Published in final edited form as:

Supramol Chem. 2019 ; 31(8): 485–490. doi:10.1080/10610278.2019.1616089.

ZIF-8 Degrades in Cell Media, Serum, and Some—But Not All—Common Laboratory Buffers

Michael A. Luzuriaga[†], Candace E. Benjamin[†], Michael W. Gaertner[†], Hamilton Lee[†], Fabian C. Herbert[†], Snipita Mallick[†], Jeremiah J. Gassensmith^{*,†,‡}

[†]Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The University of Texas at Dallas, 800 West Campbell Road, Richardson, Texas 75080, United States

[‡]Department of Biomedical Engineering, The University of Texas at Dallas, 800 West Campbell Road, Richardson, Texas 75080, United States

Abstract

The emergence of drug delivery using water stable metal-organic frameworks has elicited a lot of interest in their biocompatibility. However, few studies have been conducted on their stability in common buffers, cell media, and blood proteins. For these studies, single crystal ZIF-8 approximately 1 μm in diameter were synthesized, incubated with common laboratory buffers, cell media, and serum, and then characterized by PXRD, IR, DLS, and SEM. Time-resolved SEM and PXRD demonstrate that buffers containing phosphate and bicarbonate alter the appearance and composition of ZIF-8; however, cargo inside the ZIF-8 does not appear to leak out, in most of these buffers, even when the ZIF-8 itself is displaced by phosphates. On the other hand, blood proteins in serum dissolve ZIF-8, causing trapped biomolecules to escape. The study presented here suggests that ZIF-8 can undergo dramatic surface chemistry changes that may affect the interpretation of cellular uptake and cargo release data. On the other hand, it provides a rational explanation as to how ZIF-8 neatly dissolves *in vivo*.

Graphical Abstract

*Corresponding author's email: Gassensmith@utdallas.edu.

Author Contributions

Primary manuscript writing and editing was done by M.A.L. and J.J.G. SEM, PXRD, and EDX was performed by M.A.L. ICP-MS studies was done by C.E.B. DLS was done by H.L. IR spectroscopy was done by F.H.C. GFP expression, purification, and characterization was done by C.E.B. and S.M. The synthesis of ZIF-8 and fluorescence release studies were done by M.A.L. and M.W.G. The buffers were made by M.W.G., M.A.L., and C.E.B.

Declaration of Interest Statement

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Keywords

metal-organic frameworks; MOF; zeolitic imidazole frameworks; ZIFs; in vitro; in vivo; biocompatibility

Introduction

Over the preceding two decades, metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) have been proposed for a number of industrial applications in catalysis,(1,2) and separations(3–5) and have more recently emerged as promising materials for biological sensing(6) and drug delivery.(7–9) The applications for drug delivery have run from proposed systematic delivery of small molecules to the slow release of proteins *in vivo*.(10,11) A significant number of these MOFs in drug delivery have focused on two hydrolytically stable systems based on either zeolitic imidazole framework-8 (ZIF-8),(8,9,12) a coordination polymer composed of methyl-imidazole (HMIM) ligands bound to zinc that self-assembles into a zeolitic

topological net, or zirconium-based systems, which use inorganic $\text{Zr}_6\text{O}_4(\text{OH})_4$ polynuclear clusters as secondary building units typically linking benzodicarboxylic acid struts.(13–15) While these systems are both well-known for their hydrolytic stability, biological milieu can be quite complex and for *in vivo* or *in vitro* work, a number of aqueous buffered systems are used routinely. Of concern is that several of these buffers contain inorganic anions that can form kinetically trapped complexes with transition metals and MOF stability in buffers has been relatively unexplored.(16) In particular, buffers based on phosphates and bicarbonate are fairly ubiquitous and these salts comprise a significant portion of cell media like Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DME) as well as derivations of that media (DMEM, EMEM, etc). Further, serum contains a substantial amount of albumin, which is a 66.5 kDa protein covered in solvent exposed thiols from externally facing cysteine residues.(17) These residues are well known binders to metals and are a component of metal transport in blood. (18–20)

Our interest in MOFs as potential drug delivery systems has focused on slow-release of large proteins for vaccine or therapeutic delivery by injecting the drug either subdermally or intramuscularly.(21) Our approach has been to grow ZIF-8 non-specifically onto the surface of the proteins,(22,23) which not only thermally stabilizes the inlayed protein but also creates a “slow release” mechanism for the protein to escape after implantation while protecting the protein from biological degradation by elevated temperatures or proteolytic enzymes.(21) In this context, the slow dissolution of the ZIF is a critical component of drug release in that, over time, as the ZIF dissolves, it releases proteins that were “biomimetically” integrated into the framework.

Because our MOF work frequently takes us both *in vivo* and *in vitro*, aqueous buffered solutions and cell media are frequently employed; thus, we sought to determine the stability of ZIF-8 in the most common laboratory buffer systems as well as cell media, serum, and “whole cell media” which is a mixture of cell media and serum. Our investigation revealed that neither phosphate nor carbonate-based buffers are innocent and induce almost immediate morphological changes as well as new and altered reflections in the PXRD pattern following exposure to these buffers. Beyond buffers, we found that serum “burrows” holes into ZIF-8 crystals, the effects of which are imperceptible by PXRD, but cause cargo embedded in the crystalline matrix to leak out. In the context of controlled protein delivery *via* subdermal or intramuscular implantation, neither of these issues are problematic—in contrast, they help explain the protein release we have already observed.(21) On the other hand, *in vitro* cell delivery and systemic delivery of small drugs may be complicated by these observations and the experimentalist should take care to interpret their results in light of these issues.

Result & Discussion

As described in the supporting information and Figure S1, we prepared ~ 1 μm sized ZIF-8 crystals by optimizing the final concentration of zinc acetate and HMIM solutions. Our ZIF-8 sample purity was confirmed by infrared spectroscopy (IR), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and powder x-ray spectroscopy (PXRD). The crystals were not activated with organic solvent, but they were held under dynamic vacuum to remove excess wash

water to dry them out for easier handling. These crystals were then weighed out into individual 15 mL falcon tubes and dispersed in different common lab buffers, which were buffered above pH 7.4. Additionally, we tested unbuffered 0.9% saline, which was measured to be mildly acidic from dissolved carbon dioxide. All samples were placed on a laboratory rotisserie to ensure proper mixing. Several buffers investigated had minimal effect on the apparent morphology of ZIF-8. Specifically, the 0.9% saline, 0.05 M Tris, and 0.025 M HEPES buffered solutions, (Figure S3) yielded little apparent changes over 24 hours by SEM while 1X PBS (phosphate buffered saline), 10X PBS, produced mild changes after several hours. On the other hand, within the first 10 mins, morphological changes—in some cases, significant ones—were apparent by SEM on ZIF-8 that had been placed in 0.1 M bicarbonate buffer, 0.1 M KP buffer, the cell media additive DMEM, bovine serum, and whole cell growth media, which is typically a combination of growth medium (DMEM in our case) with 10% serum. These morphological changes became even more obvious over time as can be seen in Figure 1 and continued until the end of our 24 hours experiment (Figure S2). The changes observed when incubated with DMEM—a commonly used buffered cell growth medium—make sense, as these solutions contain 0.665 mM phosphate and 60.6 mM bicarbonate.

In addition to morphological analysis, all ZIF-8 samples were analyzed by PXRD and EDX. Prior to this, solutions in their respective buffers and media were centrifuged at $4300 \times g$ for 10 min at room temperature. The supernatant was pipetted off, its pH was measured, and free Zn content was analyzed by ICP-MS (Table S1 and Table S2). The remaining pellet was washed three times with water and dried under vacuum for analysis. Changes to the bulk crystals were obvious by PXRD for all the phosphate and bicarbonate containing buffers and media—including DMEM. Because DMEM contains a significant amount of bicarbonate salts (60.6 mM), it is not surprising that incubation in DMEM and bicarbonate show similar PXRD reflections— 11.04° , 18.96° , and $23.92^\circ 2\theta$ —in addition to the known ZIF-8 reflections (Figure 2A).

The 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer (KP), on the other hand, completely eradicated the ZIF-8 replacing it with a different crystalline material altogether. Energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy shows the resulting constituent crystals to likely be zinc and potassium phosphate salts with little HMIM remaining on the surface as noted by the lack of carbon or nitrogen (Figure 2B and S5). Considering the extensive changes with KP buffer, we were surprised that the PBS buffered solutions, which stands for “phosphate buffered saline” showed markedly less changes in the PXRD spectra (Figure S4). While the standard laboratory “recipe” for 1X PBS buffer uses an order of magnitude less phosphate, the 10X PBS buffer has the same phosphate concentration as 0.1 M KP buffer, yet 10X PBS buffers affects were clearly more mild over the same period of time as shown by PXRD (Figure S4). Tentatively, we ascribe this to the high NaCl concentration, which seems to suppress the release of free zinc into solution per inductively coupled mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) data presenting in Table S2.

Surprisingly, despite the apparent morphological changes to crystals left in bovine serum, the PXRD for these samples remained largely unaffected, indicating that the crystallinity of the sample was not significantly altered (Figure 2A). The other buffers that had ZIF-8 were

measured by PXRD and had no obvious changes in the spectrum, meaning that the buffers did not change the crystal (Figure S4). It is clear from ICP-MS data in Table S2 that serum was dissolving and solubilizing the Zn into solution, the most likely culprit being albumin.

Finally, we wondered how these changes to the crystal composition and morphology would affect entrapped biomolecules with the assumption that some of the buffers that cause profound changes to morphology would lead to leaking. For the following study, green fluorescent protein (GFP)—a 27 kDa and barrel-shaped protein: 4.2 nm in length and 2.4 nm in diameter (24)—was biomimetically mineralized within ZIF-8 (Figure 3A) and the synthesized crystal was characterized by PXRD and FTIR (Figure S6 and S7). The crystal conditions were tuned such that the final crystal sizes were similar to the ones created for the preceding work as shown by DLS measurements (Figure S8).^(25,26) GFP@ZIF was individually incubated in the buffers mentioned above and ultrapure water and aqueous 0.5M EDTA—which completely dissolves the ZIF-8—were used as controls. Separate samples were pelleted at 1 h, 4 h, and 24 h by centrifugation and fluorescence measurements of the supernatant were taken. Surprisingly, the only significant release of protein occurred in the serum, while, in all other cases, only a very small release occurred close to the lower limit of detection. The exact amount of GFP released from the crystals can be found in Table S3 (Figure 3B and S9). The observed marginal release of GFP from ZIF-8 incubated in KP, DMEM and 0.1 M bicarbonate with the observed changes in morphology and crystal composition, suggests a double displacement reaction between salts in solution with the ZIF-8 that proceeds with one component—either the ZIF-8 or the salt—always remaining in the solid phase. In other words, even at very small scales, the salt exchange reaction appears to be completely heterogeneous. On the other hand, the GFP leaking from serum is attributable to the fact that, unlike the salts, the Zn is solubilized by serum proteins, thus the ZIF is literally dissolving into solution. This is corroborated by ICP-MS data that shows the supernatant obtained from serum had half the zinc concentration of the supernatant from an EDTA solution, which fully dissolves the ZIF-8. This dissolving of ZIF-8 by blood proteins causes approximately 40% of the GFP to be released within 24 h.

Conclusion

This study shows that ZIF-8 is not stable in certain buffers, even though they are all above pH 7, and that PXRD and electron microscopy studies are both needed together to fully demonstrate this. We have summarized our results to indicate the buffer and cell prep formulations that are “compatible”, “should be used with caution”, and “not compatible” with ZIF-8 in Table 1. The stability seems to be a competition between the coordination bonds of zinc and 2-methylimidazole against strong inorganic and proteinaceous zinc binders, which include bicarbonate and phosphate and surprisingly serum proteins as well. That said, only serum seem to degrade ZIF-8 in such a way that allows significant quantities of GFP to escape. These experiments provide insight on the importance of buffer choice for *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies, storage, and drug delivery formulation.

Further, we are beginning to understand how ZIF-8 fully dissolves at physiological pH *in vivo* and it is clear that blood proteins, will play an important component. We caution that these effects may change when using different sized proteins and we are not sure how

translatable these results are to Zr-based MOFs; however, it is worth pointing out that, under mimicked physiological conditions, the Zr MOF UiO-66 seems to show analogous behavior. (27)

Finally, the changes in surface chemistry from the interactions with cell media clearly suggest that cells are likely not interacting with the surface of ZIF-8—rather, they are interacting with a complicated surface of different formed salts and plasma proteins, this surface composition and morphology clearly depends upon incubation time and cell media choice. Consequently, we recommend experimental controls that include—as appropriate—cell media, buffers, and common plasma proteins to demonstrate morphological and chemical stability for projects that use MOFs for *in vitro* and *in vivo* applications.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Funding

J.J.G. would like to acknowledge the National Science Foundation (DMR1654405), the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT) (RP170752), the National Institute of Health (NIAID, 1R21AI140462), the ACS-PRF (57627-DNI10), and the Welch Foundation (AT-1989–20190330)

References

- (1). Huxley MT; Burgun A; Ghodrati H; Coghlan CJ; Lemieux A; Champness NR; Huang DM; Doonan CJ; Sumbly CJ, Protecting-group-free site-selective reactions in a metal–organic framework reaction vessel. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2018, 140, 6416–6425. [PubMed: 29699391]
- (2). Otake K.-i.; Cui Y; Buru CT; Li Z; Hupp JT; Farha OK, Single-Atom-Based Vanadium Oxide Catalysts Supported on Metal–Organic Frameworks: Selective Alcohol Oxidation and Structure–Activity Relationship. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2018.
- (3). Hayashi H; Côté AP; Furukawa H; O’Keeffe M; Yaghi OM, Zeolite A imidazolate frameworks. *Nat. Mater* 2007, 6, 501–506. [PubMed: 17529969]
- (4). Li L; Yang L; Wang J; Zhang Z; Yang Q; Yang Y; Ren Q; Bao Z, Highly efficient separation of methane from nitrogen on a squarate-based metal–organic framework. *AIChE Journal* 2018, 64, 3681–3689.
- (5). Barter M; Hartley J; Yazigi F-J; Marshall RJ; Forgan RS; Porch A; Jones MO, Simultaneous neutron powder diffraction and microwave dielectric studies of ammonia absorption in metal–organic framework systems. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys* 2018, 20, 10460–10469. [PubMed: 29617020]
- (6). Wang Y; Li X; Waterhouse GIN; Zhou Y; Yin H; Ai S, Photoelectrochemical biosensor for protein kinase A detection based on carbon microspheres, peptide functionalized Au-ZIF-8 and TiO₂/g-C₃N₄. *Talanta* 2019, 196, 197–203. [PubMed: 30683351]
- (7). Zhuang J; Kuo C-H; Chou L-Y; Liu D-Y; Weerapana E; Tsung C-K, Optimized metal–organic-framework nanospheres for drug delivery: evaluation of small-molecule encapsulation. *ACS nano* 2014, 8, 2812–2819. [PubMed: 24506773]
- (8). Zheng H; Zhang Y; Liu L; Wan W; Guo P; Nyström AM; Zou X, One-pot synthesis of metal–organic frameworks with encapsulated target molecules and their applications for controlled drug delivery. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2016, 138, 962–968. [PubMed: 26710234]
- (9). Adhikari C; Das A; Chakraborty A, Zeolitic imidazole framework (ZIF) nanospheres for easy encapsulation and controlled release of an anticancer drug doxorubicin under different external stimuli: a way toward smart drug delivery system. *Mol. Pharmaceutics* 2015, 12, 3158–3166.

- (10). Abánades Lázaro I; Haddad S; Rodrigo-Muñoz JM; Marshall RJ; Sastre B; del Pozo V; Fairen-Jimenez D; Forgan RS, Surface-Functionalization of Zr-Fumarate MOF for Selective Cytotoxicity and Immune System Compatibility in Nanoscale Drug Delivery. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2018, 10, 31146–31157. [PubMed: 30136840]
- (11). Welch RP; Lee H; Luzuriaga MA; Brohlin OR; Gassensmith JJ, Protein–Polymer Delivery: Chemistry from the Cold Chain to the Clinic. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 2018, 29, 2867–2883.
- (12). Chen T-T; Yi J-T; Zhao Y-Y; Chu X, Biom mineralized Metal–Organic Framework Nanoparticles Enable Intracellular Delivery and Endo-Lysosomal Release of Native Active Proteins. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2018.
- (13). Abánades Lázaro I; Abánades Lázaro S; Forgan RS, Enhancing anticancer cytotoxicity through bimodal drug delivery from ultrasmall Zr MOF nanoparticles. *Chem. Commun* 2018, 54, 2792–2795.
- (14). Teplensky MH; Fantham M; Li P; Wang TC; Mehta JP; Young LJ; Moghadam PZ; Hupp JT; Farha OK; Kaminski CF, et al., Temperature Treatment of Highly Porous Zirconium-Containing Metal–Organic Frameworks Extends Drug Delivery Release. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2017, 139, 7522–7532. [PubMed: 28508624]
- (15). Chen Y; Li P; Modica JA; Drout RJ; Farha OK, Acid-Resistant Mesoporous Metal–Organic Framework toward Oral Insulin Delivery: Protein Encapsulation, Protection, and Release. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2018, 140, 5678–5681. [PubMed: 29641892]
- (16). Bellido E; Guillevis M; Hidalgo T; Santander-Ortega MJ; Serre C; Horcajada P, Understanding the Colloidal Stability of the Mesoporous MIL-100(Fe) Nanoparticles in Physiological Media. *Langmuir* 2014, 30, 5911–5920. [PubMed: 24801765]
- (17). Oettl K; Stauber RE, Physiological and pathological changes in the redox state of human serum albumin critically influence its binding properties. *Br. J. Pharmacol* 2007, 151, 580–590. [PubMed: 17471184]
- (18). Lu J; Stewart AJ; Sadler PJ; Pinheiro TJ; Blindauer CA “Albumin as a zinc carrier: properties of its high-affinity zinc-binding site.” Portland Press Limited, 2008.
- (19). Cui S-F; Peng L-P; Zhang H-Z; Rasheed S; Kumar KV; Zhou C-H, Novel hybrids of metronidazole and quinolones: synthesis, bioactive evaluation, cytotoxicity, preliminary antimicrobial mechanism and effect of metal ions on their transportation by human serum albumin. *Eur. J. Med. Chem* 2014, 86, 318–334. [PubMed: 25173851]
- (20). Stewart AJ; Blindauer CA; Berezenko S; Sleep D; Sadler PJ, Interdomain zinc site on human albumin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* 2003, 100, 3701–3706. [PubMed: 12598656]
- (21). Luzuriaga MA; Welch RP; Dharmarwardana M; Benjamin CE; Li S; Shahrivarkavishahi A; Popal S; Tuong LH; Creswell CT; Gassensmith JJ, Enhanced Stability and Controlled Delivery of MOF-Encapsulated Vaccines and Their Immunogenic Response In Vivo. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2019, 11, 9740–9746. [PubMed: 30776885]
- (22). Li S; Dharmarwardana M; Welch RP; Ren Y; Thompson CM; Smaldone RA; Gassensmith JJ, Template-Directed Synthesis of Porous and Protective Core–Shell Bionanoparticles. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed* 2016, 55, 10691–10696.
- (23). Li S; Dharmarwardana M; Welch RP; Benjamin CE; Shamir AM; Nielsen SO; Gassensmith JJ, Investigation of Controlled Growth of Metal–Organic Frameworks on Anisotropic Virus Particles. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2018, 10, 18161–18169. [PubMed: 29553703]
- (24). Hink MA; Griep RA; Borst JW; van Hoek A; Eppink MH; Schots A; Visser AJ, Structural dynamics of green fluorescent protein alone and fused with a single chain Fv protein. *J. Biol. Chem* 2000, 275, 17556–17560. [PubMed: 10748019]
- (25). Wang C; Sun H; Luan J; Jiang Q; Tadepalli S; Morrissey JJ; Kharasch ED; Singamaneni S, Metal–Organic Framework Encapsulation for Biospecimen Preservation. *Chem. Mater* 2018, 30, 1291–1300.
- (26). Liang W; Ricco R; Maddigan NK; Dickinson RP; Xu H; Li Q; Sumby CJ; Bell SG; Falcaro P; Doonan CJ, Control of Structure Topology and Spatial Distribution of Biomacromolecules in Protein@ZIF-8 Biocomposites. *Chem. Mater* 2018, 30, 1069–1077.

- (27). Abánades Lázaro I; Haddad S; Sacca S; Orellana-Tavra C; Fairen-Jimenez D; Forgan RS, Selective Surface PEGylation of UiO-66 Nanoparticles for Enhanced Stability, Cell Uptake, and pH-Responsive Drug Delivery. Chem 2017, 2, 561–578. [PubMed: 28516168]

Figure 1:

Time-resolved SEM micrographs of ZIF-8 incubated in A) water pH 7.8, B) 0.1 M Bicarbonate buffer (pH 9.5), C) 0.1 M KP Buffer (pH 7.4), D) DMEM (pH 7.6), and E) Serum (bovine serum, pH 7.9). At each time point an aliquot of the ZIF-8 was taken and washed three times with water, dried, and imaged by SEM. (Scale bar: 1 μm)

Figure 2:

A) PXRD and B) EDX of ZIF-8 after 24 h of incubation with water, 0.1 M bicarbonate buffer, 0.1 M KP buffer, DMEM, and serum. (superscript 1 are L shell electrons and superscript 2 are k shell electrons).

Figure 3:

An SEM micrograph of A) GFP@ZIF (scale bar: 1 μm) and Time resolved fluorescence study showing B) the release of GFP from ZIF after 1 h, 4 h, and 24 h incubation with water, 0.1 M bicarbonate buffer, 0.1 M KP buffer, DMEM, serum, and EDTA as a control.

Table 1:

Buffer compatibility chart.

✓ compatible, ○ caution ✗ not compatible

Buffer	No Surface Change	ZIF-8 Reflections	Not Leaky
H ₂ O	✓	✓	✓
0.9% saline	✓	✓	✓
0.025 M HEPES	○	✓	✓
0.05 M Tris	○	✓	✓
0.1 M Bicarb	✗	✗	○
1X PBS	✓	✓	✓
DMEM	✗	○	✓
Serum	✗	✓	✗
Cell Media	✗	✓	○
10X PBS	✗	○	✓
0.1 M KP	✗	✗	✓