Published in final edited form as:

Semin Perinatol. 2016 August ; 40(5): 287–290. doi:10.1053/j.semperi.2016.03.004.

What we have learned about scheduling elective repeat cesarean delivery at term

Alan T.N. Tita, MD, PhD^{*}, Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units Network

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Center for Women's Reproductive Health, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL

Abstract

The optimal timing of delivery in the setting of various clinical conditions and scenarios remains one of the most common questions for obstetric providers. Over the past 5–10 years, the optimal timing of delivery at term, particularly for elective repeat cesareans, has been the subject of considerable investigation and discussion. There is an increasing consensus that when women opt for an elective repeat cesarean delivery, it should be performed at term rather than preterm. The recent redefinition of the “term” period into early term (37–38 weeks), full-term (39–40 weeks), late term (41 weeks), and post term designations (≥ 42 weeks) underscores observed heterogeneity in outcomes following delivery at term. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists currently recommends that elective repeat cesarean delivery be performed at full-term. Herein, the available data to support this recommendation regarding timing of elective repeat cesarean delivery are reviewed, including contributions from the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units (MFMU) Network.

Keywords

Repeat cesarean delivery; Elective delivery; Full-term delivery; Early-term delivery

Definition and prevalence

An elective repeat cesarean delivery is one that is scheduled solely, because the patient declines a trial of labor in the absence of medical or obstetric complications that would warrant consideration of a preterm or early-term delivery.¹ Examples of these complications have been well reviewed by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists.^{2,3} An estimated 30–40% of all cesareans in the United States are repeat cesareans. In an MFMU Network cohort of repeat cesarean deliveries at term from 1999 to 2002, approximately 52% were elective and 36% of them were delivered prior to full-term.⁴ In comparison, 57% of elective cesareans at term in a Dutch population were delivered prior to full-term.⁵ With the

^{*}Corresponding author. atita@uab.edu.

The author reports no proprietary or commercial interest in any product mentioned or concept discussed in this article.

emphasis on reducing non-medically indicated early-term deliveries and successful efforts in the United States, the proportion of early-term delivery is on the decline.⁶

Perinatal outcomes

Respiratory and other neonatal morbidities including hypoglycemia are increased in early term compared with full-term elective cesarean deliveries, and the risk increases inversely with gestational age.^{2,4,5,7–11} In the aforementioned MFMU Network study of over 13,000 women who underwent elective repeat cesarean delivery, neonatal outcomes were compared with completed gestational age at term. Study outcomes included death, respiratory distress syndrome or transient tachypnea of the newborn, hypoglycemia, newborn sepsis, confirmed seizures, necrotizing enterocolitis, hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy, cardiopulmonary resuscitation or ventilator support within 24 hours after birth, umbilical cord pH below 7.0, a 5-min Apgar score of 3 or below, admission to the neonatal intensive care unit, and prolonged hospitalization. Compared to births at 39 weeks, early-term births were associated with an increase in adverse neonatal outcomes by a magnitude of up to 4-fold at 37 weeks and 2-fold at 38 weeks.⁴ Also, 52% of early-term births occurred within the 3 days of 39 0/7 weeks, and they also manifested an increase in adverse neonatal outcomes compared to full-term births at 39 weeks.⁴ A reanalysis of the MFMU data that simulated a comparison of delivery at each gestational age at term vs. expectant management identified 39 weeks as the optimal timing of delivery.¹² The data also suggested increasing risks of adverse outcome particularly after 40 weeks. The main MFMU Network findings were confirmed by data from the Dutch birth registry involving 20,973 elective cesarean deliveries at term. The incidence of a primary composite of neonatal morbidity and mortality (including respiratory morbidities, sepsis, metabolic complications, neurologic dysfunction, and neonatal intensive care admissions) decreased with advancing gestational age—20.6% at 37 weeks, 12.5% before 38 weeks, 9.5% at 39 weeks, and 9.4% at 40 weeks.⁵

An important consideration for the optimal timing of delivery at term is the ongoing risk of stillbirth with increasing gestational age. This risk of unexplained stillbirth in the absence of medical indications for delivery is estimated to be low ($<0.5/1000$).⁴ Concern was raised further by one report suggesting that a policy limiting elective delivery before 39 weeks of gestation at one center resulted in a decline in deliveries prior to 39 weeks (33.1–26.4%), and this coincided with an increase in the risks of stillbirths at 37–38 weeks (0.3–0.9 per 1000) and macrosomia.¹³ However, further evaluations of stillbirth trends on U.S. population-based data have not shown an association between increasing gestational age at term and stillbirth.^{14,15} In one analysis of over 3.5 million singleton term births from 2005 to 2011, early-term births declined from 31.8% to 28.5%, but there was no increase in overall stillbirth risk at term (1.23–1.30 per 1000; $p = 0.2$).¹⁴ In the other analysis comparing births from 2006 to 2012, the stillbirth rate across preterm and term gestational ages remained unchanged at 6.05/1000 despite a 10–16% reduction in births at 34–38 weeks and a 17% increase in births at 39 weeks.¹⁵ Besides these reassuring data on stillbirths, population-based studies also suggest that early-term infants have higher neonatal mortality rates that extend into the postneonatal and infant periods. At 37 and 38 weeks compared with 40 weeks of gestation, neonatal mortality rates were increased, with Blacks having the highest rates, and Hispanics having the lowest rates, compared with Caucasians.¹⁶

Long-term infant outcomes

Although preterm birth is one of the strongest predictors of cerebral palsy, a majority of infants born with cerebral palsy are delivered at term. A population-based study from Norway revealed that compared with delivery at 40 weeks' gestation, delivery at 37 or 38 weeks gestation was associated with an increased risk of cerebral palsy in infants surviving to at least 4 years of age.¹⁷ Although limited by heterogeneity, one systematic review found that early-term births had poorer outcomes in terms of school performance, neurodevelopment, behavior, emotional status, and long-term social outcomes.¹⁸ While further research is needed to tease out whether these outcomes are a consequence of gestational age at delivery solely (elective delivery) or due to the accompanying indications for early delivery, these reports support recommendations to avoid elective early-term deliveries.

Maternal outcomes

While the available neonatal and infant outcomes data favor elective repeat cesarean delivery at full-term gestational age, consideration should also be given to maternal outcomes. The limited data on maternal outcomes in relation to optimal timing of delivery at term do not support elective repeat cesarean delivery before 39 weeks.¹¹ Among elective repeat cesarean deliveries in the MFMU Network cohort, a composite of adverse maternal outcomes appeared to be modestly increased with delivery in the early-term period compared with delivery at 39 weeks.¹⁹ Maternal outcomes included death, uterine rupture, need for hysterectomy, transfusion, infections, and anesthetic and surgical complications; transfusion was the main contributor to the increase in the composite outcome with early-term delivery. Furthermore, early-term deliveries were associated with prolonged hospitalization (>4 days), most likely due to prolonged hospitalization of their early-term babies. Another report comparing maternal outcomes (endometritis, hysterectomy, intensive care admission, and length of hospital stay) did not associate early-term delivery with improved maternal outcomes.⁷ Overall, few studies exist that have examined maternal outcomes in relation to gestational age. Therefore, additional studies are warranted to further elucidate the relationship between maternal mortality/morbidity and early-term deliveries. The available data do not support early-term delivery for maternal benefit.

Other considerations

Questions concerning clinical nuances and ongoing controversies deserve consideration when formulating a policy regarding the timing of elective repeat cesarean delivery.

Are there clinical trial data to guide recommendations?

Recommendations regarding the timing of elective repeat cesarean delivery are almost entirely guided by observational studies. A search of the PubMed database yielded only 1 randomized clinical trial directly relevant to the question.²⁰ Glavind et al. implemented an open label multicenter randomized trial in Denmark and enrolled 1274 women to compare a policy of elective cesarean delivery at 38 weeks vs. 39 weeks. Approximately, 60% of

patients in each group had at least 1 prior cesarean delivery. The primary outcome of NICU admission within 48 hours was non-significantly reduced in the 39-week group (13.9% vs. 11.9%; RR = 0.86; 95% CI: 0.65–1.15). Although neonatal treatment with oxygen for more than 1 day (RR = 0.31; 95% CI: 0.10–0.94) and maternal bleeding of more than 500 ml (RR = 0.79; 95% CI: 0.63–0.99) were less frequent in the 39 weeks group, these findings were considered insignificant after adjustment for multiple comparisons. The risks of other adverse neonatal or maternal outcomes were otherwise similar between the groups.²⁰ The trial was underpowered for the primary outcome. Overall, the findings from this trial do not refute the available observational data and appears supportive of the data regarding NICU admission and respiratory morbidity.

Is there a role for fetal lung maturity testing?

While fetal lung maturity testing before elective early-term delivery reduces respiratory morbidity compared with gestational age-matched cohorts, this morbidity is not reduced to the levels obtained full-term. Moreover, other neonatal morbidities are also increased. At least two reports focused on neonatal outcomes after fetal lung maturity prior to 39 weeks compared to full-term birth outcomes. Both studies showed significantly higher risks of adverse outcomes with delivery prior to 39 weeks.^{21,22}

Does labor prior to elective repeat cesarean delivery pose maternal and fetal risks?

There is concern that delaying repeat cesarean delivery until 39 weeks may lead to adverse maternal and fetal outcomes due to spontaneous labor, and the need for emergency cesarean delivery is associated with increased risk of morbidity.²³ It is unlikely that this potential risk outweighs the benefits of full-term delivery. Women with a prior classical cesarean delivery are already candidates for early-term delivery. Women who have an otherwise elective (usually non-urgent) cesarean delivery after the onset of labor are categorized among women undergoing “emergency” cesarean deliveries, the vast majority of which are due to failed labor or potential fetal compromise. The risk of severe adverse maternal outcomes is very low and is not associated with labor preceding elective cesarean delivery, especially with a prior transverse lower uterine incision.²³ Less than 10% of patients in the only clinical trial above went into labor while waiting for delivery at 39 weeks, and the risk of adverse maternal or perinatal outcomes was not increased.²⁰ Since neonatal outcomes continue to improve and few women deliver early because of spontaneous labor, planning elective cesarean delivery for 39 weeks of gestation is appropriate.

Should the quality of dating influence the timing of elective repeat cesarean?

The association of early-term delivery with neonatal morbidity is observed in both well-dated and poorly dated pregnancies.^{4,5} Therefore, delivery at full-term period seems appropriate regardless of the quality of dating. Considering that poor dating is likely to overestimate the gestational age and potentially lead to inadvertent early term or preterm

delivery, some centers choose to schedule elective cesarean delivery in the setting of poor dates at 40 weeks.

Conclusion

The overriding goal regarding the optimal timing of elective repeat cesarean delivery is to ensure the best outcomes for both the mother and her newborn. Ideally, in the absence of high-risk complications or conditions that warrant early delivery, the optimal timing of delivery appears to be 39 weeks.^{2,11} This weighs the risks of delivery at less than 39 weeks against the risks of continuing pregnancy such as an unexplained stillbirth and the potential for adverse maternal or perinatal outcomes related to pregnancy complications such as abruption, preeclampsia, membrane rupture, and labor. Some early-term births are either unavoidable or absolutely indicated for maternal and/or fetal benefit in the setting of medical or obstetric risks.³ There are exceptional circumstances where the absence or the presence of indications for early delivery may not be clear cut, and the clinical impression and intuition of the provider is crucial. In general, early-term elective cesarean delivery is associated with worse neonatal outcomes without evidence of maternal benefit. Demonstrated fetal lung maturity before early-term birth does not reduce the risks of neonatal morbidity to the levels at 39 weeks. In the absence of obstetric or medical risks, early-term elective cesarean delivery should be avoided in favor of full-term delivery.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, United States (NICHD) [HD21410, HD21414, HD27860, HD27861, HD27869, HD27905, HD27915, HD27917, HD34116, HD34122, HD34136, HD34208, HD34210, HD40500, HD40485, HD40544, HD40545, HD40560, HD40512, and HD36801]. Comments and views of the authors do not necessarily represent views of the NIH.

REFERENCES

1. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG committee opinion no 579: definition of term pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2013;122(5):1139–1140. [PubMed: 24150030]
2. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG committee opinion no. 561: nonmedically indicated early-term deliveries. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2013;121(4):911–915. [PubMed: 23635710]
3. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG committee opinion no. 560: medically indicated late-preterm and early-term deliveries. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2013;121(4):908–910. [PubMed: 23635709]
4. Tita AT, Landon MB, Spong CY, et al. Timing of elective repeat cesarean delivery at term and neonatal outcomes. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;360:111–120. [PubMed: 19129525]
5. Wilms FA, Hukkelhoven CW, Lunshof S, et al. Neonatal outcome following elective cesarean section beyond 37 weeks of gestation: a 7-year retrospective analysis of a national registry. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2010;202(250):e1–e8.
6. The Ohio Perinatal Quality Collaborative Writing Committee. A statewide initiative to reduce inappropriate scheduled births at 36(0/7)–38(6/7) weeks' gestation. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2010;202(3):243.e1–8 [Erratum in: *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2010; 202(6):603].
7. Bailit JL, Gregory KD, Reddy UM, et al. Maternal and neonatal outcomes by labor onset type and gestational age. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2010;202:245.e1–245.e12.

8. Morrison JJ, Rennie JM, Milton PJ. Neonatal respiratory morbidity and mode of delivery at term: influence of timing of elective caesarean section. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol.* 1995;102(2):101. [PubMed: 7756199]
9. Zanardo V, Simbi AK, Franzoi M, et al. Neonatal respiratory morbidity risk and mode of delivery at term: influence of timing of elective caesarean delivery. *Acta Paediatr.* 2004;93(5): 643–647. [PubMed: 15174788]
10. Engle WA, Kominiarek MA. Late preterm infants, early term infants, and timing of elective deliveries. *Clin Perinatol.* 2008;35 (2):325–341. [PubMed: 18456072]
11. Wetta L, Tita AT. Early term births: considerations in management. *Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am.* 2012;39(1):89–97. [PubMed: 22370110]
12. Chiossi G, Lai Y, Landon MB, et al. Timing of delivery and adverse outcomes in term singleton repeat cesarean deliveries. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2013;121(3):561–569. [PubMed: 23635619]
13. Ehrenthal DB, Hoffman MK, Jiang X, Ostrum G. Neonatal outcomes after implementation of guidelines limiting elective delivery before 39 weeks of gestation. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2011;118(5): 1047–1055. [PubMed: 22015872]
14. Little SE, Zera CA, Clapp MA, Wilkins-Haug L, Robinson JN. A multi-state analysis of early-term delivery trends and the association with term stillbirth. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2015;126(6): 1138–1145. [PubMed: 26551175]
15. MacDorman MF, Reddy UM, Silver RM. Trends in stillbirth by gestational age in the United States, 2006–2012. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2015;126(6):1146–1150. [PubMed: 26551188]
16. Reddy UM, Bettgowda VR, Dias T, et al. Term pregnancy: a period of heterogeneous risk for infant mortality. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2011;117(6):1279–1287. [PubMed: 21606738]
17. Moster D, Wilcox AJ, Vollset SE, et al. Cerebral palsy among term and postterm births. *J Am Med Assoc.* 2010;304(9): 976–982.
18. Dong Y, Chen SJ, Yu JL. A systematic review and meta-analysis of long-term development of early term infants. *Neonatology.* 2012;102(3):212–221. [PubMed: 22814228]
19. Tita AT, Lai Y, Landon MB, et al. Timing of elective repeat cesarean delivery at term and maternal perioperative outcomes. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2011;117(2 Pt 1):280–286. [PubMed: 21252740]
20. Glavind J, Kindberg SF, Uldbjerg N, et al. Elective caesarean section at 38 weeks versus 39 weeks: neonatal and maternal outcomes in a randomised controlled trial. *BJOG.* 2013;120 (9):1123–1132. [PubMed: 23682628]
21. Bates E, Rouse DJ, Mann ML, et al. Neonatal outcomes after demonstrated fetal lung maturity before 39 weeks of gestation. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2010;116(6):1288–1295. [PubMed: 21099593]
22. Fang Y, Guirguis P, Borgida A, et al. Elective delivery with known fetal lung maturity prior to 39 wks is still associated with increased neonatal morbidity. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2011;204:S33–S34.
23. Salim R, Zafran N, Shalev E. Timing of elective repeat cesarean delivery at term. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;360(15): 1570–1571. [PubMed: 19357415]