Original research article

Radiation therapy for bone-only metastases in breast cancer patients: A GOCO survey of current clinical practice

Marta Bonet^{a,*}, Virginia García^a, Núria Farré^b, Manel Algara^c, Blanca Farrús^d,
Jaume Fernandez^e, Victoria Reyes^f, Arancha Eraso^g, Ana Álvarez^h, Maria José Cambraⁱ,
Agustí Pedro^j, Jordi Vayreda^k, Claire Lemansky^l, Françoise Izar^m,
Meritxell Arenasⁿ, Grup Oncològic Català Occità (GOCO Group)

^a Department of Radiation Oncology, Hospital Universitari Arnau de Vilanova, Lleida, Spain

^b Department of Radiation Oncology, Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, Barcelona, Spain

^c Department of Radiation Oncology, Parc de Salut Mar, Barcelona, Spain

^d Department of Radiation Oncology, Hospital Clínic, Barcelona, Spain

^e Department of Radiation Oncology, Hospital Quirón, Barcelona, Spain

^f Department of Radiation Oncology, Hospital de la Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain

^g Department of Radiation Oncology, Institut Català d'Oncologia - Hospital Duran i Reynals, Barcelona, Spain

^h Department of Radiation Oncology, Institut Català d'Oncologia - Hospital Germans Trias i Pujol, Badalona, Spain

ⁱ Hospital General de Catalunya, Institut Oncològic del Vallès, Sant Cugat, Spain

^j Department of Radiation Oncology, Hospital Plató, Barcelona, Spain

^k Department of Radiation Oncology, Institut Català d'Oncologia - Hospital Josep Trueta, Girona, Spain

^l Department of Radiation Oncology, Institut de Cancérologie de Montpellier - Val d'Aurelle, University of Montpellier, France

^m Department of Radiation Oncology, Institut Universitaire du Cancer de Toulouse, Toulouse, France

ⁿ Department of Radiation Oncology, Hospital Universitari Sant Joan de Reus, Tarragona, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 16 January 2019

Received in revised form 17 October 2019

Accepted 18 December 2019

Available online 20 December 2019

Keywords:

Bone metastases
Oligometastases
Breast cancer
Radiation therapy
SBRT

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The role of radiation therapy (RT) for patients with bone-only metastatic (BOM) breast cancer has not been investigated sufficiently. The aim of this survey was to evaluate current clinical practice in treating breast cancer patients with BOM in Radiation Therapy Departments in Catalonia and Occitania within the scope of the GOCO group.

Materials and methods: An electronic questionnaire was completed by experienced radiation oncologists from fourteen RT centers. The items surveyed the professional experience, therapeutic approach, technique, dose stereotactic body RT (SBRT) availability.

Results: All Radiation Oncology Departments (ROD) in Catalonia (12) and Occitania (2) responded to the survey. Eleven (78.5%) of the RODs advise RT for BOM as initial treatment in the oligometastatic setting. RT to asymptomatic bone oligometastases is more often restricted for "risky lesions". The most inconsistent approaches were the treatment for asymptomatic lesions, when to treat bone metastases with respect to systemic treatment (ST) and the indication for RT after a complete response to ST.

Conclusion: While BOM breast cancer patients have a relatively good prognosis, there is a lack of consistency in their approach with RT. This can be explained by the absence of evidence-based guidelines and an incomplete availability of SBRT.

© 2019 Greater Poland Cancer Centre. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Bone-only metastasis (BOM) is a term that means the presence of bone metastasis without evidence of any other organ involvement.^{1–4} However, there is limited information about the

optimal management and clinical outcome of BOM in breast cancer patients.

Bone is the most common site of breast cancer metastases and of first distant relapse particularly for patients with Luminal A and B/Her2 negative disease,^{5,6} and in a 75% bone metastases are associated with skeletal-related events.⁷ In addition, BOM occurs in 17–37% of patients with distant relapses,⁸ and is known to be a factor associated with improved survival compared to visceral metastases.^{9–11}

* Corresponding author at: Hospital Universitari Arnau de Vilanova, Department of Radiation Oncology, Av. Alcalde Rovira Roure, 80, 25198 Lleida, Spain.

E-mail address: mabonet.lleida.ics@gencat.cat (M. Bonet).

Questionnaire (clinical questions):

- Years of experience: <5/5-10/10-15/15-20/>20
- Number of patients treated per year: <100/100-200/200-300/300-400/>400
- Do you usually treat oligometastatic patients? Yes/no
- Do you treat asymptomatic bone-metastases in the oligometastatic setting?
Yes/no/only if risky lesions
- In your opinion, what is the best time to treat bone-metastases in the oligometastatic setting? After systemic treatment and reevaluation/ before systemic treatment/ before systemic treatment only in case of symptomatic lesions
- In case of the patient has started with systemic treatment and presents a clinical complete response, what do you do? We won't treat now/ We will treat anyway
- You have decided to treat the aforementioned patient. What kind of radiotherapy will you perform? We will perform a SBRT/ we indicate SBRT but is not available at my institution/we will perform a hypofractionated standard RT/ we will perform a normofractionated standard RT

Fig. 1. Clinical questions of the survey.

Radiotherapy (RT) is an effective palliative treatment of bone metastases with the most common dose schedules being 30 Gy in 10 fractions, 20 Gy in 5 fractions or a single dose of 8 Gy. However, since the concept of oligometastases was proposed, various studies over the last decade have reported on the benefits of local modalities in terms of local control of metastatic lesions, progression-free survival and overall survival.^{12–14} So, in selected cases with small-volume and limited metastasis, it is possible to perform stereotactic body RT (SBRT) that delivers an ablative single fraction or a small number of high-dose fractions that, in the case of bone metastases, has usually been reserved for lesions located in the spine.¹⁵ Nevertheless, the role of RT (either conventional or SBRT) for patients with BOM breast cancer has not been investigated sufficiently and recent cancer treatment guidelines on diagnosis and treatment of bone metastasis do not consider this distinctive situation.^{16,17}

So, the aim of our survey was to evaluate clinical practice in treating breast cancer patients with BOM – particularly in the oligometastatic setting – among RT centers from Catalonia and Occitania (Languedoc-Roussillon and Midi-Pyrénées) forming part of the *Grup Oncològic Català-Occità* (GOCO Group).

2. Materials and methods

A brief electronic questionnaire was sent to fourteen Radiation Oncology Departments (ROD) within the scope of the GOCO group (Hospital Universitari Arnau de Vilanova, Lleida, Spain; Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, Barcelona, Spain; Parc de Salut Mar, Barcelona, Spain; Hospital Clínic, Barcelona, Spain; Hospital Quirón, Barcelona, Spain; Hospital de la Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain; Institut Català d'Oncologia - Hospital Duran i Reynals, Barcelona, Spain; Institut Català d'Oncologia - Hospital Germans Trias i Pujol, Badalona, Spain; Hospital General de Catalunya, Institut Oncològic del Vallès, Sant Cugat, Spain; Hospital Plató, Barcelona, Spain; Institut Català d'Oncologia - Hospital Josep Trueta, Girona, Spain; Institut de Cancèrologie de Montpellier - Val d'Aurelle, University of Montpellier, France; Institut Universitaire du Cancer de Toulouse, Toulouse, France; Hospital Universitari Sant Joan de Reus, Tarragona, Spain) between January 2017 and May 2018.

The questionnaires were completed by 15 Radiation Oncologists experienced in breast cancer.

The following items were surveyed: professional experience (2 questions), therapeutic approach (4 questions), technique and dose (2 questions) and SBRT availability (2 questions) (Fig. 1).

Responses were tabulated and descriptive statistics are provided.

Table 1

More relevant responses to the survey. RT: radiation therapy. SBRT: stereotactic body RT.

Question:	n	%
Do you consider RT for oligometastases?		
Yes, and we treat ourselves	11	78,57%
Yes, but we refer to another centre	2	14,29%
No	1	7,14%
Do you consider for RT asymptomatic bone lesions?		
Only for "risky lesions"	7	50,00%
Always	2	14,29%
In a clinical trial	2	14,29%
None of the above	2	14,29%
Availability for SBRT		
Yes	10	71,43%
No	4	28,57%
If SBRT available, which locations can you treat?		
Lung, brain, liver	4	57,14%
Lung, brain, liver, bone (includes spinal)	5	71,43%
Only lung	1	14,29%

3. Results

All RODs in Catalonia (12) and Occitania (2) responded to the survey. The majority of the surveyed Radiation Oncologists had more than 20 years' experience. Half of the RODs see at least 300 breast cancer patients per year. Eleven (78.5%) RODs advised RT for oligometastases and are able to treat those patients at their institution (without referral to another institution). In only one ROD, RT for oligometastases is not yet considered as the first approach. RT to asymptomatic bone oligometastases is mainly restricted for "risky lesions", such as the risk of fractures or the risk of cord compression (Table 1). The availability for SBRT was only 50%, although the technique is being implemented in some centers at the time of this writing.

The most inconsistent approaches were: the RT indication for asymptomatic bone metastases, the best time to treat those lesions (before or after systemic treatment), and the indication for RT to patients that are in a CR after systemic treatment (ST) in a consolidative approach. Controversy in clinical practice is summarized in Figs. 2 and 3.

4. Discussion

The treatment of BOM among experienced Radiation Oncologists varied greatly in our survey. While it is known that patients

Fig. 2. More inconsistent responses between experienced Radiation Oncologists. PST: primary systemic treatment.

Fig. 3. Management flow for bone metastatic breast cancer patients based on the survey. The dashed line symbolizes the subjects of controversy. ST: systemic therapy. OM: oligometastases. CR: complete response. PR: partial response. RT: radiotherapy. EBRT: external beam radiotherapy. SBRT: stereotactic body radiotherapy.

with BOM have a better prognosis^{1,9,10} and might be a distinct clinical entity among metastatic breast cancer patients, there is still a lack of consistency in the approach to treatment of these patients.

A complete response (CR) to ST has been shown to correlate with prolonged survival.¹⁸ Patients with locally advanced disease that achieve a CR after neoadjuvant ST seem to benefit from post-mastectomy radiation therapy in terms of distant metastasis free survival, cancer-specific survival and overall survival.¹⁹ However, little is known if there is a role for consolidative irradiation to the metastases after ST. In the present survey, the majority of Radiation Oncologists prefer to start with a ST and save RT for symptomatic bone lesions. Only a minority recommend RT in a consolidative

approach after a CR obtained with systemic therapy. While there is no evidence to support consolidative RT after obtaining a CR, some authors have suggested a potential benefit. Milano et al.^{20,21} published a series of 121 oligometastatic patients treated with SBRT. The study reported that patients with radiographic progression after systemic therapy at the time of being referred for SBRT fared significantly worse than patients with a stable or regressing disease. However, only 19 breast cancer patients showed a response or stable disease, of which only some received RT as a consolidation. Therefore, the benefit of consolidative RT after a CR to systemic therapy needs to be explored in further studies.

Palliative RT to bone metastases is well accepted as an effective treatment to ease pain and improve the quality of life of patients with metastatic disease. While the most common fractionation schedules of conventional RT are 30 Gy in 10 fractions, 20 Gy in 5 fractions and 8 Gy in 1 fraction, doses of 50 Gy or higher seem to improve local control.²² So, the use of SBRT for bone metastases is encouraging although it is a more complex technique and is more often reserved for treatment of spinal bone metastases. Milano et al.²⁰ reported the outcomes of 40 selected metastatic breast cancer patients with fewer than 5 extracranial lesions, showing a 4-year overall survival of 59%, and a local lesion control of 89%. The RACOST randomized trial in the Netherlands²³ is comparing a conventional single dose of 8 Gy with a single SBRT dose of 20 Gy for pain reduction and quality of life for patients with spinal metastases. The results of that study are yet to be published.

Patients with BOM disease, which accounts for 41% of metastatic bone lesions that can be at present diagnosed with contemporary tools, deserve special consideration.²⁴ Either after conventional RT or SBRT, these patients have a more favorable outcome compared to patients with multiple metastases or with other metastatic sites.^{21,22,24} In a series of 565 bone metastatic patients¹ with a median number of involved bones of 2 (range, 1–5), radiation therapy was delivered to a 13%. In the study, patients with single bone metastasis compared to those with multiple metastases showed a better progression free-survival (24 vs. 14 months respectively, $p=0.002$) and a better overall survival as well (79 vs. 50 months, $p=0.005$). Therefore, we suggest that SBRT should be considered suitable in these cases. The promising results of the study SABR-COMET, where breast cancer patients accounted for almost a quarter of the included patients and most of them having 1–3 metastatic locations,²⁵ has shown that patients treated with SBRT improved their median overall survival from 28 months to 41 months ($p=0.09$) as well as progression-free survival (6.0 vs. 12.0 months, $p=0.001$). Moreover, the CORE study²⁶ is a randomized controlled trial being conducted in the UK for patients with oligometastatic disease (up to 3 metastatic sites) from breast, prostate and non-small cell lung cancers. The study will evaluate whether the addition of SBRT to standard therapy improves progression-free survival outcomes in patients with a limited burden of oligometastatic disease.

Another issue of particular interest will be the introduction of emerging therapies such as immunotherapy in metastatic breast cancer patients in combination with RT. Particularly SBRT would

have the potential to stimulate a tumor-specific immune response and/or generate an abscopal effect. While the optimum sequence of RT and immunotherapy remains to be found, the combination in the oligometastatic setting should be explored.²⁷

Finally, our survey has revealed that only 71% of RODs have implemented SBRT techniques. The ESTRO-HERO survey reported that in 2014 access to RT equipment varied greatly across Europe between countries²⁸ and, especially, in low-income countries and mostly in Southern and Central-Eastern Europe there is a limited access to modern RT equipment. This calls for more effort to improve equity and accessibility of patients to high technology equipment in order to provide the best possible services to patients.²⁹

5. Conclusion

This survey shows that there is a lack of consistency and insufficient evidence for the best therapeutic approach to treatment of breast cancer patients with BOM, although SBRT appears to be the most promising and deserving of future development. While a local radical treatment might be suitable for solitary metastases, only 70% of the RODs are fully equipped to perform SBRT. This calls for further studies in the field, and more effort to make SBRT more generally available.

Conflict of interest

None declared.

Financial disclosure

None declared.

Acknowledgements

We deep appreciate Angel Montero and Philip Poortmans for their help in this work. We also thank Magda Font and Kathryn Gibson for their language support.

References

- Lee SJ, Park S, Ahn HK, et al. Implications of bone-only metastases in breast cancer: favorable preference with excellent outcomes of hormone receptor positive breast cancer. *Cancer Res Treat*. 2011;43(2):89–95, <http://dx.doi.org/10.4143/crt.2011.43.2.89>.
- Parkes A, Clifton K, Al-Awadhi A, et al. Characterization of bone only metastasis patients with respect to tumor subtypes. *NPJ Breast Cancer*. 2018;4:2, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41523-018-0054-x>, eCollection on 2018 Jan 25.
- Yong M, Jensen AØ, Jacobsen JB, Nørgaard M, Fryzek JP, Sørensen HT. Survival in breast cancer patients with bone metastases and skeletal-related events: a population-based cohort study in Denmark (1999–2007). *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2011;129(2):495–503, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10549-011-1475-5>.
- Niikura N, Liu J, Hayashi N, et al. Treatment outcome and prognostic factors for patients with bone-only metastases of breast cancer: a single-institution retrospective analysis. *Oncologist*. 2011;16(2):155–164, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1634/theoncologist.2010-0350>.
- Coleman RE, Rubens RD. The clinical course of bone metastases from breast cancer. *Br J Cancer*. 1987;55(1):61–66.
- Kast K, Link T, Friedrich K, et al. Impact of breast cancer subtypes and patterns of metastasis on outcome. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2015;150(3):621–629, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10549-015-3341-3>.
- Ibrahim T, Mercatali L, Amadori D. A new emergency in oncology: bone metastases in breast cancer patients (review). *Oncol Lett*. 2013;6(2):306–310, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3892/ol.2013.1372>.
- Di Lascio S, Pagani O. Oligometastatic breast cancer: a shift from palliative to potentially curative treatment? *Breast Care (Basel)*. 2014;9(1):7–14, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000358750>.
- Largillier R, Ferrero J-M, Doyen J, et al. Prognostic factors in 1,038 women with metastatic breast cancer. *Ann Oncol*. 2008;19(12):2012–2019, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdn424>.
- Solomayer EF, Diel IJ, Meyberg GC, Gollan C, Bastert G. Metastatic breast cancer: clinical course, prognosis and therapy related to the first site of metastasis. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2000;59(3):271–278.
- Soran A, Ozmen V, Ozbas S, et al. Randomized trial comparing resection of primary tumor with no surgery in stage IV breast cancer at presentation: protocol MF07-01. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2018;25(11):3141–3149, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1245/s10434-018-6494-6>.
- Weichselbaum RR, Hellman S. Oligometastases revisited. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol*. 2011;8(6):378–382, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrclinonc.2011.44>.
- Hong JC, Salama JK. The expanding role of stereotactic body radiation therapy in oligometastatic solid tumors: what do we know and where are we going? *Cancer Treat Rev*. 2017;52:22–32, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2016.11.003>.
- Ahmed KA, Torres-Roca JF. Stereotactic body radiotherapy in the management of oligometastatic disease. *Cancer Control*. 2016;23(1):21–29.
- Guckenberger M, Mantel F, Gerszten PC, et al. Safety and efficacy of stereotactic body radiotherapy as primary treatment for vertebral metastases: a multi-institutional analysis. *Radiat Oncol*. 2014;9:226, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13014-014-0226-2>.
- Shibata H, Kato S, Sekine I, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of bone metastasis: comprehensive guideline of the Japanese Society of Medical Oncology, Japanese Orthopedic Association, Japanese Urological Association, and Japanese Society for Radiation Oncology. *ESMO Open*. 2016;1(2):e000037, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/esmoopen-2016-000037>.
- Lutz S, Balboni T, Jones J, et al. Palliative radiation therapy for bone metastases: update of an ASTRO evidence-based guideline. *Pract Radiat Oncol*. 2017;7(1):4–12, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.prro.2016.08.001>.
- Bishop AJ, Ensor J, Moulder SL, et al. Prognosis for patients with metastatic breast cancer who achieve a no-evidence-of-disease status after systemic or local therapy. *Cancer*. 2015;121(24):4324–4332, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cncr.29681>.
- McGuire SE, Gonzalez-Angulo AM, Huang EH, et al. Postmastectomy radiation improves the outcome of patients with locally advanced breast cancer who achieve a pathologic complete response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol*. 2007;68(4):1004–1009, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2007.01.023>.
- Milano MT, Zhang H, Metcalfe SK, Muhs AG, Okunieff P. Oligometastatic breast cancer treated with curative-intent stereotactic body radiation therapy. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2009;115(3):601–608, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10549-008-0157-4>.
- Milano MT, Katz AW, Zhang H, Okunieff P. Oligometastases treated with stereotactic body radiotherapy: long-term follow-up of prospective study. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2012;83(3):878–886, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2011.08.036>.
- Yoo GS, Yu II J, Park W, Huh SJ, Choi DH. Prognostic factors in breast cancer with extracranial oligometastases and the appropriate role of radiation therapy. *Radiat Oncol J*. 2015;33(4):301–309, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3857/roj.2015.33.4.301>.
- University R. Conventional with stereotactic radiotherapy for pain reduction and quality of life in spinal metastases (RACOST); 2015. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02407795>, [Accessed 1 January 2017].
- Koizumi M, Yoshimoto M, Kasumi F, Ogata E. Comparison between solitary and multiple skeletal metastatic lesions of breast cancer patients. *Ann Oncol*. 2003;14(8):1234–1240.
- Palma DA, Olson RA, Harrow S, et al. Stereotactic ablative radiation therapy for the comprehensive treatment of oligometastatic tumors (SABR-COMET): results of a randomized trial. *Int J Radiat Oncol*. 2018;102(3):S3–S4, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2018.06.105>.
- Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust. Conventional care versus radioablation (stereotactic body radiotherapy) for extracranial oligometastases (CORE). Available in: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02759783>, [Cited 1 January 2017].
- Tsoutsou PG, Zaman K, Martin Llesma S, Cagnon L, Kandalaf L, Vozenin M-C. Emerging opportunities of radiotherapy combined with immunotherapy in the era of breast cancer heterogeneity. *Front Oncol*. 2018;8, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2018.00609>.
- Grau C, Defourny N, Malicki J, et al. Radiotherapy equipment and departments in the European countries: final results from the ESTRO-HERO survey. *Radiation Oncol*. 2014;112(2):155–164, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2014.08.029>.
- Atun R, Jaffray DA, Barton MB, et al. Expanding global access to radiotherapy. *Lancet Oncol*. 2015;1153–1186, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045\(15\)00222-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(15)00222-3).