Published in final edited form as:

J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis. 2019 June ; 28(6): 1597–1603. doi:10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2019.02.037.

Leukoaraiosis predicts short term cognitive but not motor recovery in ischemic stroke patients during rehabilitation

Muhib Khan, M.D.^{1,2}, Heather Heiser, M.D.³, Nathan Bernicchi, M.S.⁴, Laurel Packard, B.S., O.T.D.⁵, Jessica L. Parker, M.S.⁴, Matthew A. Edwardson, M.D.⁶, Brian Silver, M.D.⁷, Konstatin V. Elisevich, M.D., PhD.^{1,2}, Nils Henninger, M.D., PhD.^{7,8}

¹Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Spectrum Health;

²College of Human Medicine, Michigan State University;

³Department of Neurology, Rush University;

⁴Office of Research Administration, Spectrum Health,

⁵Department of Nursing Administration, Spectrum Health;

⁶Department of Neurology, Georgetown University;

⁷Department of Neurology, University of Massachusetts Medical School;

⁸Department of Psychiatry, University of Massachusetts Medical School

Abstract

Background: Leukoaraiosis has been shown to impact functional outcomes after acute ischemic stroke. However, its association with domain specific recovery after ischemic stroke is uncertain. We sought to determine whether preexisting leukoaraiosis is associated with short term motor and cognitive recovery after stroke.

Methods: We retrospectively studied ischemic stroke patients admitted to acute inpatient rehabilitation (AIR) between January 2013 and September 2015. Patient baseline characteristics, infarct volume, prestroke modified Rankin Scale, stroke cause, rehabilitation length of stay, and Functional Independence Measure (FIM) scores were recorded. Leukoaraiosis severity was graded on brain MRI using the Fazekas scale. Multiple linear regression was used to determine factors independently associated with the total, cognitive, and motor FIM scores at AIR discharge, respectively.

Results: Of 1,600 ischemic stroke patients screened, 109 patients were included in the final analysis. After adjustment, the initial NIHSS (β -0.541, CI -0.993– -0.888; $p=0.020$) and preexisting leukoaraiosis severity (β -1.448, CI -2.861– -0.034; $p=0.045$) independently predicted the total FIM score. Domain specific analysis showed that infarct volume (β -0.012, CI -0.019– -0.005; $p=0.002$) and leukoaraiosis severity (β -0.822, CI -1.223– -0.410; $p=0.0001$) independently predicted FIM cognitive scores at discharge from AIR. Leukoaraiosis did not predict FIM motor score ($p=0.17$).

Conclusions: Leukoaraiosis severity is an independent predictor of total and cognitive, but not motor FIM scores after AIR for acute ischemic stroke. This highlights that leukoaraiosis affects poststroke recovery in a domain specific fashion, information that may aid counseling of patients and families as well as tailor rehabilitative efforts.

Keywords

Leukoaraiosis; ischemic stroke; cognition; rehabilitation; functional independence measure

Introduction

Recent advances in hyperacute endovascular recanalization strategies, organized systems of stroke care, and improved secondary prevention strategies have significantly reduced stroke mortality.^{1, 2} In light of these critical advances, an increasing number of stroke survivors in the United States are now in need of dedicated rehabilitative efforts, imparting a high socioeconomic burden.³

Accordingly, it is important to understand factors that impact poststroke outcome and response to rehabilitation to improve patient care. Several factors have been identified to impact poststroke disability, including patient age, initial deficit severity, as well as infarct size and location.⁴ Nonetheless, recovery after intensive rehabilitation remains difficult to predict given significant interindividual variability and differential response to therapy across functional domains.⁵ A better understanding of this issue is important to predict the pattern and extent of recovery for assisting in personalized treatment plans for stroke survivors requiring rehabilitation.⁶

In this respect, leukoaraiosis, which is commonly present in ischemic stroke patients and has been repeatedly shown to relate to disability,^{4, 7, 8} is a promising imaging marker of poststroke recovery and cognitive impairment.^{4, 9, 10} Furthermore, leukoaraiosis impairs neuroplasticity by adversely affecting white matter tract organization and functional network integrity, providing a mechanistic background for observed detriment in functional outcomes.^{11–14} Nevertheless, the specific impact of preexisting leukoaraiosis on rehabilitation outcomes in ischemic stroke survivors remains uncertain.

To address this issue, we sought to determine the association between leukoaraiosis and functional outcomes after acute inpatient rehabilitation (AIR). To this end we assessed the Functional Independence Measure (FIM)—a validated comprehensive functional assessment tool to determine the degree of independence in activities of daily living in post-stroke patients in a domain specific (cognitive versus motor) fashion.^{15–19} We hypothesized that leukoaraiosis is associated with worse poststroke recovery on both the cognitive and motor domains of the FIM.

Methods

The study was approved by our Institutional Review Board, and Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPPA) waiver of informed consent was granted. We adhered to the

STrengthening the Reporting of OBservational studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines (www.strobe-statement.org).

Study Population

This was a retrospective analysis of prospectively collected data and included consecutive patients with ischemic stroke hospitalized for acute stroke in a Comprehensive Stroke Center with subsequent rehabilitation at our AIR unit between January 2013 and September 2015 in a single health care system (Spectrum Health). Patient demographics, laboratory data, comorbidities, preadmission medications, prestroke modified Rankin scale (mRS) and stroke etiology (using the Trial of Org 10 172 in Acute Stroke Treatment [TOAST] classification)²⁰ after completion of diagnostic evaluation and National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) scores were assessed at the time of presentation to the acute care hospital by members of the stroke team certified in NIHSS in a prospective quality registry maintained by the AIR unit.

Functional outcome assessment

The total FIM score was measured in all patients both on admission and at discharge from our AIR unit by an occupational, physical and speech therapist trained in administering this scale in a prospective quality registry maintained by the AIR unit. The FIM is a 126-point instrument comprised of 18 individual subscales measuring a variety of physical and cognitive functions. Each subscale is scored from 1 to 7 (1 = total assist, 7 = complete independence), resulting in a total FIM score that ranges from 18 to 126 with lower numbers indicating worse performance.²¹

Inclusion criteria

We included adult (age ≥ 18 years) patients admitted to our AIR Unit who had ischemic stroke as their final diagnosis at discharge from our comprehensive stroke center (CSC) and who had MRI of the brain available for review to reliably diagnose ischemic stroke as well as to determine the degree of preexisting leukoaraiosis.

Neuroimaging Review and Analysis

MRIs were retrospectively reviewed independently by two readers (M.K and H.H.) blinded to both clinical data and any followup scans. Lesions that were hyperintense on diffusion weighted imaging (DWI) with a corresponding hypointensity on apparent diffusion coefficient maps were considered acute ischemic lesions and manually outlined as previously described.²² Leukoaraiosis was defined on FLAIR MRI according to the STandards for ReportIng Vascular changes on nEuroimaging (STRIVE)²³ and then graded according to the Fazekas scale as previously described.^{24, 25} The total Fazekas score was calculated by adding the periventricular and subcortical scores as previously described.^{26–28} Using this approach we previously demonstrated high interrater reliability with an intraclass correlation coefficient of >0.95 for both the total and graded Fazekas score.²⁶

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize baseline characteristics and outcome measures and were stratified by severity of leukoaraiosis. Normally distributed continuous variables are shown as mean $\pm$ standard deviation. Non-normally distributed continuous variables are shown as median [25th percentile, 75th percentile]. Categorical variables are shown as count (% frequency). Age; admission NIHSS; infarct volume, pre-stroke mRS, atrial fibrillation and severity of leukoaraiosis were used as independent variables. We constructed separate multivariable linear regression models to determine whether the severity of preexisting leukoaraiosis was independently associated with the AIR unit discharge (i) total FIM, (ii) motor FIM, and (iii) cognitive FIM, respectively. All models were adjusted for age, NIHSS on initial presentation, infarct volume, pre-stroke mRS and atrial fibrillation. To avoid model overfitting, variables were sequentially removed from the models at a significance level of 0.1. Collinearity diagnostics were performed (and its presence rejected) for all multivariable regression models. Two-sided significance tests were used throughout and unless stated otherwise a two-sided $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were generated using SAS (SAS Enterprise Guide software, Version 7.1, SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC).

Results

Of 1,600 subjects with acute ischemic stroke that were admitted to our CSC 109 adult patients fulfilled the study criteria and were included in the analyses (Figure 1). Demographics of the study participants stratified by absent-to-mild leukoaraiosis (Fazekas 0–2) and moderate-to-severe leukoaraiosis (Fazekas 3–6) are summarized in Table 1. Upon admission to the CSC, both groups had a similar NIHSS ($p = 0.24$) and infarct volume ($p = 0.43$). Moderate to severe leukoaraiosis patients had higher incidence of atrial fibrillation ($p < 0.05$) and cardioembolic etiology ($p < 0.05$) of stroke. Likewise, the total ($p = 0.59$), motor ($p = 0.83$), and cognitive ($p = 0.45$) FIM scores at admission to AIR and length of AIR stay ($p = 0.66$) were similar between groups. The mean age of patients with moderate-to-severe leukoaraiosis was significantly higher than that of subjects with none-to-mild leukoaraiosis (70.3 ± 11.2 years vs. 57.4 ± 11.3 years, $p < 0.001$) (Table 1). In unadjusted analyses the total and motor FIM scores at discharge did not differ between patients with absent-to-mild versus moderate-to-severe leukoaraiosis ($p > 0.2$, each). Conversely, the cognitive FIM scores at discharge were lower in patients with moderate to severe leukoaraiosis ($p < 0.05$). Discharge disposition to home was similar in both groups ($p = 0.74$).

On multivariable linear regression, the initial NIHSS ($\beta -0.541$, CI -0.993 to -0.888 ; $p = 0.020$) and leukoaraiosis ($\beta -1.448$, CI -2.861 to -0.034 ; $p = 0.045$) were independent predictors of total FIM score at discharge (Table 2). In the FIM domain-specific analyses, we found that the FIM motor score at discharge was not predicted by age, initial NIHSS, infarct volume, or severity of leukoaraiosis (though the admission NIHSS was approaching significance [$p = 0.065$]). In contrast, both a greater infarct volume ($\beta -0.012$, CI -0.019 to -0.005 ; $p = 0.017$) and worse leukoaraiosis severity ($\beta -0.822$, CI -1.223 to -0.410 ; $p = 0.0001$) independently predicted lower FIM cognitive scores at discharge (Table 3). Accordingly, if all other factors were held constant, each 1 point increase of the initial

NIHSS and Fazekas score were associated with a 0.01 and 0.82 decrease of the FIM cognitive score, respectively.

Discussion

In our study, the initial deficit severity as assessed by the NIHSS at presentation to our CSC as well as the degree of preexisting leukoaraiosis severity related to AIR outcomes after ischemic stroke as measured by the FIM score. Interestingly, domain specific analyses indicated that leukoaraiosis predicted only cognitive but not motor outcomes assessed by the FIM. Previous studies showed that preexisting leukoaraiosis severity related to both motor and cognitive outcomes after ischemic stroke.^{29, 30} It is possible that our population characteristics and AIR admission criteria impacted the predictive ability of leukoaraiosis for motor outcomes since admission FIM motor scores are high in our study. Leukoaraiosis has been found to predict global functional outcomes in multiple studies^{4, 7, 8} but there is paucity of data on domain specific outcomes.^{29, 31} Our results provide novel insight into this issue by suggesting a domain specific impact of leukoaraiosis on stroke recovery with preexisting leukoaraiosis severity relating to impaired recovery early after stroke predominantly through cognitive impairment.

Infarct volume has been reported as a major predictor of poststroke outcome in earlier studies.^{32–34} However, in our fully adjusted models infarct volume was not a predictor of overall functional outcome. This is in agreement with previous studies suggesting an interaction of age with infarct volume, whereby younger patients can achieve similar functional outcomes despite having larger infarcts as compared to older patients.^{35–37} Nevertheless, in the domain specific analyses infarct volume was independently associated with cognitive outcomes after rehabilitation. This observation adds to the notion that reducing the overall stroke burden such as through systemic thrombolysis or mechanical thrombectomy may reduce the risk for poststroke cognitive impairment.^{38, 39} In addition to infarct volume, location is another important predictor of outcome as suggested by earlier studies. Infarct in cortical location tend to predict poor outcomes.^{40, 41}

The pathophysiological basis of impaired recovery due to leukoaraiosis is poorly understood. A plausible explanation suggests that leukoaraiosis results in loss of microstructural integrity in white matter tracts, which impedes structural reorganization after stroke as well as reduced functional compensation through remote brain areas.^{42–47}

Our study found that despite similar baseline characteristics on admission to our CSC, patients with moderate-to-severe leukoaraiosis had worse cognitive outcome. It is unclear if this difference in cognitive outcome is a result of reduced spontaneous biological recovery, ineffective cognitive rehabilitation strategies or poor cognitive reserves. Earlier work has suggested an interaction of these factors with an opportunity to influence outcome.^{48, 49} Prior studies indicated the feasibility and efficacy of focused rehabilitation to aid post-stroke cognitive recovery.^{50, 51} However, individualized and structured plans geared towards cognitive recovery are lacking.⁵² Cognitive recovery is an important aspect of post-stroke outcome and cognitive deficits have a major impact on long-term outcomes after stroke such as return to home and work.^{53, 54} Earlier studies have shown that multiple factors which

include functional status, marital status, cognitive deficits, type of insurance, geographical location, caregiver support and rehabilitation facility accreditation status.^{55–58} Therefore, we did not find a correlation between leukoaraiosis severity and disposition to home due to multiple confounding factors. It is important to note that disposition to home does not necessarily indicate good outcome. Hence, if confirmed in future studies, rehabilitation programs should focus on cognitive rehabilitation specifically for patients with severe leukoaraiosis to determine whether these patients benefit from focused cognitive therapy. Lastly, our data may aid counseling patients and their families who are frequently concerned about the impact of stroke on cognitive status regarding expected outcomes and planning for care at home.

Strengths of our study include comprehensive data collection, blinded assessment of neuroimaging and outcome variables including standardized recovery assessment using the FIM scale and leukoaraiosis grading using a well-established and validated scoring system. By selecting patients who were treated at our CSC and subsequently underwent rehabilitation at our AIR, we are able to provide a longitudinal assessment of poststroke recovery. Limitations relate to the retrospective study design as well as the modest sample size, exclusion of patients who did not undergo MRI Brain as part of initial evaluation, lack of long term outcomes, length of stay in CSC, Fazekas sub-score analysis, infarct location analysis and detailed neuropsychological assessment for cognitive recovery. However, FIM scores have been shown to predict long term outcomes and are a reliable measure of cognitive impairment.^{18, 59}

In conclusion, our data suggests that the degree of preexisting leukoaraiosis is associated with rehabilitation outcomes in a domain-specific fashion, and specifically relates to cognitive impairment. Our study demonstrates the importance of preexisting small vessel disease in the context of post-stroke recovery. Further studies are needed to validate our findings and help determine whether specialized cognitive rehabilitation programs improve recovery in stroke patients with moderate to severe leukoaraiosis.

Disclosures:

Dr. Henninger is supported by K08NS091499 from the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke of the National Institutes of Health. Dr. Edwardson is supported by UL1TR000101 from the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (NCATS), National Institutes of Health. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. Dr. Henninger serves on the advisory board of Omniox, Inc. Dr. Silver receives compensation as a surveyor for the Joint Commission, for medicolegal expert malpractice review, and for authorship in Ebix, Medlink, and Medscape. Dr. Khan, Dr. Heiser, Nathan Bernicchi, Dr. Laurel Packard and Dr. Elisevich have nothing to disclose.

References

1. Ma J, Ward EM, Siegel RL, Jemal A. Temporal trends in mortality in the united states, 1969–2013. *JAMA*. 2015;314:1731–1739 [PubMed: 26505597]
2. Lackland DT, Roccella EJ, Deutsch AF, Fornage M, George MG, Howard G, et al. Factors influencing the decline in stroke mortality: A statement from the american heart association/american stroke association. *Stroke*. 2014;45:315–353 [PubMed: 24309587]
3. Ma VY, Chan L, Carruthers KJ. Incidence, prevalence, costs, and impact on disability of common conditions requiring rehabilitation in the united states: Stroke, spinal cord injury, traumatic brain

- injury, multiple sclerosis, osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, limb loss, and back pain. Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation. 2014;95:986–995 e981 [PubMed: 24462839]
4. Onteddu SR, Goddeau RP Jr., Minaeian A, Henninger N. Clinical impact of leukoaraiosis burden and chronological age on neurological deficit recovery and 90-day outcome after minor ischemic stroke. Journal of the neurological sciences. 2015;359:418–423 [PubMed: 26476774]
 5. Prabhakaran S, Zarahn E, Riley C, Speizer A, Chong JY, Lazar RM, et al. Inter-individual variability in the capacity for motor recovery after ischemic stroke. Neurorehabil Neural Repair 2008;22:64–71 [PubMed: 17687024]
 6. Winstein CJ, Stein J, Arena R, Bates B, Cherney LR, Cramer SC, et al. Guidelines for adult stroke rehabilitation and recovery: A guideline for healthcare professionals from the american heart association/american stroke association. Stroke 2016;47:e98–e169 [PubMed: 27145936]
 7. Henninger N, Khan MA, Zhang J, Moonis M, Goddeau RP Jr. Leukoaraiosis predicts cortical infarct volume after distal middle cerebral artery occlusion. Stroke 2014;45:689–695 [PubMed: 24523039]
 8. Zhang J, Puri AS, Khan MA, Goddeau RP Jr., Henninger N. Leukoaraiosis predicts a poor 90-day outcome after endovascular stroke therapy. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2014;35:2070–2075 [PubMed: 24994827]
 9. DeBette S, Markus HS. The clinical importance of white matter hyperintensities on brain magnetic resonance imaging: Systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 2010;341:c3666 [PubMed: 20660506]
 10. The LSG, Poggesi A, Pantoni L, Inzitari D, Fazekas F, Ferro J, et al. 2001–2011: A decade of the ladis (leukoaraiosis and disability) study: What have we learned about white matter changes and small-vessel disease? Cerebrovasc Dis 2011;32:577–588
 11. Dhamoon MS, Moon YP, Paik MC, Boden-Albala B, Rundek T, Sacco RL, et al. Long-term functional recovery after first ischemic stroke: The northern manhattan study. Stroke 2009;40:2805–2811 [PubMed: 19556535]
 12. Ryberg C, Rostrup E, Sjostrand K, Paulson OB, Barkhof F, Scheltens P, et al. White matter changes contribute to corpus callosum atrophy in the elderly: The ladis study. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2008;29:1498–1504 [PubMed: 18556357]
 13. Lawrence AJ, Chung AW, Morris RG, Markus HS, Barrick TR. Structural network efficiency is associated with cognitive impairment in small-vessel disease. Neurology 2014;83:304–311 [PubMed: 24951477]
 14. Etherton MR, Wu O, Cougo P, Giese AK, Cloonan L, Fitzpatrick KM, et al. Integrity of normal-appearing white matter and functional outcomes after acute ischemic stroke. Neurology 2017;88:1701–1708 [PubMed: 28381507]
 15. Granger CV, Cotter AC, Hamilton BB, Fiedler RC. Functional assessment scales: A study of persons after stroke. Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation 1993;74:133–138 [PubMed: 8431095]
 16. Brown AW, Therneau TM, Schultz BA, Niewczyk PM, Granger CV. Measure of functional independence dominates discharge outcome prediction after inpatient rehabilitation for stroke. Stroke 2015;46:1038–1044 [PubMed: 25712941]
 17. Balasch i Bernat M, Balasch i Parisi S, Sebastian EN, Moscardo LD, Ferri Campos J, Lopez Bueno L. Determining cut-off points in functional assessment scales in stroke. NeuroRehabilitation 2015;37:165–172 [PubMed: 26484508]
 18. Saji N, Kimura K, Ohsaka G, Higashi Y, Teramoto Y, Usui M, et al. Functional independence measure scores predict level of long-term care required by patients after stroke: A multicenter retrospective cohort study. Disability and rehabilitation 2015;37:331–337 [PubMed: 24833418]
 19. Keith RA, Granger CV, Hamilton BB, Sherwin FS. The functional independence measure: A new tool for rehabilitation. Adv Clin Rehabil 1987;1:6–18 [PubMed: 3503663]
 20. Adams HP Jr., Bendixen BH, Kappelle LJ, Biller J, Love BB, Gordon DL, et al. Classification of subtype of acute ischemic stroke. Definitions for use in a multicenter clinical trial. Toast. Trial of org 10172 in acute stroke treatment. Stroke 1993;24:35–41 [PubMed: 7678184]
 21. Heinemann AW, Linacre JM, Wright BD, Hamilton BB, Granger C. Relationships between impairment and physical disability as measured by the functional independence measure. Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation 1993;74:566–573 [PubMed: 8503745]

22. Patti J, Helenius J, Puri AS, Henninger N. White matter hyperintensity-adjusted critical infarct thresholds to predict a favorable 90-day outcome. *Stroke* 2016;47:2526–2533 [PubMed: 27633020]
23. Wardlaw JM, Smith EE, Biessels GJ, Cordonnier C, Fazekas F, Frayne R, et al. Neuroimaging standards for research into small vessel disease and its contribution to ageing and neurodegeneration. *Lancet Neurol* 2013;12:822–838 [PubMed: 23867200]
24. Fazekas F, Chawluk JB, Alavi A, Hurtig HI, Zimmerman RA. Mr signal abnormalities at 1.5 t in alzheimer's dementia and normal aging. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1987;149:351–356 [PubMed: 3496763]
25. Fazekas F, Kleinert R, Offenbacher H, Payer F, Schmidt R, Kleinert G, et al. The morphologic correlate of incidental punctate white matter hyperintensities on mr images. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 1991;12:915–921 [PubMed: 1950921]
26. Helenius J, Henninger N. Leukoaraiosis burden significantly modulates the association between infarct volume and national institutes of health stroke scale in ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 2015;46:1857–1863 [PubMed: 25999386]
27. Helenius J, Goddeau RP Jr., Moonis M, Henninger N. Impact of leukoaraiosis burden on hemispheric lateralization of the national institutes of health stroke scale deficit in acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke; a journal of cerebral circulation* 2016;47:24–30
28. Mayasi Y, Helenius J, McManus DD, Goddeau RP Jr., Jun-O'Connell AH, Moonis M, et al. Atrial fibrillation is associated with anterior predominant white matter lesions in patients presenting with embolic stroke. *Journal of neurology, neurosurgery, and psychiatry* 2018;89:6–13
29. Liu Y, Zhang M, Chen Y, Gao P, Yun W, Zhou X. The degree of leukoaraiosis predicts clinical outcomes and prognosis in patients with middle cerebral artery occlusion after intravenous thrombolysis. *Brain Res.* 2018;1681:28–33 [PubMed: 29288062]
30. Senda J, Ito K, Kotake T, Kanamori M, Kishimoto H, Kadono I, et al. Association of leukoaraiosis with convalescent rehabilitation outcome in patients with ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 2016;47:160–166 [PubMed: 26658442]
31. Nadeau SE, Dobkin B, Wu SS, Pei Q, Duncan PW, Team LI. The effects of stroke type, locus, and extent on long-term outcome of gait rehabilitation: The leaps experience. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* 2016;30:615–625 [PubMed: 26498434]
32. Zaidi SF, Aghaebrahim A, Urrea X, Jumaa MA, Jankowitz B, Hammer M, et al. Final infarct volume is a stronger predictor of outcome than recanalization in patients with proximal middle cerebral artery occlusion treated with endovascular therapy. *Stroke* 2012;43:3238–3244 [PubMed: 23160876]
33. Vagal AS, Sucharew H, Prabhakaran S, Khatri P, Jovin T, Michel P, et al. Final infarct volume discriminates outcome in mild strokes. *Neuroradiol J* 2015;28:404–408 [PubMed: 26427891]
34. Yoo AJ, Chaudhry ZA, Nogueira RG, Lev MH, Schaefer PW, Schwamm LH, et al. Infarct volume is a pivotal biomarker after intra-arterial stroke therapy. *Stroke* 2012;43:1323–1330 [PubMed: 22426317]
35. Gilgen MD, Klimek D, Liesirova KT, Meisterernst J, Klinger-Gratz PP, Schroth G, et al. Younger stroke patients with large pretreatment diffusion-weighted imaging lesions may benefit from endovascular treatment. *Stroke* 2015;46:2510–2516 [PubMed: 26251252]
36. Ribo M, Flores A, Mansilla E, Rubiera M, Tomasello A, Coscojuela P, et al. Age-adjusted infarct volume threshold for good outcome after endovascular treatment. *J Neurointerv Surg* 2014;6:418–422 [PubMed: 23832414]
37. Ribo M, Tomasello A, Lemus M, Rubiera M, Vert C, Flores A, et al. Maximal admission core lesion compatible with favorable outcome in acute stroke patients undergoing endovascular procedures. *Stroke* 2015;46:2849–2852 [PubMed: 26294674]
38. Lin C, Lee J, Chatterjee N, Corado C, Carroll T, Naidech A, et al. Predicting domain-specific health-related quality of life using acute infarct volume. *Stroke* 2017;48:1925–1931 [PubMed: 28536175]
39. Lopez-Cancio E, Jovin TG, Cobo E, Cerda N, Jimenez M, Gomis M, et al. Endovascular treatment improves cognition after stroke: A secondary analysis of revascat trial. *Neurology* 2017;88:245–251 [PubMed: 27940648]

40. Khan M, Baird GL, Goddeau RP Jr., Silver B, Henninger N Alberta stroke program early ct score infarct location predicts outcome following m2 occlusion. *Frontiers in neurology* 2017;8:98 [PubMed: 28352248]
41. Rosso C, Blanc R, Ly J, Samson Y, Lehericy S, Gory B, et al. Impact of infarct location on functional outcome following endovascular therapy for stroke. *Journal of neurology, neurosurgery, and psychiatry* 2018
42. Grefkes C, Fink GR. Connectivity-based approaches in stroke and recovery of function. *Lancet Neurol* 2014;13:206–216 [PubMed: 24457190]
43. Pantoni L Cerebral small vessel disease: From pathogenesis and clinical characteristics to therapeutic challenges. *Lancet Neurol* 2010;9:689–701 [PubMed: 20610345]
44. Zhong G, Zhang R, Jiaerken Y, Yu X, Zhou Y, Liu C, et al. Better correlation of cognitive function to white matter integrity than to blood supply in subjects with leukoaraiosis. *Front Aging Neurosci* 2017;9:185 [PubMed: 28659787]
45. Della Nave R, Foresti S, Pratesi A, Ginestroni A, Inzitari M, Salvadori E, et al. Whole-brain histogram and voxel-based analyses of diffusion tensor imaging in patients with leukoaraiosis: Correlation with motor and cognitive impairment. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2007;28:1313–1319 [PubMed: 17698534]
46. Mascalchi M, Ginestroni A, Toschi N, Poggesi A, Cecchi P, Salvadori E, et al. The burden of microstructural damage modulates cortical activation in elderly subjects with mci and leukoaraiosis. A dti and fmri study. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2014;35:819–830 [PubMed: 23225611]
47. Rost NS, Cougo P, Lorenzano S, Li H, Cloonan L, Bouts MJ, et al. Diffuse microvascular dysfunction and loss of white matter integrity predict poor outcomes in patients with acute ischemic stroke. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2018;38:75–86 [PubMed: 28481164]
48. Dimyan MA, Cohen LG. Neuroplasticity in the context of motor rehabilitation after stroke. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2011;7:76–85 [PubMed: 21243015]
49. Zeiler SR, Krakauer JW. The interaction between training and plasticity in the poststroke brain. *Curr Opin Neurol* 2013;26:609–616 [PubMed: 24136129]
50. Turunen KEA, Laari SPK, Kauranen TV, Uimonen J, Mustanoja S, Tatlisumak T, et al. Domain-specific cognitive recovery after first-ever stroke: A 2-year follow-up. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc* 2017;1–11
51. Rabadi MH, Rabadi FM, Edelstein L, Peterson M. Cognitively impaired stroke patients do benefit from admission to an acute rehabilitation unit. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation* 2008;89:441–448 [PubMed: 18295621]
52. Barnes C, Conner D, Legault L, Reznickova N, Harrison-Felix C. Rehabilitation outcomes in cognitively impaired patients admitted to skilled nursing facilities from the community. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation* 2004;85:1602–1607 [PubMed: 15468018]
53. Itaya T, Murakami Y, Ota A, Nomura E, Fukushima T, Nishigaki M. Assessment model to identify patients with stroke with a high possibility of discharge to home: A retrospective cohort study. *Stroke* 2017;48:2812–2818 [PubMed: 28842511]
54. Nguyen VQ, PrvuBettger J, Guerrier T, Hirsch MA, Thomas JG, Pugh TM, et al. Factors associated with discharge to home versus discharge to institutional care after inpatient stroke rehabilitation. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation* 2015;96:1297–1303 [PubMed: 25823940]
55. Dutrieux RD, van Eijk M, van Mierlo ML, van Heugten CM, Visser-Meily JM, Achterberg WP. Discharge home after acute stroke: Differences between older and younger patients. *Journal of rehabilitation medicine* 2016;48:14–18 [PubMed: 26667264]
56. Tanwir S, Montgomery K, Chari V, Nesathurai S. Stroke rehabilitation: Availability of a family member as caregiver and discharge destination. *European journal of physical and rehabilitation medicine* 2014;50:355–362 [PubMed: 24518145]
57. Kurichi JE, Xie D, Bates BE, Ripley DC, Vogel WB, Kwong P, et al. Factors associated with home discharge among veterans with stroke. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation* 2014;95:1277–1282 e1273 [PubMed: 24685385]
58. Mees M, Klein J, Yperzele L, Vanacker P, Cras P. Predicting discharge destination after stroke: A systematic review. *Clinical neurology and neurosurgery* 2016;142:15–21 [PubMed: 26802615]

59. Tanaka N, Nakatsuka M, Ishii H, Nakayama R, Hosaka R, Meguro K. Clinical utility of the functional independence measure for assessment of patients with alzheimer's disease and vascular dementia. *Psychogeriatrics* 2013;13:199–205 [PubMed: 24289460]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Figure 1:
Flowchart of study population selection

Table 1.**Baseline Characteristics of the Studied Patient Population**

Characteristics	All patients (n=109)	None to mild Leukoaraiosis (Fazekas 0–2) (n=30)	Moderate to severe Leukoaraiosis (Fazekas 3–6) (n=65)	P value
Age, years	66.6 ± 12.4	57.4 ± 11.3	70.3 ± 11.2	<0.001
Baseline NIHSS at CSC	7 [5,12]	8 [5,12]	6 [4,10]	0.24
AIR unit Length of Stay, days	12 [8,17]	12 [8,17]	13 [9,17]	0.66
Female sex	44 (40.4%)	6 (20.0%)	33 (50.8%)	<0.01
Cerebrovascular Risk Factors				
Hypertension	84 (80.0%)	22 (75.9%)	54 (84.4%)	0.33
Diabetes	43 (39.5%)	12 (40.0%)	27 (41.5%)	0.89
Atrial fibrillation	22 (20.2%)	1 (3.3%)	16 (24.6%)	<0.05
Pre-stroke modified Rankin scale (mRS)				
0	52 (47.7%)	23 (76.7%)	23 (35.4%)	<0.001
1	32 (29.4%)	2 (6.7%)	25 (38.5%)	
2	19 (17.4%)	4 (13.3%)	13 (20.0%)	
3	6 (5.5%)	1 (3.3%)	4 (6.1%)	
TOAST classification				
Cardioembolic	33 (30.3%)	3 (10.0%)	22 (33.8%)	<0.05
Undetermined	29 (26.6%)	7 (23.3%)	17 (26.2%)	
Large artery atherosclerosis	6 (5.5%)	4 (13.3%)	2 (3.1%)	
Small vessel disease	37 (33.9%)	15 (50.0%)	22 (33.8%)	
Other determined	4 (3.7%)	1 (3.3%)	2 (3.1%)	
Acute Intervention				
rtPA administration only	20 (18.4%)	6 (20.0%)	8 (12.3%)	0.43
Mechanical thrombectomy only	4 (3.7%)	1 (3.3%)	2 (3.1%)	
Both tPA and mechanical thrombectomy	8 (7.3%)	3 (10.0%)	3 (4.6%)	
Conservative management	77 (70.6%)	20 (66.7%)	52 (80.0%)	
Infarct Volume, mL	25 [10,63]	22.5 [10,70.5]	20 [6.2,39.6]	0.43
FIM Score				
Total FIM Admission	91.0 ± 18.7	93.9 ± 15.3	92.0 ± 16.4	0.59
FIM Motor Admission	66.6 ± 15.7	68.1 ± 12.1	67.5 ± 14.7	0.83
FIM Cognitive Admission	25 [21,29]	26.5 [21,31]	25 [22,28]	0.45
Total FIM Discharge	125 [113,132]	124.5 [117,134]	125 [114,131]	0.40
FIM Motor Discharge	93 [83,100]	93 [83,100]	93 [84,99]	0.77
FIM Cognitive Discharge	32 [29,34]	33.5 [30,35]	31 [29,34]	<0.05
Discharge Disposition (n=107)				
Home	94 (87.9)	26 (86.7)	57 (89.1)	0.74

Characteristics	All patients (n=109)	None to mild Leukoaraiosis (Fazekas 0–2) (n=30)	Moderate to severe Leukoaraiosis (Fazekas 3–6) (n=65)	P value
Institution	13 (12.1)	4 (13.3)	7 (10.9)	

Data are shown as n (%), mean $\pm$ SD, or median [25th percentile, 75th percentile], as appropriate.. IRU, Inpatient Rehabilitation Unit; TOAST, Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment; rtPA, recombinant tissue-type plasminogen activator.

Table 2.

Multiple Linear Regression Analysis with Backward Elimination for factors associated with the Rehabilitation Outcome (Total FIM Score at Discharge)

Independent Variable	β (95% CL)	P Value
Age	-----	0.1810
NIHSS	-0.541 (-0.993,-0.089)	0.0196
Infarct Volume	-----	0.1613
Leukoaraiosis	-1.448(-2.861,-0.034)	0.0448
Pre-Stroke mRS	-----	0.9382
Atrial Fibrillation	-----	0.2115

National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS); Age, Infarct Volume, pre-stroke modified Rankin Scale (mRS) and atrial fibrillation were insignificant and excluded from the model.

Table 3.

Multiple Linear Regression Analysis with Backward Elimination of Rehabilitation Outcome as FIM Cognitive Score at Discharge

Independent Variable	β (95% CL)	P Value
Age	-----	0.0966
NIHSS	-----	0.2668
Infarct Volume	-0.012(-0.019,-0.005)	0.0017
Leukoaraiosis	-0.822(-1.233,-0.410)	0.0001
Pre-Stroke mRS	-----	0.6269
Atrial Fibrillation	-----	0.0514

National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS); Age, NIHSS, pre-stroke modified Rankin Scale and atrial fibrillation were insignificant and excluded from the model.