Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

SHORT COMMUNICATION

Prevalence of feline coronavirus in two cat populations in Malaysia

Saeed Sharif DVM, Siti S Arshad DVM, MSc, PhD*, Mohd Hair-Bejo DVM, PhD,
Abdul R Omar DVM, PhD, Nazariah A Zeenathul DVM, MSc, PhD, Mohd A Hafidz BSc

Department of Veterinary
Pathology and Microbiology,
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,
Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400
UPM Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

The prevalence of feline coronavirus (FCoV) was studied in two catteries in Malaysia. Rectal swabs or faecal samples were collected from a total of 44 clinically healthy Persian purebred and mix-breed cats. RNA extracted from the faecal material was subjected to a reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) using primers flanking for a conserved region of the virus genome. The overall prevalence of FCoV infection was 84% and the infection rate was higher in Persian purebred cats (96%) than mix-breed cats (70%). There was no significant association between the age or gender of tested cats and shedding the virus. This study is the first PCR-based survey for FCoV in Malaysia and showed the ubiquitous presence of FCoV in Malaysian cat colonies.

© 2009 ESFM and AAEP. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Date accepted: 13 August 2009

Feline coronavirus (FCoV) is a member of the family *Coronaviridae* which are large, enveloped, positive-stranded Ribonucleic acid (RNA) viruses within the order *Nidovirales*.¹ FCoVs are separated into two different types based upon their growth ability in vitro, antigenic relationship with canine coronavirus (CCV), neutralisation reactivity with S protein-specific antibody and homology of the S protein gene.^{2–6} FCoVs show a bimodal pathogenicity distribution, with subclinical or mild enteric infections in young kittens at one extreme and the deadly feline infectious peritonitis (FIP) at the other. The low virulence strains are referred to as feline enteric coronaviruses (FECV) and the highly virulent ones as FIP viruses (FIPV).^{7,8} Molecular studies have suggested that mutations in the FECV genome induce the virulent FIPV variants in infected cats.^{7,9–11} Infection with FCoV is prevalent in pet cats. The virus is endemic especially in environments where many cats are kept together in a small space (eg, catteries, shelters, pet stores). Antibodies against FCoVs are found in 20–60% of pet cats and up to 90% of animals living in catteries or multi-cat households.^{12,13} FCoVs are highly infectious and spread predominantly by the faeco-oral route, although the virus is also spread via oro-nasal secretions and in urine.¹⁴ Cats recovering from coronavirus infection will shed virus in their

faeces and potentially put other susceptible cats at risk. Most cats will shed virus for a few weeks to months either continuously or intermittently. Occasionally persistent carriers are found which will shed virus indefinitely.¹⁵ The present study is the first PCR-based survey to determine the FCoV prevalence in the Malaysian cat colonies.

Forty-four healthy cats of various ages were randomly selected from two catteries for this study (Table 1). Cattery A was privately owned and cattery B was managed by the Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals (SPCA), Malaysia. All cats at the SPCA were spayed or neutered upon entry into the shelter. Cats at both catteries were apparently healthy and were vaccinated (against feline rhinotracheitis, calicivirus, panleukopenia and *Chlamydomydia felis*), dewormed with praziquantel (Drontal; Bayer Animal Health) and treated for fleas with fipronil (Frontline; Merial) accordingly. None of them received vaccination against FIP, feline leukaemia virus or rabies. Twenty-four purebred Persians were selected from cattery A and 20 mix-breed cats, consisting of cross-breed and domestic shorthair (DSH) cats, from cattery B. Faecal material was obtained by rectal swab or by collecting about 1 g of fresh faeces from the litter trays before morning cleaning. The faeces were suspended 1:10 (w/v) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and stored for a maximum of 48 h at 4°C. The faecal samples were then homogenised by vigorous vortexing

*Corresponding author. E-mail: suri@vet.upm.edu.my

Table 1. Details of cat populations screened for FCoV by PCR.

Cattery	No. of samples	Age range		Gender		Breed
		<2 years	≥2 years	Male	Female	
A	24	4	20	8	16	Persian
B	20	0	20	12	8	Mix-breed*

*cross-breed + domestic short hair (DSH)

(Finepcr; Korea), centrifuged for 10 min at 1000 *g* and the suspension stored at -70°C until used. RNA was extracted from faeces suspension using a Trizol Reagent (Invitrogen, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The concentration and purity of the extracted RNA was determined by absorbance ratio measured at wavelength 260 nm and 280 nm using a spectrophotometer (Biophotometer Eppendorf, Germany). The primers (p 205 and p 211) were selected from a highly conserved region of 3' untranslated region (UTR) of the virus genome.¹⁶ The reaction was first optimised using two FCoV reference strains; FECV79-1683 (American Tissue Culture Collection (ATCC) number VR-989) and FIPV79-1146 (ATCC number VR-990). The PCR products were analysed by agarose gel electrophoresis, stained with ethidium bromide and visualised with ultra-violet illumination and photographed using AlphaImager (Alpha Innotech, USA). Data analysis was performed using Statistical Tables Calculator, which is available online at <http://faculty.vassar.edu/lowry/odds2x2.html>. Breed, age and gender differences were compared by calculating probability, odds ratios (OR), 95% confidence intervals (CI) and *P* value. Values of *P* less than or equal to 0.05 were considered significant.

Thirty-seven of 44 faecal samples (84%) were positive for FCoV. In cattery A and B, 96% (23/24) and 70% (14/20) of cats, respectively, were positive for FCoV. All cats were grouped according to breed, gender and age and their probability, OR and CI of each group were determined (Table 2).

FCoV is distributed worldwide and the virus has a high prevalence, particularly in multi-cat environments. It has been reported that 75–100% of cats in multi-cat households shed FCoV at any given time.¹⁷

This study indicated 84% of cats living in two Malaysian catteries shed FCoV while a previous study on Malaysian catteries showed 100% of cats have antibody against FCoV.¹⁸ Although, it is believed that FCoV is enzootic among both indoor and outdoor cat populations,¹⁹ cats that are kept indoors in a multi-cat environment are likely to have a higher prevalence of FCoV infection because close contact between a carrier and susceptible cats is the most effective mode of transmission and causes endemic infection.

Our study was conducted on two catteries, which kept purebred cats and mix-breed cats. There are very few catteries (particularly purebred) available locally. Although the result from these two catteries could not necessarily be extrapolated to other situations in Malaysia, this pilot study has given some insight into the disease in these local cat populations. While the study was undertaken on a moderate number (44) of cats, the results are in agreement with those of Pedersen, who suggested that FCoV may persist in all catteries and shelters with more than six cats, and can be shed by 60% or more of pet cats in multi-cat households.¹⁹

PCR provides an obvious advantage over serology by directly detecting FCoV genome rather than documenting a previous immune system encounter with the coronavirus. The primers of this PCR assay were chosen from a highly conserved region of 3'UTR of the FCoV genome to detect most, if not all, of the FCoV strains in the cat populations. The usefulness of these primers for a general screening test has been confirmed.^{20–22} It would also be of interest to determine the relatedness of our local isolates with reference strains of FCoVs by comparing their amino acid sequences. However, that was beyond the scope of this study.

Table 2. Probability, OR and CI of tested cats groups.

Cats		Probability	Odds ratio	Confidence interval
Breed	Persian	23/24 (96%)	9.86	1.07 to 90.65
	Mix-breed	14/20 (70%)	0.11	0.01 to 0.93
Gender	Female	21/24 (87.5%)	1.75	0.34 to 8.95
	Male	16/20 (80%)	0.57	0.11 to 2.92
Age	≥2 years	33/40 (82.5%)	*	*
	<2 years	4/4 (100%)	*	*

*Insufficient number of cats to allow statistical calculations.

We observed that FCoV was more prevalent in cattery A than cattery B. Cattery A was a Persian breeding cattery while cattery B being a shelter, housed mix-breed rescue cats. The higher rate of FCoV-positivity in cattery A could suggest that purebred Persian cats are more susceptible to being FCoV-positive than mix-breed cats (P value < 0.05). This observation is in accordance with previous reports that showed pedigree breeds are more susceptible to FCoV infections²³ and have higher seroprevalence^{24,25} and PCR positivity²⁶ than cross-breed cats. However, cattery A also had a higher density of cats, and the cats were subjected to frequent breeding which may also influence the prevalence of infection. There are reports indicating that the number of cats per household has a significant influence on the FCoV infection rate^{25,27} and the virus is highly prevalent in cat breeding institutions.^{26,28} These factors may, therefore, have created an environment, which may have contributed to the higher FCoV prevalence.

Among the 37 FCoV-positive cats, 89% (33) were 2 years (or more) and 11% cats (four) were less than 2 years old, but there was no statistical association between FCoV-positivity and age (P value > 0.05). Four kittens that tested FCoV-positive in this survey were below 9 weeks of age a finding which supports previous studies that found kittens may shed the virus from 2–5 weeks of age onwards.^{29,30}

Of the FCoV-positive cats in this study, 57% were females and 43% males. Although the FCoV prevalence in female cats (87.5%) was slightly higher than in male cats (80%), this difference was not statistically significant (P value > 0.05). These results are in concordance with those of Kiss et al and Cave et al who found no significant correlation between FCoV-positivity and the gender of the cats.^{25,26}

In conclusion, this pilot study on two Malaysian catteries demonstrated that 84% of cats living in catteries shed FCoV while all of them were clinically asymptomatic. However, further studies on larger numbers of animals are needed to determine the overall prevalence and epidemiological pattern of FCoV in Malaysia. In this study, the prevalence of FCoV in Persian purebred cats was significantly higher than in a cat colony with mix-breed cats. There was no significant difference in FCoV prevalence either between young and old or between male and female cats.

Acknowledgments

The study was supported by Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation (MOSTI) project number 02-01-04-SF0485: Development of a rapid test for diagnosis of feline coronavirus. The authors would like to thank the staffs of SPCA in Kuala Lumpur.

References

1. Lai MM, Perlman S, Anderson LJ. Coronaviridae. In: Knipe DM, Howley PM, eds. *Fields virology* 5th edn.

- Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2007: 1305–35.
2. Fiscus SA, Teramoto YA. Functional differences in the peplomer glycoproteins of feline coronavirus isolates. *J Virol* 1987; **61**: 2655–7.
3. Fiscus SA, Teramoto YA. Antigenic comparison of feline coronavirus isolates: evidence for markedly different peplomer glycoproteins. *J Virol* 1987; **61**: 2607–13.
4. Hohdatsu T, Sasamoto T, Okada S, Koyam H. Antigenic analysis of feline coronaviruses with monoclonal antibodies (Mabs): preparation of Mabs which discriminate between FIPV strain 79-1146 and FECV strain 79-1683. *Vet Microbiol* 1991; **28**: 13–24.
5. Hohdatsu T, Okada S, Ishizuka Y, Yamada H, Koyama H. The prevalence of types I and II feline coronavirus infections in cats. *J Vet Med Sci* 1992; **54**: 557–62.
6. Motokawa K, Hohdatsu T, Aizawa C, Koyama H, Hashimoto H. Molecular cloning and sequence determination of the peplomer protein gene of feline infectious peritonitis virus type I. *Arch Virol* 1995; **140**: 469–80.
7. Pedersen NC, Boyle JE, Floyd K, Fudge A, Barker J. An enteric coronavirus infection of cats and its relationship to feline infectious peritonitis. *Am J Vet Res* 1981; **42**: 368–77.
8. Pedersen NC. Virologic and immunologic aspects of feline infectious peritonitis virus infection. *Adv Med Biol* 1987; **218**: 529–50.
9. Herrewegh AA, Vennema H, Horzinek MC, Rottier PJ, DeGroot RJ. The molecular genetics of feline coronaviruses: comparative sequence analysis of the ORF7a/7b transcription unit of different biotypes. *Virol* 1995; **212**: 622–31.
10. Vennema H, Poland AM, Foley JE, Pedersen NC. Feline infectious peritonitis viruses arise by mutation from endemic feline enteric coronaviruses. *Virol* 1998; **243**: 150–7.
11. Poland AM, Vennema H, Foley JE, Pedersen NC. Two related strains of feline infectious peritonitis virus isolated from immunocompromised cats infected with a feline enteric coronavirus. *J Clin Microbiol* 1996; **34**: 3180–4.
12. Herrewegh AA, Mahler M, Hedrich HJ, et al. Persistence and evolution of feline coronavirus in a closed cat-breeding colony. *Virol* 1997; **234**: 349–63.
13. Pedersen NC, Sato R, Foley JE, Poland AM. Common virus infections in cats, before and after being placed in shelters, with emphasis on feline enteric coronavirus. *J Feline Med Surg* 2004; **6**: 83–8.
14. Hoskins JD. Coronavirus infection in cats. In: Hoskins JD, Loar AS, eds. *Small animal practice*. Philadelphia: WB Saunders, 1993: 1–16.
15. Addie DD, Jarrett O. Use of a reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction for monitoring the shedding of feline coronavirus by healthy cats. *Vet Rec* 2001; **148**: 649–53.
16. Herrewegh AA, DeGroot RJ, Cepica A, Egberink HF, Horzinek MC, Rottier PJ. Detection of feline coronavirus RNA in feces, tissues and body fluids of naturally infected cats by reverse transcriptase PCR. *J Clin Micro* 1995; **33**: 684–9.
17. Pedersen NC. An overview of feline enteric coronavirus and infectious peritonitis virus infections. *Feline Pract* 1995; **23**: 7–20.
18. Arshad SS, Lee WW, Hassan L, Kamarudin AM, Siti-Farawahida AW, Cheng NB. Serological survey of catteries for cats infected with feline coronavirus. *J Vet Malaysia* 2004; **17**: 19–22.
19. Pedersen NC. A review of feline infectious peritonitis virus infection: 1963–2008. *J Feline Med Surg* 2009; **11**: 225–58.

20. Benetka V, Kubber-Heis A, Kolodziejek J, Nowotny N, Hofmann-Parisot M, Mostl K. Prevalence of feline coronavirus types I and II in cats with histopathologically verified feline infectious peritonitis. *Vet Micro* 2004; **99**: 31–42.
21. Lin CN, Su BL, Huang HP, Lee JJ, Hsieh MW, Chueh LL. Field strain feline coronaviruses with small deletions in ORF7b associated with both enteric infection and feline infectious peritonitis. *J Feline Med Surg* 2009; **11**: 413–9.
22. Duarte A, Veiga I, Tavares L. Genetic diversity and phylogenetic analysis of feline coronavirus sequences from Portugal. *Vet Micro* 2009; **138**: 163–8.
23. Foley JF, Pedersen NC. The inheritance of susceptibility to feline infectious peritonitis in purebred catteries. *Feline Pract* 1996; **24**: 14–22.
24. Holst BS, Englund L, Palacios S, Renstrom L, Berndtsson LT. Prevalence of antibodies against feline coronavirus and *Chlamydia felis* in Swedish cats. *J Feline Med Surg* 2006; **8**: 207–11.
25. Cave TA, Golder MC, Simpson J, Addie DD. Risk factors for feline coronavirus seropositivity in cats relinquished to a UK rescue charity. *J Feline Med Surg* 2004; **6**: 53–8.
26. Kiss I, Kecskemeti S, Tanyi J, Klingeborn B, Belak S. Prevalence and genetic pattern of feline coronaviruses in urban cat populations. *Vet J* 2000; **159**: 64–70.
27. Bell E, Toribio J, White JD, Malik R, Norris JM. Seroprevalence study of feline coronavirus in owned and feral cats in Sydney, Australia. *Australian Vet J* 2006; **84**: 74–80.
28. Sparkes AH, Gruffydd-Jones TJ, Howard P, Harbour DA. Coronavirus serology in healthy pedigree cats. *Vet Rec* 1992; **131**: 35–6.
29. Gut M, Leutenegger CM, Huder JB, Pedersen NC, Lutz H. One-tube fluorogenic reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction for the quantitation of feline coronaviruses. *J Virol Methods* 1999; **77**: 37–46.
30. Harpold LM, Legendre AM, Kennedy MA, Plummer PJ, Millsaps K, Rohrbach B. Fecal shedding of feline coronavirus in adult cats and kittens in an Abyssinian cattery. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 1999; **215**: 948–51.

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com