Comparison of Standard versus Orthogonal ECG Leads for T-Wave Alternans Identification

Laura Burattini,* Sumche Man,† Roberto Burattini,* and Cees A. Swenne†

From the *Department of Information Engineering, Polytechnic University of Marche, Ancona, Italy; †Department of Cardiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands

T-wave alternans (TWA), an electrophysiologic phenomenon associated with ventricular arrhythmias, is usually detected from selected ECG leads. TWA amplitude measured in the 12-standard and the 3-orthogonal (vectorcardiographic) leads were compared here to identify which lead system yields a more adequate detection of TWA as a noninvasive marker for cardiac vulnerability to ventricular arrhythmias. Our adaptive match filter (AMF) was applied to exercise ECG tracings from 58 patients with an implanted cardiac defibrillator, 29 of which had ventricular tachycardia or fibrillation during follow-up (cases), while the remaining 29 were used as controls. Two kinds of TWA indexes were considered, the single-lead indexes, defined as the mean TWA amplitude over each lead (MTWAA), and lead-system indexes, defined as the mean and the maximum MTWAA values over the standard leads and over the orthogonal leads. Significantly ($P < 0.05$) higher TWA in the cases versus controls was identified only occasionally by the single-lead indexes (odds ratio: 1.0–9.9, sensitivity: 24–76%, specificity: 76–86%), and consistently by the lead-system indexes (odds ratio: 4.5–8.3, sensitivity: 57–72%, specificity: 76%). The latter indexes also showed a significant correlation (0.65–0.83) between standard and orthogonal leads. Hence, when using the AMF, TWA should be detected in all leads of a system to compute the lead-system indexes, which provide a more reliable TWA identification than single-lead indexes, and a better discrimination of patients at increased risk of cardiac instability. The standard and the orthogonal leads can be considered equivalent for TWA identification, so that TWA analysis can be limited to one-lead system.

Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol 2012;17(2):130–140

T-wave alternans; repolarization variability; ECG lead systems

INTRODUCTION

T-wave alternans (TWA) consists of every-other-beat shape or amplitude alternation of the electrocardiographic (ECG) repolarization segment. A link between TWA and malignant ventricular arrhythmias^{1–5} and sudden cardiac death^{6–12} is generally recognized.

A correct choice of the surface ECG lead systems to be used in clinical applications is fundamental for a reliable identification of TWA. Indeed, since TWA may occur as a regionally specific phenomenon,^{13–14} the TWA level may be lead dependent.

In our previous studies^{15–18} TWA measures from each orthogonal (X,Y,Z) lead were averaged, whereas Rosenbaum et al. preferred TWA identification from the vector magnitude.^{1,6,19} Other authors performed their analysis on the precordial leads only,^{7,20–22} on the 12 standard leads,^{12,23} or even on 15 leads (12 standard and three orthogonal),^{3,8,24–26} Examples of TWA identification from other combinations of leads are also present in the literature.^{27,28}

The present study was designed to identify the ECG lead system, either the 12 standard or the three orthogonal, which provides the best

Address for correspondence: Laura Burattini, Ph.D., Department of Information Engineering, Polytechnic University of Marche, 60131 Ancona, Italy. Fax: (39) 071 220 4224; E-mail: l.burattini@univpm.it

Conflict of interest: No authors have any financial or personal relationships with other people or organisations that could inappropriately influence (bias) this work.

noninvasive marker for cardiac vulnerability to ventricular arrhythmias when using our adaptive match filter method for TWA identification.¹⁵ To this aim, 16-lead (12 standard, three orthogonal, and the vector magnitude) exercise ECG tracings from 58 patients with a cardiac defibrillator implanted (ICD) for primary prevention were analyzed. Among these patients, 29 had ventricular tachycardia or fibrillation during follow-up (cases), while the remaining 29 were used as control group (controls). Our ICD population was used as a whole when comparing TWA quantification from different leads, whereas the two subgroups were contrasted when evaluating each lead system ability to discriminate cases from controls. Both ICD patients groups are known to show increased levels of TWA compared to healthy subjects.²⁶

METHODS

Study Population

Our study population consisted of 58 subjects from the Leiden University Medical Center (The Netherlands) collection of routine clinical data from patients with an ICD for primary prevention because of a depressed left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF < 0.35). All patients were receiving standard care, which included periodic visits to the outpatient clinic, amongst others, to assess validity by bicycle ergometry. Average duration of the clinical follow-up was four years. The bicycle ergometer test consisted of an approximately 10-minute bicycle test during which the workload was incremented every minute by 10% of his/her expected maximal exercise capacity. The test was considered valid if heart rate was within the 95–110 bpm range for at least one minute during the exercise phase. During follow-up, patients were classified as either “cases” if developing ventricular tachycardia or ventricular fibrillation (treated with antitachycardia pacing and/or shock therapy), or “controls” (no device therapy during follow-up). Several patients had more than one exercise test during the follow-up period. Case patients exercise tests were excluded when a major cardiac event (infarction, VT ablation, CABG) occurred between the exercise test and the moment of VT/VF. If more than one exercise test remained available for analysis, the one closest in time (either before or after) to the VT/VF episode was selected. In control patients with more than one suitable exercise test, the earliest available one was selected.

Twenty-nine controls and 29 cases, matched for age, gender, body mass index, LVEF, coronary-artery-disease location, cardiomyopathy etiology, NYHA functional classes, and medication at the time of exercise tests (Table 1), were retrospectively selected for the present observational study on TWA. Investigators were blinded to TWA levels when matching was performed.

ECG Recordings

During the bicycle ergometer test, an 8-lead (I, II, V₁ to V₆) exercise ECG recording was obtained from each patient using the GE CASE 8000 recorder (sampling frequency: 500 Hz; resolution: 4.88 μ V/LSB). Leads III, aVR, aVL, aVF, X, Y, Z, and the vector magnitude (VM) were obtained using well-known transformations.^{29–32} Consequently, a 16-lead ECG tracing was available for each patient.

T-Wave Alternans Identification

TWA was identified using our heart-rate adaptive match filter (AMF) method,¹⁵ a technique previously tested and prospectively validated in both clinical and simulated settings.^{15–17,33–37} Our AMF was applied to each one of the 16 leads available for each patient independently. Windows of 16 consecutive heart beats were recursively (every 2 seconds) analyzed after being preprocessed for noise and baseline removal, and for noisy and ectopic beats replacement.^{33,35} ECG windows with more than one replaced beat were rejected. Eventually, ECG windows characterized by stable heart rate (NN standard deviation, in seconds, less than 10% of mean NN, in seconds) were submitted to our AMF, which provided a sinusoidal signal whose amplitude represents an estimate of the TWA amplitude (TWAA, μ V) characterizing the input ECG. TWAA values detected from all the accepted 16-beat windows of a single-lead 10-min ECG recording were averaged (MTWAA, μ V) to provide an overall characterization of the lead.

Two kinds of TWA indexes were considered: the single-lead indexes, directly represented by the MTWAA values in leads I to III, V₁ to V₆, aVR, aVL, aVF, X, Y, and Z; and lead-system indexes, represented by the mean and the maximum values of MTWAA (mean MTWAA and max MTWAA, respectively) over the standard leads, over the precordial leads (often considered the most representative among the standard³⁸), and over the

Table 1. Clinical Parameters Relative to the Entire ICD Population, and for Cases and Controls. Reported Values: Mean $\pm$ Standard Deviation or Number of Occurrences

	Overall (n = 58)	Cases (n = 29)	Controls (n = 29)	P
General				
Age (years)	59.3 $\pm$ 11.2	60.4 $\pm$ 12.2	58.2 $\pm$ 10.9	NS
Gender (male)	46	23	23	NS
BMI (Kg/m ²)	27 $\pm$ 4	26 $\pm$ 4	27 $\pm$ 3	NS
LVEF (%)	29 $\pm$ 9%	28 $\pm$ 12%	29 $\pm$ 6%	NS
CRT-D	32	16	16	NS
Follow-up (years)	4.2 $\pm$ 1.8	4.6 $\pm$ 1.76	3.9 $\pm$ 1.8	NS
CAD location				
RCA	2	1	1	NS
LCA	21	10	11	NS
RCA, LCA	5	2	3	NS
RCA, LCx	2	2	0	NS
LCA, LCx	5	3	2	NS
RCA, LCx, LCA	9	4	5	NS
None	14	7	7	NS
Etiology				
Ischemic	44	22	22	NS
Nonischemic	14	7	7	NS
NYHA functional class				
I-II	43	21	22	NS
III-IV	15	8	7	NS
Medication at exercise test				
Beta-blocker	54	27	27	NS
ACE inhibitor / AT antagonist	54	27	27	NS
Diuretics for CHF	43	24	19	NS
Statins	47	24	23	NS
Amiodarone	9	6	3	NS

ACE = angiotensin converting enzyme; AT = angiotensin; BMI = body mass index; LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction; CAD = coronary artery disease; CHF = congestive heart failure; LCx = Circumflex; CRT-D = cardiac resynchronization therapy with defibrillator; LCA = left coronary artery; NS = not statistically significant; NYHA = New York Heart Association; RCA = right coronary artery.

orthogonal leads, and by the MTWAA value in the VM tracing.

T-Wave Amplitude Quantification

Since it has been hypothesized^{39,40} a possible dependency of TWA amplitude on T-wave amplitude (TA, here defined as the difference between the maximum and the minimum ECG amplitude throughout the ST segment), the TA values obtained from all the single-lead 16-beat ECG windows accepted for TWA analysis were averaged (MTA, μ V) to provide an overall estimate of T-wave amplitude in one lead.

Analysis of T-wave Alternans Dependency on T-wave Amplitude

Interpatients analysis of TWA dependency on TA was evaluated by computing, for each lead, the

correlation coefficient (see Statistics) between the MTWAA and MTA distributions over the 58 ICD patients.

Interleads analysis of TWA dependency on TA was evaluated by first computing, for each lead, the mean values of MTWAA and MTA parameters over the ICD population, and then computing the correlation coefficient for the mean MTWAA versus mean MTA distribution over the 15 leads (12 standard and three orthogonal).

Statistics

To be independent of normal distributions, non-parametric tests were used to perform comparisons among quantities. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used to perform the one-way ANOVA test to evaluate if, when considering the entire ICD population, MTWAA, and MTA parameters distributions over

Table 2. Single-Lead and Lead-System TWA Indexes for the Entire ICD Population, and for Cases and Controls. P-Value Refers to Cases versus Controls

	Overall (n = 58)	Cases (n = 29)	Controls (n = 29)	P
Single lead analysis:				
MTWAA (μ V) 12 Standard leads				
I	12 $\pm$ 6	12 $\pm$ 6	12 $\pm$ 7	NS
II	24 $\pm$ 15	28 $\pm$ 18	20 $\pm$ 10	0.0349
III	25 $\pm$ 18	30 $\pm$ 22	21 $\pm$ 11	NS
V ₁	17 $\pm$ 12	21 $\pm$ 15	13 $\pm$ 6	0.0171
V ₂	21 $\pm$ 13	25 $\pm$ 14	17 $\pm$ 10	0.0059
V ₃	26 $\pm$ 21	32 $\pm$ 27	20 $\pm$ 10	NS
V ₄	22 $\pm$ 13	26 $\pm$ 16	18 $\pm$ 9	NS
V ₅	19 $\pm$ 13	22 $\pm$ 16	16 $\pm$ 6	NS
V ₆	17 $\pm$ 9	19 $\pm$ 12	15 $\pm$ 6	NS
aVR	15 $\pm$ 9	17 $\pm$ 10	13 $\pm$ 7	NS
aVL	16 $\pm$ 15	20 $\pm$ 20	13 $\pm$ 7	0.0406
aVF	23 $\pm$ 11	25 $\pm$ 11	20 $\pm$ 11	NS
MTWAA (μ V) 3 orthogonal leads				
X	14 $\pm$ 9	16 $\pm$ 11	11 $\pm$ 5	NS
Y	20 $\pm$ 10	22 $\pm$ 9	17 $\pm$ 9	0.0216
Z	16 $\pm$ 10	20 $\pm$ 12	12 $\pm$ 5	0.0004
Lead-system analysis:				
12 standard leads				
Mean MTWAA (μ V)	19 $\pm$ 8	22 $\pm$ 9	16 $\pm$ 5	0.0013
Max MTWAA (μ V)	33 $\pm$ 20	41 $\pm$ 23	25 $\pm$ 11	0.0001
6 precordial leads				
Mean MTWAA (μ V)	20 $\pm$ 11	23 $\pm$ 13	17 $\pm$ 6	0.0164
Max MTWAA (μ V)	31 $\pm$ 20	39 $\pm$ 24	24 $\pm$ 10	0.0009
3 orthogonal leads				
Mean MTWAA (μ V)	16 $\pm$ 7	19 $\pm$ 8	15 $\pm$ 4	0.0124
Max MTWAA (μ V)	22 $\pm$ 11	26 $\pm$ 12	19 $\pm$ 9	0.0125
vector magnitude				
MTWAA (μ V)	17 $\pm$ 12	20 $\pm$ 15	15 $\pm$ 9	NS

MTWAA = mean T-wave alternans amplitude; NS = not statistically significant; TWA = T-wave alternans.

the leads of a specific system were characterized by the same median value. Information about which pairs of leads had different median values was obtained using the multiple comparison procedure. Comparisons between the distributions of continuous clinical parameters, TWA indexes and TA values over the two groups of patients were performed using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test for equal medians. Differences in the binary parameters distributions between the two groups were evaluated using the chi-square test. Agreement between two parameter distributions was evaluated by computing the correlation coefficient (r) and the regression line that best interpolates the data in the least-squares sense. The statistical significance level was set at 5%.

To evaluate the effect of high levels of TWA on the risk of developing VT/VF, the odds ratio (OR), based on logistic regression models, as well as the

sensitivity (Se) and specificity (Sp) were used. No previous studies were available to provide, for each lead, the minimum risky TWAA levels. Thus, the controls were used to defined such thresholds as the 75th percentile of the MTWAA distributions and of the mean and max MTWAA distributions, for the single-lead analysis and the lead system analysis, respectively.

RESULTS

The single-lead TWA indexes and the TA parameters for the entire ICD population and for the cases and controls are reported in Table 2 and Table 3, respectively. Some significant differences were detectable among the 12 standard leads ($P < 10^{-14}$) as well as among the three orthogonal leads ($P < 10^{-4}$), even though it was not possible to identify a specific lead characterized by the highest or the

Table 3. Single-Lead MTA Values for the Entire ICD Population, and for Cases and Controls. P-Value Refers to Cases versus Controls

MTA (μ V)	Overall (n = 58)	Cases (n = 29)	Controls (n = 29)	P
12 Standard leads				
I	171 $\pm$ 94	148 $\pm$ 63	194 $\pm$ 114	NS
II	418 $\pm$ 202	399 $\pm$ 189	438 $\pm$ 216	NS
III	406 $\pm$ 233	389 $\pm$ 190	423 $\pm$ 271	NS
V ₁	316 $\pm$ 209	335 $\pm$ 182	298 $\pm$ 235	NS
V ₂	538 $\pm$ 327	578 $\pm$ 329	497 $\pm$ 325	NS
V ₃	605 $\pm$ 339	619 $\pm$ 332	591 $\pm$ 350	NS
V ₄	505 $\pm$ 262	506 $\pm$ 280	503 $\pm$ 261	NS
V ₅	388 $\pm$ 211	377 $\pm$ 196	400 $\pm$ 228	NS
V ₆	315 $\pm$ 187	306 $\pm$ 182	323 $\pm$ 195	NS
aVR	255 $\pm$ 118	232 $\pm$ 116	278 $\pm$ 117	NS
aVL	230 $\pm$ 140	221 $\pm$ 97	238 $\pm$ 174	NS
aVF	405 $\pm$ 210	381 $\pm$ 178	429 $\pm$ 239	NS
3 Orthogonal leads				
X	281 $\pm$ 143	267 $\pm$ 129	295 $\pm$ 157	NS
Y	357 $\pm$ 178	341 $\pm$ 166	374 $\pm$ 191	NS
Z	357 $\pm$ 237	391 $\pm$ 216	324 $\pm$ 257	NS

MTA = mean T-wave amplitude; NS = not statistically significant.

Table 4. Interpatients Analysis of T-Wave Alternans Dependency on T-Wave Amplitude.

	r (MTWAA vs. MTA)	P
12 Standard leads		
I	0.39	<10 ⁻²
II	0.28	<0.05
III	0.39	<10 ⁻²
V ₁	0.36	<10 ⁻²
V ₂	0.45	<10 ⁻³
V ₃	0.48	<10 ⁻³
V ₄	0.51	<10 ⁻⁴
V ₅	0.49	<10 ⁻³
V ₆	0.51	<10 ⁻⁴
aVR	0.28	<0.05
aVL	0.39	<10 ⁻²
aVF	0.30	<0.05
3 Orthogonal leads		
X	0.43	<10 ⁻³
Y	0.21	NS
Z	0.42	<10 ⁻²

MTWA = mean T-wave alternans amplitude; MTA = mean T-wave amplitude; NS = not statistically significant; r = correlation coefficient.

lowest MTWAA values, compared to all the other leads of the same system. Instead, MTA analysis showed that some significant differences were detectable among the standard leads ($P < 10^{-15}$) but not among the orthogonal leads. Eventually, the analysis of TWA dependency on TA highlighted a

weak ($0.21 \leq r \leq 0.51$, with $P < 0.05$ in all cases but for lead Y) interpatients association between MTWAA and MTA (Table 4), whose mean values over the 58 ICD patients were instead linked by a strong ($r = 0.85$, $P < 10^{-3}$; Fig. 1) interleads association. Moreover, though MTWAA measured in a specific lead was consistently greater in the cases than in controls, statistically significant increments of MTWAA were detected only in four (II, V₁, V₂, and aVL) of the 12 standard leads, and in two (Y and Z) of the three orthogonal leads. No significant differences were observed between TA values relative to the same leads in the two ICD populations groups (Table 3).

When comparing standard versus orthogonal leads TWA indexes, no significant difference were found in terms of mean MTWAA (standard: $19 \pm 8 \mu$ V, orthogonal: $16 \pm 7 \mu$ V, $P > 0.05$; Table 2), whereas max MTWAA was significantly higher in the former than in the latter leads (standard: $33 \pm 20 \mu$ V, orthogonal: $22 \pm 11 \mu$ V, $P = 0.0002$; Table 2). Moreover, the mean and max MTWAA values detected in the standard leads are significantly dependent of the mean and max MTWAA values detected in the orthogonal leads, respectively (mean values $r = 0.83$, $P < 10^{-14}$, Fig. 2A, maximum values $r = 0.65$, $P < 10^{-7}$, Fig. 2B). When using the precordial leads only, these, compared to the orthogonal, showed significantly higher values of both mean MTWAA (precordial: $20 \pm 11 \mu$ V,

Figure 1. Regression line ('r': correlation coefficient) representing the interleads association between the mean MTWAA and mean MTA distributions over 15 leads (I–III, V₁–V₆, aVR, aVL and aVF, X, Y, and Z).

orthogonal: $16 \pm 7 \mu\text{V}$, $P = 0.0264$; Table 2) and max MTWAA (precordial: $31 \pm 20 \mu\text{V}$, orthogonal: $22 \pm 11 \mu\text{V}$, $P = 0.0028$; Table 2). Correlation values between the mean and max MTWAA values provided by the two-lead systems were 0.82 ($P < 10^{-14}$; Fig. 2C) and 0.63 ($P < 10^{-6}$; Fig. 2D), respectively. The VM provided values of MTWAA ($17 \pm 12 \mu\text{V}$) comparable to the mean MTWAA over the standard and the orthogonal leads, but significantly lower than the mean MTWAA over the precordial leads ($P = 0.0188$) and all max MTWAA values ($P < 0.05$). Significant correlations were also found between the MTWAA values detected in the VM and the mean and max MTWAA values over the standard (mean MTWAA: $r = 0.67$, $P < 10^{-7}$, Fig. 3A; max MTWAA: $r = 0.55$, $P < 10^{-5}$, Fig. 3B), the precordial (mean MTWAA: $r = 0.65$, $P < 10^{-7}$, Fig. 3C; max MTWAA: $r = 0.57$, $P < 10^{-5}$, Fig. 3D), and the orthogonal (mean MTWAA: $r = 0.78$, $P < 10^{-12}$, Fig. 3E; max MTWAA: $r = 0.80$, $P < 10^{-13}$, Fig. 3F) leads. Both mean and max MTWAA values in the standard, precordial, and orthogonal leads were significantly higher in the cases than in the controls (Table 2). Instead, the VM provided MTWAA values which did not differ significantly.

Eventually, significant higher occurrences of positive TWA among cases compared to controls, associated to higher OR and better Se and Sp, were obtained only in leads V₂, Y, and Z, when performing the single-lead analysis, and in all but one case (six precordial leads, mean MTWAA indexes) when performing the lead-system analysis (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

The possible existence of an optimal lead or lead system for TWA identification has long been matter of debate.⁶ Electrocardiographic TWA is a reflection of intracardiac cellular alternans, whose spatial distribution from the endocardium to epicardium and from the apex to base of the heart widely varies among patients and afflicting diseases.^{38,41} Consequently, the optimal lead for TWA identification is subject-dependent. Moreover, measurements from a single lead are more likely affected by noise and artifacts, which may hamper a correct TWA characterization.³⁵ Thus, TWA has usually been identified in more than one lead, not necessarily all belonging to the same

Figure 2. Representation of mean and max MTWAA values over the standard versus the orthogonal leads (panels A and B), and over the precordial versus orthogonal leads (panels C and D) for 58 ICD patients (*). Correlation coefficient ('r') and regression line slope and intercept were 0.83 ($P < 10^{-14}$), 0.92, and $3.48 \mu\text{V}$, respectively, for panel A; 0.65 ($P < 10^{-7}$), 1.13, and $7.65 \mu\text{V}$, respectively, for panel B; 0.82 ($P < 10^{-14}$), 1.19, and $0.42 \mu\text{V}$, respectively, for panel C; and 0.63 ($P < 10^{-6}$), 1.11, and $6.31 \mu\text{V}$, respectively, for panel D.

system. During the 1990s, when the spectral method was proposed as the first automatic technique for TWA identification, the amount of computations required was significant for those years technology, so that the VM was generally used.¹ The VM, indeed, has the double advantage of representing a cleaner version the orthogonal leads while requiring the same computational efforts of a single ECG lead. Later on, when computers became more powerful, more and more leads^{7,20–22,27,28} have been used for TWA identification. In 2002, Bloomfield et al.²⁴ proposed to analyze all 16 leads (12 standard, three orthogonal, and a VM) independently, and to classify TWA as positive when TWA amplitude was greater or equal to $1.9 \mu\text{V}$ and, simultaneously, the alternans ratio (the spectral-method noise test) was greater or equal to three for more than a minute in any of the three orthogonal leads, or in at least two adjacent precordial leads, or in the VM. This approach, which requires the use of the spectral method as TWA identification technique, has been later on widely adopted.^{3,8,25,42} In 2005, Verrier et al. proposed the methodological

guidelines for ambulatory ECG-based TWA analysis by means of the modified moving average method,³⁸ and indicated the unipolar precordial lead configuration as optimum. In addition, the true precordial leads were considered desirable when analyzing TWA in patients with myocardial ischemia or scar tissue, whereas limb leads as well as precordial leads were recommended for patients affected by the long QT syndrome or by other diseases that do not lead to specific regional changes in myocardial electrical properties. No specific guidelines have been previously proposed for our AMF-based TWA identification procedure.^{15,34}

Since both the 12 standard leads and the three orthogonal leads were conceived to provide a complete view of the heart from different angles and show excellent diagnostic agreement,⁴³ the present study investigated if one of the two systems allows a better TWA identification by our AMF^{33–35} and a better discrimination of patients at increased risk of major arrhythmic events. To this aim, exercise ECG recordings of 58 ICD patients, half of which showing VT/VF events during the follow up, were

Figure 3. Representation of mean and max MTWAA values over the standard (panels A and B), the precordial (panels C and D) and the orthogonal (panels E and E) leads versus MTWAA from the VM (panels A and B) for 58 ICD patients (*). Correlation coefficient ('r') and regression line slope and intercept were 0.67 ($P < 10^{-7}$), 0.99, and $-1.16 \mu\text{V}$, respectively, for panel A; $r = 0.55$, ($P < 10^{-5}$), 0.35, and $5.79 \mu\text{V}$, respectively, for panel B; 0.65 ($P < 10^{-7}$), 0.75, and $2.32 \mu\text{V}$, respectively, for panel C; 0.57 ($P < 10^{-5}$), 0.35, and $6.37 \mu\text{V}$, respectively, for panel D; 0.78 ($P < 10^{-12}$), 1.32, and $-4.24 \mu\text{V}$, respectively, for panel E; and 0.80 ($P < 10^{-13}$), 0.88, and $-2.34 \mu\text{V}$, respectively, for panel F.

analyzed. The AMF was applied to 16-beat ECG windows, which were short enough to satisfy the heart-rate stability condition, and long enough to allow a correct filtering procedure. Eventually, the T-wave amplitude, from which TWA was hypothesized^{39,40} to be dependent of, was considered as a covariate and as an index of the signal-to-noise ratio.

Analysis of the 15 single-lead TWA indexes (Table 2) highlighted some significant differences among leads. The leads showing higher TWA were also characterized by higher T-wave amplitude, but were not the most powerful in detecting significant increments of TWA among the cases. Indeed, significantly higher TWA among the cases than among the controls was only detected in leads II, V_1 , V_2 , Y, and Z, without a concomitant increase of the T-wave amplitude (Table 3). These leads, which may be considered optimal for TWA identification in the present study, are not necessarily optimal in the

presence of other diseases or when using different TWA identification techniques. For example, Leino et al.,⁴⁴ when analyzing TWA with the modified moving average method⁴⁵ in all precordial leads from the Finnish Cardiovascular Study⁴⁶ patients, found that maximum TWA monitored from lead V_5 is the strongest predictor of cardiovascular mortality and sudden cardiac death during routine exercise testing. In a study on patients undergoing angioplasty, Nearing et al.¹⁴ found that the precordial leads were superior to lead II or orthogonal leads for TWA identification by mean of the complex demodulation method.⁴⁷

The lead system TWA indexes, characterizing TWA with one TWA value for the standard leads and one TWA value for the orthogonal leads, highlighted some TWA amplitude differences between the two subgroups of ICD patients better than the single-lead TWA indexes, but did not provide insights on the spatial localization of TWA in the

Table 5. MTWAA Thresholds over which Positive T-Wave Alternans (+) is Detected, with Corresponding Odds Ratio (OR), Sensitivity (Se), and Specificity (Sp) Values for each Single Lead and Lead System. P refers to Cases+ versus Controls+

	MTWAA threshold (μ V)	Cases+ (n = 29)	Controls+ (n = 29)	OR	Se	Sp	P
Single lead analysis							
MTWAA (μ V) 12 Standard leads							
I	14	7	7	1	24%	76%	NS
II	23	13	7	2.6	45%	76%	NS
III	28	13	7	2.6	45%	76%	NS
V ₁	16	13	6	3.1	45%	79%	NS
V ₂	22	17	7	4.5	59%	76%	<0.01
V ₃	25	13	7	2.6	45%	76%	NS
V ₄	23	12	7	2.2	41%	76%	NS
V ₅	21	8	7	1.2	28%	76%	NS
V ₆	18	9	7	1.4	31%	76%	NS
aVR	15	12	7	2.2	41%	76%	NS
aVL	17	12	7	2.2	41%	76%	NS
aVF	24	13	7	2.6	45%	76%	NS
MTWAA (μ V) 3 Orthogonal leads							
X	14	10	4	3.3	34%	86%	NS
Y	20	17	7	4.5	59%	76%	<0.01
Z	13	22	7	9.9	76%	76%	<0.005
Lead-system analysis:							
12 standard leads							
Mean MTWAA (μ V)	17	19	7	6.0	66%	76%	<0.005
Max MTWAA (μ V)	31	21	7	8.3	72%	76%	<0.005
6 precordial leads							
Mean MTWAA (μ V)	21	9	5	2.2	31%	83%	NS
Max MTWAA (μ V)	30	17	5	6.8	59%	83%	<0.005
3 orthogonal leads							
Mean MTWAA (μ V)	17	17	7	4.5	57%	76%	<0.01
Max MTWAA (μ V)	22	18	7	5.1	62%	76%	<0.005
vector magnitude							
MTWAA (μ V)	17	16	6	4.7	55%	76%	<0.01

MTWAA = mean T-wave amplitude; NS = not statistically significant.

heart. The mean MTWAA index, previously used in,^{15–17} was contrasted here with the max MTWAA index, used in.^{12,26} Both indexes were able to identify significantly higher TWA in the cases than in the controls (Table 2), with correlation of standard versus orthogonal being higher for the mean MTWAA ($r = 0.83$) than for the max MTWAA ($r = 0.65$). Since standard and orthogonal leads provide a different representation of the same physical phenomenon, a high correlation was expected. Still, max MTWAA may be more affected by noise, whereas mean MTWAA reduces the noise and provides a more robust TWA estimation. On the other hand, max MTWAA is more likely able to detect short and transient episodes of TWA which may be present during dynamic ECG recordings, and would remain hidden by the averaging procedure.

The existence of a significant correlation between corresponding lead-system indexes from the standard and the orthogonal leads indicates that the two lead systems can be considered equivalent for TWA identification. Use of the only precordial leads among the standard, as suggested in several studies,^{7,14,20–22} halved the number of computations without a significant change in the results. Eventually, the MTWAA from the VM was the only lead-system index which was not able to discriminate between cases and controls (Table 2), and provided correlation values with the mean and max MTWAA which were lower for the standard and precordial leads ($0.55 \leq r \leq 0.67$) than for the orthogonal leads ($0.78 \leq r \leq 0.80$). This may be due to the fact that the spatial orientation of the heart vector is not represented in the VM. When VM

remains reasonable constant but the spatial orientation alternates, the amplitudes in several ECG leads will alternate too, depending on the projection of the heart vector alterations on the leads.

The analysis of OR, Se, and Sp values confirmed the finding that, when analyzing TWA with the AMF, lead-system indexes should be preferred over the single-lead indexes (Table 5), the latter being only occasionally able to detect significant differences between the cases and the controls. Among the lead-system indexes, the max MTWAA was found to be superior to the mean MTWAA. Eventually, analysis over the standard leads was a little more performing than over orthogonal leads (Table 5).

In conclusion, when identifying TWA by means of the AMF-based method, TWA should be detected in all leads belonging to a system (standard or orthogonal). In our ICD population, the lead-system TWA indexes provide a more reliable TWA identification than single-lead MTWAA indexes, and should be preferred for discrimination of patients at increased risk of cardiac instability. Eventually, the standard and the orthogonal leads provide equivalent TWA identification, so that TWA analysis can be limited to either one of these systems of leads.

Acknowledgment: *This study was supported by the Netherlands Heart Foundation.*

REFERENCES

1. Rosenbaum DS, Jackson LE, Smith JM, et al. Electrical alternans and vulnerability to ventricular arrhythmias. *N Engl J Med* 1994;330:235–241.
2. Klöngenheben T, Zabel M, D'Agostino RB, et al. Predictive value of T-wave alternans for arrhythmic events in patients with congestive heart failure. *Lancet* 2000;356:651–652.
3. Narayan SM. T-wave alternans and the susceptibility to ventricular arrhythmias. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;47:269–281.
4. Hohnloser SH. T-wave alternans: A pathophysiological link to human ventricular tachyarrhythmias. *Heart Rhythm* 2008;5:677–678.
5. Maeda S, Nishizaki M, Yamawake N, et al. Ambulatory ECG-based T-wave alternans and heart rate turbulence predict high risk of arrhythmic events in patients with old myocardial infarction. *Circ J* 2009;73:2223–2228.
6. Rosenbaum DS, Albrecht P, Cohen RJ. Predicting sudden cardiac death from T-wave alternans of the surface electrocardiogram: Promises and pitfalls. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 1996;7:1095–1111.
7. Verrier RL, Nearing BD, La Rovere MT, et al. ATRAMI Investigators. Ambulatory electrocardiogram-based tracking of T-wave alternans in postmyocardial infarction patients to assess risk of cardiac arrest or arrhythmic death. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2003;14:705–711.
8. Ikeda T, Yoshino H, Sugi K, et al. Predictive value of microvolt T-wave alternans for sudden cardiac death in patients with preserved cardiac function after acute myocardial infarction. Results of a collaborative cohort study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;48:2268–2274.
9. Stein PK, Sanghavi D, Domitrovich PP, et al. Ambulatory ECG-based T-wave alternans predicts sudden cardiac death in high-risk post-MI patients with left ventricular dysfunction in the EPHEsus study. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2008;19:1037–1042.
10. Leino J, Minkkinen M, Nieminen T, et al. Combined assessment of heart rate recovery and T-wave alternans during routine exercise testing improves prediction of total and cardiovascular mortality: The Finnish Cardiovascular Study. *Heart Rhythm* 2009;6:1765–1771.
11. Sakaki K, Ikeda T, Miwa Y, et al. Time domain T-wave alternans measured from Holter electrocardiograms predicts cardiac mortality in patients with left ventricular dysfunction: A prospective study. *Heart Rhythm* 2009;6:332–337.
12. Nieminen T, Lehtimäki T, Viik J, et al. T-wave alternans predicts mortality in a population undergoing a clinically indicated exercise test. *Eur Heart J* 2007;28:2332–2337.
13. Salerno JA, Previtali M, Panciroli C, et al. Ventricular arrhythmias during acute myocardial ischaemia in man. The role and significance of R-ST-T alternans and the prevention of ischaemic sudden death by medical treatment. *Eur Heart J* 1986;7:63–75.
14. Nearing BD, Oesterle SN, Verrier RL. Quantification of ischaemia induced vulnerability by precordial T-wave alternans analysis in dog and human. *Cardiovasc Res* 1994;28:1440–1449.
15. Burattini L, Zareba W, Burattini R. Adaptive match filter based method for time versus amplitude characterization of microvolt ECG T-wave alternans. *Ann Biomed Eng* 2008;36:1558–1564.
16. Burattini L, Zareba W, Burattini R. Assessment of physiological amplitude, duration, and magnitude of ECG T-wave alternans. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol* 2009;14:366–374.
17. Burattini L, Zareba W, Burattini R. Identification of gender-related normality regions for T-wave alternans. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol* 2010;15:328–336.
18. Burattini L, Zareba W, Moss AJ. Correlation method for detection of transient T-wave alternans in digital Holter ECG recordings. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol* 1999;4:416–424.
19. Armondas AA, Rosenbaum DS, Ruskin JN, et al. Prognostic significance of electrical alternans versus signal averaged electrocardiography in predicting the outcome of electrophysiological testing and arrhythmia-free survival. *Heart* 1998;80:251–256.
20. Klöngenheben T, Ptaszynski P, Hohnloser SH. Quantitative assessment of microvolt T-wave alternans in patients with congestive heart failure. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2005;16:620–624.
21. Minkkinen M, Kähönen M, Viik J, et al. Enhanced predictive power of quantitative TWA during routine exercise testing in the Finnish Cardiovascular Study. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2009;20:408–415.
22. Ruta J, Strumillo P. Usefulness of the Poincaré maps in detection of T-wave alternans in precordial leads of standard ECG: A comparison with the spectral method. *Med Sci Monit* 2001;7:471–476.
23. Zareba W, Moss AJ, le Cessie S, et al. T-wave alternans in idiopathic long-QT syndrome. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1994;23:1541–1546.
24. Bloomfield DM, Hohnloser SH, Cohen RJ. Interpretation and classification of microvolt T-wave alternans tests. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2002;13:502–512.

25. Kaufman ES, Bloomfield DM, Steinman RC, et al. "Indeterminate" microvolt T-wave alternans tests predict high risk of death or sustained ventricular arrhythmias in patients with left ventricular dysfunction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;48:1399-1404.
26. de Vilhena Garcia E, Samesima N, Filho HG, et al. Comparison of quantitative T-wave alternans profiles of healthy subjects and ICD patients. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol* 2009;14:108-118.
27. Martínez JP, Olmos S, Wagner G, et al. Characterization of repolarization alternans during ischemia: Time-course and spatial analysis. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 2006;53:701-711.
28. Makarov L, Komoliatova V. Microvolt T-wave alternans during Holter monitoring in children and adolescents. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol* 2010;15:138-144.
29. Einthoven W. Weiteres über das Elektrokardiogram. *Pflüger Arch ges Physiol* 1908;122:517-548.
30. Goldberger E. The aVL, aVR, and aVF leads; A simplification of standard lead electrocardiography. *Am. Heart J* 1942;24:378-396.
31. Dower GE. A lead synthesizer for the Frank system to simulate the standard 12-lead electrocardiogram. *J Electrocardiol* 1968;1:101-116.
32. Dower GE, Machado HB, Osborne JA. On deriving the electrocardiogram from vectorradiographic leads. *Clin Cardiol* 1980;3:87-95.
33. Burattini L, Zareba W, Burattini R. Automatic detection of microvolt T-wave alternans in Holter recordings: Effect of baseline wandering. *Biomed Signal Process Control* 2006;1:162-168.
34. Burattini L, Bini S, Burattini R. Comparative analysis of methods for automatic detection and quantification of microvolt T-wave alternans. *Med Eng Phys* 2009;31:1290-1298.
35. Burattini L, Bini S, Burattini R. Automatic microvolt T-wave alternans identification in relation to ECG interferences surviving preprocessing. *Med Eng Phys* 2011;33:17-30.
36. Burattini L, Bini S, Zareba W, et al. Response to Dr. Selvaraj's comments on the "Assessment of Physiological Amplitude, Duration, and Magnitude of ECG T-Wave Alternans." *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol* 2010;15:185-186.
37. Man S, De Winter PV, Maan AC, et al. Predictive power of T-wave alternans and of ventricular gradient hysteresis for the occurrence of ventricular arrhythmias in primary prevention cardioverter-defibrillator patients. *J Electrocardiol* 2011;44:453-459.
38. Verrier RL, Nearing BD, Kwaku KF. Noninvasive sudden death risk stratification by ambulatory ECG-based T-wave alternans analysis: Evidence and methodological guidelines. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol* 2005;10:110-120.
39. Madias JE. Reproducibility of the T-wave alternans and dependence of T-wave alternans on the T-wave amplitude: 2 issues requiring immediate attention. *J Electrocardiol* 2011;40:364.e1-3.
40. Burattini L, Zareba W, Burattini R. Is T-wave alternans T-wave amplitude dependent? *Biomed Signal Process Control* 2011;doi:10.1016/j.bspc.2011.06.009.
41. Selvaraj RJ, Picton P, Nanthakumar K, et al. Endocardial and epicardial repolarization alternans in human cardiomyopathy: Evidence for spatiotemporal heterogeneity and correlation with body surface T-wave alternans. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007;49:338-346.
42. Oliveira MM, Fiarresga A, Pelicano N, et al. Temporal variations in microvolt T-wave alternans testing after acute myocardial infarction. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol* 2007;12:98-103.
43. Kors JA, van Herpen G, Sittig AC, et al. Reconstruction of the Frank vectorcardiogram from standard electrocardiographic leads: Diagnostic comparison of different methods. *Eur Heart J* 1990;11:1083-1092.
44. Leino J, Verrier RL, Minkkinen M, et al. Importance of regional specificity of T-wave alternans in assessing risk for cardiovascular mortality and sudden cardiac death during routine exercise testing. *Heart Rhythm* 2011;8:385-390.
45. Nearing BD, Verrier RL. Modified moving average analysis of T-wave alternans to predict ventricular fibrillation with high accuracy. *J Appl Physiol* 2002;92:541-9.
46. Nieminen T, Lehtinen R, Viik J, et al. The Finnish Cardiovascular Study (FINCAVAS): Characterising patients with high risk of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord* 2006;6:9.
47. Nearing BD, Huang AH, Verrier RL. Dynamic tracking of cardiac vulnerability by complex demodulation of the T wave. *Science* 1991;252:437-440.