Brazilian Journal of Physical Therapy

<https://www.journals.elsevier.com/brazilian-journal-of-physical-therapy>

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

Effect of combined interval training on the cardiorespiratory fitness in heart failure patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Incare Correa De Jesus^{a,*}, Francisco José de Menezes Junior^a,
Paulo Cesar Barauce Bento^a, Astrid Wiens^b, Jorge Mota^c, Neiva Leite^{a,c}

^a Physical Education Department, Research Nucleus of Quality of Life, Universidade Federal Paraná (UFPR), Curitiba, PR, Brazil

^b Pharmacy Department, Universidade Federal Paraná (UFPR), Curitiba, PR, Brazil

^c Ciafel, Porto University, Porto, Portugal

Received 7 June 2018; received in revised form 7 February 2019; accepted 9 April 2019

Available online 20 April 2019

KEYWORDS

Cardiorespiratory fitness;
Resistance training;
High-intensity interval training;
Sprint interval training;
Heart failure

Abstract

Background: The combination of interval training and resistance training has showed interesting results in chronic heart failure patients, corroborating the benefits of physiological adaptations of both protocols.

Objective: To evaluate the effect of the combination of interval training and resistance training program when compared to interval training alone and/or without intervention group on cardiorespiratory fitness in patients with chronic heart failure.

Methods: We search MEDLINE via PubMed, ScienceDirect, Sportdiscus, BIREME and Scielo, from their inception to December 2018. Were included both randomized and non-randomized controlled trials comparing the effect of combined training, interval training alone and/or WI group on VO_{2peak} (expressed in ml/kg/min), in people with chronic heart failure patients. The meta-analysis was conducted via Review Manager v 5.3 software, using random effect model.

Results: Ten articles were selected (nine randomized controlled trial), involving 401 participants. Six studies compared combined training with interval training and six studies compared combined training with the without intervention group. Eighty percent of the trials presented moderate risk of bias and twenty percent low risk of bias. Data showed significant difference and major increase in VO_{2peak} in favor to combined training group compared to interval training group (SMD = 0.25; CI = 0.04–0.46) and without intervention group (SMD = 0.46; CI = 0.29–0.64), respectively.

* Corresponding author at: Research Nucleus of Quality of Life, Federal University of Paraná, 92, Coração de Maria, Zip code: 80215-370, Curitiba, Paraná, Brazil.

E-mail: asp.incare@hotmail.com (I.C. Jesus).

Conclusion: The combination of interval training and resistance training showed more effective in increasing cardiorespiratory fitness in patients with heart failure than interval training alone and non-exercise therapy. However, further studies should be conducted to increase the understanding of this combined training method.

© 2019 Associação Brasileira de Pesquisa e Pós-Graduação em Fisioterapia. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Chronic heart failure (CHF) is a complex clinical syndrome, characterized by cardiac dysfunction, caused by structural and/or functional irregularity,¹ in which there is an inadequate volume of blood ejection to supply the metabolic needs of all organs and tissues of the body.^{1,2} This process may result in reduced cardiac output and elevated intracardiac pressure at rest and/or during stressful situations.² CHF is recognized as a new epidemic in several countries.^{1,3} In the last decades, there has been an increase in the number of adults and elderly population diagnosed with CHF.^{4,5} This is mainly due to changes in lifestyle, such as excess weight and its comorbidities, as well as the increase in sedentary behavior in all age groups.^{6,7} Furthermore, CHF is one of the main reasons for hospitalization and high hospital costs, which results in high rates of mortality and morbidity of the patients.^{1,3,4}

After diagnosis, the reduction of the level of physical activity contributes even more to increasing the intolerance to exercise and the symptoms of the syndrome⁰, which may affect the peripheral vasodilator response, leading to greater endothelial dysfunction and to a progressive decrease in functional capacity.^{1,2} Thus, Guyda et al.⁸ reported that the reduction in peak oxygen uptake (VO_{2peak}) is highly associated with morbidity and mortality in cardiac patients. VO_{2peak} is considered an important marker for the longevity and quality of life of patients.^{7,8} Thus, non-pharmacological treatment in the form of physical exercise is considered an indispensable therapeutic tool, which proves to be safe and inexpensive.^{1,9} It is well reported that regular exercise increases muscle function and cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF), as well promotes greater body efficiency in using oxygen.¹⁰

Therefore, different methods and protocols of exercises have been researched. Interval training (IT) and resistance training (RT) have shown interesting protocols to promote benefits for patients with CHF.^{11–13} IT is characterized in the literature by short intermittent periods of vigorous activity, alternated with recovery intervals or low-intensity exercise.¹⁴ This protocol has been proposed in traditional settings of supervised cardiac rehabilitation.¹² IT is effective training with limited risks and promotes potential health benefits in patients with CHF.^{15,16} Among the principles that IT follows, we can mention sprint interval training (SIT) and high-intensity interval training (HIIT).¹⁷ SIT is composed of sprints that last between 8 and 30 s at an intensity of 100% of VO_{2max} , while HIIT is characterized by sets of efforts lasting between 60 and 240 s, with intensity greater than 80% of VO_{2max} .^{14,17} On the other hand, RT is a training protocol that

requires muscle work against a load or resistance – this may be an external load or even body weight.¹⁸

Combining these protocols, also known as combined training or concurrent training (CT), has often been applied both in the child and adolescent population, as well as in adults and the elderly with different health conditions.^{19–21} In addition, CT has showed interesting results in CHF patients, corroborating the benefits of physiological adaptations of both protocols.²² In addition, it may promote additional benefits in vascular endothelium and muscle strength in comparison to IT alone, while improving aerobic parameters and exercise tolerance.^{23,24}

However, although several studies have described the importance of exercise therapy in CHF patients, there has been no systematic review analysis of CT in scientific literature in recent years. Thus, we propose an in-depth analysis of the effects of IT plus RT protocol on CRF in patients with CHF, since physical fitness is an important component of the lifespan and quality of life for this population. Therefore, this study aimed to perform a systematic review with meta-analysis to compare the effects of interval training combined with resistance training versus interval training and the non-intervention group on cardiorespiratory fitness of chronic heart failure patients.

Methods

The search strategies were carried out in September 2017 and updated in December 2018, based on the recommendations of the *Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-analyses: The PRISMA Statement*.²⁵ We also followed the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews*,²⁶ as well as the tutorial for systematic reviews of *Brazilian Journal of Physical Therapy*.²⁷ This systematic review was prospectively registered on the PROSPERO database (CRD42018116071).

Types of studies

We included both randomized and non-randomized controlled trials that included patients with chronic heart failure that made the combined training protocol resistance training plus interval training and compare with interval training alone or a without intervention control group (WI).

Types of participants

Were included human patients of both sexes diagnosed with chronic heart failure, with no other congenital heart disease, atrial fibrillation or heart transplant implants.

Types of interventions

IT is characterized when carried out by short intermittent periods of vigorous activity, alternated with recovery intervals or low-intensity exercise. RT is considered as exercise that requires muscle work against a load or resistance – this may be an external load or even body weight. Were considered an intervention of combined training when the RT and the IT were performed at the same session.

Comparisons

We were interested in compare the protocol of combined training (RT plus IT) with two other groups: (a) without intervention group (control group, non-exercise group, wait list control, and placebo); and (b) interval training alone group.

Comparisons were designed as:

- Combined training vs. Without intervention group;
- Combined training vs. Interval training.

Primary outcome

The primary outcome of this study was the CRF, measured by change in $\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}}$ expressed in ml/kg/min at baseline and post intervention.

Search methods

The searches were conducted in five databases: MEDLINE (*Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System online*) via PubMed, ScienceDirect, Sportdiscus, BIREME (*Latin American and Caribbean Center on Health Sciences Information*) and Scielo. The databases were selected according to the area of knowledge and scientific relevance worldwide. Furthermore, a search was performed on the references of each study found and selected in the searches.

Thus, the descriptors were selected based on index terms via DECS (BIREME health science subject descriptors) and MESH (*Medical Subject Headings* – controlled vocabulary used for indexing articles in PubMed). The following descriptors were chosen in English and Portuguese: *heart failure*; *aged*; *cardiorespiratory fitness*; *oxygen consumption*; *resistance training*; *strength training*; *high-intensity interval training*; and *sprint interval training*. The descriptors were combined with the Boolean terms “AND” and “OR”. The main search strategy used in all databases was *heart failure OR aged AND cardio-respiratory fitness OR oxygen consumption AND resistance training OR strength training AND high-intensity interval training OR sprint interval training*, respectively. There was no temporal delimitation of the articles found.

Screening and selection process

All duplicate articles were removed. The first screening was followed by reading the titles and abstracts of original articles whose summary was available for reading, on human samples that presented chronic heart failure, interval training intervention combined with resistance training and that presented an assessment of $\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}}$, before and after intervention.

Subsequently, the studies were assessed for eligibility by reading the articles in full-text. All the stages, such as selection and election of the studies, were evaluated by two researchers (FJMJ) and (ICJ) independently. The reviewers compared the studies and, in case of disagreement, a third reviewer (NL) arbitrated. Any disagreements about a study's eligibility were decided by a consensus meeting.

The following variables were recorded in a database independently by each investigator: author, publication year, average age of the sample, number of individuals in the control group, the number of individuals in the experimental group, the physical training protocols (volume, load, duration, intensity and type of exercise) and maximum oxygen consumption (mean value and standard deviation) before and after intervention.

Risk of bias

Once selected, all studies were analyzed for risk of bias, following the risk of bias of the Cochrane Collaboration tool, which assesses the risk of high, low or unclear bias.²⁶ Two reviewers (FJMJ and ICJ) applied to the scale are composed of five criteria that are important for almost experimental and experimental studies, namely: (1) random sequence generation; (2) allocation concealment; (3) blinding of participants and personnel; (4) blinding of outcome assessment; (5) incomplete outcome data; and (6) selective reporting, the evaluation was standardized following the Cochrane Handbook of Systematic Reviews of Interventions.²⁶ In the event of disagreement, a disagreement shall be settled by a third arbitrator (NL).

Quality of evidence

The quality of the evidence for each outcome was analyzed according to the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE),²⁸ which consists of five items: (1) risk of bias; (2) inconsistency; (3) indirectness; (4) imprecision and (5) other considerations. The assessment was made by two reviewers (FJMJ and ICJ) and if any disagreement were found, it was discussed, if there was no agreement between the reviewers, a third reviewer (NL) arbitrated. The quality of the evidence was classified into four categories: high, moderate, low or very low, according to the information provided by the GRADE system and on the recommendations made by Balshem et al.²⁸

Synthesis of data and statistical analysis

Data from the change in $\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}}$ from post-intervention, characteristics of patients, as well as details of train-

ing protocols were analyzed and archived in a database for tabulation. Moreover, risk of bias was also assessed and described, as well as intergroup characteristics in the pre-intervention period, such as: age, gender, preserved or reduced ejection fraction, New York Heart Association (NYHA) classification and left ventricular ejection fraction (%LVEF). In the absence of information relevant to the primary outcome of the study, correspondent authors were contacted in order to request more detail. If there was no answer from the respective authors in relation to the request, the study was excluded from the final analysis.

The meta-analysis was conducted via Review Manager v 5.3 software, using a random effects model. The effect size of treatment was obtained by means of the difference of the variation between the pre- and post-intervention period, described by standardized mean difference (SMD) and the standard error (SE) adjusted for both CT group and the IT control group and the without-intervention group (WI).²⁹ In addition, the analysis of heterogeneity among the studies was obtained through I^2 test, in which I^2 of <25%, 25–50% and >50% were considered small, medium and large inconsistency, respectively.³⁰

Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis was performed following two procedures: (1) including only reporting of studies with complete training protocols; (2) by removing the classified studies with a high risk of bias.

Results

Search results

Firstly, 411 studies were found following a combination of descriptors. Sequentially, 23 studies were excluded by duplicate examination. Of the remaining 388 studies 368 did not meet the proposed criteria, in that 144 were not original articles, 19 studies had no abstract available, 21 studies were conducted with animal samples and 184 were without a CT protocol.

Thus, 20 studies were assessed for eligibility and, where necessary, excluded for the following reasons: one study investigated the effect of supplementation, four studies had no evaluation of CRF and five were not composed of patients diagnosed with HF. Therefore, the search was finalized with 10 selected articles. The search steps, selection and eligibility of studies are outlined in Fig. 1.

Primary features of articles

The selected articles were published between 2002 and 2017. All studies were performed on patients with CHF. A total of 188 patients underwent CT, 81 performed only IT and 132 formed the without intervention group (WI). Only one study was non-randomized controlled trial (RCT).³⁸ In addition, 6 studies compared CT with IT^{31–36} and 6 studies compared CT with the WI group.^{35–40}

Characteristics of patients

The patients had a mean and standard deviation age of 51 ± 13 years³⁶ and 63 ± 9 years.³⁷ In six studies, patients had NYHA functional class $\leq$ III,^{31–36,38–40} in three NYHA functional class between II and III,^{33,38,40} and a NYHA functional class between II and IV.³⁷ All studies indicated that patients had reduced ejection fraction.^{31–40} The main characteristics of the samples are presented in Table 1.

Risk of bias within studies

The risk of bias in the included articles was considered high, as shown in Fig. 2A. The main risk of bias was related to the allocation concealment.

Risk of bias across studies

The risk of bias across studies is shown in Fig. 2B. Random sequence generation and incomplete outcome data were the most prevalent risk of bias across the eligible trials. However, allocation concealment, blinding of participants/personnel and blinding of outcome assessment were unclear in 80% of the studies.

Quality of evidence

Study quality was assessed using the GRADE system, which indicated moderate quality with serious or not serious methodological problems. Only one non-RCT³⁸ was not included in the analysis of evidence for CT vs WI comparison. Quality assessment is shown in Table 2.

Characteristics of protocols

The CT protocols used in the studies showed similarity, in which 90% performed interventions lasting 12 weeks, except for one study that performed 26 weeks of intervention.⁴⁰ All IT protocols were performed on a stationary bicycle, and the 30s/60s (effort/rest) ratio was predominant, with total duration of sessions last between 15 and 45 min,^{31,32,34,35,37,38,40} except for two studies that used 120s/120s^{33,39} and a study that used 240s/180s.³⁶ The intensities of the interval exercise ranged from 50% W_{peak} ,^{31,32,34,35,38,40} 80–100% W_{peak} ³⁷ and 40–80% VO_{2peak} .^{33,36,39} Regarding TR, the majority of the studies used four exercises^{31,32,34,37,35} with the exception of those who used ten,³⁹ six,³³ five,³⁸ three⁴⁰ or two³⁶ exercises per session. The load intensity ranged from 60 to 80% of one maximum repetition (MR)^{33,36–40} and 55–65% of two MR.^{31,32,34,35} Details of training groups and protocols are highlighted in Table 3.

Primary outcome measure for cardiorespiratory fitness

The measurement of CRF was expressed by VO_{2peak} (ml/kg min). All studies assessed the CRF using symptom-limited incremental exercise protocol on an electromagnetically

Figure 1 PRISMA flow diagram.

Table 1 Characteristics of pre-intervention groups.

Studies	Age (years)		NYHA classification		VO _{2peak} (ml kg ⁻¹ min ⁻¹)		%LVEF	
	CT	Control	CT	Control	CT	Control	CT	Control
Anagnostakou et al. ³¹	54 ± 10	52 ± 11	≤III	≤III	15.7 ± 6	15.7 ± 4	39 ± 11	36 ± 13
Bouchla et al. ³²	56.5 ± 7.2	50.5 ± 11.4	≤III	≤III	13.7 ± 4.7	15.9 ± 3.6	33.4 ± 7.3	37.8 ± 13.6
Chrysohoou et al. ³⁷ WI	63 ± 9	56 ± 11	II–IV	II–IV	16 ± 6	17 ± 6	31	33
Delagardelle et al. ³³	53.3 ± 18	60.4 ± 12	II–III	II–III	16.7 ± 2.5	19.3 ± 3	26.7 ± 7	30.7 ± 8
Georgantas et al. ³⁴	55 ± 11	53 ± 11	≤III	≤III	15.8 ± 5.4	16.6 ± 4.2	35 ± 10	34 ± 11
Kemps et al. ³⁸ WI	62 ± 9	63 ± 11	II–III	II–III	17.5 ± 3.1	18.5 ± 4.4	32 ± 7	33 ± 7
Safiyari-Hafizi et al. ³⁹ WI	57.8 ± 8.1	58.9 ± 6.9	≤III	≤III	10.1 ± 3.1	10.1 ± 2.8	27.8 ± 8.8	26.0 ± 8.3
Senden et al. ⁴⁰ WI	59.8 ± 9.3		II–III	II–III	19.6 ± 4.9	18.2 ± 4.6	18.2 ± 4.6	26 ± 7
Tasoulis et al. ³⁵ WI	53 ± 12	53 ± 12	≤III	≤III	16.2 ± 5.3	16.4 ± 4.8	35.6 ± 10.3	34.1 ± 11
Tzanis et al. ³⁶ WI	51 ± 13	51 ± 13	≤III	≤III	20 ± 4.8	21.5 ± 6.7	38 ± 8	37 ± 7

Note: NYHA, New York Heart Association; %LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; WI, without intervention.

Figure 2 Risk of bias summary: review authors' judgements about each risk of bias item for each included study (A) and about each risk of bias item presented as percentages across all included studies (B).

braked cycle ergometer, with direct gas monitoring to assess $\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}}$.

The standardized mean difference in the relative $\text{VO}_{2\text{peak}}$ was 0.47 ml/kg/min [CI 95%: (0.32–0.63) $p < 0.00001$; $I^2 = 20\%$] compared to the WI group

(Fig. 3A), and 0.25 ml/kg/min [CI 95%: (0.05–0.45) $p < 0.02$; $I^2 = 7\%$] in favor of the CT compared with the IT protocol (Fig. 3B).

However, there was no significant change in the result of the analysis when including only studies with a full

Table 2 Quality of evidence (GRADE) between CT versus IT (A), and CT versus without intervention (B) for VO_{2peak}.

Certainty assessment							No. of patients		Effect		Certainty	Importance
N°. of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	CT	IT	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)		
A. VO _{2peak} CT vs IT (follow up: 12 weeks)												
6	Randomized trials	Serious ^a	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	None	82	88	–	SMD 0.25 higher (0.05 higher to 0.45 higher)	MODERATE	CRITICAL
B. VO _{2peak} CT vs WI (follow up: range 12 weeks to 26 weeks)												
5	Randomized trials	Serious ^a	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	None	140	137	–	SMD 0.47 higher (0.32 higher to 0.63 higher)	MODERATE	CRITICAL

Note: CI, confidence interval; SMD, standardized mean difference; CT, combined training; IT, interval training; WI, without intervention.

^a Allocation concealment, blinding of participants and/or personnel and blinding of outcome assessment likely.

Table 3 Description of intervention groups and protocols.

Studies	Group (n)	Workload	Intensity	Frequency	Weeks
Anagnostakou et al. ³¹	CT (14)	(IT) 20 min: 30 s/60 s (TR) 4 ex: 3×10–12/30 s	50%W _{peak} 55–65% 2MR	3×/week	12
Bouchla et al. ³²	IT (15) CT (10)	(IT) 40 min: 30 s/60 s (IT) 20 min: 30 s/60 s (TR) 4 ex: 3×10–12/30 s	50%W _{peak} 50%W _{peak} 55–65% 2MR	3×/week	12
Chrysohoou et al. ³⁷	IT (10) CT (33)	(IT) 40mn: 30 s/60 s (IT) 45 min: 30 s/30 s (TR) 4 ex: 3×8–10/* min	50%W _{peak} 80–100% W _{peak} 30–90% 1MR	3×/week	12
Delagardelle et al. ³³	WI (39) CT (10)	Keep their routine (IT) 20 min: 120 s/120 s (TR) 6 ex: 3×10/60 s	50–75% VO _{2peak} 60% 1MR	3×/week	12
Georgantas et al. ³⁴	IT (10) CT (22)	(IT) 40 min: 120 s/120 s (IT) 20 min: 30 s/60 s (TR) 4 ex: 3×10–12/30 s	50–75% VO _{2peak} 50%W _{peak} 55–65% 2MR	3×/week	12
Kemps et al. ³⁸	IT (20) CT (30)	(IT) 40mn 30 s/60 s (IT) 15 min: 30 s/60 s (TR) 5 ex: 2×12/ ^a s	50%W _{peak} 50%W _{peak} 70% 1MR	1×/week	12
Safiyari-Hafizi et al. ³⁹	WI (18) CT (20)	Keep their routine (IT) 30–60 min: 120 s/120 s (TR) 10 ex: 1–3×15/ ^a s	40–85% VO _{2peak} 40–75% 1MR	3–7×/week 1–3×/week	12
Senden et al. ⁴⁰	WI (20) CT (25)	Keep their routine (IT) 15 min: 30 s/60 s (TR) 3 ex: ^a ×60s/30 s	50% W _{peak} 70% CF _{peak}	4×/week	26
Tasoulis et al. ³⁵	WI (36) CT (25)	(IT) 20 min: 30 s/60 s (TR) 4 ex: ^a ×10/30 s	Keep their routine 50%W _{peak} 55–65% 2MR	3×/week	12
Tzanis et al. ³⁶	IT (21) WI (11) CT (7)	(IT) 40 min: 30 s/60 s Keep their routine (IT) 17 min: 2×4min/3 min (TR) 2 ex: 2–4×10–12/30 s;	50%W _{peak} 50–80% VO _{2peak} 60%–70% 1MR	3×/week	12
	IT (6) WI (13)	(IT) 31 min: 4×4 min/3 min Keep their routine	50–80% VO _{2peak}		

^a Incompleted data; logic sequence IT, interval training (time: work/rest; logic sequence); RT, resistance training (no. exercises: sets × reps/rest); WI, without intervention; MR, maximum repetition.

Figure 3 VO_{2peak} comparison between CT group (IT + RT) vs WI group (A) and CT group (IT + RT) vs IT (B). *Abbreviations:* CT, combined or concurrent training; WI, without intervention; IT, interval training; RT, resistance training.

description of exercise protocols, or when the studies had a high risk of methodological bias.

Discussion

The results of this review indicate that interventions using the CT method may promote more relevant adaptations to CRF compared to IT and SI groups. These findings were observed in other studies using CT. These studies described the increase in maximum oxygen consumption in patients with hypertension,⁴¹ overweight adults^{42,43} and patients with cardiovascular disease.²¹

As previously reported, the reduction in VO_{2peak} is closely related to morbidity and mortality in cardiac patients.^{1,8} It represents an important marker for longevity and quality of life in those patients^{1,8,44}. Guidelines for CHF patients indicate that regular exercise is a safe and low-cost form of treatment, and it has great importance to the success of the treatment^{0,0}. It is strongly recommended that at least 30 min of aerobic activities at moderate to vigorous intensity should be done between 3 and 5 times per week, along with resistance exercises at least twice per week at moderate intensity (60–70% 1MR).⁴⁴

IT has been recommended as a non-pharmacological treatment for elderly with cardiovascular conditions.^{8,12,44} This demonstrates a stronger effect on aerobic capacity, the remodeling of left ventricular reversion, endothelial function and quality of life compared to continuous aerobic exercise.^{16,44} Furthermore, IT revealed a direct relationship between the intensity of physical training and the magnitude of improvement in aerobic fitness with low risks for exercise-related adverse events⁰. On the other hand, RT is considered an important method for the improvement and maintenance of functional capacity, strength and muscle

power in the elderly and patients with CHF.^{9,45,46} Strength exercises combined with aerobic activity have been shown to promote better results related to body composition, muscle strength and CRF in individuals with obstructive coronary disease when compared to aerobic training alone.^{47,48}

Studies suggest that CT increases muscle function and aerobic capacity, by promoting greater efficiency of the body to use oxygen,^{2,33} being effective for maintaining physical fitness and functional capacity⁰. It is worth mentioning the importance of maintaining physical fitness with aging as a relevant factor for the reduction in the development of risk factors, such as the risk of chronic cardiometabolic diseases, type 2 diabetes, metabolic syndrome, cardiovascular disease and obesity, and the reduction in functional capacity and activities of daily living.^{18,45}

In this review, all the studies presented equivalence of volumes and training between the intervention groups CT and IT, which may strengthen the findings. It was observed that the CT protocols were considerably homogeneous, and in addition, 70% of the studies presented the SIT protocol.^{31,32,34,35,37,38,40} Therefore, in 50% of the studies, the CT corresponded to the duration of approximately 40 min per session, using four exercises of RT at 55–65% of 2RM and 60–80% of 1RM, while for the IT protocols, mostly used 30s/60s ratio, with a moderate intensity of 50% W_{pico} and a frequency of 3 times per week, respectively, with satisfactory and consistent results.^{31,32,34,35,37,38,40} This pattern of volume, intensity and training frequency corroborates with the recommendations for the practice of physical exercises in patients with CHF.⁴⁴

The implementation of CT (IT plus RT) may maximize treatment time, an aspect that has been considered as an important factor when choosing an intervention.^{24,46,47} The characteristic of this training method reinforces the growing interest in this type of exercise, which is becoming

an interesting strategy in terms of increasing aerobic capacity, as well as a relevant tool for the treatment of CHF patients.⁴⁹ The results of the present meta-analysis may indicate a new path for future research and may well help future studies to conduct better methodologically delineated interventions in this type of protocol.

This study has some limitations. First, most of the studies found do not comply with the methodological recommendations for secret allocation and controlled clinical trials, as well as presenting reduced samples. And second, none of the studies dealt with nutritional control, which together with physical exercise is an important aspect of non-pharmacological treatment.

In conclusion, the results suggest that CT may be considered as another efficient option, demonstrating the development of relevant adaptations to aerobic fitness for the treatment of CHF patients. Therefore, CT may be capable of promoting superior VO_{2peak} benefits, although IT also provides benefits in VO_{2peak} compared to the non-intervention group. However, further studies should be conducted to broaden the understanding of this training method, exploring different exercise volumes and intensities, as well as comparing with other CT methods.

Financial support

This work was funded by CNPq and CAPES.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES), Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Fundação Araucária, Santander Universities 2018 Grants Program and Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT/UID/DTP/00617/2013).

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at [doi:10.1016/j.bjpt.2019.04.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjpt.2019.04.001).

References

- Bocchi EA, Marcondes-Braga FG, Bacal F, et al. Updating of the Brazilian guideline for chronic heart failure – 2012. *Arq Bras Cardiol*. 2012;98(1 (Suppl. 1)):1–33, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0066-782X2012001000001>.
- Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, et al. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. *Eur Heart J*. 2016;37(27):2129–2200, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehw128>.
- de Albuquerque DC, de Neto JD, Bacal F, et al. I Brazilian Registry of Heart Failure – clinical aspects, care quality and hospitalization outcomes. *Arq Bras Cardiol*. 2015;104(6):433–442, <http://dx.doi.org/10.5935/abc.20150031>.
- Savarese G, Lund LH. Global public health burden of heart failure. *Cardiac Fail Rev*. 2017;3(1):7–11, <https://doi.org/10.154202Fcf.2016%3A25%3A2>.
- Ministério da Saúde. *Datasus: Sistema de Informações Hospitalares do SUS – 2008 a 2017, pela CID-10 – Brasil [Internet]*. Brasília (DF); 2008 [accessed 2017 Jul 11]. Available in: <http://tabnet.datasus.gov.br/cgi/defctohtm.exe?sih/cnv/niuf.def>.
- Ziaiean B, Fonarow GC. Epidemiology and aetiology of heart failure. *Nat Rev Cardiol*. 2016;13:368–378, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrcardio.2016.25>.
- Park LG, Dracup KA, Whooley MA, McCulloch C, Jin C, Howie-Esquivel J. Sedentary lifestyle associated with mortality in patients with heart failure who monitor weight and symptoms. *Circulation*. 2017;14(136), 1 suppl. 1, http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/136/Suppl_1/A18732.short.
- Gayda M, Ribeiro PAB, Juneau M, Nigam A. Comparison of different forms of exercise training in patients with cardiac disease: where does high-intensity interval training fit? *Can J Cardiol*. 2016;32(4):485–494, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cjca.2016.01.017>.
- Saavedra MJ, Romero F, Roa J, Rodríguez-Núñez I. Exercise training to reduce sympathetic nerve activity in heart failure patients. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Brazilian J Phys Ther*. 2017;21(6):457–464, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjpt.2017.06.014>.
- Calegari L, Barroso BF, Bratz J, et al. Efeitos do treinamento aeróbico e do fortalecimento em pacientes com insuficiência cardíaca. *Rev Bras Med Esp*. 2017;23(2):123–127, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1517-869220172302153651>.
- Adsett J, Morris N, Kuys S, et al. Aquatic exercise training is effective in maintaining exercise performance in trained heart failure patients: a randomised crossover pilot trial. *Heart Lung Circ*. 2017;26(6):572–579, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.hlc.2016.10.017>.
- Haykowsky MJ, Timmons MP, Kruger C, McNeely M, Taylor DA, Clark AM. Meta-analysis of aerobic interval training on exercise capacity and systolic function in patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fractions. *Am J Cardiol*. 2013;111:1466–1469, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2013.01.303>, 10.
- Gremaux V, Drigny J, Nigam A, et al. Long-term lifestyle intervention with optimized high-intensity interval training improves body composition, cardiometabolic risk, and exercise parameters in patients with abdominal obesity. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*. 2012;91(11):941–950, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/PHM.0b013e3182643ce0>.
- Gibala MJ, Little JP, MacDonald MJ, Hawley JA. Physiological adaptations to low-volume, high-intensity interval training in health and disease. *J Physiol*. 2012;590(5):1077–1084, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2011.224725>.
- Mezzani A, Hamm LF, Jones AM, et al. Aerobic exercise intensity assessment and prescription in cardiac rehabilitation: a joint position statement of the European Association for Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation, the American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation and the Canadian Association of Cardiac Rehabilitation. *Eur J Prev Cardiol*. 2013;20:442–467, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/2047487312460484>.
- Betancourt MT, Roberts KC, Bennett TL, Driscoll ER, Jayaraman G, Pelletier L. Monitoring chronic diseases in Canada: the Chronic Disease Indicator Framework. *Spring*. 2014;34(Suppl. 1):1–30. <https://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/reports-publications/health-promotion-chronic-disease-prevention-canada-research-policy-practice/vol-34-no-1-2014/supplement.html>.
- de Menezes Junior FJ, de Jesus IC, Israel VL, Leite N. Is the combination of interval and resistance training more

- effective on physical fitness? A systematic review and Meta-analysis. *Rev Bras Cin Desem Hum.* 2017;19(5):618–629, <http://dx.doi.org/10.5007/1980-0037.2017v19n5p618>.
18. Taylor D. Physical activity is medicine for older adults. *Postgrad Med J.* 2014;90(1059):26–32, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/postgradmedj-2012-131366>.
 19. Racil G, Zouhal H, Elmontassar W, et al. Plyometric exercise combined with high-intensity interval training improves metabolic abnormalities in young obese females more so than interval training alone. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab.* 2016;41(1):103–109, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/apnm-2015-0384>.
 20. Tsitkanou S, Spengos K, Stasinaki A-N, et al. Effects of high-intensity interval cycling performed after resistance training on muscle strength and hypertrophy. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2017;27(11):1317–1327, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/sms.12751>.
 21. Delvecchio L, Reaburn P, Trapp G, Korhonen MT. Effect of concurrent resistance and sprint training on body composition and cardiometabolic health indicators in masters cyclists. *J Exerc Rehabil.* 2016;12(5):442–450, <https://doi.org/10.12965%2Fjer.1632672.336>.
 22. Currie KD, Bailey KJ, Jung ME, McKelvie RS, MacDonald MJ. Effects of resistance training combined with moderate-intensity endurance or low-volume high-intensity interval exercise on cardiovascular risk factors in patients with coronary artery disease. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2015;18(6):637–642, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2014.09.013>.
 23. Panagopoulou N, Karatzanos E, Dimopoulos S, et al. Exercise training improves characteristics of exercise oscillatory ventilation in chronic heart failure. *Eur J Prev Cardiol.* 2017;24(8):825–832, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/2047487317695627>.
 24. Cornelis J, Beckers P, Taeymans J, Vrints C, Vissers D. Comparing exercise training modalities in heart failure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev.* 2016;22(1):867–876, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2016.07.105>.
 25. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *Int J Surg.* 2010;8(5):336–341, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2010.02.007>.
 26. Higgins JPT, Green S. *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Review of Interventions Version 5.1.0 [updated June 2018] [Internet]*. The Cochrane Collaboration; 2011. Available from: <http://handbook.cochrane.org/>.
 27. Mancini MC, Cardoso JR, Sampaio RF, Costa LCM, Cabral CMN, Costa LOP. Tutorial for writing systematic reviews for the Brazilian Journal of Physical Therapy (BJPT). *Braz J Phys Ther.* 2014;18(6):471–480, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/bjpt-rbf.2014.0077>.
 28. Balshem H, Helfand M, Schünemann HJ, et al. GRADE guidelines: 3 Rating the quality of evidence. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2011;64(4):401–406, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.07.015>.
 29. Borenstein M, Hedges LV, Higgins JPT, Rothstein HR. *Introduction to Meta-Analysis*. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.; 2009, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9780470743386.refs>.
 30. Higgins J, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. *Stat Med.* 2002;21:1539–1558, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/sim.1186>.
 31. Anagnostakou V, Chatzimichail K, Dimopoulos S, et al. Effects of interval cycle training with or without strength training on vascular reactivity in heart failure patients. *J Card Fail.* 2011;17(7):585–591, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cardfail.2011.02.009>.
 32. Bouchla A, Karatzanos E, Dimopoulos S, et al. The addition of strength training to aerobic interval training: effects on muscle strength and body composition in CHF patients. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev.* 2011;31(1):47–51, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/HCR.0b013e3181e174d7>.
 33. Delagardelle C, Feiereisen P, Autier P, Shita R, Krecke R, Beissel J. Strength/endurance training versus endurance training in congestive heart failure. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2002;34(12):1868–1872, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00005768-200212000-00002>.
 34. Georgantas A, Dimopoulos S, Tasoulis A, et al. Beneficial effects of combined exercise training on early recovery cardiopulmonary exercise testing indices in patients with chronic heart failure. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev.* 2014;1–8, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/HCR.0000000000000068>.
 35. Tasoulis A, Papazachou O, Dimopoulos S, et al. Effects of interval exercise training on respiratory drive in patients with chronic heart failure. *Respir Med.* 2010;104(10):1557–1565, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rmed.2010.03.009>.
 36. Tzanis G, Philippou A, Karatzanos E, et al. Effects of high-intensity interval exercise training on skeletal myopathy of chronic heart failure. *J Card Fail.* 2017;23(1):36–46, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cardfail.2016.06.007>.
 37. Chrysoshoou C, Angelis A, Tsitsinakakis G, et al. Cardiovascular effects of high-intensity interval aerobic training combined with strength exercise in patients with chronic heart failure. A randomized phase III clinical trial. *Int J Cardiol.* 2015;179:269–274, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2014.11.067>.
 38. Kemps HMC, de Vries WR, Schmikli SL, et al. Assessment of the effects of physical training in patients with chronic heart failure: the utility of effort-independent exercise variables. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2010;108(3):469–476, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00421-009-1230-3>.
 39. Safiyari-Hafizi H, Taunton J, Ignaszewski A, Warburton DER. The health benefits of a 12-week home-based interval training cardiac rehabilitation program in patients with heart failure. *Can J Cardiol.* 2016;32(4):561–567, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cjca.2016.01.031>.
 40. Senden PJ, Sabelis LW, Zonderland ML, Hulzebos EH, Bol E, Mosterd WL. The effect of physical training on workload, upper leg muscle function and muscle areas in patients with chronic heart failure. *Int J Cardiol.* 2005;100(2):293–300, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2004.10.039>.
 41. Giannaki CD, Aghamir G, Sakkis P, Hadjicharalambous M. Eight weeks of a combination of high intensity interval training and conventional training reduce visceral adiposity and improve physical fitness: a group-based intervention. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness.* 2016;56(4):483–490. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25567049>.
 42. Álvarez C, Ramírez R, Flores M, Zúñiga C, Celis-Morales CA. Effect of sprint interval training and resistance exercise on metabolic markers in overweight women. *Rev Med Chil.* 2012;140(10):1289–1296, <http://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0034-98872012001000008>.
 43. Wens I, Dalgas U, Vandenabeele F, et al. High intensity exercise in multiple sclerosis: effects on muscle contractile characteristics and exercise capacity, a randomised controlled trial. *PLoS ONE.* 2015;10(9):1–13, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0133697>.
 44. De Maeyer C, Beckers P, Vrints CJ, Conraads VM. Exercise training in chronic heart failure. *Ther Adv Chronic Dis.* 2013;4(3):105–117, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/2040622313480382>.
 45. Chodzko-Zajko WJ, Proctor DN, Fiatarone Singh MA, et al. Exercise and physical activity for older adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2009;41(7):1510–1530, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181a0c95c>.
 46. Byrne C, Faure C, Keene DJ, Lamb SE. Ageing, muscle power and physical function: a systematic review and

- implications for pragmatic training interventions. *Sport Med.* 2016;46(9):1311–1332, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40279-016-0489-x>.
47. Marzolini S, Oh PI, Brooks D. Effect of combined aerobic and resistance training versus aerobic training alone in individuals with coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis. *Eur J Prev Cardiol.* 2012;19(1):81–94, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1741826710393197>.
48. Smart NA, Dieberg G, Giallauria F. Intermittent versus continuous exercise training in chronic heart failure: a meta-analysis. *Int J Cardiol.* 2013;166(2):352–358, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2011.10.075>.
49. Foster C, Farl CV, Guidotti F, et al. The effects of high intensity interval training vs steady state training on aerobic and anaerobic capacity. *J Sport Sci Med.* 2015;14(4):747–755, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2016.00495>.