Exposed! Or not? The diminishing record of workplace exposure in Canada

Amy L. Hall, MSc,¹ Cheryl E. Peters, MSc,¹ Paul A. Demers, PhD,² Hugh W. Davies, PhD¹

ABSTRACT

The Canadian Workplace Exposure Database (CWED) is the first of its kind in Canada. It was originally developed in 2008 by the Canadian Carcinogen Exposure project (CAREX Canada) to assist in estimating worker exposures to carcinogens across the country.

Following the CWED's establishment, all Canadian federal and provincial agencies responsible for administering occupational health and safety regulation were surveyed to obtain a clearer picture of the quantity and quality of regulatory exposure data available. This revealed troubling reductions in exposure measurement collection, retention, and centralization by these agencies in recent years.

Such trends will limit access to workplace exposure measurements for federal and provincial regulatory agencies as well as health researchers. Workplace exposure databases are used around the world for a variety of important purposes, such as identifying hazardous workplaces and workers at risk, assessing temporal-spatial trends, setting priorities for prevention, and informing epidemiological research.

Efforts are underway to preserve historical data and promote prospective collection, however future success of the CWED will require collaboration and long-term vision on the part of those responsible for collecting workplace exposure measurements in Canada.

KEY WORDS: Occupational exposure; exposure database; hazard control; workplace surveillance

La traduction du résumé se trouve à la fin de l'article.

Can J Public Health 2014;105(3):e214-e217.

Workplace exposure databases provide a benchmark of current and past exposures, and highlight data gaps that may be crucial in future research or policy-making agendas. They can also be used to identify workers at risk of higher exposure, set priorities for prevention, assess impacts of exposure control regulations, and inform epidemiological research.

Since 2007, the Canadian Carcinogen Exposure project (CAREX Canada) has been working to characterize Canadians' exposures to known and suspected carcinogens in workplace and community environments. The project estimates location, prevalence, and levels of exposure. A critical component of CAREX Canada has been the creation of the "Canadian Workplace Exposure Database" (CWED).

The CWED, novel in Canada, is similar to other large-scale exposure databases developed by governments and organizations in Europe¹⁻³ and the United States.^{4,5} It has already been used by CAREX Canada to develop estimates of the numbers of workers exposed to various carcinogens, by identifying workplaces and occupations with potential exposure, and exposure at levels of concern by province/territory, industry, and occupation.⁶ The CWED is also being used in an ongoing occupational cancer burden project funded by the Canadian Cancer Society Research Institute.⁷

The CWED was originally created in 2008 through negotiated access to existing electronic occupational exposure databases held by WorkSafeBC, the Ontario Ministry of Labour, the National Dose Registry, and Quebec's Institut de recherche Robert-Sauvé en

santé et en Sécurité du travail. Since we believed that the centralization of exposure data was crucial to supporting various disease prevention activities in Canada, a feasibility survey of other potential data holders (consisting primarily of agencies responsible for workplace enforcement) was conducted to obtain a clearer picture of the quantity and quality of regulatory exposure data available. This survey identified a trend toward decreased exposure measurement of workplaces by occupational health and safety regulatory agencies. We believe that this trend in decreased data collection and centralization endangers many important uses of such information.

Data holders' survey

In Canada, responsibility for labour affairs and workplace exposure measurement is distributed among 14 federal and provincial agencies, with additional regulatory divisions in some provinces (e.g., mining in British Columbia) (Figure 1). All relevant agencies were contacted in 2009 for CAREX Canada's data holders' survey, and voluntarily responded to questions

Author Affiliations

1. School of Population and Public Health, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC
2. Occupational Cancer Research Centre, Cancer Care Ontario, Toronto, ON

Correspondence: Amy Hall, University of British Columbia, 2206 East Mall (3rd Floor), Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z3, E-mail: amyhall@mail.ubc.ca

Acknowledgements of support: The CAREX Canada project is funded by the Canadian Partnership Against Cancer. The Canadian Workplace Exposure Database (CWED) has been developed through funding from the Canadian Partnership Against Cancer, WorkSafeBC's Innovation at Work program, and the Workers Compensation Board of Manitoba.

Conflict of Interest: None to declare.

Figure 1. Participants in CAREX Canada's 2009 data holders' survey

covering: responsibility for workplace compliance and exposure monitoring, data collection, use, and destruction practices, number of measurements collected annually (current and historical), and data retention formats (e.g., paper filing, electronic databases).

Results showed that since the 1990s, there has been a significant decrease in workplace exposure measurement and related data collection performed by regulatory agencies across Canada. Current legislation in many provinces permits occupational health and safety regulators to request that employers conduct their own exposure measurement surveys, internally or via consultants. Data obtained in this manner are often viewed but not retained by the regulatory agency. The Commission de la santé et de la sécurité du travail (CSST) – with an extensive history of occupational exposure monitoring in Quebec and continuing collection of thousands of measurements across the province each year – is a notable exception.

The survey also found significant variability in record retention and archiving policies across the country. Some agencies had already experienced substantial losses of exposure data; for example, two provincial regulators had destroyed nearly all exposure measurements collected prior to 1990. In contrast, the BC Ministry of Energy and Mines and the Saskatchewan Ministry

of Labour had maintained data integrity over time by continuing to store exposure data records (in hard copy format) dating back to the 1950s and 1970s, respectively.

Our survey also found that data accessibility varied widely according to the types of storage formats used. Of the 14 agencies contacted, only the National Dose Registry and Human Resources and Skills Development Canada were currently maintaining large computerized exposure databases. Others, such as the BC Ministry of Energy and Mines and Manitoba's Workplace Health and Safety Division, stored their data in hard copy or individual electronic file formats (e.g., PDF). Although some agencies without electronic exposure databases planned to create such files in the future, most reported that they are budgeting only for prospective data entry, potentially leaving large gaps in the overall historical Canadian data archive.

Implications

The shift in responsibility for exposure measurement surveys, combined with current retention and archival practices, is limiting access to important data for federal and provincial regulatory agencies, as well as researchers. This is an important issue, since despite limitations associated with databases

composed of measurements obtained for compliance reasons, they are useful for a variety of purposes.^{4,8}

Centralized, accessible occupational exposure data provides baseline information for evaluation. It can be used for comparisons across jurisdictions, industries, and occupations, to identify high-exposure situations and to target prevention activities. Its use in extrapolating relative time trends in exposures is important for understanding policy impacts. Such data can also be applied in claims adjudications, and as a tool for the identification of gaps and research priorities.

The examination of workplace measurements also plays an important part of exposure estimation in epidemiologic studies.⁹ More accurate characterizations of exposure to hazardous substances across occupation, industry, sex and geography allows for better estimates of the burden of disease associated with past exposures. Estimates of current disease burden are based on historical exposure levels (which have been collected and centralized in the CWED), however continuing assessments will require the prospective centralization of exposure data.

Some alternative strategies have been implemented to address reductions in exposure measurement. These include the use of generic techniques for risk assessment and management (such as control banding),¹⁰ and targeted inspections (such as frequent visits to “high-risk” sectors or employers).⁸ Although useful in some areas, such strategies tend to focus on workplace enforcement and cannot serve as a replacement for other uses of such data.

Continuing CWED development

CAREX Canada’s data holder survey findings emphasized the need for a national database of Canadian workplace exposure measurements to: a) preserve what remains of historical data, b) standardize this information for ease of analysis, such as comparisons across jurisdictions, and c) encourage and enable prospective collection and input of measurements.

Following the survey, funding was sought by CAREX Canada to undertake the transfer of some paper-based exposure measurements into searchable electronic databases. This resulted in the abstraction of data held by the BC Ministry of Energy and Mines, the Government of Saskatchewan, the Yukon Workers’ Compensation, Health and Safety Board, and the Manitoba Ministry of Labour’s Workplace Safety and Health Division. These 60,000 additional data points increased CWED holdings to over 460,000 discrete workplace exposure measurements across six provincial and territorial jurisdictions. This work also produced a flexible database format adaptable to suit individual agency needs, providing a new means of consistent exposure data input and storage across Canada. Information on the CWED is available online;¹¹ findings will be reported in future publications.

Engaging with industry groups and large companies in Canada is one potential means to greatly improve this national database. However, identifying and retrieving data from other sources beyond major data holders (e.g., governmental regulatory agencies, large corporations) could be an expensive proposition. In a UK feasibility study, researchers identified and tested various strategies for retrieving data, including contact with trade associations, users of hazardous substances, occupational hygiene

consultants, and government organizations. Over 800 organizations were contacted; fewer than 10% had data. Per-measurement retrieval costs were estimated to range from £7 to nearly £400, depending on the strategy.¹²

Exposure data can be gleaned from the scientific literature, but because of a wide variation in how exposures are reported, much is inappropriate for exposure database use. For example, an American study retrieved 350 papers documenting hydrocarbon solvent exposure, but only 99 papers met the authors’ criteria for quantitative analysis, and of these, 20% were deficient with respect to the summary statistics reported.¹³

Moving forward, we aim to identify and acquire further data from occupational health and safety regulators and other sources in Canada. The digitization and centralization of historic exposure data has been a key focus and will continue; we are hopeful that with digital systems established, regulators will begin to retain all exposure data submitted by employers for enforcement activities. Partnerships have also been sought with regulators considering prospective data collection, including short-term specialized exposure measurement campaigns.

CONCLUSIONS

Bringing together occupational data from across Canada on sources and levels of exposure allows for a variety of important research and policy questions to be addressed: identifying industry and occupational populations at risk for developing disease, informing possible intervention strategies, and permitting quantitative evaluations.

The recent establishment of the Canadian Workplace Exposure Database has centralized nearly half a million measurements, primarily obtained from workplace enforcement agencies across the country. Most of these agencies have reduced their rates of workplace exposure measurement and recording in recent years, which carries troubling implications for prospective CWED development and use of this data source. For instance, current practices do not allow us to assess whether workplace conditions are improving across the country, and our future ability to predict disease burden will be limited.

Our long-term vision includes partnerships with regulatory agencies and other exposure data owners and users to encourage prospective data collection and coordinated efforts to centralize this information. The CWED could continue to inform various primary prevention, exposure and disease surveillance, and research activities for carcinogens as well as other disease-causing agents, but will be forced to rely on new mechanisms for data collection and retention.

REFERENCES

1. Vincent R, Jeandel B. COLCHIC-occupational exposure to chemical agents database: Current content and development perspectives. *Appl Occup Environ Hyg* 2001;16(2):115–21.
2. Stamm R. MEGA-database: One million data since 1972. *Appl Occup Environ Hyg* 2001;16(2):159–63.
3. Burns D, Beaumont P. The HSE National Exposure Database—(NEDB). *Ann Occup Hyg* 1989;33(1):1–14.
4. Lavoue J, Friesen MC, Burstyn I. Workplace measurements by the US Occupational Safety and Health Administration since 1979: Descriptive analysis and potential uses for exposure assessment. *Ann Occup Hyg* 2013;57(1):77–97.
5. Boiano JM, Hull RD. Development of a National Occupational Exposure Survey and Database associated with NIOSH hazard surveillance initiatives. *Appl Occup Environ Hyg* 2001;16(2):128–34.

6. Peters CE, Ge CB, Hall AL, Davies HW, Demers PA. CAREX Canada: An enhanced model for assessing occupational carcinogen exposure. *Occup Environ Med* 2014 (In press).
7. Occupational Cancer Research Centre. The human and economic burden of occupational cancer in Canada (research project summary). Available at: <http://occupationalcancer.ca/2011/the-human-and-economic-burden-of-occupational-cancer-in-ontario/> (Accessed June 2, 2014).
8. Lofgren DJ, Reeb-Whitaker CK, Adams D. Surveillance of Washington OSHA exposure data to identify uncharacterized or emerging occupational health hazards. *J Occup Environ Hyg* 2010;7(7):375–88.
9. Teschke K, Olshan AF, Daniels JL, De Roos AJ, Parks CG, Schulz M, Vaughan TL. Occupational exposure assessment in case-control studies: Opportunities for improvement. *Occup Environ Med* 2002;59(9):575–94.
10. Zalk DM, Nelson DI. History and evolution of control banding: A review. *J Occup Environ Hyg* 2008;5(5):330–46.
11. CAREX Canada. The Canadian Workplace Exposure Database, 2013. Available at: http://www.carexcanada.ca/en/canadian_workplace_exposure_database/ (Accessed June 2, 2014).
12. Cherrie JW, Sewell C, Ritchie P, McIntosh C, Tickner J, Llewellyn D. Retrospective collection of exposure data from industry: Results from a feasibility study in the United Kingdom. *Appl Occup Environ Hyg* 2001;16(2):144–48.
13. Caldwell DJ, Armstrong TW, Barone NJ, Suder JA, Evans MJ. Lessons learned while compiling a quantitative exposure database from the published literature. *Appl Occup Environ Hyg* 2001;16(2):174–77.

Received: September 29, 2013

Accepted: February 13, 2014

RÉSUMÉ

La Base de données canadienne sur l'exposition professionnelle aux agents cancérigènes (BCEPC) est la première du genre au Canada. Créée en 2008 par le projet CAREX Canada, elle sert à estimer l'exposition des travailleurs du pays aux agents cancérigènes.

Après la création de la BCEPC, nous avons sondé les organismes fédéraux et provinciaux du Canada chargés d'administrer la réglementation sur la santé et la sécurité au travail pour obtenir un portrait plus clair de la quantité et de la qualité des données réglementaires sur l'exposition disponibles. Ce sondage a révélé des réductions troublantes dans la collecte, la conservation et la centralisation des indicateurs d'exposition par ces organismes au cours des dernières années.

De telles tendances limiteront l'accès des organismes de réglementation fédéraux et provinciaux et des chercheurs médicaux aux indicateurs d'exposition en milieu de travail. Les bases de données sur l'exposition en milieu de travail sont utilisées autour du monde dans divers buts importants, notamment pour identifier les lieux de travail dangereux et les travailleurs à risque, évaluer les tendances spatio-temporelles, décider des priorités en matière de prévention et éclairer la recherche épidémiologique.

Des efforts sont en cours pour préserver les données historiques et promouvoir la collecte prospective, mais le succès futur de la BCEPC exigera une collaboration et une vision à long terme de la part des responsables de la collecte des indicateurs d'exposition professionnelle aux agents cancérigènes au Canada.

MOTS CLÉS : exposition professionnelle; base de données sur l'exposition; réduction des dangers; surveillance en milieu de travail