Published in final edited form as:

Pediatr Pulmonol. 2020 January ; 55(1): 130–135. doi:10.1002/ppul.24528.

Cytoplasmic “ciliary inclusions” in Isolation Are Not Sufficient for the Diagnosis of Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia

Timothy J. Vece, MD¹, Scott D. Sagel, MD², Maimoona A. Zariwala, Ph.D³, Kelli M. Sullivan, MPH⁴, Kimberlie A. Burns, BS⁵, Susan K. Dutcher, MD⁶, Roman Yusupov, MD⁷, Margaret W. Leigh, MD¹, Michael R. Knowles, MD⁴

¹=Department of Pediatrics, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina

²=Department of Pediatrics, Children’s Hospital Colorado and University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, Colorado

³=Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Marsico Lung Institute, Chapel Hill, North Carolina

⁴=Department of Medicine, University of North Carolina, Marsico Lung Institute, Chapel Hill, North Carolina

⁵=Marsico Lung Institute, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina

⁶=McDonnell Genome Institute, Department of Genetics, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO

⁷=Division of Clinical Genetics, Joe DiMaggio Children’s Hospital, Hollywood, Florida

Abstract

Background: The diagnosis of primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD) is difficult, and requires a combination of clinical features, nasal nitric oxide testing, cilia ultrastructural analysis by electron microscopy (EM), and genetics. A recently described cytoplasmic ultrastructural change termed “ciliary inclusions” was reported to be diagnostic of PCD; however, no supporting evidence of PCD was provided. In this study we sought to confirm, or refute, the diagnosis of PCD in subjects with “ciliary inclusions” on EM.

Methods: 6 subjects from 5 families with previous lab reports of “ciliary inclusions” on EMs of ciliated cells were identified and evaluated at a Genetic Disorders for Mucociliary Clearance Consortium site. We performed a detailed clinical history, nasal nitric oxide measurement, genetic testing including whole exome sequencing, and when possible, repeat ciliary EM study.

Results: Only 1 of 6 subjects had multiple and persistent clinical features congruent with PCD. No subject had situs inversus. Only 1 of 6 subjects had a very low nasal nitric oxide level. No “ciliary inclusions” were found in 3 subjects who had a repeat ciliary EM, and ciliary axonemal ultrastructures were normal. Genetic testing, including whole exome sequencing, was negative for

PCD-causing genes, and for pathogenic variants in gene pathways that might cause “ciliary inclusions”, such as ciliary biogenesis.

Conclusion: “Ciliary Inclusions”, in isolation, are not sufficient to diagnosis PCD. If seen, additional studies should be done to pursue an accurate diagnosis.

Keywords

primary ciliary dyskinesia; ciliary inclusions; cilia EM

Introduction:

Kartagener et al in 1933 described the classic clinical triad of bronchiectasis, chronic sinusitis, and situs inversus¹. In the 1970s, immotile cilia and ciliary electron microscopy (EM) defects associated with ciliary dysfunction were found to be the underlying cause of defective mucociliary clearance and chronic sino-pulmonary infections in patients with Kartagener’s syndrome^{2,3}. Eventually, it was recognized that abnormal cilia movement, not simply immotile cilia, can cause disease; hence, the current name primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD)⁴.

Ciliary ultrastructural EM changes have traditionally been used as the “gold standard” for diagnosis of PCD, but we now recognize limitations to this approach⁵⁻⁷. Changes in cilia ultrastructure can be non-specific, reflecting air pollutants and smoke exposure, or infection and inflammation^{8,9}. Some patients phenotypically have PCD, but normal cilia ultrastructure, *e.g.*, patients with mutations in *DNAH11*, a PCD-causing gene associated with a normal cilia EM⁵. There have also been instances where cilia ultrastructural defects have been initially thought to cause PCD, and later were shown to be non-specific, such as missing inner dynein arms in isolation, or misalignment of the central pairs^{6, 10-12}.

The current sensitivity of cilia EM for the diagnosis of PCD is ~ 70%⁷. Assessment of ciliary waveforms using high-speed video microscopy for the diagnosis of PCD is difficult to replicate, requires a high level of skill to perform, and is not universally accepted¹³⁻¹⁵. Recently, PCD diagnostic guidelines have stressed the use of commercially available genetic test panels due to clinical expertise needed for ciliary EM interpretation¹⁶. Due to the complexities of PCD diagnosis, a combination of clinical features, genetics, nasal nitric oxide testing (nNO), and cilia EM are required for confident diagnosis across the spectrum of PCD.

A recent manuscript reported an EM finding of “ciliary inclusions” in the cytoplasm of ciliated cells as diagnostic of PCD¹⁷. These inclusions were reported to reflect cilia in the cytoplasm of airway epithelial cells, because of an inability to reach the cell surface. Although this EM finding was interpreted to be diagnostic of PCD, there was no supporting evidence to support a diagnosis of PCD in the reported cases, including no phenotype, ciliary axonemal defect, clinical nNO, or genetic testing provided to support a diagnosis of PCD. In this study we sought to confirm, or refute, the diagnosis of PCD in subjects with cytoplasmic “ciliary inclusions” on EM.

Materials and Methods:

Six subjects (3 from the original report) were identified who had “ciliary inclusions” reported on at least one respiratory cilia biopsy. These subjects were evaluated at a Genetic Disorder of Mucociliary Clearance Consortium (GDMCC) center at the University of North Carolina (n=4) or Children’s Hospital Colorado (n=2). Subjects underwent standard collection of medical history as it pertains to PCD, which included: neonatal history; cough and sputum production; pulmonary infections; nasal congestion and sinusitis; surgical history; antibiotic usage; and any other pertinent medical conditions. Nasal NO was measured using a chemiluminescence analyzer (ECO PHYSICS AG, Duernten, Switzerland) using a previously described technique¹⁸. If possible, plateau measurements were used for nNO; however, due to the young age of many of the subjects, tidal breathing measurements were obtained when necessary. Nasal scrape biopsy for repeat ciliary EM analysis used the previously described GDMCC technique^{5, 19-21}. At least 25 ciliated cells were examined for ciliary inclusions.

Finally, blood (proband) and/or a buccal swab (family members) was obtained for genetic analysis. Initially, a PCD gene panel of either 30 (subject #1, 2, 4, 6) or 34 (#3) PCD-associated genes was performed by Invitae (<https://www.invitae.com/en/>). The Invitae panel consists of sequencing and analysis of coding regions and splice junctions, as well as exon level deletion/duplication analysis using next-generation sequencing. Whole exome sequencing (WES) and data analysis was then performed for 2 subjects (#2 and 3) at the Yale Center for Mendelian Genomics, or at the McDonnell Genome Institute in St. Louis on 4 subjects (#1, 2, 4, and 6) using previously described methods²². Finally, manual review of WES data was also performed for all currently known PCD-associated genes (E-Table 1).

Informed consent was obtained from the subject’s parents and the study was approved by the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and University of Colorado Institutional Review Boards.

Results:

Three subjects from the original manuscript were further evaluated (#1-3 in Table 1), and 3 additional subjects reported to have “ciliary inclusions” (#4-6 in Table 1) were evaluated at a GDMCC site. Clinical characteristics, including PCD-related medical history, and diagnostic studies are summarized in Table 1. The majority of subjects had at least 1 clinical feature congruent with PCD, including year-round nasal congestion that started under 6 months of age²³. No subject had a laterality defect or bronchiectasis. One subject (#2) had 3 clinical characteristics associated with, but not diagnostic of, PCD²³. Two other subjects (#1 and #6) had 2 clinical features consistent with PCD when evaluated at age 3 years, but these were not present when re-evaluated at 5 years of age. Nasal nitric oxide testing was performed at least once on all 6 subjects (Table 1). One subject (#2) had an abnormal nNO (plateau) value below 77 nl/min¹⁷, but all other subjects had normal values for age.

Subjects in the original paper (#1-3) in Table 1 were reported to have normal ciliary axonemal structure¹⁷. A repeat nasal ciliary EM was performed at a GDMCC site on 3

subjects (#3-5). No “ciliary inclusions” were found on repeat ciliary ultrastructural analysis (Figure 1), and ciliary axonemal structure was normal.

All unrelated subjects underwent genetic testing (n=5). No known mutations or pathogenic variants were found in 30 known PCD causing genes (Table 1). All subjects were also negative for pathogenic variants in CCNO and MCIDAS, recently described genetic causes of PCD associated with a decreased number of cilia and retained basal bodies and rootlets in the cytoplasm, but normal ciliary axonemal structure^{24,25}. Finally, WES to identify novel PCD or “ciliary inclusion” disease-causing genes was also negative. Subject #3 had a large deletion of chromosome 22 on microarray, a known cause of Phelan-McDermid syndrome²⁶, but this deletion did not include any known or candidate PCD-causing genes.

Discussion:

The diagnosis of PCD is complex, and no single test is sensitive or specific enough to be considered a gold standard for establishing a diagnosis. Therefore, diagnosis requires patients to have compatible clinical features of PCD along with a combination of either low nNO testing on more than one occasion, and/or positive genetic testing, and/or positive findings on ciliary EM^{16,23, 27}. Recently published diagnostic guidelines from the American Thoracic Society and the PCD Foundation have emphasized genetic testing over EM, as EM is prone to errors in both processing and interpretation^{16,27}. Regardless of what testing is done first, patients must have a thorough clinical evaluation for PCD prior to testing, and all testing must be interpreted carefully.

A recent manuscript reported a new EM finding of “ciliary inclusions” in the cytoplasm of ciliated cells that was interpreted as being diagnostic of PCD in 6 subjects. Unfortunately, no phenotypic information or other diagnostic testing results (nNO; ciliary axonemal defect; genetics) were provided to support the diagnosis of PCD. Therefore, we sought to confirm, or refute, the diagnosis of PCD in subjects with cytoplasmic “ciliary inclusions” on EM. Our studies show that at least 2 of the subjects (#1 and #3 for Table 1) from that original report do not have PCD, based on clinical phenotype and normal lab studies of ciliary ultrastructure, nNO, and genetic testing. Further, repeat EM studies of nasal ciliated cells in Subject #3, who had Phelan-McDermid syndrome, did not show “ciliary inclusions”. We also studied an additional 3 subjects that had been diagnosed with PCD on the basis of EM findings, “ciliary inclusions”, but our studies did not support the diagnosis of PCD, based on clinical phenotype and lab studies, including normal nNO; normal cilia EM; and negative genetic testing, including WES.

The failure to demonstrate “ciliary inclusions” on repeat cilia EM in 3 subjects provides further evidence against “ciliary inclusions” being PCD-causing. All known causes of PCD that lead to ultrastructural changes in cilia are consistently present on repeat respiratory epithelial tissue samples, which reflects genomic mutations, and not secondary changes where variable findings are more common²⁸. We sought to identify a genetic cause for “ciliary inclusions” or PCD, but were unable to identify one, either in PCD-causing genes, or in ciliary biogenesis genes that might cause “ciliary inclusions”.

The most likely etiology of “ciliary inclusions” in the cytoplasm of ciliated cells is that they reflect technical artifacts during cellular processing and/or EM imaging. Epithelial cells are not received in an EM lab in well aligned rows but are instead in clumps with cells facing multiple directions (Figure 2). These cells are also not perfectly symmetric shapes, but rather complex three-dimensional shapes, and the cell surface is not smooth, but rather has multiple invaginations. When cells are cut tangentially, the resulting image could give the appearance of a cilia within the cytoplasm of the cell. Importantly, if the image does not include the cell surface, basal bodies, and nucleus in the same image, it cannot reliably be interpreted as a defect and could be the result of technical artifact (Figures 1). While the original paper claims to show entire ciliated epithelial cells, close inspection shows that none of the cells in the figures contain cilia, an undisrupted cell surface, basal bodies, and a nucleus in the same image.

For any new ciliary EM finding in subjects suspected of having PCD, confirmatory testing must be done prior to adopting it for general use for diagnostic purposes, because misdiagnosis of PCD can lead to significant consequences. Patients and their families can experience unnecessary anxiety at a new diagnosis, and delay of the proper diagnosis may delay initiation of appropriate therapy²⁷. An incorrect diagnosis of PCD can be a significant cause of harm to patients and should be avoided.

In conclusion, we were unable to confirm a diagnosis of PCD in any of the 6 patients in our study, although we were not able to rule out PCD in one of the subjects (#2, Table 1). Therefore, “ciliary inclusions” in isolation are not a hallmark of PCD, and patients with “ciliary inclusions” alone should not be diagnosed with PCD. If “ciliary inclusions” are seen on ciliary EM, other causes for the patient’s symptoms should be investigated.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments:

The authors thank the children and their families that participated in this study. We thank Whitney Wolf for technical assistance and Dr. Hong Dang for bioinformatics assistance. We thank Srikanth Mane, Francesc Lopez-Giraldez, and Weilai Dong from Yale Center for Mendelian Genomics (UM1 HG006504) and McDonnell Genome Institute, Washington University, St. Louis for providing whole exome sequencing and bioinformatics support. We thank Eric Wartchow for his assistance in connecting us with some patients through their treating clinicians.

Funding sources:

T.J.V., S.D.S., M.A.Z., K.M.S., M.W.L., and M.R.K. by NIH-NHLBI grant U54HL096458, S.D.S. by NIH-NCATS UL1TR001082; M.A.Z., M.W.L., and M.R.K. by NIH-NHLBI grant R01HL071798. The Genetic Disorders of Mucociliary Clearance (U54HL096458) is a part of the NCATS Rare Diseases Clinical Research Network (RDCRN). RDCRN is an initiative of the Office of Rare Diseases Research (ORDR), NCATS, funded through a collaboration between NCATS and NHLBI.

S.K.D. by NIH-NHLBI grant 5R01HL12837004

References:

1. Kartagener M: Zur Pathogenese der Bronchiektasien. I. Bronchiektasien bei Situs viscerum inversus. Beitr Klin Tuberk. 83:489–501, 1933

2. Afzelius BA. A human syndrome caused by immotile cilia. *Science*. 1976 7 23;193(4250):317–9. [PubMed: 1084576]
3. Eliasson R, Mossberg B, Camner P, Afzelius BA. The immotile-cilia syndrome. A congenital ciliary abnormality as an etiologic factor in chronic airway infections and male sterility. *N Engl J Med*. 1977 7 7;297(1):1–6. [PubMed: 301245]
4. Sleight MA. Primary ciliary dyskinesia. *Lancet*. 1981 8 29;2(8244):476.
5. Knowles MR, Leigh MW, Carson JL, Davis SD, Dell SD, Ferkol TW, Olivier KN, Sagel SD, Rosenfeld M, Burns KA, Minnix SL, Armstrong MC, Lori A, Hazucha MJ, Loges NT, Olbrich H, Becker-Heck A, Schmidts M, Werner C, Omran H, Zariwala MA; Genetic Disorders of Mucociliary Clearance Consortium. Mutations of DNAH11 in patients with primary ciliary dyskinesia with normal ciliary ultrastructure. *Thorax*. 2012 5;67(5):433–41. [PubMed: 22184204]
6. O'Callaghan C, Rutman A, Williams GM, Hirst RA. Inner dynein arm defects causing primary ciliary dyskinesia: repeat testing required. *Eur Respir J* 2011;38:603–607. [PubMed: 21406509]
7. Kouis P, Yiallouros PK, Middleton N, Evans JS, Kyriacou K, Papatheodorou SI. Prevalence of primary ciliary dyskinesia in consecutive referrals of suspect cases and the transmission electron microscopy detection rate: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pediatr Res* 2017;81:398–405. [PubMed: 27935903]
8. Chilvers MA, McKean M, Rutman A, Myint BS, Silverman M, O'Callaghan C. The effects of coronavirus on human nasal ciliated respiratory epithelium. *Eur Respir J* 2001;18:965–970. [PubMed: 11829103]
9. Tilley AE, Walters MS, Shaykhiev R, Crystal RG. Cilia dysfunction in lung disease. *Annu Rev Physiol* 2015;77:379–406. [PubMed: 25386990]
10. Rayner CF, Rutman A, Dewar A, Greenstone MA, Cole PJ, Wilson R. Ciliary disorientation alone as a cause of primary ciliary dyskinesia syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 1996 3;153(3):1123–9. [PubMed: 8630555]
11. Noone PG, Leigh MW, Sannuti A, Minnix SL, Carson JL, Hazucha M, Zariwala MA, Knowles MR. Primary ciliary dyskinesia: diagnostic and phenotypic features. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2004 2 15;169(4):459–67. [PubMed: 14656747]
12. Thomas B, Rutman A, Hirst RA, Haldar P, Wardlaw AJ, Bankart J, Brightling CE, O'Callaghan C. Ciliary dysfunction and ultrastructural abnormalities are features of severe asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2010 10;126(4):722–729. [PubMed: 20673980]
13. Jackson CL, Goggin PM, Lucas JS. Ciliary beat pattern analysis below 37 degrees C may increase risk of primary ciliary dyskinesia misdiagnosis. *Chest* 2012;142:543–544; author reply 544–5. [PubMed: 22871779]
14. Hirst RA, Rutman A, Williams G, O'Callaghan C. Ciliated air liquid cultures as an aid to diagnostic testing of primary ciliary dyskinesia. *Chest* 2010;138:1441–1447. [PubMed: 20616212]
15. Thomas B, Rutman A, O'Callaghan C. Disrupted ciliated epithelium shows slower ciliary beat frequency and increased dyskinesia. *Eur Respir J* 2009;34:401–404. [PubMed: 19648518]
16. Shapiro AJ, Davis SD, Polineni D, Manion M, Rosenfeld M, Dell SD, Chilvers MA, Ferkol TW, Zariwala MA, Sagel SD, Josephson M, Morgan L, Yilmaz O, Olivier KN, Milla C, Pittman JE, Daniels MLA, Jones MH, Janahi IA, Ware SM, Daniel SJ, Cooper ML, Nogee LM, Anton B, Eastvold T, Ehrne L, Guadagno E, Knowles MR, Leigh MW, Lavergne V; American Thoracic Society Assembly on Pediatrics. Diagnosis of Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia. An Official American Thoracic Society Clinical Practice Guideline. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2018 6 15;197(12):e24–e39. [PubMed: 29905515]
17. Wartchow EP, Jaffe R, Mierau GW. Ciliary inclusion disease: report of a new primary ciliary dyskinesia variant. *Pediatr Dev Pathol*. 2014 Nov-Dec;17(6):465–9. [PubMed: 25299134]
18. Leigh MW, Hazucha MJ, Chawla KK, Baker BR, Shapiro AJ, Brown DE, Lavange LM, Horton BJ, Qaqish B, Carson JL, Davis SD, Dell SD, Ferkol TW, Atkinson JJ, Olivier KN, Sagel SD, Rosenfeld M, Milla C, Lee HS, Krischer J, Zariwala MA, Knowles MR. Standardizing nasal nitric oxide measurement as a test for primary ciliary dyskinesia. *Ann Am Thorac Soc*. 2013 12;10(6):574–81. [PubMed: 24024753]
19. Carson JL, Collier AM. Ciliary defects: cell biology and clinical perspectives. *Adv Pediatr* 1988;35:139–166. [PubMed: 3055856]

20. Carson JL, Collier AM, Fernald GW, HuSS. Microtubular discontinuities as acquired ciliary defects in airway epithelium of patients with chronic respiratory diseases. *Ultrastruct Pathol* 1994;18:327–332. [PubMed: 8066823]
21. Carson JL, Hu SS, Collier AM. Computer-assisted analysis of radial symmetry in human airway epithelial cilia: assessment of congenital ciliary defects in primary ciliary dyskinesia. *Ultrastruct Pathol* 2000;24: 169–174. [PubMed: 10914428]
22. Bustamante-Marin XM, Yin WN, Sears PR, Werner ME, Brotslaw EJ, Mitchell BJ, Jania CM, Zeman KL, Rogers TD, Herring LE, Refabért L, Thomas L, Amselem S, Escudier E, Legendre M, Grubb BR, Knowles MR, Zariwala MA, Ostrowski LE. Lack of GAS2L2 Causes PCD by Impairing Cilia Orientation and Mucociliary Clearance. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2019 2 7;104(2):229–245. [PubMed: 30665704]
23. Leigh MW, Ferkol TW, Davis SD, Lee HS, Rosenfeld M, Dell SD, Sagel SD, Milla C, Olivier KN, Sullivan KM, Zariwala MA, Pittman JE, Shapiro AJ, Carson JL, Krischer J, Hazucha MJ, Knowles MR. Clinical Features and Associated Likelihood of Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia in Children and Adolescents. *Ann Am Thorac Soc.* 2016 8;13(8):1305–13. [PubMed: 27070726]
24. Wallmeier J, Al-Mutairi DA, Chen CT, Loges NT, Pennekamp P, Menchen T, Ma L, Shamseldin HE, Olbrich H, Dougherty GW, Werner C, Alsabah BH, Köhler G, Jaspers M, Boon M, Griesse M, Schmitt-Grohé S, Zimmermann T, Koerner-Rettberg C, Horak E, Kintner C, Alkuraya FS, Omran H. Mutations in CCNO result in congenital mucociliary clearance disorder with reduced generation of multiple motile cilia. *Nat Genet.* 2014 6;46(6):646–51. [PubMed: 24747639]
25. Boon M, Wallmeier J, Ma L, Loges NT, Jaspers M, Olbrich H, Dougherty GW, Raidt J, Werner C, Amirav I, Hevroni A, Abitbul R, Avital A, Soferman R, Wessels M, O'Callaghan C, Chung EM, Rutman A, Hirst RA, Moya E, Mitchison HM, Van Daele S, De Boeck K, Jorissen M, Kintner C, Cuppens H, Omran H. MCIDAS mutations result in a mucociliary clearance disorder with reduced generation of multiple motile cilia. *Nat Commun.* 2014 7 22;5:4418 [PubMed: 25048963]
26. Wilson HL, Wong AC, Shaw SR, Tse WY, Stapleton GA, Phelan MC, Hu S, Marshall J, McDermid HE. Molecular characterisation of the 22q13 deletion syndrome supports the role of haploinsufficiency of SHANK3/PROSAP2 in the major neurological symptoms. *J Med Genet.* 2003 8;40(8):575–84. [PubMed: 12920066]
27. Shapiro AJ, Zariwala MA, Ferkol T, Davis SD, Sagel SD, Dell SD, Rosenfeld M, Olivier KN, Milla C, Daniel SJ, Kimple AJ, Manion M, Knowles MR, Leigh MW; Genetic Disorders of Mucociliary Clearance Consortium. Diagnosis, monitoring, and treatment of primary ciliary dyskinesia: PCD foundation consensus recommendations based on state of the art review. *Pediatr Pulmonol.* 2016 2;51(2):115–32. [PubMed: 26418604]
28. Dixon M, Shoemark A. Secondary defects detected by transmission electron microscopy in primary ciliary dyskinesia diagnostics. *Ultrastruct Pathol.* 2017 Nov-Dec;41(6):390–398. [PubMed: 28922056]

Figure 1.

Selected EM images from subject #4 (Table 1). Images A and B are from the original tracheal mucosal biopsy read as “ciliary inclusion” disease by the authors of the Wartchow et al “ciliary inclusions” manuscript¹⁷. Image A demonstrates a ciliary “inclusion” (black arrow) with a vesicular inclusion containing proteinaceous material. Image B is a higher power image of a ciliary “inclusion” which, per the Wartchow, et al lab, demonstrates disorganized cilia within the “inclusion” (black arrow). . Images C and D are from a repeat nasal cilia biopsy done at a Genetic Disorder of Mucociliary Clearance Consortium site and processed and analyzed at UNC. Image C shows a respiratory epithelial cell on repeat biopsy on subject #4 without “ciliary inclusions”, and none were seen in any of the greater than 25 cells that were examined. Note that the cell surface, basal bodies, and cell nucleus are included in a single cell. Image D shows a normal number of cilia present on the repeat biopsy of subject #4 (Table 1).

Figure 2. Richardson stained nasal biopsy from Subject 3 (Table 1). A- 10X magnification shows multiple groups of ciliated cells. B- magnification of circled area from A demonstrates cilia at the surface of the cells in multiple directions (arrows)

Demographics, Clinical Characteristics and Diagnostic Testing Results of Subjects with “ciliary inclusions”

Sub #	Sex	Age at ciliary inclusion report	Age at 1 st GDMCC visit	Symptoms at 1 st GDMCC visit	Nasal NO at 1 st GDMCC visit*	GDMCC repeat EM	Age at 2 nd GDMCC visit	Symptoms at 2 nd GDMCC visit	Nasal NO at 2 nd GDMCC visit	Bronchiectasis	Genetic results	Diagnosis of PCD Confirmed
1	M	11 mo	3 yrs	Cough, Nasal congestion,	50 (plateau)	N/D	5 yrs	none	469 (plateau)	N	Negative 30 PCD gene panel [‡] , negative <i>CCNO/MCIDA5</i> , negative WES [‡]	No
2	F	5 yrs	8 yrs	Cough, Nasal congestion, NRDS	14 (plateau)	N/D	N/D	N/D	N/D	N	Negative 30 PCD gene panel, negative <i>CCNO/MCIDA5</i> , negative WES ^{‡§}	No [¶]
3	F	13 mo	4.9 yrs	NRDS	202 (tidal)	Normal	N/D	N/D	N/D	N	Negative 34 PCD gene panel [‡] , negative <i>CCNO/MCIDA5</i> , negative WES [§] , Phelan McDermid syndrome ^{***}	No
4 ^{**}	M	3 yrs	3 yrs	Nasal congestion	143 (tidal)	Normal	N/D	N/D	N/D	N	Negative 30 PCD gene panel [‡] , negative <i>CCNO/MCIDA5</i> , negative WES [‡]	No
5 ^{**}	M	11 mo	14 mo	Nasal congestion	50 (tidal)	Normal	N/D	N/D	N/D	N	NA	No
6	F	3 yrs	3 yrs	Cough, Nasal congestion	120 (tidal)	N/D	5 yrs	none	272 (plateau)	N	Negative 30 PCD gene panel [‡] , negative <i>CCNO/MCIDA5</i> , negative WES [‡]	No

Sub= subject; EM= electron microscopy; GDMCC= genetic disorders of mucociliary clearance consortium site; NO= nitric oxide; yrs= years; mo= months; NRDS= neonatal respiratory distress syndrome; N/D= not done; WES= whole exome sequencing; PCD= primary ciliary dyskinesia

* = values given in nl/min. Normal values for plateau measurement in children older than 5 years of age are 77 nl/min. Tidal values vary with age; however, a value of 50 nl/min or greater in a child under 1 year of age or older would be normal

** = subjects are sibling pairs, therefore only 1 was tested for a genetic cause of PCD

*** = Phelan-McDermid syndrome was diagnosed based on microarray showing a large deletion on chromosome 22 (arr 22q 13.33(4426896S 5122a252)x 1) which included *SHANK3* which would lead to Phelan-McDermid syndrome, but does not include any known or candidate PCD causing genes

[‡] = PCD genetic panel performed by Invitae (San Francisco, CA). A full list of genes tested is available in Table S1

[‡] = Whole exome sequencing performed by McDonnell Genome Institute at Washington University (St. Louis, MO)

[§] = Whole exome sequencing performed by Yale University (New Haven, CT)

Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript Author Manuscript

Although clinical phenotype and low nasal NO on one occasion are congruent with PCD, we were unable to get a repeat nasal NO measurement, and ciliary EM and genetic testing (including WES) was negative for PCD.