In-Hospital Heart Rate Turbulence and Microvolt T-Wave Alternans Abnormalities for Prediction of Early Life-Threatening Ventricular Arrhythmia after Acute Myocardial Infarction

[Correction added on 06 November 2013, after first online publication: The title has been amended from “Microwave T-Wave Alternans Abnormalities” to “Microvolt T-Wave Alternans Abnormalities”.]

Mohamed Moussa Arisha, M.D.,^{*,1} Nicolas Girerd, M.D., M.Sc.,^{*,1}
Samuel Chauveau, M.D.,^{*} Didier Bresson, M.D.,[†] Alina Scridon, M.D.,^{*}
Eric Bonnefoy, M.D., Ph.D.,[†] and Philippe Chevalier, M.D., Ph.D.^{*}

From the ^{*}Department of Rhythmology, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Louis Pradel Cardiovascular Hospital, Lyon, France and [†]Intensive Care Unit, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Louis Pradel Cardiovascular Hospital, Lyon, France

Background: In the setting of primary prevention, most implantable cardiac defibrillators (ICD) are implanted more than 6 months after acute myocardial infarction (AMI). Abnormal heart rate turbulence (HRT) and T-wave alternans (TWA) are predictors of long-term sudden cardiac death (SCD). We intended to assess the predictive value of HRT and TWA for early post-AMI SCD and life-threatening ventricular arrhythmias (VA).

Methods: One hundred ninety-nine consecutive patients with AMI were prospectively included (age 61.7 years, LV ejection fraction 45%). One hundred eighty-three patients (92%) underwent percutaneous coronary intervention. We assessed HRT using turbulence slope (TS), turbulence onset (TO), and TWA on channels 1 and 2 (TWA1 and TWA2) using the modified moving average method. Predictive performance for SCD/VA was assessed by area under the receiver operating curve characteristic (ROC-AUC).

Results: Within 6 months after AMI, 2 patients (1%) developed life-threatening VA and 3 (1.5%) experienced SCD. TO and TWA1 had poor ROC-AUC (both 0.64) whereas TS and TWA2 failed to show any predictive performance (ROC-AUC 0.48 and 0.57, respectively). When combining TO and TWA1, ROC-AUC increased to 0.80. Importantly, when considering the subset of patients with a LV ejection fraction $\leq 40\%$, the combined variable of TO and TWA1 remained strongly predictive of a short-term event (ROC-AUC 0.86).

Conclusions: Combined assessment of HRT and TWA showed a high predictive performance for SCD or life-threatening VA within 6 months after AMI. This combined Holter ECG index could be useful to identify high-risk patients who might benefit from early ICD implantation.

Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol 2013;18(6):530–537

acute myocardial infarction; heart rate turbulence; T-wave alternans; sudden death

Patients with a history of myocardial infarction (MI) are at increased risk for sudden cardiac death (SCD), most often due to ventricular arrhythmia

(VA). Approximately one-half of arrhythmic deaths occur within the first year and one-quarter within the first 3 months after MI.^{1,2} There is still some

Address for correspondence: Philippe Chevalier, Service de Rythmologie, Hôpital cardiologique Louis-Pradel, 59 bd Pinel, 69677 BRON Cedex, France. Fax: 33 4 72 35 73 41; E-mail: philippe.chevalier@chu-lyon.fr

¹The first and second author contributed equally to the manuscript.

Funding/Disclosure: None.

debate regarding the ideal timing for implantable cardiac defibrillator (ICD) placement.^{3,4} While current guidelines recommend implantation of ICDs in patients with decreased LV ejection fraction (LVEF) no less than 40 days after acute MI,^{5,6} some data suggest that the benefit from ICD implantation may exist only when patients are selected for ICD implantation at a longer period after the index MI.^{3-5,7} In the "real world," most patients with a theoretical post-MI indication for ICD implantation undergo actual ICD implantation more than 6 months after MI.⁴ It thus appears critically important to better assess the risk for sudden death immediately after acute MI in order to more appropriately select the subset of patients who would benefit from early ICD implantation.

Heart rate turbulence (HRT) and T-wave alternans (TWA) are noninvasive measurements of VA vulnerability that can be performed during standard Holter ECG recordings. HRT is an autonomic marker that indirectly measures the baroreflex-dependent blood pressure response after single ventricular premature contractions (VPC).⁸ TWA, which is thought to be due to dispersion of repolarization, is a marker of electrical substrate to VA. Both HRT^{1,9,10} and TWA¹¹⁻¹⁵ correlate with the incidence of sudden death after MI in patients with or without LV dysfunction.

There is still debate regarding the best timing for performance of HRT and TWA measurements. Both HRT and TWA values can change with time after MI. The International Society for Holter and Noninvasive Electrophysiology Consensus focusing on HRT did not specifically address the timing for Holter recordings to be performed.⁸ The yield of HRT and TWA measurements in the first days or weeks following MI has been criticized, and poor predictive value of such measurements is reported.¹⁶ Additionally, most Holter ECG recordings were performed 7 days or longer after the index MI in studies assessing the predictive performance of HRT and TWA for clinical outcome after MI.^{1,9,16} As the mean hospitalization stay after a first MI is rarely greater than 7 days,¹⁷ it is difficult to identify a useful application of Holter variables to identify patients at high risk for early SCD.

In the REFINE study,¹⁶ the combination of HRT and TWA allowed a more precise risk-stratification of sudden death in patients after MI than the variables taken separately. There is strong pathophysiological rationale for the combined use of markers of autonomic tone and electric substrate to assess the risk for life-threatening VA.

We aimed to determine if in-hospital Holter ECG measurements performed days after MI could predict early SCD or life-threatening VA. Specifically, we hypothesized that the combined use of TWA and HRT measured during the initial hospital stay of patients with a first MI could adequately predict the risk of sudden death within 6 months after MI.

METHODS

Patient study group

Between November 2009 and April 2011, we prospectively enrolled 201 patients admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) of our institute for a first acute MI. Inclusion criteria were presence of chest pain associated with ST-segment elevation ≥ 0.1 mV in at least two leads of the surface ECG and elevated troponin levels. Exclusions criteria were left bundle branch block, pacemaker rhythm, rhythm other than sinus, and prior MI or coronary artery bypass graft (CABG). The institutional review board approved the study. All patients gave informed consent for the research protocol.

Clinical characteristics upon hospital arrival were assessed through a standardized form. All patients had complete invasive and noninvasive cardiac evaluation during their ICU stay. Antegrade perfusion was graded according to the classification of the Thrombolytic in MI Trial. LVEF was evaluated in all patients at discharge from the ICU. Patient's clinical characteristics and percutaneous coronary intervention data were prospectively collected and entered in a computerized database.

Holter ECG recordings

Two-channel 24-hour Holter ECGs were systematically performed within the first week following MI (mean 2.38 ± 0.79 days, range 2–7 days) in the ICU ($n = 177$, 88.1%) or in the cardiology unit ($n = 24$, 11.9%) immediately after ICU discharge. All recordings were performed using SEER Light Extend Digital Holter recorders (GE Healthcare, Vélizy, France) and analyzed with the MARS Holter proprietary software. Two patients were excluded from the study due to excessive noise or artifact on Holter recording. The remaining 199 patients were considered in our analysis. No antiarrhythmic drugs other than beta-blockers and calcium channel blockers were used before

or during Holter ECG recordings. Nonsustained ventricular tachycardia (VT) was collected and coded during Holter ECG and from telemetric data obtained during the ICU stay.

Turbulence slope (TS) and turbulence onset (TO), parameters derived from HRT, as well as TWA were assessed. TWA analyses could be performed in all patients whereas measurement of HRT was impossible in one patient (1/199, 0.5%).

HRT measurement

TO is a measure of the relative shortening of R-R intervals immediately after the pause of the index ventricular premature beat (i.e., the percentage difference between the heart rate immediately following and preceding VPC). It is calculated using the equation: $TO = ((RR1 + RR2) - (RR - 2 + RR - 1)) / (RR - 2 + RR - 1) * 100$, with RR-2 and RR-1 being the first two normal intervals preceding the VPC and RR1 and RR2 the first two normal intervals following the VPC.⁸

TS describes the subsequent lengthening of RR intervals, is quantified by the steepest linear regression between the RR interval count and the RR interval duration. It is defined as the maximum positive steepest slope of the linear regression line for each sequence of five consecutive normal intervals in the local tachogram.⁸

Values for TO <0% and for TS >2.5 ms/RR interval were considered normal.⁸

TWA Analysis

Two methods currently exist to perform micro-volt TWA analysis—the spectral analytic method and the modified moving average method. In this study we used the modified moving average method.¹⁸ TWA was calculated as the maximum difference between successive T waves of the respective moving averages for each 15-second beat stream. Additional algorithms minimized the effect of noise and artifact. TWA measurements were made from normal beats with accurately detected beat onsets only. In a manner similar to that used for routine Holter-based ST segment analysis, the greatest TWA magnitudes were separately examined for each of the two leads, starting at the maximum value detected. For each value of TWA, the associated ECG strip and superimposed A and B waveforms from the modified moving average

algorithm were displayed. TWA values were determined and used as the peak TWA for each lead. TWA >46 μ V was considered abnormal.¹⁸

Outcomes

The primary outcome was a composite endpoint of sudden death and sustained VA treated with external electric cardioversion. Secondary outcomes were: (1) all cause death and (2) the composite endpoint of all cause death and sustained VA treated with electric cardioversion. No patients were lost to follow-up.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are expressed as means $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) and proportions are expressed as percentages. Variables were visually checked for nonnormal distribution and log-transformed if necessary. Unpaired *t*-tests, chi-square test, and Fisher's exact test were used when appropriate.

Receiver operating curve (ROC) analysis was performed to determine the predictive performance of each Holter ECG parameter for the considered outcomes. Predictive performance was measured with the area under the ROC curve (ROC-AUC). ROC-AUC values range from 0 to 1, with 0 and 1 representing perfect predictive performance and 0.5 representing chance prediction. ROC-AUC was considered as poor if ranging from 0.6 to 0.7, fair if ranging from 0.7 to 0.8 and good if >0.8.

As a sensitivity analysis, Cox survival models were fitted to determine the association between Holter variables and outcome.

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 15 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A *P* value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

The majority of the 199 patients were male (77%, *N* = 154) and half were smokers (47%, *N* = 98) (Table 1). Mean LVEF was $45.3 \pm 9.5\%$. All patients underwent coronary angiography and 10 (5%) had no invasive treatment because coronary spasm was diagnosed or suspected. More than 95% of the patients were prescribed beta-blockers and/or angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors during their ICU stay (96.5% for beta blockers, 99% for angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors).

Table 1. Patient Characteristics

Patients, n	199
Age (years)	61.7 ± 14.8
Female gender	22.6%
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	27.0 ± 3.7
Diabetes	18.7%
Hypertension	20%
Current smoker	46.7%
Hypercholesterolemia	28.6%
Myocardial infarction site	
Anterior	49.2%
Inferior	47.2%
Lateral	17.6%
Killip class > 1	26.6%
Preadmission cardiac arrest	7.0%
Coronarography data	
Left anterior descending artery	
Stenosis ≥ 70	17.6%
Occluded or suboccluded	46.7%
Left circumflex artery	
Stenosis ≥ 70	12.6%
Occluded or suboccluded	12.1%
Right coronary artery	
Stenosis ≥ 70	7.0%
Occluded or suboccluded	42.7%
Two vessel disease	27.6%
Three vessel disease	7.0%
Initial treatment by PCI/CABG	92%/3%
Number of stents during the index procedure	1.21 ± 0.68
Peak Troponin I (ng/l)	84.5 ± 97.61
LVEF%	45.3 ± 9.5
LVEF ≤ 40%	31.7%
ECG data	
PR (ms)	167.3 ± 22.7
QRS (ms)	82.7 ± 13.2
QTc (ms)	406.7 ± 41.7

There was no significant association between gender, MI territory, diabetes, smoking status, LVEF, and **abnormal values** of TO, TS, or TWA (Table 2, all $P > 0.05$). Patients with **abnormal values** of TO were significantly older compared to patients with negative TO (mean age 68.0 ± 15.8 vs. 59.7 ± 14.0 , $P = 0.001$). In contrast, age was not significantly associated with the prevalence of **abnormal values** of TS or TWA (all $P > 0.25$). **Abnormal values** TO, TS, or TWA was not

Table 2. Data FROM Holter ECG in the Entire Population and in Patients with LVEF ≤ 40%

	Entire population	Patients with LVEF ≤ 40%
Geometric mean of PVB	25.9 ± 5.0	28.7 ± 6.0
Nonsustained VT (%)	11.7%	11.1%
HRT variables		
Mean TO (%)	-1.12 ± 2.5	-0.64 ± 2.15
TO > 0%	23.1%	28.6%
Geometric mean TS ms/RRI	5.75 ± 2.38	4.17 ± 2.42
TS < 2.5 ms/ RRI	16.6%	25.4%
TWA variables		
Mean TWA on channel 1 (μV)	25.2 ± 14.1	27.0 ± 16.0
TWA > 46 μV on channel 1	8.5%	11.1%
Mean TWA on channel 2 (μV)	23.8 ± 13.7	23.0 ± 13.9
TWA > 46 μV on channel 2	6.0%	6.3%

significantly associated with the occurrence of in hospital nonsustained VT (all $P > 0.1$).

During the 6-month follow-up period, five patients had an event, including two ventricular tachycardia requiring external electric cardioversion and three SCD. In addition, seven patients died of non-sudden-death causes, mostly during the index hospitalization ($n = 6$, one septic shock, one left ventricular free wall rupture, and four cardiogenic shocks). Consequently, the overall mortality during 6-month follow-up was 5.0% (10/199).

Prognostic performance of HRT and TWA in the entire cohort for the primary outcome

When considered as continuous variables, TO and TWA on channel 1 (TWA1) had poor ROC-AUC with regards to the primary outcome of the study (both 0.64) whereas TS and TWA on channel 2 (TWA2) failed to show any predictive performance (ROC-AUC 0.48 and 0.57, respectively) (Table 3). The predictive performance of the log-transformed variable of TS was similar (ROC-AUC = 0.48).

As TO and TWA1 showed some prognostic performance with regards to the primary outcome, we constructed a combined variable of TO and TWA1. To account for large differences in standard

Of note, in this subset, age, LVEF, and peak troponin had poor predictive performance with regards to the primary outcome (ROC-AUC 0.70, 0.36, and 0.65, respectively).

Prognostic performance of HRT and TWA for secondary outcomes

The four Holter ECG variables of interest had ROC-AUC ranging from 0.28 to 0.68 when considering all-cause death (data not shown) and ROC-AUC ranging from 0.35 to 0.69 when considering all cause death and VA treated with electric cardioversion (Table 3).

The combined variable of TO and TWA1 ($TO \times 6 + TWA1$) had a fair predictive performance when considering all-cause death or all cause death and VA treated with electric cardioversion (0.72 and 0.74, respectively). In patients with $LVEF \leq 40\%$, similar ROC-AUC were found (0.70 for all cause death and 0.73 for all cause death and VA treated with electric cardioversion).

DISCUSSION

The main finding of our study is that the integrated use of HRT and TWA can be useful in predicting sudden death or life-threatening VA within 6 months after acute MI. This finding appears of great interest considering that (1) most SCD after MI occur within the first year² and (2) numerous ICD implantations are performed more than 1-year after MI.^{4,19} HRT and TWA might be of interest in identifying patients who could benefit from early ICD implantation.

Usefulness of in hospital TWA and HRT to predict sudden death after MI

The predictive performance of TWA¹¹⁻¹³ and HRT^{1,9} for SCD has been proven in previous studies. However, in these studies, Holter recordings were usually performed more than 7 days after MI. In modern cardiology practice, as most MI patients leave the hospital within days of the MI occurrence,¹⁷ this implies an outpatient measurement. However, outpatient recording is probably a great limitation to the widespread utilization of TWA and HRT to assess early post-MI risk of SCD. In the present study, in-hospital measurement of TWA and HRT showed good predictive performance with regards to SCD and

life-threatening VA. This finding is in contradiction with results of the REFINE study.¹⁶ Indeed, Exner et al. found that Holter measurements were predictive of clinical outcome only if recordings were made 2 months after MI.¹⁶ However, it should be acknowledged that, as HRT and TWA can change with time.²⁰ These measures could be associated with clinical outcome only for a limited period of time (i.e., early measurements might predict only early outcome). Our positive results might thus be partly explained by the 6-month follow-up chosen in our study.

In contrast, our findings regarding the cumulative predictive value of TWA and HRT are in line with results of the REFINE study.¹⁶ Indeed, when taken separately, the predictive value of TWA and HRT remained limited (ROC-AUC < 0.7) whereas combining these variables showed good predictive value (ROC-AUC 0.80 in the whole population and 0.86 in patients with $LVEF < 40\%$). This predictive performance should be compared with the current techniques to predict SCD, which are based on clinical characteristics. In the post hoc analysis of the VALIANT trial published by Piccini et al.,²¹ the C-Index of the multivariable model for SCD 30 days to 6 months after MI was 0.747 despite the inclusion of 22 variables. When compared to this result, the assessment of risk for SCD with TWA and HRT measurement based on in-hospital Holter recordings appears of great interest.

Timing of ICD implantation

ICD implantation rates have increased in Europe during the decade²² but remains much lower than the US implantation rates. Numerous patients who meet the guidelines criteria for ICD implantation still do not benefit from ICD implantation.²³ The debate regarding the optimal timing for ICD implantation after MI is possibly one of the factors that precludes a larger adherence to guidelines. Most patients included in the MADIT I and II studies were enrolled greater than 6 months after MI (75% and 88%, respectively).³ Some data suggests that LVEF continue to increase more than 6 months after AMI.²⁴ In addition, some studies suggest a lack of efficiency of ICDs to prevent all-cause mortality when implanted early after MI.^{4,7,25} As a consequence, most ICDs are implanted distantly from the initial MI.^{4,19} In our study, we found that the combined variable of TWA and TO has a good predictive value for

SCD or life-threatening VA within six months after index MI. This variable could consequently help to identify patients who would most benefit from early ICD implantation. Patients with a combined Holter variable value ($TO*6 + TWA1$) > 31.5 might benefit from an ICD implantation within the first months after MI. Nonetheless, owing to the limited power of our study, further investigations should be conducted in similar settings to ascertain the predictive performance of in-hospital Holter recording to assess early arrhythmic risk after a first MI.

It was suggested from available data²⁵ that the prevention of early SCD after MI would only translate into higher rates of early nonarrhythmic deaths. However, this assertion is mainly based on the results of a study published in the mid 2000s.²⁵ The management of severe cardiac pump failure has considerably improved over the past 10 years.²⁶ Consequently, we could hypothesize that the prevented SCD might translate, currently, into higher rates of nonlethal severe heart failure events rather than higher rates of lethal heart failure events. For instance, ventricular assist devices have greatly improved over the past years.²⁷ From our point of view, identifying patients with very high arrhythmic risk remains of crucial interest.

Limitation of the study

This is a moderately sized cohort study. As SCD or life-threatening VA within 6 months of MI is a fairly rare event, we had limited statistical power for our analyses. Consequently, it was impossible to perform multivariable analyses. Importantly, we could not adjust for LVEF. However, Holter variables and LVEF are poorly correlated, and might thus be complementary with regards to sudden death prediction. Besides, within the subset of patients with LVEF $< 40\%$, our combined variable of HRT and TWA remained highly predictive of an arrhythmic event.

We could not determine the information gain of our combined Holter variable over other patient characteristics. However, no clinical risk score for SCD after MI is currently sufficiently accurate to guide therapy. Ejection fraction, which is virtually the only variable used in clinical practice to guide treatment, is known to suffer from a low sensitivity and specificity.²⁸ In our study, the predictive performance of our combined Holter variable was similar to that of LVEF (ROC-AUC 0.80 vs. 0.17,

delta between 0.5, with the two ROC-AUC being 0.30 and 0.33, respectively).

As the Holter recordings were performed during the initial hospital stay, mostly in the ICU, patients had no or very limited physical activity. Patients were also receiving variable doses of beta-blockers, which can impact Holter variables. However, these limitations are due to the timing of the Holter recording itself. Importantly, despite the setting in which the Holter measurements were performed, we found a good predictive performance of the combined TWA-HRT variable. This good predictive performance is, in the end, the most important feature. As discussed earlier, we feel that the measurement of HRT and TWA during the index hospital stay is a way to promote its use in clinical practice.

CONCLUSION

Our study demonstrates that abnormal values of HRT and TWA are useful predictors of early SCD or life-threatening VA after acute MI. A combined variable including HRT and TWA data (TO and TWA on channel 1) showed good predictive ability for early post-MI events and should be further evaluated in larger studies. This combined variable could help identify patients who would benefit from early ICD implantation after MI.

REFERENCES

1. Bauer A, Barthel P, Schneider R, et al. Improved Stratification of Autonomic Regulation for risk prediction in post-infarction patients with preserved left ventricular function (ISAR-Risk). *Eur Heart J* 2009;30:576-583.
2. Solomon SD, Zelenkofske S, McMurray JJ, et al. Sudden death in patients with myocardial infarction and left ventricular dysfunction, heart failure, or both. *N Engl J Med* 2005;352:2581-2588.
3. Tung R, Zimetbaum P, Josephson ME. A critical appraisal of implantable cardioverter-defibrillator therapy for the prevention of sudden cardiac death. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2008;52:1111-1121.
4. Voller H, Kamke W, Klein HU, et al. Clinical practice of defibrillator implantation after myocardial infarction: Impact of implant time: Results from the PreSCD II registry. *Europace*. 2011;13:499-508.
5. Epstein AE, DiMarco JP, Ellenbogen KA, et al. ACC/AHA/HRS 2008 Guidelines for Device-Based Therapy of Cardiac Rhythm Abnormalities: A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Writing Committee to Revise the ACC/AHA/NASPE 2002 Guideline Update for Implantation of Cardiac Pacemakers and Antiarrhythmia Devices) developed in collaboration with the American Association for Thoracic Surgery and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2008;51:e1-62.

6. Zipes DP, Camm AJ, Borggrefe M, et al. ACC/AHA/ESC 2006 Guidelines for Management of Patients With Ventricular Arrhythmias and the Prevention of Sudden Cardiac Death: A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force and the European Society of Cardiology Committee for Practice Guidelines (writing committee to develop Guidelines for Management of Patients With Ventricular Arrhythmias and the Prevention of Sudden Cardiac Death): Developed in collaboration with the European Heart Rhythm Association and the Heart Rhythm Society. *Circulation* 2006;114:e385-484.
7. Wilber DJ, Zareba W, Hall WJ, et al. Time dependence of mortality risk and defibrillator benefit after myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 2004;109:1082-1084.
8. Bauer A, Malik M, Schmidt G, et al. Heart rate turbulence: Standards of measurement, physiological interpretation, and clinical use: International Society for Holter and Noninvasive Electrophysiology Consensus. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2008;52:1353-1365.
9. Makikallio TH, Barthel P, Schneider R, et al. Prediction of sudden cardiac death after acute myocardial infarction: Role of Holter monitoring in the modern treatment era. *Eur Heart J* 2005;26:762-769.
10. Cebula S, Sredniawa B, Kowalczyk J, et al. The significance of heart rate turbulence in predicting major cardiovascular events in patients after myocardial infarction treated invasively. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol* 2012;17:230-240.
11. Costantini O, Hohnloser SH, Kirk MM, et al. The ABCD (Alternans Before Cardioverter Defibrillator) Trial: Strategies using T-wave alternans to improve efficiency of sudden cardiac death prevention. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2009;53:471-479.
12. Ikeda T, Saito H, Tanno K, et al. T-wave alternans as a predictor for sudden cardiac death after myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol* 2002;89:79-82.
13. Ikeda T, Yoshino H, Sugi K, et al. Predictive value of microvolt T-wave alternans for sudden cardiac death in patients with preserved cardiac function after acute myocardial infarction: Results of a collaborative cohort study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;48:2268-2274.
14. Calo L, De Santo T, Nuccio F, et al. Predictive value of microvolt T-wave alternans for cardiac death or ventricular tachyarrhythmic events in ischemic and nonischemic cardiomyopathy patients: A meta-analysis. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol* 2011;16:388-402.
15. Ren L, Fang X, Wang Y, et al. T-wave alternans and heart rate variability: A comparison in patients with myocardial infarction with or without diabetes mellitus. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol* 2011;16:232-238.
16. Exner DV, Kavanagh KM, Slawnych MP, et al. Noninvasive risk assessment early after a myocardial infarction the REFINE study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007;50:2275-2284.
17. Ferrieres J, Sartral M, Tcherny-Lessenot S, et al. Investigators At. A prospective observational study of treatment practice patterns in acute coronary syndrome patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention in Europe. *Arch Cardiovasc Dis* 2011;104:104-114.
18. Verrier RL, Klingenheben T, Malik M, et al. Microvolt T-wave alternans physiological basis, methods of measurement, and clinical utility—consensus guideline by International Society for Holter and Noninvasive Electrocardiology. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011;58:1309-1324.
19. Sjoblom J, Ljung L, Frick M, et al. Primary prevention of defibrillator implantation after myocardial infarction: Clinical practice and compliance to guidelines. *Europace* 2012;14:490-495.
20. Huikuri HV, Exner DV, Kavanagh KM, et al. Attenuated recovery of heart rate turbulence early after myocardial infarction identifies patients at high risk for fatal or near-fatal arrhythmic events. *Heart Rhythm* 2010;7:229-235.
21. Piccini JP, Zhang L, Pieper K, et al. Predictors of sudden cardiac death change with time after myocardial infarction: Results from the VALIANT trial. *Eur Heart J* 2010;31:211-221.
22. Boriani G, Berti E, Biffi M, et al. Implantable electrical devices for prevention of sudden cardiac death: Data on implant rates from a 'real world' regional registry. *Europace* 2010;12:1224-1230.
23. Mezu U, Ch I, Halder I, et al. Women and minorities are less likely to receive an implantable cardioverter defibrillator for primary prevention of sudden cardiac death. *Europace* 2012;14:341-344.
24. Bauters C, Fertin M, Delhaye C, et al. Late recovery in left ventricular systolic function after discharge of patients with a first anterior myocardial infarction. *Arch Cardiovasc Dis* 2010;103:538-545.
25. Hohnloser SH, Kuck KH, Dorian P, et al. Prophylactic use of an implantable cardioverter-defibrillator after acute myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med* 2004;351:2481-2488.
26. Goldberg RJ, Spencer FA, Gore JM, et al. Thirty-year trends (1975 to 2005) in the magnitude of, management of, and hospital death rates associated with cardiogenic shock in patients with acute myocardial infarction: A population-based perspective. *Circulation* 2009;119:1211-1219.
27. Trochu JN, Leprince P, Bielefeld-Gomez M, et al. Left ventricle assist device: When and which patients should we refer? *Arch Cardiovasc Dis* 2012;105:114-121.
28. Santangeli P, Dello Russo A, Casella M, et al. Left ventricular ejection fraction for the risk stratification of sudden cardiac death: Friend or foe? *Int Med J* 2011;41:55-60.