Brazilian Journal of Physical Therapy

<https://www.journals.elsevier.com/brazilian-journal-of-physical-therapy>

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Interventions used for Rehabilitation and Prevention of Patellar Tendinopathy in athletes: a survey of Brazilian Sports Physical Therapists

Luciana De Michelis Mendonça^{a,b,*}, Natália Franco Netto Bittencourt^c,
Laís Emanuelle Meira Alves^a, Renan Alves Resende^{b,d}, Fábio Viadanna Serrão^{e,f}

^a Physical Therapy Department, School of Biological and Health Sciences (FCBS), Universidade Federal dos Vales do Jequitinhonha e do Mucuri (UFVJM), Diamantina, MG, Brazil

^b Postgraduate Program of Rehabilitation and Functional Performance (PPGReab), Universidade Federal dos Vales do Jequitinhonha e do Mucuri (UFVJM), Diamantina, MG, Brazil

^c Centro Universitário UNI-BH e Minas Tennis Clube, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil

^d Physical Therapy Department, School of Physical Education, Physical Therapy and Occupational Therapy, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG), Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil

^e Physical Therapy Department, Universidade Federal de São Carlos (UFSCar), São Carlos, SP, Brazil

^f Postgraduate Program of Physical Therapy, Universidade Federal de São Carlos (UFSCar), São Carlos, SP, Brazil

Received 23 March 2018; accepted 6 December 2018

Available online 19 December 2018

KEYWORDS

Prevention;
Rehabilitation;
Sports;
Tendon injury

Abstract

Objectives: (1) To identify the type and frequency of interventions used by Brazilian physical therapists to treat and prevent the occurrence of patellar tendinopathy in athletes and the criteria used to return to sport; (2) to compare the interventions used to the grade of recommendation of current evidence.

Methods: Design: cross-sectional study. Setting: online survey throughout sports physical therapy association. Participants: Physical therapists who were invited to complete a structured questionnaire. Main Outcome Measures: Interventions more frequently used for treating and preventing PT in athletes and the criteria used to define return to sport.

Results: One-hundred and twenty-one physical therapists participated in this study. Quadriceps eccentric strengthening (75.2%), education (61.2%) and lower limb joint/lumbo-pelvic stabilization/hamstrings stretching (59.5%) were more frequently cited for prevention purposes, while lower limb joint stabilization (81.8%), education (80.2%) and myofascial release (78.5%) were more frequently reported for treatment. The majority of the physical therapists based their decision of athlete discharge on a combination of pain intensity, function and functional test results (44.6%).

* Corresponding author at: Physical Therapy Department, School of Biological and Health Sciences (FCBS), Universidade Federal dos Vales do Jequitinhonha e do Mucuri, Rodovia MGT 367 – Km 583, No. 5000, Alto da Jacuba, CEP: 39100-000, Diamantina, MG, Brazil.

E-mail: lucianademichelis@yahoo.com.br (L.D. Mendonça).

Conclusion: There was inconsistency between interventions used in clinical practice and interventions recommended by the best available evidence.

© 2018 Associação Brasileira de Pesquisa e Pós-Graduação em Fisioterapia. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Patellar tendinopathy (PT) is a chronic condition that causes prolonged disability for athletes and that may be challenging to treat and prevent.¹ Athletes with PT usually complain about pain and tenderness to palpation in the patellar tendon during sports-related activities, such as sprinting and jumping.^{1,2} The prevalence of PT in elite volleyball and basketball athletes is approximately 40%,² in athletics is 22%² and in soccer is 13%.²

The management of PT frequently involves a wide range of interventions, such as knee extensors eccentric strengthening, heavy-slow resistance training, extracorporeal shock wave therapy (ESWT) and low-intensity pulsed ultrasound.^{3,4} However, interventions commonly implemented in athletes with PT might not be supported by scientific evidence.^{5–7} For example, Gaida and Cook³ found that ESWT and low-intensity pulsed ultrasound have only short-term effects and consequently may not be a good option for long-term treatment of PT. On the other hand, scientific evidence supports the use of quadriceps eccentric-concentric loading for long-term treatment of PT.⁸ In addition to local interventions, physical therapists should also identify and treat possible non-local causes of PT based on clinical reasoning and on scientific evidence, such as hip muscles weakness and ankle dorsiflexion ROM.^{1,7,9,10} Finally, proper criteria to return to sport should be considered at the end of rehabilitation to promote a safe sport practice.^{1,7,11,12}

Most of the clinical trials regarding the effects of different interventions on athletes with PT lack proper follow-up data.¹³ Moreover, a systematic review¹⁴ showed that physical therapists reported lack of time and inability to interpret statistical results and a surveillance in Brazil¹⁵ demonstrated that 80% of the PTs have difficulty in obtaining full-text paper. Based on this data, it would be not surprising that the physical therapist may not use high quality clinical research routinely to treat and prevent PT. The purpose of this study were (1) to identify the type and frequency of interventions used by Brazilian physical therapists to treat and prevent the occurrence of PT in athletes and the criteria used to return to sport and (2) to compare the interventions used to the grade of recommendation of current evidence. Our hypothesis is that physical therapists do not discharge athletes from treatment and do not implement their interventions for rehabilitation and prevention according to the best scientific evidence.

Methods

This cross-sectional study was reported in accordance with “the strengthening the reporting of observational studies

in epidemiology (STROBE) statement”.¹⁶ This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Universidade Federal dos Vales do Jequitinhonha e Mucuri (Diamantina, Minas Gerais, Brazil) (55498416.1.0000.5108).

Participants

Physical therapists were invited to participate in this study through the Brazilian National Society of Sport Physical Therapy (SONAFE-Brazil) database (electronic address and social media). SONAFE-Brazil had 444 associates. These associates were invited to participate in this study, as well as other professionals without membership, throughout SONAFE's social media. They were invited through cover letter sent by email by SONAFE's secretary, which had: (i) a short explanation of the study purposes, clinical relevance and inclusion criteria, ii) the consent form and iii) the structured questionnaire (assessed by clicking on a link or opening a PDF file). When a participant answered the entire questionnaire using the link, an automatic electronic message was sent to the examiner (L.D.M.), who was responsible for organizing the data sheet and analyzing it. On the other hand, the participants that fulfilled the PDF file sent that file back to the same examiner (L.D.M.). After sending the cover letters, we waited for three months to get the responses back from the potential participants. In addition, for those potential participants who did not answer back after one month, reminders were resent twice during the following two months. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (i) be a registered physical therapist at the Brazilian federal council of Physical Therapy and Occupational Therapy and (ii) have previous experience with rehabilitation of athletes (professional or amateurs) with PT (a minimum time of experience was not established). All participants read and signed the consent form and had their confidentiality protected.

Data collection

The questionnaire had ten questions: (i) four questions related to clinical practice and the characteristics of the treated athletes, (ii) two multiple choice questions about interventions more frequently used for athletes with PT, (iii) two questions about the frequency of the implemented interventions and the duration of the treatment, (iv) one multiple choice question about the time loss from practice and (v) one question about the criteria used to define return to sport. The majority of the questions were answered by checking boxes.

The answer options regarding the questions about interventions most frequently used were: eccentric protocol,^{17–19} eccentric/isometric/concentric strengthening,^{20–23}

Figure 1 Flow diagram.

stretching,^{10,20,24} joint mobilization,^{20,25} foot orthotics,^{20,26} electrotherapy,^{27–30} lumbo-pelvic stabilization,^{1,3,9} mio-fascial release,³¹ functional training,^{1,7,13} lower limb stabilization,^{1,7,13,32} taping,^{33,34} transverse friction,^{3,9,35} plyometric,^{1,3,9} load control,^{1,3,8,9} education,^{1,3,9} cryotherapy,^{1,3,9,36} and others. The physical therapist could select multiple interventions. The definition of the intervention options to include in the questionnaire was based in the literature,^{1,7,8,21,37} common sense, and in the clinical experience of the authors.

The answer options regarding the question about the criteria used to return to sport were: pain,^{1,3,4,9} function,^{1,3,9} the Victorian Institute of Sport Assessment – Patella (VISA-P) score,^{1,3,9,11} performance in a functional test and others. Finally, we asked about the athletes' amount of time loss from sport due to PT.

Data analysis

Descriptive analysis was performed to define the characteristics of the participants, the absolute and relative frequency of responses related to used interventions, time to return to play and discharge from treatment criteria. The different interventions were clustered in the following groups of interventions: Electrothermal Phototherapy, Strengthening exercises, Stretching exercises and Others.

Considering that there is no guideline³⁸ for PT treatment, the authors searched for the best available evidence for each group of interventions. This simple search was performed in PUBMED using the term "patellar tendinopathy" combined by the Boolean operator "AND" with the name of the group of intervention (e.g. patellar tendinopathy AND strengthening exercises) to identify systematic reviews and/or meta-analysis that assessed the efficacy of the interventions cited by the participants of this study. We have also indicated the grade of recommendation, when available, for each group of interventions. Therefore, grade A indicates strong evidence, B indicates moderate evidence,

C, weak evidence, D, conflicting evidence, E, theoretical/foundational evidence and F, expert opinion. Grades E or F were provided for the groups of interventions that we did not find scientific evidence from systematic reviews and meta-analysis.

Results

Sample characteristics

One hundred and twenty-one Brazilian physical therapists participated in this study (95 males and 26 females). Questionnaires with one-third or more of the questions unanswered were excluded from analysis ($n=1$). Fig. 1 shows the flow diagram of the study and Table 1 indicates descriptive data and clinical practice characteristics of the participants.

Frequency of selected interventions

Table 2 shows the frequency of interventions used by the participants for rehabilitation and prevention purposes. Information about grade of recommendation was extracted on October 21st through consecutive simple search of systematic reviews and meta-analysis.

Most of the participants reported that the frequency of rehabilitation sessions was one to three times per week (48.8%), followed by four to six times per week (37.20%). In addition, the duration of the rehabilitation treatment was five to eight weeks (43.8%), followed by two to four weeks (24%) and nine to twelve weeks (19%). The mean time loss from training was more than fifteen days for 50.4% and only 6.6% allow athletes to keep training during the treatment period. The frequency of prevention sessions was mainly one to three times per week (66.1%), followed by no specific frequency (23.1%).

Only twenty physical therapists (16.5%) indicated the use of the single-leg decline squat as an eccentric protocol and only fifteen (12.4%) indicated the heavy-slow resistance

Table 1 Descriptive data and clinical practice characteristics of the participants.

Descriptive data	Mean (SD)	Minimum	Maximum
Sample (n = 121)			
Age (years)	35.2 (6.7)	23	63
Time of graduation (years)	11.4 (5.7)	0	34
Time of specialty (years)	7.3 (4.7)	0	18
With specialty (n = 98)			
Age (years)	35.2 (6.7)	25	63
Time of graduation (years)	11.4 (5.7)	1	34
Without specialty (n = 23)			
Age (years)	32.2 (1.5)	23	45
Time of graduation (years)	7.1 (3.7)	0	20
Clinical practice characteristics	Frequency (n(%))		
Sample (n = 121)			
<i>Sports modality attended</i>			
Soccer	40 (19.3%)		
Running	27 (13.0%)		
Volleyball	24 (11.6%)		
Martial Arts	19 (9.1%)		
Swimming	17 (8.2%)		
Tennis	15 (7.2%)		
Basketball	13 (6.2%)		
Athletics	10 (4.8%)		
Others	42 (20.3%)		
<i>Clinical practice experience</i>			
Private practice	65 (38.0%)		
Sports event	41 (23.9%)		
Sports team	27 (15.8%)		
All	26 (15.2%)		
Others	12 (7.0%)		

SD, standard deviation.

training. Twenty-four (19.8%) participants used the muscle strengthening in specific lengths (e.g. elongated or shortened).

Return to play criteria

The participants reported that the most cited criteria to define return to sport was the combination of pain intensity, function and performance in a functional test (Table 3). The top three functional tests indicated by the participants were hop test (n = 28; 23.2%), single-leg decline squat/single-leg squat (n = 13; 10.8%) and step-down test (n = 8; 6.6%).

Discussion

This study showed the profile of Brazilian physical therapists that work with athletes with PT, capturing interventions related to rehabilitation, prevention and criteria to decide about return to play. The Brazilian physical therapists that participated in this study were mainly sport specialists working in private practices with more than ten years of clinical experience. Education, myofascial release and stabilization were used for PT rehabilitation and strengthening,

education, stabilization and stretching were used for PT prevention. It was possible to indicate that the physical therapist's choices weren't in accordance to current evidence.

Rehabilitation

The participants based their rehabilitation program on education, myofascial release, lower limb joint stabilization/proprioception/balance, and quadriceps stretching and eccentric exercises. Education improves athlete's adherence during rehabilitation and eighty percent of the physical therapists reported using this intervention.^{9,43,44} Myofascial release is a manual technique with recent studies demonstrating that it increases range of motion and reduces delayed onset of muscle soreness of recreational to highly active individuals.³¹ Although there is no evidence of the benefits for athletes with PT, future studies are necessary to validate (or refute) the benefits of this intervention for this population. Interestingly, lower limb stabilization was selected by 81.8% of the physical therapists, even though previous studies have not demonstrated the benefits of this intervention to tendinopathy.³² A systematic review published in 2011²⁰ indicated that quadriceps flexibility is a risk factor for PT, which could explain why most of

Table 2 Frequency (*n* (%)) of interventions targeting rehabilitation and prevention and grade of recommendation.

Electrothermal phototherapy		Grade of recommendation ^{39,40,41} : D to F	
Intervention	Rehabilitation	Prevention	Is it recommended?
Cryotherapy	56 (46.2%)	9 (7.4%)	N
Laser	38 (31.4%)	8 (6.6%)	N
Ultrasound	20 (16.5%)	5 (4.1%)	N
TENS	14 (11.5%)	0 (0%)	N/A
None (electrotherapy)	28 (23.1%)	108 (89.2%)	
Stretching exercises		Grade of recommendation ^{40,41} : C to F	
Intervention	Rehabilitation	Prevention	Is it recommended?
Quadriceps	91 (75.2%)	59 (48.7%)	Y
Hamstrings	75 (61.9%)	72 (59.5%)	Y
Iliotibial band	70 (57.8%)	55 (45.4%)	N/A
Plantar flexors	51 (42.1%)	51 (42.1%)	N/A
None (stretching)	18 (14.8%)	39 (32.2%)	
Strengthening exercises		Grade of recommendation ^{40,41,42} : A to F	
Intervention	Rehabilitation	Prevention	Is it recommended?
Quadriceps concentric	38 (31.4%)	43 (35.5%)	Y
Hamstrings concentric	56 (46.2%)	44 (36.3%)	N/A
Abdominal concentric	15 (12.4%)	18 (14.8%)	N/A
Plantar flexors concentric	47 (38.8%)	25 (20.6%)	N/A
Hip abductors concentric	63 (52.0%)	63 (52.0%)	N/A
Hip ER concentric	66 (54.5%)	52 (42.9%)	N/A
Hip extensors concentric	62 (51.2%)	55 (45.4%)	Y
None (concentric)	20 (16.5%)	29 (23.9%)	
Quadriceps isometric	61 (50.4%)	22 (18.1%)	Y
Hamstrings isometric	17 (14.0%)	7 (5.7%)	N/A
Abdominal isometric	27 (22.3%)	17 (14.0%)	N/A
Plantar flexors isometric	8 (6.6%)	5 (4.1%)	N/A
Hip abductors isometric	25 (20.6%)	24 (19.8%)	N/A
Hip ER isometric	26 (21.4%)	17 (14.0%)	N/A
Hip extensors isometric	25 (20.6%)	18 (14.8%)	N/A
None (isometric)	39 (32.2%)	63 (52.0%)	
Quadriceps eccentric	88 (72.7%)	91 (75.2%)	Y
Hamstrings eccentric	35 (28.9%)	43 (35.5%)	N/A
Abdominal eccentric	11 (9.1%)	11 (9.1%)	N/A
Plantar flexors eccentric	27 (22.3%)	29 (23.9%)	N/A
Hip abductors eccentric	40 (33.0%)	47 (38.8%)	N/A
Hip ER eccentric	37 (30.5%)	41 (33.8%)	N/A
Hip extensors eccentric	36 (29.7%)	45 (37.2%)	Y
None (eccentric)	6 (4.9%)	17 (14.0%)	
Plyometric	53 (43.8%)	42 (40.5%)	Y
Single-Leg decline squat	59 (48.7%)	42 (34.7%)	Y
Others		Grade of recommendation ^{39,40,41} : D to F	
Intervention	Rehabilitation	Prevention	Is it recommended?
Taping	75 (61.9%)	12 (9.9%)	N
Foot orthotics	35 (28.9%)	21 (17.3%)	N
Education	97 (80.1%)	74 (61.1%)	Y
Load control	66 (54.5%)	33 (27.2%)	Y
Transverse friction	54 (44.6%)	1 (0.8%)	N
Myofascial Release	95 (78.5%)	45 (37.2%)	N
Lower limb joint stabilization/proprioception/balance	99 (81.8%)	72 (59.5%)	Y
Functional training	64 (52.9%)	59 (48.7%)	Y
Lumbo-pelvic stabilization	76 (62.8%)	72 (59.5%)	Y
Ankle dorsiflexion mobilization	68 (56.2%)	48 (39.6%)	N/A

Y, yes; N, no; N/A, not investigated. Grade of recommendation (based on the references indicated) was A in case of strong evidence, B if moderate evidence, C if weak evidence, D if conflicting evidence, E if theoretical/foundational evidence and F in case of expert opinion. The interventions recommended for athletes with PT based on systematic reviews and meta-analysis are marked as "yes" and for those interventions not recommended or not investigated in the cited references, we indicated as "no" and "N/A", respectively.

Table 3 Return to sport criteria (*n* = 121).

Criteria	Frequency (<i>n</i> (%))
Pain	4 (3.3%)
Function	6 (4.9%)
Functional test	4 (3.3%)
Pain + function	26 (21.5%)
Pain + functional test	6 (4.9%)
Function + functional test	18 (14.8%)
All	54 (44.6%)
Cited VISA-P ^a	9 (7.4%)
No answer	3 (2.4%)

^a Frequency extracted from a specific question.

the participants mentioned the use of quadriceps stretching. Seventy-two percent of the physical therapists chose quadriceps eccentric strengthening exercise, which agrees with scientific evidence.²¹ For example, Kongsgaard et al.²¹ demonstrated that eccentric training decreased tendon pain and improved collagen remodeling short and after a 12 months follow-up. Moreover, Yu et al.²⁷ found that almost half of the randomized controlled trials that investigated the effectiveness of physical agents for the management of lower-limb soft tissue injuries, such as PT, had a high risk of bias. Moreover, the authors didn't find randomized clinical trials investigating the effects of laser therapy in patients with PT.²⁷

Prevention

The participants of the present study reported that they frequently use quadriceps eccentric strengthening, education, lower limb joint/lumbo-pelvic stabilization and hamstrings stretching. Quadriceps eccentrics strengthening was indicated as a usual preventive intervention by the participants of the present study.^{8,17,18} Eccentric is a proper intervention to enhance tissue energy absorption.⁴⁵ The adherence of preventive programs is directly related to their effectiveness and education influences this process.^{9,43,44} Lower limb joint stabilization and lumbo-pelvic stabilization exercises are usually included in general preventive programs in sports, since it aims to improve functional capability of the athlete.^{46–48} However, this type of general preventive program has no evidence specifically related to PT.⁴⁹ Hamstring stretching is adopted based on a systematic review published in 2011 about risk factors for PT.²⁰

Return to sport

Return to sport for athletes with PT can be slow and is often dependent on severity of the tendon pain, dysfunction and the quality of the rehabilitation. Our results indicated that the physical therapists chosen a combination of pain, function and functional tests to decide about return to sport. The presence of pain and dysfunction could be assessed through VISA-P, since it is largely used to assess PT severity in athletes and its responsiveness in athletes has been reported.^{12,19,27,50} Despite this, approximately 10% of the participants cited VISA-P as a criterion to define return to sport. The main functional tests used by the

participants (hop test, single-leg decline squat/single-leg squat and step-down test) are standardized and indicated to PT management.^{1,44}

Our study was capable to capture the viewpoints of different competences to know how physical therapists act when dealing with athletes in rehabilitation, prevention and return to play. This information could help physical therapists to identify their state of evidence-based practice when dealing with patients with PT. Moreover, we could recommend strategies to enhance the implementation of scientific evidence on physical therapists' clinical practice, such as organize study groups to discuss new evidence and scientific events to share experiences and knowledge with each other. Future studies could investigate the relationship between the interventions used to treat or prevent PT and the barriers to access scientific literature.

This study had some limitations. Although all of the participants had experience with PT, it is not clear that their main expertise was athletes with PT. We instructed the physical therapists to consider their clinical experience with professional and amateur athletes. Therefore, the athletes' training level and dedication may have influenced the results. Moreover, the questionnaire was self-administered and filled by Brazilians physical therapists. Thus, we were not able to solve possible doubts of the participants to answer the questionnaire and our results may not be applied to physical therapists from other countries.

Conclusion

The most frequently interventions used by Brazilians physical therapists to treat PT were education, myofascial release and lower limb joint stabilization. Considering prevention of PT, the most used interventions were quadriceps strengthening, education, lower limb joint and lumbo-pelvic stabilization and hamstring stretching. In relation to return to sport, combined criteria (pain, function and functional tests) were used. Finally, there was inconsistency between interventions used in clinical practice and interventions recommended by the literature.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Brazilian National Society of Sport Physical Therapy (SONAFE-Brazil) for their support and all physical therapists that participated in this study.

References

- Cook JL, Purdam CR. The challenge of managing tendinopathy in competing athletes. *Br J Sports Med.* 2013;1–6, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2012-092078>.
- Lian OB, Engebretsen L, Bahr R. Prevalence of jumper's knee among elite athletes from different sports. *Am J Sports Med.* 2005;33(4):561–567.
- Gaida JE, Cook J. Treatment options for patellar tendinopathy: critical review. *Curr Sports Med Rep.* 2011;10(5):255–270, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1249/JSR.0b013e318224016>.
- Van Ark M, Cook JL, Docking SI, et al. Do isometric and isotonic exercise programs reduce pain in athletes with patellar tendinopathy in-season? A randomised clinical trial. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2016;19(9):702–706, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2015.11.006>.
- Magnusson SP, Langberg H, Kjaer M. The pathogenesis of tendinopathy: balancing the response to loading. *Nat Rev Rheumatol.* 2010;5(5):262–268, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrrheum.2010.43>.
- Kjaer M, Langberg H, Miller BF, et al. Metabolic activity and collagen turnover in human tendon in response to physical activity. *J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact.* 2005;5(1):41–52.
- Rudavsky A, Cook J. Physiotherapy management of patellar tendinopathy (jumper's knee). *J Physiother.* 2014;60(3):122–129, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jphys.2014.06.022>.
- Malliaras P, Barton CJ, Reeves ND, Langberg H. Achilles and patellar tendinopathy loading programmes: a systematic review comparing clinical outcomes and identifying potential mechanisms for effectiveness. *Sports Med.* 2013;43(4):267–286, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40279-013-0019-z>.
- Vicenzino B. Tendinopathy: evidence-informed physical therapy clinical reasoning. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2015;45(11):816–818, <http://dx.doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2015.0110>.
- Silva RS, Nakagawa TH, Ferreira AL, Garcia LC, Santos JE, Serrão FV. Lower limb strength and flexibility in athletes with and without patellar tendinopathy. *Phys Ther Sport.* 2016;20:19–25, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ptsp.2015.12.001>.
- Hernandez-Sanchez S, Hidalgo MD, Gomez A. Responsiveness of the VISA-P scale for patellar tendinopathy in athletes. *Br J Sports Med.* 2012;48(6):453–457, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2012-091163>.
- Macri EM, Waugh CM. Use of the VISA-P as a clinical measure in research. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2016;46(11):1012–1013, <http://dx.doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2016.0203>.
- Larsson ME, Käll I, Nilsson-Helander K. Treatment of patellar tendinopathy—a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2012;20(8):1632–1646, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00167-011-1825-1>.
- Silva TM, Costa LCM, Garcia AN, Costa LOP. What do physical therapists think about Evidence-Based Practice? A systematic review. *Man Ther.* 2015;20(3):388–401, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.math.2014.10.0009>.
- Silva TM, Costa LCM, Costa LOP. Evidence-Based Practice: a survey regarding behavior, knowledge, skills, resources, opinions and perceived barriers of Brazilian physical therapists from São Paulo state. *Braz J Phys Ther.* 2015;19(4):294–303, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/bjpt-rbf.2014.0102>.
- Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) Statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Lancet.* 2007;370(9596):1453–1457, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S01406736\(07\)61602-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S01406736(07)61602-X).
- Frohm AM, Saartok T, Halvorsen K, Renström P. Eccentric treatment for patellar tendinopathy—a prospective randomised short-term pilot study of two rehabilitation protocols. *Br J Sports Med.* 2007;41(7):e7, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2006.032599>.
- Woodley BL, Newsham-West RJ, Baxter GD. Chronic tendinopathy: effectiveness of eccentric exercise. *Br J Sports Med.* 2006;41(4):188–198, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.2006.029769>.
- Visnes H, Hoksrud A, Cook J, Bahr R. No effect of eccentric training on jumper's knee in volleyball players during the competitive season: a randomized clinical trial. *Clin J Sports Med.* 2005;15(4):227–234.
- Van der Worp H, Van Ark M, Roerink S, et al. Risk factors for patellar tendinopathy: a systematic review of the literature. *Br J Sports Med.* 2011;45:446–452, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.2011.084079>.
- Kongsgaard M, Kovanen V, Aagaard P, et al. Corticosteroid injections, eccentric decline squat training and heavy slow resistance training in patellar tendinopathy. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2009;19(6):790–802, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0083.2009.00949.00949>.
- Silva RS, Ferreira ALG, Nakagawa TH, Santos JE, Serrão FV. Rehabilitation of patellar tendinopathy using hip extensor strengthening and landing-strategy modification: case report with 6-month follow-up. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2015;45(11):899–909, <http://dx.doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2015.6242>.
- Rio E, Van Ark M, Docking S, et al. Isometric contractions are more analgesic than isotonic contractions for patellar tendon pain: an in-season randomized clinical trial. *Clin J Sport Med.* 2017;27(3):253–259, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/JSM.0000000000000364>.
- Dimitrios S, Pantelis M, Kalliopi S. Comparing the effects of eccentric training with eccentric training and static stretching exercises in the treatment of patellar tendinopathy. A controlled clinical trial. *Clin Rehabil.* 2012;26(5):423–430, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0269215511411114>.
- Backman LJ, Danielson P. Low range of ankle dorsiflexion predisposes for patellar tendinopathy in junior elite basketball players: a 1-year prospective study. *Am J Sports Med.* 2011;39(12):2626–2633, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0363546511420552>.
- Mendonça LD, Verhagen E, Bittencourt NF, Gonçalves GG, Ocarino JM, Fonseca ST. Factors associated with the presence of patellar tendon abnormalities in male athletes. *J Science Med Sport.* 2016;19(5):389–394, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2015.05.011>.
- Yu H, Randhawa K, Côté P, Optima Collaboration. The effectiveness of physical agents for lower-limb soft tissue injuries: a systematic review. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2016;46(7):523–554, <http://dx.doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2016.6521>.
- De Bie RA, De Vet HC, Lenssen TF, Van den Wildenberg FA, Kootstra G, Knipschild PG. Low-level laser therapy in ankle sprains: a randomized clinical trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 1998;79(11):1415–1420.
- Abat F, Sánchez-Sánchez JL, Martín-Nogueras AM, et al. Randomized controlled trial comparing the effectiveness of the ultrasound-guided galvanic electrolysis technique (USGET) versus conventional electro-physiotherapeutic treatment on patellar tendinopathy. *J Exp Orthop.* 2016;3(1):34, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s40633-016-0070-4>.
- Warden SJ, Metcalf BR, Kiss ZS, et al. Low-intensity pulsed ultrasound for chronic patellar tendinopathy: observational studies. *Lancet.* 2007;370(9596):1453–1457, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S01406736\(07\)61602-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S01406736(07)61602-X).

- a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Rheumatol*. 2008;47(4):467–471, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/kem384>.
31. Cheatham SW, Kolber MJ, Cain M, Lee M. The effects of self-myofascial release using a foam roll or roller massager on joint range of motion, muscle recovery, and performance: a systematic review. *Int J Sports Phys Ther*. 2015;10(6):827–838.
 32. Tagesson S, Witvrouw E, Kvist J. Differences in knee joint stabilization between children and adults and between the sexes. *Am J Sports Med*. 2013;41(3):678–683, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0363546512473252>.
 33. Horstmann H, Clausen JD, Krettek C, Weber-Spickschen TS. Evidence-based therapy for tendinopathy of the knee joint: which forms of therapy are scientifically proven? *Unfallchirurg*. 2017;120(3):199–204, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00113-017-0310-9>.
 34. De Vries A, Zwerver J, Diercks R, et al. Effect of patellar strap and sports tape on pain in patellar tendinopathy: a randomized controlled trial. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2016;26(10):1217–1224, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/sms.12556>.
 35. Stasinopoulos D, Stasinopoulos I. Comparison of effects of exercise programme, pulsed ultrasound and transverse friction in the treatment of chronic patellar tendinopathy. *Clin Rehabil*. 2004;18(4):347–352, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1191/0269215504cr757oa>.
 36. Rosety-Rodríguez M, Ordóñez-Muñoz FJ, Huesa-Jiménez F, et al. Actualización del trabajo excéntrico de cuádriceps en pacientes en edad laboral con tendinopatía rotuliana. *Patología del aparato locomotor*. 2006;4(2):105–107.
 37. Reinking MF. Current concepts in the treatment of patellar tendinopathy. *Int J Sports Phys Ther*. 2016;11(6):854–866.
 38. Achilles pain, stiffness, and muscle power deficits: mid-portion Achilles tendinopathy revision 2018: using the evidence to guide physical therapist practice. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2018;48(5):425–426, <http://dx.doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2018.0505>.
 39. Horstmann H, Clausen JD, Krettek C, Weber-Spickschen TS. Evidence-based therapy for tendinopathy of the knee joint: which forms of therapy are scientifically proven? *Unfallchirurg*. 2017;120(3):199–204, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00113-017-0310-9>.
 40. Everhart JS, Cole D, Sojka JH, et al. Treatment options for patellar tendinopathy: a systematic review. *Arthroscopy*. 2017;33(4):861–872, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arthro.2016.11.007>.
 41. Peters JA, Zwerver J, Diercks RL, et al. Preventive interventions for tendinopathy: a systematic review. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2016;19(3):205–211, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2015.03.008>.
 42. Lim HY, Wong SH. Effects of isometric, eccentric, or heavy slow resistance exercises on pain and function in individuals with patellar tendinopathy: a systematic review. *Physiother Res Int*. 2018:e1721, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/pri.1721>.
 43. Davenport TE, Kulig K, Matharu Y, Blanco CE. The Edurep model for nonsurgical management of tendinopathy. *Phys Ther*. 2005;85(10):1093–1103.
 44. Kulig K, Noceti-DeWit LM, Reischl SF, Landel RF. Physical therapists' role in prevention and management of patellar tendinopathy injuries in youth, collegiate, and middle-aged indoor volleyball athletes. *Braz J Phys Ther*. 2005;19(5):410–420, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/bjpt-rbf.2014.0126>.
 45. Araújo VLD, Carvalhais VODC, Ocarino JDM, Souza TRD, Fonseca ST. Effect of strength and stretching training on tissue passive stiffness. *Fisioter Mov*. 2012;25(4):869–882, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0103-515020120004>.
 46. Barengo NC, Meneses-Echávez JF, Ramírez-Vélez R, Cohen DD, Tovar G, Bautista JEC. The impact of the FIFA 11+ training program on injury prevention in football players: a systematic review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2014;11(11):11986–12000, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph111111986>.
 47. Bizzini M, Dvorak J. FIFA 11+: an effective programme to prevent football injuries in various player groups worldwide—a narrative review. *Br J Sports Med*. 2015;49(9):577–579, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2015-094765>.
 48. Silvers-Granelli H, Mandelbaum B, Adeniji O, et al. Efficacy of the FIFA 11+ injury prevention program in the collegiate male soccer player. *Am J Sports Med*. 2015;43(11):2628–2637, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0363546515602009>.
 49. Longo UG, Loppini M, Berton A, Marinozzi A, Maffulli N, Denaro V. The FIFA 11+ program is effective in preventing injuries in elite male basketball players: a cluster randomized controlled trial. *Am J Sports Med*. 2012;40(5):996–1005, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0363546512438761>.
 50. Mendonça LD, Ocarino JM, Bittencourt NF, Fernandes LM, Verhagen E, Fonseca ST. The accuracy of the VISA-P questionnaire, single-leg decline squat, and tendon pain history to identify patellar tendon abnormalities in adult athletes. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2016;46(8):673–680, <http://dx.doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2016.6192>.