Published in final edited form as:

Curr Opin Insect Sci. 2020 February ; 37: 30–38. doi:10.1016/j.cois.2019.11.007.

Nuclear architecture as an intrinsic regulator of *Drosophila* female germline stem cell maintenance

Tingting Duan^a, Nicole Green^b, Tina L. Tootle^b, Pamela K. Geyer^{a,c}

^aDepartment of Biochemistry, University of Iowa, College of Medicine, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA

^bDepartment of Anatomy and Cell Biology, University of Iowa, College of Medicine, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA

Abstract

Homeostasis of *Drosophila* germline stem cells (GSC) depends upon the integration of intrinsic and extrinsic signals. This review highlights emerging data that support nuclear architecture as an intrinsic regulator of GSC maintenance and germ cell differentiation. Here, we focus on the nuclear lamina (NL) and the nucleolus, two compartments that undergo alterations in composition upon germ cell differentiation. Loss of NL or nucleolar components leads to GSC loss, resulting from activation of GSC quality control checkpoint pathways. We suggest that the NL and nucleolus integrate signals needed for the switch between GSC maintenance and germ cell differentiation, and propose regulation of nuclear actin pools as one mechanism that connects these compartments.

Keywords

Germline stem cells; *Drosophila* oogenesis; nuclear lamina; LEM-domain proteins; Otefin; nucleolus; nuclear actin; nuclear architecture; emerin; Chk2

INTRODUCTION

Germline stem cells (GSCs) are a unique adult stem cell population responsible for transmitting information across generations [1]. These stem cells divide asymmetrically to generate one daughter that retains its stem cell identity and a second daughter that differentiates into an egg or sperm. Maintaining the balance between GSC self-renewal and germ cell differentiation is critical for sustained gametogenesis, with failures leading to infertility.

^c Corresponding author: Pamela Geyer, 3135E MERF, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, phone number 319-335-6953, FAX # 319-384-4770, pamela-geyer@uiowa.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

The *Drosophila* ovary is one of the pioneer systems that has advanced our understanding of GSC health and maintenance [2, 3]. Oogenesis begins in a specialized structure called the germarium (Fig.1A). Within each germarium, somatic niche cells anchor two or three GSCs. Asymmetric GSC divisions produce one germ cell that self-renews and one cystoblast (CB) that commits to differentiation. CBs enter into four incomplete mitotic divisions to generate an interconnected 16-cell cyst. Once this cyst is formed, germ cells enter a sex-specific gametogenesis program, with one cell becoming the oocyte and the remaining cells becoming nurse cells that support the developing oocyte.

Extrinsic and intrinsic mechanisms regulate the decision between stem cell self-renewal and differentiation [4]. Extrinsic mechanisms include somatic niche signals that inhibit differentiation, such as BMP signaling that directs transcriptional repression of the key differentiation gene, *bag of marbles* (*bam*) in GSCs [2]. GSCs also produce signals important for maintenance of the niche [5, 6]. Intrinsic mechanisms are varied and center on the regulation of gene expression through effects on chromatin structure, transcription, RNA processing and translation [7, 8]. Here, we discuss the role of nuclear architecture as an intrinsic regulator of GSC maintenance and commitment to differentiation in *Drosophila*. Notably, distinct cell fates commonly accompany global differences in the three dimensional arrangement of genomes [9–11]. For this reason, we focus on two nuclear compartments critical to nuclear architecture, the nuclear lamina (NL) and nucleolus [9, 12], as these compartments anchor and organize genomic domains to establish the spatial arrangement of chromosomes [13]. We summarize recent data that highlight how the NL and nucleolus contribute to germ cell homeostasis, with a focus on regulation in the *Drosophila* ovary.

The NL contributes to chromosome positioning in germ cells

The NL is an extensive protein network that lies beneath the inner nuclear envelope [14]. This dense meshwork is comprised of lamins, including B-type lamins that localize to the inner nuclear membrane through post-translational carboxy-terminal farnesylation and A-type lamins that localize to the inner nuclear membrane and nuclear interior. Lamins scaffold hundreds of interacting proteins [15, 16], including proteins in the LEM-Domain (LEM-D) family. LEM-D proteins share an ability to interact with Barrier-to-autointegration Factor (BAF), a chromatin and DNA binding protein [17–19]. These proteins have a prominent role in nuclear architecture, acting as bridging proteins that tether genomic regions to the NL. The NL establishes nuclear structures that are important for stem cell function and differentiation [9, 20–23], as illustrated by the age-dependent progression of human diseases associated with NL dysfunction [5, 24].

The composition of the NL is cell-type specific and changes during development [16, 25, 26]. Commonly, no or low levels of the A-type lamin are found in stem cells, with levels of this lamin increasing upon differentiation [23, 27, 28]. Such changes in NL composition contribute to cell-type specific nuclear mechanics that are important for transcription, replication and genome stability [19, 29, 30]. Similarly, the NL composition changes during development of *Drosophila* female and male germ cells. GSCs express only the B-type lamin (Lamin Dm0). This lamin declines during germ cell differentiation, as expression of the A-type lamin (Lamin C) begins [Figs. 1, 2; [31]]. Notably, these changes accompany

adjustments in lamin-interacting proteins, exemplified by the *Drosophila* orthologue of the LEM-D protein emerlin (D-emerlin/Otefin). GSCs express high levels of D-emerlin/Otefin, which declines during differentiation (Figs. 1B, 2A). Notably, D-emerlin/Otefin is essential for GSC survival [32, 33], indicating that GSCs might use a changing composition of the NL to regulate nuclear events required for germ cell development.

Chromosome positioning and pairing in *Drosophila* GSCs depend upon proteins in the NL. Notably, chromosome organization in GSCs differs from other *Drosophila* cell types. GSCs are the only adult cells that carry unpaired chromosomes [34, 35], an unexpected arrangement considering that progeny of these stem cells are destined to enter meiosis. In GSCs, unpaired chromosomes align with the nuclear periphery along their length [34]. D-emerlin/Otefin contributes to the separation of these chromosomes, evidenced by heterochromatin coalescence in *d-emerlin/otefin* mutant GSCs [36]. Further, the NL SUN and KASH domain proteins, Klaroid and Klarsicht, respectively play a central role in reorganization of nuclear architecture that is needed for meiosis [37]. These proteins bridge the nuclear interior and the cytoskeleton, structurally supporting the microtubule-driven nuclear rotation that promotes homologue pairing [37]. Together, these observations highlight that NL proteins have many roles in chromosome organization in early germ cells.

Contributions of the NL to transcriptional repression in germ cells

GSC divisions produce one self-renewing and one differentiating daughter. Differentiating CBs shift their transcriptional program to promote adoption of a developmental fate. Notably, these changes are accompanied with movement of chromosomes away from the NL (Fig. 3, [34]), a nuclear compartment that is associated with transcriptional repression and depletion of active histone marks, such as acetylation [38, 39]. Low levels of acetylation of chromatin at the NL are maintained in part by sequestration of histone deacetylases by NL proteins [40, 41]. Indeed, the LEM-D protein emerlin interacts with histone deacetylase HDAC3, with this interaction stimulating deacetylase activity [40]. Strikingly, *Drosophila* GSC maintenance requires low levels of acetylation. For example, GSC numbers decline in *scrawny* mutant females and males, because loss of this histone H2B ubiquitin protease increases H3K4me3 and H3 acetylation [42]. Additionally, the loss of H1 causes premature differentiation of germ cells. H1 loss is coupled with elevation of H4K16 acetylation due to the absence of H1-dependent antagonism of the histone acetyltransferase Males Absent First (MOF) [43]. In both cases, increased acetylation upregulates transcription of differentiation genes, such as *bam*, emphasizing the importance of maintaining levels of acetylation. Furthermore, the intrinsic requirement for H1 is intriguing, as H1 is a component of a distinct type of repressive chromatin called BLACK chromatin [44]. This chromatin type is also enriched for the B-type lamin and the satellite binding protein D1, but devoid of classic heterochromatin marks of H3K9me3 and H3K27me3 [44]. These observations suggest that GSCs capitalize upon the close proximity of chromosomes to the NL for gene silencing, conferring repression that is independent of additional chromatin marks. This type of chromatin state might facilitate adoption of the distinct transcriptional program needed for CB differentiation.

NL association represents only one mechanism of transcriptional repression in GSCs. Canonical H3K9me3 and H3K27me3 repression pathways are also used, but these pathways display unique features. For example, the histone methyltransferase SETDB1 (Eggless) controls local accumulation of H3K9me3 over testis-specific genes, depositing a restricted mark that does not spread into neighboring loci [45]. Formation of such localized heterochromatin is essential for maintenance of the female cell fate [45]. Although untested, NL association might also contribute to repression of testis-specific genes, as many testis genes are organized in NL-associated gene clusters that translocate away from the NL upon transcriptional activation in the testis [46]. In a second example, the H3K27me3 writer, Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 (PRC2), is sequestered in the nucleoplasm by Piwi, causing decreased H3K27me3 deposition [47]. This Piwi-dependent PRC2 sequestration appears to be germ cell-specific, as somatic over-expression of Piwi has no discernable defects. Finally, transient transcriptional silencing occurs during the GSC to CB transition [48], due to brief expression of Polar Granule Component (Pgc), a small peptide inhibitor of RNA Polymerase II [49]. This mechanism enhances progression from a stem cell to a differentiated state within one cell division. Taken together, these data indicate that transcriptional repression mechanisms are tailored to the needs of GSCs.

The NL might make indirect contributions to transcriptional regulation through an impact on nuclear actin pools. Nuclear actin regulates transcription in multiple ways, including acting as a component of chromatin remodeling complexes and all three RNA polymerases [50, 51]. Recently, lamin has been identified as a candidate regulator of nuclear actin polymerization [52] and the LEM-D protein emerlin, is an actin capping protein [53]. Strikingly, a polymeric actin pool, recognized by the C4 actin antibody, localizes to the nuclear periphery of GSCs and CBs, but is absent in differentiating cysts (Figs. 1B, 2B; [54]), mirroring the down regulation of the B-type lamin and D-emerin/Otefin (Figs. 1B, 2A). Taken together, these observations suggest that changes in NL components might have the capacity to alter nuclear actin pools and transcriptional output during germ cell development.

Loss of NL integrity triggers a GSC quality control checkpoint

D-emerin/Otefin is a NL component that is required for survival of female and male GSCs [5, 55]. Without this LEM-D protein, GSCs fail to differentiate and are lost. Strikingly, GSC survival and germ cell differentiation are rescued by inactivation of the DNA damage response (DDR) kinases, ATR and Chk2. Even though this germline checkpoint uses components of the DDR pathway, genetic and cytological data failed to support a role for DNA damage as a checkpoint trigger [56, 57]. Instead, checkpoint activation is linked to structural deformation of the NL. Multiple mechanisms might connect nuclear architecture changes to ATR/Chk2 activation. These include changes in genomic contacts needed for appropriate transcriptional regulation, with resulting gene expression changes prompting activation of the checkpoint. Alternatively, disruptions in the NL might affect trafficking of products between the nucleus and cytoplasm, altering pools of key factors, such as nuclear actin. Finally, structural alteration in the NL might itself trigger ATR/Chk2 activation [58]. Indeed, emerging evidence implicates ATR as a general sensor of the structural integrity of cellular components [59].

Progression from stem cell to differentiation requires nucleolar functions

The nucleolus is an RNA and protein dense non-membrane bound organelle whose formation depends upon transcription of the 35S ribosomal DNA (rDNA) genes [60]. In *Drosophila*, ~600 rDNA genes are organized into repeat arrays found on the *X* and *Y* chromosomes [61]. Only some rDNA genes are actively transcribed, with the rest imbedded in heterochromatin that encases the nucleolus [60, 62, 63]. Reduction of heterochromatin disperses the rDNA and causes nucleolar fragmentation [64]. Strikingly, deletion of rDNA genes compromises heterochromatin-induced gene silencing elsewhere in the genome [65]. These data suggest that the nucleolar function is likely integrated into global chromatin regulation of GSCs.

GSCs have a large nucleolus that decreases in size as germ cells differentiate (Fig. 2C; [8, 66]). The size of the nucleolus reflects the rate of rDNA transcription [8, 67, 68]. Indeed, regulated levels of rDNA transcription in GSCs are critical for stem cell maintenance and germ cell differentiation (Fig. 2D). Ribosomal DNA genes are transcribed by RNA Polymerase I (RNAPI). Transcriptional activation of these genes depends upon Underdeveloped (Udd), a subunit of the *Drosophila* general RNAPI regulatory complex that is analogous to human Selectivity Factor 1 [69]. Udd is enriched in GSC nucleoli. GSC divisions generate CBs that inherit lower levels of Udd, causing down regulation of rDNA transcription and germ cell differentiation. Notably, loss of Udd is associated with small ovaries due to the failure to maintain GSCs, whereas Udd over-expression causes accumulation of undifferentiated germ cells [69]. Similarly, the RNAPI transcriptional regulator Facilitates Chromatin Transcription (FACT) is required for GSC maintenance [67], demonstrated by GSC loss upon RNAi knockdown of the FACT subunit SPT16. Nuclear actin might also regulate rDNA transcription, based on findings that nuclear actin is required for RNAPI activity [70–72] and is a component of multiple chromatin remodeling complexes, including one involved in rDNA regulation [72, 73]. In the *Drosophila* germline, the nucleolus contains two pools of monomeric nuclear actin (Figs. 1B, 2B), including a constant pool recognized by DNase I and a dynamic pool recognized by the C4 actin antibody [54]. Notably, C4 nuclear actin is progressively lost during germ cell differentiation, consistent with the down regulation of rDNA transcription (Fig. 2B, D). These data imply that the C4 actin pool might be involved in GSC maintenance. Maturation of rRNA also appears to impact nucleolar function in GSCs, evidenced by the requirement for the pre-rRNA processing U3 snoRNP, Wicked, in GSC maintenance [74]. Notably, Wicked is asymmetrically inherited by CBs. Further, loss of Wicked results in premature differentiation and GSC depletion. Taken together, these data indicate that multiple pathways are utilized to establish balanced rRNA levels in GSCs.

Despite having large nucleoli, protein synthesis is low in GSCs (Fig. 2E; [8, 75]). Instead, high levels of ribosome biogenesis and protein synthesis occur upon germ cell differentiation. These observations indicate that GSCs and differentiating germ cells have different ribosome needs. Indeed, GSCs express distinct ribosomal proteins and regulators of ribosomal biogenesis [76]. Several lines of evidence suggest that blocking increased protein synthesis prevents differentiation. For example, down regulation of protein synthesis by reducing signaling of the nutrient responsive Target of Rapamycin (Tor) pathway causes

accumulation of GSCs [8]. Additionally, knockdown of ribosomal assembly factors affects stem cell cytokinesis, resulting in the formation of stem cysts [8], structures comprised of GSC-like cells that fail to complete abscission and remain connected. Formation of stem cysts also results upon loss of the histone chaperone, Chromatin Assembly Factor 1 (CAF1), which causes nucleolar fragmentation and GSC loss through activation of Chk2 [77]. These findings correlate nucleolar structure and regulation of protein synthesis with the transition from stem cell self-renewal to differentiation.

Disruption of the nucleolus might trigger a GSC quality control checkpoint

The nucleolus is multi-functional. In addition to rDNA transcription and ribosome biogenesis [78, 79], the nucleolus contributes to cell cycle progression, proliferation, and cell death [78–80]. These processes are integrated through the nucleolar stress response pathway [NSP; [78, 81]]. Activation of NSP decreases rDNA transcription, ribosome biogenesis, and protein production, which inhibits proliferation and potentially causes cell death. Similar phenotypes are observed when GSC nucleolar activity is disrupted [8, 66, 67, 69, 74]. These observations suggest that GSCs might use the NSP as a means of quality control. One factor that might contribute to a GSC nucleolar checkpoint is nuclear actin. Nuclear actin polymerizes into thick, filamentous rods in response to stresses that induce NSP [50]. As such, alteration of nuclear actin levels or structure might disrupt GSC nucleolar function and activate NSP. Strikingly, the downstream effectors of the NSP are ATR and Chk2 [82, 83], components of the NL quality control checkpoint [36]. Further studies are needed to identify whether and how nucleolar dysfunction triggers a GSC-specific checkpoint.

Conclusions and future perspectives

GSCs demonstrate specialized structures of two nuclear compartments, the NL and the nucleolus (Figs. 1, 2). Disruption of these compartments activates GSC quality control checkpoints that lead to germ cell loss [5, 8, 36, 55, 66, 67, 69, 74]. We present evidence that these compartments integrate signals needed for the switch between GSC maintenance and germ cell differentiation, proposing a model that highlights connections between transcription and nuclear actin (Fig. 3). In GSCs, chromatin is held in a reversible transcriptional state by the NL and nucleolus. Interestingly, both compartments are enriched for nuclear actin, with polymeric actin at the NL and monomeric actin within the nucleolus [54]. Strikingly, NL proteins both increase nuclear actin levels and promote its polymerization [52, 53]. Further, the nucleolus appears to sequester actin monomers to influence the balance between monomeric and polymeric states [78]. By controlling nuclear actin dynamics, the NL and nucleolus might facilitate the switch between GSC self-renewal and germ cell differentiation. We speculate that disruption of either compartment drives formation of stress-induced nuclear actin rods that activate GSC quality control checkpoints involving ATR and Chk2 [36, 82, 83]. Further studies are needed to fully define the impact of nuclear actin on the roles of the GSC NL and nucleolus. Whereas we focus our discussion on female GSCs, the NL and nucleolar compartments in male germ cells also have critical roles in self-renewal and differentiation [5, 28, 69, 84].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank members of our laboratories for comments regarding the manuscript. We recognized funding from National Institutes of Health grants to P.K.G. (GM087341) and to T.L.T. (GM116885), as well as a teaching postdoctoral fellowship to N.G. through the University of Iowa, Anatomy and Cell Biology Department.

REFERENCES

- Fuller MT and Spradling AC, Male and female *Drosophila* germline stem cells: two versions of immortality. *Science*, 2007 316(5823): p. 402–4. [PubMed: 17446390]
- Losick VP, et al., *Drosophila* stem cell niches: a decade of discovery suggests a unified view of stem cell regulation. *Dev Cell*, 2011 21(1): p. 159–71. [PubMed: 21763616]
- Xie T, Control of germline stem cell self-renewal and differentiation in the *Drosophila* ovary: concerted actions of niche signals and intrinsic factors. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Dev Biol*, 2013 2(2): p. 261–73. [PubMed: 24009036]
- Gleason RJ, et al., Protecting and Diversifying the Germline. *Genetics*, 2018 208(2): p. 435–471. [PubMed: 29378808]
- Barton LJ, et al., *Drosophila* male and female germline stem cell niches require the nuclear lamina protein Otefin. *Dev Biol*, 2016 415(1): p. 75–86. [PubMed: 27174470] **This paper shows that the NL protein Otefin is required in GSCs for maintenance of somatic niches in both female and males.
- Kahney EW, Snedeker JC, and Chen X, Regulation of *Drosophila* germline stem cells. *Curr Opin Cell Biol*, 2019 60: p. 27–35. [PubMed: 31014993]
- Yan D, et al., A regulatory network of *Drosophila* germline stem cell self-renewal. *Dev Cell*, 2014 28(4): p. 459–73. [PubMed: 24576427]
- Sanchez CG, et al., Regulation of Ribosome Biogenesis and Protein Synthesis Controls Germline Stem Cell Differentiation. *Cell Stem Cell*, 2016 18(2): p. 276–90. [PubMed: 26669894] ** In an unbiased RNAi screen in the germline, the authors identify two major classes of nucleolar functions regulating GSC maintenance. Ribosome assembly and translation factors are necessary for GSC abscission and the mTOR/dTRAPP pathway controls the transition between self-renewal and differentiation.
- Borsos M and Torres-Padilla ME, Building up the nucleus: nuclear organization in the establishment of totipotency and pluripotency during mammalian development. *Genes Dev*, 2016 30(6): p. 611–21. [PubMed: 26980186]
- Gonzalez-Sandoval A and Gasser SM, On TADs and LADs: Spatial Control Over Gene Expression. *Trends Genet*, 2016 32(8): p. 485–95. [PubMed: 27312344]
- Geyer PK, Vitalini MW, and Wallrath LL, Nuclear organization: taking a position on gene expression. *Curr Opin Cell Biol*, 2011 23(3): p. 354–9. [PubMed: 21450447]
- Lemaitre C and Bickmore WA, Chromatin at the nuclear periphery and the regulation of genome functions. *Histochem Cell Biol*, 2015 144(2): p. 111–22. [PubMed: 26170147]
- Kind J, et al., Single-cell dynamics of genome-nuclear lamina interactions. *Cell*, 2013 153(1): p. 178–92. [PubMed: 23523135]
- Smith ER, et al., Nuclear envelope structural proteins facilitate nuclear shape changes accompanying embryonic differentiation and fidelity of gene expression. *BMC Cell Biol*, 2017 18(1): p. 8. [PubMed: 28088180]
- Wilson KL and Foisner R, Lamin-binding Proteins. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol*, 2010 2(4): p. a000554. [PubMed: 20452940]
- de Las Heras JI, et al., Tissue-specific NETs alter genome organization and regulation even in a heterologous system. *Nucleus*, 2017 8(1): p. 81–97. [PubMed: 28045568]
- Segura-Totten M and Wilson KL, BAF: roles in chromatin, nuclear structure and retrovirus integration. *Trends Cell Biol*, 2004 14(5): p. 261–6. [PubMed: 15130582]
- Samson C, et al., Structural analysis of the ternary complex between lamin A/C, BAF and emerin identifies an interface disrupted in autosomal recessive progeroid diseases. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 2018 46(19): p. 10460–10473. [PubMed: 30137533]

19. Barton LJ, Soshnev AA, and Geyer PK, Networking in the nucleus: a spotlight on LEM-domain proteins. *Curr Opin Cell Biol*, 2015 34: p. 1–8. [PubMed: 25863918]
20. Huber MD, Guan T, and Gerace L, Overlapping functions of nuclear envelope proteins NET25 (Lem2) and emerin in regulation of extracellular signal-regulated kinase signaling in myoblast differentiation. *Mol Cell Biol*, 2009 29(21): p. 5718–28. [PubMed: 19720741]
21. Gesson K, Vidak S, and Foisner R, Lamina-associated polypeptide (LAP)2alpha and nucleoplasmic lamins in adult stem cell regulation and disease. *Semin Cell Dev Biol*, 2014 29: p. 116–24. [PubMed: 24374133]
22. Gotic I, et al., Loss of LAP2 alpha delays satellite cell differentiation and affects postnatal fiber-type determination. *Stem Cells*, 2010 28(3): p. 480–8. [PubMed: 20039368]
23. Constantinescu D, et al., Lamin A/C expression is a marker of mouse and human embryonic stem cell differentiation. *Stem Cells*, 2006 24(1): p. 177–85. [PubMed: 16179429]
24. Gotzmann J and Foisner R, A-type lamin complexes and regenerative potential: a step towards understanding laminopathic diseases? *Histochem Cell Biol*, 2006 125(1–2): p. 33–41. [PubMed: 16142451]
25. Wong XR, Luperchio TR, and Reddy KL, NET gains and losses: the role of changing nuclear envelope proteomes in genome regulation. *Current Opinion in Cell Biology*, 2014 28: p. 105–120. [PubMed: 24886773]
26. Korfali N, et al., The nuclear envelope proteome differs notably between tissues. *Nucleus*, 2012 3(6): p. 552–64. [PubMed: 22990521]
27. Eckersley-Maslin MA, et al., Lamin A/C is expressed in pluripotent mouse embryonic stem cells. *Nucleus*, 2013 4(1): p. 53–60. [PubMed: 23324457]
28. Chen H, Chen X, and Zheng Y, The nuclear lamina regulates germline stem cell niche organization via modulation of EGFR signaling. *Cell Stem Cell*, 2013 13(1): p. 73–86. [PubMed: 23827710]
29. Meister P, Mango SE, and Gasser SM, Locking the genome: nuclear organization and cell fate. *Curr Opin Genet Dev*, 2011 21(2): p. 167–74. [PubMed: 21345665]
30. Thorpe SD and Lee DA, Dynamic regulation of nuclear architecture and mechanics-a rheostatic role for the nucleus in tailoring cellular mechanosensitivity. *Nucleus*, 2017 8(3): p. 287–300. [PubMed: 28152338]
31. Chen H, Zheng X, and Zheng Y, Age-associated loss of lamin-B leads to systemic inflammation and gut hyperplasia. *Cell*, 2014 159(4): p. 829–43. [PubMed: 25417159]
32. Barton LJ, et al., Unique and Shared Functions of Nuclear Lamina LEM Domain Proteins in *Drosophila*. *Genetics*, 2014 197(2): p. 653–65. [PubMed: 24700158]
33. Jiang X, et al., Otefin, a nuclear membrane protein, determines the fate of germline stem cells in *Drosophila* via interaction with Smad complexes. *Dev Cell*, 2008 14(4): p. 494–506. [PubMed: 18410727]
34. Joyce EF, et al., Germline progenitors escape the widespread phenomenon of homolog pairing during *Drosophila* development. *PLoS Genet*, 2013 9(12): p. e1004013. [PubMed: 24385920]
35. Christophorou N, Rubin T, and Huynh JR, Synaptonemal complex components promote centromere pairing in pre-meiotic germ cells. *PLoS Genet*, 2013 9(12): p. e1004012. [PubMed: 24367278]
36. Barton LJ, et al., Nuclear lamina dysfunction triggers a germline stem cell checkpoint. *Nat Commun*, 2018 9(1): p. 3960. [PubMed: 30262885] **This paper demonstrates that loss of the LEM-Domain protein Otefin causes tissue-specific NL deformation and non-canonical activation of an ATR/Chk2 checkpoint in GSCs. This is the first study to link GSC maintenance to structural changes of the NL.
37. Christophorou N, et al., Microtubule-driven nuclear rotations promote meiotic chromosome dynamics. *Nat Cell Biol*, 2015 17(11): p. 1388–400. [PubMed: 26458247]
38. Shevelyov YY and Ulianov SV, The Nuclear Lamina as an Organizer of Chromosome Architecture. *Cells*, 2019 8(2).
39. Guerreiro I and Kind J, Spatial chromatin organization and gene regulation at the nuclear lamina. *Curr Opin Genet Dev*, 2019 55: p. 19–25. [PubMed: 31112905]

40. Demmerle J, Koch AJ, and Holaska JM, Emerin and histone deacetylase 3 (HDAC3) cooperatively regulate expression and nuclear positions of MyoD, Myf5, and Pax7 genes during myogenesis. *Chromosome Res*, 2013 21(8): p. 765–79. [PubMed: 24062260]
41. Milon BC, et al., Role of histone deacetylases in gene regulation at nuclear lamina. *PLoS One*, 2012 7(11): p. e49692. [PubMed: 23226217]
42. Buszczak M, Paterno S, and Spradling AC, Drosophila stem cells share a common requirement for the histone H2B ubiquitin protease scrawny. *Science*, 2009 323(5911): p. 248–51. [PubMed: 19039105]
43. Sun J, et al., Histone Hi-mediated epigenetic regulation controls germline stem cell self-renewal by modulating H4K16 acetylation. *Nat Commun*, 2015 6: p. 8856. [PubMed: 26581759]
44. Filion GJ, et al., Systematic protein location mapping reveals five principal chromatin types in Drosophila cells. *Cell*, 2010 143(2): p. 212–24. [PubMed: 20888037]
45. Smolko AE, Shapiro-Kulnane L, and Salz HK, The H3K9 methyltransferase SETDB1 maintains female identity in Drosophila germ cells. *Nat Commun*, 2018 9(1): p. 4155. [PubMed: 30297796]
 **This paper shows that in GSCs H3K9me3 is enriched at discrete peaks of over genes normally expressed during spermatogenesis. Germ cell-specific loss of H3K9me3 pathway members causes ectopic expression of testis genes in the ovary. This is the first study to show that heterochromatin contributes to sex determination in GSCs.
46. Shevelyov YY, et al., The B-type lamin is required for somatic repression of testis-specific gene clusters. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 2009 106(9): p. 3282–7. [PubMed: 19218438]
47. Peng JC, et al., Piwi maintains germline stem cells and oogenesis in Drosophila through negative regulation of Polycomb group proteins. *Nat Genet*, 2016.*This paper identifies a novel mechanism for Piwi regulation of chromatin in GSCs. These studies show that Piwi sequesters PRC2 components in the nucleoplasm to negatively regulate PRC2 binding and H3K27me3 deposition.
48. Flora P, et al., Transient transcriptional silencing alters the cell cycle to promote germline stem cell differentiation in Drosophila. *Dev Biol*, 2018 434(1): p. 84–95. [PubMed: 29198563] *This paper reports that cystoblasts undergo a short pulse of transcriptional silencing prior to differentiation, mediated by the timely expression of a transcriptional repressor Polar Granule Component (Pgc). This pulse of expression required for the accumulation of *CycB* mRNA and the subsequent expression of *bam*.
49. Hanyu-Nakamura K, et al., Drosophila Pgc protein inhibits P-TEFb recruitment to chromatin in primordial germ cells. *Nature*, 2008 451(7179): p. 730–3. [PubMed: 18200011]
50. Kelsch DJ and Tootle TL, Nuclear Actin: From Discovery to Function. *Anat Rec (Hoboken)*, 2018 301(12): p. 1999–2013. [PubMed: 30312531]
51. Sokolova M, et al., Nuclear Actin Is Required for Transcription during Drosophila Oogenesis. *iScience*, 2018 9: p. 63–70. [PubMed: 30384134] *This study demonstrates the necessity of nuclear actin in RNAPII recruitment for transcription in the Drosophila ovary. Nuclear import acts a mechanism for regulating global transcription levels during follicle development. This is the first study to show an in vivo function for nuclear actin in Drosophila.
52. Dopie J, et al., Genome-wide RNAi screen for nuclear actin reveals a network of cofilin regulators. *J Cell Sci*, 2015 128(13): p. 2388–400. [PubMed: 26021350]
53. Holaska JM, Kowalski AK, and Wilson KL, Emerin caps the pointed end of actin filaments: evidence for an actin cortical network at the nuclear inner membrane. *PLoS Biol*, 2004 2(9): p. E231. [PubMed: 15328537]
54. Wineland DM, Kelsch DJ, and Tootle TL, Multiple Pools of Nuclear Actin. *Anat Rec (Hoboken)*, 2018 301(12): p. 2014–2036. [PubMed: 30312534] **This paper uses several actin visualization tools to identify distinct pools of nuclear actin in the Drosophila ovary. This is the first study to characterize endogenous nuclear actin pools in an *in vivo* context. The dynamic localization of specific nuclear actin pools throughout the female Drosophila germline suggests numerous functions for nuclear actin, including a potential role in regulating GSCs.
55. Barton LJ, et al., The Drosophila nuclear lamina protein otefin is required for germline stem cell survival. *Dev Cell*, 2013 25(6): p. 645–54. [PubMed: 23806619]
56. Blackford AN and Jackson SP, ATM, ATR, and DNA-PK: The Trinity at the Heart of the DNA Damage Response. *Mol Cell*, 2017 66(6): p. 801–817. [PubMed: 28622525]

57. Burgess RC and Misteli T, Not All DDRs Are Created Equal: Non-Canonical DNA Damage Responses. *Cell*, 2015 162(5): p. 944–7. [PubMed: 26317463]
58. Kumar A, et al., ATR Mediates a Checkpoint at the Nuclear Envelope in Response to Mechanical Stress. *Cell*, 2014 158(3): p. 633–46. [PubMed: 25083873]
59. Kidiyoor GR, Kumar A, and Foiani M, ATR-mediated regulation of nuclear and cellular plasticity. *DNA Repair (Amst)*, 2016 44: p. 143–150. [PubMed: 27283761]
60. McStay B, Nucleolar organizer regions: genomic ‘dark matter’ requiring illumination. *Genes Dev*, 2016 30(14): p. 1598–610. [PubMed: 27474438]
61. Ritossa FM, et al., On the chromosomal distribution of DNA complementary to ribosomal and soluble RNA. *Natl Cancer Inst Monogr*, 1966 23: p. 449–72. [PubMed: 5963988]
62. Guetg C and Santoro R, Formation of nuclear heterochromatin: the nucleolar point of view. *Epigenetics*, 2012 7(8): p. 811–4. [PubMed: 22735386]
63. Padeken J and Heun P, Nucleolus and nuclear periphery: velcro for heterochromatin. *Curr Opin Cell Biol*, 2014 28: p. 54–60. [PubMed: 24690547]
64. Peng JC and Karpen GH, H3K9 methylation and RNA interference regulate nucleolar organization and repeated DNA stability. *Nat Cell Biol*, 2007 9(1): p. 25–35. [PubMed: 17159999]
65. Paredes S and Maggert KA, Ribosomal DNA contributes to global chromatin regulation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 2009 106(42): p. 17829–34. [PubMed: 19822756]
66. Neumuller RA, et al., Mei-P26 regulates microRNAs and cell growth in the Drosophila ovarian stem cell lineage. *Nature*, 2008 454(7201): p. 241–5. [PubMed: 18528333]
67. Neumuller RA, et al., Conserved regulators of nucleolar size revealed by global phenotypic analyses. *Sci Signal*, 2013 6(289): p. ra70. [PubMed: 23962978]
68. Harris RE and Ashe HL, Cease and desist: modulating short-range Dpp signalling in the stem-cell niche. *EMBO Rep*, 2011 12(6): p. 519–26. [PubMed: 21546910]
69. Zhang Q, Shalaby NA, and Buszczak M, Changes in rRNA transcription influence proliferation and cell fate within a stem cell lineage. *Science*, 2014 343(6168): p. 298–301. [PubMed: 24436420]
70. Fomproix N and Percipalle P, An actin-myosin complex on actively transcribing genes. *Exp Cell Res*, 2004 294(1): p. 140–8. [PubMed: 14980509]
71. Philimonenko VV, et al., Nuclear actin and myosin I are required for RNA polymerase I transcription. *Nat Cell Biol*, 2004 6(12): p. 1165–72. [PubMed: 15558034]
72. Almuzzaini B, et al., In beta-actin knockouts, epigenetic reprogramming and rDNA transcription inactivation lead to growth and proliferation defects. *FASEB J*, 2016 30(8): p. 2860–73. [PubMed: 27127100] *Loss of β -actin in mouse embryonic fibroblasts decreases rRNA synthesis, disrupts nucleolar structure, and alters chromatin organization resulting in delayed cell growth and proliferation.
73. Venit T, Xie X, and Percipalle P, Actin in the cell nucleus, in *Nuclear Architecture and Dynamics*, 2018 Lavelle C and Victor J-M, Editors(Elsevier: United Kingdom): p. 345–367.
74. Fichelson P, et al., Live-imaging of single stem cells within their niche reveals that a U3snoRNP component segregates asymmetrically and is required for self-renewal in Drosophila. *Nat Cell Biol*, 2009 11(6): p. 685–93. [PubMed: 19430468]
75. Buszczak M, Signer RA, and Morrison SJ, Cellular differences in protein synthesis regulate tissue homeostasis. *Cell*, 2014 159(2): p. 242–51. [PubMed: 25303523]
76. Kai T, Williams D, and Spradling AC, The expression profile of purified Drosophila germline stem cells. *Dev Biol*, 2005 283(2): p. 486–502. [PubMed: 15927177]
77. Clemot M, et al., The replicative histone chaperone CAF1 is essential for the maintenance of identity and genome integrity in adult stem cells. *Development*, 2018 145(17). *This paper reports that GSC-specific depletion of the histone chaperone CAF1 causes replication stress at rDNA arrays and upregulation of transposable elements, activating p53- and Chk2-dependent checkpoint pathways, leading to cell death and infertility.
78. Nunez Villacis L, et al., New Roles for the Nucleolus in Health and Disease. *Bioessays*, 2018 40(5): p. e1700233. [PubMed: 29603296]
79. Pederson T, The nucleolus. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol*, 2011 3(3).

80. Tiku V and Antebi A, Nucleolar Function in Lifespan Regulation. *Trends Cell Biol*, 2018. 28(8): p. 662–672. [PubMed: 29779866]
81. Boulon S, et al., The nucleolus under stress. *Mol Cell*, 2010 40(2): p. 216–27. [PubMed: 20965417]
82. Perez-Castro AJ and Freire R, Rad9B responds to nucleolar stress through ATR and JNK signalling, and delays the G1-S transition. *J Cell Sci*, 2012 125(Pt 5): p. 1152–64. [PubMed: 22399810]
83. Ma H and Pederson T, The nucleolus stress response is coupled to an ATR-Chk1-mediated G2 arrest. *Mol Biol Cell*, 2013 24(9): p. 1334–42. [PubMed: 23447702]
84. Lu KL, et al., Transgenerational dynamics of rDNA copy number in *Drosophila* male germline stem cells. *Elife*, 2018 7.

HIGHLIGHTS

- The nuclear lamina (NL) and nucleolus build a unique GSC nuclear architecture.
- GSCs possess a transcriptional state that depends on the NL and nucleolus.
- Dysfunction of the NL or nucleolus activates GSC quality control checkpoint pathways.
- Nuclear actin represents a potential integrator of NL and nucleolar function.

Figure 1. Nuclear architectural components are dynamic in the gerarium.

(A) Schematic representation of the structure of a gerarium. Germ cells include germline stem cells (GSCs, red), cystoblasts (CBs, orange), and differentiating germ cells (peach) including the future oocyte (purple). Somatic cells include cells of the niche (dashed line) and elsewhere in the gerarium (gray). Each gerarium is divided into three regions (R1, R2, R3). In R1, GSC divisions produce a self-renewed daughter and a CB. The CB undergoes four additional mitotic divisions to produce a 16-cell cyst. In R2, 16-cell cysts differentiate, including identification of the oocyte (purple). In R3, somatic cells surround

the 16-cell cyst to form an egg chamber that forms the unit of oocyte differentiation during oogenesis. (B) Confocal images of germaria stained for Vasa (red), nuclear architectural components (green) and Fibrillarin (magenta), which marks the nucleolus. Inserts are magnifications of boxed regions. Both the B-type lamin (Lamin Dm0) and D-emerin/Otefin are enriched in GSCs and become down-regulated as germ cells differentiate. The A-type lamin (Lamin C) is absent in GSCs and is up-regulated in 16-cell cysts. The actin-C4 antibody recognizes polymeric actin at the nuclear periphery and monomeric actin in the nucleolus of GSCs and CBs [54]. Nucleolar C4 actin decreases as germ cells differentiate. Anterior is top left, with the position of the GSC niche shown as a dashed white line. Scale bars represent 5 μm .

Figure 2. Compositional and functional changes in nuclear compartments in the germarium. (A-E) Schematic of the trends of protein levels and activity of molecular processes indicated across regions 1 (R1) and 2 (R2) of the germarium. Trend lines are relative and should not be compared across categories. The 16-cell stage refers to the lens stage and does not represent changes that occur in region 3 (R3), 16-cell cysts. (A) NL composition changes across the germarium. The B-type lamin (Lamin Dm0) and D-emerin/Otefin are high in the GSCs and decrease to low levels by 4-cell cysts. The A-type lamin (Lamin C) is undetectable until the 8-cell cyst. See Figure 1B for confocal images of NL components. (B)

Multiple nuclear actin pools are found in the nucleoplasm (gray) and nucleolus (dark gray) across the germarium. The C4 antibody recognizes a polymeric nucleoplasmic pool of actin that is specific to GSCs and CB [54]. A second pool of C4-positive monomeric actin persists in the nucleoli from GSCs through the 4-cell cyst. In contrast, DNaseI detects a stable monomeric pool in nucleoli throughout all of oogenesis. (C) GSCs have the largest nucleoli, with nucleolar size decreasing steadily throughout R1 and R2 as germ cells differentiate [8]. (D) rRNA synthesis is high in GSCs and CBs and plateaus as cysts differentiate [69]. (E) Global protein synthesis ramps up in 2 to 8-cell cysts and decreases as 16-cell cysts move into R3 [8].

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Figure 3. Model summarizing nuclear architecture as an intrinsic regulator of GSC maintenance and germ cell differentiation.

A schematic model for how nuclear architecture influences the transcriptional state of euchromatic and rDNA genes required for GSC maintenance and germ cell differentiation. In GSCs (left), the nuclear lamina (NL; B-type lamin, light blue and A-type lamin, dark blue), LEM-domain proteins such as D-emerin/Otefin (green), and nuclear pores (navy) maintain nuclear integrity and tether chromatin (light gray) to the periphery. Autosomes (gray) are unpaired in GSCs, whereas *X* chromosomes are paired at the nucleolus (yellow). Proximity to the NL contributes to transcriptional repression. We propose that activities of the NL and nucleolus are integrated through regulation of nuclear actin (red) dynamics. In GSCs, nuclear actin is found in three populations, one at the NL (a C4-positive, polymeric pool) and two in the nucleolus (a DNaseI-positive [red monomer] pool and a C4-positive [red monomer with blue modifier] pool). These pools dynamically exchange between compartments. Components of the NL, including D-emerin/Otefin and lamins, can facilitate the polymerization of actin (black arrow) to regulate actin structures in each compartment (weighted arrows). Nuclear actin, in turn, can influence transcription in both compartments. Upon germ cell differentiation, the NL composition changes (B-type lamin and D-emerin/Otefin decline and A-type lamin increases), and the nuclear and nucleolar size decrease (right: 8-cell cyst nucleus). These later changes correlate with decreased rRNA synthesis and increased protein synthesis. Notably, in regions 1 and 2 of the germarium, differentiating cysts contain only the DNase I monomeric actin pool. This shift towards monomeric actin is likely a consequence of decreased NL components which facilitate actin polymerization, such as D-emerin/Otefin and the B-type lamin. Further, chromosomes adopt a Rab1 conformation, positioning domains away from the NL. We propose that these changes in

nuclear architecture are required for germ cells to differentiate. Differences in overall nuclear size are to scale, however sizes of individual proteins and complexes are not to scale.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript