Area characteristics and admission rates of people with schizophrenia and affective disorders in a German rural catchment area

C. Losert^{1*}, M. Schmauß², T. Becker¹ and R. Kilian¹

¹ Mental Health Services Research Unit, Department of Psychiatry II, Ulm University, Bezirkskrankenhaus Günzburg, Germany

² Klinik für Psychiatrie, Psychotherapie und Psychosomatik, Bezirkskrankenhaus Augsburg, Germany

Background. Studies in urban areas identified environmental risk factors for mental illness, but little research on this topic has been performed in rural areas.

Methods. Hospital admission rates were computed for 174 rural municipalities in the catchment area of the state psychiatric hospital in Günzburg in years 2006 to 2009 and combined with structural and socio-economic data. Relationships of overall and diagnosis-specific admission rates with municipality characteristics were analysed by means of negative binomial regression models.

Results. Admission rates of patients with a diagnosis of schizophrenia and affective disorder combined decrease with increasing population growth, population density, average income and green areas, while admission rates are positively correlated with commuter balance, income inequality, unemployment rates and traffic areas. Admission rates for schizophrenia are negatively related to population growth, average income and agricultural areas, but positively related to mobility index, income inequality and unemployment rate. Admission rates for affective disorders are negatively related to population growth, population density, average income and green areas, while higher admission rates are correlated with commuter balance, high income inequality, unemployment rate and traffic-related areas.

Conclusions. Effects of wealth, economic inequality, population density and structural area characteristics influence psychiatric admission rates also in rural areas.

Received 19 October 2011; Revised 27 February 2012; Accepted 29 February 2012; First published online 11 April 2012

Key words: Affective disorder, mental health, risk factors, rural environment, schizophrenia.

Introduction

Since the landmark study by Faris and Dunham in 1939, many studies have examined connections between socio-economic variables and physical living environment on the onset and course of mental disorders (Faris & Dunham, 1965; Cockerham, 2002, pp. 139–140; Silver *et al.* 2002). Their conclusion was that persons with a mental illness cluster in disadvantaged city areas, and this finding has been replicated in a number of subsequent studies (Cockerham, 2002; Hudson, 2005, pp. 141–145; Drukker *et al.* 2007; Lorant *et al.* 2007). A set of these studies was conducted in Mannheim and Heidelberg (Germany). Starting in 1965, the different connected studies

showed that over 25 years the concentration of residents with schizophrenia in poor inner city areas with unfavourable living conditions remained stable (Löffler & Häfner, 1999).

The focus of most studies is on urban areas where mental illness prevalence was found to be higher than in rural areas (Paykel *et al.* 2000; Sundquist *et al.* 2004; Allardyce & Boydell, 2006; Kringlen *et al.* 2006; Weich *et al.* 2006). A meta-analysis including 20 population survey studies since 1985 from high-income countries showed that the pooled total prevalence rates for psychiatric disorders for urban areas were higher than in rural areas (Peen *et al.* 2010).

For Germany, similar patterns were found: Spauwen *et al.* (2004, 2006) showed that adolescents and young adults in the urban part of Munich had an increased risk of reporting an expression of psychosis compared to persons from the rural part, and Dekker *et al.* (2008) found that prevalence rates of most major psychiatric disorders increased with rising urbanization levels. But not all German studies

*Address for correspondence: Carolin Losert, Mental Health Services Research Unit, Department of Psychiatry II, Ulm University, Bezirkskrankenhaus Günzburg, Ludwig-Heilmeyer-Str. 2, D-89312 Günzburg, Germany.
(Email: carolin.losert@uni-ulm.de)

provide clear findings. The ESEMeD study shows that findings can change if you redefine what is urban and what is rural. The first findings indicate that 12-month prevalence rates of psychiatric disorders in Germany are higher in rural areas than in urban ones, but after changing the definition of urban/rural (from two to three categories), looking at different diagnostic groups and/or including marital status, once again higher rates were found in the newly defined urban categories (Kovess-Masféty *et al.* 2005).

Focusing on studies not older than 10 years, not comparing urban–rural differences, not researching mental health service provision but really solely researching possible risk factors in solely rural areas, the found articles tends to be nil. The reason for this lack of such studies may be that there is a hidden assumption that underlying risk factors are the same in urban and rural settings. Thornicroft *et al.* (1993) found that healthcare service use may depend on the social isolation of patients irrespective of urban or rural residence. In the study, families were found to buffer against social deprivation, suggesting there may be similar underlying risk factors but different amplifying or attenuating elements (Thornicroft *et al.* 1993). Allardyce *et al.* (2005) showed that initial admission rates were strongly associated with measures of social and material fragmentation in both urban and rural study sites. In summary, there are risk factors influencing mental health in both urban and rural areas, but underlying mechanisms may differ.

This study aims to test if socio-demographic factors such as population density, income inequality and structural area characteristics that have been found to affect service use in urban areas influence admission rates in a South German rural catchment area to give first hints if there really is a lack of research.

Method

Study region

Municipalities were chosen as the unit of analysis as this is the smallest administrative unit where area-wide statistical data on socio-economic and infrastructural characteristics are available (Bavarian State Office for Statistics and Data Processing, 2006–2009). In the German psychiatric care system, the regional provision of psychiatric inpatient care is organized at the level of catchment areas where all inpatient services are provided by a particular hospital. To define the study region, we selected those 174 municipalities from the catchment area of a psychiatric hospital in the south of Germany which were classified as rural by the regional planning authority (Regionalverband Donau-Iller, 1987; Regionaler Planungsverband

Augsburg, 2007). The classification into the categories: ‘urban’, ‘urban agglomeration’ and ‘rural areas’ is based on the Regional Planning Act, used across Germany and takes into consideration population density, availability of jobs, schools and higher education, and cultural institutions.

In the selected municipalities, the population size varies between 595 and 20 853 with a mean of 3397.6 (SD 3861.9). Agriculture is the dominant land use in the region of Swabia (around 56%); woods and forestry rank second at about 28%. Settlement areas and traffic areas only cover 12% of the region (Bavarian State Office for Statistics and Data Processing, 2010).

Hospital admission data

Hospital admission records were used to count all relevant psychiatric admissions from the study region for the years 2006–2009. Of the total 33 813 admissions registered during the four study years, 13 775 cases had been admitted with a primary diagnosis of schizophrenia-type disorder (F20–F29 ICD-10-WHO) or affective disorder (F30–F39 ICD-10-WHO). To prevent double counting of patients who had more than one admission, only the first admission of each patient was counted. Admissions of patients who did not live in the study region or admissions of patients whose place of residence was unclear or unknown were excluded. The final sample included 4198 admissions, 1586 due to schizophrenia-type disorders and 2612 due to affective disorders.

Admission data and data on municipality characteristics from official statistics were merged using the municipality code as a unique identifier.

The selection of relevant community characteristics

The selection of relevant community characteristics was based on our literature review, but limited by the availability of data from the Bavarian State Office for Statistics and Data Processing or the Federal Employment Agency Statistics (Bavarian State Office for Statistics and Data Processing, 2006–2009; Federal Employment Agency, 2010). After comparing the relevant characteristics mentioned in the literature with the available data we chose the following independent variables for our analyses:

- Inhabitants per square kilometre were taken as an indicator of population density, which has been found to be related to the occurrence of mental disorders in several studies (Laird, 1973; Paykel *et al.* 2000; van Os *et al.* 2003; Sundquist *et al.* 2004; Weich *et al.* 2006; Dekker *et al.* 2008).

- Change of population size since the year 1987 was included because the growth or the decline of the population size could have similar effects as the population density.
- The absolute value of the balance of all persons migrating from or immigration to the municipality during one year independent of nationality was regarded as an indicator of population mobility, which was found to be positively related to the occurrence of schizophrenia and depression by Silver *et al.* (2002).
- Commuter in/out quota computed as the number of employees of one municipality minus all inhabitants of the municipality was included because an increasing in-commuter overspill could be related to a decrease of perceived social cohesion and homogeneity in the inhabitant population. This could have similar effects as those expected from population mobility (Silver *et al.* 2002).
- Annual total income per taxpayer was included as an indicator of wealth and economic prosperity, which has been found to be negatively related to the occurrence of mental disorders in several studies (Gresenz *et al.* 2001; Eibner *et al.* 2004; Sundquist & Ahlen, 2006; Sareen *et al.* 2011; Pulkki-Råback *et al.* 2011).
- Income inequality was included as an indicator of socio-economic inequality, which has been found to be positively related to the occurrence of mental disorders in several studies (Cifuentes *et al.* 2008; Pickett & Wilkinson, 2010; Wilkinson & Pickett, 2010).
- Unemployment was included because it has been found to be positively related to the occurrence of mental disorders in several studies (Dooley *et al.* 1996; World Health Organization, 2004, p. 21; Zimmerman & Katon, 2005; Fortney *et al.* 2007, 2009; Marwaha *et al.* 2009).
- Proportion of forest, agricultural and traffic areas were included because different types of land use have been found to be related to the occurrence of mental disorder in some recent studies (Pedersen *et al.* 2004; Maas *et al.* 2006; Pedersen & Mortensen, 2006; van den Berg *et al.* 2010).

As no direct measure of income inequality was available, an index for income inequality was calculated by subtracting annual gross income per employee from the annual total revenue per taxpayer for 2006–2009. While a low income mostly results from employment as the only basis for making a living, higher incomes more often result from entrepreneurship or different income sources such as employment plus income upon investments or rent (Brenke, 2011; Fritsch *et al.* 2012). Therefore, the

difference between income from employment and total income may reflect not the total amount of income difference but the differences between low- and high-income groups.

For unemployment only, the unemployment figures for every municipality were available. To calculate the unemployment rate, we divided these figures by the number of the working population living in the municipality (Federal Employment Agency, 2010).

To control for a potential effect of the proximity to the psychiatric hospital on admission rates (Curtis, 2007; Fortney *et al.* 2007), the distance between municipality and psychiatric hospital was included in each regression model. Distance was calculated with Google maps, we used the shortest distance via car from the middle of the municipality to the psychiatric hospital.

Statistical analysis

Psychiatric hospital admissions at the municipal level are rare events, and their distribution is characterized by discrete non-negative values defined as count data. While an application of the linear regression model would disregard the discreteness and the non-negativity of the data, the Poisson regression model provides an adequate framework for analysing how the occurrence of the event under study depends on other observable variables (Cameron & Trivedi, 1998, pp. 3–5; Greene, 2003, pp. 740–745). The Poisson model specifies that the occurrence of a discrete event y under the condition of a set of independent variables x follows the Poisson distribution. However, the Poisson model requires the equality of the mean and the variance of the distribution, and this property of equidispersion is often violated in real world data. The distribution of psychiatric hospital admissions is characterized by a large number of zeros which results in a variance much greater than the mean $\text{Var}(y|x) \geq E(y|x)$. In this case of overdispersion, the negative binomial model is recommended as the adequate regression approach (Cameron & Trivedi, 1998, pp. 3–5; Greene, 2003, pp. 740–745). The negative binomial model handles the overdispersion by adding an overdispersion parameter α which is the variance divided by the mean and assumed to have a Gamma distribution. Since we used admission data for 4 years, we applied a random-effects negative binomial model to take into account the panel structure of the data. In the random-effects negative binomial model, the overdispersion parameter is allowed to vary between groups, which means in our model between municipalities (StataCorp LP, 2007, p. 318). To examine potential differences in the influence structure for different diagnostic groups, we computed three

models. In model 1, the combined admissions for schizophrenic and affective disorders were used as the dependent variable, in model 2 only admission for schizophrenic disorders and in model 3 only admissions for affective disorders were used. The natural logarithm of the adult population was included as exposure into each model.

In all models, the community characteristics described above were included as independent variables. In addition, the admission year was included as time variable to control unobserved heterogeneity between the municipalities (Greene, 2003, pp. 740–745).

Results of the negative binomial regression models are presented as exponentiated coefficients e^b , which represent the incidence rate ratios (IRR) indicating the proportional change of the dependent variable by a one-unit change of the independent variable (Cameron & Trivedi, 1998, pp. 3–5; Greene, 2003, pp. 740–745).

Negative binomial regression models were computed with the `xtnbreg` module in STATA 12 (StataCorp LP, 2011).

Results

Admissions due to schizophrenia and affective disorders combined

As shown in Table 1, the IRRs for the population growth (IRR 0.985679; p 0.001) indicate that a 1% increase in the population since 1987 is related to a 1.4% decrease in the hospital admission rate for schizophrenic and affective disorders. The IRR for population density (IRR 0.996659; p 0.000) indicates that an increase in the number of inhabitants per square kilometre (km^2) is related to a decrease in the hospital admission rate by 0.3%. As indicated by the IRR for the commuter balance (IRR 1.000095; p 0.043) the increase in the in-commuter overspill by one person is related to a 0.009% increase in the hospital admission rate. While the increase in the annual total income by 1 Euro is related to a 0.008% decrease in the hospital admission rate (IRR 0.999921; p 0.000) an increase in the income inequality by 1 Euro is related to an increase in the hospital admission rate by 0.008% (IRR 1.000085; p 0.002). The IRR for the unemployment

Table 1. Results of the random-effects negative binomial regression models for the effects of municipal area characteristics on psychiatric admissions between 2006 and 2009 (IRR; p)

	Affective and schizophrenic disorders		Schizophrenic disorders		Affective disorders	
	IRR	$p > z $	IRR	$p > z $	IRR	$p > z $
Study year	1.003600	0.466	1.002843	0.513	1.004521	0.615
Population growth	0.985679	0.001	0.982186	0.007	0.989217	0.014
Mobility index	1.000013	0.986	1.002328	0.034	0.998365	0.064
Population density	0.996659	0.000	0.997867	0.062	0.996158	0.000
Commuter balance	1.000095	0.043	1.000051	0.404	1.000099	0.022
Income	0.999921	0.000	0.999905	0.004	0.999910	0.000
Income inequality	1.000085	0.002	1.000110	0.006	1.000097	0.001
Unemployment ratio	1.062128	0.002	1.071530	0.023	1.054731	0.026
% of forest area	0.958911	0.004	0.962156	0.076	0.953200	0.001
% of agricultural area	0.951740	0.001	0.956961	0.049	0.944987	0.000
% of traffic related areas	1.166056	0.003	1.151567	0.066	1.154245	0.001
Distance to hospital	0.992797	0.000	0.994311	0.048	0.992353	0.000
(ln) Adult population	1 (exposure)		1 (exposure)		1 (exposure)	
N	174		174		174	

Study year = 2006–2009 included to control for unobserved heterogeneity at the level of municipality; Population growth = change of population between 1987 and 2005 in %; Mobility index = absolute value of the balance of all persons migrating from or immigration to the municipality during one year independent of nationality; Population density = inhabitants per square kilometre; Commuter balance = number of employees of one municipality minus all inhabitants of the municipality; Income = annual total revenues per taxpayer; Income inequality = the annual total revenue per taxpayer minus the annual gross income per employee; Unemployment ratio = number of unemployed persons divided by the number of employed persons; % of forest area = percentage of total space covered by forest; % of agricultural area = percentage of total space used for agriculture; % of traffic-related areas = percentage of total space used for traffic; Distance to hospital = the shortest driving distance between the middle of the municipality to the psychiatric hospital calculated with Google maps; (ln) Adult population = natural logarithm of the adult population is included as an exposure variable.

rate (IRR 1.062128; p 0.002) reveals that an increase in the unemployment ratio by 1% is related to an increase in the hospital admission rate by 6.2%. As indicated by the IRRs for the land use, an increase in the areas used for traffic by 1% is related to an increase in the hospital admission rate by 16.6% (IRR 1.166056; p 0.003), while an increase in the areas used for agriculture by 1% is related to a hospital admission rate decrease by 5.8% (IRR 0.951740; p 0.001), and an increase in the woodland by 1% is also related to a decrease in the hospital admission rate by 4.1% (IRR 0.958911; p 0.004). The IRR for the distance between the municipality and the psychiatric hospital reveals that with each additional kilometre the admission rate decreases by 0.7% (IRR 0.992797; p 0.000).

Admissions due to schizophrenia-type disorder

As revealed by the IRR in Table 1, the relationship between community characteristics and hospital admission rates is slightly different in the model for schizophrenic disorders than in the other models. An increase in the population by one person is related to a decrease in the admission rate due to schizophrenia by 1.8% (IRR 0.982186; p 0.007). The effect of the mobility index (IRR 1.002328; p 0.034) reveals that an increase in the absolute migration/immigration balance by one person is related to an increase in the admission rate by 0.23%. The effect of income (IRR 0.9999905; p 0.004) indicates that an increase in the annual per capita income by 1 Euro is related to a decrease in admission rate by 0.01% and the effect of income inequality (IRR 1.000110; p 0.006) reveals that an increase in the income inequality by 1 Euro is related to an increase in the admission by 0.01 as well. As indicated by the significant coefficient (IRR 1.071530; p 0.023), an increase in the unemployment ratio by 1% is related to an increase in admissions by 7.2%. The coefficient for agricultural land use (IRR 0.956961; p 0.049) shows that an increase in agricultural land use by 1% is related to a decrease in admissions by 4.3%. As shown by the significant coefficient for the distance to the hospital (IRR 0.994341; p 0.048) the admissions decrease with each additional km by 0.6%.

Admissions for affective disorders

Effects of community characteristics on hospital admissions rates due to affective disorders are quite similar to those for combined admission rates. The growth of the population by one person is related to the decrease in admissions due to affective disorders by 1.1% (IRR 0.989217; p 0.014) and an increase in the population density by one person per km² is related to a decrease in admissions (IRR 0.996158;

p 0.000) by 0.4%. While the effect of the mobility index is not significant, the coefficient for the commuter balance reveals that the increase in the in-commuter overspill by one person is related to an increase in admissions by 0.01% (IRR 1.000099; p 0.022). As indicated by the significant coefficients, an increase in the annual per capita income by 1 Euro is related to a decrease in admissions by 0.009% (IRR 0.999910; p 0.000), while an increase in the income inequality by 1 Euro is related to an increase by 0.01% (IRR 1.000097; 0.001). An increase in the unemployment ratio by 1% (IRR 1.054731; p 0.026) is related to an increase in the admissions by 5.5%. The effects of the land use indicators show that with an increase in forest areas by 1% (IRR 0.953200; p 0.001), the admissions decrease by 4.7%, with a 1% increase in agricultural areas (IRR 0.944987; p 0.000), admissions decrease by 5.5%, while with a 1% increase in traffic areas (IRR 1.154245; 0.005), admissions increase by 15.4%. The significant effect of the distance to the hospital shows that with each additional km the admissions decrease by 0.8% (IRR 0.992353; p 0.000).

Discussion

This study examined whether risk factors identified in urban areas influence admission rates in a rural catchment area. Using all admissions of 2006–2009 (of people with schizophrenia/related disorders and affective disorders) we found socio-demographic, economic and area structural parameters to influence admission rates. However, not all findings corresponded to findings reported in the literature. Results show that while effects of wealth such as income influence admission rates in rural areas as reported in urban areas, other factors, e.g. population density, have a reverse effect.

In this study, admission rates increased as population density declined. This is in contrast to findings from urban areas where both prevalence and admission rates have been found to rise with increasing population density. Urbanicity is often defined by high population density and is considered a risk factor (Sundquist *et al.* 2004; Dekker *et al.* 2008). It is assumed by most experts that high population densities in urban areas may affect mental health through high stress levels. However, it might be that the relationship between population density and mental disorders is non-linear. Generally speaking, rural areas are associated with a certain life style that includes good social networks, close family ties, high social capital and high levels of support, all possible protective factors against mental illness (World Health Organization, 2004, p. 21). The mechanism leading to our findings could be that not all persons living in sparsely

populated areas can profit from the protective factors. Homogenous social structures in smaller communities could make it more difficult for people with deviant characteristics to establish adequate social networks. Therefore, it is possible that in small communities people with psychological problems may experience a stronger feeling of social exclusion and alienation than they would feel in larger and more heterogeneous communities (Parr *et al.* 2004; Watkins & Jacoby, 2007; Thornicroft, 2008). For Germany, Angermeyer *et al.* (2010) tried to identify emotional reactions from the public towards people with mental illness. Most frequently people report that they have the desire to help, expressed sympathy and empathy, etc. so-called 'positive feelings', but a remarkable number of persons also reported feelings of fear, uneasiness, mistrust, insecurity and anger, which could lead to a desire for social distance. Also, a low population density could be an indicator of poor economic development that might be related to socio-economic disadvantage and therefore to an increased risk of mental disorder.

Low income has proved to be a risk factor at different levels. At the individual level, low-income individuals have a higher risk of suffering from mental health problems (Simmons *et al.* 2008). Sunquist & Ahlen (2006) showed that at the neighbourhood level low income was associated with an increased risk of being hospitalized for a mental disorder. The current study shows that low income is a risk factor at the municipality level with high admission rates in municipalities with low annual per capita income. As we have not examined income at the individual level it is considered an indicator of economic development. Other indicators of economic development are population growth and unemployment rate, which also show negative effects on psychiatric admission. Thus, our study supports the hypothesis that poor economic development at the neighbourhood level is related to a higher risk of mental disorder (Muntaner *et al.* 2004; Hudson, 2005; Mauz & Jacobi, 2008).

The positive relationship between in-commuter overspill and admission rates could indicate that living conditions in the municipalities with a higher in-commuter overspill are less attractive than job opportunities. A high in-commuter rate can also be related to a lower level of social cohesion. Since we have no data on the housing conditions or social cohesion, we were not able to test these hypotheses.

In addition to income level, income inequality was also found to be related to psychiatric admissions. There are two theories of how income inequality can influence mental health: (1) it can lead to social stress because people tend to compare themselves with peers of their age group or with people living in the neighbourhood; (2) income inequality can be an

indicator of inequity with part of the population being impoverished and suffering from the condition and from unequal distribution of health-promotion resources (Wen *et al.* 2003; Kondo *et al.* 2009).

In this study, as in previous research, there was an effect of the pattern of land use on inpatient care. Admission rates in the overall patient and affective disorder group increased with a higher proportion of 'traffic-related areas'. Traffic areas are related to environmental stressors with negative effects on mental health such as noise, air pollution, traffic density and inadequate street conditions (Marcelis *et al.* 1999; Pedersen *et al.* 2004; Pollack *et al.* 2004; Almedom, 2005). Green space is considered to act as a buffer against stressful life events, and as a protective factor for mental well-being (Frumkin, 2003; Maas *et al.* 2006; Sugiyama *et al.* 2008; van den Berg *et al.* 2010). The present study confirmed this relationship; this is noteworthy in a rural setting generally characterized by more 'green areas'. A study by van den Berg *et al.* (2010) may help clarify this finding. The authors found more 'green areas' (agricultural landscape, woods) in a 3 km radius from home to affect mental well-being more than small 'green spaces' (gardens and parks) close to home: extended green space may provide more effective regeneration.

Limitations

The present study has a number of limitations. Psychiatric hospital admissions do not necessarily reflect the prevalence of mental disorders. The average treatment rate of mental disorders, in Germany, is about 50% and a significant proportion of patients will not be treated in hospitals but by office-based physicians or psychologists (Alonso *et al.* 2007; Fernández *et al.* 2007). Thus, psychiatric hospital admissions that we analysed may represent the tip of the iceberg.

Only aggregated data was used. Therefore, it was not possible to conduct multilevel analyses to differentiate environmental and individual effects. The environmental effects identified in our analyses could reflect the effects of individual characteristics only. There is an ongoing discussion of 'shift' *v.* 'drift' regarding living conditions and psychiatric morbidity of a person (Dohrenwend *et al.* 1992; Cockerham, 2002, pp. 148–155; Hudson, 2005). It was not possible in this study to examine causal pathways.

Conclusion

Results of our study indicate that some associations between the occurrence of mental disorders and

environmental factors may differ between rural and urban areas. While economic factors such as income level, income inequality and unemployment are similarly related to psychiatric hospital admissions, population density and population growth appear to have opposite effects in rural *vs.* urban areas. The study also underlines the potential effects of land use characteristics on the occurrence of mental illness, a phenomenon hardly studied so far. Since our study was limited by the use of aggregate data, the results provide hypotheses that should be tested and further elaborated in future population-based multi-level studies. Therefore, it is not possible to draw any conclusions regarding interventions targeted at the prevention of mental illness or the improvement of mental health care services from our results.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Bavarian State Office for Statistics and Data Processing for providing us with data. We also thank Karola Eichler-Paul, Simone Cramer and Lilija Sommer for their assistance with data collection.

Declaration of Interest

No conflicts of interest.

References

- Allardyce J, Boydell J (2006). Review: The wider social environment and schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **32**, 592–598.
- Allardyce J, Gilmour H, Atkinson J, Rapson T, Bishop J, McCreadie RG (2005). Social fragmentation, deprivation and urbanicity: relation to first-admission rates for psychoses. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **187**, 401–406.
- Almedom AM (2005). Social capital and mental health: an interdisciplinary review of primary evidence. *Social Science and Medicine* **61**, 943–964.
- Alonso J, Codony M, Kovess V, Angermeyer MC, Katz SJ, Haro JM, De Girolamo G, De Graaf R, Demyttenaere K, Vilagut G, Almansa J, Lépine JP, Brugha TS, the ESEMeD/MHEDEA 2000 Investigators (2007). Population level of unmet need for mental healthcare in Europe. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **190**, 299–306.
- Angermeyer MC, Holzinger A, Matschinger H (2010). Emotional reactions to people with mental illness. *Epidemiologia e Psichiatria Sociale* **19**, 26–32.
- Bavarian State Office for Statistics and Data Processing (2006–2009). *Municipality Data 2006–2009* [Gemeindedaten 2006–2009]. Bavarian State Office for Statistics and Data Processing: Munich. (CD-ROM).
- Bavarian State Office for Statistics and Data Processing (2010). Topic: Area and Land Use [Themengebiet: Gebiet, Flächennutzung] (<http://www.statistik.bayern.de/statistik/gebiet>). Accessed 25 July 2011.
- Brenke K (2011). Income redistribution debilitates the private consumption [Einkommensumverteilung schwächt privaten Verbrauch]. *Wochenbericht Des DIW Berlin* **8**, 2–12.
- Cameron AC, Trivedi PK (1998). *Regression Analysis of Count Data*. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, New York, Melbourne.
- Cifuentes M, Sembajwe G, Tak SW, Gore R, Kriebel D, Punnett L (2008). The association of major depressive episodes with income inequality and the human development index. *Social Science and Medicine* **67**, 529–539.
- Cockerham WC (2002). *Sociology of Mental Disorder*, 6th edn. Prentice Hall: New Jersey.
- Curtis S (2007). Socio-economic status and geographies of psychiatric inpatient service use. Places, provision, power and well-being. *Epidemiologia e Psichiatria Sociale* **16**, 10–15.
- Dekker J, Peen J, Koelen J, Smit F, Schoevers R (2008). Psychiatric disorders and urbanization in Germany. *BMC Public Health* **8**, 17.
- Dohrenwend BP, Levav I, Shrout PE, Schwartz S, Naveh G, Link BG, Skodol AE, Stueve A (1992). Socioeconomic status and psychiatric disorders: the causation–selection issue. *Science* **255**, 946–952.
- Dooley D, Fielding J, Levi L (1996). Health and unemployment. *Annual Review of Public Health* **17**, 449–465.
- Drukker M, Gunther N, van Os J (2007). Disentangling associations between poverty at various levels of aggregation and mental health. *Epidemiologia e Psichiatria Sociale* **16**, 3–9.
- Eibner C, Sturn R, Gresenz CR (2004). Does relative deprivation predict the need for mental health services? *Journal of Mental Health Policy and Economics* **7**, 167–175.
- Faris REL, Dunham WH (1965). *Mental Disorders in Urban Areas: An Ecological Study of Schizophrenia and Other Psychoses*. Phoenix Books – University of Chicago Press: Chicago/London.
- Federal Employment Agency (2010). Statistic Topics: Unemployment Rates 2006–2009 [Statistik nach Themen: Arbeitslosenraten 2006–2009]. (<http://statistik.arbeitsagentur.de/Navigation/Statistik/Statistik-nach-Themen/Statistik-nach-Themen-Nav.html>). Accessed 18 May 2011.
- Fernández A, Haro JM, Martínez-Alonso M, Demyttenaere K, Brugha TS, Autonell J, de Girolamo G, Bernert S, Lépine JP, Alonso J (2007). Treatment adequacy for anxiety and depressive disorders in six European countries. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **190**, 172–173.
- Fortney JC, Rushton G, Wood S, Zhang L, Xu S, Dong F, Rost K (2007). Community-level risk factors for depression hospitalizations. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health* **34**, 343–352.
- Fortney JC, Xu S, Dong F (2009). Community-level correlates of hospitalizations for persons with schizophrenia. *Psychiatric Services* **60**, 772–778.
- Fritsch M, Kritikos A, Rusakova A (2012). Who Starts a Business and Who is Self-employed in Germany. (<http://>

- www.diw.de/documents/publikationen/73/diw_01.c.391994.de/dp1184.pdf). Accessed 28 February 2012.
- Frumkin H** (2003). Healthy places: exploring the evidence. *American Journal of Public Health* **93**, 1451–1456.
- Greene WH** (2003). *Econometric Analysis*. Prentice Hall International: Upper Saddle River, New Jersey.
- Gresenz CR, Sturm R, Tang L** (2001). Income and mental health: unraveling community and individual level relationships. *Journal of Mental Health Policy and Economics* **4**, 197–203.
- Hudson CG** (2005). Socioeconomic status and mental illness: tests of the social causation and selection hypotheses. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry* **75**, 3–18.
- Kondo N, Sembajwe G, Kawachi I, van Dam RM, Subramanian SV, Yamagata Z** (2009). Income inequality, mortality, and self rated health: meta-analysis of multilevel studies. *British Medical Journal* **339**, b4471.
- Kovess-Masféty V, Alonso J, de Graaf R, Demyttenaere K** (2005). A European approach to rural-urban differences in mental health: the ESEMeD 2000 comparative study. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry – Revue Canadienne De Psychiatrie* **50**, 926–936.
- Kringle E, Torgersen S, Cramer V** (2006). Mental illness in a rural area. A Norwegian psychiatric epidemiological study. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* **41**, 713–719.
- Laird JT** (1973). Mental health and population density. *Journal of Psychology* **85**, 171–177.
- Löffler W, Häfner H** (1999). Ecological pattern of first admitted schizophrenics in two German cities over 25 years. *Social Science and Medicine* **49**, 93–108.
- Lorant V, Croux C, Weich S, Deliege D, Mackenbach J, Anseau M** (2007). Depression and socio-economic risk factors: 7-year longitudinal population study. *British Journal of Medicine* **190**, 293–298.
- Maas J, Verheij RA, Groenewegen PP, de Vries S, Spreeuwenberg P** (2006). Green space, urbanity, and health: how strong is the relation? *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* **60**, 587–592.
- Marcelis M, Takei N, van Os J** (1999). Urbanization and risk for schizophrenia: does the effect operate before or around the time of illness onset? *Psychological Medicine* **29**, 1197–1203.
- Marwaha S, Johnson S, Bebbington PE, Angermeyer MC, Brugha TS, Azorin JM, Kilian R, Hansen K, Toumi M** (2009). Predictors of employment status change over 2 years in people with schizophrenia living in Europe. *Epidemiologia e Psichiatria Sociale* **18**, 344–351.
- Mauz E, Jacobi F** (2008). Mental disorders and social inequalities in three birth cohorts [Psychische Störungen und soziale Ungleichheit im Geburtskohortenvergleich]. *Psychiatrische Praxis* **35**, 343–352.
- Muntaner C, Eaton WW, Miech R, O'Campo P** (2004). Socioeconomic position and major mental disorders. *Epidemiologic Reviews* **26**, 53–62.
- Parr H, Philo C, Burns N** (2004). Social geographies of rural mental health: experiencing inclusions and exclusions. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers* **29**, 401–419.
- Paykel E, Abbott R, Jenkins R, Brugha T, Meltzer H** (2000). Urban-rural mental health differences in Great Britain: findings from the National Morbidity Survey. *Psychological Medicine* **15**, 97–107.
- Pedersen CB, Mortensen PB** (2006). Urbanization and traffic related exposures as risk factors for schizophrenia. *BMC Psychiatry* **6**, 2.
- Pedersen CB, Raaschou-Nielsen O, Hertel O, Mortensen PB** (2004). Air pollution from traffic and schizophrenia risk. *Schizophrenia Research* **66**, 83–85.
- Peen J, Schoevers RA, Beekman AT, Dekker J** (2010). The current status of urban-rural differences in psychiatric disorders. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* **121**, 84–93.
- Pickett KE, Wilkinson RG** (2010). Inequality: an underacknowledged source of mental illness and distress. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **197**, 426–428.
- Pollack CE, von dem Knesebeck O, Siegrist J** (2004). Housing and health in Germany. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* **58**, 216–222.
- Pulkki-Räback L, Ahola K, Elovainio M, Kivimäki M, Hintsanen M, Isometsä E, Lönnqvist J, Virtanen M** (2011). Socio-Economic position and mental disorders in a working-age Finnish population: the health 2000 Study. *European Journal of Public Health* Sep 27 [Epub ahead of print] (doi:10.1093/eurpub/ckr127).
- Regionaler Planungsverband Augsburg** (2007). Regional Development Plan Augsburg [Regionalplan der Region Augsburg (9)]. (<http://www.rpv-augsburg.de/Regionalplan.htm>). Accessed 23 February 2012.
- Regionalverband Donau-Ilter** (1987). Regional Development Plan Donau-Ilter [Regionalplan Donau-Ilter]. (<http://www.rvdi.de/regionalplan.html>). Accessed 23 February 2012.
- Sareen J, Afifi TO, McMillan KA, Asmundson GJG** (2011). Relationship between household income and mental disorders: findings from a population-based longitudinal study. *Archives of General Psychiatry* **68**, 419–427.
- Silver E, Mulvey EP, Swanson JW** (2002). Neighborhood structural characteristics and mental disorder: Faris and Dunham Revisited. *Social Science and Medicine* **55**, 1457–1470.
- Simmons LA, Braun B, Charnigo R, Havens JR, Wright DW** (2008). Depression and poverty among rural women: a relationship of social causation or social selection? *Journal of Rural Health* **24**, 292–298.
- Spauwen J, Krabbendam L, Lieb R, Wittchen HU, van Os J** (2004). Does urbanicity shift the population expression of psychosis? *Journal of Psychiatric Research* **38**, 613–618.
- Spauwen J, Krabbendam L, Lieb R, Wittchen HU, van Os J** (2006). Evidence that the outcome of developmental expression of psychosis is worse for adolescents growing up in an urban environment. *Psychological Medicine* **36**, 407–415.
- StataCorp LP** (2007). *Stata Longitudinal/Panel-Data Reference Manual Release 1, vol. xt*. Stata Press: College Station, Texas.
- StataCorp LP** (2011). *Stata/MP 12.0 for Windows (32-bit)*. StataCorp LP: College Station, TX, USA.
- Sugiyama T, Leslie E, Giles-Corti B, Owen N** (2008). Associations of neighbourhood greenness with physical and mental health: do walking, social coherence and local social interaction explain the relationships? *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* **62**, e9.

- Sundquist K, Ahlen H** (2006). Neighbourhood income and mental health: a multilevel follow-up study of psychiatric hospital admissions among 4.5 million women and men. *Health and Place* **12**, 594–602.
- Sundquist K, Frank G, Sundquist J** (2004). Urbanisation and incidence of psychosis and depression: follow-up study of 4.4 million women and men in Sweden. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **184**, 293–298.
- Thornicroft G** (2008). Stigma and discrimination limit access to mental health care. *Epidemiologia e Psichiatria Sociale* **17**, 14–19.
- Thornicroft G, Bisoffi G, De Salvia D, Tansella M** (1993). Urban-rural differences in the associations between social deprivation and psychiatric service utilization in schizophrenia and all diagnoses: a case-register study in Northern Italy. *Psychological Medicine* **23**, 487–496.
- van den Berg AE, Maas J, Verheij RA, Groenewegen PP** (2010). Green space as a buffer between stressful life events and health. *Social Science and Medicine* **70**, 1203–1210.
- van Os J, Hanssen M, Bak M, Bijl RV, Vollebergh W** (2003). Do urbanicity and familial liability coparticipate in causing psychosis? *American Journal of Psychiatry* **160**, 477–482.
- Watkins F, Jacoby A** (2007). Is the rural idyll bad for your health? Stigma and exclusion in the English countryside. *Health and Place* **13**, 851–864.
- Weich S, Twigg L, Lewis G** (2006). Rural/non-rural differences in rates of common mental disorders in Britain: prospective multilevel cohort study. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **188**, 51–57.
- Wen M, Browning CR, Cagney KA** (2003). Poverty, affluence, and Income Inequality: neighborhood economic structure and its implications for health. *Social Science and Medicine* **57**, 843–860.
- Wilkinson RG, Pickett K** (2010). Income inequality and mental health. In *The Spirit Level: Why Equality is Better for Everyone* (ed. R. G. Wilkinson and K. Pickett), pp. 66–69. Penguin: London.
- World Health Organization** (2004). Prevention of Mental Disorders: Effective Interventions and Policy Options. Summary Report/A Report of the World Health Organization, Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse in Collaboration with the Prevention Research Centre of the Universities of Nijmegen and Maastricht (http://www.who.int/mental_health/evidence/en/prevention_of_mental_disorders_sr.pdf). Accessed 23 February 2012.
- Zimmerman FJ, Katon W** (2005). Socioeconomic status, depression disparities, and financial strain: what lies behind the income-depression relationship? *Health Economics* **14**, 1197–1215.