Published in final edited form as:

J Therm Biol. 2019 April ; 81: 185–193. doi:10.1016/j.jtherbio.2019.01.013.

Bats are not squirrels: revisiting the cost of cooling in hibernating mammals

Catherine G. Haase^{a,*}, Nathan W. Fuller^b, C. Reed Hranac^c, David T.S. Hayman^c, Sarah H. Olson^d, Raina K. Plowright^a, Liam P. McGuire^b

^aDepartment of Microbiology and Immunology, Montana State University, 109 Lewis Hall, PO Box 173520, Bozeman, MT 59717, USA ^bDepartment of Biological Sciences, Texas Tech University, 2901 Main St., Lubbock, TX 79409, USA ^cMolecular Epidemiology and Public Health Laboratory, Hopkirk Research Institute, Massey University, Private Bag, 11 222, Palmerston North 4442, New Zealand ^dWildlife Conservation Society, 2300 Southern Boulevard, Bronx, NY 10460, USA

Abstract

Many species use stored energy to hibernate through periods of resource limitation. Hibernation, a physiological state characterized by depressed metabolism and body temperature, is critical to winter survival and reproduction, and therefore has been extensively quantified and modeled. Hibernation consists of alternating phases of extended periods of torpor (low body temperature, low metabolic rate), and energetically costly periodic arousals to normal body temperature. Arousals consist of multiple phases: warming, euthermia, and cooling. Warming and euthermic costs are regularly included in energetic models, but although cooling to torpid body temperature is an important phase of the torpor-arousal cycle, it is often overlooked in energetic models. When included, cooling cost is assumed to be 67% of warming cost, an assumption originally derived from a single study that measured cooling cost in ground squirrels. Since this study, the same proportional value has been assumed across a variety of hibernating species. However, no additional values have been derived. We derived a model of cooling cost from first principles and validated the model with empirical energetic measurements. We compared the assumed 67% proportional cooling cost with our model-predicted cooling cost for 53 hibernating mammals. Our results indicate that using 67% of warming cost only adequately represents cooling cost in ground squirrel-sized mammals. In smaller species, this value overestimates cooling cost and in larger species, the value underestimates cooling cost. Our model allows for the generalization of energetic costs for multiple species using species-specific physiological and morphometric parameters, and for predictions over variable environmental conditions.

Keywords

bats; cooling; energetic model; hibernation; torpor; winter survival

*Corresponding author: cghaase@gmail.com.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1. Introduction

Heterothermy is a thermoregulatory strategy employed by many mammals whereby body temperature (T_b) and metabolic rate are reduced in response to climatic conditions or reduced nutritional availability (Geiser, 1988; Lyman, 2013; Speakman and Thomas, 2003). Hibernation is an extreme form of extended heterothermy, which consists of cycles of torpor, or periods of low metabolic rate and T_b , and arousals, or periods of euthermic T_b (Geiser, 1988; Thomas et al., 1990). Each arousal consists of three phases: (1) warming from torpid T_b to euthermic T_b , (2) maintaining euthermic T_b , (3) and cooling from euthermic T_b back to torpid T_b . The energetics of hibernation are critical to winter survival, and hence this component of life history has been extensively quantified and modeled across many species (Hayman et al., 2016; Humphries et al., 2002; Thomas et al., 1990).

Although only a small fraction of hibernation is spent in euthermia, it accounts for up to 75–90% of winter energy budgets (Thomas et al., 1990). Because of the high energetic cost of arousal, most research has focused on quantifying these costs and how arousal frequency relates to energy budgets and survival (Geiser, 1988; Thomas et al., 1990; Wang, 1978). The energetic cost of warming has been calculated by a variety of models (Table 1), and all of these models focus on the temperature difference between euthermic and torpid T_b . This difference in T_b is scaled by the specific heat of animal tissue, which describes the energy required to warm 1 g of tissue by 1°C (Wang, 1978). Beyond the cost to warming animal tissue, it is also important to consider the cost of maintaining metabolism during the time it takes to warm (typically against an environmental gradient of cool ambient temperature [T_a] relative to T_b). McKechnie and Wolf (2004) added this additional cost with the calculation of metabolism over the period of warming. Cryan and Wolf (2003) then incorporated the effect of thermal conductance on warming, accounting for heat lost to the environment. Both of these models also incorporated the effects of warming rate, which is found to scale with body mass in both mammals and birds (Geiser and Baudinette, 1990; McKechnie and Wolf, 2004).

Cooling is also an important phase of the torpor-arousal cycle; however, this phase has been overlooked in modeling hibernation energetics (Henshaw, 1968; Kleiber, 1972). Most models of hibernation energetics have either used an assumed cost of cooling calculated from the cost of warming or excluded the cooling phase entirely (Table 1). Cooling is typically considered a passive process (but see discussions of metabolic suppression: Drew et al., 2007; Geiser, 2004; Heldmaier and Ruf, 1992; Snapp and Heller, 1981; Staples, 2014) and therefore requires less energy than warming (Geiser, 2004, 1988). However, the cooling phase still requires energy for metabolism over the period of time it takes to cool (Thomas et al., 1990; Wang, 1978), which can vary among taxa.

The energetic cost of cooling was first measured by Wang (1978) in Richardson's ground squirrels (*Urocyon richardsonii*, formerly *Spermophilus richardsonii*) across winter hibernation at a range of T_a . Thomas et al. (1990) used Wang's measurements to calculate cooling cost as a proportion of warming cost, regardless of temperature, and found cooling costs were equivalent to 65–67% of the cost of warming. As the Thomas et al. (1990)

energetic model has been frequently applied and revised, many subsequent hibernation energetic models have assumed the same proportional cost of cooling (Table 1). It is unclear whether this proportion is broadly representative across taxa.

We suspect that assuming proportional cooling costs could be problematic in other taxa for three reasons: differences in body size, differences in thermal conductance, and the influence of T_a on cooling rate. Estimates of cooling cost by the 67% proportion may overestimate cost in small-bodied species or underestimate cost for larger species due to the relationship between body mass and surface area (Berman, 2003; Gouma et al., 2012; Meeh, 1879). The higher surface area to volume ratio in smaller species lead to a greater rate of heat loss, and thus, for species smaller than Richardson's ground squirrels (the basis for the proportional cost estimate), there is a potential for a lower cooling cost than that predicted by 67% of warming. Additionally, thermal conductance has been observed to scale with body mass (Aschoff, 1981; Kleiber, 1972), and thus large-bodied species not only have greater body mass to cool, but are more resistant to heat loss. Thermal conductance can also vary within similar-sized species that reside in variable environments (Aschoff, 1981; Schmidt-Nielsen, 1987). Thus, it is possible that cooling rate may be more affected by T_a than warming rate due differences in physical and physiological processes in each phase (Nicol and Andersen, 2007), and therefore cooling cost may have higher variation with T_a compared to warming cost.

Many applications of the 67% cooling cost assumption have focused on bats (Table 1), which may have very different physiologies and cooling properties compared to ground squirrels (Bakken, 1976a; Strunk, 1971). Appropriately modeling hibernation energetics is important for understanding the impacts of white-nose syndrome (WNS) (Hayman et al., 2016), a disease of hibernating bats caused by an invasive fungal pathogen (Blehert et al., 2009; Lorch et al., 2013; Warnecke et al., 2012). WNS causes an increase in arousal frequency (Reeder et al., 2012; Warnecke et al., 2012), leading to premature depletion of fat stores and death (Hayman et al., 2016). Many studies have focused on the energetics associated with hibernation and WNS (Hayman et al., 2016; Mayberry et al., 2018; McGuire et al., 2017; Warnecke et al., 2013; Willis, 2015; Willis et al., 2011), and therefore energetic models represent an important tool for understanding the costs and consequences of the disease. This approach is especially timely as evidence suggests species differ in their susceptibility and response to WNS, due in part to interspecific variation in morphology and physiology, and microclimate selection during hibernation (Langwig et al., 2016, 2012; Willis, 2015).

We investigated the energetic cost of cooling to determine if previous estimates are applicable across taxa. We developed a mathematical model to calculate the cost of cooling given the change in metabolic rate between euthermia and torpor and the rate at which an animal cools. Cooling rate was calculated using Newton's law of cooling (Bakken, 1976a; Newton, 1701; Prothero and Jürgens, 1986), which considers body mass, surface area, and thermal conductance in addition to the difference between euthermic T_b and T_a . We also determined if mass-specific cooling cost could be predicted by cooling rate. We then applied our model to a variety of species to test whether modeled cooling cost matched the oft cited 67% of warming cost and determined if any variation in the relationship is better described

by differences in body size. Finally, given the scaling relationship found between body mass and warming rate, we determined if cooling rate could be predicted by body mass.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Energetic costs of cooling

We modelled the energetic cost of cooling (E_{cool}) as a function of the difference between euthermic and torpid T_b , the decrease in metabolic rate over that temperature range, and the cooling rate. We assumed that cooling occurred passively; that is, the reduction in metabolic rate is due to an effect of temperature, rather than physiological inhibition (but see Geiser, 2016, 2004).

We calculated the total cost of cooling as the energy required for metabolism during steady-state torpor, plus the additional costs to maintain metabolism above torpid T_b as the body cools from euthermic T_b (Prothero and Jürgens, 1986; Strunk, 1971). We calculated this change using Q_{10} , or the change in metabolic rate over a 10°C change in temperature, with a scaling equation with body mass (M_b ; g)(Geiser, 1988):

$$Q_{10} = 3.82 - 0.507 \log_{10} M_b \quad (1)$$

The cost of cooling was therefore calculated as the sum of this reduction in euthermic metabolic rate in response to the difference between torpid and euthermic T_b , and the metabolic rate of steady-state torpor:

$$E_{cool} = D_{cool} \left[TMR_{min} + \left(RMR \cdot Q_{10}^{\frac{T_{tor} - T_{eu}}{10}} \right) \right] \quad (2)$$

where TMR_{min} is the mass-specific minimum torpid metabolic rate ($\text{ml O}_2 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$), RMR is the mass-specific resting metabolic rate at T_a ($\text{ml O}_2 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$), T_{tor} and T_{eu} are torpid and euthermic T_b (°C), respectively, and D_{cool} is the duration of the cooling phase (h). We estimated RMR by increasing allometrically predicted BMR (Schmidt-Nielsen, 1984; Speakman and Thomas, 2003) in response to T_a and scaled by euthermic thermal conductance (C):

$$RMR = BMR + C(T_{eu} - T_a) \quad (3)$$

The duration of the cooling phase (D_{cool}) was determined by the cooling rate (CR) and the temperature difference between T_b :

$$D_{cool} = \frac{\log(T_{eu} - T_{tor})}{CR} \quad (4)$$

We assumed that cooling followed an exponential decay (Newton, 1701). Therefore we modeled the rate of cooling as a derivation from Newton's Law of Cooling (Henshaw, 1968; Kleiber, 1972; Newton, 1701; Strunk, 1971), which assumes the rate of heat loss from a

body is directly proportional to body size, conductance, and temperature difference between the body and the surrounding environment (Bakken, 1976a; Prothero and Jürgens, 1986). We calculated the cooling rate as:

$$CR = \frac{C \cdot M_b^{0.67} \cdot \log(T_{eu} - T_a)}{S \cdot M_b} \quad (5)$$

where C is the thermal conductance during euthermia ($\text{ml O}_2 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ }^\circ\text{C}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$), $M_b^{0.67}$ represents the surface area of the animal as defined by body mass, $T_{eu} - T_a$ is the difference between euthermic T_b and T_a ($^\circ\text{C}$), and S is the specific heat of animal tissue ($0.1728 \text{ ml O}_2 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ }^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$; Hart, 1951). By taking the log of the temperature difference in both Equations 4 and 5, we account for the exponential change in the rate of cooling as T_b is reduced to torpid body temperature. Rather than estimating an instantaneous cooling rate, this equation allowed for the consideration of body size and insulation of the animal (i.e. thermal conductance), as well as environmental influences (e.g., rapid cooling in cold environments).

2.2 Model validation

To validate the cooling equation, we compiled data from published papers that presented metabolic rate and skin temperature curves over time and compared the total energetic cost of torpor entry (i.e., cooling) with modeled cost (Table 2). For publications where data was only presented in figures, we used plot digitizing software (WebPlotDigitizer 4.1; <https://apps.automeris.io/wpd>) to extract metabolic rate and skin temperature over the period of cooling. We calculated the mean of euthermic and torpid skin temperatures and defined the onset of cooling when skin temperature dropped at least 2°C below mean euthermic skin temperature and the end of cooling when skin temperature was within 0.5°C of mean torpid skin temperature. We extracted metabolic rate for the cooling curve at one-hour intervals from the start and end of cooling and summed the values over the entire cooling period.

Using Equation 2, we then modeled the energetic cost of cooling given morphometric, physiological, and environmental parameters reported in each publication. We obtained body mass, torpid and euthermic T_b , and thermal conductance (if available) for each species; if thermal conductance was not available, we calculated conductance using methodology described by McNab (1969) and Speakman and Thomas (2003). RMR was predicted using Equation 3 and TMR was predicted using the Q_{10} decrease in BMR in response to torpid T_b (Geiser, 1988). One publication (Wang, 1978) reported cooling costs for multiple individuals over three ranges of T_a . Therefore, we calculated the mean cost for each temperature range over individuals (for the measured cost) and temperatures (for modeled cost). In instances where multiple data sources existed for the same species (as in the case of *Myotis lucifugus*), we chose the source that reported as many model parameters as possible.

We compared our modeled values to measured values using linear regression in R version 3.3.3 (R Development Core Team, 2009). We assumed that if our cooling model adequately represented measured cooling cost, the slope of the relationship would not be different than 1 (Glantz and Slinker, 2000). We did not run a sensitivity analysis of the model to changes in parameters, as a preliminary analysis showed that the model was sensitive to all parameters equally.

We examined the relationship between mass-specific cooling cost and both body size and thermal conductance to determine the effects of each variable on cooling. We also determined if a scaling relationship with body size and mass-specific cooling cost existed with cooling rate, similar to the relationships observed with warming rate (Geiser and Baudinette, 1990; McKechnie and Wolf, 2004).

2.3 Comparison between 67% proportion and cooling model

We compared the energetic cost of cooling for 53 species (Table 3) using both our cooling model (Equation 2) and the commonly-assumed 67% proportion of warming cost. We defined the energy required to warm from torpor to euthermia (E_{warm}) as a function of the cost to raise the temperature of animal tissue from torpid to euthermic T_b (McKechnie and Wolf, 2004), in addition to the metabolic costs required to balance heat lost to the environment over the period of warming (Cryan and Wolf, 2003). Thus, the cost of warming was calculated as:

$$E_{\text{warm}} = S \cdot (T_{\text{eu}} - T_{\text{tor}}) + D_{\text{warm}}[C(T_{\text{eu}} - T_a)] \quad (6)$$

where D_{warm} is the duration of time required to warm from torpid to euthermic T_b (h). Assuming warming occurs linearly (Cryan and Wolf, 2003; McKechnie and Wolf, 2004), we calculated D_{warm} as:

$$D_{\text{warm}} = \frac{T_{\text{eu}} - T_{\text{tor}}}{WR} \quad (7)$$

where WR is the warming rate for the species ($^{\circ}\text{C h}^{-1}$). We obtained warming rates for each species from multiple sources (Geiser and Baudinette, 1990; Hirshfeld and O'Farrell, 1976; Menzies et al., 2016; Willis, 2008). All other model parameters were acquired as described above.

We compared both estimates of cooling, predicted from Equation 2 and estimated as 67% of warming, using linear regression. If 67% of warming sufficiently described the cost of cooling, we assumed the slope of the relationship not to be different from 1 (Glantz and Slinker, 2000). We regressed the difference between the two estimates against body mass to examine differences in predicted cooling costs in the context of body size.

3. Results

Cooling cost from our model was not different from measured cost ($\text{slope} = 0.99$ [0.93, 1.04], $F_{1,7} = 1220$, $p = <0.001$, $r^2 = 0.99$; Table 2, Figure 1). Mass-specific costs of cooling ranged from 0.36 ml $\text{O}_2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ in the Northern birch mouse (*Sicista betulina* [10 g]), one of the smallest species, to 41.9 ml $\text{O}_2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ in the short-beaked echidna (*Tachyglossus aculeatus* [4600 g]), the largest species in our dataset. Warming ranged from 2.8 ml $\text{O}_2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ in the bicolored shrew (*Crocidura leucodon* [12 g]) to 26.4 ml $\text{O}_2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ in the arctic ground squirrel (*Urocitellus parryii* [406 g]). Cooling was more energetically costly than warming in four species (*Marmota flaviventris*, *M. broweri*, *M. marmota*, and *T. aculeatus*), all of which had low thermal conductance values ($<0.05 \text{ ml O}_2 \text{ g}^{-1} ^{\circ}\text{C}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$), large body sizes ($>2000 \text{ g}$), and thus slow cooling rates ($<0.5^{\circ}\text{C h}^{-1}$).

Both body mass and thermal conductance were significant predictors of mass-specific cooling cost ($F_{1,51} = 226.4$, $p < 0.001$, $r^2 = 0.90$), with body mass and thermal conductance accounting for 88.9% and 10% of the variation in cooling cost across species, respectively. Mass-specific cooling cost decreased with increased cooling rate ($F_{1,51} = 506.4$, $p < 0.001$, $r^2 = 0.91$; Figure 2a), and cooling rate was inversely proportional to body mass ($F_{1,51} = 611.1$, $p < 0.001$, $r^2 = 0.92$; Figure 2b).

The oft-used 67% proportion of warming cost estimate of cooling cost did not describe cooling cost across taxa ($slope = 0.07$ [$-0.03, 0.16$], $F_{1,51} = 1.83$, $p = 0.18$, $r^2 = 0.03$; Figure 3a). Body mass, however, explained 47% of the variation in the difference between the two calculations ($F_{1,51} = 44.36$, $p < 0.001$; Figure 3b). The mean cooling cost was $47.9 \pm 18\%$ of warming cost, ranging from 3.8% in *Perognathus longimembris* (8.4 g), the little pocket mouse, to 383% in *T. aculeatus* (4600 g). Cooling cost represented 67% of warming cost in all ground squirrel species and one other species of similar body size: *Callospermophilus lateralis* (137 g, 58% [48–68%]), *Cricetus cricetus* (370 g, 76% [66–86%]), *Ictidomys mexicanus* (190 g, 74% [64–84%]), *I. tridecemlineatus* (190 g, 70% [60–80%]), *Otospermophilus beecheyi* (502 g, 57% [47–67%]), *Spermophilus citellus* (290 g, 58% [48–68%]), *U. parryi* (406 g, 60% [50–70%]), and *U. richardsonii* (406 g, 67% [57–77%]).

4. Discussion

The common assumption that the cost of cooling is equal to 67% of the cost of warming during arousal in hibernation is not broadly applicable across taxa. The cost of cooling scaled with body mass, which was expected given the relationship between the rate of heat loss and surface area to volume ratio (Bakken, 1976a, 1976a). Consequently, cooling rate was inversely related to mass-specific cooling cost (Figure 2a), suggesting cooling rate, as a function of body size and thermal conductance, is an important factor in hibernation energetics.

We attributed the mismatch between the two estimates of cooling cost to the fact that the 67% proportion relied on metabolic measurements from a squirrel species (*U. richardsonii*), which has a body mass that is not representative of the body size of most hibernating mammals. Due to the scaling relationship between body mass and surface area (Schmidt-Nielsen, 1984), smaller species have a greater amount of surface exposed to the environment per unit volume compared to larger species (Kleiber, 1972; Strunk, 1971). Greater surface area leads to greater heat loss and thus faster cooling rates, which we observed in the relationship between cooling rate and body mass (Figure 2b). Therefore, the estimated 67% of the cost of warming overestimated the cost of cooling in species smaller than ground squirrels and underestimated the cost of cooling in larger species (Figure 3). The fact that most of the variation in the relationship between both cooling cost calculations was described by body mass also supports these patterns. Incorporating both body size and surface area (scaled from body mass) in the calculation of cooling cost leads to more accurate estimates of the differences in cooling across taxa.

In addition to body size differences, body shape can affect cooling cost. Behavioral changes such as posture adjustments may be as important to heat exchange as the physiological

properties of an animal (Satinoff, 1978; Stelzner and Hausfater, 1986). Even small postural changes can reduce exposed surface area and alter the amount of heat lost to the environment (Adair, 1976; Sale, 1970). For instance, when exposed to cold environments, captive pigs decrease heat loss by posture adjustments that reduce surface area by as much as 35% (Mount, 1964; Turnpenny et al., 2000). Similarly, *U. richardsonii* curl into a sphere when hibernating (Wang, 1978), whereas other species, such as bats, often remain cylindrical (Gouma et al., 2012). Our model does not consider differences in postural changes, but as the sphere has the least amount of surface area per unit of volume of all geometric shapes (Schmidt-Nielsen, 1970), species of the same body mass may have considerable differences in heat loss due to changes in posture. However, as body size and thermal conductance account for almost 99% of the variation in cooling cost, postural changes could account for only 1% of the variation.

Air temperature can greatly influence the energetic costs associated with both the cooling and warming phases of hibernation: colder environments facilitate a faster rate of cooling and thus lower cooling cost (Bakken, 1976b; Henshaw, 1968) but substantially increase the cost of warming. Therefore, it is no surprise that deriving cooling cost from estimates of warming cost would not be accurate in most species across environments, as T_a has opposite effects on each phase. Though the proportion holds true for *U. richardsonii* in the environments that were measured in the Wang (1978) study, it may misrepresent cooling cost in other environments. By including the effect of T_a in both the cooling and warming models, we include a mechanistic influence of the environment on animal physiology.

The physiological mechanisms behind the cooling and warming processes also suggest it is inappropriate to estimate cooling cost from warming estimates. Warming is considered to be an active process in our analysis, where animals increase T_b using endogenous heat production to warm tissues (Geiser, 2004; but see discussion of passive rewarming below). In placental mammals, brown adipose tissue provides high metabolic heat production for nonshivering thermogenesis (Smalley and Dryer, 1963; Smith and Hock, 1963), while non-placentals (monotremes and marsupials) and birds generate heat by shivering (Johnston, 1971; Schmidt-Nielsen, 1987). Nonshivering thermogenesis has been reported to produce as much heat as shivering in species that do both (Janský, 2008), but the energetic costs of brown fat consumption compared to muscular activity required by shivering have yet to be compared. Differences in the efficiency between these two processes may potentially lead to interspecific differences in warming costs, which makes assumptions inaccurate for some species.

In contrast with active warming, our model assumed that entry into torpor was a passive process – that is, cooling was driven by heat loss rather than active metabolic suppression (Snapp and Heller, 1981). Snapp and Heller (1981) observed that the rate of metabolism decreases in response to temperature, i.e. the Q_{10} effect, supports the hypothesis that most mammals passively enter torpor. However, active metabolic inhibition (e.g. suppression of enzyme activity) may be required for deep torpor (Geiser, 2016, 2004). If active suppression is required for the cooling phase to occur, then cooling rate would also be driven by the ability to inhibit metabolism rather than just body size and thermal conductance. Due to the uncertainty behind when and in which species metabolic inhibition occurs, we assumed

passive cooling, and did not account for any changes to metabolic rate. However, our model can be revised to include alterations to input parameters (e.g. metabolic rate) if active suppression alters metabolic rate for a species of interest.

Behavioral mechanisms, such as social thermoregulation, are not considered in our model and may limit model applicability. Social thermoregulation can occur when individuals cluster in hibernation. Social thermoregulation can potentially lead to passive rewarming, which occurs when individuals use increasing T_b of neighbors to synchronize their warming and decrease costs of arousal (Geiser, 2004). Passive rewarming can influence our calculations of warming costs in two different ways. First, lower efficiency of passive rewarming (Arnold, 1988) results in reduced warming rates. Second, because passive rewarming does not have the same energetic requirements of active rewarming, the total energetic cost of warming would be lower in these species (Currie et al., 2015). Although social thermoregulation has been hypothesized to reduce energetic costs associated with arousals and euthermia (Boyles et al., 2008), there has not been an indication of how it may influence cooling. Clustering can increase insulation of individuals and decrease surface area, both of which impede heat loss (Boyles et al., 2008). Though we do not specifically address these issues here, the use of equations to model both cooling and warming allows for modification of functional body size, thermal conductance, or cooling/warming rate once the effect of clustering on these parameters is determined. Using a mathematical equation to predict cooling costs, rather than a general assumption, allows for incorporation of thermoregulatory behavior into energetic modeling.

5. Conclusion

A common assumption used to predict the energetic cost of cooling may not represent cooling cost of all hibernators due to interspecific variation in body size, thermal conductance, and T_a exposure. We considered how mechanisms differed between the cooling and warming processes and how these differences may lead to a mismatch between the assumed proportion and modeled costs. We found that cooling rate scaled linearly with body mass and was a strong predictor of overall cooling cost. Our model allows for generalization of energetic cost for multiple taxa using species-specific physiological and morphometric parameters, and for predictions over variable environmental conditions. Accurate predictions are especially important in the context of wildlife conservation, as the case with predicting the energetic effects of white-nose syndrome on bat species across North America.

Acknowledgements

This project has been funded in part with Federal funds from the Department of Defense Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program [Contract Number W912HQ-16-C-0015]. RP was supported by DARPA D16AP00113, NSF DEB-1716698, NIH P20GM103474 and NIH P30GM110732. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Government.

References

- Adair ER, 1976 Autonomic thermoregulation in squirrel monkey when behavioral regulation is limited. *J. Appl. Physiol* 40, 694–700. 10.1152/jappl.1976.40.5.694 [PubMed: 819417]
- Armitage KB, Blumstein DT, Woods BC, 2003 Energetics of hibernating yellow-bellied marmots (*Marmota flaviventris*). *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A. Mol. Integr. Physiol* 134, 101–114. 10.1016/S1095-6433(02)00219-2 [PubMed: 12507613]
- Arnold W, 1988 Social thermoregulation during hibernation in alpine marmots (*Marmota marmota*). *J. Comp. Physiol. B* 158, 151–156. 10.1007/BF01075828 [PubMed: 3170823]
- Aschoff J, 1981 Thermal conductance in mammals and birds: Its dependence on body size and circadian phase. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A Physiol* 69, 611–619. 10.1016/0300-9629(81)90145-6
- Bakken GS, 1976a A heat transfer analysis of animals: Unifying concepts and the application of metabolism chamber data to field ecology. *J. Theor. Biol* 60, 337–384. 10.1016/0022-5193(76)90063-1 [PubMed: 957719]
- Bakken GS, 1976b An improved method for determining thermal conductance and equilibrium body temperature with cooling curve experiments. *J. Therm. Biol* 1, 169–175. 10.1016/0306-4565(76)90009-7
- Bartholomew GA, Cade TJ, 1957 Temperature regulation, hibernation, and Aestivation in the little pocket mouse, *Perognathus longimembris*. *J. Mammal* 38, 60–72. 10.2307/1376476
- Bartholomew GA, MacMillen RE, 1961 Oxygen consumption, estivation, and hibernation in the kangaroo mouse, *Microdipodops pallidus*. *Physiol. Zool* 34, 177–183.
- Berman A, 2003 Effects of body surface area estimates on predicted energy requirements and heat stress. *J. Dairy Sci* 86, 3605–3610. 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(03)73966-6 [PubMed: 14672191]
- Blehert DS, Hicks AC, Behr M, Meteyer CU, Berlowski-Zier BM, Buckles EL, Coleman JTH, Darling SR, Gargas A, Niver R, Okoniewski JC, Rudd RJ, Stone WB, 2009 Bat white-nose syndrome: an emerging fungal pathogen? *Science* 323, 227–227. 10.1126/science.1163874 [PubMed: 18974316]
- Boratyński JS, Rusiński M, Kokurewicz T, Bereszyński A, Wojciechowski MS, 2012 Clustering behavior in wintering greater mouse-eared bats *Myotis myotis* — the effect of micro-environmental conditions. *Acta Chiropterologica* 14, 417–424. 10.3161/150811012X661738
- Boyer BB, Barnes BM, 1999 Molecular and Metabolic Aspects of Mammalian Hibernation: Expression of the hibernation phenotype results from the coordinated regulation of multiple physiological and molecular events during preparation for and entry into torpor. *BioScience* 49, 713–724. 10.2307/1313595
- Boyles JG, Brack V, 2009 Modeling survival rates of hibernating mammals with individual-based models of energy expenditure. *J. Mammal* 90, 9–16. 10.1644/08-MAMM-A-205.1
- Boyles JG, McKechnie AE, 2010 Energy conservation in hibernating endotherms: Why “suboptimal” temperatures are optimal. *Ecol. Model* 221, 1644–1647. 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2010.03.018
- Boyles JG, Storm JJ, Brack V, 2008 Thermal benefits of clustering during hibernation: A field test of competing hypotheses on *Myotis sodalis*. *Funct. Ecol* 22, 632–636.
- Boyles JG, Willis CK, 2010 Could localized warm areas inside cold caves reduce mortality of hibernating bats affected by white-nose syndrome? *Front. Ecol. Environ* 8, 92–98. 10.1890/080187
- Burles DW, Fenton MB, Barclay RM, Brigham RM, Volkens D, 2014 Aspects of the winter ecology of bats on Haida Gwaii, British Columbia. *Northwest. Nat* 95, 289–299. 10.1898/12-32.1
- Cranford JA, 1983 Body temperature, heart rate and oxygen consumption of normothermic and heterothermic western jumping mice (*Zapus princeps*). *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A* 74, 595–599. [PubMed: 6132704]
- Cryan PM, Wolf BO, 2003 Sex differences in the thermoregulation and evaporative water loss of a heterothermic bat, *Lasiurus cinereus*, during its spring migration. *J. Exp. Biol* 206, 3381–3390. 10.1242/jeb.00574 [PubMed: 12939370]
- Currie SE, Noy K, Geiser F, 2015 Passive rewarming from torpor in hibernating bats: minimizing metabolic costs and cardiac demands. *Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol* 308, R34–41. 10.1152/ajpregu.00341.2014 [PubMed: 25411363]

- Czenze ZJ, Jonasson KA, Willis CKR, 2017 Thrifty females, frisky Males: Winter energetics of hibernating bats from a cold climate. *Physiol. Biochem. Zool* 90, 502–511. 10.1086/692623 [PubMed: 28641050]
- Czenze ZJ, Willis CKR, 2015 Warming up and shipping out: arousal and emergence timing in hibernating little brown bats (*Myotis lucifugus*). *J. Comp. Physiol. [B]* 185, 575–586. 10.1007/s00360-015-0900-1
- Drew KL, Buck CL, Barnes BM, Christian SL, Rasley BT, Harris MB, 2007 Central nervous system regulation of mammalian hibernation: implications for metabolic suppression and ischemia tolerance. *J. Neurochem* 102, 1713–1726. 10.1111/j.1471-4159.2007.04675.x [PubMed: 17555547]
- Dunbar MB, 2007 Thermal energetics of torpid silver-haired bats *Lasionycteris noctivagans*. *Acta Theriol. (Warsz.)* 52, 65–68. 10.1007/BF03194200
- Dunbar MB, Tomasi TE, 2006 Arousal patterns, metabolic rate, and an energy budget of Eastern red bats (*Lasiurus borealis*) in winter. *J. Mammal* 87, 1096–1102.
- Ehlman SM, Cox JJ, Crowley PH, 2013 Evaporative water loss, spatial distributions, and survival in white-nose-syndrome-affected little brown myotis: a model. *J. Mammal* 94, 572–583. 10.1644/12-MAMM-A-111.1
- Fleming MR, 1985 The thermal physiology of the feathertail glider *Acrobates pygmaeus* (Marsupialia: Burramyidae). *Aust. J. Zool* 33, 667–681.
- Florant GL, Heller HC, 1977 CNS regulation of body temperature in euthermic and hibernating marmots (*Marmota flaviventris*). *Am. J. Physiol* 232, R203–208. 10.1152/ajpregu.1977.232.5.R203 [PubMed: 871176]
- Frederico P, 2007 Bat Population Dynamics: An Individual-based Model Approach (Ph.D. Dissertation). University of Tennessee.
- Geiser F, 2016 Conserving energy during hibernation. *J. Exp. Biol* 219, 2086–2087. 10.1242/jeb.129171 [PubMed: 27445396]
- Geiser F, 2004 Metabolic rate and body temperature reduction during hibernation and daily torpor. *Annu. Rev. Physiol* 66, 239–274. 10.1146/annurev.physiol.66.032102.115105 [PubMed: 14977403]
- Geiser F, 1988 Reduction of metabolism during hibernation and daily torpor in mammals and birds: temperature effect or physiological inhibition? *J. Comp. Physiol. B* 158, 25–37. 10.1007/BF00692726 [PubMed: 3385059]
- Geiser F, 1987 Hibernation and daily torpor in two pygmy possums (*Cercartetus* Spp., Marsupialia). *Physiol. Zool* 60, 93–102.
- Geiser F, Baudinette RV, 1990 The relationship between body mass and rate of rewarming from hibernation and daily torpor in mammals. *J. Exp. Biol* 151, 349–359. [PubMed: 2380659]
- Geiser F, Baudinette RV, 1988 Daily torpor and thermoregulation in the small Dasyurid marsupials *Planigale gilesi* and *Ningaui yvonneae*. *Aust. J. Zool* 36, 473–481.
- Geiser F, Baudinette RV, 1987 Seasonality of torpor and thermoregulation in three dasyurid marsupials. *J. Comp. Physiol. B* 157, 335–344. 10.1007/BF00693360
- Geiser F, Brigham RM, 2000 Torpor, thermal biology, and energetics in Australian long-eared bats (*Nyctophilus*). *J. Comp. Physiol. B* 170, 153–162. 10.1007/s003600050270 [PubMed: 10791575]
- Geiser F, Kenagy GJ, 1988 Torpor duration in relation to temperature and metabolism in hibernating ground squirrels. *Physiol. Zool* 61, 442–449.
- Glantz S, Slinker B, 2000 *Primer of Applied Regression & Analysis of Variance*, 2 edition ed. McGraw-Hill Education / Medical, New York.
- Gouma E, Simos Y, Verginadis I, Lykoudis E, Evangelou A, Karkabounas S, 2012 A simple procedure for estimation of total body surface area and determination of a new value of Meeh's constant in rats. *Lab. Anim* 46, 40–45. 10.1258/la.2011.011021 [PubMed: 22008848]
- Grigg GC, Augee ML, Beard LA, 1992 Thermal Relations of free-living echidnas during activity and in hibernation in a cold climate. Presented at the Platypus and Echidnas, pp. 160–173.
- Halsall AL, Boyles JG, Whitaker JO, 2012 Body temperature patterns of big brown bats during winter in a building hibernaculum. *J. Mammal* 93, 497–503. 10.1644/11-MAMM-A-262.1

- Hart JS, 1951 Calorimetric determination of average body temperature of small mammals and its variation with environmental conditions. *Can. J. Zool* 29, 224–233. 10.1139/z51-021
- Hayman DTS, Pulliam JRC, Marshall JC, Cryan PM, Webb CT, 2016 Environment, host, and fungal traits predict continental-scale white-nose syndrome in bats. *Sci. Adv* 2, e1500831. 10.1126/sciadv.1500831
- Heldmaier G, Ortman S, Elvert R, 2004 Natural hypometabolism during hibernation and daily torpor in mammals. *Respir. Physiol. Neurobiol* 141, 317–329. 10.1016/j.resp.2004.03.014 [PubMed: 15288602]
- Heldmaier G, Ruf T, 1992 Body temperature and metabolic rate during natural hypothermia in endotherms. *J. Comp. Physiol. B* 162, 696–706. 10.1007/BF00301619 [PubMed: 1494028]
- Henshaw RE, 1968 Thermoregulation during hibernation: Application of Newton's law of cooling. *J. Theor. Biol* 20, 79–90. 10.1016/0022-5193(68)90093-3 [PubMed: 5726343]
- Herreid CF, Kessel B, 1967 Thermal conductance in birds and mammals. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol* 21, 405–414. 10.1016/0010-406X(67)90802-X [PubMed: 6036935]
- Herreid CF, Schmidt-Nielsen K, 1966 Oxygen consumption, temperature, and water loss in bats from different environments. *Am. J. Physiol* 211, 1108–1112. [PubMed: 5924031]
- Hirshfeld JR, O'Farrell MJ, 1976 Comparisons of differential warming rates and tissue temperatures in some species of desert bats. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A* 55, 83–87. [PubMed: 8251]
- Hock RJ, 1960 Seasonal variations in physiologic functions of arctic ground squirrels and black bears. *Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool* 124, 155–171.
- Hope PR, Jones G, 2012 Warming up for dinner: torpor and arousal in hibernating Natterer's bats (*Myotis nattereri*) studied by radio telemetry. *J. Comp. Physiol. B* 182, 569–578. 10.1007/s00360-011-0631-x [PubMed: 22124860]
- Hosken DJ, Withers PC, 1997 Temperature regulation and metabolism of an Australian bat, *Chalinolobus gouldii* (Chiroptera: Vespertilionidae) when euthermic and torpid. *J. Comp. Physiol. [B]* 167, 71–80.
- Hudson JW, 1965 Temperature regulation and torpidity in the pygmy mouse, *Baiomys taylori*. *Physiol. Zool* 38, 243–254.
- Humphries MM, Thomas DW, Speakman JR, 2002 Climate-mediated energetic constraints on the distribution of hibernating mammals. *Nature* 418, 313–316. 10.1038/nature00828 [PubMed: 12124621]
- Hut RA, Barnes BM, Daan S, 2002 Body temperature patterns before, during, and after semi-natural hibernation in the European ground squirrel. *J. Comp. Physiol. [B]* 172, 47–58.
- Janský L, 2008 Non-shivering thermogenesis and its thermoregulatory significance. *Biol. Rev* 48, 85–132. 10.1111/j.1469-185X.1973.tb01115.x
- Johansen K, Krog J, 1959 Diurnal body temperature variations and hibernation in the birchmouse, *Sicista betulina*. *Am. J. Physiol.-Leg. Content* 196, 1200–1204. 10.1152/ajplegacy.1959.196.6.1200
- Johnston DW, 1971 The absence of brown adipose tissue in birds. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A Physiol* 40, 1107–1108. 10.1016/0300-9629(71)90298-2
- Jonasson KA, Willis CKR, 2012 Hibernation energetics of free-ranging little brown bats. *J. Exp. Biol* 215, 2141–2149. 10.1242/jeb.066514 [PubMed: 22623203]
- Kayser C, 1964 La dépense d'énergie des mammifères en hibernation. *Arch. Sci. Physiol. (Paris)* 18, 137–150. [PubMed: 14136481]
- Kayser C, 1961 The physiology of natural hibernation. Pergamon Press.
- Kenagy GJ, Vleck D, 1982 Daily temporal organization of metabolism in small mammals: adaptation and diversity, in: *Vertebrate Circadian Systems, Proceedings in Life Sciences*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 322–338. 10.1007/978-3-642-68651-1_35
- Kleiber M, 1972 Body size, conductance for animal heat flow and Newton's law of cooling. *J. Theor. Biol* 37, 139–150. 10.1016/0022-5193(72)90120-8 [PubMed: 4675780]
- Langwig KE, Frick WF, Bried JT, Hicks AC, Kunz TH, Marm Kilpatrick A, 2012 Sociality, density-dependence and microclimates determine the persistence of populations suffering from a novel

- fungal disease, white-nose syndrome. *Ecol. Lett* 15, 1050–1057. 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01829.x [PubMed: 22747672]
- Langwig KE, Frick WF, Hoyt JR, Parise KL, Drees KP, Kunz TH, Foster JT, Kilpatrick AM, 2016 Drivers of variation in species impacts for a multi-host fungal disease of bats. *Phil Trans R Soc B* 371, 20150456. 10.1098/rstb.2015.0456
- Larkin JE, Heller HC, 1996 Temperature sensitivity of sleep homeostasis during hibernation in the golden-mantled ground squirrel. *Am. J. Physiol* 270, R777–784. 10.1152/ajpregu.1996.270.4.R777 [PubMed: 8967407]
- Lee TN, Kohl F, Buck CL, Barnes BM, 2016 Hibernation strategies and patterns in sympatric arctic species, the Alaska marmot and the arctic ground squirrel. *J. Mammal* 97, 135–144. 10.1093/jmammal/gyv163
- Licht P, Leitner P, 1967 Physiological responses to high environmental temperatures in three species of microchiropteran bats. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol* 22, 371–387. 10.1016/0010-406X(67)90601-9
- Lorch JM, Muller LK, Russell RE, O'Connor M, Lindner DL, Blehert DS, 2013 Distribution and environmental persistence of the causative agent of white-nose syndrome, *Geomyces destructans*, in bat hibernacula of the eastern United States. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol* 79, 1293–1301. 10.1128/AEM.02939-12 [PubMed: 23241985]
- Lyman CP, 2013 Hibernation and Torpor in Mammals and Birds. Elsevier.
- Lyman CP, 1948 The oxygen consumption and temperature regulation of hibernating hamsters. *J. Exp. Zool* 109, 55–78. 10.1002/jez.1401090105 [PubMed: 18889755]
- Marom S, Korine C, Wojciechowski MS, Tracy CR, Pinshow B, 2006 Energy metabolism and evaporative water loss in the European free-tailed bat and Hemprich's long-eared bat (Microchiroptera): species sympatric in the Negev Desert. *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.* PBZ 79, 944–956. 10.1086/505999 [PubMed: 16927241]
- Mayberry HW, McGuire LP, Willis CKR, 2018 Body temperatures of hibernating little brown bats reveal pronounced behavioural activity during deep torpor and suggest a fever response during white-nose syndrome. *J. Comp. Physiol. [B]* 188, 333–343. 10.1007/s00360-017-1119-0
- McGuire LP, Jonasson KA, Guglielmo CG, 2014 Bats on a budget: Torpor-assisted migration saves time and energy. *PLOS ONE* 9, e115724. 10.1371/journal.pone.0115724
- McGuire LP, Mayberry HW, Willis CKR, 2017 White-nose syndrome increases torpid metabolic rate and evaporative water loss in hibernating bats. *Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol* 313, R680–R686. 10.1152/ajpregu.00058.2017 [PubMed: 28835446]
- McKechnie AE, Wolf BO, 2004 The energetics of the rewarming phase of avian torpor, in: Barnes BM, Carey HV (Eds.), *Life in the Cold: Evolution, Mechanisms, Adaptation, and Applications*, International Hibernation Symposium. Institute of Arctic Biology, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, Alaska.
- Meeh K, 1879 Oberflächenmessungen des menschlichen Körpers. *Z. Biol* 15, 425–458.
- Menzies AK, Webber QMR, Baloun DE, McGuire LP, Muise KA, Coté D, Tinkler S, Willis CKR, 2016 Metabolic rate, latitude and thermal stability of roosts, but not phylogeny, affect rewarming rates of bats. *Physiol. Behav* 164, 361–368. 10.1016/j.physbeh.2016.06.015 [PubMed: 27317837]
- Mount LE, 1964 Radiant and convective heat loss from the new-born pig. *J. Physiol* 173, 96–113. [PubMed: 14205034]
- Nagel A, 1977 Torpor in the European white-toothed shrews. *Experientia* 33, 1455–1456. 10.1007/BF01918804
- Neal CM, 1976 Energy budget of the eastern chipmunk (*Tamias striatus*): convective heat loss. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A* 54, 157–160. [PubMed: 4263]
- Neumann RL, Cade TJ, 1965 Torpidity in the Mexican ground squirrel *Citellus mexicanus parvidens* (mearns). *Can. J. Zool* 43, 133–140. 10.1139/z65-011 [PubMed: 14287034]
- Newton I, 1701 *Scala graduum caloris, Calorum descriptiones & signa* (Scale of the degrees of heat). *Philos. Trans* 22, 74–829.
- Nicol SC, Andersen NA, 2007 Cooling rates and body temperature regulation of hibernating echidnas (*Tachyglossus aculeatus*). *J. Exp. Biol* 210, 586–592. 10.1242/jeb.02701 [PubMed: 17267644]
- O'Farrell MJ, Studier EH, 1970 Fall metabolism in relation to ambient temperatures in three species of *Myotis*. *Comp Biochem* 35–697.

- Ortmann S, Heldmaier G, 2000 Regulation of body temperature and energy requirements of hibernating alpine marmots (*Marmota marmota*). *Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol* 278, R698–704. [PubMed: 10712291]
- Pajunen I, 1970 Body temperature, heart rate, breathing pattern, weight loss and periodicity of hibernation in the Finnish garden dormouse, *Eliomys quercinus* L. *Ann. Zool. Fenn* 7, 251–266.
- Pohl H, Hart JS, 1965 Thermoregulation and cold acclimation in a hibernator, *Citellus tridecemlineatus*. *J. Appl. Physiol* 20, 398–404. 10.1152/jappl.1965.20.3.398 [PubMed: 5837556]
- Pretzlaff I, Dausmann KH, 2012 Impact of climatic variation on the hibernation physiology of *Muscardinus avellanarius*, in: *Living in a Seasonal World*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 85–97. 10.1007/978-3-642-28678-0_8
- Prothero J, Jürgens KD, 1986 An energetic model of daily torpor in endotherms. *J. Theor. Biol* 121, 403–415. 10.1016/S0022-5193(86)80099-6
- R Development Core Team, 2009 R: a language and environment for statistical computing R Foundation for Statistical Computing Vienna, Austria.
- Reeder DM, Frank CL, Turner GG, Meteyer CU, Kurta A, Britzke ER, Vodzak ME, Darling SR, Stihler CW, Hicks AC, Jacob R, Grieneisen LE, Brownlee SA, Muller LK, Blehert DS, 2012 Frequent arousal from hibernation linked to severity of infection and mortality in bats with white-nose syndrome. *PLOS ONE* 7, e38920 10.1371/journal.pone.0038920 [PubMed: 22745688]
- Sale JB, 1970 The Behaviour of the resting rock hyrax in relation to its environment. *Zool. Afr* 5, 87–99. 10.1080/00445096.1970.11447383
- Satinoff E, 1978 Neural organization and evolution of thermal regulation in mammals. *Science* 201, 16–22. [PubMed: 351802]
- Schmidt-Nielsen K. (Ed.), 1987 *Animal Physiology: Adaptation and Environment*, 3rd ed ed. Cambridge University Press, London; New York.
- Schmidt-Nielsen K, 1984 *Scaling: Why is Animal Size so Important?*, 1 edition ed. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge; New York.
- Schmidt-Nielsen K, 1970 Energy metabolism, body size, and problems of scaling. *Fed. Proc* 29, 1524–1532. [PubMed: 5459901]
- Smalley RL, Dryer RL, 1963 Brown fat: thermogenic effect during arousal from hibernation in the bat. *Science* 140, 1333–1334. [PubMed: 13989313]
- Smith RE, Hock RJ, 1963 Brown fat: thermogenic effector of arousal in hibernators. *Science* 140, 199–200. [PubMed: 13989560]
- Snapp BD, Heller HC, 1981 Suppression of metabolism during hibernation in ground squirrels (*Citellus lateralis*). *Physiol. Zool* 54, 297–307. 10.1086/physzool.54.3.30159944
- Snyder GK, Nestler JR, 1990 Relationships between body temperature, thermal conductance, Q10 and energy metabolism during daily torpor and hibernation in rodents. *J. Comp. Physiol. B* 159, 667–675. 10.1007/BF00691712 [PubMed: 2335595]
- Song X, Körtner G, Geiser F, 1997 Thermal relations of metabolic rate reduction in a hibernating marsupial. *Am. J. Physiol* 273, R2097–2104. [PubMed: 9435666]
- Song X, Körtner G, Geiser F, 1995 Reduction of metabolic rate and thermoregulation during daily torpor. *J. Comp. Physiol. B* 165, 291–297. 10.1007/BF00367312 [PubMed: 7560307]
- Speakman JR, Thomas DW, 2003 *Physiological Ecology and Energetics of Bats*, in: Kunz TH, Fenton MB (Eds.), *Bat Ecology*. University Of Chicago Press, Chicago and London, pp. 430–490.
- Staples JF, 2014 Metabolic suppression in mammalian hibernation: the role of mitochondria. *J. Exp. Biol* 217, 2032–2036. 10.1242/jeb.092973 [PubMed: 24920833]
- Stelzner JK, Hausfater G, 1986 Posture, microclimate, and thermoregulation in yellow baboons. *Primates* 27, 449–463. 10.1007/BF02381890
- Stone GN, Purvis A, 1992 Warm-up rates during arousal from torpor in heterothermic mammals: physiological correlates and a comparison with heterothermic insects. *J. Comp. Physiol. B* 162, 284–295. [PubMed: 1613167]
- Strumwasser F, 1959 Thermoregulatory, brain and behavioral mechanisms during entrance into hibernation in the squirrel, *Citellus beecheyi*. *Am. J. Physiol* 196, 15–22. [PubMed: 13617428]

- Strunk TH, 1971 Heat loss from a Newtonian animal. *J. Theor. Biol* 33, 35–61. 10.1016/0022-5193(71)90215-3 [PubMed: 5130671]
- Thomas DW, Dorais M, Bergeron J-M, 1990 Winter energy budgets and cost of arousals for hibernating little brown bats, *Myotis lucifugus*. *J. Mammal* 71, 475–479. 10.2307/1381967
- Tucker VA, 1965 Oxygen consumption, thermal conductance, and torpor in the California pocket mouse *Perognathus californicus*. *J. Cell. Comp. Physiol* 65, 393–403. 10.1002/jcp.1030650313
- Turnpenny JR, Wathes CM, Clark JA, McArthur AJ, 2000 Thermal balance of livestock: 2. Applications of a parsimonious model. *Agric. For. Meteorol* 101, 29–52. 10.1016/S0168-1923(99)00157-4
- Wang L, Hudson JW, 1970 Some physiological aspects of temperature regulation in the normothermic and torpid hispid pocket mouse, *Perognathus hispidus*. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol* 32, 275–293. 10.1016/0010-406X(70)90941-2 [PubMed: 5417459]
- Wang LCH, 1978 Energetics and field aspects of mammalian torpor: the Richardson's ground squirrel, in: Wang LCH, Hudson JW (Eds.), *Strategies in the Cold*. Academic Press, New York, pp. 109–145.
- Warnecke L, Turner JM, Bollinger TK, Lorch JM, Misra V, Cryan PM, Wibbelt G, Blehert DS, Willis CKR, 2012 Inoculation of bats with European *Geomyces destructans* supports the novel pathogen hypothesis for the origin of white-nose syndrome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci* 109, 6999–7003. 10.1073/pnas.1200374109 [PubMed: 22493237]
- Warnecke L, Turner JM, Bollinger TK, Misra V, Cryan PM, Blehert DS, Wibbelt G, Willis CKR, 2013 Pathophysiology of white-nose syndrome in bats: a mechanistic model linking wing damage to mortality. *Biol. Lett* 9, 20130177 10.1098/rsbl.2013.0177 [PubMed: 23720520]
- Waßmer T, Wollnik F, 1997 Timing of torpor bouts during hibernation in European hamsters (*Cricetus cricetus* L.). *J. Comp. Physiol. B* 167, 270–279. 10.1007/s003600050074 [PubMed: 9203368]
- Webb PI, Ellison J, 1998 Normothermy, torpor, and arousal in hedgehogs (*Erinaceus europaeus*) from Dunedin. *N. Z. J. Zool* 25, 85–90. 10.1080/03014223.1998.9518139
- Wilcox A, Willis CK, 2016 Energetic benefits of enhanced summer roosting habitat for little brown bats (*Myotis lucifugus*) recovering from white-nose syndrome. *Conserv. Physiol* 4, cov070. [PubMed: 27293749]
- Willis CK, 2015 Conservation physiology and conservation pathogens: white-nose syndrome and integrative biology for host–pathogen systems. *Integr. Comp. Biol* 55, 631–641. [PubMed: 26307096]
- Willis CKR, 2008 Do roost type or sociality predict warming rate? A phylogenetic analysis of torpor arousal, in: Lovegrove BG, McKechnie AE (Eds.), *Hypometabolism in Animals: Hibernation, Torpor and Cryobiology*. School of Biological and Conservation Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Pietermaritzburg, pp. 373–384.
- Willis CKR, Brigham RM, Geiser F, 2006 Deep, prolonged torpor by pregnant, free-ranging bats. *Naturwissenschaften* 93, 80–83. 10.1007/s00114-005-0063-0 [PubMed: 16456644]
- Willis CKR, Menzies AK, Boyles JG, Wojciechowski MS, 2011 Evaporative water loss is a plausible explanation for mortality of bats from white-nose syndrome. *Integr. Comp. Biol* 51, 364–373. 10.1093/icb/ucr076 [PubMed: 21742778]
- Wilz M, Heldmaier G, 2000 Comparison of hibernation, estivation and daily torpor in the edible dormouse, *Glis glis*. *J. Comp. Physiol. B* 170, 511–521. 10.1007/s003600000129 [PubMed: 11128441]
- Wojciechowski MS, Jefimow M, Tegowska E, 2007 Environmental conditions, rather than season, determine torpor use and temperature selection in large mouse-eared bats (*Myotis myotis*). *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A. Mol. Integr. Physiol* 147, 828–840. 10.1016/j.cbpa.2006.06.039 [PubMed: 16891137]

Highlights

- Hibernation models estimate the cost of warming, euthermia, cooling, torpor
- Previous models have ignored or used an assumed proportion to estimate cooling cost
- Cooling cost was derived to incorporate body size, conductance, and temperature
- Previous assumptions overestimate or underestimate costs based on body size
- Variation in cooling rate is explained by body mass and thermal conductance

Figure 1:

Measured and modeled cooling cost (ml O₂ g⁻¹) of hibernating species used to validate a cooling cost model. Dashed line represents 1:1 line. Modeled cost was not different from measured cost (*slope* = 0.99 [0.939, 1.04], $F_{1,7} = 1220$, $p = <0.001$, $r^2 = 0.99$).

Figure 2.

Relationship between cooling rate (CR; $^{\circ}\text{C h}^{-1}$) and A) body mass (g) and B) mass-specific cooling cost per arousal ($\text{ml O}_2 \text{ g}^{-1}$) for 53 mammalian hibernators.

Figure 3:

A) Energetic cost of cooling ($\ln[\text{ml O}_2 \text{ g}^{-1}]$) for 53 mammalian species grouped by order and predicted from our cooling model and estimated from the commonly assumed 67% of warming costs. Dashed line represents one-to-one relationship between estimates and solid line is the fitted relationship. B) Relationship of the difference between cooling cost estimates (predicted from 67% of warming cost – cooling model; $\text{ml O}_2 \text{ g}^{-1}$) and body mass ($\ln[\text{g}]$). Dashed line represents 0 difference between estimates.

Summary of previous studies that calculated energy expenditure during torpor or arousals, including the various methods by which warming and cooling costs have been considered. Warming models consider the effects of body mass (M_b), specific heat capacity of tissues (S), euthermic (T_{eu}) and torpid (T_b) body temperatures, and resting (RMR) and torpid (TMR) metabolic rates.

Table 1:

Species	Warming Model	Cooling Use	Reference
Various bats	$M_b(T_{eu} - T_{tor})S$	None	Prothero and Jurgens, 1986
<i>Myotis lucifugus</i>	$(T_{eu} - T_{tor})S$	67.2% of warming	Thomas et al., 1990
<i>Myotis lucifugus</i>	$(T_{eu} - T_{tor})S$	None	Humphries et al., 2002
<i>Lasius cinereus</i>	$M_b(T_{eu} - T_{tor})S + \int_{T_1}^{T_2} C(T_{eu} - T_a)$	None	Cryan and Wolf, 2003
<i>Lasius cinereus</i>	$[M_b(T_{eu} - T_{tor})S] + D_{warm}^* \left(TMR + \frac{RMR - TMR}{2} \right)$	None	Willis et al., 2006
<i>Myotis lucifugus</i>	$(T_{eu} - T_{tor})S$	65% of warming	Frederico, 2007
<i>Myotis lucifugus</i>	$(T_{eu} - T_{tor})S$	67% of warming	Boyles and Brack, 2009
<i>Myotis lucifugus</i>	$(T_{eu} - T_{tor})S$	67% of warming	Boyles and McKechnie, 2010
Various bats	$(T_{eu} - T_{tor})S$	67% of warming	Boyles and Willis, 2010
<i>Myotis lucifugus</i>	$(T_{eu} - T_{tor})S$	67% of warming	Jonasson and Willis, 2012**
<i>Myotis lucifugus</i>	$(T_{eu} - T_{tor})S$	67% of warming	Ehlman et al., 2013
<i>Myotis lucifugus</i>	None	None	Burles et al., 2014
<i>Lasionycteris noctivagans</i>	$M_b(T_{eu} - T_{tor})S + \int_{T_1}^{T_2} C(T_{eu} - T_a)$	67.2% of warming	McGuire et al., 2014
Various bats	$(T_{eu} - T_{tor})S$	None	Hayman et al., 2016
<i>Myotis lucifugus</i>	$[M_b(T_{eu} - T_{tor})S] + D_{warm}^* \left(TMR + \frac{RMR - TMR}{2} \right)$	67.2% of warming	Wilcox and Willis, 2016
<i>Myotis lucifugus</i>	$(T_{eu} - T_{tor})S$	67.2% of warming	Czenze et al., 2017

* D_{warm} is defined as the time required to warming tissues, a function of the rate of warming and gradient between euthermic and torpid body temperatures

** Jonasson and Willis (2012) also used models published by Thomas et al. (1990) and Humphries et al. (2002) listed in the table

Measured and modeled costs (ml O₂ g⁻¹) of cooling into torpor from euthermia. Measured costs are reported from the referenced papers and modeled costs are from Equation 2.

Table 2.

Species	T _a (°C)	Measured Costs	Modeled Costs	Data Reference
<i>Erinaceus europaeus</i>	5	4.73	4.65	Webb and Ellison, 1998
<i>Glis glis</i>	4	2.65	2.86	Witz and Heldmaier, 2000
<i>Marmota flaviventris</i>	6	9.25	8.53	Armitage et al., 2003
<i>Marmota marmota</i>	7	3.76	3.86	Heldmaier et al., 2004
<i>Urocyon v. richardsonii</i>	13–15	4.22*	4.50**	Wang, 1978
<i>Urocyon v. richardsonii</i>	8–10	3.16*	3.85**	Wang, 1978
<i>Urocyon v. richardsonii</i>	2–6	4.09*	3.98**	Wang, 1978
<i>Zapus princeps</i>	5	5.09	5.17	Cranford, 1983

* mean over all individuals

** mean over all temperatures

Table 3.

Parameters used to apply cooling model across mammalian species: cooling rate (CR; $^{\circ}\text{C h}^{-1}$), warming rate (WR; $^{\circ}\text{C h}^{-1}$), body mass (M_b ; g), thermal conductance (C ; $\text{ml O}_2 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}^{-1}$), euthermic (T_{eu} ; $^{\circ}\text{C}$) and torpid (T_{tor} ; $^{\circ}\text{C}$) body temperature, air temperature (T_a ; $^{\circ}\text{C}$), and Q_{10} rates.

Species, by Order	CR	WR	M_b	C	T_{eu}	T_{tor}	T_a	Q_{10}	Reference [Conductance Reference]
Chiroptera									
<i>Chalinolobus gouldii</i>	1.29	84.0	12	0.34	36	6	5	3.27	Hosken and Withers, 1997
<i>Corynorhinus townsendii</i>	0.80	91.2 ¹	10	0.20	37	9	8	3.32	CGH et al., unpublished data [Speakman and Thomas, 2003]
<i>Eptesicus fuscus</i>	0.61	90.0 ²	18	0.20	37	16	15	3.19	Halsall et al., 2012 [Willis, 2015]
<i>Lasionycteris noctivagans</i>	0.59	162.0 ²	11	0.15	35	6	5	3.30	Dunbar, 2007
<i>Lasturus borealis</i>	0.46	106.8 ²	13	0.13	35	6	5	3.26	Dunbar and Tomasi, 2006
<i>Lasturus cinereus</i>	0.53	128.4 ²	25	0.18	35	6	5	3.11	Cryan and Wolf, 2003
<i>Myotis californicus</i>	1.26	77.4 ¹	5	0.26	35	11	10	3.47	CGH et al., unpublished data [Speakman and Thomas 2003]
<i>Myotis lucifugus</i>	1.11	64.0 ¹	9	0.26	37	5	4	3.33	Czenze and Willis, 2015 [Hayman et al., 2016]
<i>Myotis myotis</i>	0.51	24.2 ¹	25	0.20	35	17	16	3.11	Wojciechowski et al., 2007
<i>Myotis nattereri</i>	0.77	63.0 ¹	8	0.18	35	10	8	3.36	Hope and Jones, 2012 [Speakman and Thomas, 2003]
<i>Myotis velifer</i>	0.70	50.0	14	0.20	37	9	8	3.23	CGH et al., unpublished data [Hirshfeld and O'Farrell, 1976]
<i>Myotis yumanensis</i>	0.72	27.6	6	0.15	37	9	8	3.44	Licht and Leitner, 1967 [O'Farrell and Studier, 1970]
<i>Nyctalus noctula</i>	0.21	94.8 ¹	27	0.07	37	5	5	3.09	Kayser, 1964
<i>Nyctophilus geoffroyi</i>	1.57	80.4 ²	8	0.36	36	6	6	3.37	Geiser and Brigham, 2000 [Herreid and Kessel, 1967]
<i>Pipistrellus pipistrellus</i>	1.10	57.0 ¹	6	0.23	37	6	5	3.43	Kayser, 1964
<i>Tadarida brasiliensis</i>	0.90	43.8 ¹	12	0.25	36	11	10	3.27	Herreid and Schmidt-Nielsen, 1966
<i>Tadarida teniotis</i>	0.45	46.8 ¹	30	0.18	35	22	15	3.07	Marom et al., 2006
Dasyuromorphia									
<i>Dasyuroides byrnei</i>	0.21	33.0 ¹	120	0.13	34	11	10	2.77	Geiser and Baudinette, 1987
<i>Planigale gilesi</i>	1.19	57.0	8	0.33	33	10	15	3.36	Geiser and Baudinette, 1988 [Stone and Purvis, 1992]
<i>Sminthopsis macroura</i>	0.50	8.0 ¹	28	0.22	34	21	19	3.09	Song et al., 1995

Species, by Order	CR	WR	M _b	C	T _{eu}	T _{lor}	T _a	Q ₁₀	Reference [Conductance Reference]
Diprotodontia									
<i>Burramys parvus</i>	0.32	26.4 [/]	54	0.14	36	3	2	2.94	Fleming, 1985 [Herreid and Kessel, 1967]
<i>Cercartetus concinnus</i>	0.70	47.4 [/]	17	0.21	34	6	5	3.20	Geiser, 1987
<i>Cercartetus Lepidus</i>	0.78	54.0 [/]	12	0.21	34	6	5	3.27	Geiser, 1987
<i>Cercartetus nanus</i>	0.22	24.0 [/]	70	0.11	35	6	5	2.88	Song et al., 1997
Eulipotyphla									
<i>Crocodyra leucodon</i>	0.61	54.0 ^l	12	0.20	36	24	20	3.27	Nagel, 1977
<i>Crocodyra russula</i>	0.69	54.0 ^l	14	0.20	36	19	10	3.24	Nagel, 1977
<i>Crocodyra suaveolens</i>	1.56	54.0 ^l	8	0.37	36	20	10	3.38	Nagel, 1977
<i>Erinaceus europaeus</i>	0.19	10.2	505	0.18	34	11	10	2.45	Webb and Ellison, 1998
Rodentia									
<i>Baiomys taylori</i>	1.44	20.4	6	0.39	36	22	20	3.41	Hudson, 1965
<i>Callospermophilus lateralis</i>	0.18	20.0	137	0.12	35	16	15	2.74	Larkin and Heller, 1996 [Snapp and Heller, 1981]
<i>Chaetodipus californicus</i>	0.70	54.6	22	0.23	34	11	5	3.14	Tucker, 1965
<i>Chaetodipus hispidus</i>	0.47	21.0	40	0.21	38	18	17	3.01	Wang and Hudson, 1970
<i>Cricetus cricetus</i>	0.15	30.0 [/]	370	0.13	35	10	8	2.52	Walmer and Wollnik, 1997n[Kayser, 1964]
<i>Eliomys quercinus</i>	0.34	54.0 [/]	80	0.17	33	6	5	2.86	Pajunen, 1970 [Kayser, 1964]
<i>Glis glis</i>	0.20	51.0 [/]	100	0.11	36	8	8	2.81	Witz and Heldmaier, 2000 [Kayser, 1961]
<i>Ictidomys mexicanus</i>	0.18	21.0 [/]	190	0.14	36	15	17	2.66	Neumann and Cade, 1965 [Snyder and Nestler, 1990]
<i>Ictidomys tridecemlineatus</i>	0.16	27.0 [/]	190	0.11	35	7	6	2.66	Pohl and Hart, 1965 [Aschoff, 1981]
<i>Marmota broweri</i>	0.03	2.9	2400	0.04	30	10	2	2.11	Lee et al., 2016
<i>Marmota flaviventris</i>	0.02	2.3	3405	0.04	36	9	8	2.03	Arnold, 1988 [Florant and Heller, 1977]
<i>Marmota marmot</i>	0.02	5.0 [/]	3870	0.04	34	10	7	2.00	Ortmann and Heldmaier, 2000 [Kayser, 1964]
<i>Mesocricetus auratus</i>	0.35	25.8 [/]	80	0.17	37	5	4	2.86	Lyman, 1948
<i>Microdipodops pallidus</i>	0.53	48.0 [/]	15	0.15	39	9	8	3.22	Bartholomew and MacMillen, 1961
<i>Muscardinus avellanarius</i>	0.87	66.0 [/]	15	0.26	36	11	10	3.22	Pretzlaff and Dausmann, 2012
Rodentia, continued									

Species, by Order	CR	WR	M _b	C	T _{eu}	T _{lor}	T _a	Q ₁₀	Reference [Conductance Reference]
<i>Otospermophilus beecheyi</i>	0.11	2.6	502	0.12	32	15	14	2.45	Strumwasser, 1959 [Aschoff, 1981]
<i>Perognathus longimembris</i>	1.18	24.0 ¹	8	0.27	35	5	3	3.35	Bartholomew and Cade, 1957
<i>Phodopus sungorus</i>	0.32	8.7	31	0.13	32	18	12	3.07	Heldmaier et al., 2004
<i>icista betulina</i>	1.53	72.0 ¹	10	0.38	38	6	5	3.31	Johansen and Krog, 1959
<i>Spermophilus citellus</i>	0.15	24.0 ¹	290	0.11	36	6	1	2.57	Hut et al. 2002 [Aschoff, 1981]
<i>Tamias amoenus</i>	0.52	56.0 ¹	50	0.21	38	3	1	2.96	Geiser and Kenagy, 1988 [Kenagy and Yleck, 1982]
<i>Tamias striatus</i>	0.25	60.0 ¹	92	0.13	35	6	5	2.82	Neal, 1976 [Wang and Hudson, 1970]
<i>Urocyon parryi</i>	0.14	7.0	406	0.11	35	0	-2	2.50	Boyer and Barnes, 1999 [Hock, 1960]
<i>Urocyon richardsonii</i>	0.19	9.4	400	0.17	37	10	10	2.50	Wang, 1978 [Snyder and Nestler, 1990]
<i>Zapus princeps</i>	0.72	60.0 ¹	26	0.25	33	6	5	3.10	Cranford, 1983
Monotremata									
<i>Tachyglossus aculeatus</i>	0.01	4.3	4600	0.03	33	2	2	1.96	Grigg et al, 1992 [Nicol and Andersen, 2007]

¹ Geiser and Baudinette, 1990² Menzies et al., 2016