Quantification of Ethanol Levels in Zebrafish Embryos Using Head Space Gas Chromatography

C. Ben Lovely¹

¹Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Genetics, Alcohol Research Center, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY, USA

Abstract

Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorders (FASD) describe a highly variable continuum of ethanol-induced developmental defects, including facial dysmorphologies and neurological impairments. With a complex pathology, FASD affects approximately 1 in 100 children born in the United States each year. Due to the highly variable nature of FASD, animal models have proven critical in our current mechanistic understanding of ethanol-induced development defects. An increasing number of laboratories has focused on using zebrafish to examine ethanol-induced developmental defects. Zebrafish produce large numbers of externally fertilized, genetically tractable, translucent embryos. This allows researchers to precisely control timing and dosage of ethanol exposure in multiple genetic contexts and quantify the impact of embryonic ethanol exposure through live imaging techniques. This, combined with the high degree of conservation of both genetics and development with humans, has proven zebrafish to be a powerful model in which to study the mechanistic basis of ethanol teratogenicity. However, ethanol exposure regimens have varied between different zebrafish studies, which has confounded the interpretation of zebrafish data across these studies. Here is a protocol to quantify ethanol concentrations in zebrafish embryos using head space gas chromatography.

Keywords

zebrafish; development; ethanol; fetal alcohol spectrum disorders; head space gas chromatography; embryonic ethanol concentration; animal model

INTRODUCTION:

Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorders (FASD) describes a wide array of neurological impairments and craniofacial dysmorphologies associated with embryonic ethanol exposure¹. Multiple factors, including timing and dosage of ethanol exposure and genetic background, contribute to the variation of FASD^{2,3}. In humans, the complex relationship of these variables makes studying and understanding the etiology of FASD challenging. Animal models have proven crucial in developing our understanding of the mechanistic

Corresponding Author: C. Ben Lovely (ben.lovely@louisville.edu).

DISCLOSURES:

The authors have nothing to disclose.

basis of ethanol teratogenicity. A wide variety of animal model systems has been used to study multiple aspects of FASD and results have been remarkably consistent with what is found in exposure in humans⁴. Rodent model systems are used to examine many aspects of FASD, with mice being the most common^{5–7}. The majority of this work has focused on developmental defects to early ethanol exposure⁸, though later exposure to ethanol has been shown to cause developmental anomalies as well⁹. Moreover, the genetic capabilities of mice have greatly aided in our ability to probe the genetic underpinnings of FASD^{10,11}. These studies in mice strongly suggest that there are gene-ethanol interactions with the sonic hedgehog pathway, retinoic acid signaling, *Superoxide dismutase*, *Nitric oxide synthase I*, *Aldh2* and *Fancd2*^{8,10–21}. These studies show that animal models are critical to advancing our understanding of FASD and its underlying mechanisms.

The zebrafish has emerged as a powerful model system to examine many aspects of ethanol teratogenesis^{22,23}. Due to their external fertilization, high fecundity, genetic tractability, and live imaging capabilities, zebrafish are ideally suited to study factors such as timing, dosage, and genetics of ethanol teratogenesis. Ethanol can be administered to precisely staged embryos and the embryos can then be imaged to examine the direct impact of ethanol during developmental processes. This work can be related directly to humans, because the genetic programs of development are highly conserved between zebrafish and humans and can therefore help guide FASD human studies²⁴. While zebrafish have been used to examine ethanol teratogenesis, a lack of consensus in reporting embryonic ethanol concentrations makes comparison to humans difficult²⁵. In mammalian systems, blood-alcohol levels correlate directly to tissue ethanol levels²⁶. Many of the zebrafish studies treat embryos before complete formation of their circulatory system. With no maternal sample to examine, a process to assess ethanol concentrations is required to quantify ethanol levels within the embryo. Here we describe a process to quantify ethanol concentrations in a developing zebrafish embryo using head space gas chromatography.

PROTOCOL:

All zebrafish embryos used in this procedure were raised and bred following established IACUC protocols²⁷. These protocols were approved by the University of Texas at Austin and the University of Louisville.

NOTE: The zebrafish line *Tg(fli1:EGFP)^{y1}* was used in this study²⁸. All water used in this procedure is sterile reverse osmosis water. All statistical analyses were performed using Graphpad Prism v8.2.1.

1. Making embryo media

1.1. To make a 20x stock of embryo media, dissolve 17.5 g of NaCl, 0.75 g of KCl, 2.9 g of CaCl₂, 0.41 g of K₂HPO₄, 0.142 g of Na₂HPO₄, and 4.9 g of MgSO₄·7H₂O in 1 L of water. Ignore the white precipitate that forms; this will not impact the media. Filter sterilize the stock solution and store at 4 °C.

1.2. To create the working embryo media solution, dissolve 1.2 g of NaHCO_3 in 1 L of 20x embryo media stock and add 19 L of water. Maintain the working embryo media solution at 28 °C.

2. Measuring the embryonic volume using water displacement

NOTE: In this protocol, 24 hours post fertilization (hpf) embryos (Figure 1) are used. The embryos used in the volume measurements are not used in the ethanol analysis.

2.1. Place 10 embryos and extraembryonic fluid in 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube marked at a volume of 250 μL (Figure 2A). Add water to the 250 μL fill line (see sample with dyed water in Figure 2B).

2.2. Repeat step 2.1 to set up receptacles for embryos that have had their chorions removed.

2.2.1. To remove the chorion, place the embryos in their chorions in a 100 mm Petri dish with 2 mg/mL of protease cocktail in embryo media at room temperature (RT) for 10 min. Every few minutes, gently swirl the embryos to break the chorion.

2.2.2. Once all the embryos are free of their chorion, remove the dechorionated embryos from protease cocktail/embryo media and place in a new 100 mm Petri dish with fresh embryo media to wash the embryos. Repeat this wash step 1 more time (for a total of 2 washes). Transfer the embryos to a fresh 100 mm Petri dish.

2.3. Using the smallest tip possible and without damaging the embryos, carefully remove all liquid from around the embryos using a p200 micropipettor (Figure 2C) and weigh the water with a scale with <0.1 mg precision. To determine the volume of the sample of 10 embryos, subtract the weight/volume of the water (1 mL of water = 1 g of water.) removed from 250 μL . To determine the volume of a single embryo, divide the difference between 250 μL and the weight of the water removed by 10.

$$\text{Volume of the sample of 10 embryos} = 250 \mu\text{L} - \text{weight of water removed}$$

$$\text{Volume of an individual embryo} = \text{volume of the sample of 10 embryos} \div 10$$

3. Treating embryos with

3.1. Gather embryos from mating tanks and place in a standard 100 mm Petri dish. Count out no more than 100 embryos per single Petri dish and incubate at 28.5 °C.

NOTE: If the chorion is to be removed (step 2.2), it needs to be removed before adding ethanol.

3.2. At 6 hpf, add up to 100 embryos to a new standard 100 mm Petri dish either with embryo media or embryo media + 1% ethanol (v/v). Cover, but DO NOT seal the Petri dish. Place the embryos in a low temp incubator set at 28.5 °C for 18 h, or until the embryos reach the developmental time point of 24 hpf.

4. Preparing workflow before processing the embryos for head space gas chromatography

- 4.1. Make a solution of 5 M NaCl in water (450 μ L will be needed per sample tube) to denature all proteins and prevent ethanol metabolism. Make the protease cocktail (Table of Materials) at a concentration of 2 mg/mL in embryo media.
- 4.2. Label a 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube and a 2 mL gas chromatograph vial for each sample. Label additional 2 mL gas chromatograph vials for the ethanol standards described below as well as air, water, and the 5 M NaCl/protease cocktail blanks.
- 4.3. Set up three p200 micropipettors two set to 50 μ L, and the third set to 200 μ L; a p1000 micropipettor set to 450 μ L and a p2 micropipettor set to 2 μ L. For the glass pipettes used to transfer embryos from the Petri dishes to the 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tubes, quickly pass the pipette tip through a flame to smooth the edges to not damage the embryos when drawing them into the pipette.

5. Processing embryos for head space gas chromatography

NOTE: Both embryos in their chorions and those previously removed from their chorions are treated the same for consistency in the calculation of dilution factors.

- 5.1. Using the two p200 micropipettors set to 50 μ L, draw 50 μ L of the protease cocktail solution into one and draw 50 μ L of water into the second.
- 5.2. Using the glass pipette and micropipettor, quickly place 10 embryos (from step 3.2) in a 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube and close the cap (as described in Figure 2A). Repeat for all samples to be tested, controls, and ethanol treated embryos.
- 5.3. Using the p200 micropipettor set to 200 μ L, quickly open the cap and remove all residual embryo media (as described in Figure 2C). Quickly place the pipette containing 50 μ L of water in the tube and rapidly but gently (to not damage the embryos) add, then remove the water. Quickly add 50 μ L of the protease cocktail solution from the waiting pipettor and close the tube cap (Figure 2D).

NOTE: Perform this process one sample at a time.

- 5.4. Let the sample sit at RT for 10 min to allow the protease cocktail to degrade the chorion. Then, quickly add 450 μ L of 5 M NaCl and close the tube cap (Figure 2E). Vortex the samples for 10 min. To speed up the process, set up the mixer to vortex multiple samples at the same time.

NOTE: Add a small amount (~100 μ L) of a silica bead mixture (2 sizes, 0.5 mm and 1 mm bead) to any tube with embryos older than 24 hpf. In older embryos, the notochord will remain intact regardless of how long they are homogenized.

- 5.5. After homogenizing for 10 min, quickly remove 2 μ L of homogenized embryo supernatant and add to a gas chromatograph vial. Quickly seal the vial with the polytetrafluoroethylene cap.

6. Preparing media and ethanol standards

6.1. To prepare the media standards, dilute the media by a factor of 10 with a 5 M NaCl/ protease cocktail solution. Add 2 μ L of each sample to a gas chromatograph vial and seal with a polytetrafluoroethylene cap.

6.2. To prepare the ethanol standards, create a serial dilution of 100% ethanol in 5 M NaCl/ protease cocktail solution to the following concentrations: 0.3125, 0.625, 1.25, 2.5, 5, 10, 20, and 40 mM. Add 2 μ L of each standard to a gas chromatograph vial and seal with a polytetrafluoroethylene cap.

7. Preparing the head space gas chromatograph

NOTE: This setup and protocol may need to be changed depending on the gas chromatograph used. Head space gas chromatography is used to quantify ethanol levels, not for separation.

7.1. Set the heater for the autosampler to 58 °C and turn on. Allow the heater to reach 58 °C, and turn on the air and hydrogen gas lines feeding the gas chromatograph (for flame ionization used to quantify the ethanol).

NOTE: The psi should be set to properly operate the chromatograph according to the manufacturer's specifications. Make sure the helium line is on and set to the proper psi.

7.2. For the septum, make sure the number of samples injected is not >100. For the injection fiber, make sure the number of samples injected is not >500.

NOTE: If either is over the amount of injections, they will need to be changed before running the test samples.

7.3. Turn on the analysis software and make sure the workstation is set up properly. Store all data according to lab/department standards. Create a new sample list for the samples to be run.

7.4. Initiate the startup method and wait for the flame ionization detector to stabilize before running the samples. Once stable, run the software startup method to clean the fiber.

8. Sample measurements using head space gas chromatography

8.1. Once the startup method is complete, create 1 new line per sample in the sample list. From the methods menu in the analysis software, load 436 Current spme ethanol 2013 3min absorb 2_5min rg run.METH for each sample on the sample list.

8.1.1. Fill out the sample list, starting with the air, water, 5 M NaCl/protease cocktail blanks. Then enter in the standards in order, from 0.3125 to 40 mM. Follow the standards with a second round of the air, water, and 5 M NaCl/protease cocktail blanks.

8.1.2. Enter all homogenized embryo supernatant samples to be tested, from lowest to highest predicted ethanol concentration. End by entering a third and final round of the air, water, and 5 M NaCl/protease cocktail blanks.

8.2. Add the gas chromatograph vials to the autosampler in the order in which the samples were entered. Allow samples to warm for 10–15 min. Start the sample runs in the software.

8.3. After all the samples and the final blanks have run, activate the shutdown method in the software by adding a final sample in the sample list and running standby.METH. Back up all data acquired during the sample runs. Turn off the equipment in the following order: autosampler heater, hydrogen tank and, only after the chromatograph temperature reaches 30 °C, the air tank. Leave the helium tank on to preserve the wax column.

9. Sample ethanol peak integration and sample concentration analysis

NOTE: All values from 9.3 on were calculated in an excel file that all equations prefilled.

9.1. Once the shutdown method is complete, click on Open chromatogram. Open the folder containing the results. Samples are automatically integrated in this program.

9.2. In the results, make sure the correct peaks have been integrated (ethanol peaks between 2 and 2.5). Once all samples have been confirmed, print or export the results.

9.3. Plot the peak height of the ethanol standards on a graph. Calculate the slope, Y intercept and R² values for the ethanol standards (R² should be >0.99).

NOTE: These values will be used to determine the ethanol concentration from the sample peak height.

9.4. For each sample, subtract the Y intercept of the ethanol standards from the peak height for the sample. Divide this value by the slope of the ethanol standards to obtain the ethanol value for each sample in the GC vials.

$$\text{Sample ethanol value} = (Y \text{ intercept} - \text{sample peak height}) / \text{slope}$$

9.5. To calculate the ethanol concentration in the embryos, first calculate the dilution factor for each sample. Take the volume measure calculated in step 2.3 of this protocol and divide it by the volume measure plus 500 µL. This represents the 5 M NaCl/protease cocktail solution added to each sample during embryo processing (steps 5.3 and 5.4).

$$\text{Sample dilution factor} = \text{embryonic volume} / (\text{embryonic volume} + 500 \mu\text{L}).$$

9.6. Using this sample dilution factor, multiply by the sample ethanol value for each sample. The results will be in mM concentration. Calculate media reference samples by multiplying the media ethanol value by the dilution factor of 10.

$$\text{Embryonic ethanol concentrations} = \text{sample ethanol value} \times \text{sample dilution factor}.$$

$$\text{Media concentration} = \text{media ethanol value} \times 10$$

REPRESENTATIVE RESULTS:

Blood ethanol levels cannot be determined in early embryonic zebrafish, because they lack a fully formed circulatory system. To determine the level of ethanol concentration in the zebrafish embryos, the ethanol levels are measured directly from homogenized embryonic tissue. To properly measure the embryonic ethanol concentrations, the embryonic volume has to be taken into account. The embryo (yolk attached) sits inside the chorion (eggshell) surrounded by extraembryonic fluid (Figure 1). Any volume measure of the embryo has to be made on embryos at the time of exposure, because embryonic volume will change over developmental time as the embryo increases in size. In addition, how the embryo is processed has to be taken into account as well as the embryonic volume, because both of these elements create dilution factors used to calculate embryonic ethanol levels.

Because the embryo is not a perfect sphere, especially after 10 hpf, water displacement was used to assess embryonic volume. This study used embryos at 24 hpf. The volume of embryos both in their chorion and those removed from their chorion were measured. These volumes were $1.97 (\pm 0.4 \text{ SD}) \mu\text{L}$ for the embryo with extraembryonic fluid, and $1.1 (\pm 0.22 \text{ SD}) \mu\text{L}$ for the embryo alone (Table 1). This difference between the embryo with extraembryonic fluid and the embryo alone is the volume of the extraembryonic fluid, which surrounds the embryo inside the chorion (Figure 1). This difference is critical in determining the ethanol concentration of the embryo alone in the samples. From the analysis, the embryo comprised 56% of the total of the volume of the embryo with extraembryonic fluid inside the chorion (Table 1). As the embryo grew, the embryonic volume increased over time, resulting in a decrease in the volume of the extraembryonic fluid²⁵. When measuring ethanol concentrations from embryos still in their chorion, the water content in both the embryo and the extraembryonic fluid must be taken into account.

Previously published work has shown that the water fraction in the embryo alone is 73.6%²⁹. This result was obtained using a combination of volume measures, electron spin resonance spectroscopy, and magnetic resonance microscopy. To confirm this result, embryos were weighed alone before and after baking at 70 °C, as previously described²⁵. This process removes all water from the embryo. The change in weight represents the amount of water in the embryo. From this analysis, a 24 hpf embryo contained ~72% water while a 48 hpf embryo contained ~76%. Both of these values are extremely similar to the 73.6% embryonic water volume previously calculated²⁹. This suggests that the water volume of the embryo changes little throughout early development.

Based on the published work using multiple approaches to calculate water volume in the embryo, 73.6% was used as the embryonic water content for all of the presented analyses. This resulted in water comprising 0.82 μL of the 1.1 μL of embryonic volume (Table 1). From this, the volume of the extraembryonic fluid was calculated as 0.86 μL . Of the total water volume of the embryo with extraembryonic fluid, 49% is contained in the embryo and 51% is in the extraembryonic fluid (Table 1).

For the gas chromatography analysis, only 24 hpf embryos still in their chorion were used (Figure 1). In this ethanol regimen, embryos were treated with 1% (171 mM) ethanol media

concentration from 6–24 hpf, though different ethanol concentrations and exposure time windows can be used depending on experimental design. Two groups of 15 samples (10 embryos per sample) and the media with which they were treated over 2 different experimental days (Table 2) were analyzed. Media levels can vary from experiment to experiment. To account for this, media ethanol levels were measured 5x per experimental group. In this analysis, media levels were 143.6 ($\pm$ 2.3 SD) mM for group 1 and 133.6 ($\pm$ 2.7 SD) mM for group 2. These values are significantly different using an unpaired *t* test (*p* = 0.0002). The embryos with extraembryonic fluid averaged 63.5 ($\pm$ 17.1 SD) mM and 53.1 ($\pm$ 12.6 SD) mM for groups 1 and 2, respectively. However, the concentration was not significantly different between the 2 groups of embryos with extraembryonic fluid (unpaired *t* test, *p* = 0.07). Untreated control embryos were measured at 0 mM. Due to the variation in media levels, a ratio of embryonic ethanol concentration/media levels of ethanol for each sample was calculated. Group 1 had 44% of the media ethanol levels, while group 2 had 40% of the media ethanol levels (Figure 3 and Table 2).

DISCUSSION:

As a developmental model system, zebrafish are ideally suited to study the impact of environmental factors on development. They produce large numbers of externally fertilized embryos, which allows for precise timing and dosage paradigms in ethanol studies. This, combined with the live imaging capabilities and the genetic and developmental conservation with humans, make zebrafish a powerful model system for teratology studies. Described is a protocol for measuring embryonic ethanol concentrations in developing zebrafish embryos using head space gas chromatography.

Determining embryonic ethanol concentration is critical to understanding the impact of ethanol to the developing embryo. In rodent models, blood ethanol concentrations correlate to tissue concentrations²⁶. However, it is not possible to assess blood ethanol levels in early embryonic development in a zebrafish. Head space gas chromatography has been used to measure ethanol levels in various experimental paradigms, including zebrafish^{25,30–35}. However, this protocol can be used to measure many different environmental factors, even though the volatility of these various factors needs to be high enough to measure quantitatively and a gas chromatograph column needs to be chosen based on the polarity of the compounds in question. In addition, zebrafish are best suited to study the impact of water-soluble factors, because exposure to hydrophobic factors is extremely difficult to analyze in fish.

This protocol will allow researchers to directly assess ethanol concentrations in zebrafish embryos. However, several factors have to be addressed to ensure accurate measurements of embryonic ethanol concentrations. Because ethanol levels in total embryonic tissues were measured, embryonic volume had to be considered. After 10 hpf the embryo begins somitogenesis (somite formation) and is no longer a sphere (Figure 1). To assess embryonic volume, water displacement was used on 10 pooled embryos per sample. Each sample consisted of 10 embryos for two reasons: first, to reduce error from pipetting small volumes, and second, to average out small fluctuations in embryo volumes. When measuring embryonic volume, it is important to consider the precision of pipetting and weighing. Even

the use of 10 embryos per sample is at the lower limit of precision for both the pipettes and the scale used to weigh the embryos. With the equipment available, using fewer than 10 embryos would have impacted the analyses. This does not limit researchers from using fewer embryos per sample as long as equipment precision is considered.

Embryonic volume is one factor impacting sample analysis. A second key factor is embryonic processing speed, because ethanol is volatile. In addition, ethanol quickly equilibrates in multiple animal studies^{25,36–37}. Our wash protocol is designed to quickly remove any ethanol adhering to the exterior surface of the chorion. In this study this wash protocol did not impact the embryonic ethanol levels, though longer or multiple washes could impact embryonic ethanol concentrations^{25,38}. Yet a third factor to take into account is the dilution of the embryonic volume during processing. This protocol uses a protease cocktail to degrade the chorion as well as 5 M NaCl to denature all proteins, including alcohol processing enzymes.

Finally, a range of analyses and reporting methods have been described in a wide variety of zebrafish studies. Due to variation within different samples of a study or comparing different studies, proper reporting of ethanol concentration is critical^{25,38}. Methods using indirect (usually enzymatic measures) rely on analysis of a secondary metabolite. In that case, the rate of enzymatic activity can vary and impede precise analysis of ethanol concentration. This method directly measures ethanol levels, and ethanol concentrations are reported as a ratio of embryo to media concentrations. Our results are in line with a growing consensus of a 24 hpf embryo containing ~30% of media concentrations (Table 3)^{25,38–40}. Surprisingly, this 30% embryonic ethanol concentration is independent of media concentration, and it is not well understood what creates this equilibrium^{25,38}. Embryos older than 24 hpf show decreases in ethanol concentrations, with 48 hpf embryos containing nearly half of the ethanol content of a 24 hpf embryo²⁵. However, our results do not determine the ethanol-water equilibrium. Given the speed at which ethanol equilibrates, as well as the volatility of ethanol, it is incredibly difficult to assess water volume changes in the embryo itself due to ethanol exposure. Trying to measure the volume of an ethanol treated embryo sample using water displacement will result in loss of ethanol from the embryo during the measurement. Using more precise microscopy and spectroscopy methods than those described above, it may be possible to determine the ethanol-water equilibrium in an embryo by directly measuring both at the same time.

Overall, the protocol described here is a powerful tool with which to assess embryonic ethanol concentrations in developing zebrafish embryos. This information is critical in standardizing FASD studies using zebrafish. The ability to precisely control ethanol treatment paradigms and directly quantify embryonic ethanol concentrations strengthens the functionality of the zebrafish model for human FASD studies. Ultimately, this work will greatly improve our understanding of FASD.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

The research presented in this article was supported by previous grants from National Institutes of Health/National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research (NIH/NIDCR) R01DE020884 to Johann K. Eberhart and National Institutes of Health/National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIH/NIAAA) F32AA021320 to C.B.L.

and by the current grant from National Institutes of Health/National Institute on Alcohol Abuse (NIH/NIAAA) R00AA023560 to C.B.L. We thank Dr. Rueben Gonzales for providing and assisting with gas chromatograph analysis. We thank Dr. Johann Eberhart and Mary Swartz for zebrafish set up. We thank Dr. Saul Jamie, Tiahna Ontiveros and Dr. Gina Nobles writing assistance.

REFERENCES:

1. Elliott EJ, Payne J, Morris A, Haan E, Bower C Fetal alcohol syndrome: a prospective national surveillance study. *Archives of Diseases in Childhood*. 93 (9), 732–737 (2008).
2. Cudd TA Animal model systems for the study of alcohol teratology. *Experimental Biology and Medicine*. 230 (6), 389–393 (2005). [PubMed: 15956768]
3. Williams JF, Smith VC Committee on Substance Abuse. Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorders. *Pediatrics*. 136 (5), e1395–1406 (2015). [PubMed: 26482673]
4. Patten AR, Fontaine CJ, and Christie BR A comparison of the different animal models of fetal alcohol spectrum disorders and their use in studying complex behaviors. *Frontiers in Pediatrics*. 2: 93, (2014). [PubMed: 25232537]
5. Petrelli B, Weinberg J, Hicks GG Effects of prenatal alcohol exposure (PAE): insights into FASD using mouse models of PAE. *Biochemistry and Cell Biology*. 96 (2), 131–147 (2018). [PubMed: 29370535]
6. Mayfield J, Arends MA, Harris RA, Blednov YA Genes and Alcohol Consumption: Studies with Mutant Mice. *International Review Neurobiology*. 126, 293–355 (2016).
7. Marquardt K, Brigman JL The impact of prenatal alcohol exposure on social, cognitive and affective behavioral domains: Insights from rodent models. *Alcohol*. 51, 1–15 (2016). [PubMed: 26992695]
8. Sulik KK Genesis of alcohol-induced craniofacial dysmorphism. *Experimental Biology and Medicine*. 230 (6), 366–375 (2005). [PubMed: 15956766]
9. Lipinski RJ et al. Ethanol-induced face-brain dysmorphology patterns are correlative and exposure-stage dependent. *PLoS One*. 7 (8), e43067 (2012). [PubMed: 22937012]
10. Eberhart JK, Parnell S The genetics of fetal alcohol spectrum disorders. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research*. 40 (6), 1154–1165 (2016).
11. Becker HC, Diaz-Granados JL, Randall CL Teratogenic actions of ethanol in the mouse: a minireview. *Pharmacology, Biochemistry and Behavior*. 55 (4), 501–513 (1996).
12. Ahlgren SC, Thakur V, Bronner-Fraser M Sonic hedgehog rescues cranial neural crest from cell death induced by ethanol exposure. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 99 (16), 10476–10481 (2002). [PubMed: 12140368]
13. Loucks EJ, Ahlgren SC Deciphering the role of Shh signaling in axial defects produced by ethanol exposure. *Birth Defects Research Part A: Clinical and Molecular Teratology*. 85 (6), 556–567 (2009). [PubMed: 19235835]
14. Hong M, Krauss RS Cdon mutation and fetal ethanol exposure synergize to produce midline signaling defects and holoprosencephaly spectrum disorders in mice. *PLoS Genetics*. 8 (10), e1002999 (2012). [PubMed: 23071453]
15. Aoto K, Shikata Y, Higashiyama D, Shiota K, Motoyama J Fetal ethanol exposure activates protein kinase A and impairs Shh expression in prechordal mesendoderm cells in the pathogenesis of holoprosencephaly. *Birth Defects Research Part A: Clinical and Molecular Teratology*. 82 (4), 224–231 (2008). [PubMed: 18338389]
16. Deltour L, Ang HL, Duester G Ethanol inhibition of retinoic acid synthesis as a potential mechanism for fetal alcohol syndrome. *The FASEB Journal*. 10 (9), 1050–1057 (1996). [PubMed: 8801166]
17. Wentzel P, Eriksson UJ Ethanol-induced fetal dysmorphogenesis in the mouse is diminished by high antioxidative capacity of the mother. *Toxicological Sciences*. 92 (2), 416–422 (2006). [PubMed: 16731578]
18. Karacay B, Mahoney J, Plume J, Bonthius DJ Genetic absence of nNOS worsens fetal alcohol effects in mice. II: microencephaly and neuronal losses. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research*. 39 (2), 221–231 (2015).

19. Bonthius DJ Jr., Winters Z, Karacay B, Bousquet SL, Bonthius DJ Importance of genetics in fetal alcohol effects: null mutation of the nNOS gene worsens alcohol-induced cerebellar neuronal losses and behavioral deficits. *Neurotoxicology*. 46, 60–72 (2015). [PubMed: 25511929]
20. Bonthius DJ, et al. Deficiency of neuronal nitric oxide synthase (nNOS) worsens alcohol-induced microencephaly and neuronal loss in developing mice. *Brain Research. Developmental Brain Research* 138 (1), 45–59 (2002). [PubMed: 12234657]
21. Langevin F, Crossan GP, Rosado IV, Arends MJ, Patel KJ Fancd2 counteracts the toxic effects of naturally produced aldehydes in mice. *Nature*. 475 (7354), 53–58 (2011). [PubMed: 21734703]
22. Lovely CB, Fernandes Y, Eberhart JK Fishing for Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorders: Zebrafish as a Model for Ethanol Teratogenesis. *Zebrafish*. 13 (5), 391–398 (2016). [PubMed: 27186793]
23. Fernandes Y, Buckley DM, Eberhart JK Diving into the world of alcohol teratogenesis: a review of zebrafish models of fetal alcohol spectrum disorder. *Biochemistry and Cell Biology*. 96 (2), 88–97 (2018). [PubMed: 28817785]
24. McCarthy N et al. Pdgfra protects against ethanol-induced craniofacial defects in a zebrafish model of FASD. *Development*. 140 (15), 3254–3265 (2013). [PubMed: 23861062]
25. Lovely CB, Nobles RD, Eberhart JK Developmental age strengthens barriers to ethanol accumulation in zebrafish. *Alcohol*. 48 (6), 595–602 (2014). [PubMed: 25012627]
26. Harris RA, Trudell JR, Mihic SJ Ethanol's molecular targets. *Science Signaling*. 1 (28), re7 (2008). [PubMed: 18632551]
27. Westerfield M The Zebrafish Book: A guide for the laboratory use of zebrafish *Danio* (*Brachydanio rerio*). University of Oregon Press Eugene, OR (1993).
28. Lawson ND, Weinstein BM In vivo imaging of embryonic vascular development using transgenic zebrafish. *Developmental Biology*. 248 (2), 307–318 (2002). [PubMed: 12167406]
29. Hagedorn M, Kleinhans FW, Artemov D, Pilatus U Water Distribution and permeability of zebrafish embryos, *Brachydanio rerio*. *Journal of Experimental Zoology*. 278 (6), 356–371 (1997). [PubMed: 9262005]
30. Lippi G et al. The alcohol used for cleansing the venipuncture site does not jeopardize blood and plasma alcohol measurement with head-space gas chromatography and an enzymatic assay. *Biochemia Medica*. 27 (2), 398–403 (2017). [PubMed: 28694729]
31. Poklis JL, Wolf CE 2nd, Peace MR Ethanol concentration in 56 refillable electronic cigarettes liquid formulations determined by headspace gas chromatography with flame ionization detector (HS-GC-FID). *Drug Testing and Analysis*. 9 (10), 1637–1640 (2017). [PubMed: 28332307]
32. Heit C et al. Quantification of Neural Ethanol and Acetaldehyde Using Headspace GC-MS. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research*. 40 (9), 1825–1831 (2016).
33. Chun HJ, Poklis JL, Poklis A, Wolf CE Development and Validation of a Method for Alcohol Analysis in Brain Tissue by Headspace Gas Chromatography with Flame Ionization Detector. *Journal of Analytical Toxicology*. 40 (8), 653–658 (2016). [PubMed: 27488829]
34. Schlatter J, Chiadmi F, Gandon V, Chariot P Simultaneous determination of methanol, acetaldehyde, acetone, and ethanol in human blood by gas chromatography with flame ionization detection. *Human and Experimental Toxicology*. 33 (1), 74–80 (2013). [PubMed: 23584355]
35. Schier CJ, Mangieri RA, Dilly GA, Gonzales RA Microdialysis of ethanol during operant ethanol self-administration and ethanol determination by gas chromatography. *Journal of Visualized Experiments*. 5 (67), pii: 4142 (2012).
36. Adalsteinsson E, Sullivan EV, Mayer D, Pfefferbaum A In vivo quantification of ethanol kinetics in rat brain. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 31 (12), 2683–2691 (2006). [PubMed: 16407891]
37. Quertemont E, Green HL, Grant KA Brain ethanol concentrations and ethanol discrimination in rats: effects of dose and time. *Psychopharmacology*. 168 (3), 262–270 (2003). [PubMed: 12684735]
38. Flentke GR, Klinger RH, Tanguay RL, Carvan MJ 3rd, Smith SM An evolutionarily-conserved mechanism of calcium-dependent neurotoxicity. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research*. 38 (5), 1255–1265 (2014).
39. Reimers MJ, Flockton AR, Tanguay RL Ethanol and acetaldehyde-mediated developmental toxicity in zebrafish. *Neurotoxicology and Teratology*. 26 (6), 769–781 (2004). [PubMed: 15451041]

40. Zhang C, Ojiaku P, Cole GJ Forebrain and hindbrain development in zebrafish is sensitive to ethanol exposure involving agrin, Fgf, and sonic hedgehog function. *Birth Defects Research Part A: Clinical and Molecular Teratology*. 97 (1), 8–27 (2013). [PubMed: 23184466]

Figure 1. An image of an embryo at 24 h postfertilization (hpf) inside the chorion.
The embryo and the yolk are surrounded by the extraembryonic fluid, all located inside the chorion.

Figure 2. Protocol to measure embryonic volume and process the embryos for analysis.

(A) Ten 24 hpf embryos transferred to a 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube marked at a 250 μ L volume. (B) The microcentrifuge tube with embryos filled with water (water is dyed so it is easier to see at the 250 μ L mark). (C) All of the water is removed from the tube and weighed. (D) Ten embryos transferred to a 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube. The water was removed as in (C) and replaced with 50 μ L of protease cocktail. (E) After 10 min, 450 μ L of 5 M NaCl was added to the tube from (D).

Figure 3. Box and whisker plot of the ratio of ethanol concentration of samples to media.

Ethanol concentration for each group was divided by the average of the media samples of that group. Group 1 contained 44% of media levels, while group 2 contained 40%. In both groups, $n = 15$; 10 embryos per sample. Groups were compared using a one-way ANOVA with a Tukey's post hoc analysis (**** $p < 0.0001$). The whiskers represent minimum to maximum.

Table 1.
Calculation of water volume for the embryos with extraembryonic fluid.

The volume was calculated from 13 samples (10 embryos per sample) from 24 hpf embryos with extraembryonic fluid still in the chorion and embryos removed from their chorion. Values are reported as the mean $\pm$ SD.

Embryo and Embryo + Extraembryo Water Volumes (μ L)						
	Total Volume ^b	% of Total Volume (V)	Embryonic Volume (W)	Extraembryonic Volume (X)	Water ^a	
					% Water in Embryo (Y)	% Water in Extraembryonic (Z)
Embryo + Extraembryonic (EE)^c	1.97 $\pm$ 0.4					
Embryo 24 hpf (E)^c	1.11 $\pm$ 0.22	56%	0.82	0.86	49%	51%
Calculations						
^v Embryo % of Total volume = Total Volume of E $\div$ Total Volume of EE						
^w Embryonic Water Volume = V $\times$ 73.6%						
^x Extraembryonic Water Volume = W – Total Volume of EE						
^y % Water in Embryo = (W + X) / W $\times$ 100						
^z % Water in Extraembryonic = 100 – Y						

^a Embryonic water volume was calculated from Hagedorn et al. 1997²⁹

^b Values are reported as $\pm$ Standard deviation (S.D.)

^c n = 13; 10 embryos per sample

Table 2.
Calculations of ethanol concentration in embryos with extraembryonic fluid and media (mM).

Media samples were direct measures from the media (n = 5 per group). Embryonic ethanol concentration was calculated from 15 samples (10 embryos per sample) from 24 hpf embryos with extraembryonic fluid. The ratio of the ethanol concentrations of the embryos with extraembryonic fluid to media were calculated. Values are reported as the mean $\pm$ SD.

Ethanol Concentration of embryos and media (mM) ^a			
	Group 1	Group 2	Combined ^d
Media (M) ^b	143.6 $\pm$ 2.3	133.6 $\pm$ 2.7	138.6 $\pm$ 5.8
Embryo + Extraembryonic (EE) ^c	63.5 $\pm$ 17.1	53.1 $\pm$ 12.6	58.3 $\pm$ 15.7
Ratio of ethanol - embryo to media (1)	0.44 $\pm$ 0.12	0.40 $\pm$ 0.09	0.42 $\pm$ 0.11
Calculations			
¹ <i>Ratio of ethanol = EE $\div$ M</i>			

^aValues are reported as $\pm$ S.D.

^bn = 5

^cn = 15; 10 embryos per sample

^dValues are average of groups 1 and 2

Table 3.
Calculation of ethanol in the embryo.

Embryonic ethanol concentration was calculated as 56% of the total ethanol concentration of the embryo with extraembryonic fluid. This was then reported as a ratio of embryo to media. Values are reported as the mean $\pm$ SD.

Calculation of ethanol in the embryo ^{a,b}	
<i>Embryo (Y)</i>	<i>Ratio to media (Z)</i>
32.7 $\pm$ 8.8 mM	0.24 $\pm$ 0.06
Calculations	
^Y <i>Embryonic Ethanol</i> = 58.3 mM (Table 2) $\times$ 56% (Table 1)	
^Z <i>Ratio of ethanol</i> = $Y \div 138.6$ mM (Table 2)	

^aValues are average of groups 1 and 2

^bValues are reported as $\pm$ S.D.

Table of Materials

Materials			
Name	Company	Catalog Number	Comments/Description
Air			Provided by contract to the university
Analytical Balance	VWR	10204-962	
AutoSampler, CP-8400	Varian		Gas Chromatograph Autosampler
Calcium Chloride	VWR	97062-590	
Ethanol	Decon Labs	2701	
Gas chromatograph vial with polytetrafluoroethylene/silicone septum and plastic cap 2 mL	Agilent	8010-0198	Can reuse the vials after cleaning, but not the caps/septa
Gas Chromatograph, CP-3800	Varian		Gas Chromatograph
Helium			Provided by contract to the university
HP Innnowax capillary column	Agilent	19095N-123I	30 m × 0.53 mm × 1.0 µm film thick
Hydrogen			Provided by contract to the university
Magnesium Sulfate (Heptahydrate)	Fisher Scientific	M63-500	
Microcentrifuge tube 1.5 mL	Fisher Scientific	2682002	
Micropipette tips 10 µL	Fisher Scientific	13611106	
Micropipette tips 1000 µL	Fisher Scientific	13611127	
Micropipette tips 200 µL	Fisher Scientific	13611112	
Petri dishes 100 mm	Fisher Scientific	FB012924	
Pipetman L p1000L Micropipette	Gilson	FA10006M	
Pipetman L p200L Micropipette	Gilson	FA10005M	
Pipetman L p2L Micropipette	Gilson	FA10001M	
Polytetrafluoroethylene/silicone septum and plastic cap	Agilent	5190-7021	Replacement caps/septa for gas chromatograph vials
Potassium Chloride	Fisher Scientific	P217-500	
Potassium Phosphate (Dibasic)	VWR	BDH9266-500G	
Pronase	VWR	97062-916	
Silica Beads .5 mm	Biospec Products	11079105z	
Silica Beads 1.0 mm	Biospec Products	11079110z	
Sodium Bicarbonate	VWR	BDH9280-500G	
Sodium Chloride	Fisher Scientific	S271-500	
Sodium Phosphate (Dibasic)	Fisher Scientific	S374-500	

Materials			
Name	Company	Catalog Number	Comments/Description
Solid-phase microextraction fiber assembly Carboxen/Polydimethylsiloxane	Millipore Sigma	57343-U	Replacement fibers
Star Chromatography Workstation	Varian		chromatography software
Thermogreen Low Bleed (LB-2) Septa	Millipore Sigma	23154	Replacement inlet septa