Published in final edited form as:

Psychiatry Res. 2013 August 30; 213(2): 99–107. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2013.04.001.

The influence of combined cognitive plus social-cognitive training on amygdala response during face emotion recognition in schizophrenia

Christine I. Hooker^{a,*}, Lori Bruce^a, Melissa Fisher^{b,c}, Sara C. Verosky^a, Asako Miyakawa^d, Mark D'Esposito^d, Sophia Vinogradov^{b,c}

^aDepartment of Psychology, Harvard University, 33 Kirkland St., Cambridge MA 02138

^bDepartment of Psychiatry, University of California at San Francisco

^cDepartment of Psychiatry, VA Medical Center San Francisco, Box 116C San Francisco CA 94143 – 116C

^dHelen Wills Neuroscience Institute, University of California at Berkeley

Abstract

Both cognitive and social-cognitive deficits impact functional outcome in schizophrenia. Cognitive remediation studies indicate that targeted cognitive and/or social-cognitive training improves behavioral performance on trained skills. However, the neural effects of training in schizophrenia and their relation to behavioral gains are largely unknown. This study tested whether a 50 hour intervention which included both cognitive and social-cognitive training would influence neural mechanisms that support social-cognition. Schizophrenia participants completed a computer-based intervention of either auditory-based cognitive training (AT) plus social-cognition training (SCT) (N=11) or non-specific computer games (CG) (N=11). Assessments included an fMRI task of facial emotion recognition, and behavioral measures of cognition, social-cognition, and functional outcome. FMRI results showed the predicted group-by-time interaction. Results were strongest for emotion recognition of happy, surprise and fear: relative to CG participants, AT+SCT participants showed a neural activity increase in bilateral amygdala, right putamen and right medial prefrontal cortex. Across all participants, pre-to-post intervention neural activity increase in these regions predicted behavioral improvement on an independent emotion perception measure (MSCEIT: Perceiving Emotions). Among AT+SCT participants alone, neural activity increase in right amygdala predicted behavioral improvement in emotion perception. The findings indicate that combined cognition and social-cognition training improves neural systems that support social-cognition skills.

*Corresponding Author: Christine I. Hooker, Ph.D., Department of Psychology, Harvard University, 1020 William James Hall, 33 Kirkland St., Cambridge MA 02138, Phone: 510-289-1102 (preferred), or Lab Phone: 617-496-7095, Fax: 617-495-3728, chooker@wjh.harvard.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting galley proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Keywords

cognitive remediation; fMRI; social cognition; affect recognition; face processing; psychosis; social functioning; social skills; neuroplasticity

1. Introduction

Cognitive deficits are among the most treatment resistant and functionally debilitating aspects of schizophrenia (Green, 2007). Although many cognitive skills are related to functional outcome, social-cognitive skills, such as facial emotion recognition, are recognized as one of the strongest predictors of functioning (Hooker and Park, 2002). Cognitive and social-cognitive behavioral impairments arise from abnormalities in underlying neural mechanisms supporting these processes (Aleman and Kahn, 2005; Barch, 2005). Social-cognition is supported by a neural system which includes the amygdala, superior temporal cortex (STC), somatosensory-related cortex (SRC), and medial prefrontal cortex (MPFC) (Adolphs, 2009, 2010). Because psychopharmacological treatments alone have not succeeded in dramatically improving cognition (Keefe et al., 2007) or social-cognition (Swartz et al., 2007), there is considerable interest in identifying whether targeted training in cognition and social-cognition can improve behavioral performance, restore dysfunctional neural mechanisms, and ultimately provide long-lasting functional benefits.

Animal models of learning-induced neuroplasticity suggest that benefits of behavioral training occur from a dynamic interplay between neural processing and behavioral experience (Buonomano and Merzenich, 1998; Ohl and Scheich, 2005). Behavioral training in a specific cognitive-perceptual domain (e.g. discriminating auditory tones) induces neural changes, such as neuronal tuning and cortical expansion, and these changes result in better detection and processing of sensory stimuli (Polley et al., 2006; Zhou and Merzenich, 2007). Human neuroimaging studies show evidence of this process in multiple domains. Neural structure increases and/or function improves in temporo-parietal motion perception regions after concentrated juggling (Draganski et al., 2004), auditory cortices after musical training (Wan and Schlaug, 2010), and lateral prefrontal cortex after memory training (Klingberg, 2010). Across these different domains, neural change predicts improvement in behavioral performance, suggesting that training-induced changes to underlying neural systems support more efficient neural processing and better behavioral skills.

While substantial evidence demonstrates that cognitive and social-cognitive remediation interventions improve behavioral performance in schizophrenia (Kurtz and Richardson, 2011; Wykes et al., 2011), there is little research on the neural effects of these interventions. Until recently, neural deficits associated with schizophrenia were considered a permanent consequence of the disease. However, initial studies of early and late-stage schizophrenia indicate that compromised neurocognitive systems show neuroplastic changes after cognitive and social-cognitive training and neural changes are related to behavioral improvement (Eack et al., 2010; Subramaniam et al., 2012). Although these new findings have exciting treatment implications, crucial questions remain regarding which neurocognitive systems to target, what neural changes occur, and how neural changes support functional benefits.

The goal of the current study was to identify whether a computer-based training intervention which targeted both cognitive and social-cognitive skills in people with schizophrenia would influence neural regions that support facial emotion recognition, particularly the amygdala. This goal and the methods used to address it, builds on our prior research. The auditory-based cognitive training (AT) program targets verbal learning and memory and has been previously studied by our group (Fisher et al., 2009; Vinogradov et al., 2012). AT improves verbal learning/verbal memory deficits through progressive training in auditory processing and verbal working-memory. In a randomized clinical trial, schizophrenia participants who completed 50 hours of AT (versus non-specific computer games) showed behavioral improvements in verbal learning/verbal memory and global cognition, as well as changes in MEG indices of early neural processing (Vinogradov et al., 2012). However, AT participants did not show improvements in social-cognition (Fisher et al., 2009). Thus, follow-up studies included social cognition training (SCT), which targeted facial emotion recognition and basic theory of mind. Schizophrenia participants who completed AT+SCT, versus computer-games, had behavioral improvement in both cognition and social-cognition (Hooker et al., 2012; Sacks et al., in press; Subramaniam et al., 2012). Neural improvement was also evident; after AT+SCT, SRC activity increased during facial emotion recognition (Hooker et al., 2012), and in a separate study using a broader range of training, MPFC activity increased during reality monitoring (Subramaniam et al., 2012). In both studies, neural changes predicted behavioral improvements.

Facial emotion recognition is a foundational social-cognitive process with a well-defined neurocognitive system that includes the amygdala, STC, and SRC (Adolphs et al., 2003). These regions are more active during face emotion recognition than face identity recognition or other face judgments (Vuilleumier and Pourtois, 2007), and a lesion (in any region) disrupts emotion recognition but not other aspects of face processing (Adolphs, 2010; Adolphs et al., 2000; Pitcher et al., 2008). The broader network includes structures involved in social-emotional processing more generally, such as the fusiform gyrus, MPFC, and striatum (Calder and Young, 2005; Heberlein et al., 2008). In addition to severe behavioral deficits, people with schizophrenia have neural abnormalities in nearly all facial emotion processing regions (Gur et al., 2007a; Habel et al., 2010a; Hooker et al., 2011; Seifert et al., 2009; Williams, 2008), with amygdala, striatum, and fusiform gyrus as the regions most consistently less active for schizophrenia participants relative to healthy controls (Li et al., 2010).

Most research has focused on the amygdala. Schizophrenia participants have abnormally low amygdala activity during face emotion processing (Li et al., 2010), and lower activity (Gur et al., 2007b) as well as lower gray matter volume (Namiki et al., 2007) are related to worse emotion recognition. Abnormally high amygdala activity has also been observed and is associated with the misinterpretation of neutral and emotional stimuli (Gur et al., 2007b; Holt et al., 2005). Overall, the data suggests that, in schizophrenia, amygdala activity is not appropriately harnessed in service of accurate emotion recognition.

Our prior study of emotion recognition before and after AT+SCT used an fMRI task with a blocked presentation of positive and negative emotions and found that activity in the postcentral gyrus, a region of the SRC, increased more after AT+SCT than computer-games,

but there were no significant amygdala changes. Inability to separate correct and incorrect trials in the blocked design and other methodological factors might have masked intervention-related effects in the amygdala. The present study used an fMRI task and neuroimaging methods more likely to engage and reveal amygdala activity.

Schizophrenia participants completed a 50 hour computer-based intervention of auditory training plus social-cognition training (AT+SCT) or non-specific computer-games (CG). The CG placebo consisted of engaging computer-games that did not target cognitive improvement and was designed to control for auxiliary aspects of AT+SCT, including sustained attention on a computer task, staff contact, and monetary payments. Controlling these non-essential features, theoretically, isolates components of AT+SCT that are crucial for learning-induced neuroplasticity (i.e. targeted, progressive training in a specific neurocognitive skill).

Assessments before and after the intervention included standardized cognitive and social-cognitive tests, an interview-based assessment of daily functioning, and an event-related fMRI task of emotion recognition. The goal was to test intervention effects during correct identification of the six basic emotional expressions (happy, surprise, fear, angry, disgust, and sad). Neuroimaging acquisition and analysis parameters optimized amygdala signal. Hypotheses were: 1) Neural activity in emotion processing regions would increase more after AT+SCT than after CG; and 2) Intervention-related neural activity increase in these regions would predict better emotion recognition and better daily functioning.

2. Methods

This study ran in parallel with our larger randomized controlled trial of cognitive training in schizophrenia at University of California San Francisco (UCSF)/SFVA ([ClinicalTrials.gov](https://clinicaltrials.gov)). Recruitment and initial contact occurred through the parent study and interested participants were invited to do an additional imaging study at UC Berkeley.

2.1 Participants and Behavioral Assessments

Schizophrenia participants were recruited from community centers and outpatient clinics. Participants had outpatient status for 3 months and no significant medication changes during the study. After the research was explained, participants gave written informed consent and underwent baseline assessments over 4-5 weeks. UC Berkeley and San Francisco ethical review boards approved the study. Twenty-eight participants expressed interest in fMRI; two participants did not complete training, two did not return for the post-training scan, and two had unusable data for at least one time-point. The final fMRI sample included N=22 (N=11 AT+SCT/N=11 CG). Demographics from this sample are also reported in Hooker et al (2012).

Diagnosis was assessed via information from Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Disorders (SCID) (First et al., 2002), caretakers, medical team, and medical record. IQ was assessed with the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence (WASI) (Wechsler, 1999). Pre/post behavioral assessments included: Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale-Extended (PANSS-E) (Kay et al., 1987); Quality of Life Scale-Abbreviated (QLS) (Bilker et al.,

2003); MATRICS Global Cognition score for cognitive performance (Nuechterlein et al., 2008); and Mayer-Salovey-Caruso-Emotional Intelligence Test (MSCEIT) Perceiving Emotions subscale for emotion processing (Mayer et al., 2003). MSCEIT Perceiving Emotions involves identifying emotions in faces, paintings, and landscapes without constraints on presentation or response time. Diagnosis, PANSS-E, and QLS ratings were reached by consensus between two raters ($ICC > 0.85$). Behavioral assessments and group assignment were conducted at UCSF/SFVA in the context of larger behavioral studies, where participants were stratified by baseline age, education, gender, and symptom severity and approximately matched pairs of participants were randomly assigned to the active or placebo intervention. From this larger pool of subjects, participants who were MR compatible and interested in additional research opportunities were referred to the imaging study at UC Berkeley. Inclusion criteria: schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder, age 18-60 years, English as primary language. Exclusion criteria: $IQ < 70$, prior head trauma, neurological or medical illness, or substance dependence (past six months).

In the final sample ($N=22$), AT+SCT participants were older than CG [*Age*: AT+SCT=51.2(5.8); CG=41.0(8.4), $t(21) = 3.3$, $p=0.004$]. Groups did not differ on any other demographic or clinical characteristic (all t s < 1 , p s > 0.3 , unless noted). [*Variable*=Mean(SD): *Gender*: AT+SCT=1F/10M; CG=3F/8M; *Education*: AT+SCT=13.7(2.2); CG=12.8(2.5); *WASI*: AT+SCT=98.2(18.7); CG=103.6(19.4); *Diagnosis*: AT+SCT=5 SZ-Aff; 6 SZ; CG=4 SZ-Aff; 7 SZ; *Illness Duration*: AT+SCT=28.0(8.3); CG=20.6(11.6) $t(21)=1.3$, $p=0.16$; *Chlorpromazine Equivalent*: AT+SCT=252.5(339); CG=371.4(456); *PANSS Total*: AT+SCT=76.2(15.4); CG=68.1(16.3)]. Chlorpromazine equivalents were identified according to standard calculations (Hales and Yudofsky, 2002). Medication type (including mood/anxiety, etc.) did not differ between groups.

2.2 Intervention

Auditory Training (AT)—The AT program was developed by Posit Science Corporation (<http://www.positscience.com>) and consists of computerized exercises structured to improve auditory and verbal information processing. In initial exercises, participants make progressively difficult distinctions between speech-related sounds. Subsequent exercises require participants to distinguish and encode increasingly complex auditory/verbal stimuli and to manipulate these stimuli in working-memory. Difficulty level is continuously adjusted to maintain ~80% accuracy. See Fisher et al (2009) for additional information. Posit Science Corporation provided the software but had no other role in the research.

Social-Cognition Training (SCT)—The SCT program consists of exercises from two commercially available software packages: Micro-Expression and Subtle Expressions Training Tool (METT-SETT) (<http://face.paulekman.com>), and MindReading (Baron-Cohen et al., 2003; Ekman, 2003). Training engaged both perceptual and executive control processes related to emotion recognition. Each training session covered 1-4 specific emotion(s), and focused on facial expressions. Exercises began with easy, instructive trials, and became increasingly difficult. Difficulty level was monitored and set each day. Exercises trained emotion recognition by directing participants' attention to different aspects of an expression and providing verbal descriptions of distinguishing perceptual characteristics

(e.g. closed mouth with lips pulled down slightly is characteristic of sadness). Participants then practiced identifying intense and subtle displays of that expression and identifying that target expression amongst other expressions. More complex emotion processing is trained through descriptions of situations that provoke each emotion and exercises that require the identification of emotional states and accompanying emotion-congruent dialogue in “real-world” social scenes. Correct responses were rewarded with verbal feedback (from the program), pleasant sounds, and visual animations. Both basic and complex (e.g. jealous, guilty) emotions were covered.

Computer Game (CG) Placebo—The purpose of the CG program was to control for general cognitive benefits of AT+SCT, staff contact, monetary payments, and all other auxiliary aspects of computer-based cognitive interventions. Participants rotated through 16 commercially available computer gamesⁱ according to a defined schedule (Fisher et al., 2009). Games included solitaire, checkers, dominoes, hangman, visuospatial puzzles, pattern matching, and others. The games were enjoyable but cognitively ‘non-specific’ in that they were not designed to improve a specific cognitive skill.

Intervention Details—AT+SCT participants completed approximately 60 minutes of AT and 5-15 minutes of SCT per day; CG participants completed approximately 60 minutes of computer games per day on a suggested schedule of 5 days a week for 10 weeks. There was no difference between groups in number of intervention hours (AT+SCT=47.27 (9.1); CG=46.36 (6.7), $t(20)=0.27$, $p=0.79$). Participants completed training individually on the computer in the laboratory setting. If travel was difficult, they could complete the training at home (N=2 AT+SCT; N=4 CG, non-significant difference). Research assistants set-up the training programs, monitored time, and recorded exercises completed each day. Participants who trained at home were called each week to monitor progress. Data from the training was downloaded weekly. Participants received nominal payment for participation; payment was contingent on participation and not performance.

fMRI Task: Facial Emotion Recognition—The fMRI emotion recognition task was designed to assess neural response when correctly identifying the six basic emotional expressions. Because choosing an emotion label out of seven options (six emotions plus neutral) has numerous task demands that are not central to emotion recognition (e.g. managing seven response buttons, excessive reading time for emotion labels, etc), the six emotions were divided into two sets. The first set (fMRI runs 1&2), consisted of angry, disgust, sad, (ADS) and neutral expressions. The second set (fMRI runs 3&4) consisted of happy, surprise, fear (HSurF) and neutral expressions. The emotions were grouped according to similar perceptual features (e.g. happy, surprise and fear are all ‘open-mouth’ expressions), making emotion discrimination more challenging. Emotions were grouped according to perceptual features because SCT/METT-SETT trains emotion recognition by highlighting perceptual features that characterize each emotion. Thus, the task was designed to maximally engage this trained skill. For each run, participants knew the expressions that

ⁱGames were from the following software programs: Hoyle Puzzle and Board Games (2003, Navarre Corporation, Encore Software, Inc.); After Dark Games (1998, Sierra On-Line, Inc., Berkeley Systems); 303 Game Collection: 203 Game Pack and 100+ Great Games – Volume II (2003, Antidote Entertainment, ValuSoft, Inc.).

would be presented. One face was presented on each trial. Participants identified the emotion out of four options listed below the face (e.g. Anger, Disgust, Sad or Neutral). Each trial was 4 seconds followed by a 4-8second jittered inter-trial-interval (ITI). The trial started with a neutral face(800ms), switched briefly to an emotional expression(400ms), returning to a neutral expression with response options listed below(2800ms). The trial structure, with the brief presentation of emotion, was similar to the SCT/SETT training exercises, but used different stimuli. The rationale was that an assessment task which closely resembles the training exercises is likely to engage the same neurocognitive processes used during training. Therefore, the similarity between task and training would increase confidence that observed neural activity changes are related to the SCT intervention. Brief presentations were also used to enhance task difficulty and prevent amygdala habituation (Breiter et al., 1996). On neutral trials, the neutral face was presented(1200ms); then response options appeared below(2800ms). There were 32 neutral trials and 30 trials of each emotion.

Face stimuli were from standard stimulus sets (Ekman and Matsumoto, 1993; Goelevena et al., 2008; Gur et al., 2002). Each person (identity) that was presented with an emotional expression was also presented with a neutral expression. Most faces were Caucasian but other races were also represented to approximately match Bay area demographics. The same task was administered pre and post intervention.

2.3. FMRI Acquisition and Analysis

See Supplemental Material for details regarding data acquisition and analysis.

Images were acquired at 4Tesla and analyzed with Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM8). The goal was to identify neural activity during *accurate* emotion recognition. Therefore accurate and inaccurate trials were modeled separately, and neural activity for accurate trials is reported. Accurate trials from individual emotions in each set were combined for maximum statistical power. Each set had two conditions (emotion and neutral); fMRI runs 1&2: Angry, Disgust, Sad (ADS) and Neutral; fMRI runs 3&4: Happy, Surprise, Fear (HSurF) and Neutral. Contrasts files were created for each condition versus baseline (e.g. ADS>baseline) and emotional versus neutral expressions (e.g. ADS>Neutral) at each time-point (Pre-training and Post-training). 'Baseline' consisted of the period in-between trials when participants viewed a white fixation-cross on black background.

Group(AT+SCT/CG)*Time(pre/post) interaction effects were investigated by entering pre-training and post-training contrasts into a flexible factorial model in SPM. Each SPM model had three factors: Subject, Group (AT+SCT/CG) and Time (Pre/Post). The 'Subject' factor controls for between-subject variation (e.g. age, medication, gender, etc.) at each time-point. Each model also included the interaction of Age*Time as a covariate of no interest. Thus all Group*Time models control for the effect of age at pre and post time-points as well as the effect of age on pre-to-post change in neural activity.

The number of accurate trials included in analyses (i.e. statistical power) was not different between groups or between time-points. FMRI statistical threshold was $p < 0.005$ uncorrected, 10 voxel/80mm extent. (This is a common threshold for studies with amygdala hypotheses; see Supplemental Materials). If an interaction occurred in a hypothesized

region, correction for multiple comparisons was conducted for each region (anatomically defined) using small volume corrections (SVC) tool in SPM8. Because Group*Time models account for error variance associated with both groups and both time-points as well as the influence of age on all effects, pair-wise comparisons within the SPM model are not valid, and separate t-tests of specific comparisons (e.g. AT+SCT vs. CG before training) cannot be interpreted as post-hoc tests of the Group*Time interaction. However, separate t-tests of within-group and between-group comparisons provide information about independent effects of group and time. These t-tests were conducted and amygdala results reported in Supplemental Materials.

Figures and correlational analyses use neural activity from the peak voxel of significant clusters in the Group*Time interaction analysis. All measures of neural activity represent percent signal change over baseline. Bar-plots display Group*Time analysis results, i.e. average neural activity for each group and each time-point (adjusted for covariates, etc. in model). In addition, each subject's individual level of neural activity (unadjusted) from the peak voxel was used to examine brain-behavior relationships. A neural activity difference score was calculated for each subject by subtracting neural activity at the pre-training scan from neural activity at the post-training scan. This change in activity (Post-Pre) was correlated with change in MSCEIT Perceiving Emotions (Post-Pre) and MATRICS Global Cognition (Post-Pre) using partial correlations controlling for age.

3. Results

3.1 Behavioral Results

Group (AT+SCT/CG)*Time (pre/post) interaction effects were investigated for all measures (Table 1). MSCEIT Perceiving Emotions showed the predicted interaction. Follow-up analyses confirmed that AT+SCT participants had a greater pre-to-post training improvement than CG participants. There were no intervention-related changes for daily functioning (QLS), global cognition (MATRICS), or behavioral performance on the fMRI task. These null findings are inconsistent with prior studies showing global cognition improvements after AT (Fisher et al., 2009), and is most likely due to the small sample size. Lack of improvement on the fMRI task was also surprising and indicates that distractions inherent in the scanner environment (noise, etc.) may have overpowered benefits from training.

3.2. FMRI Results

FMRI analyses investigated the hypothesis that face emotion processing regions (amygdala, STC, SRC) would show a significant Group*Time interaction. We expected that, relative to CG, AT+SCT participants would have a greater pre-to-post increase in neural activity during emotion recognition. Regions showing Group*Time interactions are listed in Table 2 and shown in Figures 1–2.

Angry, Disgust, Sad—Analysis of Group*Time interaction effects in the expected direction showed a significant interaction in left precentral gyrus for the accurate recognition

of angry, disgust, sad relative to baseline (ADS>baseline). There were no significant Group*Time interactions for ADS>Neutral.

Happy, Surprise, Fear—Analysis of Group*Time interaction effects in the expected direction for the accurate recognition of happy, surprise and fear (HSurF>baseline) revealed a significant interaction in bilateral amygdala, right putamen, and right MPFC. Correction for multiple comparisons was conducted in each region using small volume correction (SVC). The Group*Time interaction for both the left and right amygdala was significant (FWE, $p<.05$) after correcting for multiple comparisons. However, interaction effects in the putamen and MPFC were not significant after correcting for multiple comparisons. Neural activity from each region is plotted in Figures 1–2. There were no significant Group*Time interaction effects for HSurF>Neutral.

3.3. Correlations between neural activity and behavior

Across all participants, pre-to-post neural activity increase in right amygdala, right putamen, and right MPFC when accurately identifying happy, surprise and fear (HSurF>baseline) predicted pre-to-post improvement on MSCEIT Perceiving Emotions. Among AT+SCT participants, the increase in right amygdala activity was significantly related to improvement in emotion perception. This brain-behavior relationship was trend-level for left amygdala and non-significant for MPFC and putamen. There were no significant correlations between neural activity and MSCEIT performance in the CG group (Correlations in Table 3).

There were no significant correlations between pre-to-post change in neural activity and change in MATRICS Global Cognition across all participants or within each group. This suggests that observed intervention-related increases in neural activity are not related to general cognitive improvement. (Correlations in Supplemental Table 1).

4. Discussion

This placebo-controlled study tested whether a cognitive remediation program that combined both auditory-based cognitive training plus social-cognitive training (AT+SCT) would influence the neural mechanisms supporting facial emotion recognition. In comparison to schizophrenia participants who engaged in computer games (CG) for an equal number of hours, schizophrenia participants who engaged in AT+SCT showed significant improvements in behavioral and neural measures of emotion perception. Results from the fMRI facial emotion recognition task showed the predicted group-by-time interaction in bilateral amygdala, right putamen, and right MPFC for the accurate recognition of happy, surprise, and fear expressions. Neural activity in all three regions increased to a greater degree after AT+SCT than after CG. AT+SCT was also related to behavioral improvement on MSCEIT Perceiving Emotions, a standardized test of emotion perception, which requires identification of multiple emotions subtly displayed in faces and scenes. Across all participants, intervention-related activity increase in each region was related to behavioral improvement in emotion perception (MSCEIT Perceiving Emotions). Among AT+SCT participants, right amygdala activity increase when accurately recognizing happy, surprise, and fear significantly predicted behavioral improvement in emotion perception. Neural activity increases in left amygdala, putamen, and MPFC were also positively correlated with

behavioral improvement, among AT+SCT participants, but did not reach statistical significance. By contrast, the CG group had no significant, or even strongly positive, relationships between neural change and behavioral improvement in emotion perception.

These findings suggest that the training program, which combined exercises in auditory processing and auditory/verbal working memory with exercises in facial emotion recognition and basic theory of mind, improved functioning of the neurocognitive system supporting emotion recognition. Several features of the study substantiate this interpretation. First, using correct emotion recognition trials in the fMRI analysis increases confidence that observed neural activity is related to emotion recognition ability. This is noteworthy since most studies collapse across correct and incorrect trials, making it difficult to know whether observed activity is supporting, hindering, or unrelated to the neurocognitive skill under investigation. Second, the correlation between intervention-related neural activity increase and intervention-related behavioral improvement on the MSCEIT, an independent test of emotion perception with different task demands, further demonstrates that the increase in neural activity is related to emotion recognition ability and not an epiphenomenon of the fMRI task, such as ability to manage distractions in the scanner or limited response time on the task. The correlation between neural activity and MSCEIT performance also indicates that the fMRI assessment of neural change has predictive value beyond the immediate experimental context in which it was measured. Finally, the fMRI task was similar to (though not the same as) emotion recognition exercises in the social-cognitive training program. The similarity between task and training increases the likelihood that, after daily practice on emotion recognition exercises, participants engaged those same cognitive processes during the fMRI task. While this limits information about the generalizability of training, it increases confidence that the fMRI data illustrate neural systems involved in the skill targeted during training – in this case, emotion recognition. Importantly, because emotion recognition skills predict performance on broader measures of functional outcome (Hooker and Park, 2002), the findings indicate that training programs which include emotion recognition could have long-term functional benefits for individuals with schizophrenia.

AT+SCT's influence on the amygdala was observed in response to happy, surprise, and fearful expressions. All three expressions communicate the presence of an emotionally salient stimulus, i.e. a potential threat, reward, or unexpected event. Amygdala response to these expressions mobilizes and/or directs resources, such as attention and arousal, to facilitate the detection and memory of salient information, such as threats and rewards in the environment (Hooker et al., 2006; Hooker et al., 2008; Pessoa and Adolphs, 2010). Research suggests that when the goal is to identify the emotional expression, as it was here, amygdala response facilitates emotion identification by directing attention to distinguishing characteristics of the expression, such as the wide-open eyes characteristic of fear (Adolphs, 2010). Our data indicate that AT+SCT may have stimulated and/or harnessed this amygdala response in service of accurate emotion recognition.

Intervention-related changes were also observed in the MPFC and putamen. Although both putamen and MPFC are involved in facial emotion recognition (Adolphs, 2009; Calder and Young, 2005), their functions are not fully understood. In addition, the group-by-time

interaction effects in these regions were not significant when correcting for multiple comparisons, so these results should be considered preliminary and interpreted with caution.

The current findings add to a small but growing literature on the neural effects of cognitive remediation in schizophrenia. To date, most studies focus on cognitive training of memory and attention and show that neural activity increases in regions supporting working-memory and cognitive-control skills, such as the lateral prefrontal and anterior cingulate cortices, with greater neural activity increases associated with greater gains in working-memory performance (Haut et al., 2010; Wexler et al., 2000; Wykes et al., 2002). Only two fMRI studies report intervention effects on emotion recognition. One tested schizophrenia participants on a facial emotion recognition task before and after twelve 45 minute sessions of “Training of Affect Recognition (TAR)” and did not find training-related increases in the amygdala or other primary emotion-processing regions (Habel et al., 2010b). Previous behavioral studies indicate that TAR improves emotion recognition accuracy for schizophrenia participants (Kurtz and Richardson, 2011; Wolwer et al., 2005), so, most likely, the fMRI task and/or analysis methods were not sensitive enough to reveal neural effects in emotion-processing regions. For example, the fMRI task only included happy, sad, and neutral faces, and these emotions may not be a robust enough emotional probe. Nonetheless, a subsample from that study showed a correlation between increased postcentral gyrus activity (i.e. somatosensory-related cortex (SRC)) after TAR training and improvement on the fMRI emotion recognition task (Habel et al., 2010b). These findings are consistent with our previous study which showed that, compared to CG, AT+SCT participants had a greater pre-to-post increase in postcentral gyrus activity for facial emotion recognition versus object-color recognition, and the intervention-related increase in neural activity correlated with improvement in MSCEIT Perceiving Emotions (Hooker et al., 2012). Notably, despite variability in emotion-processing region showing an intervention effect, the current and two previous studies all found a correlation between increased neural activity and improvement in emotion recognition skills. Collectively, these findings indicate not only that the neurocognitive system supporting emotion recognition is responsive to behavioral intervention, but also that detection of intervention-related effects is influenced by design-elements of the neuroimaging assessment. While all regions in the network, particularly the amygdala, STC, and SRC, are involved in emotion recognition, each region may be maximally responsive to different emotions or different aspects of emotion processing. For example, the amygdala tends to show greater response to fearful expressions than other expressions and the STC tends to show greater response to dynamic than static facial features. Thus, aspects of task-design, such as emotion type and/or response judgment, can bias detection of intervention effects in one region over another. Nonetheless, recent results from structural neuroimaging provide converging evidence of neuroplasticity in the amygdala and other social-cognitive regions. Schizophrenia participants who completed two-years of Cognitive Enhancement Therapy (CET), which combines computerized cognitive remediation and group-based social skills training, showed an increase in amygdala gray matter volume, less gray matter decline in other regions, and intervention-related neural changes predicted behavioral improvement on the MSCEIT composite score (Eack et al., 2010).

One limitation of our study is the inability to determine whether neural changes in emotion-processing regions result from the combination of cognition and social-cognition training or whether social-cognition training that exclusively targets emotion recognition would produce these changes. In addition, the neural changes observed during emotion recognition did not factor out neural response to neutral faces (i.e. there was no group-by-time interaction for the emotion vs. neutral contrast), so the current results may partially reflect a general improvement in face processing. Another limitation is the small and heterogeneous sample. AT+SCT participants were older, on average, than CG participants, and across the entire sample, individuals varied in illness duration, symptom profile, and medication type. Although we controlled for age in our analyses, more homogeneous samples and closely matched groups will provide a clearer picture of cognitive training effects. Due, in part, to the immense resources required for this type of research, nearly all published studies on neural effects of cognitive training have small sample sizes (Haut et al., 2010; Wexler et al., 2000; Wykes et al., 2002). While these studies, including our own, provide important preliminary evidence, larger samples may reveal more robust between-group results and more individual variation that can inform brain-behavior relationships. In contrast to previous findings (Fisher et al., 2009), AT+SCT, in this study, was not associated with improved global cognition. Neuroimaging studies with larger samples would be more likely to replicate behavioral effects of validated interventions. We also did not find intervention-related improvement in daily functioning or a relationship between neural change and functional improvement. However, functioning was assessed immediately after training, which was probably not enough time for training effects to yield functional improvement. A previous study with combined cognitive and social cognitive training found that a relationship between training-induced neural change and functioning is only apparent 6 months after the intervention (Subramaniam et al., 2012).

In summary, the emerging data indicate that well-designed behavioral training interventions can improve neural system functioning in schizophrenia; the next challenge is to translate these findings into therapeutic strategies that help individuals with the illness lead maximally fulfilling and socially engaged lives.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments:

This work was supported by a NARSAD Sidney R. Baer, Jr. Foundation Young Investigator Award (C.I.H.) and NIMH grants MH71746 (C.I.H.) and MH68725-02 (S.V.). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. The authors would like to thank Tom Zeffiro for consultation on fMRI analysis techniques, Ori Elis for help with data collection, and Samia Arthur-Bentil, Chinmayi Tengshe, and Juyoen Hur for help with data processing.

Financial Disclosures: Sophia Vinogradov and Christine Hooker are paid consultants on an NIMH BRDG-SPAN grant to Brain Plasticity Inc. Lori Bruce, Melissa Fisher, Sara Verosky, Asako Miyakawa, and Mark D'Esposito report no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

Reference

- Adolphs R, 2009 The social brain: neural basis of social knowledge. *Annual Review of Psychology* 60, 693–716.
- Adolphs R, 2010 What does the amygdala contribute to social cognition? *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1191, 42–61. [PubMed: 20392275]
- Adolphs R, Damasio H, Tranel D, Cooper G, Damasio AR, 2000 A role for somatosensory cortices in the visual recognition of emotion as revealed by three-dimensional lesion mapping. *Journal of Neuroscience* 20, 2683–2690. [PubMed: 10729349]
- Adolphs R, Tranel D, Damasio AR, 2003 Dissociable neural systems for recognizing emotions. *Brain and Cognition* 52, 61–69. [PubMed: 12812805]
- Aleman A, Kahn RS, 2005 Strange feelings: do amygdala abnormalities dysregulate the emotional brain in schizophrenia? *Progress in Neurobiology* 77, 283–298. [PubMed: 16352388]
- Barch DM, 2005 The cognitive neuroscience of schizophrenia. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology* 1, 321–353.
- Baron-Cohen S, Hill J, Wheelwright S, 2003 *Mind Reading: the interactive guide to emotions*. Jessica Kingsley Publishers Ltd., University of Cambridge, UK.
- Bilker WB, Brensinger C, Kurtz MM, Kohler C, Gur RC, Siegel SJ, Gur RE, 2003 Development of an abbreviated schizophrenia quality of life scale using a new method. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 28, 773–777. [PubMed: 12655324]
- Breiter HC, Etcoff NL, Whalen PJ, Kennedy WA, Rauch SL, Buckner RL, Strauss MM, Hyman SE, Rosen BR, 1996 Response and habituation of the human amygdala during visual processing of facial expression. *Neuron* 17, 875–887. [PubMed: 8938120]
- Buonomano DV, Merzenich MM, 1998 Cortical plasticity: from synapses to maps. *Annual Review of Neuroscience* 21, 149–186.
- Calder AJ, Young AW, 2005 Understanding the recognition of facial identity and facial expression. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 6, 641–651. [PubMed: 16062171]
- Draganski B, Gaser C, Busch V, Schuierer G, Bogdahn U, May A, 2004 Neuroplasticity: changes in grey matter induced by training. *Nature* 427, 311–312. [PubMed: 14737157]
- Eack SM, Hogarty GE, Cho RY, Prasad KM, Greenwald DP, Hogarty SS, Keshavan MS, 2010 Neuroprotective effects of cognitive enhancement therapy against gray matter loss in early schizophrenia: results from a 2-year randomized controlled trial. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 67, 674–682. [PubMed: 20439824]
- Ekman P, 2003 *Micro Expressions Training Tool and The Subtle Expressions Training Tool (METT AND SETT)*. MOZGO Media, Venice, CA.
- Ekman P, Matsumoto D, 1993 *Japanese and Caucasian Facial Expressions of Emotion (JACFEE)*, San Francisco, CA.
- First MB, Spitzer RL, Gibbon M, Williams JBW, 2002 *Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV-TR Axis I Disorders, Research Version, Patient Edition. (SCID-I/P)*. Biometrics Research, New York State Psychiatric Institute, New York.
- Fisher M, Holland C, Merzenich MM, Vinogradov S, 2009 Using neuroplasticity-based auditory training to improve verbal memory in schizophrenia. *The American Journal of Psychiatry* 166, 805–811. [PubMed: 19448187]
- Goelevena E, De Raedt R, Leymana L, Verschuere B, 2008 The Karolinska Directed Emotional Faces: A validation study. *Cognition & Emotion* 22, 1094–1118.
- Green MF, 2007 Stimulating the development of drug treatments to improve cognition in schizophrenia. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology* 3, 159–180.
- Gur RC, Sara R, Hagendoorn M, Marom O, Hughett P, Macy L, Turner T, Bajcsy R, Posner A, Gur RE, 2002 A method for obtaining 3-dimensional facial expressions and its standardization for use in neurocognitive studies. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods* 115, 137–143. [PubMed: 11992665]
- Gur RE, Keshavan MS, Lawrie SM, 2007a Deconstructing psychosis with human brain imaging. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 33, 921–931. [PubMed: 17548845]

- Gur RE, Loughhead J, Kohler CG, Elliott MA, Lesko K, Ruparel K, Wolf DH, Bilker WB, Gur RC, 2007b Limbic activation associated with misidentification of fearful faces and flat affect in schizophrenia. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 64, 1356–1366. [PubMed: 18056543]
- Habel U, Chechko N, Pauly K, Koch K, Backes V, Seiferth N, Shah NJ, Stocker T, Schneider F, Kellermann T, 2010a Neural correlates of emotion recognition in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research* 122, 113–123. [PubMed: 20663646]
- Habel U, Koch K, Kellermann T, Reske M, Frommann N, Wolwer W, Zilles K, Shah NJ, Schneider F, 2010b Training of affect recognition in schizophrenia: Neurobiological correlates. *Social Neuroscience* 5, 92–104. [PubMed: 19821187]
- Hales RE, Yudofsky SC, 2002 *The American Psychiatric Publishing Textbook of Clinical Psychiatry*, Fourth Edition American Psychiatric Publishing, Inc., Washington, D.C.
- Haut KM, Lim KO, MacDonald A 3rd, 2010 Prefrontal cortical changes following cognitive training in patients with chronic schizophrenia: effects of practice, generalization, and specificity. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 35, 1850–1859. [PubMed: 20428109]
- Heberlein AS, Padon AA, Gillihan SJ, Farah MJ, Fellows LK, 2008 Ventromedial frontal lobe plays a critical role in facial emotion recognition. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 20, 721–733. [PubMed: 18052791]
- Holt DJ, Weiss AP, Rauch SL, Wright CI, Zalesak M, Goff DC, Ditman T, Welsh RC, Heckers S, 2005 Sustained activation of the hippocampus in response to fearful faces in schizophrenia. *Biological Psychiatry* 57, 1011–1019. [PubMed: 15860342]
- Hooker C, Park S, 2002 Emotion processing and its relationship to social functioning in schizophrenia patients. *Psychiatry Research* 112, 41–50. [PubMed: 12379449]
- Hooker CI, Bruce L, Fisher M, Verosky SC, Miyakawa A, Vinogradov S, 2012 Neural activity during emotion recognition after combined cognitive plus social cognitive training in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research* 139, 53–59. [PubMed: 22695257]
- Hooker CI, Bruce L, Lincoln SH, Fisher M, Vinogradov S, 2011 Theory of mind skills are related to gray matter volume in the ventromedial prefrontal cortex in schizophrenia. *Biological Psychiatry* 70, 1169–1178. [PubMed: 21917239]
- Hooker CI, Germine LT, Knight RT, D'Esposito M, 2006 Amygdala response to facial expressions reflects emotional learning. *Journal of Neuroscience* 26, 8915–8922. [PubMed: 16943547]
- Hooker CI, Verosky SC, Miyakawa A, Knight RT, D'Esposito M, 2008 The influence of personality on neural mechanisms of observational fear and reward learning. *Neuropsychologia* 46, 2709–2724. [PubMed: 18573512]
- Kay SR, Opler LA, Fiszbein A, 1987 The positive and negative syndrome rating scale (PANSS) for schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 45, 20–31.
- Keefe RS, Bilder RM, Davis SM, Harvey PD, Palmer BW, Gold JM, Meltzer HY, Green MF, Capuano G, Stroup TS, McEvoy JP, Swartz MS, Rosenheck RA, Perkins DO, Davis CE, Hsiao JK, Lieberman JA, 2007 Neurocognitive effects of antipsychotic medications in patients with chronic schizophrenia in the CATIE Trial. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 64, 633–647. [PubMed: 17548746]
- Klingberg T, 2010 Training and plasticity of working memory. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 14, 317–324. [PubMed: 20630350]
- Kurtz MM, Richardson CL, 2011 Social Cognitive Training for Schizophrenia: A Meta-Analytic Investigation of Controlled Research. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*.
- Li H, Chan RC, McAlonan GM, Gong QY, 2010 Facial emotion processing in schizophrenia: a meta-analysis of functional neuroimaging data. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 36, 1029–1039. [PubMed: 19336391]
- Mayer JD, Salovey P, Caruso DR, Sitarenios G, 2003 Measuring emotional intelligence with the MSCEIT V2.0. *Emotion* 3, 97–105. [PubMed: 12899321]
- Namiki C, Hirao K, Yamada M, Hanakawa T, Fukuyama H, Hayashi T, Murai T, 2007 Impaired facial emotion recognition and reduced amygdalar volume in schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Research* 156, 23–32. [PubMed: 17728113]
- Nuechterlein KH, Green MF, Kern RS, Baade LE, Barch DM, Cohen JD, Essock S, Fenton WS, Frese FJ 3rd, Gold JM, Goldberg T, Heaton RK, Keefe RS, Kraemer H, Mesholam-Gately R, Seidman

- LJ, Stover E, Weinberger DR, Young AS, Zalcman S, Marder SR, 2008 The MATRICS Consensus Cognitive Battery, Part 1: Test Selection, Reliability, and Validity. *The American Journal of Psychiatry* 165, 203–213. [PubMed: 18172019]
- Ohl FW, Scheich H, 2005 Learning-induced plasticity in animal and human auditory cortex. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* 15, 470–477. [PubMed: 16009546]
- Pessoa L, Adolphs R, 2010 Emotion processing and the amygdala: from a ‘low road’ to ‘many roads’ of evaluating biological significance. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 11, 773–783. [PubMed: 20959860]
- Pitcher D, Garrido L, Walsh V, Duchaine BC, 2008 Transcranial magnetic stimulation disrupts the perception and embodiment of facial expressions. *Journal of Neuroscience* 28, 8929–8933. [PubMed: 18768686]
- Polley DB, Steinberg EE, Merzenich MM, 2006 Perceptual learning directs auditory cortical map reorganization through top-down influences. *Journal of Neuroscience* 26, 4970–982. [PubMed: 16672673]
- Sacks S, Fisher M, Garrett C, Alexander P, Holland C, Rose D, Hooker CI, Vinogradov S, in press Combining computerized social cognitive training with neuroplasticity-based auditory training in schizophrenia. *Clinical Schizophrenia and Related Psychoses*.
- Seiferth NY, Pauly K, Kellermann T, Shah NJ, Ott G, Herpertz-Dahlmann B, Kircher T, Schneider F, Habel U, 2009 Neuronal correlates of facial emotion discrimination in early onset schizophrenia. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 34, 477–487. [PubMed: 18580874]
- Subramaniam K, Luks TL, Fisher M, Simpson GV, Nagarajan S, Vinogradov S, 2012 Computerized cognitive training restores neural activity within the reality monitoring network in schizophrenia. *Neuron* 73, 842–853. [PubMed: 22365555]
- Swartz MS, Perkins DO, Stroup TS, Davis SM, Capuano G, Rosenheck RA, Reimherr F, McGee MF, Keefe RS, McEvoy JP, Hsiao JK, Lieberman JA, 2007 Effects of antipsychotic medications on psychosocial functioning in patients with chronic schizophrenia: findings from the NIMH CATIE study. *The American Journal of Psychiatry* 164, 428–436. [PubMed: 17329467]
- Vinogradov S, Fisher M, de Villers-Sidani E, 2012 Cognitive training for impaired neural systems in neuropsychiatric illness. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 37, 43–76. [PubMed: 22048465]
- Vuilleumier P, Pourtois G, 2007 Distributed and interactive brain mechanisms during emotion face perception: evidence from functional neuroimaging. *Neuropsychologia* 45, 174–194. [PubMed: 16854439]
- Wan CY, Schlaug G, 2010 Music making as a tool for promoting brain plasticity across the life span. *Neuroscientist* 16, 566–577. [PubMed: 20889966]
- Wechsler D, 1999 Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence. The Psychological Corporation, San Antonio, TX.
- Wexler BE, Anderson M, Fulbright RK, Gore JC, 2000 Preliminary evidence of improved verbal working memory performance and normalization of task-related frontal lobe activation in schizophrenia following cognitive exercises. *The American Journal of Psychiatry* 157, 1694–1697. [PubMed: 11007730]
- Williams LM, 2008 Voxel-based morphometry in schizophrenia: implications for neurodevelopmental connectivity models, cognition and affect. *Expert Review of Neurotherapeutics* 8, 1049–1065. [PubMed: 18590476]
- Wolwer W, Frommann N, Halfmann S, Piaszek A, Streit M, Gaebel W, 2005 Remediation of impairments in facial affect recognition in schizophrenia: efficacy and specificity of a new training program. *Schizophrenia Research* 80, 295–303. [PubMed: 16125367]
- Wykes T, Brammer M, Mellers J, Bray P, Reeder C, Williams C, Corner J, 2002 Effects on the brain of a psychological treatment: cognitive remediation therapy: functional magnetic resonance imaging in schizophrenia. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 181, 144–152. [PubMed: 12151286]
- Wykes T, Huddy V, Cellard C, McGurk SR, Czobor P, 2011 A meta-analysis of cognitive remediation for schizophrenia: methodology and effect sizes. *The American Journal of Psychiatry* 168, 472–485. [PubMed: 21406461]

Zhou X, Merzenich MM, 2007 Intensive training in adults refines A1 representations degraded in an early postnatal critical period. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 104, 15935–15940. [PubMed: 17895375]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Figure 1.

Group*Time interaction effects for emotion recognition (ER) of Happy, Surprise, Fear (HSurF). Color-scale represents t-values. **A)** right amygdala; and **B)** left amygdala. Bar plots show amygdala activity (percent signal change) of the contrast HSurF>baseline for each group and time-point. Scatter plots show correlations between change in amygdala activity (Post-Pre) and change in MSCEIT Perceiving Emotions (Post-Pre) across all participants. The correlation between neural activity increase and behavioral improvement was significant in right amygdala, $r=0.45$, $p<0.05$ and a trend in left amygdala, $r=0.39$, $p<0.10$.

Figure 2.

Group*Time interaction effects for emotion recognition (ER) of Happy, Surprise, Fear (HSurF). Color-scale represents t-values. **A)** right medial prefrontal cortex (MPFC); and **B)** right putamen. Bar plots show neural activity (percent signal change) for contrast HSurF>baseline for each group and time-point. Scatter plots show correlations between change in neural activity (Post-Pre) and change in MSCEIT Perceiving Emotions (Post-Pre) across all participants. The correlation between neural activity increase and behavioral improvement was significant for both the putamen, $r=0.44$, $p<0.05$ and MPFC, $r=0.43$, $p=0.05$.

Table 1

Results [Mean (SD)] for all pre and post behavioral assessments. The interaction of Group (AT+SCT versus CG) and Time (Pre versus Post training) was examined for all variables. Post-hoc comparisons were conducted on variables showing a significant interaction.

Behavioral assessment	AT+SCT Pre	AT+SCT Post	CG Pre	CG Post	Group × Time interaction
MSCEIT ^{a,b}					
Perceiving emotions ^c	88.0 (31.9)	97.6(29.1)	94.1(15.4)	92.5(19.6)	$F(1,20)=4.3, p=0.05$ $\eta_p^2 = 0.18$
Within group	Pre vs. Post: $t(10)=2.7, p=0.02$		Pre vs. Post: $t(10)=0.38, p=0.82$		
Between group	AT+SCT vs. CG: Pre $t(20)=0.57, p=0.56$ Post $t(20)=0.48, p=0.64$				
QLS–Average score	3.2(1.0)	3.3(0.69)	3.2(1.0)	3.6(1.2)	ns
MATRICES global cognition (z-score)	−0.80(0.54)	−0.65(0.69)	−0.77(0.69)	−0.78(0.77)	ns
FMRI emotion recognition task–% percent correct					
Angry (A)	54 (25)	60 (23)	68 (18)	71 (25)	ns
Disgust (D)	42 (22)	52 (26)	55 (22)	55 (22)	ns
Sad (S)	64 (16)	56 (24)	64 (25)	64 (23)	ns
Neutral (Runs 1&2)	84 (24)	88 (23)	78 (25)	89 (22)	ns
ADS total	54 (18)	56 (22)	62 (19)	63 (21)	ns
Happy(H)	81 (28)	78 (33)	87 (18)	92 (10)	ns
Surprise (Sur)	69 (15)	65 (26)	82 (16)	81 (19)	ns
Fear (F)	59 (23)	61 (27)	62 (22)	66 (22)	ns
Neutral (Runs 3&4)	88 (22)	85 (27)	91 (13)	92 (16)	ns
HSurF total	70 (18)	68 (25)	77 (14)	80 (14)	ns
All Emotions (A,D,S,H,Sur,F)	61 (17)	62 (22)	69 (14)	70 (18)	ns

ns=Non-significant.

^aOne participant in the CG group did not have pre MSCEIT data. This participant's post score was substituted for pre scores so that statistical power for the group analysis would not be lost.

^bMSCEIT scores are standardized. Population average=100; standard deviation=15.

^cRepeated Measures ANOVA with age as a covariate showed no main effect of age ($F=0.28, p=0.06$). However, the group $\times$ time interaction was no longer significant ($F=1.75, p=0.20$).

Table 2

Regions that show a significant interaction of Group (AT+SCT/CG) and Time (Pre/PostTraining). **(A)** Group $\times$ Time interactions in the expected direction [i.e. AT+SCT versus CG showed an increase in activity from pre to post training]. Effect sizes for interaction effects in hypothesized regions are reported in the legend. Multiple comparisons are corrected for within each hypothesized region (anatomically defined) by applying the small volume correction (SVC) tool in SPM8, and significant results ($p < 0.05$ with Family Wise Error correction) are designated with an asterisks (*). **(B)** Group $\times$ Time interactions in the unexpected direction [i.e. AT+SCT versus CG showed a decrease in activity from pre to post training].

Anatomical region	R/L	BA	Cluster volume in voxels/mm ³	x	y	z ^{Ω}	T value ^{β}	p value ^{ψ}	F value ^{π}
(A) Group $\times$ Time interaction in the expected direction: AT+SCT vs. CG showed an increase in activity from pre to post									
Facial emotion recognition task									
<i>Happy, surprise, fear vs. baseline</i>									
Amygdala ^{<i>a</i>}	L	34	41/328	-16	4	-20	4.35	0.000	17.22
Putamen [@]	R	-	67/536	26	-4	0	3.86	0.001	14.88
Superior frontal Gyrus-medial (MPFC) [@]	R	10	14/112	12	60	4	3.45	0.001	11.89
Nucleus basalis	R	-	13/104	-10	-2	-6	3.40	0.002	11.55
Amygdala ^{<i>a</i>}	R	34	32/256	24	6	-16	3.28	0.002	10.75
Gyrus rectus/medial orbitofrontal cortex	R	11	43/344	6	20	-20	3.21	0.002	10.29
<i>Angry, sad, disgust vs. baseline</i>									
Precentral gyrus	L	6	51/408	-4	-2	72	3.79	0.001	14.34
(B) Group $\times$ Time interaction in the unexpected direction: AT+SCT vs. CG showed a decrease in activity from pre to post									
Facial emotion recognition task									
<i>Happy, surprise, fear vs. baseline</i>									
Thalamus-Pulvinar	L	-	92/736	-6	-20	6	4.23	0.000	17.91
cluster extends bilaterally	R	-		6	-22	6	3.45	0.001	11.94
Middle frontal gyrus		45, 46	153/1224	-48	36	34	3.99	0.000	13.98
<i>Angry, sad, disgust vs. baseline</i>									
No significant findings									

^{β} Critical T value ($p < 0.005$) is $t(19)=2.86$.

^{ψ} p value for corresponding t value.

^{π} Critical F value ($p < 0.005$) is $F(1,19)=10.07$.

^{Ω} x, y, z coordinates of peak voxel are in Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) template space.

^{*}Survives correction for multiple comparisons [Family Wise Error (FWE), $p < 0.05$] within the amygdala (anatomically defined) using small volume correction (SVC) in SPM8.

[@]SVC with in the right putamen, FWE, $p=0.07$; SVC with in the MPFC (anatomical region which combined anterior cingulate cortex and medial portion of the superior frontal gyrus) FWE, $p=0.26$.

^{*a*}Effect size $d=1.90$;

^bEffect Size $d=1.51$.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 3

Correlation coefficients for the relationship between change in neural activity (i.e. difference in activity: Post–Pre) and change in MSCEIT Perceiving Emotions subscale score (Post–Pre). A positive correlation indicates that an increase in neural activity is related to behavioral improvement in MSCEIT Perceiving Emotions score. All correlations are controlling for the effect of age.

	All participants, N=22	AT+SCT, N=11	CG, N=11
Neural activity change for emotion recognition of <i>happy, surprise, fear</i> correlated with change in MSCEIT perceiving emotions			
Left amygdala(–16, 4, –20)	0.39 [#]	0.59 [#]	–0.44
Right amygdala (24, 6, –16)	0.45 [*]	0.84 [*]	–0.38
Right putamen (26, –4, 0)	0.44 [*]	0.25	0.17
Medial prefrontal cortex (12, 60, 4)	0.43 [*]	0.53	–0.19

* Correlation is significant at $p < 0.05$ level (2-tailed).

[#] Correlation is significant at $p < 0.10$ level (2-tailed).