Published in final edited form as:

Environ Earth Sci. 2019 October ; 78(20): . doi:10.1007/s12665-019-8611-7.

Effect of hydrogeological and anthropogenic factors on the spatial and temporal distribution of CVOCs in the karst system of northern Puerto Rico

Norma I. Torres^a, Vilda L. Rivera^a, Ingrid Y. Padilla^a, Raul E. Macchiavelli^b, David Kaeli^c, Akram N. Alshawabkeh^d

^aDepartment of Civil Engineering and Surveying, University of Puerto Rico, Mayagüez, PR 00681, USA

^bDepartment of Agroenvironmental Sciences, University of Puerto Rico, Mayagüez, PR 00681, USA

^cDepartment of Electrical and computer Engineering, Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115, USA

^dDepartment of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115, USA

Abstract

This study evaluates factors affecting the spatial and temporal distribution of chlorinated volatile organic contaminants (CVOCs) in the highly productive aquifers of the karst region in northern Puerto Rico (KR-NPR). Historical records from 1982 to 2016 are analyzed using spatial and statistical methods to evaluate hydrogeological and anthropogenic factors affecting the presence and concentrations of multiple CVOCs in the KR-NPR. Results show extensive spatial and temporal distributions of CVOCs, as single entities and as mixtures. It is found that at least one type of CVOC is present above detection limits in 64% of the samples and 77% of the sampling sites during the study period. CVOC distribution in the KR-NPR is contaminant-dependent, with some species being strongly influenced by the source of contamination and hydrogeological characteristics of the system. Persistent presence of CVOCs in the KR-NPR system, even after contaminated sites have been subjected to active remediation, reflect the high capacity of the system to store and slowly release contaminants over long periods of time. This study shows that karst aquifers are highly vulnerable to contamination and can serve as a long-term route of contaminants to potential points of exposure.

Keywords

Groundwater contamination; CVOCs; karst aquifers; statistical methods; hydrogeological properties; anthropogenic factors

Introduction

Karst aquifers serve as an important source of freshwater for human consumption, industrial, and agricultural use. They promote industrial, urban and agricultural development, and contributes to ecological integrity of the region (Padilla et al. 2011). Karst groundwater systems provide roughly 20–25% of the global population water needs (Ford and Williams 2007; Butscher and Huggenberger 2009). Second only to unconsolidated and semi-consolidated sand and gravel aquifers, which supply nearly 80% of groundwater, karst groundwater systems are among the most important sources of groundwater in United States. They provide about 8% of all groundwater needs in the country, of which 17% is used for public-supply (Maupin and Barber 2005). Other sources of groundwater come from igneous and metamorphic rocks (6%), sandstone rock (2%), sandstone and carbonate rock (2%) (Maupin and Barber 2005).

Karst systems have unique characteristics that make them very different from other types of aquifers (Bakalowicz 2005). They are characterized by well-developed conduit porosity and high permeability zones formed by dissolution of soluble rocks, primarily limestone (Anaya et al. 2014). Conduits and high permeability zones are well connected to sinkholes, sinking streams and other surface features that provide for direct recharge into karst groundwater systems (Padilla and Vesper 2018). These characteristics also allow for unattenuated input and rapid transport of contaminants in the subsurface. As a result, contaminants from both point and distributed sources can be rapidly conveyed over long distances. Compared to unconsolidated porous media, in which water velocities are typically less than a meter per day, in karst aquifers, groundwater and contaminants can travel more than a kilometer per day (Green et al. 2006). Contaminants that enter the karst subsurface can also diffuse into and sorb onto rock matrix of lower relative permeability and sediments trapped in karst conduits and voids, where they can be stored and slowly released over long periods of time (Padilla and Vesper 2018; Torres et al. 2018). Rapid unattenuated transport over long distances and high storage capacity of contaminants in karst groundwater systems enable potential exposure to human and wildlife (Padilla et al. 2011; 2015) over long periods of time. Of particular concern is the contamination of karst groundwater systems with chlorinated volatile organic compounds (CVOCs). These contaminants are commonly present in the environment and have the potential to impact health (Padilla et al. 2011).

Common presence of CVOCs in the environment results from their unique properties. CVOCs have a high dissolving capacity for non-polar substances and are used in large quantities of industrial processes (Kistemann et al. 2008). They are commonly used as industrial solvents, metal surface degreaser, and paint or spot removers, and in a variety of household and consumer products, textile cleansing, and food extraction (Moran et al. 2007; Padilla et al. 2011). CVOCs are also highly volatile, slightly soluble in water (Table S1), and resistant to degradation (Huang et al. 2014). As a result, they can be transported in different subsurface fluid media over long distances and periods of time (Huang et al. 2014). These compounds are also subjected to sorption onto soil, sediments and organic matter (Lawrence 2006). Degradation of CVOCs can occur under different environmental pathways and conditions (Rivera 2018). CVOCs generally degrade from higher order of chlorine content to lower or no chlorine content (Schiffmacher et al. 2016; Figure S1).

In urban areas, protecting and preserving groundwater supplies from CVOCs can be difficult because of the number of potential sources from homes, businesses, and industries (Squillace et al. 2004). CVOCs have been widely detected in environmental media for many years (Huang et al. 2014). Their presence has been reported in fractured, karstic, and carbonate-rock groundwater settings in West Virginia, USA (Plummer et al. 2013) and Puerto Rico (Padilla et al. 2011; 2015), and from other groundwater aquifers in the United States (e.g. Squillace et al. 2004; Moran et al. 2007; Carter et al. 2008; Howard and Gerber 2018). Groundwater contamination with CVOCs have also been reported in other countries, e.g. in Taiwan (Fan et al. 2009), Italy (Di Lorenzo et al. 2015), Korea (Lee et al. 2015), and east China (Bi et al. 2012). Due to their presence in many products and the environment, exposure to CVOCs can occur through different routes, including drinking, swimming, showering, bathing, food, or laundering (Huang et al. 2014). Exposure to CVOCs has been related to cardiac, neurological, hepatic, renal, dermal, immunological, and reproductive problems, increased birth defects, perinatal mortality, cancer, decreased birth weights (ATSDR 2011; Huang et al. 2014), and risk for preterm birth and spontaneous abortion (Lipscomb et al. 1991; Khattak et al. 1999; Ha et al. 2002).

While contamination of karst aquifer renders significant ecologic, water resources, and human health impacts, the understanding of how contaminants are transported through these systems is limited (Padilla and Vesper 2018). The distribution of contaminants in karst groundwater systems, which are very heterogeneous and highly variable in flow rates may be influenced by changes in hydrogeological conditions and human activities. This study assesses the spatial and temporal distribution of CVOCs in the karst aquifer system of northern Puerto Rico, and evaluates the principal hydrogeological and anthropogenic factors affecting this distribution. It will improve the understanding of the factors affecting the spatial and temporal variability of CVOCs in karst aquifers, and advance the ability of scientist and engineers to predict, minimized the potential for exposure of contaminants to humans and ecosystems, and mitigate the occurrence of CVOCs in these aquifers.

Site description

The island of Puerto Rico is located in the Caribbean (Figure 1a). It is characterized by a relatively warm and humid climate, having two major seasonal periods based on the historical monthly rainfall: a wet season (May through November) and a dry season (December through April) (Torres et al. 2018). The area of study is located in the karst region of northern Puerto Rico (KR-NPR; Figure 1b; Figure 1c), which comprises 19% and contains the most extensive and productive aquifer system of the island (Padilla et al. 2011; Maihemuti et al. 2015). The aquifer system of the KR-NPR is comprised by four major hydrogeological units: an upper aquifer, which is mostly unconfined and linked to the surface throughout most of its outcrop area (Padilla et al. 2011; Torres et al. 2018); a middle confining unit; a lower aquifer; and a basal confining unit. The lower aquifer is confined toward the coastal zone and outcrops to the south of the upper aquifer, where it is recharged and exposed to surface contamination. Both aquifer are characterized by highly variable porosity and permeability over short distances, reflecting the variable distribution of conduit porosity (Ghasemizadeh et al. 2012). A direct connection between the upper and lower aquifers exists along the outcrop of the confining unit, allowing groundwater flow from the

unconfined part of the lower aquifer into the upper aquifer (Torres et al. 2018). The outcrop areas of the aquifer are much more vulnerable to contamination due to direct interaction with the surface (Padilla et al. 2015).

The KR-NPR is characterized by a karst topography having distinctive surface and subsurface features associated with sinkholes, springs, caves, and sinking, losing and gaining streams. Elevation is highest toward the south, where the lower aquifer outcrops, and lowest toward the coastal area, where outcrops of the upper aquifer intermingle with surface alluvial deposits (Figure 1c).

As an eogenetic karst aquifer (Anaya et al. 2014; Torres et al. 2018), significant flow occurs in conduits and the rock matrix (Padilla et al. 2015). Groundwater enters the system through surface infiltration and direct injection of runoff into karstic conduits via sinkholes and sinking streams. Flow in the upper and lower aquifers move regionally northward toward the Atlantic Ocean (Ghasemizadeh et al. 2012) and locally to surface streams and wetlands (Renken et al. 2002). Discharge occurs at wells, springs, and through seepage at surface features. Groundwater is mostly extracted from the upper aquifer because is the most accessible for drilling and pumping, although several industrial and municipal wells extract water from the lower aquifer. These aquifers are the principal groundwater source of water supply in the region (Torres-González et al. 1996; Conde-Costas and Rodríguez-Rodríguez 1997; Cherry 2001).

Sinkholes, which may serve as direct input of water and contaminants into the subsurface, are widespread in the KR-NPR (Torres et al. 2018). Sinkhole density varies spatially, with the highest density generally associated with the outcrop of the upper aquifer. Hydraulic conductivities in the study area are highly variable, ranging from less than 30 to greater than 300 m/d (Renken et al. 2002; Torres et al. 2018). Major land uses in the region (Figure S2; Torres et al. 2018) include natural land (67%), developed land (18%), waters (including surface water, such as rivers, mangroves and swamps; 9%), and agriculture (6%). Developed land incorporates 62% residential, 5% industrial, and 33% construction and other uses.

Availability of water resources from the highly productive karst aquifer system of northern Puerto Rico has spawned industrial and urban development, which promotes economic growth, and increases the potential for extensive groundwater contamination. Industries in the region have relied on the use of hazardous materials, which can enter the karst groundwater from accidental spills and deliberate disposal. This region, which is among the areas with highest groundwater extraction in Puerto Rico (Molina-Rivera and Gómez-Gómez 2008), has indeed been affected by a long history of toxic spills, chemical waste and industrial solvent release into the subsurface (Zack et al. 1987; Hunter and Arbona 1995; Padilla et al. 2011, 2015; U.S. EPA 2011). Liquid-waste was also injected into the confined aquifer system prior to the 1970s (Zack et al. 1987). The unintended consequence of the industrial and urban development has therefore been an extensive contamination of the groundwater resources in the northern karst aquifer.

After more than 40 years, pollution persists (Padilla et al. 2015). Significant contamination from different sources in Puerto Rico has caused the inclusion of 25 Superfund sites in the

EPA National Priority List (NPL) sites and 49 sites in the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Corrective Action Program around the island (U.S. EPA 2018). Since 1983, 12 Superfund sites, which comprises 48% of all superfund sites on the island, and 13 RCRA-CA sites have been included in the KR-NPR (Figure 1c; Table S2; Padilla and Vesper 2018). Several types of contaminants have been detected at Superfund sites in Puerto Rico, including CVOCs, pesticides, heavy metals, and phthalates (Table S2) (Padilla et al. 2015). Studies in the region have reported the presence of organic contaminants in the karst groundwater system (Guzmán-Ríos et al. 1986; Padilla et al. 2011, 2015; Yu et al. 2015; Torres et al. 2018). Of particular importance is the contamination with CVOCs, because of their persistent presence in the environment and potential for exposure and health impacts (Padilla et al. 2011). CVOCs are present in 50% of Superfund sites in the KR-NPR (Figure 1c).

Methods

Data collection

CVOC data from June 1982 to March 2016 were collected from historical records, obtained from governmental agencies and published reports (Padilla et al. 2011; Irizarry 2014) and the University of Puerto Rico, Mayagüez Campus (Cotto, 2015; Padilla et al. 2015; Rivera 2018). The records included concentrations of different CVOC species (Table 1) in wells and springs sites, sampling dates, detection limits (DL), and site-associated spatial coordinates. A total (n) of 8088 samples from 326 sampling sites (Figure 1c) incorporating data for the CVOCs listed in Table 1 were used for the analysis. CVOCs data was compiled into a central database for the Puerto Rico Testsite for Exploring Contamination Threats (PROTECT) Center at Northeastern University for current and future studies (PROTECT 2018). Ancillary data, including hydrogeological and topographic characteristics, land use, and potential sources of contamination, was also collected, as described by Torres et al. (2018).

Data analysis

Detection of CVOC concentrations were grouped into four categories, according to their DLs (Table 1) and maximum contaminant level (MCL; Table S3): not detected (ND); detected, but below DL; above DL, but below MCL; and above DL and MCL. DLs varied due to different data sources, equipment used, CVOCs species, and analysis protocols, with minimum DL ranging from 0.00002 for CCl_4 to 0.00025 mg/L for 1,1,1,2-Tetra.

The factors that affect the variability of CVOC distribution in the karst system of northern Puerto Rico were analyzed from two perspectives: (1) hydrogeological factors (aquifer system, seasonality, elevation, hydraulic conductivity, and sinkhole density), and anthropogenic factors (land use and potential sources of contamination). Hydraulic conductivity distributions from Renken et al. (2002) and sinkhole density maps from Giusti and Bennet (1976) were used to estimate values at each sampling site based on the location of the sampling sites in those maps, as described by Torres et al. (2018). Correlation with CVOC distributions were based on categorical analysis for hydrogeology (upper aquifer, lower aquifer), season (wet, dry), hydraulic conductivities (<30, 30–300, and >300 m/d), and sinkhole density (<1, 1–10, > 11%). Seasonality analysis was based on the time of sample

collection in relation to historically wet and dry seasons. The effect of anthropogenic factors on CVOC contamination was evaluated in relation to potential contamination sources and land use (Torres et al. 2018). The effect of land use on CVOCs contamination was evaluated by extracting the major land use within a 1.6-km radius buffer at each sampling site using ArcMap 10.4, from a 2010 land use map (RIKS n.d.) with respect to 4 categories: water coverage (which includes surface water, such as rivers, mangroves and swamp), natural (such as forests), developed, and agriculture. The spatial distribution of CVOCs was assessed by applying the kriging method of interpolation. Average concentrations, which were calculated using all concentrations above DL for each site having at least one detection for the period of analysis, as described by Torres et al. (2018), were used to perform the spatial interpolation analysis.

Multiple statistical methods were applied to examine CVOC detections and concentration distributions and assess principal factors affecting their distributions. Temporal and statistical analysis were performed on the 7 most frequently-detected CVOCs in the study area: CCl₄, TCM, DCM, PCE, TCE, 1,1-DCE, and CIS-1,2-DCE, and on Total CVOC (TCVOC) average concentration. TCVOC average concentration was calculated as the average of CVOC mean concentration for each species found in a sample. The statistical analyses were conducted using Minitab (v. 17, State College, PA), and included simple statistics (mean, confidence intervals, min and max), Pearson Correlation, and frequency quantile analysis to evaluate trends in the data. A One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) testing the null hypothesis that the means of two or more populations are equal was used to assess statistical differences between mean concentrations of the different CVOCs being analyzed in the different categories. The test of equal variance was performed previous to the ANOVA test. Chi-square was used to test the statistical differences between the detection frequencies of the different CVOCs analyzed in the different categories. Interval confidence of 95% (alpha (α) of 0.05) was used to test significance of the tests. P-values of 0.05 were used to accept or reject the null hypothesis (Minitab 2017) and assert, with a probability of 95%, that mean concentrations (ANOVA) or detection frequencies (Chi-Square) of CVOCs in the categories analyzed were statistically equal ($p > 0.05$) or different ($p < 0.05$).

Results and discussion

The data exhibited an uneven spatial and temporal distribution resulting from the different institutions and agencies that collected the data for different objectives. For instance, the number of samples (n) collected and analyzed varies for each of the CVOC used in this study (Table 1). The number of samples available for the different hydrogeological units is also disproportionally higher for the upper (n=7379) than the lower (n=709) aquifer. This is attributed to the higher number of wells in the upper aquifer, which is more accessible for drilling, than the lower aquifer. Different type of CVOCs species have been found in the study area; however, the results and discussion presented in this study cover the seven most frequently detected CVOCs in groundwater in the KR-NPR: CCl₄, TCM, DCM, PCE, TCE, 1,1-DCE, and CIS-1,2-DCE.

CVOCs detection frequencies

With 64% of groundwater samples and 77% of sampled sites showing presence of CVOCs as single entities or mixtures, our analysis demonstrates that these contaminants are commonly present in the karst groundwater system of northern Puerto Rico, and that this system is highly vulnerable to contamination. The high number of sites showing presence of CVOCs denotes an extensive groundwater contamination in this system. TCE, PCE, TCM, CIS-1,2-DCE, 1,1-DCE, CCl₄, and DCM are the most detected CVOCs in groundwater samples (Table 2). TCM and DCM are the most-detected CVOC per site, followed by TCE and PCE. High detection frequency of these contaminants is attributed to: high number of contamination sources (Figure 1; Table S2), high capacity of the system to rapidly transport contaminants from the source of contamination, high capacity of the system to store and slowly release contaminant over time, and the formation of contaminant by-products. TCE is the CVOC with the highest percentage of samples above MCL, followed by CCl₄, and PCE. This is because these contaminants are the principal species associated with major contamination sources (Sites No. 3 and 9 in Figure 1). The high percent detection of TCM and DCM per site reflects widespread sources of these contaminants beyond well-known sources of contamination (e.g., Superfund and RCRA-CA sites).

Analysis of the data shows 53% of samples (Figure 2a) and 45% of sites having multiple CVOCs (Table 2). The most prevalent mixtures in groundwater samples, which include PCE +TCE+1,1DCE+CIS-1,2DCE followed by CCl₄+TCM+DCM (Figure 2b), indicate coexistence of various species of contaminants. Coexistence of various group of species is attributed to multiple inputs of contaminants and the formation of different CVOC species through degradation of parent compounds. For instance, contaminant sources in the Vega Alta Superfund site (Figure 1) include PCE, TCE, and DCE, among other CVOCs. Many of the CVOCs could have also formed from degradation of PCE and TCE (Figure S1). Similarly, coexistence of CCl₄+TCM+DCM may result from degradation of CCl₄, but could also integrate contaminants from other nearby contaminations sources.

CVOCs concentrations

Analysis shows TCE, CCl₄, 1,1-DCE, PCE, CIS-1,2-DCE, TCM and DCM to have the highest concentrations of detected CVOCs in the KR-NPR (Figure 3a; Table 3). TCE and CCl₄ show the highest concentrations ranges with maximum concentrations of 8.5 and 16.4 mg/L, respectively. PCE, TCM, CIS-1,2-DCE, 1,1-DCE, and DCM, show lower concentration ranges. The high concentrations associated with TCE and CCl₄ is attributed to potential presence of TCE and CCl₄ non-aqueous phase liquids (NAPL) near Upjohn and Vega Alta Public Supply Wells Superfund sites (Figure 1). Although NAPLs were never found near those areas, it is known that pure CCl₄ solvent entered the subsurface at the Upjohn site. Laboratory experiments studying fate and transport processes after TCE NAPL injection in karstified rock show maximum aqueous concentrations around 7 mg/L downstream of the injection (Carmona and Padilla 2015; 2017), and support the conjecture of potential TCE NAPLs in the study area. Cumulative frequency plots (Figure 3b) shows that most of the CVOCs species have a highly skewed distribution toward the low range. TCVC average concentrations show a range from 0.00001 to 16.4 mg/L with a mean

concentration of 0.054 ± 0.011 mg/L. ANOVA statistical analysis show that concentration means for the most detected CVOCs are statistically different from each other ($p < 0.0005$).

Spatial and temporal CVOCs occurrences

The spatial and temporal distribution of CVOCs may be influenced by sources of contamination, monitoring and remediation of the contaminated areas, and hydrogeological properties of the system. The areas with highest concentrations (Figure 4) are associated with known significant sources of CVOC contamination in the western and eastern sides of the study area. CCl_4 high concentrations (Figure 4a) are located on the western side of the KR-NPR, and related to the “Upjohn” Superfund Site (Padilla et al. 2015; Yu et al. 2015). TCM and DCM are widely distributed over the study area (Figure 4b; Figure 4c). Their widespread distribution in the KR-NPR is attributed to multiple sources, including direct entrance into the subsurface from surface sources, degradation of CCl_4 to TCM and DCM, and leakage of disinfection by-product (DBPs) from chlorinated water-distribution lines, as suggested by Yu et al. (2015). High concentrations of PCE and TCE (Figure 4d; Figure 4e) are found in the Vega Alta and Dorado areas and related to Superfund sites in that region (Padilla et al. 2015; Yu et al. 2015). CIS-1,2-DCE and 1,1-DCE (Figure 4f; Figure 4g), which are degradation-by-products of PCE and TCE, have similar spatial distribution to those of PCE and TCE. Spatial distributions of total (Figure 4h) and individual CVOCs average concentrations show that their presence extend beyond demarked sources of contamination, even after active remediation.

The temporal distributions of CVOCs for wells located near the Upjohn (Figure 5a) and Vega Alta (Figure 5b) Superfund Sites show high variability over time. Temporal variability and trends depend on CVOC specie and space, reflecting the influence of multiple factors on their distribution. In general, there is a tendency to decrease over time, although some distributions show some broad increase during particular periods. Decreasing trends in concentrations are attributed to the active remediation activities (mostly pump and treat), as also observed by Padilla et al. (2015) and Yu et al. (2015), and natural attenuation. Under natural attenuation, CCl_4 degrades to TCM, which degrades to DCM; PCE degrades to TCE, which also degrades to 1,1-DCE, and CIS-1,2-DCE. As observed in Figure 5b, PCE concentrations are shown to be lower than TCE, which generally shows the highest concentrations. Higher concentration of TCE than PCE are attributed to a potentially greater source of TCE and to possible degradation of PCE to TCE. Increasing concentrations of some CVOCs in different locations is attributed to differential arrival of contaminant sources, due to the heterogeneity of sources and hydrogeological characteristics, and to the formation of degradation-by-products. Persistent presence of CVOCs in the KR-NPR system over long periods of time, even after contaminated sites have been subjected to active remediation, reflect the high capacity of the system to store and slowly release contaminants.

Hydrogeological factors

Significant difference is observed on concentrations and detection frequencies between the upper and the lower aquifer. Percent detection of single or multiple species in groundwater samples is higher in the upper aquifer (69%) than the lower aquifer (16%). Results show a high number of samples with low concentrations in the lower aquifer, and a higher range of

concentrations in the upper aquifer (Figure 6a). Overall, TCVOC average concentrations and detection frequencies are significantly higher in the upper than in the lower aquifer ($p < 0.0005$) (Table 4). Concentrations and detection frequencies varied among CVOC species, with PCE, TCE, CIS-1,2-DCE, and 1,1-DCE concentrations and detection frequencies showing significantly higher concentrations ($p < 0.0005$) in the upper than lower aquifers (Table 4). Although mean concentrations were not significantly different between the two aquifers for CCl_4 ($p = 0.72$), TCM ($p = 0.372$) and DCM ($p = 0.72$), their detection frequencies were significantly higher in the upper than lower aquifer. Higher detection frequencies and concentrations in the upper aquifer is attributed to its greater outcrop area and direct connection with surface features and sources of contamination.

The analysis shows that detection frequencies of CVOCs are significantly higher ($p < 0.0005$) in wells (d.f. = 65.1%; $n = 7930$) than springs (d.f. = 26.5%; $n = 147$). Although TCVOC average concentrations are higher in wells (0.0543 ± 0.011 mg/L) than springs (0.0030 ± 0.122 mg/L), they are not found to be significantly different ($p = 0.412$). Higher concentrations and detection frequencies in wells than springs is attributed to the large number of wells installed and used for monitoring purposes, as well as differences in transport hydrodynamics associated with springs. Only a small number of springs, which are natural, in the study are used as monitoring stations. Many springs, although not all, are associated with high conduit flow, which would flush high concentrations. Long-term concentrations in springs are, therefore, associated with slow release from diffuse storage compartments.

Results show that concentrations, detections, and distribution of CVOCs vary among the different historical rainfall seasons. The analysis shows that detection frequency for TCVOC is significantly higher for the dry season ($p < 0.0005$; Table 4). The mean concentration is slightly higher in the wet season (Figure 6b), although not significantly different ($p = 0.073$) from the dry season. Analysis shows that, for the CVOCs species analyzed (Table 4), mean concentrations of CCl_4 are significantly higher ($p = 0.02$) in the wet season than those in the dry season. Although not significantly different, mean concentrations are slightly higher for DCM, PCE, TCE, and 1,1-DCE in the wet season, and for TCM and CIS-1,2-DCE in the dry season. Results also show that detection frequencies are significantly higher for CCl_4 , TCM, DCM ($p < 0.0005$), and TCE ($p = 0.029$) during dry seasons. Detection frequencies are slightly higher for PCE, CIS-1,2-DCE, and 1,1-DCE (Table 4), but not significantly different. Differences in detection frequencies and concentrations between rainfall seasons for the different CVOCs are attributed to an interplay of multiple factors, including physico-chemical characteristics of the contaminants, location of contaminant sources, and hydrogeological properties of the karst. For instance, it is observed that, except for DCM, CVOCs with higher Henry's law constant (CCl_4 , 1,1-DCE, PCE, and TCE) show lower concentrations during the dry than wet seasons (Figure S3). This is attributed to higher volatilization losses of those CVOCs. It is also noted that those CVOCs with significantly higher detections during the dry season (CCl_4 , TCM, DCM) are within the same anaerobic degradation pathway (Figure S1), suggesting lower degradation during drier conditions. Higher average concentrations but lower detection frequencies during wet seasons suggests greater mass release from storage compartments accompanied with higher mobility under higher flows. As observed by Anaya et al. (2014), higher flow rates during wet conditions

may induce expansion of preferential flow zones into areas that are commonly stagnant during low-flow regimes. This expansion could mobilize contaminants stored in those compartments. Because they are rapidly mobilized, they are not easily detected under point-sampling schemes. As later discussed in this paper, hydrogeological properties play important roles in the distributions of CVOCs in karst systems. Specific interplay of multiple variables affecting the detection and concentrations of CVOCs under different seasons is, however, beyond the scope of this study and needs to be further studied.

TCVOC and single species mean concentrations and detections vary for different categories of hydraulic conductivities and sinkhole coverage. Mean concentrations for TCVOCs were significantly higher ($p < 0.0005$) in zones of hydraulic conductivities between 30 to 300 m/d (Figure 6c; Table 5). Results show that CCl_4 and TCM have significantly higher concentrations in zones of hydraulic conductivities between 30 to 300 m/d ($p < 0.0005$), followed by zones having hydraulic conductivities greater than 300 m/d (Table 5). DCM, PCE, TCE, 1,1-DCE ($p < 0.0005$), and CIS-1,2-DCE ($p = 0.002$) mean concentrations are significantly higher in areas of low hydraulic conductivities (Table 5). Detection frequencies are higher in hydraulic conductivities between 30 and 300 m/d for TCVOCs ($p < 0.0005$), greater than 300 m/d for CCl_4 , TCM, and DCM ($p < 0.0005$), and less than 30 m/d for PCE, TCE, CIS-1,2-DCE, and 1,1-DCE ($p < 0.0005$). In relation to percent sinkhole coverage areas, TCVOCs ($p < 0.0005$) (Figure 6d), CCl_4 ($p < 0.0005$), TCM ($p = 0.001$) and DCM ($p = 0.001$) mean concentrations were significantly higher in areas of sinkhole coverage of more than 11% (Table 6; Figure S4). TCE, CIS-1,2-DCE, and 1,1-DCE mean concentrations were significantly higher ($p < 0.0005$) in areas with low percent of sinkhole coverage area ($< 1\%$) (Table 6; Figure S4). Except for CCl_4 , which show higher detection frequencies for sinkhole coverage areas greater than 11%, and TCE, which show higher detection frequencies for sinkhole coverage areas lower than 1%, detection frequencies of most CVOC are higher for sinkhole coverage areas between 1 and 10% (Table 6; Figure S4). Higher mean concentrations and detection frequencies of CVOCs species in regards to hydraulic conductivities and percent sinkhole coverage area are mostly related to the location of the major source of contamination (Figure 7; Figure 8). For instance, higher concentrations for CCl_4 and TCM are found in the range of hydraulic conductivities (between 30 and 300 m/d) and sinkhole coverage area ($> 11\%$) where the Upjohn Superfund site is located (Figure 1; Figure 7). Higher detection frequencies for CCl_4 , TCM, and DCM are, however, found at zones of higher hydraulic conductivities (> 300 m/d) downstream of the site, and suggest that this zone is influencing the movement toward that area. Greater detection frequency of DCM in that zone is attributed to the formation of TCM degradation by-products away from the source. The influence of hydraulic conductivities and percent sinkhole coverage can also be observed on the spatial distributions of the CVOC detections (Figure 7; Figure 8). Detection distribution of CCl_4 and related degradation by-products associated with areas of higher hydraulic conductivities and sinkhole coverage near the Upjohn superfund sites tend to be elongated toward the north, which is toward where regional groundwater flows. Detection of PCE and TCE and related by-products associated with the lower hydraulic conductivities and sinkhole coverage near the Vega Alta Superfund site, on the other hand, tend to follow a more transverse distribution in relation to the regional groundwater flow.

Pearson correlation analysis between elevation and CVOC concentrations shows weak, but significant correlation between elevation and CCl₄, DCM, CIS-1,2-DCE and TCVOCs concentrations. Correlation between PCE, TCE, TCM, and 1,1-DCE concentrations are not significant. Positive (although weak) correlation coefficients for TCVOC and CCl₄ indicate increasing concentration with elevation, whereas those with negative coefficients (CIS-1,2-DCE, DCM) reflect increasing concentrations at lower elevations. Although not statistically significant, TCM concentrations shows a decreasing trend with elevation. The influence of elevation on concentrations depends on the location of the contamination sources. In general, for widely distributed species, such as TCM and DCM, concentrations tend to be higher at lower elevations, reflecting cumulative transport from higher to lower elevations. Because most of the sources of contamination are located at lower elevations, higher percent detections and concentrations are found at lower elevations.

Anthropogenic factors

The analysis shows that TCVOC concentrations and detection frequencies vary with different land uses in the KR-NPR. TCVOC, CCl₄, and TCM mean concentrations are found to be statistically higher ($p < 0.0005$) in agricultural land use, while DCM mean concentrations are not statistically different ($p = 0.680$) for the different land uses. PCE, TCE, and CIS-1,2-DCE show significantly higher concentrations ($p < 0.0005$) in areas with natural land use, whereas 1,1-DCE ($p = 0.013$) show higher concentrations in construction and other areas. Detection frequencies were significantly higher ($p < 0.0005$) in agricultural land use for TCVOC and CCl₄, in natural land use for DCM, TCE, and CIS-1,2-DCE, and in construction and other uses for TCM, PCE, and 1,1-DCE. Although different land uses are associated with significant higher concentrations and detection of the different CVOC species, the effect of land use is more related to the mobility of the contaminants away from the source than to the source. For instance, although most contaminant sources are located on industrial areas, most detection and high concentrations are associated with agricultural and natural land uses.

The results from the analysis shows that although the source of contamination may be related to a particular land use, the movement of CVOC contaminants through different pathways in the karst groundwater system is mainly influenced by the hydrogeological properties of the system. For instance, high concentration distributions of CCl₄ in the western part of the study area (Figure 4a) are attributed to the “Upjohn” Superfund Site (No. 3 in Figure 1c), which is located in an area of industrial land use. Greater association of high CCl₄ presence and concentrations with agricultural land use reflect its movement beyond the contamination zone. This movement is influenced by the high hydraulic conductivities and sinkhole coverage (Figure 7; Figure 8). High CVOC concentrations and detections in high sinkhole coverage and hydraulic conductivities areas (mostly for TCVOC and CCl₄) reflect a high amount of contaminant mass entering and moving through the groundwater, when in coexistence with an available source. This is observed in the distribution of CCl₄ related to the “Upjohn” Superfund Site. This distribution (Figure 4a; Figure 7a) follows a northwestern path, which is influenced by flow gradients toward areas of high hydraulic conductivities (Figure 7a). High frequency of CVOC detections and concentrations (mostly for PCE, TCE, CIS-1,2-DCE, and 1,1-DCE) in low hydraulic conductivities areas coincide with low

sinkhole coverage areas, and suggest that there is a lower diluting flow through these regions. As a consequence, CVOCs in the groundwater system become concentrated.

Multiple factors influences the widespread CVOC contamination beyond sources of contamination. In addition to the known sources, there may be unknown sources of contamination in the region. The heterogeneity and anisotropy of the system contribute to the movement of the contaminants to other areas. As result, traditional remediation techniques are less efficient in karst aquifers (Field 2018) than other aquifers. The movement of dense NAPLs (DNAPLs), of which most of the CVOCs in this study are classified under, through karst aquifers is poorly known (White 2018). As a consequence, monitoring of DNAPLs and associated solutes in these aquifers is not adequate and remediation designs fail to capture and contain contaminants from further spreading. Lack of rapid response to characterize, monitor and remediate the sites, in addition to the high capacity of karst systems too rapidly convey water and contaminants, result in widespread contamination beyond the sources of contamination (Padilla et al. 2015). For the Vega Alta Superfund Site (No. 9 in Figure 1), for instance, monitoring and remediation efforts in the site were implemented long after the contamination occurred. In addition, the complexity of the hydrogeology of the area resulted in transport of contaminants to other areas, which were discovered at later states of the remedial action implementation (Padilla et al. 2015). This has resulted in widespread contaminations spatially and temporally.

Conclusions

Analysis of CVOC presence and concentrations in the KR-NPR indicate that there is an extensive spatial and temporal contamination of CVOCs as single entities and as mixtures in the area of study. In addition to a large number of contaminant sources, extensive contamination is attributed to the high capacity of the karst groundwater system to rapidly convey contaminants through preferential flow zones in conduits and highly transmissivity zones, and to the large capacity of the system to store and slowly release these contaminants. The high number of mixtures having CCl₄, TCM, DCM and PCE, TCE, CIS-1,2-DCE, or 1,1-DCE suggest strong coexistence of those contaminants. This is attributed to the presence of multiple contaminants in many sites and to the formation of degradation by-products.

The presence and distribution of many of the CVOC contaminants in the KR-NPR groundwater system are significantly influenced by hydrogeological characteristics, sources of contamination and properties of the contaminants. Sinkhole coverage, hydraulic conductivity, and rainfall seasonality affect the load of contaminants entering the system, their mobility, distributions, and concentrations. Unlike for contaminants associated with disperse sources, such as those studied by Torres et al. (2018), the distribution of contaminants associated with heavily contaminated sources are influenced to a greater extent by the location of these sources than the overall distribution of hydrogeological properties. Still the distribution from these sources are influenced locally by these properties. Greater percent detection of CVOCs is associated with drier conditions, but the effect of rainfall seasons on concentrations varies among the different CVOCs. The effect of rainfall seasons on contaminant distribution is found to be compounded by other variables, including source location, hydrogeological, and physico-chemical characteristics of the contaminants. The

interplay among these variables must be further studied. Results from this study provide further evidence of the factors that make karst groundwater systems highly vulnerable to contamination and likely routes for long-term exposure to Superfund-related contaminants.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

Support of the work described is provided through Award Number P42ES017198 from the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences of the National Institute of Health to the Puerto Rico Testsite for Exploring Contamination Threats (PROTECT) Superfund Research Program Center [Grant number P42ES017198] and the National Science Foundation [Grant No. 1061124]. Support has also been provided by the NSF to Dr. Ingrid Padilla while serving at the National Science Foundation. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views or policies of the National Science Foundation, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences and the National Institute of Health.

References

- Anaya AA, Padilla I, Macchiavelli R, Vesper DJ, Meeker JD, Alshawabkeh AN (2014) Estimating preferential flow in karstic aquifers using statistical mixed models. *Groundwater* 52:584–596. doi: 10.1111/gwat.12084
- ATSDR (2011) Toxicological Profile for Trichloroethylene (TCE). Toxic Substances Portal. <https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/substances/toxsubstance.asp?toxid=30>. Accessed 5 Jun 2013
- Bakalowicz M (2005) Karst groundwater: A challenge for new resources. *Hydrogeol J* 13:148–160. doi: 10.1007/s10040-004-0402-9
- Bi E, Liu Y, He J, Wang Z, Liu F (2012) Screening of Emerging Volatile Organic Contaminants in Shallow Groundwater in East China. *Ground Water Monit Remediat* 32:53–58. doi:10.1111/j.1745-6592.2011.01362.x
- Butscher C, Huggenberger P (2009) Modeling the temporal variability of karst groundwater vulnerability, with implications to climate change. *Environ Sci Technol* 43:1665–1669. doi: 10.1021/es801613g [PubMed: 19368155]
- Carmona M, Padilla IY (2015) Characterization of TCE NAPL and Dissolved Phase Transport in Karst Media. American Geophysical Union 2015 Fall Meeting (Paper No. H42C-08), San Francisco, CA, December 14–18, 2015
- Carmona M, Padilla IY (2017) Flow Dependence Assessment for Fate and Transport of DNAPL in Karst Media. American Geophysical Union 2017 Fall Meeting (Paper No. H54B-06), New Orleans, LA, December 11–15, 2017
- Carter JM, Lapham WW, Zogorski JS (2008) Occurrence of Volatile Organic Compounds in Aquifers of the United States 1. *JAWRA J Am Water Resources Assoc* 44:399–416. doi: 10.1111/j.1752-1688.2008.00170.x
- Cherry GS (2001) Simulation of Flow in the Upper North Coast Limestone Aquifer, Manatí-Vega Baja Area, Puerto Rico. U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 00–4266
- Conde-Costas CE, Rodríguez-Rodríguez GA (1997) Reconnaissance of Ground-Water Quality in the Manatí Quadrangle, Puerto Rico, August–November 1992. U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 96–628
- Cotto I (2015) Monitoring Phthalates and Chlorinated Volatile Organic Compounds in Groundwater and Tap Water Master Thesis, University of Puerto Rico, Mayagüez
- Di Lorenzo T, Borgoni R, Ambrosini R, Cifoni M, Galassi DMP, Petitta M (2015) Occurrence of volatile organic compounds in shallow alluvial aquifers of a Mediterranean region: Baseline scenario and ecological implications. *Sci Total Environ* 538:712–723. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.08.077 [PubMed: 26327639]

- Fan C, Wang G-S, Chen Y-C, Ko C-H (2009) Risk assessment of exposure to volatile organic compounds in groundwater in Taiwan. *Sci Total Environ* 407:2165–2174. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.12.015 [PubMed: 19167026]
- Field MS (2018) Investigating and Remediating Contaminated Karst Aquifers In: *Karst Groundwater Contamination and Public Health: Beyond Case Studies*. Springer International, Switzerland, pp 101–115
- Ford D, Williams P (2007) *Karst Hydrogeology and Geomorphology*, Second John Wiley & Sons Ltd, England
- Ghasemizadeh R, Hellweger F, Butscher C, Padilla I, Vesper D, Field M, Alshawabkeh (2012) Review: Groundwater Flow and transport modeling of karst aquifers, with particular reference to the North Coast Limestone aquifer system of Puerto Rico. *Hydrogeol J* 20:1441–1461. doi: 10.1007/s10040-012-0897-4 [PubMed: 23645996]
- Giusti EV, Bennet GD (1976) Water Resources of the North Coast Limestone Area, Puerto Rico. U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations 42–75
- Green RT, Painter SL, Sun A, Worthington SRH (2006) Groundwater Contamination in Karst. *Water, Air Soil Pollut* 6:157–170. doi: 10.1007/s11267-005-9004-3
- Guzmán-Ríos S, García R, Avilés A (1986) Reconnaissance of Volatile Synthetic Organic Chemicals at Public Water Supply Wells through Puerto Rico, November 1984–May 1985. U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 86–63
- Ha E, Cho S-II, Chen D, Chen C, Ryan L, Smith TJ, Xu X, Christiani DC (2002) Parental Exposure to Organic Solvents and Reduced Birth Weight. *Arch Environ Heal An Int J* 57(3): 207–214. doi: 10.1080/00039890209602938
- Howard K, Gerber R (2018) Impacts of urban areas and urban growth on groundwater in the Great Lakes Basin of North America. *J Great Lakes Res* 44:1–13. doi: 10.1016/j.jglr.2017.11.012
- Huang B, Lei C, Wei C, Zeng G (2014) Chlorinated volatile organic compounds (CI-VOCs) in environment-sources, potential human health impacts, and current remediation technologies. *Environ Int* 71:118–138. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2014.06.013 [PubMed: 25016450]
- Hunter JM, Arbona SI (1995) Paradise lost: an introduction to the geography of water pollution in Puerto Rico. *Soc Sci Med* 40:1331–1355. doi: 10.1016/0277-9536(94)00255-R [PubMed: 7638643]
- Irizarry C (2014) Historical Assessment of Chlorinated Volatile Organic Compounds (CVOCs) and Phthalates Contamination in the Northern Karst Aquifer of Puerto Rico Using GIS Master Project, University of Puerto Rico, Mayagüez
- Khattak S, K-Moghtader G, McMartin K, Barrera M, Kennedy D, Koren G (1999) Pregnancy outcome following gestational exposure to organic solvents: a prospective controlled study. *JAMA* 281(12): 1106–1109. doi: 10.001/jama.281.12.1106 [PubMed: 10188661]
- Kistemann T, Hundhausen J, Herbst S, Claßen T, Färber H (2008) Assessment of a groundwater contamination with vinyl chloride (VC) and precursor volatile organic compounds (VOC) by use of a geographical information system (GIS). *Int J Hyg Environ Health* 211:308–317. doi: 10.1016/j.ijheh.2007.02.011 [PubMed: 17869578]
- Lawrence SJ (2006) Description, Properties, and Degradation of Selected Volatile Organic Compounds Detected in Ground Water-A Review of Selected Literature. U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2006–1338.
- Lee S, Kaown D, Lee K (2015) Evaluation of the fate and transport of chlorinated ethenes in a complex groundwater system discharging to a stream in Wonju, Korea. *J Contam Hydrol* 182:231–243. doi: 10.1016/j.jcondhyd.2015.09.005 [PubMed: 26433603]
- Lipscomb JA, Fenster L, Wrensch M, Shusterman D, Swan S (1991) Pregnancy outcomes in women potentially exposed to occupational solvents and women working in the electronics industry. *J Occup Med* 33:597–604 [PubMed: 1870012]
- Maihemuti B, Ghasemizadeh R, Yu X, Padilla I, Alshawabkeh AN (2015) Simulation of Regional Karst Aquifer System and Assessment of Groundwater Resources in Manatí-Vega Baja, Puerto Rico. *J Water Resour Prot* 7:909–922. doi:10.4236/jwarp.2015.712075 [PubMed: 31131072]
- Maupin MA, Barber NL (2005) Estimated Withdrawals from Principal Aquifers in the United States, 2000. U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1279

- Minitab 18 (2017) What is ANOVA? <https://support.minitab.com/en-us/minitab/18/help-and-how-to/modeling-statistics/anova/supporting-topics/basics/what-is-anova/>. Accessed 20 June 2018
- Molina-Rivera WL, Gómez-Gómez F (2008) Estimated Water Use in Puerto Rico, 2005. U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2008–1286
- Moran MJ, Zogorski JS, Squillace PJ (2007) Chlorinated solvents in groundwater of the United States. *Environ Sci Technol* 41:74–81. doi: 10.1021/es061553y [PubMed: 17265929]
- Padilla I, Irizarry C, Steele K (2011) Historical Contamination of Groundwater Resources. *Dimension* 25:7–12
- Padilla IY, Rivera VL, Irizarry C (2015) Spatiotemporal response of CVOC contamination and remedial actions in eogenetic karst aquifers. In: *Proceedings of the 14th Multidisciplinary Conference on Sinkholes and the Engineering and Environmental Impacts of Karst*, October 5–9, 2015 Rochester, Minnesota, pp 337–345
- Padilla IY, Vesper DJ (2018) Fate, Transport, and Exposure of Emerging and Legacy Contaminants in Karst Systems: State of Knowledge and Uncertainty In: *Karst Groundwater Contamination and Public Health: Beyond Case Studies*. Springer International, Switzerland, pp 33–49
- Plummer NL, Sibrell PL, Casile GC, Busenberg E, Hunt AG, Schlosser P (2013) Tracing groundwater with low-level detection of halogenated VOCs in a fractured carbonate-rock aquifer, Leeton Science Center, West Virginia, USA *Appl Geochemistry* 33:260–280. doi: 10.1016/j.apgeochem.2013.02.021
- PROTECT (2018) Puerto Rico Testsite for Exploring Contamination Threats <https://web.northeastern.edu/protect/> Accessed 1 August 2018
- Renken RA, Ward WC, Gill IP, Gómez-Gómez F, Rodríguez-Martínez J et al. (2002) Geology and Hydrogeology of the Caribbean Islands Aquifer System of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands. U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1419.
- RIKS n.d. Xplorah: support for integrated spatial planning in Puerto Rico. <https://slideplayer.com/slide/13466596/> Accessed 30 August 2018
- Rivera VL (2008) Relationship Assessment Between Contamination of Groundwater and Tap Water in Karst Systems Master Thesis, University of Puerto Rico, Mayagüez
- Schiffmacher EN, Becker JG, Lorah MM, Voytek MA (2016) The effects of co-contaminants and native wetland sediments on the activity and dominant transformation mechanisms of a 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane (TeCA)-degrading enrichment culture. *Chemosphere* 147:239–247. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.12.033 [PubMed: 26766361]
- Squillace PJ, Moran MJ, Price CV (2004) VOCs in Shallow Groundwater in New Residential/Commercial Areas of the United States. *Environ Sci Technol* 38:5327–5338. doi: 10.1021/es0349756 [PubMed: 15543733]
- Torres-González S, Planert M, Rodríguez JM (1996) Hydrogeology and Simulation of Ground-Water Flow in the Upper Aquifer of the Río Camuy to Río Grande de Manatí Area, Puerto Rico. U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 95–4286.
- Torres NI, Yu X, Padilla IY, Macchiavelli RE, Ghasemizadeh R, Kaeli D, Cordero JF, Meeker JD, Alshawabkeh AN (2018) The influence of hydrogeological and anthropogenic variables on phthalate contamination in eogenetic karst groundwater systems. *Environ Pollut* 237:298–307. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2018.01.106 [PubMed: 29494923]
- U.S. EPA (2011) STORET Database Access. <http://www.epa.gov/storet/dbtop.html>. Accessed 15 Apr 2013
- U.S. EPA (2018) National Priorities List. <https://www.epa.gov/superfund/national-priorities-list-npl-sites-state>. Accessed 1 Jun 2018
- White WB (2018) Contaminant transport in karst aquifers: systematics and mechanisms In: *Karst Groundwater Contamination and Public Health: Beyond Case Studies*. Springer International, Switzerland, pp 55–81
- Yu X, Ghasemizadeh R, Padilla I, Irizarry C, Kaeli D, Alshawabkeh AN (2015) Spatiotemporal changes of CVOC concentrations in karst aquifers: Analysis of three decades of data from Puerto Rico. *Sci Total Environ* 511:1–10. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.12.031 [PubMed: 25522355]
- Zack A, Rodríguez-Alonso T, Román-Mas A (1987) Puerto Rico Groundwater Quality. U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 87–0749

Figure 1. (a) Location of Puerto Rico, (b) Major streams and aquifers in Puerto Rico, (c) Aquifer hydrogeology of the KA-NPR study area; location of potential sources of contamination in the study area; location of CVOC sampling sites. Superfund Sites are identified with numbers from 1 to 12, and the name, enlisted year and contaminants are on the shown table.

Figure 2.

(a) Percent of total samples with one or more of the 7 most detected CVOCs as single entities or mixtures, (b) Most prevalent mixtures (from the total number of mixtures).

Figure 3.

(a) Box plots of CVOC concentrations; (b) Cumulative frequency plot showing concentration of CVOC species.

Figure 4. Spatial distribution of (a) CCl₄, (b) TCM, (c) DCM, (d) PCE, (e) TCE, (f) CIS-1,2-DCE, (g) 1,1-DCE, and (h) Total CVOC average concentrations (mg/L) in the study area (note that the legend is for all CVOCs).

Figure 5. Temporal distribution of CVOCs species in wells near (a) Upjohn Superfund Site, Barceloneta; (b) Vega Alta Public Supply Wells Superfund Site, Vega Alta

Figure 6.

(a) Cumulative frequency of TCVOC average concentrations for different aquifer types; (b) Seasonal TCVOC average concentrations cumulative frequency plot, (c) TCVOC average concentrations for different hydraulic conductivities, and (d) TCVOC average concentrations for different sinkhole coverage area.

Figure 7.
CVOC detections for different hydraulic conductivities categories in the upper aquifer: (a) CCl₄, (b) TCM, (c) DCM, (d) PCE, (e) TCE, (f) CIS-1,2-DCE, (g) 1,1-DCE, and (h) TCVOC average.

Figure 8. CVOC detection for different sinkhole coverage area categories: (a) CCl₄, (b) TCM, (c) DCM, (d) PCE, (e) TCE, (f) CIS-1,2-DCE, (g) 1,1-DCE, and TCVOCs average.

Table 1.

Name, abbreviation, number of samples, and DL of samples collected for CVOC analysis

Contaminant name	Abbreviation	Number of sample data (n)	Detection limit (DL) (mg/L) (mean $\pm$ confidence interval)
Trichloroethylene	TCE	5354	0.00204 $\pm$ 0.00047
Tetrachloroethylene	PCE	5106	0.00201 $\pm$ 0.00048
Chloroform	TCM (or CF)	4602	0.00225 $\pm$ 0.00051
Carbon Tetrachloride	CCl ₄ (or CT)	7425	0.00166 $\pm$ 0.00040
1,1-Dichloroethane	1,1-DCA	3756	0.00262 $\pm$ 0.00056
1,1-Dichloroethylene	1,1-DCE	4716	0.00228 $\pm$ 0.00050
Cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	CIS-1,2-DCE	4254	0.00226 $\pm$ 0.00053
1,2-Dichloroethane	1,2-DCA	4908	0.00142 $\pm$ 0.00049
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1,1,1-TCA	5022	0.00221 $\pm$ 0.00051
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1,1,2-TCA	4792	0.00204 $\pm$ 0.00050
Dichloromethane	DCM (or MC)	5264	0.00258 $\pm$ 0.00048
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1,1,2,2-TeCA	3496	0.00262 $\pm$ 0.00058
Trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	Trans-1,2-DCE	4609	0.00221 $\pm$ 0.00051
Vinyl chloride	VC	4404	0.00220 $\pm$ 0.00052
Chloroethane	CA	3541	0.00262 $\pm$ 0.00062
Chloromethane	CM	3523	0.00263 $\pm$ 0.00058
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	1,1,1,2-TeCA	3302	0.00266 $\pm$ 0.00060
Bromoform	BF	3466	0.00267 $\pm$ 0.00059
Bromodichloromethane	BDCM	3535	0.00273 $\pm$ 0.00058
Chlorodibromomethane	CDBM	3494	0.002655 $\pm$ 0.00058

Table 2.

Percent detection of contaminants in groundwater in the KR-NPR

CVOC type	Total number of samples	% Sample detection above DL	% Sample detection Above MCL	Number of sites with detection	% Detection per site (n=326)
TCE	5354	46.30	29.40	90	27.61
PCE	5106	36.09	8.17	88	26.99
TCM	4602	30.18	0.15	159	48.77
CIS-1,2-DCE	4254	27.50	0.82	33	10.12
1,1-DCE	4716	26.93	6.34	55	16.87
CCl₄	7425	24.31	18.19	57	17.48
DCM	5264	15.71	2.62	110	33.74
1,1-DCA	3756	14.86	1.06	36	11.04
BDCM	3535	4.07	0.23	53	16.26
Trans-1,2-DCE	4609	2.99	0.02	32	9.82
CDBM	3494	2.95	0.23	57	17.48
BF	3466	2.31	0.20	45	13.80
CM	3523	1.70	0.28	42	12.88
1,2-DCA	4908	0.65	0.08	22	6.75
VC	4404	0.57	0.09	12	3.68
1,1,1-TCA	5022	0.56	0.02	16	4.91
1,1,2-TCA	4792	0.36	0.00	4	1.23
CA	3541	0.20	0.00	6	1.84
1,1,2,2-TeCA	3496	0.06	0.00	0	0.00
1,1,1,2-TeCA	3302	0.00	0.00	0	0.00

Table 3.

Concentration of contaminants in groundwater of the KR-NPR

CVOCs type	Min (mg/L)	Max (mg/L)	Mean $\pm$ Confidence Interval (95%) (mg/L)
TCE	0.00010	8.500	0.1203 $\pm$ 0.021
PCE	0.00001	0.380	0.0065 $\pm$ 0.017
TCM	0.00008	0.690	0.0045 $\pm$ 0.017
CIS-1,2-DCE	0.00005	1.000	0.0094 $\pm$ 0.003
1,1-DCE	0.00017	1.800	0.0138 $\pm$ 0.003
CCl₄	0.00002	16.396	0.0899 $\pm$ 0.028
DCM	0.00020	0.330	0.0060 $\pm$ 0.002
1,1-DCA	0.00007	0.110	0.0025 $\pm$ 0.001
BDCM	0.00025	0.056	0.0052 $\pm$ 0.001
Trans-1,2-DCE	0.00020	0.039	0.0081 $\pm$ 0.001
CDBM	0.00050	0.029	0.0042 $\pm$ 0.001
CM	0.00050	0.190	0.0053 $\pm$ 0.006
BF	0.00050	0.036	0.0048 $\pm$ 0.001
1,2-DCA	0.00014	0.026	0.0024 $\pm$ 0.002
VC	0.00041	0.380	0.0169 $\pm$ 0.031
1,1,1-TCA	0.00020	0.227	0.0131 $\pm$ 0.018
1,1,2-TCA	0.00025	0.004	0.0019 $\pm$ 0.001
CA	0.00060	0.001	0.0008 $\pm$ 0.0002
1,1,2,2-TeCA	0.00070	0.003	0.0021 $\pm$ 0.018

Table 4.

Summary of concentrations and detection frequencies of CVOCs for different hydrogeology characteristics

CVOC type	Hydrogeology				Season			
	Upper Aquifer		Lower Aquifer		Wet		Dry	
	Concentration (mg/L)	Detection frequency (%)	Concentration (mg/L)	Detection frequency (%)	Concentration (mg/L)	Detection frequency (%)	Concentration (mg/L)	Detection frequency (%)
TCVOC average	0.0551 ± 0.011	68.76% (n=7379)	0.0040 ± 0.001	16.22% (n=709)	0.0636 ± 0.015	61.18% (n=4266)	0.0443 ± 0.015	67.48% (n=3822)
CCl ₄	0.0902 ± 0.028	26.63% (n=6756)	0.0016 ± 0.49	0.90% (n=669)	0.1301 ± 0.058	19.88% (n=4039)	0.0576 ± 0.021	29.59% (n=3386)
TCM	0.0044 ± 0.0001	31.42% (n=4239)	0.0068 ± 0.005	16.53% (n=363)	0.0040 ± 0.002	21.61% (n=2351)	0.0047 ± 0.001	39.27% (n=2251)
DCM	0.0061 ± 0.002	16.66% (n=4725)	0.0047 ± 0.007	7.42% (n=539)	0.0085 ± 0.004	4.511% (n=2771)	0.0056 ± 0.002	28.15% (n=2493)
PCE	0.0065 ± 0.001	40.28% (n=4578)	0.0005 ± 0.032	0.38% (n=528)	0.0072 ± 0.001	35.27% (n=3000)	0.0055 ± 0.002	37.42% (n=2106)
TCE	0.1205 ± 0.021	51.30% (n=4825)	0.0009 ± 0.0004	0.57% (n=529)	0.1307 ± 0.029	44.97% (n=3024)	0.1077 ± 0.032	47.98% (n=2330)
CIS-1,2-DCE	0.0094	30.95% (n=3777)	0.0007	0.21% (n=477)	0.0092 ± 0.003	27.18% (n=2553)	0.0098 ± 0.004	27.98% (n=1701)
1,1-DCE	0.0138 ± 0.003	30.20% (n=4199)	0.0014 ± 0.086	0.39% (n=517)	0.0160 ± 0.004	26.67% (n=2760)	0.0108 ± 0.005	27.30 (n=1956)

Table 5.

Summary of CVOC concentrations and detection frequencies for different hydraulic conductivities categories

CVOC type	Hydraulic conductivity (m/d)					
	< 30		30 to 300		> 300	
	Concentration (mg/L)	Detection frequency (%)	Concentration (mg/L)	Detection frequency (%)	Concentration (mg/L)	Detection frequency (%)
TCVOC average	0.0616 ± 0.013	60.98% (n=2642)	0.0638 ± 0.018	73.92% (n=3761)	0.0046 ± 0.001	69.98% (n=976)
CCl ₄	0.0012 ± 0.001	0.27% (n=2223)	0.1226 ± 0.039	36.30% (n=3559)	0.0076 ± 0.001	51.44% (n=974)
TCM	0.0041 ± 0.001	22.26% (n=1860)	0.0077 ± 0.004	23.21% (n=1741)	0.0019 ± 0.0001	80.56% (n=638)
DCM	0.1950 ± 0.008	6.32% (n=2343)	0.0075 ± 0.003	7.58% (n=1820)	0.0017 ± 0.0001	89.15% (n=562)
PCE	0.0101 ± 0.002	39.00% (n=2410)	0.0027 ± 0.001	44.14% (n=2012)	0.0001 ± 0.0001	10.26% (n=156)
TCE	0.1893 ± 0.038	52.18% (n=2593)	0.0375 ± 0.004	54% (n=2076)	0.0048	0.65% (n=156)
CIS-1,2-DCE	0.0134 ± 0.004	27.93% (n=2073)	0.0056 ± 0.004	35.78% (n=1649)	-	0% (n=55)
1,1-DCE	0.0209 ± 0.007	27.05% (n=2329)	0.0068 ± 0.001	35.59% (n=1790)	0.0005	1.27% (n=80)

Table 6.

Summary of CVOC concentrations and detection frequencies for different sinkhole coverage area

CVOC type	Sinkhole coverage area (%)					
	< 1%		1 to 10		> 11%	
	Concentration (mg/L)	Detection frequency	Concentration (mg/L)	Detection frequency	Concentration (mg/L)	Detection frequency
TCVOCs average	0.0419 ± 0.008	65.26% (n=3823)	0.0132 ± 0.002	69.50% (n=1797)	0.1120 ± 0.036	63.18% (n=2246)
CCl ₄	0.0066 ± 0.006	1.22% (n=3353)	0.0074 ± 0.001	28.93% (n=1673)	0.1242 ± 0.040	58.11% (n=2194)
TCM	0.0040 ± 0.001	20.04% (n=2805)	0.0035 ± 0.002	54.09% (n=1235)	0.0102 ± 0.002	30.25% (n=519)
DCM	0.0145 ± 0.003	5.34% (n=3239)	0.0038 ± 0.002	42.95% (n=1299)	0.0034 ± 0.005	12.15% (n=650)
PCE	0.0072 ± 0.001	39.29% (n=3568)	0.0042 ± 0.0004	49.00% (n=902)	0.0005 ± 0.001	0.34% (n=582)
TCE	0.1359 ± 0.027	52.71% (n=3747)	0.0606 ± 0.007	52.52% (n=933)	0.0044 ± 0.004	2.10% (n=620)
CIS12DCE	0.0120 ± 0.004	27.11% (n=2992)	0.0037 ± 0.0003	49.6% (n=724)	-	0% (n=493)
11DCE	0.0173 ± 0.005	29.11% (n=3284)	0.0024 ± 0.0003	36.48% (n=806)	0.0148 ± 0.006	3.49% (n=573)