Effects of self-reported hearing or vision impairment on depressive symptoms: a population-based longitudinal study

J. H. Han^{1,2}, H. J. Lee^{3,4*}, J. Jung¹ and E.-C. Park^{4,5†}

¹ Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea

² Department of Health Policy and Management, Graduate School of Public Health, Yonsei University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

³ Department of Public Health, Graduate School, Yonsei University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

⁴ Institute of Health Services Research, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea

⁵ Department of Preventive Medicine, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea

Aims. The aims of this study were to investigate the effects of either hearing, vision or dual sensory impairment on depressive symptoms and to identify subgroups that are vulnerable and significantly affected.

Methods. Data from the 2006–2014 Korean Longitudinal Study of Aging (KLoSA) were used and a total of 5832 individuals were included in this study. Depressive symptoms were assessed using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression (CES-D10) scale. Sensory impairment was assessed according to the levels of self-reported hearing or vision, which were categorised as either good (excellent, very good or good) or poor (fair or poor). The changes in hearing or vision from records of previous survey were investigated. Changes from good to poor, which indicates new onset, were defined as hearing impairment or vision impairment. Interactions of changes in hearing and vision were considered in the analysis. Dual sensory impairment was indicated when hearing impairment and vision impairment both developed at the same time. Demographic, socioeconomic and health-related factors were considered as potential confounders and were adjusted for in the generalised estimating equation model.

Results. Individuals with hearing impairment demonstrated significantly more severe depressive symptoms [$\beta = 0.434$, standard errors (S.E.) = 0.097, $p < 0.001$] than those who had good hearing. Those with vision impairment also showed significantly elevated depressive symptoms ($\beta = 0.253$, S.E. = 0.058, $p < 0.001$) than those with good vision. When the interactions between hearing and vision were considered, participants with dual sensory impairment showed significantly more severe depressive symptoms ($\beta = 0.768$, S.E. = 0.197, $p < 0.001$) than those with good hearing and vision. The effect of a single and dual sensory impairment on depressive symptoms was significant in both sexes and across age groups, except for vision impairment in male participants.

Conclusions. Hearing, vision and dual sensory impairment are significantly associated with depressive symptoms. Our results suggest that treatment or rehabilitation of either hearing or vision impairment would help prevent depression.

Received 28 August 2017; Accepted 13 January 2018; First published online 8 February 2018

Key words: Depression, dual sensory impairment, hearing loss, vision loss.

Introduction

Impaired hearing and vision are the most common conditions affecting older adults (Gopinath *et al.* 2009; Schneider *et al.* 2011; Rim *et al.* 2014; Jun *et al.* 2015). These sensory impairments have been found to be associated with physical function (Wallhagen *et al.* 2001; Crews & Campbell, 2004; Chia *et al.* 2006),

daily living (Brennan *et al.* 2005; Mikkola *et al.* 2015), mental health (Lin *et al.* 2004; Chia *et al.* 2006; Lin *et al.* 2011; Lin *et al.* 2013; Contrera *et al.* 2016) and even mortality (Lee *et al.* 2007). In addition, most studies that have investigated the effects of sensory impairment have reported an association between hearing impairment and depression (Abrams *et al.* 2006; Hallam *et al.* 2006; Evans *et al.* 2007; Ishine *et al.* 2007; Gopinath *et al.* 2009; Lee *et al.* 2010; Carlsson *et al.* 2015; Tseng *et al.* 2016), as well as between vision impairment and depression (Rovner & Ganguli, 1998; Nyman *et al.* 2010; Garin *et al.* 2014; Giloyan *et al.* 2015). However, other studies have yielded contrasting results (Pronk *et al.* 2011; Mener *et al.* 2013; Loprinzi &

† These are co-corresponding authors.

* Address for correspondence: H.J. Lee, RN, MPH, Department of Public Health, Graduate School, Yonsei University, Seoul, 50 Yonsei-ro, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul 120-752, Republic of Korea.
(Email: hjlee16@yuhs.ac)

Codey, 2014; Rim *et al.* 2014). The burden of a single sensory impairment has not been well established even in studies reporting significant associations between dual sensory impairment and depression (Lupsakko *et al.* 2002; Crews & Campbell, 2004; Harada *et al.* 2008; McDonnall, 2009; Bernabei *et al.* 2011; Kiely *et al.* 2013; Yamada *et al.* 2014). Moreover, subgroup analyses of individuals vulnerable to sensory impairment have also yielded controversial results (Ives *et al.* 1995; Tambs, 2004; Harada *et al.* 2008; Gopinath *et al.* 2009; Nachtegaal *et al.* 2009; Li *et al.* 2014). Therefore, we conducted this study to investigate the association between depressive symptoms and hearing or vision impairment in a population-based longitudinal setting. Subgroups were analysed to see whose depressive symptoms were significantly affected by sensory impairment. The effect of additional sensory impairment to pre-existing sensory impairment was also investigated.

Methods

Participants

The Korean Longitudinal Study of Aging (KLoSA) is a nationally representative longitudinal survey that was initiated in 2006 and has been conducted every other year since. Households are selected using a multistage stratified probability sampling method based on geographical areas. Respondents aged ≥ 45 years were interviewed using computer-assisted personal interviewing methods. The questionnaires include items on demographics, family and social networks, physical and mental health, employment and retirement, income, and wealth. A total of 10 254 respondents were enrolled in 2006, and 8688, 7920, 7486 and 7029 participants were followed in the second to fifth waves of the KLoSA, respectively. We selected individuals with eligible data for hearing and vision who were not diagnosed with depression in 2006. Finally, 5832 individuals were included in this study.

Self-reported hearing and vision

Study participants were asked to report their perception of hearing and vision in all waves of the KLoSA. The level of self-reported hearing and vision were evaluated with a five-point scale (excellent, very good, good, fair or poor) and categorised as good (excellent, very good or good) or poor (fair or poor). This type of self-reported assessment and categorisation is also used in the analysis of English Longitudinal Study of Ageing (Chou, 2008). Based on the assessments, either hearing or vision changes from that recorded in the previous survey were investigated to record the onset of sensory

impairment. Changes from good to poor, which indicates new onset, were defined as hearing impairment or vision impairment. No change in poor sensory function was regarded as a pre-existing sensory impairment. When the hearing and vision impairment were found to develop at the same time, it was defined as dual sensory impairment. All the study participants were asked to report their use of hearing aid or spectacles.

Depressive symptoms

Depressive symptoms were assessed using the shortened Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression (CES-D10) scale (Radloff, 1977). The shortened CES-D10 scale consists of ten items listed in the 20-item original version by establishing item-total correlations and eliminating redundant items (Andresen *et al.* 1994). The CES-D10 scale has shown good predictive accuracy when compared with its full-length 20-item version. The time frame for assessing depressive symptoms was 7 days prior to the interview. Scores for depressive symptoms were treated as a continuous measure ranging from 0 to 10, while scores higher than three points indicated depressive disorder at baseline (Irwin *et al.* 1999).

Other covariates

We included the following potential confounding factors in the analysis: sex, age (45–64, ≥ 65 years), education (≤ 6 , 6–12, >12 years), marital status (married, divorced or bereaved, unmarried), economic activity (employed, unemployed), household income (in quartiles) and social isolation (not isolated, isolated). Participants who maintained contact with friends or family members less frequently than once a month were regarded as isolated (Steptoe *et al.* 2013). Health-related factors such as regular exercise (0 times/week, 1–3 times/week, 4–7 times/week), body mass index (≤ 18.5 , 18.5–23, ≥ 23 kg/m²), smoking status (never, former, current), alcohol consumption (never, former, current), hypertension, diabetes mellitus, cerebrovascular disease, cancer, chronic lung disease, arthritis and self-rated health (good, poor) were also included. Cognitive function was assessed with the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE). Based on the MMSE scores, study participants' cognition statuses were categorised as normal (24–30 points), mild cognitive impairment (19–23 points) or moderate-to-severe cognitive impairment (≤ 18 points).

Statistical analysis

A descriptive analysis of the study population was conducted at baseline. Participant characteristics

according to change in hearing or vision were analysed with the χ^2 test and Fisher's exact test. To evaluate the association between hearing or vision change and CES-D10 scores, a generalised estimating equation (GEE) model was used. The GEE model can be used to analyse longitudinal correlated data, and accounts for time variations and correlations among repeated measurements in a longitudinal study design. Since dependent variable was normally distributed, identity link function was used in the GEE model. We adjusted for all covariates including demographic, socioeconomic and health-related factors when performing the analyses. The interactions of hearing or vision changes were also analysed after adjustment for potential confounders. Subgroup analysis was performed based on sex and age; the reference groups in all subgroup analyses were individuals who still had good hearing and vision. A p -value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. The SAS software 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) was used for the data analysis.

Results

A total of 5832 individuals were included in this study and the data of the participants were analysed. The general characteristics of the study population at baseline are shown in Table 1. The mean $\pm$ standard deviation (S.D.) age of all participants was 59.9 ± 10.4 years, and there were 2786 male and 3046 female participants. The mean CES-D10 scores of all participants at baseline was $1.4 (\pm 1.0)$.

The participant characteristics were analysed according to changes in the self-reported hearing and vision from the previous survey (Table 2). There were 5298 participants who reported no change from good hearing, 224 reported a change from good to poor (hearing impairment), 200 reported a change from poor to good and 110 reported no change in poor hearing. In terms of self-reported vision, 3926 reported no change in good vision, 791 reported a change from good to poor (vision impairment), 662 reported a change from poor to good and 453 reported no change in poor vision.

After adjustment for time and covariates in the GEE model, the CES-D10 scores of individuals with hearing impairment were significantly higher [$\beta = 0.434$, standard error (S.E.) = 0.097, $p < 0.001$] than those of individuals reporting no change in good hearing (Table 3). However, association between hearing aid use and CES-D10 scores was not significant ($\beta = 0.109$, S.E. = 0.146, $p = 0.453$). Vision impairment also resulted in significantly increased CES-D10 scores ($\beta = 0.253$, S.E. = 0.058, $p < 0.001$) when compared with no change

in good vision. Although participants reporting no change in poor hearing did not show a significant increase in CES-D10 scores ($\beta = 0.132$, S.E. = 0.169, $p = 0.435$), those who reported no change in poor vision demonstrated significantly increased CES-D10 scores ($\beta = 0.295$, S.E. = 0.082, $p < 0.001$). Association between spectacles use and CES-D10 scores was not significant ($\beta = -0.077$, S.E. = 0.049, $p = 0.114$).

When compared with no change in good hearing and good vision, single impairment resulted in significantly increased CES-D10 scores (hearing impairment, $\beta = 0.548$, S.E. = 0.134, $p < 0.001$; vision impairment, $\beta = 0.257$, S.E. = 0.061, $p < 0.001$). The CES-D10 scores of participants with dual sensory impairment were also significantly increased ($\beta = 0.768$, S.E. = 0.197, $p < 0.001$), and the estimated coefficient was higher than those for single sensory impairments (Table 4).

The effects of hearing impairment on CES-D10 scores were significant in both male ($\beta = 0.422$, S.E. = 0.171, $p = 0.014$) and female participants ($\beta = 0.718$, S.E. = 0.212, $p < 0.001$). However, the effects of vision impairment were not significant in male participants ($\beta = 0.061$, S.E. = 0.088, $p = 0.493$) but were significant in female participants ($\beta = 0.402$, S.E. = 0.085, $p < 0.001$). The effects of dual sensory impairment were significant in both sexes (male participants, $\beta = 0.720$, S.E. = 0.267, $p = 0.007$; female participants, $\beta = 0.801$, S.E. = 0.290, $p = 0.006$). The effect of single sensory impairment on CES-D10 scores was significant in middle-aged adults (hearing impairment, $\beta = 0.826$, S.E. = 0.236, $p < 0.001$; vision impairment, $\beta = 0.212$, S.E. = 0.086, $p = 0.014$). In older adults, single sensory impairment was also significantly associated with CES-D10 scores (hearing impairment, $\beta = 0.476$, S.E. = 0.164, $p = 0.004$; vision impairment, $\beta = 0.339$, S.E. = 0.090, $p < 0.001$). The effects of dual sensory impairment were also significant in both age groups (middle age, $\beta = 0.952$, S.E. = 0.412, $p = 0.021$; old age, $\beta = 0.692$, S.E. = 0.222, $p = 0.002$).

Discussion

In a previous cohort study, a moderate or more severe degree of hearing impairment was associated with elevated odds ratios for depression; similar results were observed for vision impairment as well (Wallhagen *et al.* 2001). Another population-based study also demonstrated higher odds ratios for depression in participants with hearing loss, vision loss or both (Capella-McDonnell, 2005). According to other studies, vision impairment is likely to have a more significant effect on depression than hearing impairment when the interactions are considered (Crews & Campbell, 2004; Chou, 2008; Bernabei *et al.* 2011). In contrast, in another longitudinal study, hearing loss was the

Table 1. General characteristics and CES-D10 scores of the study population at baseline (2006)

Variables	N (%) (Total = 5832)	CES-D10 scores, mean ($\pm$ S.D.)	p-value
Hearing			
Good	5522 (94.7)	1.4 ($\pm$ 1.0)	<0.001
Poor	310 (5.3)	1.6 ($\pm$ 1.0)	
Vision			
Good	4717 (80.9)	1.4 ($\pm$ 1.0)	<0.001
Poor	1115 (19.1)	1.5 ($\pm$ 1.0)	
Hearing aid use			
No	5639 (96.7)	1.4 ($\pm$ 1.0)	0.004
Yes	193 (3.3)	1.6 ($\pm$ 0.9)	
Spectacles use			
No	4393 (75.3)	1.4 ($\pm$ 1.0)	0.949
Yes	1439 (24.7)	1.4 ($\pm$ 1.0)	
Sex			
Male	2786 (47.8)	1.4 ($\pm$ 1.0)	0.001
Female	3046 (52.2)	1.4 ($\pm$ 1.0)	
Age (years)			
45–64	3897 (66.8)	1.3 ($\pm$ 1.0)	<0.001
≥ 65	1935 (33.2)	1.5 ($\pm$ 1.0)	
Education (years)			
>12	2580 (44.2)	1.3 ($\pm$ 1.0)	<0.001
6–12	995 (17.1)	1.4 ($\pm$ 1.0)	
≤ 6	2254 (38.6)	1.5 ($\pm$ 1.0)	
Marital status			
Married	4949 (84.9)	1.4 ($\pm$ 1.0)	<0.001
Divorced or widowed	854 (14.6)	1.6 ($\pm$ 1.0)	
Single	29 (0.5)	1.3 ($\pm$ 1.0)	
Economic activity			
Employed	2652 (45.5)	1.3 ($\pm$ 1.0)	<0.001
Unemployed	3180 (54.5)	1.5 ($\pm$ 1.0)	
Household income			
First quartile (high)	1208 (20.7)	1.3 ($\pm$ 1.0)	<0.001
Second quartile	1774 (30.4)	1.4 ($\pm$ 1.0)	
Third quartile	1330 (22.8)	1.4 ($\pm$ 1.0)	
Fourth quartile (low)	1147 (19.7)	1.6 ($\pm$ 0.9)	
Social isolation			
Not isolated	5025 (86.2)	1.4 ($\pm$ 1.0)	0.003
Isolated	807 (13.8)	1.3 ($\pm$ 1.1)	
Regular exercise			
0/week	3330 (57.1)	1.5 ($\pm$ 1.0)	<0.001
1–3/week	1016 (17.4)	1.3 ($\pm$ 1.0)	
4–7/week	1478 (25.3)	1.3 ($\pm$ 1.0)	
BMI (kg/m^2)			
18.5–23	2444 (41.9)	1.4 ($\pm$ 1.0)	0.007
≥ 23	3107 (53.3)	1.4 ($\pm$ 1.0)	
≤ 18.5	188 (3.2)	1.6 ($\pm$ 1.0)	
Smoking status			
Never	4085 (70.0)	1.4 ($\pm$ 1.0)	0.017
Former smoker	590 (10.1)	1.4 ($\pm$ 1.0)	
Current smoker	1156 (19.8)	1.3 ($\pm$ 1.0)	

Continued

Table 1. Continued

Variables	N (%) (Total = 5832)	CES-D10 scores, mean ($\pm$ S.D.)	p-value
Alcohol use			
Never	3080 (52.8)	1.4 ($\pm$ 1.0)	0.001
Former user	314 (5.4)	1.5 ($\pm$ 1.0)	
Current user	2438 (41.8)	1.4 ($\pm$ 1.0)	
Hypertension			
Yes	1410 (24.2)	1.5 ($\pm$ 1.0)	<0.001
No	4422 (75.8)	1.4 ($\pm$ 1.0)	
Diabetes			
Yes	578 (9.9)	1.5 ($\pm$ 1.0)	0.035
No	5254 (90.1)	1.4 ($\pm$ 1.0)	
Cerebrovascular disease			
Yes	95 (1.6)	1.6 ($\pm$ 1.0)	0.015
No	5724 (98.1)	1.4 ($\pm$ 1.0)	
Cancer			
Yes	100 (1.7)	1.5 ($\pm$ 1.0)	0.352
No	5732 (98.3)	1.4 ($\pm$ 1.0)	
Arthritis			
Yes	684 (11.7)	1.6 ($\pm$ 1.0)	<0.001
No	5148 (88.3)	1.4 ($\pm$ 1.0)	
Self-rated health			
Good	4758 (81.6)	1.3 ($\pm$ 1.0)	<0.001
Poor	1074 (18.4)	1.7 ($\pm$ 1.0)	
MMSE			
Normal	4778 (81.9)	1.3 ($\pm$ 1.0)	<0.001
Mild cognitive impairment	707 (12.1)	1.6 ($\pm$ 0.9)	
Moderate-to-severe cognitive impairment	268 (4.6)	1.8 ($\pm$ 1.0)	

BMI, body mass index; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; CES-D10, Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression scale – ten items; S.D., standard deviation.

main driver of the association between dual sensory impairment and increased depressive symptoms, whereas impaired visual function was not (Kiely *et al.* 2013). In our study, the results are consistent with those from the study performed by Capella-McDonnall (2005), which also used self-reported assessment for sensory impairment. In her study, Capella-McDonnall reported that participants with dual sensory loss, vision loss only or hearing loss only had higher odds of depressive symptoms compared with those with no sensory loss. Moreover, she demonstrated that dual sensory loss had more significant effect on depressive symptoms than hearing loss only.

One reason for the varying results among previous studies might have been caused by the differing characteristics of study populations investigated. The

Table 2. CES-D10 scores of the study population according to self-reported hearing and vision changes (2006–2008)

Variables	Change of hearing					Change of vision				
	Good → good (<i>n</i> = 5,298)	Good → poor (<i>n</i> = 224)	Poor → good (<i>n</i> = 200)	Poor → poor (<i>n</i> = 110)	<i>p</i> -value	Good → good (<i>n</i> = 3,926)	Good → poor (<i>n</i> = 791)	Poor → good (<i>n</i> = 662)	Poor → poor (<i>n</i> = 453)	<i>p</i> -value
Sex										
Male	2.5 (±2.5)	4.2 (±2.8)	2.9 (±3.0)	3.9 (±2.8)	<0.001	2.4 (±2.5)	3.4 (±2.9)	2.7 (±2.6)	3.3 (±3.0)	<0.001
Female	3.1 (±2.7)	4.9 (±2.9)	4.1 (±3.2)	4.8 (±2.8)	<0.001	2.9 (±2.6)	4.1 (±2.9)	3.0 (±2.8)	4.3 (±3.0)	<0.001
Age (years)										
45–64	2.6 (±2.5)	3.3 (±2.4)	2.2 (±2.5)	1.2 (±1.7)	0.016	2.5 (±2.4)	3.2 (±2.7)	2.5 (±2.5)	2.8 (±2.8)	<0.001
≥ 65	3.4 (±2.8)	4.8 (±2.9)	4.0 (±3.2)	4.7 (±2.7)	<0.001	3.2 (±2.7)	4.3 (±3.0)	3.3 (±2.8)	4.4 (±3.0)	<0.001
Education (years)										
>12	2.4 (±2.4)	3.0 (±2.3)	2.6 (±2.9)	3.6 (±3.0)	0.050	2.3 (±2.3)	3.0 (±2.7)	2.4 (±2.3)	3.1 (±2.9)	<0.001
6–12	2.8 (±2.6)	4.0 (±3.2)	2.6 (±2.9)	3.8 (±3.0)	0.064	2.6 (±2.5)	3.8 (±2.9)	2.5 (±2.7)	3.9 (±3.2)	<0.001
≤ 6	3.4 (±2.8)	5.2 (±2.8)	4.1 (±3.2)	4.4 (±2.8)	<0.001	3.3 (±2.8)	4.4 (±3.0)	3.3 (±2.8)	4.2 (±3.0)	<0.001
Marital status										
Married	2.7 (±2.6)	4.2 (±2.8)	2.9 (±3.0)	3.7 (±2.7)	<0.001	2.6 (±2.5)	3.5 (±2.8)	2.7 (±2.6)	3.6 (±3.0)	<0.001
Divorced or widowed	3.7 (±2.9)	5.3 (±2.8)	4.6 (±3.2)	5.6 (±2.8)	<0.001	3.4 (±2.8)	4.9 (±3.0)	3.5 (±3.0)	4.7 (±2.8)	<0.001
Single	2.8 (±2.8)	.	7.5 (±0.7)	.	0.026	2.9 (±2.9)	3.0 (±4.2)	5.7 (±3.2)	1.5 (±0.7)	0.419
Economic activity										
Employed	2.4 (±2.4)	4.1 (±2.5)	2.3 (±2.7)	3.1 (±2.8)	<0.001	2.3 (±2.3)	2.9 (±2.6)	2.4 (±2.3)	3.0 (±2.7)	<0.001
Unemployed	3.3 (±2.8)	4.7 (±3.0)	4.0 (±3.2)	4.6 (±2.8)	<0.001	3.1 (±2.7)	4.3 (±3.0)	3.2 (±2.9)	4.3 (±3.0)	<0.001
Household income										
First quartile (high)	2.4 (±2.4)	4.3 (±3.1)	3.1 (±2.8)	5.1 (±3.0)	<0.001	2.3 (±2.3)	3.6 (±2.9)	2.4 (±2.4)	2.9 (±2.8)	<0.001
Second quartile	2.8 (±2.6)	4.3 (±2.6)	2.9 (±3.0)	3.2 (±2.9)	<0.001	2.7 (±2.5)	3.4 (±2.8)	2.7 (±2.6)	3.8 (±2.9)	<0.001
Third quartile	3.4 (±2.8)	4.7 (±2.8)	4.2 (±3.4)	4.2 (±2.6)	<0.001	3.2 (±2.7)	4.3 (±3.0)	3.6 (±2.8)	4.1 (±3.0)	<0.001
Fourth quartile (low)	3.7 (±3.0)	4.8 (±3.1)	4.1 (±3.3)	5.0 (±2.7)	0.077	3.7 (±3.0)	4.2 (±3.0)	3.1 (±2.8)	4.6 (±3.1)	0.023
Social isolation										
Not isolated	2.7 (±2.6)	4.1 (±2.8)	3.1 (±3.1)	3.9 (±2.8)	<0.001	2.6 (±2.5)	3.5 (±2.9)	2.7 (±2.6)	3.6 (±3.0)	<0.001
Isolated	4.1 (±2.8)	6.4 (±2.3)	5.3 (±3.0)	5.2 (±2.7)	<0.001	3.8 (±2.6)	5.6 (±2.7)	4.2 (±2.8)	5.5 (±2.9)	<0.001
Regular exercise										
0/week	3.1 (±2.7)	5.1 (±2.8)	3.9 (±3.3)	4.5 (±2.9)	<0.001	2.9 (±2.7)	4.3 (±3.0)	3.1 (±2.8)	4.3 (±3.0)	<0.001
1–3/week	2.3 (±2.2)	3.5 (±2.8)	2.0 (±2.2)	3.5 (±2.5)	0.031	2.1 (±2.1)	2.8 (±2.6)	2.6 (±2.3)	3.0 (±2.9)	<0.001

Continued

Table 2. Continued

Variables	Change of hearing					Change of vision				
	Good → good (n = 5.298)	Good → poor (n = 224)	Poor → good (n = 200)	Poor → poor (n = 110)	p-value	Good → good (n = 3.926)	Good → poor (n = 791)	Poor → good (n = 662)	Poor → poor (n = 453)	p-value
4–7/week	2.7 (±2.5)	3.1 (±2.6)	2.6 (±2.8)	3.6 (±2.9)	0.373	2.6 (±2.5)	3.2 (±2.7)	2.5 (±2.5)	3.1 (±2.9)	0.005
BMI (kg/m ²)										
18.5–23	2.9 (±2.6)	4.7 (±3.0)	3.7 (±3.1)	4.5 (±2.6)	<0.001	2.7 (±2.5)	4.1 (±3.0)	2.9 (±2.7)	3.9 (±2.9)	<0.001
≥ 23	2.8 (±2.6)	4.0 (±2.7)	3.1 (±3.1)	3.4 (±3.0)	<0.001	2.7 (±2.5)	3.5 (±2.9)	2.8 (±2.6)	3.7 (±3.0)	<0.001
≤ 18.5	3.4 (±2.8)	5.9 (±2.3)	4.3 (±3.6)	5.1 (±3.2)	<0.001	3.3 (±2.8)	4.8 (±3.1)	3.1 (±2.6)	4.6 (±3.1)	0.013
Smoking status										
Never	2.9 (±2.7)	4.7 (±2.9)	3.7 (±3.2)	4.7 (±2.8)	<0.001	2.8 (±2.6)	4.0 (±2.9)	2.9 (±2.7)	3.9 (±3.0)	<0.001
Former smoker	2.7 (±2.6)	4.4 (±2.8)	2.8 (±2.9)	3.6 (±2.9)	0.001	2.5 (±2.4)	3.8 (±3.0)	2.8 (±2.6)	3.7 (±3.0)	<0.001
Current smoker	2.6 (±2.6)	4.1 (±2.7)	3.3 (±3.3)	3.4 (±2.7)	<0.001	2.5 (±2.5)	3.0 (±2.8)	2.8 (±2.6)	3.9 (±3.0)	<0.001
Alcohol use										
Never	3.1 (±2.7)	4.6 (±2.9)	4.0 (±3.2)	4.6 (±2.8)	<0.001	3.0 (±2.7)	4.2 (±3.0)	2.9 (±2.7)	4.1 (±3.0)	<0.001
Former user	3.1 (±2.8)	5.0 (±2.9)	4.5 (±3.3)	4.1 (±2.7)	<0.001	2.8 (±2.6)	4.5 (±2.8)	3.7 (±3.1)	4.8 (±2.9)	<0.001
Current user	2.4 (±2.4)	4.2 (±2.8)	2.3 (±2.7)	3.9 (±3.0)	<0.001	2.4 (±2.4)	3.0 (±2.6)	2.6 (±2.6)	3.0 (±2.8)	<0.001
Hypertension										
Yes	3.2 (±2.8)	4.8 (±2.9)	4.1 (±3.4)	4.4 (±2.9)	<0.001	3.0 (±2.7)	4.5 (±3.0)	3.0 (±2.8)	4.1 (±3.0)	<0.001
No	2.7 (±2.6)	4.4 (±2.8)	3.0 (±3.0)	4.2 (±2.8)	<0.001	2.6 (±2.5)	3.5 (±2.8)	2.8 (±2.6)	3.7 (±3.0)	<0.001
Diabetes										
Yes	3.5 (±2.8)	4.8 (±2.8)	4.2 (±3.1)	4.7 (±2.7)	0.007	3.2 (±2.7)	4.7 (±3.1)	3.1 (±2.8)	4.3 (±3.0)	<0.001
No	2.8 (±2.6)	4.5 (±2.9)	3.4 (±3.2)	4.2 (±2.9)	<0.001	2.6 (±2.5)	3.6 (±2.9)	2.8 (±2.7)	3.8 (±3.0)	<0.001
Cerebrovascular disease										
Yes	4.4 (±3.0)	5.4 (±2.9)	6.1 (±3.8)	5.8 (±3.3)	0.182	4.1 (±2.8)	5.7 (±3.1)	3.8 (±3.4)	6.0 (±3.3)	0.010
No	2.8 (±2.6)	4.5 (±2.9)	3.3 (±3.0)	4.2 (±2.8)	<0.001	2.7 (±2.5)	3.7 (±2.9)	2.9 (±2.7)	3.8 (±3.0)	<0.001
Cancer										
Yes	3.8 (±2.9)	7.0 (±2.2)	5.1 (±3.2)	5.3 (±2.7)	0.052	3.4 (±2.8)	5.5 (±2.7)	3.9 (±2.7)	5.5 (±3.1)	0.003
No	2.8 (±2.6)	4.5 (±2.9)	3.4 (±3.1)	4.2 (±2.8)	<0.001	2.7 (±2.5)	3.8 (±2.9)	2.8 (±2.7)	3.8 (±3.0)	<0.001
Arthritis										
Yes	3.8 (±2.8)	5.1 (±2.9)	4.3 (±3.4)	4.5 (±2.8)	0.003	3.4 (±2.8)	4.5 (±2.9)	3.8 (±2.9)	4.8 (±2.7)	<0.001
No	2.7 (±2.6)	4.3 (±2.8)	3.2 (±3.1)	4.2 (±2.9)	<0.001	2.6 (±2.5)	3.6 (±2.9)	2.7 (±2.6)	3.5 (±3.1)	<0.001
Hearing aid use										
Yes	2.5 (±2.4)	3.4 (±2.6)	2.5 (±2.7)	3.1 (±2.8)	0.001	2.5 (±2.4)	2.8 (±2.5)	2.4 (±2.4)	2.8 (±2.6)	0.016
No	4.4 (±2.9)	5.4 (±2.8)	5.2 (±3.1)	5.1 (±2.6)	<0.001	4.0 (±2.8)	5.4 (±2.9)	4.5 (±2.9)	5.0 (±3.0)	<0.001
Spectacles use										
Yes	3.2 (±3.0)	4.6 (±3.2)	3.8 (±2.8)	5.1 (±2.4)	0.088	3.4 (±2.8)	3.8 (±3.5)	3.4 (±2.8)	4.7 (±3.1)	0.422
No	2.8 (±2.6)	4.5 (±2.8)	3.4 (±3.2)	4.1 (±2.9)	<0.001	2.7 (±2.5)	3.8 (±2.9)	2.9 (±2.7)	3.8 (±3.0)	<0.001

Table 3. Results of generalised estimating equation for changes of hearing, vision and other covariates

Variables	CES-D10 scores		
	β	S.E.	p-value
Change of hearing			
Good → good	Ref.		
Good → poor	0.434	0.097	<0.001
Poor → good	0.069	0.106	0.513
Poor → poor	0.132	0.169	0.435
Hearing aid user	0.109	0.146	0.453
Change of vision			
Good → good	Ref.		
Good → poor	0.253	0.058	<0.001
Poor → good	−0.022	0.057	0.700
Poor → poor	0.295	0.082	<0.001
Spectacles user	−0.077	0.049	0.114
Time (every 2 years)	−0.145	0.015	<0.001
Sex			
Male	Ref.		
Female	0.005	0.077	0.950
Age (years)			
45–64	Ref.		
≥65	−0.004	0.056	0.940
Education (years)			
>12	Ref.		
6–12	0.124	0.072	0.086
≤6	0.138	0.067	0.039
Marital status			
Married	Ref.		
Divorced or widowed	0.416	0.077	<0.001
Single	0.336	0.489	0.492
Economic activity			
Employed	Ref.		
Unemployed	0.415	0.049	<0.001
Household income			
First quartile (high)	Ref.		
Second quartile	0.115	0.049	0.019
Third quartile	0.301	0.065	<0.001
Fourth quartile (low)	0.135	0.101	0.180
Social isolation			
Not isolated	Ref.		
Isolated	1.110	0.069	<0.001
Regular exercise			
0/week	Ref.		
1–3/week	−0.294	0.052	<0.001
4–7/week	−0.208	0.047	<0.001
BMI (kg/m ²)			
18.5–23	Ref.		
≥23	−0.085	0.044	0.057
≤18.5	0.111	0.114	0.330
Smoking status			
Never	Ref.		
Former smoker	0.075	0.082	0.360
Current smoker	0.032	0.081	0.697

Continued

Table 3. Continued

Variables	CES-D10 scores		
	β	S.E.	p-value
Alcohol use			
Never	Ref.		
Former user	0.319	0.087	<0.001
Current user	−0.096	0.061	0.113
Hypertension	−0.013	0.054	0.808
Diabetes	0.081	0.071	0.258
Cerebrovascular disease	0.590	0.126	<0.001
Cancer	0.419	0.112	<0.001
Chronic lung disease	0.091	0.172	0.599
Arthritis	0.190	0.070	0.006
Self-rated health			
Good	Ref.		
Poor	0.487	0.043	<0.001
MMSE			
Normal	Ref.		
Mild cognitive impairment	0.642	0.061	<0.001
Moderate-to-severe cognitive impairment	1.080	0.100	<0.001

BMI, body mass index; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; CES-D10, Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression scale – ten items; β , estimated coefficient; S.E., standard error; Ref., reference.

The effects of change in hearing or vision on depressive symptoms were analysed after adjustment for demographic, socio-economic and health-related factors as potential confounders.

that the change happened only in 2 years, which indicates that the differences only occurred in the previous survey. Being in a poor sensory impairment without intervention, especially in dual sensory impairment, would aggravate depressive symptoms over time and lead to the development of clinically significant depressive symptoms in several years.

The underlying mechanisms of the association between sensory impairment and depression are not well known. The most commonly adopted aetiologies of depression are loneliness and social isolation caused by sensory deprivation (Dalton *et al.* 2003; Pronk *et al.* 2011; Chen *et al.* 2013; Steptoe *et al.* 2013). In support of the hypothesis, our results also showed that social isolation was a significant factor related to depression. The results emphasise the importance of social contact among people with impaired sensory functions.

The limitation of this study is the self-reported measurement of sensory impairment; nevertheless, we tried to use the same method as that in the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing (Chou, 2008). Although self-reported assessments of hearing or vision have been widely used, controversies regarding

Table 4. Results of generalised estimating equation for interaction between hearing and vision change

Change of hearing	Change of vision											
	Good → good			Good → poor			Poor → good			Poor → poor		
	β	S.E.	<i>p</i> -value	β	S.E.	<i>p</i> -value	β	S.E.	<i>p</i> -value	β	S.E.	<i>p</i> -value
Total (<i>n</i> = 5832)												
Good → good	Ref.			0.257	0.061	<0.001	−0.041	0.059	0.491	0.261	0.089	0.003
Good → poor	0.548	0.134	<0.001	0.768	0.197	<0.001	0.259	0.269	0.336	0.440	0.208	0.034
Poor → good	−0.075	0.148	0.614	0.000	0.284	1.000	0.429	0.239	0.073	0.396	0.211	0.060
Poor → poor	−0.321	0.243	0.188	0.184	0.315	0.559	−0.165	0.347	0.634	1.038	0.277	<0.001
Males (<i>n</i> = 2786)												
Good → good	Ref.			0.061	0.088	0.493	0.038	0.088	0.662	0.129	0.144	0.372
Good → poor	0.422	0.171	0.014	0.720	0.267	0.007	0.268	0.351	0.446	0.526	0.306	0.086
Poor → good	−0.200	0.174	0.251	0.277	0.398	0.488	0.419	0.319	0.189	0.301	0.341	0.377
Poor → poor	−0.415	0.297	0.162	0.322	0.403	0.424	−0.412	0.435	0.345	0.713	0.334	0.033
Females (<i>n</i> = 3046)												
Good → good				0.402	0.085	<0.001	−0.090	0.080	0.263	0.341	0.112	0.002
Good → poor	0.718	0.212	<0.001	0.801	0.290	0.006	0.228	0.410	0.579	0.382	0.282	0.176
Poor → good	0.132	0.259	0.610	−0.298	0.392	0.447	0.446	0.355	0.209	0.505	0.265	0.056
Poor → poor	−0.047	0.430	0.913	−0.049	0.486	0.920	0.216	0.572	0.706	1.346	0.410	0.001
Middle age (45–64, <i>n</i> = 3897)												
Good → good	Ref.			0.212	0.086	0.014	−0.083	0.081	0.309	0.269	0.136	0.048
Good → poor	0.826	0.236	<0.001	0.952	0.412	0.021	2.097	0.670	0.002	0.527	0.404	0.191
Poor → good	0.016	0.247	0.949	−0.121	0.418	0.773	1.255	0.487	0.010	−0.372	0.547	0.497
Poor → poor	−0.392	0.465	0.399	−0.711	1.758	0.686	−0.467	1.206	0.699	−0.881	0.896	0.325
Old age (≥65, <i>n</i> = 1935)												
Good → good	Ref.			0.339	0.090	<0.001	0.003	0.087	0.977	0.258	0.117	0.027
Good → poor	0.476	0.164	0.004	0.692	0.222	0.002	−0.036	0.286	0.899	0.460	0.227	0.043
Poor → good	−0.149	0.192	0.435	0.009	0.341	0.978	0.185	0.270	0.493	0.453	0.226	0.045
Poor → poor	−0.300	0.274	0.274	0.136	0.324	0.674	−0.204	0.362	0.574	1.176	0.286	<0.001

β , estimated coefficient; S.E., standard error; Ref., reference.

The effects of interactions between change in hearing and vision on depressive symptoms were analysed after adjustment for demographic, socioeconomic and health-related factors as potential confounders.

the effect of sensory impairment on depression identified via assessment methods exist (Rovner & Ganguli, 1998; Wallhagen et al. 2001; Tambs, 2004; Capella-McDonnall, 2005; Evans et al. 2007; Ishine et al. 2007; Chou, 2008; Lee et al. 2010; Bernabei et al. 2011; Pronk et al. 2011; Zhang et al. 2013; Garin et al. 2014; Li et al. 2014; Yamada et al. 2014; Giloyan et al. 2015). The study performed by Kiely et al. (2013) is noteworthy in that they used an objective measurement of hearing and vision in a large population (Kiely et al. 2013). According to their study, either hearing loss or dual sensory loss had significant effects on depressive symptoms, whereas vision loss did not. Interestingly, this result is contrary to previous studies that investigated the association between depression and hearing or vision impairments via self-reported measurements (Crews & Campbell, 2004; Chou, 2008; Bernabei et al. 2011). One study reported a significant effect of vision impairment or dual sensory impairment on depression, but not hearing impairment. These discrepancies could be attributable to the age effects of self-report measurement (Kiely et al. 2012). Therefore, careful consideration is required when interpreting results based on self-reported measurements.

Another limitation is the lack of data, which need to be considered for sensory impairment and depression. Data on nutrition or diet quality, which are known to be associated with not only depression but also sensory impairment, were not eligible to be obtained from the KLoSA (Jacka et al. 2010; Lin et al. 2010; Gopinath et al. 2014; Muurinen et al. 2014). In addition, CES-D10 scores of participants who did not have any depressive symptoms due to taking antidepressants were also not available. Although there were 126 participants who took antidepressants from second to fifth surveys, they had to be excluded from the analysis due to their lack of CES-D10 scores.

The strength of our study is the numerous covariates included in the analysis that might be potential confounders. We considered demographic, socioeconomic and health-related factors in the analyses, according to prior studies (Chou, 2008; Huang et al. 2010; Kiely et al. 2013). In terms of underlying medical condition, elevated depressive symptoms were associated with either arthritis, cerebrovascular disease or cancer, but not with hypertension or diabetes. Self-rated health was also significantly associated with depressive symptoms, as shown in the previous study (Ambresin et al. 2014). The associations, not only with medical conditions but also with education, marital status or cognitive impairment, were consistent with those reported by (Kiely et al. 2013).

Regarding correction of sensory impairment, several studies report that hearing aids or cochlear implants have a protective effect on depression (Gopinath et al.

2009; Boi et al. 2012; Mener et al. 2013; Castiglione et al. 2016; Choi et al. 2016). Interventions such as spectacles and cataract surgery also resulted in decreased symptoms of depression (Owsley et al. 2007; Meuleners et al. 2013). Although either the use of hearing aid or spectacles were not associated with decreased depressive symptoms in our study, newly developed sensory impairment warrants attention and interventions to improve mental health.

Conclusion

We demonstrated that depressive symptoms are significantly increased in individuals with newly developed hearing or vision impairment aged ≥ 45 years when potential confounders are adjusted for. Significant effects of dual sensory impairment on depressive symptoms were also found when the interactions of hearing and vision were taken into consideration. The dual sensory impairment resulted in increased depressive symptoms across sexes and age groups. Our results suggest that more attention should be paid to people with newly developed sensory impairment to improve mental health.

Financial Support

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflicts of Interest

None.

Availability of Data and Materials

The raw data of Korean Longitudinal Study of Aging are available from the website of Korea Employment Information Service (<http://survey.keis.or.kr/>). Registration is required before to download the data.

References

- Abrams TE, Barnett MJ, Hoth A, Schultz S, Kaboli PJ (2006). The relationship between hearing impairment and depression in older veterans. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 54, 1475–1477.
- Ambresin G, Chondros P, Dowrick C, Herrman H, Gunn JM (2014). Self-rated health and long-term prognosis of depression. *Annals of Family Medicine* 12, 57–65.
- Andresen EM, Malmgren JA, Carter WB, Patrick DL (1994). Screening for depression in well older adults: evaluation of

- a short form of the CES-D (Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale). *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 10, 77–84.
- Bernabei V, Morini V, Moretti F, Marchiori A, Ferrari B, Dalmonte E, De Ronchi D, Rita Atti A** (2011). Vision and hearing impairments are associated with depressive – anxiety syndrome in Italian elderly. *Aging & Mental Health* 15, 467–474.
- Boi R, Racca L, Cavallero A, Carpaneto V, Racca M, Dall'Acqua F, Ricchetti M, Santelli A, Odetti P** (2012). Hearing loss and depressive symptoms in elderly patients. *Geriatrics & Gerontology International* 12, 440–445.
- Brennan M, Horowitz A, Su Y** (2005). Dual sensory loss and its impact on everyday competence. *The Gerontologist* 45, 337–346.
- Capella-McDonnell ME** (2005). The effects of single and dual sensory loss on symptoms of depression in the elderly. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 20, 855–861.
- Carlsson PI, Hjalldahl J, Magnuson A, Ternevall E, Eden M, Skagerstrand A, Jonsson R** (2015). Severe to profound hearing impairment: quality of life, psychosocial consequences and audiological rehabilitation. *Disability and Rehabilitation* 37, 1849–1856.
- Castiglione A, Benatti A, Velardita C, Favaro D, Padoan E, Severi D, Pagliaro M, Bovo R, Vallesi A, Gabelli C, Martini A** (2016). Aging, cognitive decline and hearing loss: effects of auditory rehabilitation and training with hearing aids and cochlear implants on cognitive function and depression among older adults. *Audiology and Neuro-Otology* 21 (Suppl. 1), 21–28.
- Chen J, Liang J, Ou J, Cai W** (2013). Mental health in adults with sudden sensorineural hearing loss: an assessment of depressive symptoms and its correlates. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research* 75, 72–74.
- Chia E, Mitchell P, Rochtchina E, Foran S, Golding M, Wang JJ** (2006). Association between vision and hearing impairments and their combined effects on quality of life. *Archives of Ophthalmology* 124, 1465–1470.
- Choi JS, Betz J, Li L, Blake CR, Sung YK, Contrera KJ, Lin FR** (2016). Association of using hearing aids or cochlear implants with changes in depressive symptoms in older adults. *JAMA Otolaryngology – Head & Neck Surgery* 142, 652–657.
- Chou KL** (2008). Combined effect of vision and hearing impairment on depression in older adults: evidence from the English longitudinal study of ageing. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 106, 191–196.
- Contrera KJ, Betz J, Deal JA, Choi JS, Ayonayon HN, Harris T, Helzner E, Martin KR, Mehta K, Pratt S, Rubin SM, Satterfield S, Yaffe K, Garcia M, Simonsick EM, Lin FR, Health ABCS** (2016). Association of hearing impairment and emotional vitality in older adults. *Journals of Gerontology Series B-Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences* 71, 400–404.
- Crews JE, Campbell VA** (2004). Vision impairment and hearing loss among community-dwelling older Americans: implications for health and functioning. *American Journal of Public Health* 94, 823–829.
- Dalton DS, Cruickshanks KJ, Klein BE, Klein R, Wiley TL, Nondahl DM** (2003). The impact of hearing loss on quality of life in older adults. *The Gerontologist* 43, 661–668.
- Evans JR, Fletcher AE, Wormald RP** (2007). Depression and anxiety in visually impaired older people. *Ophthalmology* 114, 283–288.
- Garin N, Olaya B, Lara E, Moneta MV, Miret M, Ayuso-Mateos JL, Haro JM** (2014). Visual impairment and multimorbidity in a representative sample of the Spanish population. *BMC Public Health* 14, 815.
- Giloyan A, Harutyunyan T, Petrosyan V** (2015). Visual impairment and depression among socially vulnerable older adults in Armenia. *Aging & Mental Health* 19, 175–181.
- Gopinath B, Wang JJ, Schneider J, Burlutsky G, Snowdon J, McMahon CM, Leeder SR, Mitchell P** (2009). Depressive symptoms in older adults with hearing impairments: the blue mountains study. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 57, 1306–1308.
- Gopinath B, Schneider J, Flood VM, McMahon CM, Burlutsky G, Leeder SR, Mitchell P** (2014). Association between diet quality with concurrent vision and hearing impairment in older adults. *The Journal of Nutrition, Health & Aging* 18, 251–256.
- Hallam R, Ashton P, Sherbourne K, Gailey L** (2006). Acquired profound hearing loss: mental health and other characteristics of a large sample. *International Journal of Audiology* 45, 715–723.
- Harada S, Nishiwaki Y, Michikawa T, Kikuchi Y, Iwasawa S, Nakano M, Ishigami A, Saito H, Takebayashi T** (2008). Gender difference in the relationships between vision and hearing impairments and negative well-being. *Preventive Medicine* 47, 433–437.
- Huang CQ, Dong BR, Lu ZC, Yue JR, Liu QX** (2010). Chronic diseases and risk for depression in old age: a meta-analysis of published literature. *Ageing Research Reviews* 9, 131–141.
- Irwin M, Artin KH, Oxman MN** (1999). Screening for depression in the older adult: criterion validity of the 10-item Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D). *Archives of Internal Medicine* 159, 1701–1704.
- Ishine M, Okumiya K, Matsubayashi K** (2007). A close association between hearing impairment and activities of daily living, depression, and quality of life in community-dwelling older people in Japan. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 55, 316–317.
- Ives DG, Bonino P, Traven ND, Kuller LH** (1995). Characteristics and comorbidities of rural older adults with hearing impairment. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 43, 803–806.
- Jacka FN, Pasco JA, Mykletun A, Williams LJ, Hodge AM, O'Reilly SL, Nicholson GC, Kotowicz MA, Berk M** (2010). Association of western and traditional diets with depression and anxiety in women. *The American Journal of Psychiatry* 167, 305–311.
- Jun HJ, Hwang SY, Lee SH, Lee JE, Song JJ, Chae S** (2015). The prevalence of hearing loss in South Korea: data from a population-based study. *The Laryngoscope* 125, 690–694.
- Kiely KM, Anstey KJ, Luszcz MA** (2013). Dual sensory loss and depressive symptoms: the importance of hearing, daily

- functioning, and activity engagement. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience* 7, 837.
- Kiely KM, Gopinath B, Mitchell P, Browning CJ, Anstey KJ (2012). Evaluating a dichotomized measure of self-reported hearing loss against gold standard audiometry: prevalence estimates and age bias in a pooled national data set. *Journal of Aging and Health* 24, 439–458.
- Lee AT, Tong MC, Yuen KC, Tang PS, Vanhasselt CA (2010). Hearing impairment and depressive symptoms in an older Chinese population. *Journal of Otolaryngology – Head & Neck Surgery* 39, 498–503.
- Lee DJ, Gómez Marin O, Lam BL, Zheng DD, Arheart KL, Christ SL, Caban AJ (2007). Severity of concurrent visual and hearing impairment and mortality: the 1986–1994 national health interview survey. *Journal of Aging and Health* 19, 382–396.
- Li CM, Zhang X, Hoffman HJ, Cotch MF, Themann CL, Wilson MR (2014). Hearing impairment associated with depression in US adults, national health and nutrition examination survey 2005–2010. *JAMA Otolaryngology – Head & Neck Surgery* 140, 293–302.
- Lin FR, Metter EJ, O'Brien RJ, Resnick SM, Zonderman AB, Ferrucci L (2011). Hearing loss and incident dementia. *Archives of Neurology* 68, 214–220.
- Lin FR, Yaffe K, Xia J, Xue QL, Harris TB, Purchase-Helzner E, Satterfield S, Ayonayon HN, Ferrucci L, Simonsick EM, Health ABCSG (2013). Hearing loss and cognitive decline in older adults. *JAMA Internal Medicine* 173, 293–299.
- Lin MY, Gutierrez PR, Stone KL, Yaffe K, Ensrud KE, Fink HA, Sarkisian CA, Coleman AL, Mangione CM, Study of Osteoporotic Fractures Research G (2004). Vision impairment and combined vision and hearing impairment predict cognitive and functional decline in older women. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 52, 1996–2002.
- Lin P, Huang S, Su K (2010). A meta-analytic review of polyunsaturated fatty acid compositions in patients with depression. *Biological Psychiatry* 68, 140–147.
- Loprinzi PD, Codey K (2014). Influence of visual acuity on anxiety, panic and depression disorders among young and middle age adults in the United States. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 167, 8–11.
- Lupsakko T, Mantylarvi M, Kautiainen H, Sulkava R (2002). Combined hearing and visual impairment and depression in a population aged 75 years and older. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 17, 808–813.
- McDonnell MC (2009). The effects of developing a dual sensory loss on depression in older adults: a longitudinal study. *Journal of Aging and Health* 21, 1179–1199.
- Mener DJ, Betz J, Genther DJ, Chen D, Lin FR (2013). Hearing loss and depression in older adults. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 61, 1627–1629.
- Meuleners LB, Hendrie D, Fraser ML, Ng JQ, Morlet N (2013). The impact of first eye cataract surgery on mental health contacts for depression and/or anxiety: a population-based study using linked data. *Acta Ophthalmologica* 91, e445–e449.
- Mikkola TM, Polku H, Portegijs E, Rantakokko M, Rantanen T, Viljanen A (2015). Self-reported hearing status is associated with lower limb physical performance, perceived mobility, and activities of daily living in older community-dwelling men and women. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 63, 1164–1169.
- Muurinen SM, Soini H, Suominen MH, Saarela MH, Savikko NM, Pitkälä KH (2014). Vision impairment and nutritional status among older assisted living residents. *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics* 58, 384–387.
- Nachtegaal J, Smit JH, Smits C, Bezemer PD, van Beek JH, Festen JM, Kramer SE (2009). The association between hearing status and psychosocial health before the age of 70 years: results from an internet-based national survey on hearing. *Ear and Hearing* 30, 302–312.
- Nyman SR, Gosney MA, Victor CR (2010). Psychosocial impact of visual impairment in working-age adults. *British Journal of Ophthalmology* 94, 1427–1431.
- Owsley C, McGwin G, Scilley K, Meek GC, Seker D, Dyer A (2007). Effect of refractive error correction on health-related quality of life and depression in older nursing home residents. *Archives of Ophthalmology* 125, 1471–1477.
- Pronk M, Deeg DJ, Smits C, van Tilburg TG, Kuik DJ, Festen JM, Kramer SE (2011). Prospective effects of hearing status on loneliness and depression in older persons: identification of subgroups. *International Journal of Audiology* 50, 887–896.
- Radloff LS (1977). The CES-D scale: a self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Applied Psychological Measurement* 1, 385–401.
- Rim TH, Nam JS, Choi M, Lee SC, Lee CS (2014). Prevalence and risk factors of visual impairment and blindness in Korea: the fourth Korea national health and nutrition examination survey in 2008–2010. *Acta Ophthalmologica* 92, e317–e325.
- Rovner BW, Ganguli M (1998). Depression and disability associated with impaired vision: the MoVies project. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 46, 617–619.
- Schneider JM, Gopinath B, McMahon CM, Leeder SR, Mitchell P, Wang JJ (2011). Dual sensory impairment in older age. *Journal of Aging and Health* 23, 1309–1324.
- Stephens A, Shankar A, Demakakos P, Wardle J (2013). Social isolation, loneliness, and all-cause mortality in older men and women. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 110, 5797–5801.
- Tambs K (2004). Moderate effects of hearing loss on mental health and subjective well-being: results from the Nord-Trøndelag Hearing Loss Study. *Psychosomatic Medicine* 66, 776–782.
- Tseng CC, Hu LY, Liu ME, Yang AC, Shen CC, Tsai SJ (2016). Risk of depressive disorders following sudden sensorineural hearing loss: a nationwide population-based retrospective cohort study. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 197, 94–99.
- Wallhagen MI, Strawbridge WJ, Shema SJ, Kurata J, Kaplan GA (2001). Comparative impact of hearing and vision impairment on subsequent functioning. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 49, 1086–1092.
- Yamada Y, Vlachova M, Richter T, Finne-Soveri H, Gindin J, van der Roest H, Denking MD, Bernabei R, Onder G, Topinkova E (2014). Prevalence and correlates of hearing and visual impairments in European nursing homes: results

- from the SHELTER study. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association* **15**, 738–743.
- Zhang X, Bullard KM, Cotch MF, Wilson MR, Rovner BW, McGwin G Jr., Owsley C, Barker L, Crews JE, Saaddine JB** (2013). Association between depression and functional vision loss in persons 20 years of age or older in the United States, NHANES 2005–2008. *JAMA Ophthalmology* **131**, 573–581.