REVIEW ARTICLE

Heart Rate Variability Indexes as a Marker of Chronic Adaptation in Athletes: A Systematic Review

Vanessa Pereira da Silva, B.S.,^{*,†,‡} Natacha Alves de Oliveira, M.Sc.,^{*,‡}
Heitor Silveira, M.Sc.,^{*,‡} Roger Gomes Tavares Mello, D.Sc.,^{§,¶}
and Andrea Camaz Deslandes, D.Sc.^{*,‡}

From the ^{*}Psychiatric Institute, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro (IPUB/UFRJ), Brazil; [†]Department of Physical Education and Sports, Centro de Instrução Almirante Alexandrino—Brazilian Navy, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; [‡]Exercise Neuroscience Laboratory—LaNEx, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; [§]Biomedical Engineering Program, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; and [¶]Biomechanics and Exercise Physiology Laboratory—LaFEB, Department of Physical Education and Sports, Naval Academy—Brazilian Navy, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Background: Regular exercise promotes functional and structural changes in the central and peripheral mechanisms of the cardiovascular system. Heart rate variability (HRV) measurement provides a sensitive indicator of the autonomic balance. However, because of the diversity of methods and variables used, the results are difficult to compare in the sports sciences. Since the protocol (supine, sitting, or standing position) and measure (time or frequency domain) are not well defined, the aim of this study is to investigate the HRV measures that better indicates the chronic adaptations of physical exercise in athletes.

Method: PubMed (MEDLINE), Web of Science, SciELO (Scientific Electronic Library), and Scopus databases were consulted. Original complete articles in English with short-term signals evaluating young and adult athletes, between 17 and 40 years old, with a control group, published up to 2013 were included.

Results: Selected 19 of 1369 studies, for a total sample pool of 333 male and female athletes who practice different sports. The main protocols observed were the supine or standing positions in free or controlled breathing conditions. The main statistical results found in this study were the higher mean RR, standard deviation of RR intervals, and high frequency in athletes group. In addition, the analyses of Cohen's effect size showed that factors as modality of sport, protocol used and unit of measure selected could influence this expected results.

Conclusion: Our findings indicate that time domain measures are more consistent than frequency domain to describe the chronic cardiovascular autonomic adaptations in athletes.

Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol 2015;20(2):108–118

electrocardiogram; sports; physical exercises; autonomic nervous system; resistance training

Regular exercise promotes functional and structural changes in the central and peripheral mechanisms of the cardiovascular system.^{1,2} The resting bradycardia observed in athletes is a marker of the effect of exercise training,³ that is related to cardiac autonomic and nonautonomic adaptations.⁴ The autonomic sympathovagal balance in athletes is characterized by the predominance of parasympathetic activity on sympathetic activity.⁵

The cardiac autonomic control can be assessed by monitoring the heart rate variability (HRV), a noninvasive technique used to evaluate the instantaneous variation of the intervals between R waves (RR interval) of the electrocardiogram.^{6–8} The changes in the HRV indices indicate the ability of the autonomic nervous system to respond to multiple physiological and environmental stimuli, such as breathing, physical exercise, mental stress,

Address for correspondence: Vanessa Pereira da Silva. Av. Brasil n° 10.940. Penha – Rio de Janeiro / RJ, Brazil, CEP: 21.012–350. Departamento de Educação Física e Esportes, Centro de Instrução Almirante Alexandrino – Marinha do Brasil. Phone: +55 21 2443–5414; E-mail: vanessa.professora@hotmail.com

© 2014 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.
DOI:10.1111/anec.12237

hemodynamic and metabolic changes, and sleep and posture changes, as well as compensating for disorders resulting from illness.^{9,10} Factors such as fitness level, experience, intensity, training schedule, and the athlete's daily routine can influence physiological and emotional aspects related to changes in the autonomic responses during training, and consequently the HRV indices.⁴

The number of publications about HRV related to training or physical exercise is increasing. In sports, the HRV is supposed to be used as a tool for adjustment of the training load, diagnosis and prevention of tiredness, evaluation of overtraining and aerobic capacity, and characterized by emotional aspects such as anxiety and precompetitive stress.¹¹⁻¹⁴ However, because of the diversity of protocols and variables used, the results are not consistent and are difficult to compare in the sports sciences.⁴ Although the HRV measurement is a potential method for better understanding the regulation and control of the cardiovascular system,⁴ it remains unclear if it could be used as a predictor of athletic condition.

The high frequency (HF) band is a marker of vagal activity. Aubert et al.⁴ showed that athletes have higher HF component than the sedentary control group. However, the time domain measures seems to be better than frequency domain to describe the autonomic adaptations of chronic physical training.¹⁵ Sandercock et al.¹⁵ observed that in physical training interventions longer than 12 weeks the effect size (ES) change in RR interval was greater than that for HF.

In spite of the recommended use of long- and short-term HRV recordings,⁷ there are few data using long-term record in sport. The two studies presented in Aubert et al.⁴ showed controversial results.^{16,17} This systematic review selected cross-transversal studies using short-term HRV, due to the short-term records tend to give more significant results than the long-term ones.¹⁵

Considering HRV as a useful tool in sports medicine to monitor the individual athlete achievements, it is fundamental to determine the advisable protocol and more sound measure. Since the protocol (supine, sitting, or standing position) and measure (time or frequency domain) are not well defined, the aim of this study is to investigate the HRV measure that better indicates the chronic adaptations to physical training in athletes.

METHODS

Information Sources

The following databases were used for the search: PubMed (MEDLINE), Web of Science, SciELO (Scientific Electronic Library), and Scopus. Studies published up to 2013 were included.

Search

The search was performed according to the strategies suggested by the MEDLINE database, using a combination of the following keywords: "autonomic control AND sports"; "autonomic control AND athletes"; "HRV AND sports"; "HRV AND athletes." The details of the search were: ("autonomic nervous system"[MeSH Terms]) OR ("autonomic" [All Fields] AND "nervous"[All Fields] AND "system"[All Fields]) OR "autonomic nervous system"[All Fields] OR "autonomic"[All Fields] AND ("prevention and control" [Subheading] OR ("prevention" [All Fields] AND "control" [All Fields]) OR "prevention and control" [All Fields] OR "control" [All Fields] OR "control groups" [MeSH Terms] OR ("control" [All Fields] AND "groups" [All Fields]) OR "control groups"[All Fields]) AND ("sports"[MeSH Terms] OR "sports" [All Fields]) AND ("heart rate [HR]"[MeSH Terms] OR ("heart" [All Fields] AND "rate" [All Fields]) OR "HR" [All Fields]) AND variability [All Fields] AND ("athletes"[MeSH Terms] OR "athletes" [All Fields]) AND ("humans"[MeSH Terms] AND English[lang]).

The guidelines of Prisma were adopted to develop the systematic review.¹⁸ The search was performed by two evaluators independently. A third evaluator was requested for diverging cases.

Study Selection

Complete original articles in English that evaluated young and adult athletes between 17 and 40 years old, with a sedentary control group were included. Studies that included variables for short-term recording in the time and frequency domains were selected: the mean of RR intervals (mean RR), standard deviation of RR intervals (SDRR), root mean square deviations of RR intervals, frequency bands of the spectral analysis *Very Low Frequency*, *Low Frequency* (LF), and HF, as well as the LF/HF ratio. Studies in elders or adolescents with a combination of physical exercise and pharmaceutical

intervention, long-term analysis, illness, overtraining, experiments with high altitude or diving, para-athletes, and review studies were excluded.

Data Collection Process

The following criteria were analyzed for the sample selection: age group, sex, type of sport, experience, training routine, fitness level, physiological characteristics (anaerobic threshold, maximum oxygen uptake $\dot{V}O_2$ and HR). The procedures and methods used to analyze the HRV included: time, fitness (free or controlled breathing), position (standing, sitting, or lying down), recording time, interval time, sampling frequency, heartbeat, the technique used for spectral analysis and the variables (in the time and frequency domains).

Statistical Analyses

The Cohen's *d* ES calculation was performed to offer more robust information regarding the studies included in the present analysis. The formula applied on the data was:

$$d = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{s},$$

in which $\bar{x}_1$ and $\bar{x}_2$ are the mean HRV of the athletes and nonathletes, respectively, and *s* is the pooled standard deviation.

The HRV data were provided by articles^{3,19-28} or by e-mail²⁹⁻³¹ through contact with the authors. Missing values are due to graphic representations in the articles and mismatched data received by e-mail.³²⁻³⁷ According to Cohen's *d*, the ES is used as a parameter based on the magnitude of the statistical effect (small $0.2 < d < 0.3$; moderate $0.5 < d < 0.8$; large $d \geq 0.8$).³⁸

RESULTS

The search found 1369 nonduplicate titles. The great majority, 1345 publications, were excluded based on their titles and abstracts, leaving 24 relevant studies for this review. Five additional studies were excluded because they used younger subjects than the cutoff age for this study, were not the original complete article, or did not use the traditional HRV measurement. For this review, 19 complete articles were selected based on the

inclusion criteria. Figure 1 describes the selection process for the studies included.

The descriptive analyses of the studies are summarized in Table 1.^{3,19-21,23-37}

The total number of athletes was about 333 males and females, aged between 17 and 40 years, who practiced different sports (running, cycling, swimming, canoeing, athletics, skating, volleyball, football, basketball, rugby, and triathlon). For the sample characterization, seven studies used the $\dot{V}O_2$ as a physiological measure^{20,23,26,30,31,35,37}, seven studies reported the length of time that the athletes had practiced the sport (over 2 years),^{3,20,21,23,25,26,37} and eight studies selected athletes participating in national, regional, or international competitions.^{23,25,27,29,31,34,35,37} No study reported the athlete's training phase during the course of the experiment. Of the studies with female subjects, only one reported the phase of menstrual cycle of the subjects.³⁷

Of the studies that reported preevaluation recommendations, eight requested the participants to avoid exercising before the day of the experiment, for periods of 12–48 h before the experiment.^{23,26,28,31,34-37} The schedules for the evaluations varied from 08:00 to 12:00 in the morning, and from 13:00 to 16:00 in the afternoon.^{3,20,23,28,30,31,33,34} Breathing control was used in three studies^{19,20,24} and only one of them used free and controlled breathing.²⁶ The recording time varied from 2 to 15 minutes.

Analyses in the time domain were performed in 13 studies. The main variables used were the mean RR and the SDRR. The main techniques of spectral analysis were the periodogram and the autoregressive model. The LF and HF were assessed in 16 studies; however the selected frequency bands varied among the studies. For LF the minimum varied from 0.02 to 0.07 Hz and the maximum from 0.14 to 0.15 Hz. In addition, the limits of the HF remained from 0.14 and 0.15 Hz to 0.4 and 0.8 Hz. In spite of the diverse methods applied the main statistical results found in literature were the higher mean RR, SDRR, and HF in athlete's group (Table 1).

The provided mean and standard deviation values from 14 articles were included in the quantitative analyses of the Cohen's ES,^{3,19-31} whose large and moderate values were showed in time (Table 2) and frequency domain (Table 3).

The analysis showed significant results from articles in time and frequency domains presented

Table 1. Descriptive Results in Athletes and Nonathletes

Author	Age	Group	Domain			Main Results		
			Time	Frequency	Protocol	Time	Frequency	
Dixon et al.	Ath: 28.4 (3.5) Con: 27.4 (2.6)	Athletes × Control		HF; LF (beats/minutes) ² ; LF/HF	SPN; STD; FB		> HF ^c	
Janssen et al.	Ath: 24 (19–32) ^g Con: 27 (23–33) ^g	Athletes × Control	meanRR (ms); SDRR (ms)	LF (n.u)	SPN; STD; FB	> meanRR ^{c,d}	< LF ^c	
Puig et al.	Ath: 23.4 (5.5) Con: 24.3 (7.6)	Athletes × Control	meanRR (ms); SDRR (ms)	LF; HF (ms ² and percentage); LF/HF	SPN; CB		> LF > HF	
Sacknoff et al.	Ath: 26 (1.6) Con: 30 (0.3)	Athletes × Control	meanRR (ms); SDRR (ms)	Log (LF); Log (HF)	SPN; FB	> SDRR	< HF < LF	
Shin et al.	Ath: 18 (2) Con: 27 (7)	Athletes × Control		LF; HF (n.u); LF/HF	SPN; FB			
Shin et al.	Ath: 21.5 (1.2) Con: 22.1 ³	Athletes × Control		LF; HF (n.u)	SPN; CB		< LF > HF	
Aubert et al.	Ath: 23.2 (2.5) Con: 23.1 (2.8)	Athletes × Control	SDRR (ms)		SPN; CB	> SDRR		
Macor et al.	Ath: 27 (7) Con: 25 (4)	Athletes × Control		HF; LF (ms ² , percentage and n.u); LF/HF	SPN; FB		> HF	
Shin et al.	Ath: 21.53 (1.25) Con: 22.13 (2.03)	Athletes × Control		LF; HF(n.u)	SPN; FB		> HF	
Aubert et al.	Ath: 18–34 ^g Con: 18–34 ^g	Aerobic Ath × Control Anaer Ath × Control Rugby Ath × Control	meanRR (ms); SDRR (ms); RMSSD (ms)	LF; HF (ms ²); LF/HF	SPN; STD; FB	> meanRR ^{e,c} > SDRR ^{e,c} > RMSSD ^{e,c} > meanRR ^{e,d} > RMSSD ^{e,d}	> LF ^{e,c,d} > HF ^{e,c,d} > LF/HF ^{f,c}	
Aubert et al.	Ath: 18–34 ^g Con: 18–34 ^g	Aerobic Ath × Control Anaer Ath × Control Rugby Ath × Control		LF; HF (ms ²); LF/HF	SPN; FB		> LF	
Middleton and De Vito	Ath: 22.4 (3.2) Con: 21.0 (1)	Athletes × Control	meanRR (ms); SDRR (ms)	LF; HF (n.u)	SPN; FB; CB	> meanRR ^a	> LF(n.u) ^b > LF/HF ^b	
Martinelli et al.	Ath: 20.8(3.3) Con: 21.82(2.18)	Athletes × Control	SDRR (ms); meanRR (ms)	LF; HF (n.u); LF/HF	SPN; FB	> meanRR > SDRR		

(Continued)

Table 1. Continued

Author	Age	Group	Domain		Main Results		
			Time	Frequency	Protocol	Time	Frequency
Kawaguchi et al.	Ath: 20–35 ^g Con: 20–35 ^g	Athletes × Control	meanRR (ms)		SPN; FB		
Marocolo et al.	Ath: 25(6) Con: 28.5(5.2)	Athletes × Control	meanRR (ms); RMSSD (ms)		SPN; FB	> meanRR > RMSDRR	
Chinea et al.	Ath: 18–32 ^g Con: 18–32 ^g	Athletes × Control	meanRR (ms); SDRR (ms); RMSSD (ms)	LF; HF (ms ²)	SPN; FB	> meanRR	> LF
Toufan et al.	Ath: 27.8 (10.6) Con: 27.8 (10.6)	Athletes × Control	SDRR (ms); RMSSD (ms)	VLF; LF; HF (ms ²)	SPN; FB		ns
Lakin et al.	Ath: 23 (1) Con: 23 (1)	Athletes × Control	ΔmeanRR; ΔSDRR (ms)	ΔLF (n.u); ΔHF (n.u); ΔLF/HF	SPN; FB		ns
Molina et al.	Ath: 26 (24, 31) ^h Con: 25 (5.2) ^h	Athletes × Control	meanRR (ms); SDRR (ms); RMSSD (ms)	LF (ms ²); HF (ms ²); LF (n.u); HF (n.u); LF/HF	SPN; STD; FB	> meanRR ^{c,d}	

^afree breathing; ^bcontrolled breathing; ^csupine position; ^dstanding position; ^eaerobic athletes; ^frugby athletes; ^gMinimum-Maximum; ^hmedian(25, 75 percentile); (>)larger in athletes; (<)smaller in athletes; (ns)no significance difference between groups; Ath: athletes; Con: control; Anaer: Anaerobic. meanRR: mean of RR interval; SDRR: standard deviation of mean RR interval; RMSSD: root mean squared standard deviation; VLF: power in very low frequency; LF: power in low frequency; HF: power in high frequency; LF/HF: ratio between low and high power frequency; Log(LF): power in low frequency in logarithmic scale; Log(HF): power in high frequency in logarithmic scale; ΔmeanRR: variation of mean of RR interval; ΔSDRR: variation of standard deviation of mean RR interval; ΔLF: low power frequency variation; ΔHF: high power frequency variation; ΔLF/HF: variation of ratio between low and high power frequency; SPN: supine position; STD: standing position; FB: free breathing; CB: controlled breathing; ms: milliseconds; n.u: normalized units.

Table 2. Cohen's d Effect Size of Time Domain Measures

Measure	Author	Protocol	Athletes		Control	
			N	Mean (SD)	N	Mean (SD)
MeanRR (ms)	Puig et al.	SPN; CB	33	989.7 (168.8)	33	762.7 (125.3)
	Aubert et al. (aerobic athletes)	SPN; FB	10	947.7 (108.8)	10	749.7 (165.6)
	Middleton and De Vito	SPN; FB	9	1089.1 (113.7)	9	865 (100.3)
	Kawaguchi et al.	SPN; FB	10	1189.18 (6.9)	10	826.58 (5.3)
	Marocolo et al.	SPN; FB	18	1351 (24.1)	18	1034 (160)
	Molina et al.	SPN; FB	12	1176.2 (131.6)	11	996 (155.5)
SDRR (ms)	Puig et al.	STD; FB	12	900 (157.9)	11	761.2 (104.3)
	Aubert et al.	SPN; CB	33	70.2 (22.4)	33	57.6 (22.4)
	Aubert et al. (18-34)	SPN; CB	14	91 (22)	14	62 (15)
	Aubert et al (aerobic athletes)	SPN; FB	10	97.9 (15.7)	10	69.7 (37)
		STD; FB	10	92.9 (30.9)	10	65.4 (38.9)
	Aubert et al. (rugby athletes)	SPN; FB	7	55.0 (24.2)	10	69.7 (37)
RMSSD (ms)	Aubert et al. (anaerobic athletes)	STD; FB	7	50.4 (8.8)	10	65.4 (38.9)
	Middleton and De Vito	SPN; FB	9	120.4 (39.6)	9	98.6 (30.3)
	Aubert et al. (aerobic athletes)	SPN; FB	10	73.5 (23.7)	10	45.5 (26.8)
		STD; FB	10	47.2 (11.1)	10	30.6 (16.9)
	Marocolo et al.	SPN; FB	18	74.10 (36.6)	18	39.70 (16.0)
	Toufan et al. (dynamic athletes)	SPN; FB	30	65.79 (40.51)	50	46.88 (31.24)
	Molina et al.	STD; FB	12	36.5 (19.3)	11	29 (12.2)

meanRR: mean of RR interval; SDRR: standard deviation of mean RR interval; RMSSD: root mean squared standard deviation; SPN: supine position; STD: standing position; FB: free breathing; CB: controlled breathing; effect size $0.4 < d < 0.8$ (moderate), $d > 0.8$ (large).

Table 3. Cohen's d Effect Size of Frequency Domain Measures

Measure	Unit	Author	Protocol	Athletes		Control	
				N	Mean (SD)	N	Mean (SD)
LF	(beats/minutes) ²	Dixon et al.	SPN; FB	10	53.6 (9.9)	14	69.6 (19.5)
			STD; FB	10	94.7 (19.3)	14	82.9 (17.6)
	ms ²	Puig et al.	SPN; CB	33	925 (920)	33	442 (446)
			SPN; FB	5	15.0 (1.2)	8	16.8 (2.5)
	n.u	Shin et al.	SPN; CB	15	14.31 (14.89)	15	27.22 (13.34)
			SPN; FB	15	17.44 (8.48)	15	26.03 (15.53)
	Ln (ms ²)	Middleton and De Vito	SPN; CB	9	44.2 (8.5)	9	29.5 (6.8)
			SPN; FB	10	7.73 (0.49)	10	6.88 (0.6)
		Aubert et al. (aerobic athletes)	STD; FB	10	7.78 (0.65)	10	7.03 (0.86)
			SPN; FB	10	7.73 (0.49)	10	6.88 (0.6)
HF	(beats/minutes) ²	Middleton and De Vito	SPN; FB	9	3.5 (0.33)	9	3.2 (0.3)
			SPN; CB	9	3.2 (0.4)	9	2.96 (0.33)
	ms ²	Dixon et al.	SPN; FB	10	62.2 (10.7)	14	43.7 (22.4)
			SPN; CB	33	225.8 (234.9)	33	117.9 (154.2)
	n.u	Puig et al.	SPN; FB	5	15.3 (3.9)	8	10.5 (2.1)
			SPN; CB	15	65.48 (10.85)	15	39.41 (11.77)
		Shin et al.	SPN; FB	15	67.36 (15.72)	15	42.26 (13.50)
			SPN; CB	9	55.8 (8.5)	9	69.9 (7.7)
	Ln (ms ²)	Molina et al.	SPN; FB	12	0.19 (0.13)	11	0.14 (0.05)
			SPN; FB	10	7.08 (0.68)	10	6.18 (1.01)
LF/HF		Aubert et al. (aerobic athletes)	STD; FB	10	6.35 (0.49)	10	5.54 (0.92)
			SPN; FB	7	5.38 (1.63)	10	6.18 (1.01)
		Aubert et al. (aerobic athletes)	SPN; FB	10	7.08 (0.68)	10	6.18 (1.01)
			SPN; FB	7	5.38 (1.63)	10	6.18 (1.01)
		Middleton and De Vito	SPN; FB	9	3.5 (0.36)	9	3.3 (0.24)
			SPN; FB	10	0.86 (0.16)	14	1.6 (0.85)
		Dixon et al.	SPN; FB	5	1.0 (0.2)	8	1.8 (0.8)
			SPN; FB	10	5.0 (3.2)	10	2.4 (1.5)
		Aubert et al. (rugby athletes)	STD; FB	10	6.7 (2.4)	10	5.5 (3.2)
			SPN; FB	7	5.0 (3.2)	10	2.4 (1.5)
		Middleton and De Vito	SPN; CB	9	0.83 (0.3)	9	0.47 (0.1)

LF: power in low frequency; HF: power in high frequency; LF/HF: ratio between low and high power frequency; ms: milliseconds; n.u: normalized units; Ln(ms²): natural log transformed unit. SPN: supine position; STD: standing position; FB: free breathing; CB: controlled breathing; effect size 0.4 < d < 0.8 (moderate), d > 0.8 (large).

Figure 1. Flow chart of search and selection for the articles included in the Systematic Review.

large or moderate ES. All time domain measure observed in aerobic athletes showed a positive ES (Table 2). Negative ES was shown in time domain for SDRR only in rugby and anaerobic athletes.²⁹ The most performed protocol was the free breathing in supine position, which did not show different ES in standing position. The frequency domain results were shown as peak frequency (beats/minutes)², absolute power (ms²), normalized units (n.u), and logarithmic transformation of absolute powers (Ln[ms²]; Table 3). From seven protocols using the low and high absolute spectral power, only one showed a moderate positive ES (Table 3).¹⁹ Contrarily, five protocols using the logarithmic transformation to calculate the power in LF showed large positive ES (Table 3).^{25,26,29} Considering normalized LF, two protocols with free breathing found a consistent negative ES,²⁰⁻²³ whilst two protocols with controlled breathing showed ESs with opposite signals (Table 3).^{20,26}

Only one protocol with controlled breathing showed a negative ES for the normalized HF, which

was inconsistent with the same condition in the other study (Table 3).^{20,26} Both studies with rugby athletes showed a negative ES for HF in logarithmic scale.^{25,29} The ES showed that the protocol, the modalities of sport and the unit of measure both appeared to contribute for the controversial results found in the literature, especially in the frequency domain analyses.

DISCUSSION

Considering that calculated indexes from HRV are sensitive markers of autonomic control, the objective of this study was to investigate the soundest measures of HRV that better indicate the chronic adaptations of physical training in athletes. We also emphasized certain aspects of the performed methodologies to determine an advisable protocol. The global results showed a higher mean RR, SDRR, and HF in athletes.^{4,39} These are due to the physical exercise adaptations

in cardiovascular system, mainly due to improved control by nucleus tractus solitarii, rostral ventrolateral medulla, and paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus.¹ These adaptations promote an increase capacity of the parasympathetic component, thereby increasing HRV.

In spite of the expected findings, the Cohen's ES showed that factors such as modalities of sport, breathing condition, and unit of measure would confound this results. The unexpected negative ESs observed in time domain and HF measure were found only in anaerobic and rugby athletes.^{25,29} According to the current literature, the autonomic cardiovascular adaptations are mainly related to the aerobic exercise. Contrarily, the adaptations of strength training are related to the nonautonomic cardiovascular mechanisms such as the decrease of intrinsic HR.⁴⁰ From this point of view, it can be supposed that anaerobic and rugby athletes had not a reasonable aerobic training.

Breath condition could emphasize the autonomic modulation due to the cardiorespiratory synchronization that occurs by the activation of the parasympathetic branch originating in the nucleus ambiguus, which increases the vagal tone.⁴¹ Furthermore, during breathing control the vagal tone increases and subsequently may improve the total power,⁷ and this could explain the enhancement of HF and LF observed in some studies.^{19,26} Consequently, it is suitable the use of normalized units to better understand the mechanisms related to electrocardiology signal.

Although Middleton and De Vito²⁶ have shown a negative ES- for HF during controlled breathing and indicates that athletes have lower vagal tone than control group, such a controversial result could be explained by the intense training programs these athletes were engaged at the time of testing.²⁶ Iellamo et al.⁴² also reported this enhanced sympathetic modulation during an intensive training program, which suggests that this sympathovagal balance changes prior competition as a neural adaptation that enabled the cardiovascular system to prepare for demanding competition, enhancing athletic performance.

Moreover, the HRV is a sensitive measurement of adaptation to physical and psychological stress,⁴³⁻⁴⁵ and also established a relationship between behavioral aspects such as attention and emotion.^{43,46,47} In competitive sports, the HRV is sensitive in detecting the autonomic changes caused by precompetitive anxiety.^{13,48,49} It is

appropriate for studies with elite athletes to observe the subject's training stage and routine. Generally, the low vagal tone is related to poor system adaptation,⁵⁰ and it can be related to overtraining.¹¹

In addition, Middleton et al.²⁶ selected a sample composed only by females, but did not control the menstrual cycle stage, which could also contribute to the unexpected results of normalized HF. On the luteal stage, there is an increase in progesterone release and the HRV is characterized by enhancing the LF and the LF/HF ratio. However, the follicular stage shows an increase in the HF and decreases in the LF and the LF/HF ratio.⁵¹

In a methodological point of view, factors related to sample characterization and data analyses also influence the measures in time and frequency domain. The differences in genders and ages are well established in the literature,^{39,52,53} women show slightly lower HRV values and higher parasympathetic activity.^{39,52} Nevertheless, as observed in three studies included in this review,^{28,30,33} the use of heterogeneous groups as part of the same sample did not appear to influence the results.

The literature recommends for short-term recording the use of frequency domain measurements.⁷ However, Sandercock et al.¹⁵ showed that in long-term physical training the ES for change in mean RR interval was greater than HF. This study suggests that higher vagal modulation is responsible for initial increases in RR interval, but that other factors such as changes in heart geometry may be responsible for further adaptation. Considering the ES, the present review points that the time domain measure efficiently represents the athlete's cardiovascular autonomic adaptations.^{19,24,26-31}

As the selected time domain measures in this review are statistical, the duration of the signal and the total number of heartbeats could change the results, but only three studies reported the signal processing.^{3,25,28} It is recommended to record between 3- and 5-minute windows, and between 256 and 300 heartbeats.^{7,54,55} Furthermore, a wide variation in the frequency bands determination of HF and LF were also observed in the studies analyzed. For healthy young athletes, measurements below 0.12 Hz for LF and greater than 0.12 Hz for HF are recommended.⁵⁶

In summary, the range of performed protocols seems to contribute to the diversity of results found

in the literature. Some conflicting results might have been influenced by signal processing and the sample characterization (gender, fitness level, and training routine). According to present results, the time domain measures are suitable to describe the athlete's autonomic profile at rest. Since short-term HRV recording is a physiological, noninvasive, and low-cost measurement that provides sensitive markers of the autonomic balance, it is suggested to compound the use of HRV analysis with other physiological and psychological measurement to better understand the athlete's conditioning and health.

CONCLUSION

The present findings indicate that time domain measures of short-term HRV are less controversial than frequency domain to describe the chronic cardiovascular autonomic adaptations to physical training. The mean RR and SDRR were the most consistent measures with higher ES to assess the autonomic cardiovascular profile in athletes. It was not found any difference between supine or standing position. However, the breath condition had an impact in the frequency domain measures. The controlled breathing caused inconsistent results of LF and HF contrarily to free breathing.

Acknowledgment: *This work was supported by the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (FAPERJ), grant numbers E26/102.174/2013 and E26/190.226/2013, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.*

REFERENCES

1. Michelini LC, Stern JE. Exercise-induced neuronal plasticity in central autonomic networks: Role in cardiovascular control. *Exp Physiol* 2009;94:947-960.
2. Martins-Pinge MC. Cardiovascular and autonomic modulation by the central nervous system after aerobic exercise training. *Braz J Med Biol Res*. 2011;44:848-854.
3. Dixon EM, Kamath MV, McCartney N, et al. Neural regulation of heart rate variability in endurance athletes and sedentary controls. *Cardiovasc Res* 1992;26:713-719.
4. Aubert AE, Seps B, Beckers F. Heart rate variability in athletes. *Sports Med* 2003;33:889-919.
5. de Abreu SB, Lenhard A, Mehanna A, et al. Role of paraventricular nucleus in exercise training-induced autonomic modulation in conscious rats. *Auton Neurosci* 2009;148:28-35.
6. Vanderlei LCM, Pastre CM, Hoshi RA, et al. Noções básicas de variabilidade da frequência cardíaca e sua aplicabilidade clínica. *Rev Bras Cir Cardiovasc* 2009;24:205-217.
7. Heart rate variability: standards of measurement, physiological interpretation and clinical use. Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and the North American Society of Pacing and Electrophysiology. *Circulation* 1996;93:1043-1065.
8. Berntson GG, Bigger JT, Eckberg DL, et al. Heart rate variability: Origins, methods, and interpretive caveats. *Psychophysiology* 1997;34:623-648.
9. Thayer JF, Sternberg E. Beyond heart rate variability: Vagal regulation of allostatic systems. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2006;1088:361-372.
10. Thayer JF, Ahs F, Fredrikson M, et al. A meta-analysis of heart rate variability and neuroimaging studies: implications for heart rate variability as a marker of stress and health. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2012;36:747-756.
11. Bosquet L, Merkari S, Arvisais D, et al. Is heart rate a convenient tool to monitor over-reaching? A systematic review of the literature. *Br J Sports Med* 2008;42:709-714.
12. Alonso DeO, Forjaz CL, Rezende LO, et al. Heart rate response and its variability during different phases of maximal graded exercise. *Arq Bras Cardiol* 1998;71:787-792.
13. Cervantes Blázquez JC, Rodas Font G, Capdevila Ortís L. Heart-rate variability and precompetitive anxiety in swimmers. *Psicothema* 2009;21:531-536.
14. Mourot L, Bouhaddi M, Perrey S, et al. Decrease in heart rate variability with overtraining: Assessment by the Poincaré plot analysis. *Clin Physiol Funct Imaging* 2004;24:10-18.
15. Sandercock GR, Bromley PD, Brodie DA. Effects of exercise on heart rate variability: Inferences from meta-analysis. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2005;37:433-439.
16. Goldsmith RL, Bigger JT, Steinman RC, et al. Comparison of 24-hour parasympathetic activity in endurance-trained and untrained young men. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1992;20:552-528.
17. Tonkins P, William. Analysis of the Relationship between Exercise Capacity and Heart Rate Variability in Trained and Untrained Individuals. Eugene (OR): University of Oregon, Microform Publications, 1999, p. 30.
18. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: Explanation and elaboration. *Ann Intern Med* 2009;151:W65-94.
19. Puig J, Freitas J, Carvalho MJ, et al. Spectral analysis of heart rate variability in athletes. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness* 1993;33:44-48.
20. Shin K, Minamitani H, Onishi S, et al. Assessment of training-induced autonomic adaptations in athletes with spectral analysis of cardiovascular variability signals. *Jpn J Physiol* 1995a;45:1053-1069.
21. Shin K, Minamitani H, Onishi S, et al. The power spectral analysis of heart rate variability in athletes during dynamic exercise-Part I. *Clin Cardiol* 1995b;18:583-586.
22. Shin K, Minamitani H, Onishi S, et al. The power spectral analysis of heart rate variability in athletes during dynamic exercise-Part II. *Clin Cardiol* 1995c;18:664-668.
23. Shin K, Minamitani H, Onishi S, et al. Autonomic differences between athletes and nonathletes: spectral analysis approach. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1997;29:1482-490.
24. Aubert A, Ramaekers D, Cuche Y, et al. Effect of long term physical training on heart rate variability. *Computers in Cardiology* 1996;17-20.
25. Aubert A, Beckers F, Seps B, et al. Non-linear dynamics of heart rate variability in athletes: Effect of training. *Computers in Cardiology* 2002;29:441-444.
26. Middleton N, De Vito G. Cardiovascular autonomic control in endurance-trained and sedentary young women. *Clin Physiol Funct Imaging* 2005;25:83-89.
27. Kawaguchi LYA, Nascimento ACP, Lima MS, et al. Caracterização da variabilidade de frequência cardíaca e sensibilidade do barorreflexo em indivíduos sedentários

- e atletas do sexo masculino. *Rev Bras Med Esporte* 2007;13:231-236.
28. Toufan M, Kazemi B, Akbarzadeh F, et al. Assessment of electrocardiography, echocardiography, and heart rate variability in dynamic and static type athletes. *Int J Gen Med* 2012;5:655-660.
29. Aubert AE, Beckers F, Ramaekers D. Short-term heart rate variability in young athletes. *J Cardiol* 2001;37(Suppl 1):85-88.
30. Marocolo M, Nadal J, Benchimol Barbosa PR. The effect of an aerobic training program on the electrical remodeling of heart high-frequency components of the signal-averaged electrocardiogram is a predictor of the maximal aerobic power. *Braz J Med Biol Res* 2007;40:199-208.
31. Molina GE, Porto LG, Fontana KE, et al. Unaltered R-R interval variability and bradycardia in cyclists as compared with non-athletes. *Clin Auton Res* 2013;23:141-148.
32. Janssen MJ, de Bie J, Swenne CA, et al. Supine and standing sympathovagal balance in athletes and controls. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol* 1993;67:164-167.
33. Sacknoff DM, Gleim GW, Stachenfeld N, et al. Effect of athletic training on heart rate variability. *Am Heart J* 1994;127:1275-1278.
34. Macor F, Fagard R, Amery A. Power spectral analysis of RR interval and blood pressure short-term variability at rest and during dynamic exercise: Comparison between cyclists and controls. *Int J Sports Med* 1996;17:175-181.
35. Martinelli FS, Chacon-Mikahil MP, Martins LE, et al. Heart rate variability in athletes and nonathletes at rest and during head-up tilt. *Braz J Med Biol Res* 2005;38:639-647.
36. Chinea AM, Lollett C, Herrera H, et al. Protocol for cardiac assessment of recreational athletes. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc* 2012;2132-2135.
37. Lakin R, Notarius C, Thomas S, et al. Effects of moderate-intensity aerobic cycling and swim exercise on post-exertional blood pressure in healthy young untrained and triathlon-trained men and women. *Clin Sci (Lond)* 2013;125:543-553.
38. Cohen, Jacob. *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences*. New Jersey, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1988.
39. Carter JB, Banister EW, Blaber AP. Effect of endurance exercise on autonomic control of heart rate. *Sports Med* 2003;33:33-46.
40. Cooke WH, Carter JR. Strength training does not affect vagal-cardiac control or cardiovascular baroreflex sensitivity in young healthy subjects. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2005;93:719-725.
41. Galletly DC, Larsen PD. Relationship between cardioventilatory coupling and respiratory sinus arrhythmia. *Br J Anaesth* 1998;80:164-168.
42. Iellamo F, Legramante JM, Pigozzi F, et al. Conversion from vagal to sympathetic predominance with strenuous training in high-performance world class athletes. *Circulation* 2002;105:2719-2724.
43. Thayer JF, Lane RD. A model of neurovisceral integration in emotion regulation and dysregulation. *J Affect Disord* 2000;61:201-216.
44. Lane RD, McRae K, Reiman EM, et al. Neural correlates of heart rate variability during emotion. *Neuroimage* 2009;44:213-222.
45. Allen JJ, Chambers AS, Towers DN. The many metrics of cardiac chronotropy: A pragmatic primer and a brief comparison of metrics. *Biol Psychol* 2007;74:243-262.
46. Porges SW. The polyvagal theory: Phylogenetic substrates of a social nervous system. *Int J Psychophysiol* 2001;42:123-146.
47. Friedman BH. An autonomic flexibility-neurovisceral integration model of anxiety and cardiac vagal tone. *Biol Psychol* 2007;74:185-199.
48. Morales J, García V, García-Massó X, et al. The use of heart rate variability in assessing precompetitive stress in high-standard judo athletes. *Int J Sports Med* 2013;34:144-151.
49. Mateo M, Blasco-Lafarga C, Martínez-Navarro I, et al. Heart rate variability and pre-competitive anxiety in BMX discipline. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2012;112:113-123.
50. Porges SW. *Teoria Polivagal: Fundamentos Neurofisiológicos das Emoções, Apego, Comunicação e Auto-Regulação*. Rio de Janeiro: Senses Neurociências e Aprendizagem. 2012.
51. Sato N, Miyake S, Akatsu J, et al. Power spectral analysis of heart rate variability in healthy young women during the normal menstrual cycle. *Psychosom Med* 1995;57:331-335.
52. Bonnemeier H, Wiegand U, Brandes A, et al. Circadian profile of cardiac autonomic nervous modulation in healthy subjects: Differing effects of aging and gender on heart rate variability. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2003;14:791-799.
53. Verlinde D, Beckers F, Ramaekers D, et al. Wavelet decomposition analysis of heart rate variability in aerobic athletes. *Auton Neurosci* 2001;90:138-141.
54. Sandercock GR, Brodie DA. The use of heart rate variability measures to assess autonomic control during exercise. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2006;16:302-313.
55. Nunan D, Sandercock GR, Brodie DA. A quantitative systematic review of normal values for short-term heart rate variability in healthy adults. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2010;33:1407-1417.
56. McMullen MK, Whitehouse JM, Shine G, et al. Respiratory and non-respiratory sinus arrhythmia: Implications for heart rate variability. *J Clin Monit Comput* 2012;26:21-28.