Association between changes in the mRNA expression of platelet-activating factor receptor in peripheral blood mononuclear cells and progression of diabetic nephropathy

Sahar Ghavidel Darestani¹ · Mihoko Kurano¹ · Atsushi Shinnakasu¹ · Kiyoaki Yamamoto¹ · Yukari Dochi¹ · Kayo Uemura¹ · Yuko Ikeda¹ · Aiko Arimura¹ · Hiroshi Arimura¹ · Akira Kikuchi¹ · Hiroshi Hashiguchi¹ · Takahisa Deguchi¹ · Yoshihiko Nishio¹

Received: 6 February 2019 / Accepted: 1 April 2019 / Published online: 11 April 2019
© The Japan Diabetes Society 2019

Abstract

Introduction Several studies have recently pointed out the role of many inflammatory mediators in the progression of diabetes complications. We had previously demonstrated that mRNA expression of platelet-activating factor receptor (PAFR) in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) was associated with urinary albumin to creatinine ratio (ACR) and forearm flow-mediated dilatation in patients with type 2 diabetes. In an attempt to elucidate this association, patients were followed up for 1 year.

Materials and methods We recruited 95 patients from the hospital outpatient clinic, among whom 86 were followed up for 1 year (normoalbuminuria: 40 patients, microalbuminuria: 25 patients, macroalbuminuria: 21 patients). We then measured their baseline and 12 month characteristics and collected blood samples to extract PBMCs and measure gene expressions.

Results Despite higher mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs among patients with macroalbuminuria, the rise in its value was not associated with biomarkers of nephropathy, while baseline values were not associated with progression of nephropathy. Moreover, changes in mRNA expression of PAFR were correlated with changes in ACR in all patients ($r=0.225$, $p=0.037$) and estimated glomerular filtration rate in patients with macroalbuminuria ($r=-0.438$, $p=0.047$) during the follow-up period.

Conclusion Our findings indicate that even though no causal relationship exists between diabetic nephropathy and elevated expression of PAFR in PBMCs, their close association signifies the presence of another common mechanism that could induce both events. Given these findings, the PAF/PAFR interaction could clarify corresponding mechanisms involved in diabetic complications.

Keywords Diabetic nephropathy · Follow-up study · Macrovascular complication · Inflammation

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13340-019-00394-w>) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

✉ Mihoko Kurano
k-mihoko@cap.bbq.jp

¹ Department of Diabetes and Endocrine Medicine, Kagoshima University Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences, Kagoshima, 8-35-1, Sakuragaoka, Kagoshima 890-8520, Japan

Introduction

Over the last few decades, the prevalence of cardiovascular disease (CVD), chronic kidney disease (CKD), and diabetes, leading causes of death globally and regionally [1], has increased considerably [2], while interaction between these conditions have become the focus of extensive research. A multitude of data have already indicated CKD as an independent predictor of myocardial infarction, stroke, and death [3] and microalbuminuria as a risk marker for cardiovascular mortality among subjects with and without diabetes [4].

A study in the UK found CVD to be the most common cause of death at all stages of nephropathy with an increasing trend for higher disease stages [2]. Another study in the

United States found a 63% CVD prevalence in patients with CKD and a 5.8% CVD prevalence in those without the same [5], indicating a direct correlation between CVD prevalence and severity of CKD [5,6].

In an attempt to explain the underlying mechanisms, we had previously conducted a cross-sectional study [7] on the assumption that any molecular change in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) throughout the process of diabetes may cause renal dysfunction and cardiovascular disease through impaired endothelial function [8]. Out of all studied genes associated with inflammation, reactive oxygen species (ROS) genesis, and cell adherence, the link between mRNA expression of platelet-activating factor receptor (PAFR) in PBMCs of patients with diabetes and the level of albuminuria and forearm flow-mediated dilatation (FMD; positive and negative association, respectively) had been identified as the strongest. Moreover, the prevalence of CVD and cerebrovascular diseases was significantly higher in patients with higher mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs [7].

Our study had been the first to demonstrate that mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs was correlated with ACR and FMD. However, the causal relationship between the two remains to be described. Moreover, we were unable to assess the influence of glycemic control, hypertension, or dyslipidemia on mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs. Based on these findings, the significance of the causal relationship between diabetic nephropathy and mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs becomes paramount. Accordingly, we need to determine whether PAFR acts as the cause of albuminuria and subsequently decreases estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), impairs endothelial function and FMD, and eventually causes vascular events or whether the reverse is true. This follow-up study was designed to further elucidate the role of mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs which plays in the development of diabetic nephropathy.

Materials and methods

This follow-up study was designed to evaluate the relationship between mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs and nephropathic changes in patients with diabetes. As detailed in our previous study, patients with type 2 diabetes who visited the diabetes clinic at Kagoshima University Hospital were recruited excluding those with allergic diseases, connective tissue diseases, hepatitis C or hepatitis B infections, other glomerulonephritis, hematuria, malignant diseases in the past 3 years, ongoing steroid or immunosuppressive therapy, kidney transplantation, or nephrectomy [7]. All patients with macroalbuminuria were recruited (27 patients). Patients with normo- or microalbuminuria were subsequently recruited to obtain groups matched for age, gender,

body mass index (BMI), and HbA1c. Ultimately, 95 patients were recruited into the study and classified according to the three clinical stages of diabetic nephropathy based on measured values of the ACR: normoalbuminuria, ACR < 30 mg/g Cr ($n = 42$); microalbuminuria, $30 \leq \text{ACR} < 300$ mg/g Cr ($n = 28$); macroalbuminuria, ACR ≥ 300 mg/g Cr ($n = 25$). Among the included patients, 86 were followed for 1 year (average of 12 months) between July 2014 and October 2016. The study was approved by the Kagoshima University Hospital Ethics Committee (#26-32 dated 2014.6.30, #26-179 dated 2015.2.20, #28-255 dated 2017.3.6), and all participants provided written informed consents before participation.

We collected fasting blood samples at 0 months (hereafter termed “baseline”) and 12 months (12M), as well as two early morning urine samples for two consecutive days on both occasions. Physical examination including anthropometric measures and blood pressure were also measured during the first visit.

Blood and urine data analyses

Urine samples were sent to SLR Inc. (Kagoshima, Japan) for ACR measurement. Blood samples collected in NaF-coated tubes were sent for glucose and HbA1c analyses, while those collected in EDTA-coated tubes were used to measure gene expression in PBMCs. We also collected blood samples in serum-separating tubes to analyze LDL-cholesterol (LDL-C), HDL cholesterol (HDL-C), triglycerides (TG), and creatinine (Cr). Glucose, HbA1c, LDL-C, HDL-C, TG, and Cr were immediately measured at the Kagoshima University Hospital clinical laboratory center. Estimated GFR was calculated based on serum Cr, age, and gender [$\text{eGFR} = 194 \times (\text{Cr}) - 1.094 \times (\text{age}) - 0.287 \times (0.739 \text{ if female})$].

PBMC preparation and RNA extraction

We twice diluted 10 ml of blood collected in EDTA-coated tubes with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and then layered it over 10 ml of Lymphoprep™ (Axis-Shield, Oslo, Norway) in a centrifuge tube. The sample was then centrifuged at 800 g for 20 min at room temperature (RT). Afterwards, the sample–medium interface containing mononuclear cells was transferred into a new centrifuge tube and rinsed with three volumes of PBS. The mononuclear cell pellet at the bottom of the tube was then collected after centrifugation at 1500 g for 10 min.

To extract RNA, the cell pellet was then homogenized with 0.75 ml of TRIzol® reagents (Life Technologies) and incubated for 5 min at RT. Thereafter, 0.2 ml of chloroform was added to the homogenate. After another 10 min of incubation at RT, the homogenate was centrifuged at 12,000 g for 15 min at 4 °C in a micro-centrifuge (Eppendorf 5471R).

Afterwards, the aqueous phase containing RNA was carefully transferred into a new micro-centrifuge tube. Adding 0.5 ml of 100% isopropanol and centrifuging at 12,000 *g* for 10 min at 4 °C precipitated the RNA, and the RNA pellet was washed with 1 ml of 75% ethanol and centrifuged one last time at 7500 *g* for 5 min at 4 °C. After aspirating the supernatant, the pellet was air-dried for 5 min at RT and dissolved in 100 µl of RNAase-free water. RNA purity and quantity was measured spectrophotometrically using NanoDrop 1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). All samples had an A260/A280 ratio of 1.70–2.00 indicating that the RNA was highly purified.

Real-time quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)

Real-time quantitative RT-PCR was performed to determine the relative expression levels of mRNA. First, single-stranded cDNA was synthesized from RNA using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems). Accordingly, 1 µg of total RNA was used to make 20 µl of reaction reagents, which was then incubated at 37 °C for 2 h followed by denaturation at 85 °C for 5 min using Power BLOCK (ATTO, Japan). Afterwards, quantitative RT-PCR was performed using a StepOnePlus thermocycler (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The reaction included adding 100 ng of cDNA to a total of 20 µl of PCR reaction mix containing TaqMan qPCR master mix, TaqMan gene expression assays (Applied Biosystem), and RNAase-free water. The reactions were performed in duplicates on 96-well plates under the following conditions: holding stage of 20 s at 95 °C, followed by 40 cycles consisting of 1 s at 95 °C and 20 s at 60 °C. Hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase-1 (HPRT1) was quantified as a housekeeping gene. Based on previous research, we selected a particular set comprising the following genes related to the pathology of diabetic nephropathy or atherosclerosis for analysis: PAFR, cluster differentiation 36 molecule (CD36), IFN-gamma-inducible protein 10 (CXCL10, IP-10), and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 2 (TIMP2). The arbitrary unit was determined by the ratio of mRNA expression of the target gene to that of HPRT1.

Statistical analysis

Data are expressed as mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD), median (interquartile range; IQR), or numbers. Normally distributed variables between groups were compared using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA; followed by Tukey or Games–Howell post hoc test), while paired *t* tests were used to compare baseline and 12-month (12M) values. For skewed characteristic variables, log-transformed data were used. Gene mRNA expressions in PBMCs were analyzed

using the Kruskal–Wallis test followed by pairwise comparison, while the Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to compare baseline and 12-month values. Qualitative variables were analyzed using the Chi-square test.

Correlations between any two variables were determined using Pearson or Spearman rank–order correlation tests. For skewed variables, log-transformed data were used. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 22.0 (SPSS Inc, USA) with *p* values less than 5% being considered statistically significant.

Results

Characteristics of patients before and after the follow-up period based on nephropathy stage

To study the effects of nephropathy on mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs, 86 patients with type 2 diabetes were followed up for 1 year. Table 1 shows the patient characteristics based on nephropathy stage. Groups were matched for age and gender. Although baseline and 12M levels of BMI, FPG, HbA1c, and triglyceride did not differ among the groups, significant differences in baseline and 12M levels of HDL cholesterol were observed ($p < 0.05$). As expected, significant differences in both eGFR and ACR levels were found between the groups (at both baseline and 12M, $p < 0.001$); however, a noticeable decrease in patients with macroalbuminuria was observed during the follow-up. Although mRNA expression of PAFR was significantly higher in those with macroalbuminuria ($p < 0.005$) at both baseline and the end of the trial, it did not change significantly during the follow-up, suggesting that the increase in the mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs during this time was not associated with nephropathy at either baseline or after the follow-up. However, this was not the case for patients with microalbuminuria or normoalbuminuria who showed a discernible rise during this time ($p < 0.005$). This suggests that advanced nephropathy state did not induce mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs.

Baseline factors affecting changes in mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs

Given the significant increase in the mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs during the follow-up period, factors that could be associated with this increase other than nephropathy stage were analyzed. We found that none of the usual biomarkers, i.e., glycemic factors, systolic blood pressure, BMI, or lipid profile at baseline, predicted the increase in mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs (Table 2). Furthermore, the association between elevated mRNA expression of PAFR and treatment regimens during the follow-up period

Table 1 Characteristics of the patients based on nephropathy stage

Variable	Base line				12 month			
	Normo <i>n</i> =40	Micro <i>n</i> =25	Macro <i>n</i> =21	<i>p</i>	Normo <i>n</i> =40	Micro <i>n</i> =25	Macro <i>n</i> =21	<i>P</i>
Age (years)	64.3±9.4	61.5±11.2	64.4±12.6	0.537				
Gender (M/F)	27/13	18/7	14/7	0.908				
BMI (kg/m ²)	25.1±4.1	26.6±5.76	24.8±5.2	0.378	24.7±4§	26.3±5.46§	24.9±5.34	0.417
FBG (mg/dl)	141±28	148.4±41.4	131.5±29.2	0.208	134.8±26	145±41	157.5±55.6§	0.165
HbA1c (%)	7±0.6	7.2±1.2	6.9±1	0.614	7±0.7	7.2±1.2	6.9±1	0.410
TG (mg/dl)	125.4±70.6	171.8±104	118.6±52	0.050	112±59	215±296*	131±70	0.057
L-DLC (mg/dl)	110.8±27.7	106.3±34.8	98±26.4	0.300	104.8±27.9	100.6±22.5	96±27.5	0.464
H-DLC (mg/dl)	59.5±13.9	49.8±11.9*	63.6±19.7‡	0.009	59.5±13.4	49.9±11.8*	59.3±16.8	0.011
eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m ²)	70.7±17	67.6±27	42.2±22.7*‡	0.000	69.7±16.1	68.7±28.2	37±22*‡§	0.000
ACR (mg/g.Cr)	11.6±7.9	123.4±85.6*	1311±1115*‡	0.000	15.5±14.5	169±144*	906±910*‡§	0.000
PAFR ^a (arbitrary unit)	1.7(1.2–2.8)	2.4(1.8–3.3)	3.4(2.8–4.2)*‡	0.000	3(2.3–3.8)§	3.2(2.2–4.2)§	3.8(3–5.2)*	0.023

Data are presented as mean ± SD

p values were calculated using ANOVA followed by post hoc Tukey or Games–Howell post hoc test (for skewed variables, log-transformed data were used)

Differences between baseline and 12-month values were calculated by paired *t* test (for skewed variables, log-transformed data were used)

BMI body mass index, *FBG* fasting blood glucose level, *TG* triglyceride level, *LDL-C* low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, *HDL-C* high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, *eGFR* estimated glomerular filtration rate [$eGFR = 194 \times (Cr)^{-1.094} \times (age)^{-0.287} \times (0.739 \text{ if female})$], *ACR* albumin to creatinine ratio, *PAFR* platelet-activating factor receptor

^amRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs is presented as median (IQR)

§*p* < 0.05 vs. baseline

**p* < 0.05 vs. normoalbuminurics

‡*p* < 0.05 vs. microalbuminurics

Table 2 Associations between baseline patient characteristics and changes in mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs

Variable	mean ± SD	Correlations with					
		baseline PAFR		12-M PAFR		Changes in PAFR	
		ρ	<i>p</i> value	<i>P</i>	<i>p</i> value	ρ	<i>p</i> value
Age (years)	63.5 ± 10.7	0.068	0.532	0.026	0.810	−0.036	0.743
BMI (kg/m ²)	25.5 ± 4.9	−0.049	0.651	−0.036	0.745	−0.033	0.760
SBP (mmHg)	131.8 ± 16.9	0.154	0.158	0.133	0.224	−0.018	0.873
FBG (mg/dl)	140.8 ± 32.9	0.016	0.884	−0.060	0.582	−0.032	0.772
HbA1c (%)	7 ± 0.9	−0.106	0.332	−0.096	0.379	0.049	0.652
TG (mg/dl)	137.2 ± 80.6	−0.034	0.758	−0.043	0.695	−0.074	0.498
L-DLC (mg/dl)	106.3 ± 29.7	−0.098	0.369	−0.188	0.084	−0.123	0.259
H-DLC (mg/dl)	57.7 ± 15.8	0.103	0.346	0.013	0.907	−0.067	0.541
FMD (%)	4.6 ± 2.5	−0.370	0.001*	−0.231	0.036*	0.160	0.149

Data are presented as mean ± SD. Correlations were calculated using spearman's rank correlation

BMI body mass index, *SBP* systolic blood pressure, *FBG* fasting blood glucose level, *TG* triglyceride level, *LDL-C* low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, *HDL-C* high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, *FMD* flow-mediated dilation, *PAFR* platelet-activating factor receptor

**p* < 0.05 was considered as significant

was investigated. As shown in supplementary Table 1, the patients were treated with several kinds of antihyperglycemic, antihyperlipidemic, or antihypertensive drugs, none of which had shown any effect on changes in mRNA expression of PAFR.

Factors associated with changes in mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs during the study

Figure 1 shows a significantly positive correlation between changes in mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs and

Fig. 1 Correlation between changes in the mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs and changes in urinary ACR during the follow-up period in all patients. Δ PAFR (arbitrary unit) was calculated for changes in the mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs during the follow-up period (12M value—baseline value). Δ ACR (mg/g.Cr) was calculated for changes in urinary ACR during the follow-up period (12M value—baseline value). Log-transformed values were used

changes in urinary ACR during the follow-up period in all patients ($r=0.225$, $p=0.037$). The multivariate analysis shows that the correlation among the changes in urinary ACR and those in mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs are independent to known cofounding factors such as systolic BP, obesity, and usage of ARB or ACEI (Table 3). On the other hand, changes in eGFR as presented in Fig. 2 showed a negative correlation with changes in mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs only in patients with macroalbuminuria ($r=-0.438$, $p=0.047$). However, baseline mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs did not predict progression of diabetic nephropathy [rise in ACR ($r=-0.192$, $p=0.076$) or deterioration of eGFR ($r=-0.177$, $p=0.102$), Fig. 3]. This

Table 3 Univariate and multivariate analyses of renal dysfunction risk factors as independent predictors for change in urinary ACR

Predictors	Δ log10ACR	
	Univariate model	Multivariate model
Δ log10PAFR	0.255*	0.221*
SBP		-0.241*
log10BMI		0.247*
Usage of ARB/ACEI		0.115
r^2	0.051*	0.146*

The table gives standard regression (β values)

ACR albumin to creatinine ratio, PAFR platelet-activating factor receptor, SBP systolic blood pressure, BMI body mass index, ARB/ACEI angiotensin receptor blocker/angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor

* $p < 0.05$. For skewed variables, log-transformed data were used

Fig. 2 Correlation between changes in the mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs and changes in eGFR during the follow-up period in all patients (a) and in those with macroalbuminuria (b). Δ PAFR (arbitrary unit) was calculated for changes in the mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs during the follow-up period (12M value—baseline value). *Log-transformed values were used. Δ eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m²) was calculated for changes in eGFR during the follow-up period (12M value—baseline value)

again suggests that mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs did not cause diabetic nephropathy but rather was associated with its progression.

We also found strong positive correlations between changes in mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs and changes in mRNA expression of other genes associated with development of atherosclerosis, namely CD36 ($r_s=0.489$, $p=0.000$), IP10 ($r_s=0.337$, $p=0.001$), and TIMP2 ($r_s=0.660$, $p=0.000$; Fig. 4).

Discussion

Similar to our previous report [7], the present study showed that mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs was significantly higher in patients with advanced nephropathy both at baseline and after 12 months of follow-up. However, the increase in its expression during this period was not associated with biomarkers of nephropathy either at baseline or after the

Fig. 3 Correlation between the mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs at baseline and change in urinary ACR (a) or eGFR (b) during the follow-up period in all patients. ΔACR (mg/g.Cr) was calculated for changes in urinary ACR during the follow-up period (12M value—baseline value). *Log-transformed values were used. ΔeGFR (ml/min/1.73 m²) was calculated for changes in eGFR during the follow-up period (12M value—baseline value)

follow-up. Furthermore, we observed that the mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs at baseline was not associated with progression of nephropathy. These observations indicate no causal relationship between diabetic nephropathy and elevated mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs. This close association, however, indicates the presence of another common mechanism such as inflammation that induces both events, nephropathy progression and elevated mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs. To support this idea, we found a weak albeit significant correlation between changes in mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs and changes in urinary ACR in all patients or eGFR in patients with macroalbuminuria during the follow-up period.

Various risk factors involved in the progression of diabetic nephropathy [1,9–11], such as poor glycemic control and elevation of HbA1c level [12,13], hypertension [14,15], obesity [16], and dyslipidemia [17], have been well investigated. On the other hand, some interventions that utilize

renin angiotensin system (RAS) inhibitors [18] such as angiotensin receptor blockers (ARB) [19] and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEI) [20], sodium glucose co-transporter-2 (SGLT-2) inhibitors [21], glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists [22], statins [23], and fibrates [24] have been shown to delay the progression of nephropathy. Therefore, we analyzed the effects of these known factors on changes in mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs during the follow-up period. Accordingly, we found that none of the aforementioned factors were associated with changes in mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs during the follow-up period. However, changes in mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs were associated with the changes in mRNA expressions of other genes, namely CD36 [25,26], IP10 [27], and TIMP2 [28,29] in PBMCs. These genes, as well as PAFR, have been known to be regulated by mechanisms related to inflammatory processes [25,28], and similar to existing literature, we show that they are upregulated in diabetic nephropathy [26,27,29]. Considering that glomerular inflammation is an important factor in the progression of diabetic nephropathy [30,31], we can surmise that a common factor related thereto may be involved in the progression of nephropathy and upregulation of mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs. Further study is definitely needed to identify this common factor as inflammation.

Our findings of associations between changes in mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs and changes in urinary ACR or eGFR may prove to be clinically important. The mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs could easily be used as an indicator of the progression of nephropathy. Although the measurements of urinary ACR or eGFR have already been established as biological markers of diabetic nephropathy, the expression of PAFR in PBMCs might add some crucial details, such as important information regarding the glomerular microenvironment. Furthermore, considering the role of PAFR in PBMCs on the development of atherosclerosis, the elevated expression of PAFR in patients with diabetic nephropathy might explain the link between the development of diabetic nephropathy and the increased risk of cardiovascular events [32]. In fact, as mentioned in our previous paper, patients with a history of vascular events showed higher levels of PAFR expression in PBMCs [7]. Future studies will reveal the significance of elevated mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs in the prevention or even treatment of vascular lesions in patients with diabetic nephropathy.

Given the nature of the present study, some methodological limitations should be considered. Due to the lack of healthy controls and the small number of participants with macroalbuminuria, analyzing data relevant to more advanced stages of nephropathy and defining a causal relationship between mRNA expression of PAFR and diabetic nephropathy were inconclusive. Furthermore, the short

Fig. 4 Correlation between changes in the mRNA expression of PAFR and other genes [CD36 (a), IP10 (b), and TIMP2 (c)] in PBMCs during the follow-up period in all patients. Δ gene (arbitrary unit) was calculated for changes in the mRNA expression of PAFR, CD36, IP10, and TIMP2 in PBMCs during the follow-up period (12M value—baseline value). *Spearman's rank test results are reported as ρ

period of follow-up did not allow us to witness any significant changes in albuminuria and/or the state of nephropathy. Most importantly, given the nature of PAF and PAFR, i.e., dose-, regional-, and species-dependent nature of their effects [33,34], explaining molecular mechanisms without more controlled cell culture studies proved to be insufficient. Notwithstanding, our data may actually explain why only a fraction of patients with diabetes suffer from nephropathy and other macrovascular complications of diabetes at different progression rates [11]. This offers new therapeutic opportunities, such as using PAFR antagonists to treat patients with diabetic nephropathy who have higher mRNA expression of PAFR, especially those with microalbuminuria, to prevent disease progression and renal failure. Moreover, PBMCs are easy to collect and may provide a more accessible specimen for gene expression investigations.

In conclusion, changes in mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs were associated with progression of nephropathy

in patients with either microalbuminuria or macroalbuminuria. Given the interactions between PAF expressed on endothelial cell surface and PAFR expressed on PBMCs surface and the association between endothelial dysfunction and elevated expression of PAFR in PBMCs, the PAF/PAFR interaction might shed some light on diabetic complications and the corresponding mechanisms. Thus, measuring mRNA expression of PAFR in PBMCs might prove useful as a screening test to focus nephroprotective treatment to those at higher risk.

Acknowledgements We wish to thank Joint Research Laboratory, Kagoshima University Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences, for the use of their facilities. We also wish to express our thanks to Kagoshima University Hospital clinical laboratory center, for the analysis of blood cells, and the laboratory research assistant Ms. A. Mihara. The authors would also like to thank the patients who took part in the clinical trial and the collaborators at the clinics. The authors would like to thank Enago (www.enago.jp) for the English language review.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare no conflict of interest associated with this manuscript.

Ethical approval All the procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1964 and later versions.

Informed consent Informed consents were obtained from all participants for being included in the study.

References

- Danaei G, Lu Y, Singh GM, et al. Cardiovascular disease, chronic kidney disease, and diabetes mortality burden of cardiometabolic risk factors from 1980 to 2010: a comparative risk assessment. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2014;2(8):634–47.
- Adler AI, Stevens RJ, Manley SE, et al. Development and progression of nephropathy in type 2 diabetes: the United Kingdom prospective diabetes study (UKPDS 64). *Kidney Int*. 2003;63(1):225–32.
- Schiffrin EL, Lipman ML, Mann JFE. Chronic kidney disease: effects on the cardiovascular system. *Circulation*. 2007;116(1):85–97.
- Gerstein HC, Mann JF, Yi Q, et al. Albuminuria and risk of cardiovascular events, death, and heart failure in diabetic and non-diabetic individuals. *JAMA*. 2001;286(4):421–426.
- Gaita D, Mihaescu A, Schiller A. Of heart and kidney: a complicated love story. *Eur J Prev Cardiol*. 2014;21(7):840–6.
- Segall L, Nistor I, Covic A. Heart failure in patients with chronic kidney disease: a systematic integrative review. *Biomed Res Int*. 2014;2014:1–21.
- Kurano M, Darestani SG, Shinnakasu A, et al. mRNA expression of platelet activating factor receptor (PAFR) in peripheral blood mononuclear cells is associated with albuminuria and vascular dysfunction in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2018;136:124–33.
- Nakagawa T, Tanabe K, Croker BP, et al. Endothelial dysfunction as a potential contributor in diabetic nephropathy. *Nat Rev Nephrol*. 2011;7(1):36–44.
- Tziomalos K, Athyros VG. Diabetic nephropathy: new risk factors and improvements in diagnosis. *Rev Diabet Stud*. 2015;12(1–2):110–8.
- Gross JL, de Azevedo MJ, Silveiro SP, Canani LH, Caramori ML, Zelmanovitz T. Diabetic nephropathy: diagnosis, prevention, and treatment. *Diabetes Care*. 2005;28(1):164–76.
- Ismail N, Becker B, Strzelczyk P, Ritz E. Renal disease and hypertension in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Kidney Int*. 1999;55(1):1–28.
- Amini M, Safaei H, Aminorroaya A. The incidence of microalbuminuria and its associated risk factors in type 2 diabetic patients in Isfahan. Iran. *Rev Diabet Stud*. 2007;4(4):242–8.
- Larkins RG, Dunlop ME. The link between hyperglycaemia and diabetic nephropathy. *Diabetologia*. 1992;35(6):499–504.
- Haneda M, Kikkawa R, Togawa M, et al. High blood pressure is a risk factor for the development of microalbuminuria in Japanese subjects with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *J Diabetes Complications*. 1992;6(3):181–185.
- Klag MJ, Whelton PK, Randall BL, et al. Blood pressure and end-stage renal disease in men. *N Engl J Med*. 1996;334(1):13–8.
- Meguro S, Kabeya Y, Tanaka K, et al. Past obesity as well as present body weight status is a risk factor for diabetic nephropathy. *Int J Endocrinol*. 2013;2013:590569. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/590569>.
- Appel GB, Radhakrishnan J, Avram MM, et al. Analysis of metabolic parameters as predictors of risk in the RENAAL study. *Diabetes Care*. 2003;26(5):1402–7.
- Vivian E, Mannebach C. Therapeutic approaches to slowing the progression of diabetic nephropathy—Is less best? *Drugs Context*. 2013;2013:1–12.
- Sonkodi S, Mogyórosi A. Treatment of diabetic nephropathy with angiotensin II blockers. *Nephrol Dial Transp*. 2003;18 Suppl 5:v21–3. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12817061>. Accessed Jan 11 2019.
- Lewis EJ, Hunsicker LG, Bain RP, Rohde RD. The effect of angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibition on diabetic nephropathy. *N Engl J Med*. 1993;329(20):1456–62.
- Kawanami D, Matoba K, Takeda Y, Nagai Y, Akamine T. SGLT2 inhibitors as a therapeutic option for diabetic nephropathy. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2017;18(5):1083.
- Dieter BP, Alicic RZ, Tuttle KR. GLP-1 receptor agonists in diabetic kidney disease: from the patient-side to the bench-side. *Am J Physiol Physiol*. 2018;315(6):F1519–F15251525.
- Koya D, Campese VM. Statin use in patients with diabetes and kidney disease: the Japanese experience. *J Atheroscler Thromb*. 2013;20(5):407–24.
- Ting R-D, Keech AC, Drury PL, et al. Benefits and safety of long-term fenofibrate therapy in people with type 2 diabetes and renal impairment: the FIELD study. *Diabetes Care*. 2012;35(2):218–25.
- Patel PS, Kearney JF. CD36 and platelet-activating factor receptor promote house dust mite allergy development. *J Immunol*. 2017;199(3):1184–95.
- Shiju TM, Mohan V, Balasubramanyam M, Viswanathan P. Soluble CD36 in plasma and urine: a plausible prognostic marker for diabetic nephropathy. *J Diabetes Complications*. 2015;29(3):400–6.
- Ruster C, Wolf G. The role of chemokines and chemokine receptors in diabetic nephropathy. *Front Biosci*. 2008;13:944–55.
- Axelrad TW, Deo DD, Ottino P, et al. Platelet-activating factor (PAF) induces activation of matrix metalloproteinase 2 activity and vascular endothelial cell invasion and migration. *FASEB J*. 2004;18(3):568–70.
- Ding H-L, Xu M-T, Guo Y, et al. Effect of losartan on the mRNA expressions of MT3-MMP and TIMP-2 in diabetic kidneys. *Rev Diabet Stud*. 2005;2(4):216–216.
- Correa-Costa M, Andrade-Oliveira V, Braga TT, et al. Activation of platelet-activating factor receptor exacerbates renal inflammation and promotes fibrosis. *Lab Invest*. 2014;94(4):455–66.
- Wada J, Makino H. Inflammation and the pathogenesis of diabetic nephropathy. *Clin Sci (Lond)*. 2013;124(3):139–52.
- Klausen K, Borch-Johnsen K, Feldt-Rasmussen B, et al. Very low levels of microalbuminuria are associated with increased risk of coronary heart disease and death independently of renal function, hypertension, and diabetes. *Circulation*. 2004;110(1):32–5.
- Ishii S, Shimizu T. Platelet-activating factor (PAF) receptor and genetically engineered PAF receptor mutant mice. *Prog Lipid Res*. 2000;39(1):41–82.
- Montrucchio G, Alloati G, Camussi G. Role of platelet-activating factor in cardiovascular pathophysiology. *Physiol Rev*. 2000;80(4):1669–99.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.