Table 1. Continued

Variable	Short Arm (7 Days) (N = 91 Patients)	Long Arm (14 Days) (N = 82 Patients)	Risk Difference (95% CI)	P Value
New clinically or microbiologically documented infection	29 (31.9)	21 (25.6)	0.803 (0.499 to 1.293)	.404
Functional capacity needs assistance/dependent in ADL or bedridden at 30 days	24 (27.6)	23 (29.5)	−1.6 (−14.9 to 11.6)	.863
Resistance development	5 (5.5)	10 (12.2)	−6.7 (−15.1 to 1.7)	.175
Time to return to baseline activity in weeks (90 days)	3 (0–12), 60 pts	3 (1–12), 56 pts	...	.591
Total hospital days (90 days from randomization)—survivors	5 (1–12)	4 (1–10.3)	...	.195
Total hospital days (90 days from randomization)—all	5 (1–11), 80 pts	3 (1–10), 73 pts	...	.426
Duration of appropriate antibiotic therapy for bacteremia	7 (7–8)	14 (14–14)	...	<.001
Total antibiotic days from culture collection to day 90 postrandomization	14 (9–20), 80 pts	16 (15–25), 73 pts	...	<.001
Acute kidney injury	6 (6.6)	4 (4.9)	1.7 (−5.2 to 8.6)	.750
Liver function abnormalities	4 (4.4)	1 (1.2)	3.1 (−1.6 to 8.0)	.371
Diarrhea during hospital stay	2 (2.2)	4 (4.9)	−2.6 (−8.2 to 2.8)	.424
Diarrhea until day 90	9 (9.9)	10 (12.2)	−2.3 (−1.2 to 7.0)	.637
Rash	1 (1.1)	1 (1.2)	−0.1 (−3.3 to 3.0)	1
<i>Clostridium difficile</i> infection	0	0	...	...

Continuous data are presented in median (interquartile range); categorical data are presented in number (percentage).

Abbreviations: ADL, activities of daily living; CI, confidence interval; pts, patients; SOFA, Sequential Organ Failure Assessment score; spp., species.

^aImmunosuppression – any immunosuppressive drugs, including prednisone ≥20mg/d or equivalent.

^bUrinary device – including urinary catheter, nephrostomy tube or double J catheter.

these patients. The primary composite outcome at 90 days was experienced by 49 of 91 patients (53.8%) in the 7-day arm versus 39 of 82 patients (47.6%) in the 14-day arm (RD, 6.2%; 95% CI, −8.6% to 21.2%), exceeding the noninferiority margin of 10% planned for the original trial. The difference stemmed mainly from rehospitalizations: 43 of 91 patients (47.3%) in the 7-day arm versus 35 of 82 patients (42.7%) in the 14-day arm (RD, 4.5%; 95% CI, −10.2% to 19.3%). Relapse of bacteremia occurred in 5 patients in each group. Relapse of UTI without bacteremia was not evaluated in our trial. Mortality at 90 days was without significant difference (11 of 91 patients [12.1%] in the 7-day arm vs 9 of 82 patients [11.0%] in the 14-day arm; RD, 1.1%; 95% CI, −8.4% to 10.6%).

This post hoc subgroup analysis is limited by the small sample size, as reflected by the wide CIs. In addition, relapse of UTI specifically was not addressed in the original study, limiting our interpretation of results. Further randomized controlled trials are needed.

Note

Potential conflicts of interest. The authors: No reported conflicts of interest. All authors

have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

Dafna Yahav,^{1,2} Cristina Mussini,³ Leonard Leibovici,^{2,4} and Mical Paul⁵

¹Infectious Diseases Unit, Rabin Medical Center, Beilinson Hospital, Petah-Tikva, and ²Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv, Israel; ³Clinic of Infectious Diseases, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Italy; ⁴Department of Medicine E, Rabin Medical Center, Beilinson Hospital, Petah-Tikva, and ⁵Infectious Diseases Institute, Rambam Health Care Campus, The Ruth and Bruce Rappaport Faculty of Medicine, Technion–Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa

References

- De Greef J, Doyen L, Henrard S. Should we treat bacteremic prostatitis for 7 days? Clin Infect Dis.
- Yahav D, Franceschini E, Koppel F, et al. Seven versus fourteen days of antibiotic therapy for uncomplicated gram-negative bacteremia: a non-inferiority randomized controlled trial. Clin Infect Dis 2018. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciy1054.
- van Nieuwkoop C, van der Starre WE, Stalenhoef JE, et al. Treatment duration of febrile urinary tract infection: a pragmatic randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled non-inferiority trial in men and women. BMC Med 2017; 15:70.
- Drekonja DM, Rector TS, Cutting A, et al. Urinary tract infection in male veterans: treatment patterns and outcomes. JAMA Intern Med 2013; 173:62–8.

Correspondence: D. Yahav, Infectious Diseases Unit, Rabin Medical Center, Beilinson Hospital, 39 Jabotinsky Road, Petah-Tikva, 49100, Israel (dafna.yahav@gmail.com).

Clinical Infectious Diseases® 2020;70(2):751–3
© The Author(s) 2019. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For permissions, e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com. DOI: 10.1093/cid/ciz393

Change in Plasma Cryptococcal Antigen Titer Is Not Associated With Survival Among Human Immunodeficiency Virus–infected Persons Receiving Preemptive Therapy for Asymptomatic Cryptococcal Antigenemia

To THE EDITOR—Current World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines recommend cryptococcal antigen (CrAg) screening in blood among those human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)–infected persons not receiving effective antiretroviral therapy (ART), with CD4 values <100 cells/μL, and to consider testing those not on ART with CD4 values between 100–200 cells/μL [1]. This recommendation is based on prior studies demonstrating that a “screen-and-treat” program identifying CrAg-positive persons and giving preemptive fluconazole therapy, in combination with an ART adherence intervention, prevents invasive cryptococcal disease and death [2].

There has been growing interest in the utility of serum/plasma CrAg as a prognostic tool in cryptococcal infection [3]. In 4 cohorts, patients with asymptomatic cryptococcal antigenemia and baseline serum/plasma titers of

Figure 1. Change in plasma cryptococcal antigen over weeks of fluconazole therapy among those with asymptomatic antigenemia. Each plot displays the CrAg titer values for individual patients at enrollment (0 weeks) and at a 6-week follow-up. *A–C*, Those who survived to a 6-month follow-up, randomly distributed into 3 plots to allow better visualizations of individual responses. *D*, Those who did not survive to a 6-month follow-up. The median CrAg titer at 26 weeks was 40 (interquartile range 10–180) among all those who survived to 26 weeks. Abbreviation: CrAg, cryptococcal antigen.

≥1:160 experienced worse outcomes [3]. Those with baseline serum/plasma titers of ≤1:80 have an 80% chance of survival to 6 months, those with titers of

1:160–1:2560 have a 66% survival rate, and those with titers of ≥1:2560 have a 45% survival rate [3]. While higher titers portend worse outcomes, the value

of monitoring sequential serum/plasma CrAg titers in asymptomatic CrAg-positive patients is unclear. In 2 cryptococcal meningitis cohorts, changes

in cerebrospinal fluid CrAg titers were unrelated to outcomes [4, 5]. This relationship between titers and prognoses have not been explored in asymptomatic cryptococcal antigenemia.

The data presented here, part of the work performed by the Operational Research for Cryptococcal Antigen Screening (ORCAS) study team from July 2012–December 2014, represent a cohort of HIV-infected, ART-naïve Ugandans with CD4 values <100 cells/μL [6]. Exclusion criteria were overt signs of meningitis or cerebrospinal fluid being CrAg positive. Newly enrolled patients received a lab-based reflexive plasma CrAg lateral flow assay (LFA; Immy Inc., Norman, OK) if their CD4 value was <100 cells/μL. Eligible CrAg-positive patients received fluconazole therapy (800 mg/d for 2 weeks, followed by 400 mg/d for 8 weeks), per the WHO rapid advice at the time. ART was initiated after 2 weeks of fluconazole therapy. Patients were included in these analyses if they survived at least 6 weeks.

We measured plasma CrAg LFA at 0, 6, and 26 weeks of fluconazole therapy. Figure 1 displays the baseline and 6-week titers among those surviving to 6 months ($n = 107$; Figure 1A–C) and among those who survived at least 6 weeks but died prior to 26 weeks ($n = 7$; Figure 1D). There was no association between changes in baseline to 6-week titers and the chance of survival to 26 weeks, based on Mann-Whitney U-test analysis ($P = .60$). Among participants who died between 6 and 26 weeks, there was a median 2-fold increase in titers (interquartile range [IQR], 2-fold decrease to 4-fold increase). Visually, one can appreciate the variability on an individual patient level. Among 26-week survivors, there was a median of no change in titers (IQR, 4-fold decrease to 2-fold increase). Even among those whose titers increased between baseline and 6 weeks, the positive predictive value for death was low for a 2-fold (16%, 4/25) or 4-fold (18%, 3/17) increase. There was no statistically significant relationship between the chance

of survival to 26 weeks and a change in CrAg LFA titers from baseline to 6 weeks among HIV-infected persons with asymptomatic cryptococcal antigenemia receiving fluconazole preemptive therapy. Among asymptomatic CrAg-positive patients enrolled in the ORCAS study, longitudinal plasma CrAg titers are not beneficial during fluconazole therapy.

Notes

Disclaimer. The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the official position of the funding agencies.

Financial support. This work was supported by the President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR) through the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (grant number U01GH000517).

Potential conflicts of interest. M. F. P., D. R. B., D. B. M., E. N., and R. R. have received grant support from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases. All other authors report no potential conflicts. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

Matthew F. Pullen,¹ Francis Kakooza,² Elizabeth Nalintya,² Agnes N. Kiragga,² Bozena M. Morawski,¹ Radha Rajasingham,¹ Anthony Mubiru,³ Yukari C. Manabe,⁴ Jonathan E. Kaplan,³ David B. Meya,^{1,2,5a} and David R. Boulware^{1a}, for the Operational Research for Cryptococcal Antigen Screening (ORCAS) Study Team

¹Division of Infectious Diseases and International Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis; ²Department of Research, Infectious Diseases Institute, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda; ³Division of Global Human Immunodeficiency Virus and Tuberculosis, Center for Global Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia; ⁴Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland; and ⁵Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, College of Health Sciences, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda

References

- World Health Organization. Guidelines for the diagnosis, prevention and management of cryptococcal disease in HIV-infected adults, adolescents and children. Available at: <http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/guidelines/cryptococcal-disease/en/>. Accessed 1 April 2018.
- Mfinanga S, Chanda D, Kivuyo SL, et al; REMSTART trial team. Cryptococcal meningitis screening and community-based early adherence support in people with advanced HIV infection starting antiretroviral therapy in Tanzania and Zambia: an open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2015; 385:2173–82.
- Rajasingham R, Wake RM, Beyene T, Katende A, Letang E, Boulware DR. Cryptococcal meningitis diagnostics and screening in the era of

point-of-care laboratory testing. *J Clin Microbiol* 2019; 57. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01238-18.

- Aberg JA, Watson J, Segal M, Chang LW. Clinical utility of monitoring serum cryptococcal antigen (sCRAG) titers in patients with AIDS-related cryptococcal disease. *HIV Clin Trials* 2000; 1:1–6.
- Kabanda T, Siedner MJ, Klausner JD, Muzoora C, Boulware DR. Point-of-care diagnosis and prognostication of cryptococcal meningitis with the cryptococcal antigen lateral flow assay on cerebrospinal fluid. *Clin Infect Dis* 2014; 58:113–6.
- Meya DB, Kiragga AN, Nalintya E, et al. Reflexive laboratory-based cryptococcal antigen screening and preemptive fluconazole therapy for cryptococcal antigenemia in HIV-infected individuals with CD4 <100 cells/μL: a stepped-wedge, cluster-randomized trial. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2019; 80:182–9.

^aD. B. M. and D. R. B. contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence: M. F. Pullen, Division of Infectious Diseases and International Medicine, University of Minnesota, 420 Delaware St SE, MMC 250, Minneapolis, MN 55455 (pullen@umn.edu).

Clinical Infectious Diseases® 2020;70(2):353–5

© The Author(s) 2019. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For permissions, e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com. DOI: 10.1093/cid/ciz418

Letter to the Editor

TO THE EDITOR—We read with interest the recent publication by Rolfes et al regarding the influenza vaccine efficacy (VE) during the 2017–2018 season [1]. The authors used a test negative design to estimate VE in a population of 8436 participants aged ≥6 months seeking care for an influenza like illness (Figure 1). The study concluded that despite an adjusted VE of 38%, the vaccine prevented 7.1 million illnesses and 3.7 million medical visits. The availability of such information is critical in provaccination efforts; however, the way the information is presented to the lay public is of utmost importance.

The medical community has watched anxiously as outbreaks of vaccine-preventable diseases in the United States have occurred over the past decade [2, 3]. This highlights the communication gap between medical providers and patients regarding the benefits of vaccination. Although statistics such as VE are important for historical and epidemiologic comparisons, VE statistics are directly quoted from medical literature and presented to the lay public out of context [4–6]. For example, a headline released on the same day as an interim report of