Published in final edited form as:

Ann Biomed Eng. 2020 February ; 48(2): 519–535. doi:10.1007/s10439-019-02400-3.

Effects of Physical, Chemical, and Biological stimulus on h-MSC expansion and their functional characteristics: A review

David A. Castilla-Casadiegos¹, Ana M. Reyes-Ramos², Maribella Domenech², Jorge Almodovar^{1,*}

¹Ralph E. Martin Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Arkansas, 3202 Bell Engineering Center, Fayetteville, AR 72701.

²Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Puerto Rico Mayagüez, Call Box 9000, Mayagüez, PR 00681-9000, USA.

Abstract

Human adult mesenchymal stem or stromal cells (h-MSC) therapy has gained considerable attention due to the potential to treat or cure diseases given their immunosuppressive properties and tissue regeneration capabilities. Researchers have explored diverse strategies to promote high h-MSC production without losing functional characteristics or properties. Physical stimulus including stiffness, geometry, and topography, chemical stimulus, like varying the surface chemistry, and biochemical stimuli such as cytokines, hormones, small molecules, and herbal extracts have been studied but have yet to be translated to industrial manufacturing practice. In this review, we describe the role of those stimuli on h-MSC manufacturing, and how these stimuli positively promote h-MSC properties, impacting the cell manufacturing field for cell-based therapies. In addition, we discuss other process considerations such as bioreactor design, good manufacturing practice, and the importance of the cell donor and ethics factors for manufacturing potent h-MSC.

Keywords

human adult mesenchymal stem or stromal cells; cell manufacturing; cell therapy

1. Introduction

The demand for human adult mesenchymal stem or stromal cells (h-MSC) has increased in the past 20 years⁹³. The tissue regenerative capacity and immunosuppressive potential⁹⁶ along with the self-renewing and clonogenic characteristics make them uniquely suited for a myriad of clinical applications and cell-based therapies^{30,40,59,58}. h-MSC have the ability to

*Corresponding author: Phone: +1 479-575-3924, fax: +1 479-575-7926; jlalmodo@uark.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This Author Accepted Manuscript is a PDF file of an unedited peer-reviewed manuscript that has been accepted for publication but has not been copyedited or corrected. The official version of record that is published in the journal is kept up to date and so may therefore differ from this version.

10. Author Disclosure Statement

The authors declare that no competing financial interests exist

differentiate into 3 main lineages: adipocytes, osteoblasts, and chondroblasts¹. h-MSC therapies have been proposed as treatment for Alzheimer's disease using 174 million cells per patient (M-Cells/P), amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (126 M-cells/p), orthopedic diseases (bone and cartilage)(101 M-cells/p), cancer (132 M-cells/p), cardiovascular disease (120 M-cells/p), Crohn's disease (1508 M-cells/p), diabetes (375 M-cells/p), erectile dysfunction (15 M-cells/p), graft versus host disease (578 M-cells/p), hematological disease (192 M-cells/p), kidney disease (261 M-cells/p), liver disease (420 M-cells/p), lung disease (451 M-cells/p), lupus (70 M-cells/p), multiple sclerosis (190 M-cells/p), Parkinson's disease (168 M-cells/p), psoriasis (420 M-cells/p), and spinal cord injury (109 M-cells/p)⁹³.

In the year 2000, the consumption of h-MSC for clinical and academic/preclinical work was about 1×10^{11} total cells. Recent reports have shown higher consumption values up to 7×10^{12} total cells, which indicate an exponential increase in the coming years⁹³. Jon A. Rowley and colleagues have indicated that by 2040 the h-MSC consumption will be focused on five applications:

1. Therapeutic products with a demand of at least 300 trillion cells;
2. Tissue engineering with 33,600 transplants and 185,000 limb amputations per year in the USA (278 trillion cells only for bone tissue engineering);
3. Products derived from h-MSC like raw materials for clinical trials, extracellular vesicles, protein/cytokine production (300 trillion cells);
4. Systems and synthetic biology where h-MSC will be used in gene silencing, potency, targeting, molecular engineering, and biodistribution;
5. And Emerging industries, like cosmeceuticals and engineered biomaterials⁹³.

Therefore, the demand for h-MSC could become a big problem if the cell manufacturing field cannot accommodate the production line to supply future needs. Given the high number of patients and the millions of cells per patient required, it is necessary to explore ways in which processes can improve to meet the demand. Expansion methods and technologies employed in the cell manufacturing process, like planar and 3D bioreactors, have been essential to produce higher amounts of h-MSC. However, such methods still fall short to meet the high demand for cells with the expected potency. Thus, there is room to explore new strategies that enhance and support the manufacture chain process to obtain not only large quantities but also high potency in the final cell-based product.

This review, as illustrated in Figure 1, describes the role of different stimuli on h-MSC behavior for more efficient manufacturing processes by increasing productivity and retaining desired properties of h-MSC will positively impact cell-based therapies. The effect of surface chemistry and physical stimulus in cultures including the type of culture substrates, substrate stiffness, and other aspects of geometry and topography of materials on h-MSC expansion and potency are discussed within the cell manufacturing perspective. Also, the influence of culture parameters and biochemical stimuli such as cytokines, hormones, small molecules, and herbal extracts are discussed as potential strategies to promote cell growth, the reduction in consumption of culture components derived from animal sources, and the refinement of potent immunosuppressive properties expressed in manufactured h-MSC.

Finally, ethics factors, sustainable banks for the future, issues, and challenges of good manufacturing practices are briefly discussed.

2. Response to Physical, Chemical, and Biological Stimuli

2.1. Culture Substrates

h-MSC respond to physical and chemical signals presented by the local microenvironment, such as surface chemistry, substrate stiffness, topography, and 3D materials including nanofibers or hydrogels^{30,40,80,82}. Culture substrates composed of either synthetic or natural polymers together with biophysical cues are a promising strategy for supporting and enhancing the cell manufacturing process. In recent years, natural and synthetic biomaterials have been created, which are capable of mimicking the native extracellular matrix (ECM) through cell organization, mechanical forces, and bioactive molecule delivery^{48,117}. Interactions with different physical cues require a broad understanding of how h-MSC could be affected by the phenomena mentioned above. For example, mechanical properties of substrates, such as stiffness and elasticity, modulate the behavior of h-MSC during culture and those effects persisted after several rounds of cell expansion⁷². This “mechanical memory” state will likely impact cell safety and potency in tissues^{42,47}. In the next sections, we summarize recent advances and limitations of culture substrates for cell manufacturing applications.

2.1.1 Tissue Culture -Treated Plastic (TCP)—Cell isolation and expansion processes are performed on traditional tissue culture-treated plastic (TCP). TCP has been the preferred choice for cell culture due to its excellent cell adhesive properties, optical transparency, and biocompatibility. Although for most cells high-fold cell expansion is facilitated, TCP substrates have a stiffness and are incapable of supporting matrix-mediated signaling. Several studies have shown that when h-MSC are cultured for extended times on TCP they lose their proliferative capacity and multi-lineage differentiation potential^{3,13,48,71,83,96,137}. Moreover, after several passages, h-MSC enter into a “senescence” state, which leads to permanent cell cycle arrest. Work by Yang and colleagues shows that h-MSC can develop mechanical memory if expanded on TCP, meaning that cells can store past signals of a culture microenvironment. This behavior was monitored through the activation (nucleus location) or deactivation (cytoplasmic location) of the transcriptional coactivator YAP/TAZ and RUNX2 genes on TCP and soft hydrogels, which affected cell potency after *in vivo* transplantation^{71,137}. In addition to transcriptional activity, changes in cell behavior can also be perceived by the loss of the elongated morphology and the appearance of abundant large and flat cell clusters in a culture, both indicators of cell differentiation. For example, Doley and collaborators studied the expansion of human bone marrow MSCs (h-BMMSC) cultured using a serum-free defined medium on Corning Synthemax surface, TCP, and ECM coatings and compared it to standard culture conditions supplemented with serum³¹. Their findings showed that cells seeded in Corning Synthemax surface and ECM coatings presented similar cumulative cell number for all sixty days of testing³¹. Both surfaces with serum-free medium reported a production of approximately 1×10^{14} cells in sixty days. However, TCP with serum only yielded 1×10^9 cells in the same time period. Additionally, cells maintained the normal elongated and spindle-like morphology on the different substrates except on TCP.

Such changes in cell behavior associated with the use of TCP as the main culture surface limits its continued use in the propagation of h-MSC and has been the main motivation for the engineering of culture substrates. Potential alternative substrates for h-MSC cultures are summarized in the next sections and are grouped based on the physical properties examined.

2.1.2 Stiffness—Mechanical properties impact h-MSC proliferation, maintenance of phenotype, and differentiation^{139,142}. The stiffness of the culture substrate is one of the significant properties impacting the differentiation potential and phenotype of h-MSC. Stiffness is a measure of stretchability and rigidity of the materials¹⁶ and it is typically obtained from the slope of the linear region of the stress-strain curve, “Young’s modulus”, where stress and strain are representing strength and ductility, respectively¹². Cells can exert traction forces generated from cytoskeleton’s tension on the substrate due to its stiffness⁴³ leading to the activation of mechano-transductive signaling that modulates cellular activities^{36,95}. Thus, the substrate stiffness influences the cell’s adhesion strength and surface spreading¹³⁸.

The differentiation of h-MSC into different cell lineages is one of the main cellular functions impacted by substrates stiffness. For example, stiff surfaces induce osteogenesis, whereas softer substrates supports adipogenesis and decreases the proliferation rate⁷⁶. Therefore, it is vital to consider the mechanical properties of the substrates to avoid undesired changes in cell differentiation during the expansion process³⁶. Li’s team evaluated different ranges of stiffness to examine the impact on fibrotic cell behavior, which has a direct relationship with the preservation of stem cells characteristics⁷¹. They found that surfaces of low stiffness (2–5 KPa), which fell in the range of those reported for soft tissues, inhibited fibrogenesis as compared to TCP (2 GPA). Moreover, substrates of high stiffness (50–100 kPa) induced cell fibrogenesis^{71,122} suggesting the potential of soft substrates to preserve the stem cells characteristics. Kureel and colleagues also examined the impact of stiffness in stemness and growth by measuring cell doubling times and differentiation potency in polyacrylamide-based substrates of variable stiffness. The cumulative doubling time of umbilical-cord and bone-marrow derived h-MSC seeded onto a polyacrylamide gel of Young’s modulus of 5 kPa showed an increase of nine times higher population doubling in comparison to h-MSC seeded on TCP. Also, the adipogenic differentiation potential was limited in TCP⁶⁶, further supporting the mechanosensorial capability of h-MSC. Thus, soft substrates represent a good alternative to maintain the differentiation capability and enhanced growth rates; however, the stiffness of the culture substrate needs to be tailored early during the cell expansion process to meet the desired therapeutic application.

2.1.3 Fibrous Scaffolds—Scaffolds represent an ideal structure as a substrate for cell growth, mimicking their environment. Several scaffolds have been created with a fibrous or flat organization, where the mechanical properties in combination with changes in surface morphology/chemistry provide a set of biophysical cues to guide cell behavior through cell-material interactions¹²¹. Fibrous substrates are typically fabricated by phase separation, melt spinning, self-assembly, twisting, braiding, knitting and electrospinning methods¹¹². Electrospinning is a promising technique where ultrafine fibers are obtained by electrically charging a polymer solution droplet⁷³. This technique enables the generation of fibrous

substrates and controls over the physical parameters including fiber diameter, density, and anisotropy, to generate substrates that mimic the natural fibrous structure and architecture of the native extracellular matrix^{6,111,121}. Fibrous substrates have been shown to modulate important cellular processes such as cell growth and migration, but little is known about how fibrous substrate could help in the expansion and manufacturing process of h-MSC, since many of the studies have been made with non-human cells. Li and colleagues reported using PLGA electrospun fibers to observe if h-BMMSC had good interactions with the engineered microenvironment. They reported that cells seeded onto electrospun fibers maintain their phenotype, have good adhesion and proliferation, and had a fivefold increment in the total cell number by day ten⁷³. Similar results were reported by Baker and co-workers using synthetic fibrous material and hydrogels with different stiffness levels composed of methacrylated dextran. Cell proliferation of h-MSC on the stiff hydrogel substrates resulted in a 15% higher return than h-MSC seeded on soft hydrogels, while the soft fibers showed a 10% increase over h-MSC on stiff fibers networks⁶. Thus, the alterations observed in the behavior of h-MSC as a consequence of the fibrous or flat state of the substrate indicate that the structure of the material is also an important property to consider in the design of culture substrates for cell manufacture applications.

2.1.4 Topography—Physical cues of the cellular microenvironment are considered important factors in cell function. These cues directly affect the cells mechano-sensitivity due to the cell-substrate interactions. The substrate topography, including geometry, is based on surface modifications with different shapes to generate mechanical stress in the cells, therefore affecting cell behavior⁵³. Research performed by Lee J and colleagues showed that geometric cues (different shape and size) on hydrazine-modified polyacrylamide (PA) hydrogels are more favorable to the activation of stemness markers. They evaluated the role of substrate stiffness, such as 0.5 KPa (soft) and 30 KPa (stiff), combined with different geometries, i.e., circle, oval and star, on the maintenance of the h-MSC phenotype. They concluded that soft substrates and micro-patterns can regulate the spreading and cytoskeletal tension, enhancing the expression of h-MSC multipotency markers⁷⁰. On the other hand, Seunghan Oh and colleagues were interested in controlling the expansion of undifferentiated h-MSC, as well as regulating their differentiation using variation in surface geometry. They found that TiO₂ nanotubes with a specific diameter of 30 nm promotes adhesion without differentiation and strongly enhances cellular activities compared to smooth TiO₂ surfaces; however, larger diameters between 70–100 nm induce selective differentiation to osteoblast. Therefore, there is a way to enhance cell adhesion during cell expansion, controlling h-MSC fate⁹⁰. In addition to geometries, topographical characteristics are perceived by h-MSC and shown to influence behavior by altering gene expression patterns that directly impact cell growth, adhesion, and differentiation⁷⁰. McMurray and co-workers examined the impact of nanotopographies as an easy-to-use and easy-to-manufacture surface to maintain multipotent characteristics on bone marrow and adipose-derived h-MSC. They found that polycaprolactone (PCL)-based nanotopographies with 120 nm pits in a square arrangement with a center-center spacing of 300 nm were supportive of cell expansion for up to 8 weeks without losing stem-cell phenotype h-MSC⁸². These findings suggest that both geometry and topography can be incorporated into culture surfaces to stimulate a desired phenotype of h-MSC. Additional studies, including a broader pool of h-MSC sources and mechanistic

evaluation, are needed to determine its potential applicability to achieve reproducible alterations in the therapeutic potency of h-MSC.

2.1.5 Surface Chemistry—Surface chemistry modification has been studied as a potential option to retain h-MSC expansion without affecting functional characteristics. These modifications include the immobilization of polymers and small molecules on the surface through covalent bonding, electrostatic interaction, and hydrophobic bond methods. These alterations have demonstrated moderate cell adhesion and prevent undesired changes in the phenotype and potency of h-MSC^{120,124}.

However, studies have reported issues about surface chemistry and the effect on h-MSC behavior. For instance, the instability of surface modifications is a significant problem. Cell adhesion is directly related to surface hydrophobicity due to the interaction with serum proteins²⁶. Curran and co-workers performed a comprehensive study of the impact of functional groups on h-BMMSC behavior. Main functional groups found in biological systems, including methyl (–CH₃), hydroxyl (–OH), carboxyl (–COOH), amino (–NH₂) and silane (–SH), were evaluated. Results showed that these modifications could enhance or commit the cell to a specific lineage. For example, the –NH₂ and –SH-modified surfaces promoted and maintained osteogenesis while the –OH and –COOH-modified surfaces promoted and maintained chondrogenesis. Thus, functional groups can be used to complement traditional cell differentiation methods using culture media preparations to either reduce or replace the need for cytokines and growth factors^{26–28}. Even though the functional chemistries showed good results for promoting cell differentiation, they are not appropriate for h-MSC expansion from a manufacturing standpoint because of the inability to maintain an undifferentiated state in the bulk population.

An alternative approach is to use polyelectrolyte multilayers to functionalized culture surfaces using natural polymers. Our research group has demonstrated that it is possible to create bioactive surfaces via the layer-by-layer assembly method to improve h-MSC activities and responses¹⁵. Multilayers of heparin and collagen (HEP/COL) were used to prepare the bioactive surface onto TCP to enhance h-MSC response to soluble interferon gamma (IFN-gamma). Multilayers were formed varying the final layer between COL and HEP and supplemented with IFN-gamma (50 ng/ml) in the culture medium. Even though IFN-gamma is a cytokine associated with innate and adaptive immunity, combating viral infections, bacteria, and protozoa⁵², it has an antiproliferative effect reported for many kinds of cells^{10,15,74,132}. The results showed that this polymeric system reduces the antiproliferative effect of IFN-gamma. HEP-ending films supplemented with IFN-gamma presented a better proliferative effect compared with COL-ending films and TCP (surface without HEP/COL multilayers). Furthermore, HEP-ending films supported the high expression of cytokines, including interleukin-6 (IL-6), vascular endothelial growth factor-A (VEGF-A), basic fibroblast growth factor (FGF-2), and colony stimulating factor 1 (M-CSF) as compared with the other conditions examined. Hence, this system may be beneficial in cell manufacturing practices because it was able to present a platform (HEP/COL multilayers + Cytokine/IFN-gamma) which enhanced immunomodulatory properties and therapeutic potential of h-MSC while eliminating the antiproliferative effect offered by IFN-

gamma¹⁵. The role of cytokines as a biochemical stimulus on h-MSC manufacturing is further discussed in the next section.

2.2 Biochemical Stimulus on Human Stem Cell Manufacturing

2.2.1 Role of Cytokines—Cytokines have demonstrated their potential to stimulate changes in the behavior of human stem cells, including the promotion of migration¹¹⁹, proliferation or cell survival²⁵, differentiation⁵⁵, osteogenesis⁵⁰, enhancing and inhibiting chondrogenesis¹³⁴, neovascularization³⁷, mineralization¹⁰⁰, tissue repair⁹⁴, suppressing cellular senescence⁵⁴, immunosuppressive capacity⁶³, tumorigenesis and angiogenesis⁹², along with other effects such as impairing maintenance and altering hematopoietic support⁴¹. Consequently, several studies have demonstrated that cytokines are advantageous for stem cell manufacturing or h-MSC expansion.

Reduction in Consumption of Culture Components Derived from Animal

Sources: Researchers who have used cytokines like IFN-gamma¹¹⁹, FGF-2^{41,109}, VEGF-A³⁸, tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF)- α ³⁴, and granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF)⁹⁷ have identified that their use is crucial for modulating several cellular activities of h-MSC, and their effect can be regulated by their concentration³⁸. A direct advantage of supplementing cytokines on cultures of human stem cells is the reduction in consumption of culture components derived from animal sources such as fetal calf serum^{17,109}. One of the main issues of good manufacturing practices (GMP) in the cell manufacturing field is associated with the selection of the culture medium. The culture medium of choice is generally of a xeno-free source, as animal-derived products could potentially transmit unpredicted viruses or other pathogens¹¹⁰. Hence, new media formulations on h-MSC expansion have been focused on utilizing non-animal derived products to avoid secondary effects or complications that can compromise cell production^{8,65}. Lucas G. Chase and colleagues achieved h-MSC expansion using a serum-free medium supplemented with transforming growth factor beta1 (TGF- β 1), FGF-2, and recombinant human platelet-derived growth factor-BB (PDGF-BB)¹⁷. Results showed that PDGF-BB, FGF-2 individually supplemented, as well as combinations of two factors PDGFB/FGF-2, PDGF-BB/TGF- β 1, and FGF-2/TGF- β 1 enhanced cellular expansion compared with a growth factor-deficient serum-free medium (SFM) or 10% fetal bovine serum-containing medium (SCM). Although these combinations produced promising results, the combination of these three supplements together provided an enhancing effect on h-MSC proliferation showing phenotypic retention¹⁷. Prior studies have also demonstrated that TGF- β 1, FGF-2, and PDGF-BB are sufficient to support h-MSC growth in serum-free medium^{61,89}. Muller examined the proliferative effect of platelet lysate as a supplement, compared with media alone or supplemented with 5% serum-free media containing fresh frozen plasma (FFP) or 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) (standard condition)⁸⁸. Several concentrations (1–100 Million-platelets/mL) of platelet lysate were evaluated in combination with 2.5% FFP. Results showed that media supplemented with 10% FCS duplicated the proliferative effect compared with media containing 5% FFP. However, platelet lysate supplemented in 2.5% FFP showed a significant advantage in cell proliferation. A concentration of 10 Million-platelets/mL added to 2.5% FFP demonstrated the same proliferative effect as that of h-MSC in 5% FFP. Concentrations of 50 and 100 Million-

platelets/mL presented a similar effect compared with h-MSC in 10% FCS. Therefore, it is possible to say that platelet lysate promotes a positive effect on h-MSC proliferation, and additionally minimizes the use of fetal calf serum to maintain the cellular culture. These studies demonstrate how a combination of different cytokines could be useful to significantly reduce or eliminate the use of animal-derived products, while maintaining or enhancing h-MSC properties. The main limitation of current xeno-free media is its cost. Optimization of recombinant cytokine production, as well as the evaluation of multiple cytokine combinations could lead to xeno-free formulations of reduced costs. Also, it is imperative to evaluate substitutes for cytokines such as peptides or other small molecules that can be produced at lower costs.

Self-renewal of h-MSC and Retention of Multilineage Differentiation Ability: Another advantage of using cytokines for human stem cell manufacturing is associated with retention of the multilineage differentiation ability during the process of proliferation¹²⁷. Yukio Kato and his team worked on identifying a growth factor that was involved in the self-renewal of h-MSC and the preservation of multilineage differentiation potential¹²⁷. Their findings demonstrated that FGF-2, which is a potent mitogen for h-MSC, markedly improved the growth rate of bone-marrow-derived h-MSC in monolayer cultures and the multilineage differentiation ability of h-MSC was maintained throughout numerous mitotic divisions¹²⁷. Similarly, Sotiropoulou reported that FGF-2 induces an increase in the proliferation response of h-MSC. However, this cytokine generates an upregulation of human leukocyte antigen (HLA-class I) and promotes low human leukocyte antigen – DR isotype (HLA-DR) expression, which is involved in the regulation of the immune system in humans¹¹⁶. Although their results showed upregulation of HLA, allogeneic immune responses were weak, compared with the responses provoked by allogeneic peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs), which consist of monocytes and lymphocytes (T cells, B cells, NK cells). On the other hand, the *in vivo* immunosuppressive ability of h-MSCs was enhanced when FGF-2 was added at concentrations above 5 ng/mL supporting the use of FGF-2 to stimulate the growth of h-MSCs for immunosuppressive applications. The mechanism of action was further investigated by Young Do Kwon and collaborators that examined the effect of the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling pathway in the FGF-2 supplemented cultures. MAPKs are known as the main signal transducers for growth factors, cytokines, and stress. They also control cell proliferation and apoptosis². Results showed that Jun N-terminal kinase signaling is involved in the FGF-2 induced proliferation and preservation of the multilineage differentiation ability of h-MSC². Other cytokines of the same family, such as fibroblast growth factor receptor 1 (FGFR-1), have been demonstrated to induce proliferation, as well as control the maintenance of stem cell viability³². The proliferation effect has been shown by inhibiting the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors P21waf1 and P27kip³². Although FGF-2 is known as a potent mediator of proliferation by itself, the effect of FGF-2 and other members of the FGF family should be examined in combination with either cytokine of the same family or other types of cytokines to explore improvements on h-MSC proliferation.

Other cytokines such as IL-1 beta have also demonstrated an ability to stimulate h-MSC proliferation. Alexey E. Bigildeev and his group investigated the effect of IL-1 beta on h-

MSC⁹. Specifically, they studied proliferative potential, immunomodulatory properties, and the ability to support early hematopoietic precursor cells of h-MSC cultured in standard conditions or treated with 4 pg/ml human recombinant IL-1 beta. Results showed that IL-1 beta promoted cell expansion and enhanced the potential to maintain a phenotype⁹. Interleukin-17 (IL-17), which is a cytokine secreted by TH-17 cells⁵¹, was used by Ki and collaborators to evaluate the effect of supplemented IL-17 at a concentration of 50 ng/ml on h-MSC for up to 8 days⁵¹. Their results suggested that IL-17 promotes the growth of these cells as compared to cells without IL-17 supplementation. Their findings showed that after 2 days of culture, significant differences were observed. In addition, they revealed that the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) is vital to favor h-MSC proliferation in the presence of IL-17. Accordingly, cytokines have demonstrated to be not only useful to minimize issues in GMP, such as reducing the use of animal-derived products, but also useful to stimulate h-MSC proliferation.

Improving Immunosuppressive Properties: Manufacturing processes are characterized by quality control of the specifications of their products. The quality criterion for the immunosuppressive properties of h-MSC is the cytokine profile and immunomodulatory function. h-MSC have the potential to treat immune-related diseases due to their immunosuppressive properties⁶³. The interaction of cytokines with h-MSC has positively impacted the cell manufacturing field of h-MSC by improving their intrinsic immunosuppressive properties. Many researchers have demonstrated that the supplementation of IFN-gamma on h-MSC cultures enhances the immunosuppressive properties of h-MSCs in different ways. For example, Keon Hee Yoo and collaborators revealed that IFN-gamma induces indoleamine-2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) expression, which is a crucial modulator in the immunosuppressive pathway in h-MSC, through the IFN-gamma-Janus kinase signal transducer and activator of transcription pathway⁶³. Therefore, their results provided a convenient and practical way of obtaining functionally qualified h-MSC, which can adequately control the immune response. In addition, their findings recommend that cell therapy based on h-MSC primed with IFN- gamma can be used for the clinical treatment of allogeneic conflicts. Similarly, studies by Todd C. McDevitt also has revealed that IFN-gamma plays a crucial role in stimulating h-MSC immunomodulatory activity^{14,143}. Their results showed the IFN-gamma induced expression of IDO, resulting in an augmented and continued suppression of T-cell activation and proliferation^{14,143,19}. This resulted when his team evaluated the microparticle delivery of IFN-gamma within h-MSC spheroids and evaluated their immunomodulatory activity. Consequently, McDevitt and his group concluded that this microparticle-mediated presentation of bioactive IFN-gamma offers a potent methodology to guarantee and maintain immunomodulation of h-MSC. Comparably, James A. Ankrum reported that IFN-gamma enhances IDO expression of cryopreserved h-MSC pretreated at a concentration of 100 ng/ml¹⁴.

Other groups also have suggested that h-MSC stimulated with IFN-gamma consistently enhance their immunosuppressive properties^{64,103,104,130}. Steven R. Bauer reported that immunosuppressive properties could be predicted by the morphological features of IFN-gamma stimulated h-MSC⁶⁴. Additionally, Gordana Vunjak-Novakovic reported the influence of IFN-gamma on the proteome and metabolome of therapeutic h-MSC¹³⁶. Results

highlighted that IFN-gamma at a concentration of 500U/ml encouraged the expression of antipathogenic proteins as well as induced h-MSC to limit inflammation and fibrosis while helping h-MSC survival¹³⁶. Jacques Galipeau and his team demonstrated by secretome analysis that IFN-gamma activated h-MSCs has a similar genetic profile as h-MSCs activated in co-culture with PBMCs to increase their potency, and that this behavior is associated with T cell suppression¹⁹.

On the other hand, researchers such as S. Jyothi Prasanna and her team have reported that not only IFN-gamma but also TNF- α stimulates the immune properties of human bone marrow (h-BMMSC) and Wharton jelly mesenchymal stem cells (h-WJMSCs)⁹⁸. Their discoveries reported that h-BMMSC improved suppression of mitogen motivated lymphoproliferation only while h-WJMSCs treated with IFN-gamma were exceptional suppressors of mixed-lymphocyte reactions. Moreover, h-WJMSCs presented a mayor yield than h-BMMSC under the presence of both pro-inflammatory cytokines treatments⁹⁸. Hence, it is possible to affirm that the interaction of both cytokines allows the control of the immunosuppressive properties of h-MSC. Likewise, Patrick T. Coate described that the enhancement of immunosuppressive properties of h-MSC could be generated under the influence of the proinflammatory cytokine interleukin-17A¹¹⁴.

The role of cytokines in human stem cell manufacturing is mainly related to the reduction in consumption of abundant culture supplements, enhancement of their proliferative potential, retention of their multilineage differentiation ability, and the enhancement of immunosuppressive properties of h-MSCs. Cytokines can be used to modulate several cellular activities of h-MSCs, and their effect can be regulated by their concentration. In addition, cytokines contribute to minimizing the use of culture components derived from animal sources, which lead to better GMP, offering a safer production. FGF-2 and IFN-gamma have amply been explored to modulate proliferation and immunosuppressive properties of h-MSC, respectively. Hence, it would be interesting to examine the response of these two cytokines working together as a supplement on h-MSC cultures. Another useful alternative would be to evaluate a cocktail composed of diverse cytokines to promote the production of robust h-MSC. The impact of cytokines on h-MSC culture has mostly been evaluated on a bench scale. Preliminary trials must be performed on a larger scale to assess the impact of scalability.

2.2.2 Other Biochemical Agents—Other soluble factors such as hormones^{4,8,21,129,140}, small molecules⁴, and herbal extracts¹³³ also have been linked to the proliferative potential of h-MSC. 17 β -Estradiol (E₂) is a steroid hormone characterized for its role in the modulation of numerous cell functions¹⁴⁰. Ho Jae Han et al studied the role of E₂ on proliferation and the linked signaling pathways using h-MSC. They incubated h-MSC at a concentration of 10⁻⁹M E₂. Their findings showed that E₂ increased the cell population by approximately 40% in the S phase compared with the control. Moreover, they conjectured that E₂ induces proliferative activity via estrogen receptors (ERs) expression in h-MSC. Another hormone, insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1), also has presented abilities to promote cell proliferation of h-MSC²¹. An interesting finding was reported by Russell et al who explored the proliferative effect of IGF-1 using a concentration of 100 ng/ml. The results showed that IGF-1 polypeptide improved the proliferation of small cells (5–9 μ m),

but not cells with a size greater than $13\ \mu\text{m}^{21}$. On the other hand, small molecules like GSK3 β inhibitor 6-bromoindirubin-3'-oxime (BIO) also has been employed to induce h-MSC proliferation in vitro⁴⁹. In this work, Benoit and collaborators evaluated concentrations of 0, 2, 5, and 10 μM of BIO. Their results showed that BIO concentrations in the range of 2–5 μM were the most promising for inducing a proliferative response of h-MSC. Anything above 5 μM resulted in cell death and below 2 μM did not have any significant effect.⁴⁹ Herbal extracts such as Dhanwantharam Kashaya (DK), which is an artificial herbal preparation commonly used in Ayurvedic medicine^{49,133}, have been studied on the proliferation of h-MSC^{20,128}. Warriar and colleagues examined the capacity of DK on the proliferation, viability, and senescence of human Wharton jelly MSCs (h-WJMSCs) in vitro¹³³. In this study, they treated medium in the absence and presence of DK at a series of concentrations 5, 7.5, 10, 20, 40, and 50 $\mu\text{g/mL}$. The results showed that DK significantly improved the proliferation of cells, describing a dose-dependent behavior. The maximum effect obtained was at 10 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ¹³³.

Glucocorticoids, which are a class of steroid hormones involved in the metabolism of proteins, carbohydrates, and fats and have anti-inflammatory activity, have contributed positively to the immunomodulatory potency of h-MSC⁴. Jeffrey M. Karp and his team reported that it is possible to enhance the performance of h-MSC via the intracellular delivery of steroids⁴. Their findings demonstrated that glucocorticoid steroids increase h-MSC expression and activity of IDO. They described that h-MSC treated with glucocorticoids, budesonide, or dexamethasone have a supportive effect on improved IDO expression following IFN- γ stimulation as well as the ability to restore IDO expression in over-passaged h-MSC. Another alternative that has been used to enhance the immunomodulatory capacity of h-MSC is linked to hypoxic pretreatment by improving the secretion of cytokines and soluble factors associated with immunosuppression response¹⁰⁵. J. Wang examined the effects of hypoxia on the immunomodulatory properties of human gingiva-derived MSCs (h-GMSCs). They found that hypoxia improves the immunomodulatory properties of h-GMSCs by stimulating the production of anti-inflammatory cytokines⁵⁶. Numerous other studies reported that hypoxia upregulated the expression of IDO in human adipose tissue-derived h-MSC¹⁰¹.

Many researchers worried about the potential risk of infections as well as immunological reactions that fetal calf serum (FCS) as a supplement may generate. For instance, Karen Bieback and her team investigated the effects of pooled human AB serum (AB-HS) and thrombin-activated platelet-rich plasma (t-PRP) versus FCS as the standard control medium⁶⁵. This study was performed using three separate conditions: 10% FCS, 10% AB-HS, and 10% t-PRP. Results demonstrated that 10% AB-HS and 10% t-PRP offer a significantly robust proliferative effect on h-MSC than FCS does. h-MSC cultured using AB-HS and t-PRP exhibited a 3 times higher expansion compared to cultures with FCS after the first six passages⁶⁵.

In summary, physical, chemical, and biochemical stimuli are crucial during the production of h-MSCs. One of the most important contributions of biochemical stimulus has been the focus on reducing essential culture components derived from animal sources, which is crucial to eliminate the potential risk of infections that these sources may offer. On the other

hand, even though a range of biochemical stimuli have been studied, mainly in an individual manner, showing exceptional results on cell expansion, it is imperative to study further and evaluate the effect of preparing cocktails using combinations of these stimuli. A combination of cytokines or other soluble factors, such as hormones, small molecules, and herbal extracts could be used to create a supportive and robust supplement for fast h-MSC production.

3. Scaling h-MSC Manufacturing: Strategies to Stimulate Proliferation

The increasing clinical demand for h-MSC requires robust, large-scale production strategies⁹³. To extensively manufacture stem cells, two different cell culture systems have been identified: planar or monolayer culture system and the 3D system for h-MSC expansion. Planar systems include T-flasks and multi-layered flasks. 3D systems comprise various bioreactors (wave stirred tank, rotating wall vessel, packed-bed, roller bottle) and microcarrier-based culture systems^{59,123}. The type of bioreactor has played a significant role in the scaling of h-MSC manufacturing, where their difference in size, geometric design, operational parameters, and the material of fabrication impact cell production. Likewise, cell culture conditions in bioreactors also exert a significant effect on scaling h-MSC manufacturing. In this section, the impact of scaling in h-MSC manufacturing in response to cell culture conditions, and operational parameters of bioreactors will be described.

Influence of cell culture conditions in bioreactors

Multiple systems strategies and technologies geared towards maximizing cell culture expansion have been explored. Strategies include the evaluation of culture parameters such as different types of culture medium, serum, pH, glucose concentration, agitation rate, and dissolved oxygen (dO₂) concentration^{18,35,46,57,67}. The impact of bioreactor design and flow conditions or shear stress as a biomechanical parameter in regulating h-MSC proliferation has also been explored^{60,141,87}. For example, different types of microcarriers with the main goal of obtaining which one might be more useful to improve proliferation have been investigated^{33,62}. Similarly, other teams have studied the effect of microcarrier concentration^{18,57,108}. Tristan Lawson and colleagues used a 50 L single-use stirred tank bioreactor to examine the influence of various culture parameters on the proliferation respond⁶⁷. First, they identified the optimal pH and dO₂ culture set-points. Four distinct pH levels (7.2, 7.4, 7.6, and 7.8) and three dO₂ concentrations (20%, 50%, and 80%) of air saturation were evaluated in cell cultures for 10 days. Results of a single cell donor showed that a pH between 7.4 and 7.6 provided optimal proliferation. A total of 5×10^8 cells were obtained using pH between 7.4 and 7.6 versus 4×10^8 cells at pH 7.2 and 2×10^8 cells at pH 7.8 for 10 days of culture in 3L bioreactor cultures. Although dO₂ concentrations showed similar growth rates, 80% dO₂ was selected to examine the other parameters. Two culture mediums in combination with two types of serums were examined as follows: minimum essential medium- α -based medium (α MEM) or Dulbecco's modified eagle's medium (DMEM) with 5% human platelet lysate (h-PL) and α MEM and DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS. Their findings indicate that using α MEM/h-PL a higher cell growth was achieved compared to the other conditions⁶⁷.

Similarly, Christopher J. Hewitt reported that h-PL containing medium increased the h-MSC growth rate 20% more compared with the FBS supplemented, and this enhanced proliferation rate was consistent across all donors examined⁴⁶. In other studies, α MEM has been supported as the preferred media for h-MSC. Allen Kuan-Liang Chen reported that α MEM supported faster h-MSC growth than DMEM, additionally a low glucose concentration (1–1.5 g/mL) was a more favorable condition in which to obtain improved h-MSC growth yield¹⁸. Glucose concentrations between 1–1.5 g/mL presented similar cell concentrations of 4.6×10^4 cells/cm². Higher glucose concentrations of 2 and 2.5 g/L yielded lower cell concentrations of 2×10^4 cells/cm² and 2.5×10^4 cells/cm², respectively. Lawson and colleagues used these optimum conditions and monitored h-MSC expansion in a 50 L bioreactor. Results showed that using α MEM/h-PL or DMEM/FBS working at optimum conditions made it possible to achieve between $1-1.25 \times 10^{10}$ cells in 11 days, representing a significantly greater difference in growth compared to the un-optimized conditions, which produced 4×10^9 cells in 11 days. In other words, the optimized conditions increased h-MSC production 2.5 times⁶⁷. Likewise, Donghui Jing and collaborators evaluated growth kinetics of h-MSC in a 3L single-use, stirred-tank bioreactor by using different concentrations of lactic acid, dO₂, and pH⁵⁷. Results showed that minor concentrations of lactic acid at 1.1 g/L were favorable to promote cell growth. They obtained cell values of 60×10^4 cells for 0 g/L of lactic acid, 70×10^4 cells for 1.1 g/L, and 30×10^4 cells for 1.6 g/L. They noticed that larger concentrations of lactic acid inhibit h-MSC growth. Similarly, pH between 7 – 7.5 was advantageous to promote cell proliferation as compared to low pH (< 6.8) as previously reported by Lawson and colleagues⁶⁷. A dO₂ concentration between 5–10% was found superior to 10% for cell growth⁵⁷.

Influence of operational parameters in bioreactors

In addition to cell culture conditions, shear stress generated by a fluid flow can also impact cell proliferation response in a bioreactor¹⁴¹. Teng Ma and collaborators grew h-MSC in a perfusion bioreactor system to examine the effect of fluid flow. Two flow rates (0.1 and 1.5 mL/min) were examined on h-MSC growth. Results showed that significant ($P < 0.05$) enhancement in the total amount of cells produced were obtained using a low flow rate¹⁴¹ suggesting that low shear stress is best for h-MSC cultures.

On the other hand, the capacity of cell expansion has been tested by using different cell culture technologies. Kamilla Swiecha and her team studied the stimulus capacity of different bioreactor designs on h-MSC production from 3 different donors⁸⁷. They evaluated a multi-layer vessel (ML), a stirred tank bioreactor with microcarriers (STR), a hollow fiber bioreactor (HF), and a packed-bed bioreactor (PB). Results demonstrated that bioreactor designs can offer a positive or negative stimulus for cell expansion. Notably, poor cell expansion was obtained in HF (1×10^4 cells/cm² for 5 days), while STR (4.5×10^4 cells/cm² for 7 days), ML (4.25×10^4 cells/cm² for 6 days) and PB (3.4×10^4 cells/cm² for 7 days) achieved an analogous level of production with high density expansion⁸⁷.

Microcarriers have been demonstrated to be a useful support matrix to promote cell growth in bioreactors^{5,18,33,57,62,108}. For this reason, many researchers have focused on studying the effect on h-MSC production. Andrew Ball and colleagues evaluated diverse microcarrier

types to measure their ability to support h-MSC growth including cytodex 1, cytodex 3, fact III, collagen, plastic, plastic plus, proectin F, and hillex in suspension in a single-use bioreactor⁶². Their findings showed that only cytodex 1, cytodex 3, collagen, and hillex produced a robust growth⁶². Similarly, Françoise De Longueville and his team studied h-MSC growth profiles in BioBLU 0.3c Single-Use Vessel used in suspension with cytodex 1 and cytodex 3 microcarriers³³. Their results revealed that cytodex 1 promoted cell expansion demonstrating a yield of approximately 42×10^4 cells/mL compared with 15×10^4 cells/mL using cytodex 3 for 10 culture days³³. Other authors also have studied the effect of microcarrier concentration on h-MSC expansion^{18,57,108}, reporting that h-MSC expansion is dependent on the amount of microcarriers in the bioreactor. Studies by Allen Kuan-Liang Chen demonstrated that h-MSC cultured in spinner flasks at three different concentrations of cytodex 3 can generate distinct cell numbers¹⁸. They examined microcarrier concentrations of 4, 8, and 12 mg/mL, and their results revealed that 8 mg/mL is the optimum concentration to achieve higher h-MSC propagation¹⁸. Other studies have shown that the growth of h-MSC in spinner flasks with proectin F microcarrier in suspension is more effective using a concentration of 10 g/L compared with 5, 7.5, and 15 g/L¹⁰⁸.

In summary, the scaling up of h-MSC manufacturing can be controlled by changing the conditional parameters of the technology used (planar or 3D culture system), varying culture conditions, and using additives. Moreover, it is essential to highlight that for each type of bioreactor there is a different range of optimal working conditions. Thus, operating conditions should be explored in future research to standardize cell culture conditions and operational parameters for each type of bioreactor.

4. Making Sustainable banks for the future

Banking is required to help meet the global demand of the large number of cells required to cover the health industry. In 2011, Cooper and Viswanathan demonstrated that umbilical cord MSCs (UCMSCs) could maintain pluripotency, safety, and efficacy after a prolonged storage period. They tested 5 different samples each with different time points of 1, 6, 12, 24 and 36 months and their results showed negligible differences between all the time points in cell count, viability (>97%) and immunophenotype (>98) analysis²³. Their research demonstrated that high-quality manufacturing conditions are essential for the future of stem cell banks development. Also, they indicate that UCMSCs collection procedure is more efficient than other types of h-MSC extraction procedures (e.g. bone marrow extraction which is an invasive and painful procedure)²⁹, without ethical concerns and does not harm mothers nor newborns²². To ensure quality and safety, the banking procedure is highly dependent on an optimum cryopreservation process to guarantee the long-term storage of cells. The significant factors affecting the cryopreservation process are: 1) optimal cryopreservation media, whether it is needed to use a low concentration of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) or non-DMSO¹³⁵ in the cryopreservation protocol and, 2) freezing temperature rate¹²⁵ where controlled-rate freezers¹¹³ were developed, but there is a non-uniform temperature distribution. Following all those studies, Lechanteur's group reported a clinical-grade large-scale expansion and bank of h-MSC during 8 years with 59 validated donors, 464 h-MSC aliquots or bags, and 6 clinical trials of h-MSC infusion. Making

sustainable cell banks is time-consuming and challenging, with major challenges in developing a bank with GMP, relevant potency assay, and removing the use of DMSO⁶⁹.

5. Importance of the Cell Donor

h-MSC studies generate considerable cell-to-cell variations because of the heterogeneity of cells between donors, tissue of origin and cell populations^{81,84,85}. Since donor health and age influence the optimal function of the h-MSC, donor-to donor variability represents an essential factor even when working with the same tissue source. Studies have shown that there are age-related effects on functional cells⁹⁹ while others have shown no effects, even when they focused on osteoprogenitor cells from h-MSC. In 2003, Stenderup reported that age is only associated with a decrease in h-MSC proliferation level without affecting functionality¹¹⁸. Baxter's and Mareshi's groups reported that h-MSC isolation and in vitro expansion from pediatric and adult donors generates negligible differences in cellular functionality except for proliferation rate, where cells from the youngest donor developed a higher growth rate, suggesting enhancement on standard cell expansion protocols for clinical use^{7,77}. Although there is an apparent effect of donor variability on h-MSC quality, very few studies have investigated the impact of physical and chemical cues with regards to donor variability. Researchers must validate their results with cells from different donors and sources.

h-MSC-based therapies are classified depending on the donor: allogeneic (cells from a healthy donor) or autologous (patient's own cells)⁴⁵. Allogeneic therapies currently represent the highest number of h-MSC products with marketing authorization, 9 products from 13 approved⁵⁹. The main manufacturing differences are the number of therapeutic doses in each batch and the number of patients treated, positioning allogeneic therapies as the preferred manufacturing process in the future⁷⁵. This shows the importance of generating better h-MSC expansion processes due to the required high demand. Current and projected demand does not meet the number of cell donors available.

6. Issues and Challenges on Good Manufacturing Practice of h-MSC

GMP for h-MSC production involves all the processes starting from the donor selection and continuing until the specific clinical application. Because of the extended process there are many potential sources of error. Hence, a relevant issue on GMP is related to the operational part of cell manufacturing that minimizes the steps prone to human error (i.e., contamination issues), which can affect the production and general assessment of cell potency and safety. For these reasons, process automation is implemented in cell manufacturing as a strategy to control process variables to minimize variability associated to manual handling¹²⁶. An example of automation in cell culture is a closed automated Quantum® cell expansion system^{44,68,102}. This system consists of a robust automated hollow fiber bioreactor system and it is used to eliminate manual cell culture processes such as feeding and harvesting⁵⁸. The system provides a constant and controlled environment to maintain an adequate feeding rate of cells, eliminating waste and exchanging gases until the process finishes^{39,68,79}. Chantal Lechanteur and her team demonstrated that the Quantum® device produces therapeutic h-MSC of high-quality standards⁶⁸. Cells showed excellent immunosuppressive

and differentiation capacities, and this device performed an excellent scalable and reproducible production. The total cell yield was increased by approximately 12 times in 7 days. Although this automated technology has been proven advantageous for the manufacturing of h-MS^{44,68,86}, still the amount of cells generated is not sufficient to support the high demand of h-MS for clinical studies, which continues to increase from year to year⁴⁴. Thus, other strategies that further stimulate cell growth must be combined to increase total cell yields while retaining a high cell quality.

Another challenge of GMP is the expansion of h-MS in xeno-free culture conditions. The use of xeno-free components minimizes the risks of transmitting unpredicted pathogens from cell-based products¹¹⁰. As mentioned in section 2.3.1, researchers have begun to work with human blood-derived products to avoid the use of media supplemented with animal-derived products. Their results have demonstrated that human blood-derived products, such as h-PL or t-PRP, produce larger amounts of cells as compared to FBS or FCS^{8,65,106,107}. Expansion of h-MSs can be achieved in xeno-free culture conditions, however, xeno-free culture does not necessarily guarantee production of high-quality h-MSs. Novel technologies should be implemented in each phase of the manufacturing process to continuously assess cell quality and segregate those that do not meet the quality standard to eliminate cells not favorable for clinical applications. Markers of cell quality must be identified, and detection strategies for those markers must be placed. Lastly, manufacturing processes should take advantage of both physical and biochemical cues to enhance cell quality, potency, and clinical efficacy.

7. Ethics Factors of Manufacturing

Despite the promising therapeutic potential of h-MS¹³¹, the clinical application of stem cells raises some ethical and safety concerns. The un-predictive nature of h-MS in response to external stimuli as well as the lack of methods to track and remove these cells after delivery have been the main point of discussion in the clinical field due to the potential long term safety implications^{11,78,115}. Within the context of cell manufacturing, one of the main challenges of stem cell studies is the lack of scientific rigor²⁴. Published studies in basic science typically evaluate a limited amount of stem cell sources and donors, and thereby do not account for donor-to-donor variability, interactions associated to the tissue source, and the potential implications of demographic data such as age, race, ethnicity, and gender. This issue impairs the generalizability of the study outcomes and could lead to unforeseen changes in cell behavior during the manufacturing process and post-cell delivery to patients, compromising cell potency and safety. This problem is further exacerbated by the lack of regulatory standards for both pre-clinical and clinical interventions using h-MS. Thus, while standards for stem cells are developed by regulatory agencies⁷⁸, it is advisable for researchers to increase the scientific rigor of their studies as much as possible within the constraints of their budget and design their pre-clinical studies to meet or exceed the standards established for drug development.

Another safety concern in the cell manufacturing process is the use of animal-derived products in cell culture. The supplementation of animal-derived factors can contain pathogenic entities such as prions that can be passed to the cell and represents unknown/

increased health risks. Several studies have moved towards xeno-free culture conditions⁹¹, but such approaches are cost-prohibited for basic research and scale-up processes, decreasing the affordability of manufactured therapies. This challenge may be addressed through the design of synthetic substrates with added capabilities for intrinsic stimulation of cell adherence and growth in h-MSC cultures.

8. Conclusions

Researchers have explored different mechanisms involved in the manufacturing process in hopes of increasing the scale of the h-MSC expansion and production. Because of the implementation of biochemical, chemical, and physical stimulus during the cell culture, researchers have discovered clear correlations between their use and an increase in the cell's productive properties. However, these discoveries have yet to be translated to larger scales relevant to the manufacturing process. The impact of culture conditions is relevant at larger scales, and other process considerations must be considered (such as reactor design, flow conditions, etc.) when translating these stimuli. There are many available alternatives to promote h-MSC expansion, enhance potency, and ultimately produce more clinically relevant cells. These alternatives include modification of cell culture substrate, the addition of cytokines or small molecules, or combination of both. However, the effect of these alternatives must be explored at larger scales. These processes still need optimization. Moreover, the community must develop standards in each step of the h-MSC manufacturing process for cell therapies from the isolation step to final infusion on the patients, to guarantee high therapeutic potential.

Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by the Engineering Research Center for Cell Manufacturing Technologies (CMA^T) of the National Science Foundation under Grant No. EEC-1648035, and by the “Programa de Apoyo Institucional Para la Formación en Estudios de Posgrados en Maestrías y Doctorados de La Universidad del Atlántico, Colombia” by providing DCC a scholarship. Supported by PR-INBRE an Institutional Development Award (IDeA) from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under grant number P20 GM103475.

References

1. Aggarwal S, and Pittenger MF. Human mesenchymal stem cells modulate allogeneic immune cell responses. *Blood* 105:1815–1822, 2005. [PubMed: 15494428]
2. Ahn H-J, Lee W-J, Kwack K, and Kwon YD. FGF2 stimulates the proliferation of human mesenchymal stem cells through the transient activation of JNK signaling. *FEBS Lett.* 583:2922–2926, 2009. [PubMed: 19664626]
3. Anderson HJ, Sahoo JK, Ulijn RV, and Dalby MJ. Mesenchymal Stem Cell Fate: Applying Biomaterials for Control of Stem Cell Behavior. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol* 4:38, 2016. [PubMed: 27242999]
4. Ankrum JA, Dastidar RG, Ong JF, Levy O, and Karp JM. Performance-enhanced mesenchymal stem cells via intracellular delivery of steroids. *Sci. Rep* 4:4645, 2014. [PubMed: 24717973]
5. Badenes SM, Fernandes TG, Rodrigues CAV, Diogo MM, and Cabral JMS. Microcarrier-based platforms for in vitro expansion and differentiation of human pluripotent stem cells in bioreactor culture systems. *J. Biotechnol* 234:71–82, 2016. [PubMed: 27480342]
6. Baker BM, Trappmann B, Wang WY, Sakar MS, Kim IL, Shenoy VB, Burdick JA, and Chen CS. Cell-mediated fibre recruitment drives extracellular matrix mechanosensing in engineered fibrillar microenvironments. *Nat. Mater* 14:1262–1268, 2015. [PubMed: 26461445]

7. Baxter MA, Wynn RF, Jowitt SN, Wraith JE, Fairbairn LJ, and Bellantuono I. Study of telomere length reveals rapid aging of human marrow stromal cells following in vitro expansion. *Stem Cells* 22:675–682, 2004. [PubMed: 15342932]
8. Bieback K, Hecker A, Kocaömer A, Lannert H, Schallmoser K, Strunk D, and Klüter H. Human alternatives to fetal bovine serum for the expansion of mesenchymal stromal cells from bone marrow. *Stem Cells* 27:2331–2341, 2009. [PubMed: 19544413]
9. Bigildeev AE, Zezina EA, Shipounova IN, and Drize NJ. Interleukin-1 beta enhances human multipotent mesenchymal stromal cell proliferative potential and their ability to maintain hematopoietic precursor cells. *Cytokine* 71:246–254, 2015. [PubMed: 25461405]
10. Borsellino N, Crescimanno M, Flandina C, Leonardi V, Rausa L, and D'Alessandro N. Antiproliferative and chemomodulatory effects of interferon-gamma on doxorubicin-sensitive and -resistant tumor cell lines. *Anticancer Drugs* 4:265–272, 1993. [PubMed: 8490205]
11. Bredenoord AL, Clevers H, and Knoblich JA. Human tissues in a dish: The research and ethical implications of organoid technology. *Science* 355:eaaf9414, 2017. [PubMed: 28104841]
12. Bronner F, and Farach-Carson MC. Bone Formation, 2004.
13. Bruder SP, Jaiswal N, and Haynesworth SE. Growth kinetics, self-renewal, and the osteogenic potential of purified human mesenchymal stem cells during extensive subcultivation and following cryopreservation. *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry* 64:278–94, 1997. [PubMed: 9027588]
14. Burand AJ, Gramlich OW, Brown AJ, and Ankrum JA. Function of Cryopreserved Mesenchymal Stromal Cells With and Without Interferon- γ Prelicensing is Context Dependent. *Stem Cells* 35:1437–1439, 2017. [PubMed: 27758056]
15. Castilla-Casadio DA, García JR, García AJ, and Almodovar J. Heparin/Collagen Coatings Improve Human Mesenchymal Stromal Cell Response to Interferon Gamma. *ACS BIOMATER SCI ENG* 5:2793–803, 2019.
16. Chabay RW, and Sherwood BA. Matter and Interactions, 4th Edition Wiley Global Education, 1040 pp, 2015,
17. Chase LG, Lakshmipathy U, Solchaga LA, Rao MS, and Vemuri MC. A novel serum-free medium for the expansion of human mesenchymal stem cells. *Stem Cell Res. Ther* 1:8, 2010. [PubMed: 20504289]
18. Chen AK-L, Chew YK, Tan HY, Reuveny S, and Weng Oh SK. Increasing efficiency of human mesenchymal stromal cell culture by optimization of microcarrier concentration and design of medium feed. *Cytotherapy* 17:163–173, 2015. [PubMed: 25304664]
19. Chinnadurai R, Rajan D, Qayed M, Arafat D, Garcia M, Liu Y, Kugathasan S, Anderson LJ, Gibson G, and Galipeau J. Potency Analysis of Mesenchymal Stromal Cells Using a Combinatorial Assay Matrix Approach. *Cell Reports* 22:2504–17, 2018. [PubMed: 29490284]
20. Choi JH, Lyu SY, Lee HJ, Jung J, Park WB, and Kim GJ. Korean mistletoe lectin regulates self-renewal of placenta-derived mesenchymal stem cells via autophagic mechanisms. *Cell Prolif.* 45:420–429, 2012. [PubMed: 22925501]
21. Collins JM, Goldspink PH, and Russell B. Migration and proliferation of human mesenchymal stem cells is stimulated by different regions of the mechano-growth factor prohormone. *J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol* 49:1042–1045, 2010. [PubMed: 20875825]
22. Cooper K, SenMajumdar A, and Viswanathan C. Derivation, Expansion and Characterization of Clinical Grade Mesenchymal Stem Cells from Umbilical Cord Matrix Using Cord Blood Serum. *Int J Stem Cells* 3:119–28, 2010. [PubMed: 24855549]
23. Cooper K, and Viswanathan C. Establishment of a mesenchymal stem cell bank. *Stem Cells Int.* 2011:905621, 2011. [PubMed: 21826152]
24. Cote DJ, Bredenoord AL, Smith TR, Ammirati M, Brennum J, Mendez I, Ammar AS, Balak N, Bolles G, Esene IN, Mathiesen T, and Broekman ML. Ethical clinical translation of stem cell interventions for neurologic disease. *Neurology* 88:322–328, 2017. [PubMed: 27927932]
25. Croitoru-Lamoury J, Lamoury FMJ, Caristo M, Suzuki K, Walker D, Takikawa O, Taylor R, and Brew BJ. Interferon- γ regulates the proliferation and differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells via activation of indoleamine 2,3 dioxygenase (IDO). *PLoS One* 6:e14698, 2011. [PubMed: 21359206]

26. Curran JM, Chen R, and Hunt JA. Controlling the phenotype and function of mesenchymal stem cells in vitro by adhesion to silane-modified clean glass surfaces. *Biomaterials* 26:7057–7067, 2005. [PubMed: 16023712]
27. Curran JM, Chen R, and Hunt JA. The guidance of human mesenchymal stem cell differentiation in vitro by controlled modifications to the cell substrate. *Biomaterials* 27:4783–4793, 2006. [PubMed: 16735063]
28. Curran JM, Stokes R, Irvine E, Graham D, Amro NA, Sanedrin RG, Jamil H, and Hunt JA. Introducing dip pen nanolithography as a tool for controlling stem cell behaviour: unlocking the potential of the next generation of smart materials in regenerative medicine. *Lab Chip* 10:1662–1670, 2010. [PubMed: 20390207]
29. D'Ippolito G, Schiller PC, Ricordi C, Roos BA, and Howard GA. Age-related osteogenic potential of mesenchymal stromal stem cells from human vertebral bone marrow. *J. Bone Miner. Res* 14:1115–1122, 1999. [PubMed: 10404011]
30. Discher DE, Mooney DJ, and Zandstra PW. Growth factors, matrices, and forces combine and control stem cells. *Science* 324:1673–1677, 2009. [PubMed: 19556500]
31. Dolley-Sonneville PJ, Romeo LE, and Melkounian ZK. Synthetic Surface for Expansion of Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells in Xeno-Free, Chemically Defined Culture Conditions. *PLoS One*, 8:e70263, 2013. [PubMed: 23940553]
32. Dombrowski C, Helledie T, Ling L, Grünert M, Canning CA, Michael Jones C, Hui JH, Nurcombe V, van Wijnen AJ, and Cool SM. FGFR1 Signaling Stimulates Proliferation of Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells by Inhibiting the Cyclin-Dependent Kinase Inhibitors p21Waf1 and p27Kip1. *STEM CELLS* 31:2724–36, 2013. [PubMed: 23939995]
33. Dufey V, Tacheny A, Art M, Becken U, D. L. F. Expansion of human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells in BioBLU 0.3 c single-use bioreactors. *Appl Note* 305:1–8, 2016.
34. Egea V, von Baumgarten L, Schichor C, Berninger B, Popp T, Neth P, Goldbrunner R, Kienast Y, Winkler F, Jochum M, and Ries C. TNF- α respecifies human mesenchymal stem cells to a neural fate and promotes migration toward experimental glioma. *Cell Death Differ.* 18:853–863, 2011. [PubMed: 21127499]
35. Eibes G, dos Santos F, Andrade PZ, Boura JS, Abecasis MMA, da Silva CL, and Cabral JMS. Maximizing the ex vivo expansion of human mesenchymal stem cells using a microcarrier-based stirred culture system. *J. Biotechnol* 146:194–197, 2010. [PubMed: 20188771]
36. Engler AJ, Sen S, Sweeney HL, and Discher DE. Matrix elasticity directs stem cell lineage specification. *Cell* 126:677–689, 2006. [PubMed: 16923388]
37. Fan W, Crawford R, and Xiao Y. The ratio of VEGF/PEDF expression in bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells regulates neovascularization. *Differentiation* 81:181–191, 2011. [PubMed: 21236558]
38. Fiedler J, Leucht F, Waltenberger J, Dehio C, and Brenner RE. VEGF-A and PlGF-1 stimulate chemotactic migration of human mesenchymal progenitor cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun* 334:561–568, 2005. [PubMed: 16005848]
39. Frank ND, Jones ME, Vang B, and Coeshott C. Evaluation of reagents used to coat the hollow-fiber bioreactor membrane of the Quantum® Cell Expansion System for the culture of human mesenchymal stem cells. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C Mater. Biol. Appl* 96:77–85, 2019. [PubMed: 30606590]
40. Gilbert PM, Havenstrite KL, Magnusson KEG, Sacco A, Leonardi NA, Kraft P, Nguyen NK, Thrun S, Lutolf MP, and Blau HM. Substrate elasticity regulates skeletal muscle stem cell self-renewal in culture. *Science* 329:1078–1081, 2010. [PubMed: 20647425]
41. Goedhart M, Cornelissen AS, Kuijk C, Geerman S, Kleijer M, van Buul JD, Huveneers S, Raaijmakers MHGP, Young HA, Wolkers MC, Voermans C, and Nolte MA. Interferon-Gamma Impairs Maintenance and Alters Hematopoietic Support of Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stromal Cells. *Stem Cells Dev.* 27:579–589, 2018. [PubMed: 29649408]
42. Guilak F, Cohen DM, Estes BT, Gimple JM, Liedtke W, and Chen CS. Control of stem cell fate by physical interactions with the extracellular matrix. *Cell Stem Cell* 5:17–26, 2009. [PubMed: 19570510]

43. Guilak F, Cohen DM, Estes BT, Gimble JM, Liedtke W, and Chen CS. Control of stem cell fate by physical interactions with the extracellular matrix. *Cell Stem Cell* 5:17–26, 2009. [PubMed: 19570510]
44. Hanley PJ, Mei Z, Durett AG, M. da Cabreira-Hansen G., Klis M, Li W, Zhao Y, Yang B, Parsha K, Mir O, Vahidy F, Bloom D, Rice RB, Hematti P, Savitz SI, and Gee AP. Efficient manufacturing of therapeutic mesenchymal stromal cells with the use of the Quantum Cell Expansion System. *Cytotherapy* 16:1048–1058, 2014. [PubMed: 24726657]
45. Heathman TRJ, Nienow AW, McCall MJ, Coopman K, Kara B, and Hewitt CJ. The translation of cell-based therapies: clinical landscape and manufacturing challenges. *Regenerative Medicine* 10:49–64, 2015. [PubMed: 25562352]
46. Heathman TRJ, Stolzing A, Fabian C, Rafiq QA, Coopman K, Nienow AW, Kara B, and Hewitt CJ. Scalability and process transfer of mesenchymal stromal cell production from monolayer to microcarrier culture using human platelet lysate. *Cytotherapy* 18:523–535, 2016. [PubMed: 26971681]
47. Heo S-J, Nerurkar NL, Baker BM, Shin J-W, Elliott DM, and Mauck RL. Fiber stretch and reorientation modulates mesenchymal stem cell morphology and fibrous gene expression on oriented nanofibrous microenvironments. *Ann. Biomed. Eng* 39:2780–2790, 2011. [PubMed: 21800203]
48. Heo S-J, Szczesny SE, Kim DH, Saleh KS, and Mauck RL. Expansion of mesenchymal stem cells on electrospun scaffolds maintains stemness, mechano-responsivity, and differentiation potential. *J. Orthop. Res* 36:808–815, 2018. [PubMed: 29027711]
49. Hoffman MD, Takahata M, and Benoit DSM. 6-Bromoindirubin-3'-oxime (BIO) induces proliferation of human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs). 2011 IEEE 37th Annual Northeast Bioengineering Conference (NEBEC) 2011.
50. Ho SS, Vollmer NL, Refaat MI, Jeon O, Alsberg E, Lee MA, and Kent Leach J. Bone Morphogenetic Protein-2 Promotes Human Mesenchymal Stem Cell Survival and Resultant Bone Formation When Entrapped in Photocrosslinked Alginate Hydrogels. *Adv Healthc Mater.* 5:2501–9, 2016. [PubMed: 27581621]
51. Huang H, Kim HJ, Chang E-J, Lee ZH, Hwang SJ, Kim H-M, Lee Y, and Kim H-H. IL-17 stimulates the proliferation and differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells: implications for bone remodeling. *Cell Death Differ.* 16:1332–1343, 2009. [PubMed: 19543237]
52. Ijzermans JNM, and Marquet RL. Interferon-gamma: A Review. *Immunobiology* 179:456–73., 1989. [PubMed: 2515154]
53. Ingber DE Mechanical control of tissue growth: function follows form. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 102:11571–2, 2005. [PubMed: 16091458]
54. Ito T, Sawada R, Fujiwara Y, Seyama Y, and Tsuchiya T. FGF- suppresses cellular senescence of human mesenchymal stem cells by down-regulation of TGF- β . *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun* 359:108–14, 2007. [PubMed: 17532297]
55. Ito T, Sawada R, Fujiwara Y, and Tsuchiya T. FGF-2 increases osteogenic and chondrogenic differentiation potentials of human mesenchymal stem cells by inactivation of TGF-beta signaling. *Cytotechnology* 56:1–7, 2008. [PubMed: 19002835]
56. Jiang CM, Liu J, Zhao JY, Xiao L, An S, Gou YC, Quan HX, Cheng Q, Zhang YL, He W, Wang YT, Yu WJ, Huang YF, Yi YT, Chen Y, and Wang J. Effects of Hypoxia on the Immunomodulatory Properties of Human Gingiva-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells. *J. Dent. Res* 94:69–77, 2015. [PubMed: 25403565]
57. Jing D, Sunil N, Punreddy S, Aysola M, Kehoe D, Murrel J, Rook M, N. K. Growth kinetics of human mesenchymal stem cells in a 3-L single-use, stirred-tank bioreactor. *BioPharm Int.* 26 : 28–38, 2013.
58. Jones M, Varella-Garcia M, Skokan M, Bryce S, Schowinsky J, Peters R, Vang B, Brecheisen M, Startz T, Frank N, and Nankervis B. Genetic stability of bone marrow-derived human mesenchymal stromal cells in the Quantum System. *Cytotherapy* 15:1323–1339, 2013. [PubMed: 23992670]

59. Jossen V, van den Bos C, Eibl R, and Eibl D. Manufacturing human mesenchymal stem cells at clinical scale: process and regulatory challenges. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol* 102:3981–3994, 2018. [PubMed: 29564526]
60. Jossen V, Pörtner R, Kaiser SC, Kraume M, Eibl D, and Eibl R. Mass Production of Mesenchymal Stem Cells — Impact of Bioreactor Design and Flow Conditions on Proliferation and Differentiation., 2014.doi:10.5772/59385
61. Jung S, Sen A, Rosenberg L, and Behie LA. Identification of growth and attachment factors for the serum-free isolation and expansion of human mesenchymal stromal cells. *Cytherapy* 12:637–657, 2010. [PubMed: 20608762]
62. Kehoe D, Schnitzler A, Simler J, DiLeo A, B. A. Scale-up of human mesenchymal stem cells on microcarriers in suspension in a single-use bioreactor. *BioPharm Int.* 25:28–38, 2012.
63. Kim DS, Jang IK, Lee MW, Ko YJ, Lee D-H, Lee JW, Sung KW, Koo HH, and Yoo KH. Enhanced Immunosuppressive Properties of Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells Primed by Interferon- γ . *EBioMedicine* 28:261–273, 2018. [PubMed: 29366627]
64. Klinker MW, Marklein RA, Lo Surdo JL, Wei C-H, and Bauer SR. Morphological features of IFN- γ -stimulated mesenchymal stromal cells predict overall immunosuppressive capacity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 114:E2598–607, 2017.
65. Kocaoemer A, Kern S, Klüter H, and Bieback K. Human AB serum and thrombin-activated platelet-rich plasma are suitable alternatives to fetal calf serum for the expansion of mesenchymal stem cells from adipose tissue. *Stem Cells* 25:1270–1278, 2007. [PubMed: 17255520]
66. Kureel SK, Mogha P, Khadpekar A, Kumar V, Joshi R, Das S, Bellare J, and Majumder A. Soft substrate maintains proliferative and adipogenic differentiation potential of human mesenchymal stem cells on long-term expansion by delaying senescence. *Biology Open* 8:bio039453, 2019. [PubMed: 31023646]
67. Lawson T, Kehoe DE, Schnitzler AC, Rapiejko PJ, Der KA, Philbrick K, Punreddy S, Rigby S, Smith R, Feng Q, Murrell JR, and Rook MS. Process development for expansion of human mesenchymal stromal cells in a 50L single-use stirred tank bioreactor. *Biochem. Eng. J* 120:49–62, 2017.
68. Lechanteur C Large-Scale Clinical Expansion of Mesenchymal Stem Cells in the GMP-Compliant, Closed Automated Quantum® Cell Expansion System: Comparison with Expansion in Traditional T-Flasks. *Int J Stem Cell Res Ther.* 4: 1000222, 2014.
69. Lechanteur C, Briquet A, Giet O, Delloye O, Baudoux E, and Beguin Y. Clinical-scale expansion of mesenchymal stromal cells: a large banking experience. *J. Transl. Med* 14:145, 2016. [PubMed: 27207011]
70. Lee J, Abdeen AA, Kim AS, and Kilian KA. Influence of Biophysical Parameters on Maintaining the Mesenchymal Stem Cell Phenotype. *ACS BIOMATER SCI ENG* 1:218–26 2015.
71. Li CX, Talele NP, Boo S, Koehler A, Knee-Walden E, Balestrini JL, Speight P, Kapus A, and Hinz B. MicroRNA-21 preserves the fibrotic mechanical memory of mesenchymal stem cells. *Nat. Mater* 16:379–389, 2017. [PubMed: 27798620]
72. Litwack G *Stem Cell Regulators*. Academic Press, 2011, 490 pp.
73. Li W-J, Laurencin CT, Caterson EJ, Tuan RS, and Ko FK. Electrospun nanofibrous structure: A novel scaffold for tissue engineering. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res* 60:613–21, 2002. [PubMed: 11948520]
74. Maher S, Romero-Weaver A, Scarzello A, and Gamero A. Interferon: Cellular Executioner or White Knight? *Current Medicinal Chemistry* 14:1279–89. 2007. [PubMed: 17504213]
75. Malik NN, and Durdy MB. Cell Therapy Landscape. *Translational Regenerative Medicine* 2015:87–106.
76. Mao AS, Shin J-W, and Mooney DJ. Effects of substrate stiffness and cell-cell contact on mesenchymal stem cell differentiation. *Biomaterials* 98:184–191, 2016. [PubMed: 27203745]
77. Mareschi K, Ferrero I, Rustichelli D, Aschero S, Gammaitoni L, Aglietta M, Madon E, and Fagioli F. Expansion of mesenchymal stem cells isolated from pediatric and adult donor bone marrow. *J. Cell. Biochem* 97:744–754, 2006. [PubMed: 16229018]
78. Marks P, and Gottlieb S. Balancing Safety and Innovation for Cell-Based Regenerative Medicine. *N. Engl. J. Med* 378:954–959, 2018. [PubMed: 29514023]

79. Martin-Manso G, and Hanley PJ. Using the quantum cell expansion system for the automated expansion of clinical-grade bone marrow-derived human mesenchymal stromal cells. *Methods Mol. Biol* 1283:53–63, 2015. [PubMed: 25523809]
80. McBeath R, Pirone DM, Nelson CM, Bhadriraju K, and Chen CS. Cell shape, cytoskeletal tension, and RhoA regulate stem cell lineage commitment. *Dev. Cell* 6:483–495, 2004. [PubMed: 15068789]
81. McLeod CM, and Mauck RL. On the origin and impact of mesenchymal stem cell heterogeneity: new insights and emerging tools for single cell analysis. *Eur. Cell. Mater* 34:217–231, 2017. [PubMed: 29076514]
82. McMurray RJ, Gadegaard N, Tsimbouri PM, Burgess KV, McNamara LE, Tare R, Murawski K, Kingham E, Oreffo ROC, and Dalby MJ. Nanoscale surfaces for the long-term maintenance of mesenchymal stem cell phenotype and multipotency. *Nat. Mater* 10:637–644, 2011. [PubMed: 21765399]
83. McMurray RJ, Gadegaard N, Tsimbouri PM, Burgess KV, McNamara LE, Tare R, Murawski K, Kingham E, Oreffo ROC, and Dalby MJ. Nanoscale surfaces for the long-term maintenance of mesenchymal stem cell phenotype and multipotency. *Nat. Mater* 10:637–644, 2011. [PubMed: 21765399]
84. Mindaye ST, Lo Surdo J, Bauer SR, and Alterman MA. The proteomic dataset for bone marrow derived human mesenchymal stromal cells: Effect of in vitro passaging. *Data Brief* 5:864–870, 2015. [PubMed: 26702413]
85. Mindaye ST, Ra M, Lo Surdo JL, Bauer SR, and Alterman MA. Global proteomic signature of undifferentiated human bone marrow stromal cells: evidence for donor-to-donor proteome heterogeneity. *Stem Cell Res.* 11:793–805, 2013. [PubMed: 23792435]
86. Mizukami A, de Abreu Neto MS, Moreira F, Fernandes-Platzgummer A, Huang Y-F, Milligan W, Cabral JMS, da Silva CL, Covas DT, and Swiech K. A Fully-Closed and Automated Hollow Fiber Bioreactor for Clinical-Grade Manufacturing of Human Mesenchymal Stem/Stromal Cells. *Stem Cell Rev.* 14:141–143, 2018.
87. Mizukami A, Pereira Chilima TD, Orellana MD, Neto MA, Covas DT, Farid SS, and Swiech K. Technologies for large-scale umbilical cord-derived MSC expansion: Experimental performance and cost of goods analysis. *Biochem. Eng.* J135:36–48, 2018.
88. Müller I, Kordowich S, Holzwarth C, Spano C, Isensee G, Staiber A, Viebahn S, Gieseke F, Langer H, Gawaz MP, Horwitz EM, Conte P, Handgretinger R, and Dominici M. Animal serum-free culture conditions for isolation and expansion of multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells from human BM. *Cytotherapy* 8:437–444, 2006. [PubMed: 17050248]
89. Ng F, Boucher S, Koh S, Sastry KSR, Chase L, Lakshmipathy U, Choong C, Yang Z, Vemuri MC, Rao MS, and Tanavde V. PDGF, TGF- β , and FGF signaling is important for differentiation and growth of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs): transcriptional profiling can identify markers and signaling pathways important in differentiation of MSCs into adipogenic, chondrogenic, and osteogenic lineages. *Blood* 112:295–307, 2008. [PubMed: 18332228]
90. Oh S, Brammer KS, Li YSJ, Teng D, Engler AJ, Chien S, and Jin S. Stem cell fate dictated solely by altered nanotube dimension. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* 106:2130–2135, 2009. [PubMed: 19179282]
91. Oikonomopoulos A, van Deen WK, Manansala A-R, Lacey PN, Tomakili TA, Ziman A, and Hommes DW. Optimization of human mesenchymal stem cell manufacturing: the effects of animal/xeno-free media. *Sci. Rep* 5:16570, 2015. [PubMed: 26564250]
92. Oka N, Soeda A, Inagaki A, Onodera M, Maruyama H, Hara A, Kunisada T, Mori H, and Iwama T. VEGF promotes tumorigenesis and angiogenesis of human glioblastoma stem cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun* 360:553–559, 2007. [PubMed: 17618600]
93. Olsen TR, Ng KS, Lock LT, Ahsan T, and Rowley JA. Peak MSC—Are We There Yet? *Frontiers in Medicine* 5, 2018.
94. Pagnotto MR, Wang Z, Karpie JC, Ferretti M, Xiao X, and Chu CR. Adeno-associated viral gene transfer of transforming growth factor- β 1 to human mesenchymal stem cells improves cartilage repair. *Gene therapy*, 14:804, 2007. [PubMed: 17344902]

95. Parandakh A, Anbarlou A, Tafazzoli-Shadpour M, Ardehshirylajimi A, and Khani M-M. Substrate topography interacts with substrate stiffness and culture time to regulate mechanical properties and smooth muscle differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces* 173:194–201, 2019. [PubMed: 30292932]
96. Pittenger MF, Mackay AM, Beck SC, Jaiswal RK, Douglas R, Mosca JD, Moorman MA, Simonetti DW, Craig S, and Marshak DR. Multilineage potential of adult human mesenchymal stem cells. *Science* 284:143–147, 1999. [PubMed: 10102814]
97. Ponte AL, Ribeiro-Fleury T, Chabot V, Gouilleux F, Langonné A, Hérault O, Charbord P, and Domenech J. Granulocyte-Colony-Stimulating Factor Stimulation of Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stromal Cells Promotes CD34 Cell Migration Via a Matrix Metalloproteinase-2-Dependent Mechanism. *Stem cells and development* 21: 3162–3172, 2012. [PubMed: 22651889]
98. Prasanna SJ, Jyothi Prasanna S, Gopalakrishnan D, Shankar SR, and Vasandan AB. Pro-Inflammatory Cytokines, IFN γ and TNF α , Influence Immune Properties of Human Bone Marrow and Wharton Jelly Mesenchymal Stem Cells Differentially. *PLoS ONE* 5:e9016, 2010. [PubMed: 20126406]
99. Quarto R, Thomas D, and Liang CT. Bone progenitor cell deficits and the age-associated decline in bone repair capacity. *Calcif. Tissue Int* 56:123–129, 1995. [PubMed: 7736320]
100. Rifas L T-cell cytokine induction of BMP-2 regulates human mesenchymal stromal cell differentiation and mineralization. *J. Cell. Biochem* 98:706–714, 2006. [PubMed: 16619272]
101. Roemeling-van Rhijn M, Mensah FKF, Korevaar SS, Leijds MJ, van Osch GJVM, Ijzermans JNM, Betjes MGH, Baan CC, Weimar W, and Hoogduijn MJ. Effects of Hypoxia on the Immunomodulatory Properties of Adipose Tissue-Derived Mesenchymal Stem cells. *Front. Immunol* 4:203, 2013. [PubMed: 23882269]
102. Russell AL, Lefavor RC, and Zubair AC. Characterization and cost-benefit analysis of automated bioreactor-expanded mesenchymal stem cells for clinical applications. *Transfusion* 58:2374–2382, 2018. [PubMed: 30203447]
103. Ryan JM, Barry F, Murphy JM, and Mahon BP. Interferon- γ does not break, but promotes the immunosuppressive capacity of adult human mesenchymal stem cells. *Clinical & Experimental Immunology*, 149:353–363, 2007. [PubMed: 17521318]
104. Salem B, Miner S, Hensel NF, Battiwalla M, Keyvanfar K, Stroncek DF, Gee AP, Hanley PJ, Bollard CM, Ito S, and John Barrett A. Quantitative activation suppression assay to evaluate human bone marrow–derived mesenchymal stromal cell potency. *Cytotherapy* 17:1675–86, 2015. [PubMed: 26422657]
105. Saparov A, Ogay V, Nurgozhin T, Jumabay M, and Chen WCW. Preconditioning of Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells to Enhance Their Regulation of the Immune Response. *Stem Cells Int.* 2016:3924858, 2016. [PubMed: 27822228]
106. Schallmoser K, Bartmann C, Rohde E, Reinisch A, Kashofer K, Stadelmeyer E, Drexler C, Lanzer G, Linkesch W, and Strunk D. Human platelet lysate can replace fetal bovine serum for clinical-scale expansion of functional mesenchymal stromal cells. *Transfusion* 47:1436–1446, 2007. [PubMed: 17655588]
107. Schallmoser K, Rohde E, Bartmann C, Obenauf AC, Reinisch A, and Strunk D. Platelet-derived growth factors for GMP-compliant propagation of mesenchymal stromal cells. *Biomed. Mater. Eng* 19:271–276, 2009. [PubMed: 20042793]
108. Schirmaier C, Jossen V, Kaiser SC, Jüngerkes F, Brill S, Safavi-Nab A, Siehoff A, van den Bos C, Eibl D, and Eibl R. Scale-up of adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cell production in stirred single-use bioreactors under low-serum conditions. *Engineering in Life Sciences* 14:292–303, 2014.
109. Schmidt A, Ladage D, Schinköthe T, Klausmann U, Ulrichs C, Klinz F-J, Brixius K, Arnhold S, Desai B, Mehlhorn U, Schwinger RHG, Staib P, Addicks K, and Bloch W. Basic fibroblast growth factor controls migration in human mesenchymal stem cells. *Stem Cells* 24:1750–1758, 2006. [PubMed: 16822883]
110. Sensebé L, Gadelorge M, and Fleury-Cappellesso S. Production of mesenchymal stromal/stem cells according to good manufacturing practices: a review. *Stem Cell Res. Ther* 4:66, 2013. [PubMed: 23751270]

111. Shao Z, Zhang X, Pi Y, Wang X, Jia Z, Zhu J, Dai L, Chen W, Yin L, Chen H, Zhou C, and Ao Y. Polycaprolactone electrospun mesh conjugated with an MSC affinity peptide for MSC homing in vivo. *Biomaterials* 33:3375–3387, 2012. [PubMed: 22322196]
112. Shi Y, Wang Y, Zhang P, and Liu W. Fibrous scaffolds for tissue engineering Inflammation and Regeneration 34:023–32, 2014.
113. Shu Z, Kang X, Chen H, Zhou X, Purttman J, Yadock D, Heimfeld S, and Gao D. Development of a reliable low-cost controlled cooling rate instrument for the cryopreservation of hematopoietic stem cells. *Cytotherapy* 12:161–169, 2010. [PubMed: 19929459]
114. Sivanathan KN, Gronthos S, Rojas-Canales D, Thierry B, and Coates PT. Interferon-gamma modification of mesenchymal stem cells: implications of autologous and allogeneic mesenchymal stem cell therapy in allotransplantation. *Stem Cell Rev.* 10:351–375, 2014.
115. Sobel ME, and Wolman SR. Ethical considerations in the use of human tissues in research. *Cytometry* 38:192–3, 1999. [PubMed: 10440857]
116. Sotiropoulou PA, Perez SA, Salagianni M, Baxevanis CN, and Papamichail M. Characterization of the optimal culture conditions for clinical scale production of human mesenchymal stem cells. *Stem Cells* 24:462–471, 2006. [PubMed: 16109759]
117. Spoliti M, Iudicone P, Leone R, De Rosa A, Rossetti FR, and Pierelli L. In vitro release and expansion of mesenchymal stem cells by a hyaluronic acid scaffold used in combination with bone marrow. *Muscles Ligaments Tendons J* 2:289–294, 2012. [PubMed: 23738312]
118. Stenderup K, Justesen J, Clausen C, and Kassem M. Aging is associated with decreased maximal life span and accelerated senescence of bone marrow stromal cells. *Bone* 33:919–926, 2003. [PubMed: 14678851]
119. Strojny C, Boyle M, Bartholomew A, Sundivakkam P, and Alapati S. Interferon Gamma-treated Dental Pulp Stem Cells Promote Human Mesenchymal Stem Cell Migration In Vitro. *J. Endod* 41:1259–1264, 2015. [PubMed: 26051078]
120. Studeny M, Marini FC, Champlin RE, Zompetta C, Fidler IJ, and Andreeff M. Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells as vehicles for interferon-beta delivery into tumors. *Cancer Res.* 62:3603–3608, 2002. [PubMed: 12097260]
121. Su N, Gao P-L, Wang K, Wang J-Y, Zhong Y, and Luo Y. Fibrous scaffolds potentiate the paracrine function of mesenchymal stem cells: A new dimension in cell-material interaction. *Biomaterials* 141:74–85, 2017. [PubMed: 28667901]
122. Talele NP, Fradette J, Davies JE, Kapus A, and Hinz B. Expression of α -Smooth Muscle Actin Determines the Fate of Mesenchymal Stromal Cells. *Stem Cell Reports* 4:1016–1030, 2015. [PubMed: 26028530]
123. Tavassoli H, Alhosseini SN, Tay A, Chan PPY, Weng Oh SK, and Warkiani ME. Large-scale production of stem cells utilizing microcarriers: A biomaterials engineering perspective from academic research to commercialized products. *Biomaterials* 181:333–346, 2018. [PubMed: 30098569]
124. Teramura Y, and Iwata H. Cell surface modification with polymers for biomedical studies. *Soft Matter*, 6:1081–1091, 2010.
125. Thirumala S, Zvonick S, Floyd E, Gimble JM, and Devireddy RV. Effect of various freezing parameters on the immediate post-thaw membrane integrity of adipose tissue derived adult stem cells. *Biotechnol. Prog* 21:1511–1524, 2005. [PubMed: 16209556]
126. Thomas RJ, Hope AD, Hourd P, Baradez M, Miljan EA, Sinden JD, and Williams DJ. Automated, serum-free production of CTX0E03: a therapeutic clinical grade human neural stem cell line. *Biotechnol. Lett* 31:1167–1172, 2009. [PubMed: 19343502]
127. Tsutsumi S, Shimazu A, Miyazaki K, Pan H, Koike C, Yoshida E, Takagishi K, and Kato Y. Retention of multilineage differentiation potential of mesenchymal cells during proliferation in response to FGF. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun* 288:413–419, 2001. [PubMed: 11606058]
128. Udalarnath VL, Jayasinghe CD, and Udagama PV. Potential role of herbal remedies in stem cell therapy: proliferation and differentiation of human mesenchymal stromal cells. *Stem Cell Res. Ther* 7:110, 2016. [PubMed: 27515026]

129. Unger C, Skottman H, Blomberg P, Dilber MS, and Hovatta O. Good manufacturing practice and clinical-grade human embryonic stem cell lines. *Hum. Mol. Genet* 17:R48–53, 2008. [PubMed: 18632697]
130. Valencic E, Piscianz E, Andolina M, Ventura A, and Tommasini A. The immunosuppressive effect of Wharton's jelly stromal cells depends on the timing of their licensing and on lymphocyte activation. *Cytotherapy* 12:154–160, 2010. [PubMed: 20078387]
131. Volarevic V, Markovic BS, Gazdic M, Volarevic A, Jovicic N, Arsenijevic N, Armstrong L, Djonov V, Lako M, and Stojkovic M. Ethical and Safety Issues of Stem Cell-Based Therapy. *Int. J. Med. Sci* 15:36–45, 2018. [PubMed: 29333086]
132. Wall L, Burke F, Smyth JF, and Balkwill F. The Anti-proliferative Activity of Interferon- γ on Ovarian Cancer: In Vitro and in Vivo. *Gynecologic oncology*, 88:S149–S151, 2003. [PubMed: 12586108]
133. Warriar SR, Haridas N, Balasubramanian S, Jalisatgi A, Bhonde R, and Dharmarajan A. A synthetic formulation, Dhanwantharam kashaya, delays senescence in stem cells. *Cell Prolif.* 46:283–290, 2013. [PubMed: 23692087]
134. Wehling N, Palmer GD, Pilapil C, Liu F, Wells JW, Müller PE, Evans CH, and Porter RM. Interleukin-1 β and tumor necrosis factor α inhibit chondrogenesis by human mesenchymal stem cells through NF- κ B-dependent pathways. 60:801–12, 2009.
135. Windrum P, Morris TCM, Drake MB, Niederwieser D, Ruutu T, and EBMT Chronic Leukaemia Working Party Complications Subcommittee. Variation in dimethyl sulfoxide use in stem cell transplantation: a survey of EBMT centres. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* 36:601–603, 2005. [PubMed: 16044141]
136. Wobma HM, Tamargo MA, Goeta S, Brown LM, Duran-Struuck R, and Vunjak-Novakovic G. The influence of hypoxia and IFN- γ on the proteome and metabolome of therapeutic mesenchymal stem cells. *Biomaterials* 167:226–234, 2018. [PubMed: 29574308]
137. Yang C, Tibbitt MW, Basta L, and Anseth KS. Mechanical memory and dosing influence stem cell fate. *Nat. Mater* 13:645–652, 2014. [PubMed: 24633344]
138. Yeung T, Georges PC, Flanagan LA, Marg B, Ortiz M, Funaki M, Zahir N, Ming W, Weaver V, and Janmey PA. Effects of substrate stiffness on cell morphology, cytoskeletal structure, and adhesion. *Cell Motil. Cytoskeleton* 60:24–34, 2005. [PubMed: 15573414]
139. Yim EKF, and Sheetz MP. Force-dependent cell signaling in stem cell differentiation. *Stem Cell Res. Ther* 3:41, 2012. [PubMed: 23114057]
140. Yun SP, Lee MY, Ryu JM, Song CH, and Han HJ. Role of HIF-1 α and VEGF in human mesenchymal stem cell proliferation by 17 β -estradiol: involvement of PKC, PI3K/Akt, and MAPKs. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 296:C317–C326, 2009. [PubMed: 18987249]
141. Zhao F, Chella R, and Ma T. Effects of shear stress on 3-D human mesenchymal stem cell construct development in a perfusion bioreactor system: Experiments and hydrodynamic modeling. *Biotechnology and bioengineering* 96:584–595, 2007. [PubMed: 16948169]
142. Zhao W, Li X, Liu X, Zhang N, and Wen X. Effects of substrate stiffness on adipogenic and osteogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C Mater. Biol. Appl* 40:316–323, 2014. [PubMed: 24857499]
143. Zimmermann JA, Hettiaratchi MH, and McDevitt TC. Enhanced Immunosuppression of T Cells by Sustained Presentation of Bioactive Interferon- γ Within Three-Dimensional Mesenchymal Stem Cell Constructs. *Stem Cells Transl. Med* 6:223–237, 2017. [PubMed: 28170190]

Figure 1.

This review article focuses on the role of different stimuli on h-MSC behavior that could lead to more efficient manufacturing processes