Published in final edited form as:

J Community Health. 2019 February ; 44(1): 12–15. doi:10.1007/s10900-018-0545-6.

Knowledge of Hepatitis C risk factors is lower in high incidence regions¹

Terry Knick, MPH¹, Jacqueline E. Sherbuk, MD², Rebecca Dillingham, MD, MPH²

¹Department of Public Health Sciences, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA.

²Division of Infectious Diseases and International Health, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA.

Abstract

Background: Despite ambitious goals to eliminate hepatitis C virus (HCV) in the United States by 2030, the majority of those infected are not aware of their diagnosis, and only a small minority have been cured. A lack of knowledge regarding risk factors and treatment may contribute to low cure rates. We aimed to evaluate HCV knowledge and the association of risk factor knowledge with HCV incidence.

Methods: In fall 2017, a survey regarding HCV knowledge was disseminated through social media, web link, and in person throughout the state of Virginia.

Results: The survey was completed by 613 individuals. Residents of high-incidence counties identified fewer risk factors (5.6 vs 6.1 of 9, $p=0.04$), a difference that remained significant when controlling for education and age ($p=0.03$). Fewer participants in the high-incidence group recognized snorting drugs to be a risk factor (25% vs 36%, $p=0.01$). Only 38% of all respondents correctly identified HCV to be curable.

Conclusion: Knowledge of HCV risk factors is lower in high incidence regions. These results identify a critical knowledge gap in the general population at a time of ongoing HCV transmission. Public health interventions must target these gaps in high-incidence regions as part of comprehensive disease prevention programs.

Keywords

Hepatitis C; Substance Abuse; Knowledge; Risk Factors; Intranasal Transmission; Public Health

INTRODUCTION

In the United States, only 50% of the estimated 3.5 million people infected with Hepatitis C virus know of their diagnosis. (Denniston, Kleven, McQuillan, & Jiles, 2012) Those who are unaware of their status cannot seek out hepatitis C care or curative treatment and remain at risk for complications such as cirrhosis, liver cancer, and need for liver transplantation.

Corresponding Author: Jacqueline E. Sherbuk, MD; PO Box 801379 Charlottesville, VA 22908; jes2nk@hscmail.mcc.virginia.edu; 727-418-6901; ORCID ID <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0052-7510>.

Conflict of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Whereas the majority of those infected are “baby boomers” born between 1945 and 1965, the opioid epidemic has led to a rise in hepatitis C associated with intravenous drug use, particularly among young non-urban people in regions such as Appalachia. (Suryaprasad et al., 2014; Zibbell et al., 2015)

Knowledge of hepatitis C has been shown to be limited among the general population and in high risk populations, (Chen et al., 2013; Norton et al., 2014; Pieper & Sickon, 2017; Treloar, Hull, Dore, & Grebely, 2012; Vermunt et al., 2015) though knowledge is greater in those with more education, (Lea, Hopwood, & Aggleton, 2016; Proeschold-Bell et al., 2010; Surjadi, Torruellas, Ayala, Yee, & Khalili, 2011; Treloar et al., 2012; Vermunt et al., 2015) those who are white, (Denniston et al., 2012; Mah et al., 2017) and women. (Treloar et al., 2012; Vermunt et al., 2015) Given the concerning rise in hepatitis C infections associated with the opioid epidemic, and the limited progress in diagnosing and treating those infected, we aimed to determine whether living in a region with high hepatitis C incidence impacted knowledge of hepatitis C risk factors and treatment among the general population.

METHODS

Study Design

We undertook a convenience sampling of adults in the state of Virginia between August and December 2017. This research study was reviewed and determined to be non-human subjects research by the University of Virginia Social and Behavioral Sciences institutional review board. In order to reach Virginians from across the state, multiple methods of survey dissemination were used including through social media, a web link, and in person at community events. Basic demographic information, including residence location, age group, and education level was collected. Participants completed knowledge questions regarding hepatitis C risk factors and treatment.

Those participants who provided a city or county residence location within the state of Virginia were assigned to high and low incidence groups. The high incidence group was defined to have an incidence of 150 cases per 100,000 population or greater based on 2016 data from the Virginia Department of Health. (Communicable disease data.)

Statistical Analysis

For statistical analysis, the proportion of participants correctly identifying each risk factor was compared using chi square with Fisher’s exact, based on the number of responses. The number of risks identified, a continuous variable, was analyzed using Mann-Whitney test given non-normality. Multivariate logistic regression was performed to adjust risk factor identification by age group and education level. Statistical analyses were performed with Stata 15.0 (StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX).

RESULTS

The survey was completed by 613 participants, of whom, 407 (66%) accessed the survey through social media, 134 (22%) through the web link, and 72 (12%) in person. Participants varied in age and education (Table). Ninety-nine percent of participants had heard of

hepatitis C, most commonly through television (57%). Hepatitis C was correctly identified to be curable by 229 (37%) participants.

Among the 499 participants for whom county level residence was provided, 225 (45%) live in an area with high hepatitis C incidence. The high incidence group identified a mean of 5.6 risk factors, significantly lower than the 6.1 risk factors identified in the low incidence group ($p=0.03$). The most commonly identified risk factor was injection drug use and the least commonly identified risk factor was snorting drugs (Figure 1). The proportion of participants correctly identifying a risk factor was lower in the high incidence group for all nine risk factors included in the study. The difference was significantly lower in the identification of tattoos or piercings and snorting drugs as risk factors (77% vs 87%, $p=0.004$ and 25% vs 36%, $p=0.01$). In a multivariate logistic regression model adjusted for age and education level, living in a high incidence region was associated with lower odds of identifying at least 6 of 9 risk factors (OR 0.66, 95% CI 0.46–0.96, $p=0.03$).

In the high incidence group, 102 of 225 people (45%) identified hepatitis C to be curable, significantly higher than the 88 of 274 (32%) in the low incidence group ($p=0.002$). Those in the high incidence group were also more likely to correctly identify treatment to consist of 12 weeks of pills (75 of 225, 33% vs 62 of 274, 23%, $p=0.008$).

DISCUSSION

Overall knowledge of hepatitis C among the general population surveyed is limited. Those living in high incidence regions identified fewer hepatitis C risk factors than those in low incidence regions. As injection drug use is the primary risk factor for new cases of hepatitis C in this region, (Virginia hepatitis C epidemiologic report 2016.) it is encouraging that most participants identified this to be a risk factor in accordance with prior studies. (Norton et al., 2014; Vermunt et al., 2015) Unfortunately, only a few participants were able to identify that snorting drugs, a form of non-injection drug use, places individuals at risk for intranasal transmission of hepatitis C. (Aaron et al., 2008) “Baby boomers” born between 1945 and 1965 have been targeted for diagnosis with one-time screening recommended for all people in this age group. Despite the recommendation for widespread screening, only 51%–58% of our participants identified this group to be at risk. While those in high incidence regions were more likely to know hepatitis C can be cured, only a minority in each group answered this question correctly. Our findings are similar to the 22%–35% of people in earlier studies who knew that hepatitis C is curable. (Mehta et al., 2008; Norton et al., 2014)

Among people living with hepatitis C, knowledge impacts their care. Those with higher knowledge are more likely to discuss hepatitis C management with their medical provider (Mehta et al., 2008) and more likely to be willing to accept treatment. (Alam-Mehrjerdi et al., 2016; Mah et al., 2017; Zeremski et al., 2014) Hepatitis C education campaigns can improve knowledge, (Norton et al., 2014; Surjadi et al., 2011) and a formal hepatitis C education program can shorten time to treatment and improve virologic response. (Lubega, Agbim, Surjadi, Mahoney, & Khalili, 2013) In a screening program focused on people who use drugs in rural Appalachia, a portion of which includes Virginia, only 8% received treatment after testing positive for hepatitis C, (Stephens, Young, & Havens, 2017)

demonstrating a significant need for improvement in linkage to care and treatment. Given the limited knowledge within our cohort, there is room for improvement through educational campaigns.

The use of convenience sampling is a limitation of the survey. Lack of county-level residence information restricted the number of participants included in our primary analysis. To maintain brevity and ensure completion of the survey, survey questions regarding an individual's hepatitis C risk were not included, and therefore participants have been grouped by their population-level risk rather than their individual-level risk.

In the midst of an ongoing opioid and hepatitis C epidemic, public health efforts must address the limited knowledge of risk factors for hepatitis C, as this may impact prevention of new cases. To encourage treatment of those already infected, there is a role for educational campaigns promoting knowledge of risk factors and possibility of cure, especially in high incidence regions.

Acknowledgments

Acknowledgements: None

¹**Funding:** This work was support by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases [grant number T32 AI007046–41].

References

- Aaron S, McMahon JM, Milano D, Torres L, Clatts M, Tortu S, . . . Simm M (2008). Intranasal transmission of hepatitis C virus: Virological and clinical evidence. *Clinical Infectious Diseases : An Official Publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America*, 47(7), 931–934. doi:10.1086/591699 [doi] [PubMed: 18764772]
- Alam-Mehrjerdi Z, Moradi A, Xu F, Zarghami M, Salehi-Fadardi J, & Dolan K (2016). Willingness to receive treatment for hepatitis C among injecting drug users on methadone program: Implications for education and treatment. *Addiction & Health*, 8(2), 90–97. [PubMed: 27882206]
- Chen EY, North CS, Fatunde O, Bernstein I, Salari S, Day B, & Jain MK (2013). Knowledge and attitudes about hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection and its treatment in HCV mono-infected and HCV/HIV co-infected adults. *Journal of Viral Hepatitis*, 20(10), 708–714. doi:10.1111/jvh.12095 [doi] [PubMed: 24010645]
- Communicable disease data. Virginia Department of Health. Retrieved from <http://www.vdh.virginia.gov/data/communicable-diseases/>.
- Denniston MM, Kleven RM, McQuillan GM, & Jiles RB (2012). Awareness of infection, knowledge of hepatitis C, and medical follow-up among individuals testing positive for hepatitis C: National health and nutrition examination survey 2001–2008. *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md.)*, 55(6), 1652–1661. doi:10.1002/hep.25556 [doi]
- Lea T, Hopwood M, & Aggleton P (2016). Hepatitis C knowledge among gay and other homosexually active men in australia. *Drug and Alcohol Review*, 35(4), 477–483. doi:10.1111/dar.12333 [doi] [PubMed: 26369759]
- Lubega S, Agbim U, Surjadi M, Mahoney M, & Khalili M (2013). Formal hepatitis C education enhances HCV care coordination, expedites HCV treatment and improves antiviral response. *Liver International : Official Journal of the International Association for the Study of the Liver*, 33(7), 999–1007. doi:10.1111/liv.12150 [doi] [PubMed: 23509897]
- Mah A, Hull MW, DeBeck K, Milloy MJ, Dobrer S, Nosova E, . . . Hayashi K (2017). Knowledge of hepatitis C and treatment willingness amongst people who inject drugs in an era of direct acting antivirals. *The International Journal on Drug Policy*, doi:S0955-3959(17)30054-3 [pii]

- Mehta SH, Genberg BL, Astemborski J, Kavasery R, Kirk GD, Vlahov D, . . . Thomas DL (2008). Limited uptake of hepatitis C treatment among injection drug users. *Journal of Community Health*, 33(3), 126–133. doi:10.1007/s10900-007-9083-3 [doi] [PubMed: 18165889]
- Norton BL, Voils CI, Timberlake SH, Hecker EJ, Goswami ND, Huffman KM, . . . Stout JE (2014). Community-based HCV screening: Knowledge and attitudes in a high risk urban population. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, 14, 74–2334–14–74. doi:10.1186/1471-2334-14-74 [doi] [PubMed: 24512462]
- Pieper B, & Sickon K (2017). Hepatitis C virus: Patients' risk factors and knowledge in an urban clinic providing wound care. *Wounds : A Compendium of Clinical Research and Practice*, doi:WNSD20171120-2 [pii]
- Proeschold-Bell RJ, Blouin R, Reif S, Amana A, Rowland BJ, Lombard F, . . . Muir AJ (2010). Hepatitis C transmission, prevention, and treatment knowledge among patients with HIV. *Southern Medical Journal*, 103(7), 635–641. doi:10.1097/SMJ.0b013e3181e1dde1 [doi] [PubMed: 20531062]
- Stephens DB, Young AM, & Havens JR (2017). Healthcare contact and treatment uptake following hepatitis C virus screening and counseling among rural appalachian people who use drugs. *The International Journal on Drug Policy*, doi:S0955-3959(17)30149-4 [pii]
- Surjadi M, Torruellas C, Ayala C, Yee HF Jr, & Khalili M (2011). Formal patient education improves patient knowledge of hepatitis C in vulnerable populations. *Digestive Diseases and Sciences*, 56(1), 213–219. doi:10.1007/s10620-010-1455-3 [doi] [PubMed: 20972850]
- Suryaprasad AG, White JZ, Xu F, Eichler BA, Hamilton J, Patel A, . . . Holmberg SD (2014). Emerging epidemic of hepatitis C virus infections among young nonurban persons who inject drugs in the united states, 2006–2012. *Clinical Infectious Diseases : An Official Publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America*, 59(10), 1411–1419. doi:10.1093/cid/ciu643 [doi] [PubMed: 25114031]
- Treloar C, Hull P, Dore GJ, & Grebely J (2012). Knowledge and barriers associated with assessment and treatment for hepatitis C virus infection among people who inject drugs. *Drug and Alcohol Review*, 31(7), 918–924. doi:10.1111/j.1465-3362.2012.00468.x [doi] [PubMed: 22612899]
- Vermunt J, Fraser M, Herbison P, Wiles A, Schlup M, & Schultz M (2015). Prevalence and knowledge of hepatitis C in a middle-aged population, dunedin, new zealand. *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, 21(35), 10224–10233. doi:10.3748/wjg.v21.i35.10224 [doi] [PubMed: 26401088]
- Virginia hepatitis C epidemiologic report 2016 Virginia Department of Health. Retrieved from <http://www.vdh.virginia.gov/content/uploads/sites/10/2016/06/Virginia-Hepatitis-C-Epidemiologic-Profile-2016.pdf>,.
- Zeremski M, Dimova RB, Zavala R, Kritz S, Lin M, Smith BD, . . . Talal AH (2014). Hepatitis C virus-related knowledge and willingness to receive treatment among patients on methadone maintenance. *Journal of Addiction Medicine*, 8(4), 249–257. doi:10.1097/ADM.000000000000041 [doi] [PubMed: 24820257]
- Zibbell JE, Iqbal K, Patel RC, Suryaprasad A, Sanders KJ, Moore-Moravian L, . . . Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). (2015). Increases in hepatitis C virus infection related to injection drug use among persons aged *MMWR.Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 64(17), 453–458. doi:mm6417a2 [pii] [PubMed: 25950251]

Figure:

Hepatitis C risk factor identification among survey participants from Virginia, United States, 2017.

Abbreviations: HCV, Hepatitis C virus; MSM, men who have sex with men

*Indicates statistically significant differences, $p=0.004$ for tattoos/piercings and $p=0.01$ for snorting drugs.

Table:

Characteristics and responses of participants completing a survey regarding Hepatitis C knowledge and risk factors in 2017.

	N	%
Participant Characteristics		
Survey Source		
In Person	134	22
Social Media	407	66
Web Link	72	12
Age Range (years)		
18–29	142	23
30–39	95	15
40–49	127	21
50–59	155	25
60+	89	15
Missing	5	1
Education Level		
Some high school	4	1
High school diploma/GED	62	10
Some college	118	19
Undergraduate degree	230	38
Graduate degree	144	23
Professional degree	52	8
Missing	3	0.4
Residence ¹		
Rural	238	48
Urban	261	52
Hepatitis C Incidence in Home County ¹		
Low (< 150 cases/100,000 population)	274	55
High (> 150 cases/100,000 population)	225	45
Survey Responses		
Response to “Where have you heard of hepatitis C?” ²		
Television	350	57
School	305	50
Online	279	46
I have/Someone I know has hepatitis C	135	22
Brochure	111	18
I haven’t heard of hepatitis C	5	1
Other ³	145	24
Response to “Is hepatitis C curable?”		
Yes	229	37

	N	%
No	233	38
I don't know	151	25

Abbreviations: GED=General Education Diploma

¹Determination of rurality and hepatitis C incidence required residence information at the county level or smaller within the state of Virginia, provided by N=499 participants. Other responses included "Virginia" (52), "USA" (2), and another state (59).

²Participants could select multiple responses

³"Other" write in responses were work (83), medical professional (43), family members (9), magazine/newspaper (7), and Pamela Anderson (3).