Chain length dependence of singlet and triplet excited states of oligofluorenes: A density functional study. *Chem. Phys* 2007, 336, 91–98.
- (21). Wasserberg D; Dudek SP; Meskers SCJ; Janssen RAJ Comparison of the chain length dependence of the singlet- and triplet-excited states of oligofluorenes. *Chem. Phys. Lett* 2005, 411, 273–277.
- (22). Kohler A; Wilson JS; Friend RH; Al-Suti MK; Khan MS; Gerhard A; Bassler H The singlet-triplet energy gap in organic and Pt-containing phenylene ethynylene polymers and monomers. *J. Chem. Phys* 2002, 116, 9457–9463.

- (23). Glusac K; Kose ME; Jiang H; Schanze KS Triplet excited state in platinum-acetylide oligomers: Triplet localization and effects of conformation. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2007, 111, 929–940. [PubMed: 17266245]
- (24). Liu Y; Jiang SJ; Glusac K; Powell DH; Anderson DF; Schanze KS Photophysics of monodisperse platinum-acetylide oligomers: Delocalization in the singlet and triplet excited states. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2002, 124, 12412–12413. [PubMed: 12381173]
- (25). Niklas J; Poluektov OG Charge Transfer Processes in OPV Materials as Revealed by EPR Spectroscopy. *Adv. Energy Mater* 2017, 7, 1602226.
- (26). De Ceuster J; Goovaerts E; Bouwen A; Hummelen JC; Dyakonov V High-frequency (95 GHz) Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Study of the Photoinduced Charge Transfer in Conjugated Polymer-Fullerene Composites. *Phys. Rev. B* 2001, 64, 195206.
- (27). Dyakonov V; Zorinants G; Scharber M; Brabec CJ; Janssen RAJ; Hummelen JC; Sariciftci NS Photoinduced charge carriers in conjugated polymer-fullerene composites studied with light-induced electron-spin resonance. *Phys. Rev. B* 1999, 59, 8019–8025.
- (28). Aguirre A; Gast P; Orlinskii S; Akimoto I; Groenen EJJ; El Mkami H; Goovaerts E; Van Doorslaer S Multifrequency EPR Analysis of the Positive Polaron in I2-doped Poly(3-hexylthiophene) and in Poly[2-methoxy-5-(3,7-dimethyloctyloxy)]-1,4-phenylenevinylene. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys* 2008, 10, 7129–7138. [PubMed: 19039347]
- (29). Niklas J; Mardis KL; Banks BP; Grooms GM; Sperlich A; Dyakonov V; Beaupre S; Leclerc M; Xu T; Yu L; Poluektov OG Highly-Efficient Charge Separation and Polaron Delocalization in Polymer-Fullerene Bulk-Heterojunctions: A Comparative Multi-Frequency EPR and DFT Study. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys* 2013, 15, 9562–9574 [PubMed: 23670645]
- (30). Kuroda S; Marumoto K; Sakanaka T; Takeuchi N; Shimoi Y; Abe S; Kokubo H; Yamamoto T Electron-nuclear double-resonance observation of spatial extent of polarons in polythiophene and poly(3-alkylthiophene). *Chem. Phys. Lett* 2007, 435, 273–277.
- (31). Liang YY; Xu Z; Xia JB; Tsai ST; Wu Y; Li G; Ray C; Yu LP For the Bright Future-Bulk Heterojunction Polymer Solar Cells with Power Conversion Efficiency of 7.4%. *Adv. Mater* 2010, 22, E135–E138. [PubMed: 20641094]
- (32). Liang YY; Yu LP A New Class of Semiconducting Polymers for Bulk Heterojunction Solar Cells with Exceptionally High Performance. *Acc. Chem. Res* 2010, 43, 1227–1236. [PubMed: 20853907]
- (33). Cui Y; Yang CY; Yao HF; Zhu J; Wang YM; Jia GX; Gao F; Hou JH Efficient Semitransparent Organic Solar Cells with Tunable Color enabled by an Ultralow-Bandgap Nonfullerene Acceptor. *Adv. Mater* 2017, 29, 7.
- (34). Barker AJ; Chen K; Hodgkiss JM Distance Distributions of Photogenerated Charge Pairs in Organic Photovoltaic Cells. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2014, 136, 12018–12026. [PubMed: 25102389]
- (35). Poluektov OG; Filippone S; Martin N; Sperlich A; Deibel C; Dyakonov V Spin Signatures of Photogenerated Radical Anions in Polymer-[70]Fullerene Bulk Heterojunctions: High Frequency Pulsed EPR Spectroscopy. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2010, 114, 14426–14429. [PubMed: 20392099]
- (36). Mardis KL; Webb JN; Holloway T; Niklas J; Poluektov OG Electronic Structure of Fullerene Acceptors in Organic Bulk-Heterojunctions: A Combined EPR and DFT Study. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett* 2015, 6, 4730–4735. [PubMed: 26569578]
- (37). Stone AJ g tensors of aromatic hydrocarbons. *Mol. Phys* 1964, 7, 311–316.
- (38). Stone AJ Gauge Invariance of g-Tensor. *Proc. Royal Soc. A* 1963, 271, 424–424.
- (39). Stone AJ g factors of aromatic free radicals. *Mol. Phys* 1963, 6, 509–515.
- (40). Davies ER A New Pulse ENDOR Technique. *Physics Letters* 1974, 47A, 1–2.
- (41). Mims WB Pulsed ENDOR Experiments. *Proc. Royal Soc. A* 1965, 283, 452–457.
- (42). Gemperle C; Schweiger A Pulsed Electron-Nuclear Double Resonance Methodology. *Chem. Rev* 1991, 91, 1481–1505.
- (43). Schweiger A; Jeschke G Principles of pulse electron paramagnetic resonance; Oxford University Press: New York, 2001.
- (44). Bennati M; Grupp A; Mehring M; Bauerle P Pulsed EPR spectroscopy of the photoexcited triplet states of thiophene oligomers in frozen solution. *J. Phys. Chem* 1996, 100, 2849–2853.

- (45). Hutchison GR; Zhao YJ; Delley B; Freeman AJ; Ratner MA; Marks TJ Electronic structure of conducting polymers: Limitations of oligomer extrapolation approximations and effects of heteroatoms. *Phys. Rev. B* 2003, 68, 13.
- (46). Rissler J Effective conjugation length of pi-conjugated systems. *Chem. Phys. Lett* 2004, 395, 92–96.
- (47). Meier H; Stalmach U; Kolshorn H Effective conjugation length and UV/vis spectra of oligomers. *Acta Polym* 1997, 48, 379–384.
- (48). Chen HC; Sreearunothai P; Cook AR; Asaoka S; Wu Q; Miller JR Chain Length Dependence of Energies of Electron and Triplet Polarons in Oligofluorenes. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2017, 121, 5959–5967.
- (49). Carrington A; McLachlan AD Introduction to Magnetic Resonance; Harper & Row: New York, 1969.
- (50). Weil JA; Bolton JR; Weil JA; Bolton JR; Wertz JE Electron Paramagnetic Resonance: Elementary Theory and Practical Applications; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 2007.
- (51). Richert S; Tait CE; Timmel CR Delocalisation of photoexcited triplet states probed by transient EPR and hyperfine spectroscopy. *J. Magn. Reson* 2017, 280, 103–116. [PubMed: 28579096]
- (52). Liang YY; Wu Y; Feng DQ; Tsai ST; Son HJ; Li G; Yu LP Development of New Semiconducting Polymers for High Performance Solar Cells. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2009, 131, 56–57. [PubMed: 19093812]
- (53). Fauvell TJ; Zheng T; Jackson NE; Ratner MA; Yu L; Chen LX Photophysical and Morphological Implications of Single-Strand Conjugated Polymer Folding in Solution. *Chem. Mat* 2016, 28, 2814–2822.
- (54). Bresgunov AY; Dubinskii AA; Krimov VN; Petrov YG; Poluektov OG; Lebedev YS Pulsed EPR in 2-mm Band. *Appl. Magn. Reson* 1991, 2, 715–728.
- (55). Poluektov OG; Utschig LM; Schlesselman SL; Lakshmi KV; Brudvig GW; Kothe G; Thurnauer MC Electronic Structure of the P₇₀₀ Special Pair from High-Frequency Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Spectroscopy. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2002, 106, 8911–8916.
- (56). Stoll S; Schweiger A EasySpin, a Comprehensive Software Package for Spectral Simulation and Analysis in EPR. *J. Magn. Reson* 2006, 178, 42–55. [PubMed: 16188474]
- (57). Stephens PJ; Devlin FJ; Chabalowski CF; Frisch MJ Ab Initio Calculation of Vibrational Absorption and Circular Dichroism Spectra Using Density Functional Force Fields. *J. Phys. Chem* 1994, 98, 11623–11627.
- (58). Becke AD Density-Functional Thermochemistry. III. The Role of Exact Exchange. *J. Chem. Phys* 1993, 98, 5648–5652.
- (59). Vosko SH; Wilk L; Nusair M Accurate Spin-Dependent Electron Liquid Correlation Energies for Local Spin Density Calculations: a Critical Analysis. *Can. J. Phys* 1980, 58, 1200–1211.
- (60). Lee CT; Yang WT; Parr RG Development of the Colle-Salvetti Correlation-Energy Formula into a Functional of the Electron Density. *Phys. Rev. B* 1988, 37, 785–789.
- (61). Baker J; Wolinski K; Malagoli M; Kinghorn D; Wolinski P; Magyarfalvi G; Saebo S; Janowski T; Pulay P Quantum Chemistry in Parallel with PQS. *J. Comput. Chem* 2009, 30, 317–335. [PubMed: 18615419]
- (62). Neese F The ORCA Program System. *WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci* 2012, 2, 73–78.
- (63). Rega N; Cossi M; Barone V Development and Validation of Reliable Quantum Mechanical Approaches for the Study of Free Radicals in Solution. *J. Chem. Phys* 1996, 105, 11060–11067.
- (64). Barone V Structure, Magnetic Properties and Reactivities of Open-Shell Species from Density Functional and Self-Consistent Hybrid Methods In Recent Advances in Density Functional Methods (Part 1), Chong DP, (Ed.) World Scientific: Singapore, 1995; pp 287–334.
- (65). Neese F Efficient and Accurate Approximations to the Molecular Spin-Orbit Coupling Operator and their Use in Molecular g-Tensor Calculations. *J. Chem. Phys* 2005, 122, 034107.

Figure 1. Structures of the shorter PTB7-type oligomer model compounds investigated (B, benzodithiophene unit; F, fluorinated thieno[3,4-*b*]thiophene unit; TIPS, triisopropylsilyl; R, 2-ethyl hexyl). Figure S1 shows the structures of the TIPS-BFB oligomer with alkyl side chains and the PTB7 and PTB7-Th polymers.

Figure 2.

a) High-frequency pulsed (130 GHz, D-band) EPR spectra of illuminated blends consisting of the fullerene PC₆₁BM with PTB7-type oligomers (see Figure 1) and the PTB7 polymer at cryogenic temperature. The low field part of the spectra (<4.650 T) is due to the PTB7-type oligomers and the PTB7 polymer (positive polaron), while the high field part (>4.650 T) is due to the negative polaron on PC₆₁BM.^{25–26, 28–29, 35–36} Dashed lines are spectral simulations of the positive polaron. Simulation parameters are summarized in Table 1. **b)** Principal g-values of positive polaron on the PTB7-type oligomer model compounds in comparison with the PTB7 polymer as determined by high-frequency EPR ($g_x > g_y > g_z$). The g_z axis is perpendicular to the π -system, while the g_x and g_z axes lie in the plane of the molecule (as determined by DFT calculations).

Figure 3.

a) X-band pulse Davies ENDOR spectra of illuminated blends consisting of the fullerene PC_{61}BM with PTB7-type oligomers (see Figure 1) and the PTB7 polymer at cryogenic temperature. **b)** Overall width of Davies ENDOR spectra for PTB7-type oligomer model compounds in comparison with the PTB7 polymer.

Figure 4.

a) Transient X-band EPR spectra of PTB7-type oligomers in their neutral photoexcited triplet state at cryogenic temperature. Integration after Laser flash is 250–1250 ns. Positive signals correspond to absorption (A) and negative to emission (E). **b)** Magnitude of the ZFS parameter D ($|D|$) for PTB7-type oligomer model compounds in comparison with the PTB7 polymer.

Figure 5.

PBEh-3c-optimized structures of the PTB7-type $n = 1.5$ oligomer cation radical (positive polaron). All ethylhexyl groups were replaced with ethyl groups. Structures are very similar for other functionals. The conformations are defined by the relative positions of the sulfur atoms as shown on inset where the blue highlighted bond is labeled cis/C; the green trans/T. Due to symmetry of the molecule, the CT structure (c) could also be referred to as TC. However, there is a potential F-H bond in structure C_HT (b). Note, that structures (b) and (c) are shown in a different orientation than the others for better visual presentation. Spin density calculations with the B3LYP||EPRII basis and def2-tzvp basis for S and Si atoms. The spin density isosurface is shown at 0.001 e/a_0^3 . (a) CC, (b) C_HT, (c) CT, and (d) TT.

Table 1.

Experimental g-values and width of pulsed ^1H ENDOR spectrum for positive polarons and ZFS parameters for triplet exciton on the PTB7-type oligomers and PTB7 polymer.

	1 mer	1.5 mer	2.5 mer	3.5 mer	6.5 mer	PTB7
g_z	2.0022	2.0023	2.0024	2.0023	2.0023	2.0023
g_y	2.0060	2.0042	2.0037	2.0034	2.0032	2.0031
g_x	2.0085	2.0064	2.0053	2.0046	2.0045	2.0045
Width of ^1H ENDOR Spectrum [MHz]	≈ 12	≈ 12	6.6	6.2	6.0	6.0
ZFS Parameters [D], [E] [10^{-4} cm^{-1}]	761, 138	549, 64	497, 57	475, 56	458, 70	417, 56

Table 2.

Effect of conformation on g-values for the n=1.5 oligomer conformers. Optimizations are from B3LYP||6-31G* and PBEh-3c||def2-mSVP methods and all g-values were calculated using the B3LYP||EPRII basis and def2-tzvpp basis for S and Si atoms.

g	B3LYP 6-31G*				PBEh-3c def2-mSVP				Expt'l
	TT (1.0)	CT (0.8)	C _H T (0.0)	CC (0.2)	TT (0.0)	CT (0.4)	C _H T (2.1)	CC (0.6)	
g_z	2.0022	2.0022	2.0022	2.0022	2.0022	2.0022	2.0020	2.0022	2.0023
g_y	2.0039	2.0043	2.0041	2.0040	2.0042	2.0044	2.0037	2.0042	2.0042
g_x	2.0060	2.0050	2.0059	2.0050	2.0058	2.0050	2.0057	2.0052	2.0064

^a Conformations are defined as *cis/trans* (C/T) as shown in Figure 5. Bold parentheses –energies, in kcal/mol