Retrospective Study

Factors associated with trigger digit following carpal tunnel release

Jacob Nosewicz, Carla Cavallin, Chin-I Cheng, Neli Ragina, Arno W Weiss, Anthony Zacharek

ORCID number: Jacob Nosewicz (0000-0003-1720-8740); Carla Cavallin (0000-0003-1729-049X); Chin-I Cheng (0000-0002-0273-8135); Neli Ragina (0000-0002-2811-1277); Arno W Weiss (0000-0002-9484-8939); Anthony Zacharek (0000-0002-7290-6122).

Author contributions: All authors helped to perform the research; Nosewicz J and Cavallin C contributed to manuscript writing, drafting conception and design, and data analysis; Cheng CI contributed to data analysis; Ragina N contributed to writing manuscript; Weiss AW and Zacharek A performed procedures and contributed to writing manuscript.

Supported by the Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan Foundation, No. 22590764.

Institutional review board statement: This study was reviewed and approved by the Covenant Healthcare Institutional Review Board.

Informed consent statement: Informed consent for the study was not required as determined by the Covenant Healthcare Institutional Review Board. All clinical data used was de-identified and kept anonymous throughout the research process.

Conflict-of-interest statement: There is no conflict of interest to disclose.

Data sharing statement: There is no additional data available.

Open-Access: This is an open-access article that was selected by

Jacob Nosewicz, Carla Cavallin, Neli Ragina, Central Michigan University College of Medicine, Mt. Pleasant, MI 48858, United States

Chin-I Cheng, Department of Statistics, Actuarial and Data Science, Central Michigan University, Mt. Pleasant, MI 48858, United States

Arno W Weiss, Anthony Zacharek, Covenant Plastic Surgery, Saginaw, MI 48602, United States

Corresponding author: Jacob Nosewicz, BSc, Central Michigan University College of Medicine, 1280 E Campus Drive, Mt. Pleasant, MI 48858, United States. nosew1j@cmich.edu
Telephone: +1-989-5837918
Fax: +1-989-7747881

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Trigger digit is a common disorder of the hand associated with carpal tunnel syndrome. Carpal tunnel release (CTR) surgery may be a risk factor for trigger digit development; however, the association between surgical approach to CTR and postoperative trigger digit is equivocal.

AIM

To investigate patient risk factors for trigger digit development following either open carpal tunnel release (OCTR) or endoscopic carpal tunnel release (ECTR).

METHODS

This retrospective chart analysis evaluated 967 CTR procedures from 694 patients for the development of postoperative trigger digit. Patients were stratified according to the technique utilized for their CTR, either open or endoscopic. The development of postoperative trigger digit was evaluated at three time points: within 6 mo following CTR, between 6 mo and 12 mo following CTR, and after 12 mo following CTR. Firth's penalized likelihood logistic regression was conducted to evaluate sociodemographic and patient comorbidities as potential independent risk factors for trigger digit. Secondary regression models were conducted within each surgical group to reveal any potential interaction effects between surgical approach and patient risk factors for the development of postoperative trigger digit.

RESULTS

A total of 47 hands developed postoperative trigger digit following 967 CTR procedures (4.9%). In total, 64 digits experienced postoperative triggering. The long finger was most commonly affected. There was no significant difference between the open and endoscopic groups for trigger digit development at all three time points following CTR. Furthermore, there were no significant

an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Manuscript source: Unsolicited manuscript

Received: April 17, 2019

Peer-review started: April 18, 2019

First decision: July 30, 2019

Revised: September 30, 2019

Accepted: October 18, 2019

Article in press: October 18, 2019

Published online: December 18, 2019

P-Reviewer: El-Razek AA, Neri V

S-Editor: Tang JZ

L-Editor: A

E-Editor: Xing YX

independent risk factors for postoperative trigger digit; however, within group analysis revealed a significant interaction effect between gender and surgical approach ($P = 0.008$). Females were more likely to develop postoperative trigger digit than males after OCTR (OR = 3.992), but were less likely to develop postoperative trigger digit than males after ECTR (OR = 0.489).

CONCLUSION

Patient comorbidities do not influence the development of trigger digit following CTR. Markedly, gender differences for postoperative trigger digit may depend on surgical approach to CTR.

Key words: Endoscopic carpal tunnel release; Open carpal tunnel release; Trigger digit; Carpal tunnel syndrome; Stenosing tenosynovitis

©The Author(s) 2019. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: Carpal tunnel syndrome and trigger digit are orthopedic hand conditions that often present concurrently. Markedly, the association between surgical treatment for carpal tunnel syndrome and trigger digit is not clear. This retrospective analysis evaluated numerous risk factors, including surgical approach, for new onset trigger digit following carpal tunnel release (CTR). We reveal that patient comorbidities do not influence the rate of trigger digit development following CTR; however, there may be a significant interaction effect between gender and surgical approach on postoperative trigger digit development. Females may be more likely to develop trigger digit following open carpal tunnel release. In contrast, males may be more likely to develop trigger digit following endoscopic carpal tunnel release.

Citation: Nosewicz J, Cavallin C, Cheng CI, Ragina N, Weiss AW, Zacharek A. Factors associated with trigger digit following carpal tunnel release. *World J Orthop* 2019; 10(12): 454-462

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/2218-5836/full/v10/i12/454.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.5312/wjo.v10.i12.454>

INTRODUCTION

Carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS) is a common entrapment neuropathy of the hand that affects 3.8% of the general population^[1]. Characteristic symptoms include burning pain, numbness, and tingling in the distribution of the median nerve distal to the wrist. CTS is diagnosed by a combination of clinical signs and median nerve conduction studies, with supportive diagnostic tools including ultrasonography, magnetic resonance imaging, and diffuse tensor imaging^[2]. Surgical treatment, or carpal tunnel release (CTR), involves division of the transverse carpal ligament in order to release pressure on the median nerve. Surgical decompression of the median nerve of the can be accomplished *via* two different approaches: Open carpal tunnel release (OCTR) and endoscopic carpal tunnel release (ECTR).

Trigger digit is a common disorder of the hand associated with carpal tunnel syndrome^[3]. In trigger digit, the flexor tendon of the distal palm thickens, creating dysfunction between the flexor tendon and its encompassing sheath. The result is a painful locking of the affected digit in flexion or extension, most commonly due to obstruction at the A1 annular pulley^[4]. Markedly, systemic inflammatory conditions that increase the risk for carpal tunnel syndrome may also predispose to trigger digit^[4-6]. Current evidence also suggests that CTR surgery may be a risk factor for trigger digit development; however, the association between surgical approach to CTR and postoperative trigger digit is equivocal^[6-8]. Our study aimed to investigate patient risk factors for trigger digit development following CTR and whether these risk factors varied between OCTR and ECTR.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

IRB approval was obtained. All patients over the age of 18 from a single institution

who underwent CTR from January 2013 to December 2016 were included. Two board certified surgeons from a single institution performed all procedures. One physician exclusively performed all of the OCTR procedures while the other physician exclusively performed all of the ECTR procedures.

Data collection plan and inclusion/exclusion criteria

Electronic medical record databases were queried for Current Procedural Technology codes 64721; Neuroplasty and/or transposition; median nerve at carpal tunnel (OCTR), and 29848; ECTR. This yielded 1138 carpal tunnel procedures from 800 patients for initial eligibility.

The initial list of eligible patients was merged with a query of all patients with ICD-10 Dx code "M65.3*," trigger digit. Trigger digit was clinically diagnosed as tenderness over the A1 pulley of the digit with a history of locking in the digit. Electronic medical records of patients fulfilling both the initial CTR procedural code and trigger finger diagnosis code were evaluated for inclusion eligibility. All patients with a diagnosis of trigger finger prior to ipsilateral CTR were excluded. Paper charts were collected for these patients to confirm the accuracy of the electronic medical records. 94 procedures were excluded for diagnosis of trigger finger prior to ipsilateral CTR.

Stringent exclusion criteria were employed in order to best isolate the effects of CTR. Only patients with first-time CTR for each specific hand were included. Patients undergoing recurrent CTR on the ipsilateral hand were excluded. 73 recurrent CTR procedures were excluded. Further exclusion criteria included a patient history of dupuytren's contracture, tendon repair of the finger or hand, metacarpophalangeal joint arthroplasty, and trapeziectomy prior to ipsilateral CTR. These criteria yielded 16 excluded procedures. In total, 171 CTR procedures from 106 patients were excluded.

Two authors accrued the variables of interest *via* patient electronic medical records and paper records. 967 CTR procedures from 694 patients were included in the final analysis.

Independent and dependent variables

Patients undergoing bilateral CTR were coded as two separate data units. These two procedures from the same patient were treated as independent entities for statistical analysis to account for the possibility that only one of the hands may develop trigger digit. Patient variables including age, gender, race, body mass index (BMI), hand dominance, smoking status, and the presence of diabetes mellitus, rheumatoid arthritis, and hypothyroidism at the time of CTR were also collected. Paper records were sought out to complete any input variables not available in the electronic medical records; however, some patient variables could not be found between electronic medical record and paper charts. BMI was further divided into three categories: Less than 25, between 25 and 30, and greater than 30. Smoking was defined as a patient being a "current every day smoker" within the electronic medical record at the time of CTR. The diagnosis of diabetes included both Type 1 and Type 2 diabetics.

The development of postoperative trigger digit was evaluated at three time points: within 6 mo following CTR, between 6 and 12 mo following CTR, and after 12 mo following CTR. Analysis included only the first-time point at which a patient developed trigger digit to reflect the true total of hands that ultimately developed trigger digit.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were provided including mean and standard deviation for continuous variables, and frequency and proportions for categorical variables. The two-sample *t*-test was adopted to examine differences in means for age between the two surgical approach groups. Chi-squares tests were used to test the association between categorical variables and surgical approach. Fisher's exact test was used to examine the difference in proportion of postoperative trigger digit at each time interval.

Firth's^[9] penalized likelihood logistic regression was conducted to evaluate patient risk factors for postoperative trigger digit development. Patient risk factors included age, gender, BMI, diabetes mellitus, rheumatoid arthritis, smoking status, hypothyroidism, and surgical approach. Hand dominance was not included in the analysis due to the high number of missing variables. Patients were then stratified by surgical approach into an ECTR group and an OCTR group. Two more Firth logistic regression models were conducted to test the association between patient risk factors and postoperative trigger digit within each surgical group. Significant risk factors found within each surgical group were added as an interaction term to the primary logistic regression model. All of the analytical results were considered to be

significant when *P* values were less than or equal to 0.05. Data were analysed using SAS software, version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, United States).

RESULTS

Table 1 presents the descriptive statistics for our two surgical groups. In total, we included 967 procedures in our analysis. More patients underwent ECTR (83.5%) than OCTR (16.5%). A higher percentage of women (60.3%) underwent CTR surgery than men (39.7%). The average age of patients who received endoscopic surgery (59.93 years) was higher than that of patients who received open surgery (57.24 years) (*P* = 0.03). Our results found that the proportion of white patients who received endoscopic or open surgery were similar at 86.9% and 87.9%, respectively; however, not all patients had race identified in their chart (*P* = 0.01). Both the OCTR and ECTR groups showed no significant difference in all other patient risk factors.

A total of 47 hands developed postoperative trigger digit following 967 CTR procedures (4.9%). The timing of postoperative trigger digit are reported in **Table 2**. Postoperative triggering occurred 36 times following 807 ECTR. 8 hands experienced trigger digit within 6 mo (22%), 10 hands between 6 and 12 mo (28%), and 18 hands after 12 mo (50%). 11 hands developed postoperative trigger digit following OCTR. Four hands developed postoperative trigger digit within 6 mo (36%), 3 hands between 6 and 12 mo (28%), and 4 hands after 12 mo (36%). There was no significant difference between the ECTR and OCTR groups to develop trigger digit at all three post-operative time markers.

A total of 64 digits experienced postoperative triggering following 967 CTR procedures as shown in **Table 3**. The long finger was most commonly affected (28%), followed equally by the first and fifth digit (22%), then the fourth digit (19%), with the second digit being the least commonly affected (9%).

There is insufficient evidence to conclude that independent predictors are related to postoperative trigger digit development as shown in **Table 4**. However, preliminary within group analysis revealed a significant interaction effect between gender and surgical approach. This significant interaction effect between gender and surgical approach was confirmed in the primary multivariable logistic regression model demonstrated in **Table 4** (*P* = 0.008). Females were more likely to develop postoperative trigger digit than males after OCTR [Odds ratio (OR) = 3.992], but were less likely to develop postoperative trigger digit than males after ECTR (OR = 0.489).

DISCUSSION

Patients experience similar functional outcomes between OCTR and ECTR; however, the influence of CTR surgical approach on the development of other hand comorbidities, such as trigger digit, is not cohesive^[6,8,10]. Furthermore, the interaction between patient comorbidities and the postoperative condition of the carpal tunnel remains unknown. Our study investigated the interaction between CTR surgical approach and patient comorbidities as risk factors for trigger digit.

The incidence rate of new-onset trigger digit development following CTR has been reported between 4%-31.3%^[8,11]. Lin *et al*^[12]'s meta-analysis found a collective incidence rate of 7.7% after excluding patients with a history of trigger digit prior to their CTR. Our incidence rate is at the low end of what has been reported (4.9%). Postoperative trigger digit has been reported to primarily occur in the first 6 mo following CTR^[12]. In our study, postoperative trigger digit development most commonly happened after 12 mo. The extended time length for patient follow up in our study may explain why the majority of trigger digits occurred beyond one year.

There were no significant individual predictors revealed for the development of trigger digit following CTR (**Table 4**). Lee *et al*^[13] evaluated biomechanical changes within the carpal tunnel following 497 OCTR procedures. The authors found significant differences in volar migration of the flexor tendons between those patients who developed postoperative trigger digit and those that did not. The authors suggested that this increased volar positioning of the flexor tendons resulted in increased friction upon entrance to the A1 pulley, thereby increasing the risk for trigger digit development. Karalezli *et al*^[14] confirmed these findings in their study of cadavers subject to OCTR. This change was most pronounced in the third, fourth, and first digits. The frequency these digits triggered in our study support volar migration of the flexor tendons as an important factor for postoperative trigger digit development (**Table 3**).

Momose *et al*^[15] utilized MRI to study structural changes within the carpal tunnel

Table 1 Descriptive statistics for open and endoscopic surgical groups, *n* (%)

	Total (<i>n</i> = 967)	Surgical group		Test statistics
		Open (160, 16.5%)	Endoscopic (807, 83.5%)	
Age (16-94)	59.49 (14.33)	57.24 (15.28)	59.93 (14.10)	-2.17 ^{1,a}
Gender				1.31 ²
Male	384 (39.7)	70 (43.8)	314 (38.9)	
Female	583 (60.3)	90 (56.2)	493 (61.1)	
Race				6.35 ^{2,b}
White	848 (87.7)	139 (86.9)	709 (87.9)	
Non-White	71 (7.3)	20 (12.5)	51 (6.3)	
Missing	48 (5.0)	1 (0.6)	47 (5.8)	
BMI				0.28 ²
< 25	126 (13.0)	22 (13.8)	104 (12.9)	
25-30	251 (26.0)	39 (24.4)	212 (26.3)	
> 30	579 (60.0)	97 (60.6)	482 (59.7)	
Missing	11 (1.1)	2 (1.2)	9 (1.1)	
Hand dominance				0.41 ²
Right	550 (56.9)	69 (43.1)	481 (59.6)	
Left	51 (5.3)	8 (5.0)	43 (5.3)	
Missing	366 (37.8)	83 (51.9)	283 (35.1)	
Diabetes mellitus				0.33 ²
Yes	219 (22.7)	39 (24.4)	180 (22.3)	
No	748 (77.4)	121 (75.6)	627 (77.7)	
Rheumatoid Arthritis				3.44 ²
Yes	27 (2.8)	8 (5.0)	19 (2.4)	
No	940 (97.2)	152 (95.0)	788 (97.6)	
Smoking status				3.51 ²
Yes	141 (14.6)	31 (19.4)	110 (13.6)	
No	852 (85.3)	129 (80.6)	696 (86.3)	
Missing	1 (0.1)		1 (0.1)	
Hypothyroidism				1.03 ²
Yes	113 (11.7)	15 (9.4)	98 (12.1)	
No	850 (87.9)	145 (90.6)	705 (87.4)	
Missing	4 (0.4)		4 (0.5)	

¹Based on 2 sample *t*-test.²Based on Chi-Square test excluding missing cases.^a*P* value < 0.05,^b*P* value < 0.01. Data is presented as mean (SD) for continuous variables or count (percentage) for categorical variables. BMI: Body mass index.

following 36 ECTR procedures. Similar structural changes occurred within the carpal tunnel compared to prior cadaver studies evaluating OCTR. These studies suggest that volar migration of the flexor tendons within the carpal tunnel may occur following both endoscopic and OCTR. Our results also reflect that a similar postoperative condition within the carpal tunnel may exist for both surgical techniques as surgical approach was not found to be an independent risk factor for postoperative trigger digit development.

In contrast, Goshtasby *et al*^[6] reported ECTR as an independent predictor for trigger digit after CTR. The authors suggested that blunt force trauma from endoscope insertion and an earlier return to work offered by ECTR may be contributing factors for trigger digit development. These suggestions were not reflected in our results. Furthermore, prior retrospective studies in our practice have found no difference in return to work following open and ECTR, which is also reflected in the current discourse^[10].

Non-enzymatic glycosylation of collagen seen in hyperglycemic states may lead to

Table 2 Frequency and proportion for trigger digit diagnosis at < 6 mo, 6-12 mo, and > 12 mo for each surgical group, *n* (%)

Post-operative time interval	Total diagnoses	Surgical group		<i>P</i> value
		Open (<i>n</i> = 160)	Endoscopic (<i>n</i> = 807)	
< 6 mo	12 (1.2) ¹	4 (2.5) ¹	8 (1.0) ¹	0.121 ²
6-12 mo	13 (1.3) ¹	3 (1.9) ¹	10 (1.2) ¹	0.461 ²
> 12 mo	22 (2.3) ¹	4 (2.5) ¹	18 (2.2) ¹	0.774 ²
Total trigger digit diagnoses	47 (4.9) ¹	11 (6.9) ¹	36 (4.5) ¹	0.225 ²

¹Percentage represents proportion of procedures that developed trigger digit.²Based on Fisher's Exact test.

connective tissue thickening, thereby lowering the threshold for trigger digit occurrence^[4,8]. Grandizio *et al*^[8] evaluated 1217 CTR patients, 214 of which were diabetics, and found diabetes to be a significant risk factor for the development of trigger digit following CTR. They repeated their chi-square analysis for OCTR to account for the dissimilar percentages of this procedure between their patient groups. The authors found diabetes to no longer predict trigger digit following CTR. This coincides with our study results that found no association between diabetes and trigger digit development after OCTR; however, our study did not find diabetes to be an independent risk factor for both OCTR and ECTR patients.

Goshtasby *et al*^[6] reported thyroid disease as a categorical predictor of postoperative trigger digit. The authors theorized that the soft tissue swelling seen in thyroid disease may lead to flexor tendon dysfunction. Consequently, this may lower the threshold for trigger digit development when compounded with the post-operative inflammatory state of the carpal tunnel. Our study did not find an association between hypothyroidism and an increased risk of developing trigger digit. While we specifically looked at the effects of hypothyroidism on trigger digit development, Goshtasby *et al*^[6] evaluated hyper- and hypothyroidism together as one independent variable.

We are the first to report gender as a potential risk factor for trigger digit following CTR. Females were as likely as males to develop trigger digit when controlling for surgical approach as an independent variable; however, the effect of gender became significant when patients were stratified into separate surgical groups. There appears to be a positive interaction between being female and receiving OCTR and a negative interaction between being female and receiving ECTR. This suggests each surgical approach may affect the carpal tunnel differently between males and females, thereby changing the threshold for trigger digit occurrence in each gender.

Current studies evaluating structural changes in the postoperative carpal tunnel do not make comparisons between genders. In contrast, imaging studies reveal gender differences in baseline carpal arch morphology. Females have a smaller cross-sectional area of the carpal tunnel and decreased palmar bowing of the carpal arch distally compared to males^[16]. These baseline morphological differences may reduce the postoperative volar migration of the flexor tendons necessary for trigger digit development in females. Markedly, similar morphological changes of the carpal tunnel, including volar migration of the flexor tendons, occurs following both ECTR and OCTR^[13,15,17]. Therefore, it may be expected that females are more likely than males to develop postoperative trigger digit given the smaller cross-sectional area of their carpal tunnel. This was not reflected in our study, which suggests that baseline morphological differences between genders may not contribute to postoperative trigger digit development. Gender differences in the postoperative carpal tunnel need to be further explored in order to support our findings.

Our study has a few limitations. First, our sample population was largely homogenous. Our findings may not be generalizable to more diverse populations. Second, the inconsistent coding of osteoarthritis (not included in Table 1) and hand dominance in our electronic medical records did not allow us to include these variables. Osteoarthritis and hand dominance have previously been found to be independent risk factors for trigger digit following CTR^[6,18]. Third, the retrospective nature of this study dictates that our diagnosis of trigger digit be dependent on electronic medical records. Patients are educated on the potential for trigger digit occurrence; however, some patients may delay seeing a physician until they reach a subjective threshold of disability. This may explain the minority of our patients developing trigger digit in the first 6 mo following CTR.

Table 3 Trigger digit frequency and proportion by digit, *n* (%)

Digit	Frequency
Thumb	14 (21.88)
Pointed	6 (9.38)
Middle	18 (28.13)
Ring	12 (18.75)
Pinky	14 (21.88)
Total	64

Strengths of this study include a large patient population size, stringent exclusion criteria for our sample population, and a study paradigm that explored the interaction between surgical approach to CTR and patient comorbidities. One unique aspect of this paradigm was examining the interaction effect between surgical approach and gender. A prospective randomized intervention is needed to confirm the gender differences we found between the two surgical groups.

Our study reveals that patient comorbidities do not influence the development of trigger digit following CTR.

Table 4 Multivariable logistic regression analysis predicting post-operative trigger digit development

Variables	Odds ratio	95%CI for odds ratio	
		Lower	Upper
Age	1.004	0.982	1.028
Gender (Reference = male)			
Race (Reference = non-white)	1.405	0.440	7.098
BMI (Reference = BMI < 25)			
BMI: 25-30	1.392	0.488	4.740
BMI: > 30	1.389	0.542	4.480
Diabetes mellitus (Reference = no D.M.)	1.397	0.690	2.687
Rheumatoid arthritis (Reference = no R.A.)	0.372	0.003	2.811
Smoking status (Reference = non-smoker)	0.675	0.233	1.636
Hypothyroidism (Reference = euthyroid)	1.584	0.634	3.506
Surgical approach (Reference = endoscopic)			
Gender surgical approach			
Gender open	3.992 ^b	1.070	21.618
Gender endoscopic	0.489 ^b	0.241	0.971
Constant	0.017 ^b		

^b*P* value < 0.01. BMI: Body mass index; D.M.: Diabetes mellitus; R.A.: Rheumatoid arthritis.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Carpal tunnel release (CTR) surgery consists of dividing the carpal tunnel ligament in order to decompress the median nerve. CTR is accomplished *via* either an open or endoscopic approach. Markedly, CTR surgery may predispose patients to trigger digit, a common orthopedic hand condition.

Research motivation

The association between surgical approach to CTR, either open or endoscopic, and postoperative trigger digit development remains equivocal.

Research objectives

Our study aimed to investigate patient risk factors for trigger digit development following CTR and whether these risk factors varied between open carpal tunnel release (OCTR) and endoscopic carpal tunnel release (ECTR).

Research methods

This retrospective chart analysis evaluated 967 CTR procedures from 694 patients for the development of postoperative trigger digit. Patients were stratified according to the technique utilized for their CTR, either open or endoscopic. The development of postoperative trigger digit was evaluated at three time points: within 6 mo following CTR, between 6 and 12 mo following CTR, and after 12 mo following CTR. Firth's penalized likelihood logistic regression was conducted to evaluate sociodemographic and patient comorbidities as potential independent risk factors for trigger digit. Secondary regression models were conducted within each surgical group to reveal any potential interaction effects between surgical approach and patient risk factors for the development of postoperative trigger digit.

Research results

There was no significant difference between the ECTR and OCTR groups to develop trigger digit at all three postoperative time markers. Furthermore, there were no significant individual predictors revealed for the development of trigger digit following CTR; however, within group analysis revealed a significant interaction effect between gender and surgical approach. This significant interaction effect between gender and surgical approach was confirmed in the primary multivariable logistic regression model ($P = 0.008$). Females were more likely to develop postoperative trigger digit than males after OCTR (OR = 3.992), but were less likely to develop postoperative trigger digit than males after ECTR (OR = 0.489).

Research conclusions

Our study found that patient comorbidities do not influence the development of trigger digit following CTR. Markedly, gender differences for postoperative trigger digit may depend on surgical approach to CTR. We are the first to report gender as a potential risk factor for trigger

digit following CTR. Females were as likely as males to develop trigger digit when controlling for surgical approach as an independent variable; however, the effect of gender became significant when patients were stratified into separate surgical groups.

Research perspectives

Current studies evaluating structural changes in the postoperative carpal tunnel do not make comparisons between genders. A prospective randomized intervention study is needed to confirm the gender differences we found between ECTR and OCTR. We also suggest the use of magnetic resonance imaging to compare changes in the morphological differences of the postoperative carpal tunnel, including volar migration.

REFERENCES

- 1 **Aboonq MS.** Pathophysiology of carpal tunnel syndrome. *Neurosciences (Riyadh)* 2015; **20**: 4-9 [PMID: [25630774](#)]
- 2 **Razek AAKA, Shabana AAE, El Saied TO, Alrefey N.** Diffusion tensor imaging of mild-moderate carpal tunnel syndrome: correlation with nerve conduction study and clinical tests. *Clin Rheumatol* 2017; **36**: 2319-2324 [PMID: [27812818](#) DOI: [10.1007/s10067-016-3463-y](#)]
- 3 **Gancarczyk SM, Strauch RJ.** Carpal tunnel syndrome and trigger digit: common diagnoses that occur "hand in hand". *J Hand Surg Am* 2013; **38**: 1635-1637 [PMID: [23849736](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.jhsa.2013.04.032](#)]
- 4 **Ryzewicz M, Wolf JM.** Trigger digits: principles, management, and complications. *J Hand Surg Am* 2006; **31**: 135-146 [PMID: [16443118](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.jhsa.2005.10.013](#)]
- 5 **Cakir M, Samanci N, Balci N, Balci MK.** Musculoskeletal manifestations in patients with thyroid disease. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* 2003; **59**: 162-167 [PMID: [12864792](#) DOI: [10.1046/j.1365-2265.2003.01786.x](#)]
- 6 **Goshtasby PH, Wheeler DR, Moy OJ.** Risk factors for trigger finger occurrence after carpal tunnel release. *Hand Surg* 2010; **15**: 81-87 [PMID: [20672394](#) DOI: [10.1142/S0218810410004606](#)]
- 7 **Harada K, Nakashima H, Teramoto K, Nagai T, Hoshino S, Yonemitsu H.** Trigger digits-associated carpal tunnel syndrome: relationship between carpal tunnel release and trigger digits. *Hand Surg* 2005; **10**: 205-208 [PMID: [16568515](#) DOI: [10.1142/S0218810405002905](#)]
- 8 **Grandizio LC, Beck JD, Rutter MR, Graham J, Klena JC.** The incidence of trigger digit after carpal tunnel release in diabetic and nondiabetic patients. *J Hand Surg Am* 2014; **39**: 280-285 [PMID: [24360881](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.jhsa.2013.10.023](#)]
- 9 **Firth D.** Bias reduction of maximum likelihood estimates. *Biometrika*. 1993; 27-38 [DOI: [10.2307/2336755](#)]
- 10 **Atroshi I, Larsson GU, Ornstein E, Hofer M, Johnsson R, Ranstam J.** Outcomes of endoscopic surgery compared with open surgery for carpal tunnel syndrome among employed patients: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2006; **332**: 1473 [PMID: [16777857](#) DOI: [10.1136/bmj.38863.632789.1F](#)]
- 11 **Acar MA, Kütahya H, Güleç A, Elmadağ M, Karalezli N, Ogun TC.** Triggering of the Digits After Carpal Tunnel Surgery. *Ann Plast Surg* 2015; **75**: 393-397 [PMID: [25003426](#) DOI: [10.1097/SAP.0000000000000233](#)]
- 12 **Lin FY, Wu CI, Cheng HT.** Coincidence or complication? A systematic review of trigger digit after carpal tunnel release. *J Plast Surg Hand Surg* 2018; **52**: 67-73 [PMID: [28686120](#) DOI: [10.1080/2000656X.2017.1345751](#)]
- 13 **Lee SK, Bae KW, Choy WS.** The relationship of trigger finger and flexor tendon volar migration after carpal tunnel release. *J Hand Surg Eur Vol* 2014; **39**: 694-698 [PMID: [23442341](#) DOI: [10.1177/1753193413479506](#)]
- 14 **Karalezli N, Kütahya H, Güleç A, Toker S, Karabörk H, Ogun TC.** Transverse carpal ligament and forearm fascia release for the treatment of carpal tunnel syndrome change the entrance angle of flexor tendons to the A1 pulley: the relationship between carpal tunnel surgery and trigger finger occurrence. *ScientificWorldJournal* 2013; **2013**: 630617 [PMID: [23878529](#) DOI: [10.1155/2013/630617](#)]
- 15 **Momose T, Uchiyama S, Kobayashi S, Nakagawa H, Kato H.** Structural changes of the carpal tunnel, median nerve and flexor tendons in MRI before and after endoscopic carpal tunnel release. *Hand Surg* 2014; **19**: 193-198 [PMID: [24875502](#) DOI: [10.1142/S0218810414500191](#)]
- 16 **Lakshminarayanan K, Shah R, Li ZM.** Sex-related differences in carpal arch morphology. *PLoS One* 2019; **14**: e0217425 [PMID: [31116798](#) DOI: [10.1371/journal.pone.0217425](#)]
- 17 **Peters BR, Martin AM, Memaui BF, Bock HW, Turner RB, Murray KA, Islur A.** Morphologic Analysis of the Carpal Tunnel and Median Nerve Following Open and Endoscopic Carpal Tunnel Release. *Hand* 2019; 1558944719861711 [PMID: [31331208](#) DOI: [10.1177/1558944719861711](#)]
- 18 **King BA, Stern PJ, Kieffhaber TR.** The incidence of trigger finger or de Quervain's tendinitis after carpal tunnel release. *J Hand Surg Eur Vol* 2013; **38**: 82-83 [PMID: [22791612](#) DOI: [10.1177/1753193412453424](#)]

Published By Baishideng Publishing Group Inc
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-2238242
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>
<https://www.wjgnet.com>