Published in final edited form as:

Surv Ophthalmol. 2020 ; 65(1): 32–40. doi:10.1016/j.survophthal.2019.06.008.

Diagnostic criteria for limbal stem cell deficiency prior to surgical intervention - A systematic literature review and analysis

Qihua Le, MD, PhD^{1,2}, Tulika Chauhan, MD¹, Sophie X. Deng, MD, PhD^{1,*}

¹Stein Eye Institute, Cornea Division, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles

²Department of Ophthalmology, Eye & ENT Hospital of Fudan University, Shanghai 200031, China

Abstract

An accurate diagnosis of limbal stem cell deficiency (LSCD) is the premise of an appropriate treatment. However, there is no consensus about the diagnostic criteria for LSCD. We performed a systematic literature search of the peer-reviewed articles on PubMed, Medline, and Ovid to investigate how LSCD was diagnosed prior to surgical intervention. The methods used to diagnose LSCD were collected including clinical presentation, impression cytology (IC) and in vivo confocal microscopy (IVCM). Among 131 eligible studies (4054 eyes), 26 studies (459 eyes, 11.3%) did not mention the diagnostic criteria. In the remaining 105 studies, the diagnosis of LSCD was made on the basis of clinical exam alone in 2398 eyes (62.9%), and additional diagnostic tests were used in 1047 (25.8%) eyes. IC was used in 981 eyes (24.2%), IVCM was used in 29 eyes (0.7%), and both IC and IVCM were used in 37 eyes (0.9%). Our findings suggest that only a small portion of patients underwent a diagnostic test to confirm the diagnosis of LSCD. Treating physicians should be aware of the limitations of clinical examination in diagnosing LSCD and perform a diagnostic test whenever possible before surgical intervention.

Keywords

Limbal stem cell deficiency; limbal stem cells; diagnosis; impression cytology; in vivo laser scanning confocal microscopy; imaging

*Corresponding Author: Sophie X. Deng, MD, PhD Stein Eye Institute, University of California, Los Angeles, 100 Stein Plaza, Los Angeles CA 90095, USA, deng@jsei.ucla.edu, Telephone: (310) 206-7202, Fax: (310) 794-7906.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Disclosure

All authors have no financial disclosures.

I. Introduction

Limbal stem cells (LSCs) are responsible for the regeneration of corneal epithelial cells and the maintenance of the integrity and transparency of the cornea.¹¹⁰ Destruction of the LSCs, the stem cell niche, or both, leads to LSC dysfunction and deficiency. Limbal stem cell deficiency (LSCD) results in delayed epithelial wound healing, recurrent epithelial erosions, and loss of vision.

There is no consensus about the diagnostic criteria for LSCD.⁶⁶ The ocular symptoms of LSCD, such as photophobia, recurrent episodes of ocular pain, foreign body sensation, tearing and decreased vision, are usually nonspecific and have limited diagnostic value. The typical clinical signs include late fluorescein staining in a vortex pattern, recurrent/persistent epithelial defects, fibrovascular pannus, and the absence of palisades of Vogt; however, these clinical presentations are not specific to LSCD. In complex eyes with other comorbidities, signs of other diseases such as corneal neovascularization, dry eye, and subepithelial scarring might be mistaken as severe LSCD.⁶⁵

Tests have been developed to confirm the diagnosis of LSCD. The presence of goblet cells or conjunctiva-derived cells in the corneal epithelium, which is a sign of LSCD, can be detected by impression cytology (IC) for confirming an LSCD diagnosis.^{35,137} More recently, in vivo confocal microscopy (IVCM) has been used to detect cellular morphologic changes or goblet cells on the cornea.^{68,81,92}

Surgical interventions, either limbal transplantation or keratoprosthesis, can restore vision in eyes with severe LSCD that do not respond well to medical treatment. In the cases of allogeneic LSC transplantation, systemic immunosuppression is required and poses systemic, potentially life-threatening, side effects. Therefore, an accurate diagnosis and classification of LSCD are necessary for the selection of an appropriate treatment. Here we report the findings of a systematic review and analysis of the published peer-reviewed literatures that assessed how LSCD was diagnosed in patients who underwent surgical intervention for the condition.

II. Methods

A. Eligibility Criteria

Original papers that reported surgical outcomes of LSCD in more than 5 eyes were included. Literature reviews, animal studies, laboratory studies, correspondence, notes, editorials and conference abstracts were excluded. If our searches identified multiple reports from the same authors and the same institutions, these reports were assessed and grouped according to the study duration, surgery type, donor source, and patient information; only the most recent studies with updated data, larger populations and longer follow-up were included to avoid redundant studies reporting outcomes at different time points from an overlapping group of patients. In a few studies, IC was selectively performed in only a small portion of the patients. The number of eyes that were reported as having undergone IC was counted as having the adjuvant test. The eligibility of included studies were evaluated by two authors

(Q.L. and T.C.) independently. In cases of disagreement, a third author (S.D.) participated in the discussion until the consensus was reached.

B. Data Collection

The data about the diagnostic methods used to diagnose LSCD that were collected included clinical findings, IC and its results (e.g., the presence of goblet cells, epithelial morphology, and epithelial biomarkers), and IVCN and its results. The study type and the authors' affiliation were also collected to address the global distribution of surgical interventions for LSCD.

III. Results

A total of 131 studies (3818 subjects and 4054 eyes) met the inclusion criteria and were included in the analysis (Figure 1). Surgical treatment of LSCD had been offered at 66 eye centers in 23 countries in Europe, Asia, North America and South America (Table 1). Of the 131 studies, 5 were uncontrolled clinical trials,^{18,41,61,112,157} 29 were prospective studies,^{3,13,17,28,34,46,47,63,71,72,74,75,80,96,99,102,103,108,111,113,120,124,128,129,135,136,143, 145,156} and 73 were retrospective studies.

^{1,2,5,7–12,14–16,20,24–26,31,32,38–40,42,44,45,48,50–53,56,59,60,62,64,69,70,76,77,82–90,94,97,98,105,107,115,117–119,121,122,125–127} In the remaining 24 studies,^{6,29,36,37,43,49,54,55,58,73,79,87,95,104,106,116,123,142,144,147,148,150,151,155} the study type could not be determined from the information provided in the papers.

Twenty-six studies did not mention the diagnostic criteria used in the evaluation of 433 subjects (459 eyes, 11.3%). The clinical presentations were used as one criterion for LSCD in the remaining 105 studies (3595 eyes, 88.7%) that specified their diagnostic criteria. These presentations included late fluorescein staining (either vortex staining or punctate staining), lusterless corneal epithelium or loss of corneal epithelial transparency, epithelial irregularity, recurrent/persistent epithelial defects, superficial neovascularization, fibrovascular pannus, the absence of palisades of Vogt, symblepharon, corneal stroma opacity or scarring, and chronic ocular surface inflammation.

Clinical findings alone without adjuvant tests were used for the diagnosis in 2398 subjects (2548 eyes, 62.9%). A diagnostic test was used in 987 subjects (1047 eyes, 25.8%). The diagnosis was confirmed by IC in 981 eyes (24.2%), by IVCN in 29 eyes (0.7%), and by both IC and IVCN in 37 eyes (0.9%) (Table 1).

Of the 42 studies that employed IC as a diagnostic test, 22 studies (605 eyes) reported the presence of goblet cells on the cornea as the evidence of conjunctivalization of the corneal surface.^{6,9,28,41,46,59,69,96,98,102,103,105,113,120–122,129,136,138,149–151} Immunohistochemical staining to identify cytokeratin profiles was used in 5 studies (76 eyes);^{29,63,79,106,135} studies, K3 and K19 were used as the biomarkers of corneal epithelial cells and conjunctival epithelial cells, respectively. The determination of cellular morphology (e.g., the shape and size of the cells, the nucleus size, and the nucleus-cytoplasm alteration) and cytoplasm staining pattern were used as diagnostic criteria in 3 studies (59 eyes).^{51,71,72} The remaining

12 studies (278 eyes) mentioned the use of IC but did not describe the details of the method used to confirm conjunctivalization of the corneal surface.^{5,7,8,64,70,76,77,98,131,133,142,157}

IVCM was used in only 4 studies (66 eyes), all of which were performed by institutions in Europe. The presence of conjunctival-like or mixed epithelial cell phenotype in the central cornea was used as the supporting evidence in 3 studies,^{41,108,126} and the detection of goblet cells on the cornea was used to confirm the LSCD diagnosis in 1 study.⁹⁷

IV. Discussion

The transplantation of either limbal tissues or cultivated LSCs has been the surgical intervention of choice for severe to total LSCD for more than three decades; however, there is a lack of consensus in the diagnostic criteria for LSCD, as shown in the present systematic review. Most of the clinical signs used in all studies are not pathognomonic for LSCD. Presence of corneal neovascularization, symblepharon, ocular surface inflammation, absence of palisade of Vogt, or persistent epithelial defect does not necessarily indicate LSCD. Other conditions might be misdiagnosed as LSCD.^{65,92}

Impression cytology is considered a standard method to confirm the diagnosis of LSCD, either by detecting goblet cells on the corneal surface or by identifying specific cytokeratin of the conjunctiva.^{91,100,109} Although the detection of goblet cells on the cornea has high specificity in the diagnosis of LSCD, its sensitivity is quite low, ranging from 13.8%-59%.^{68,91,114} Detection of biomarkers of conjunctival epithelial cells such as K7 and K13 using immunohistochemistry or RT-PCR has a similar specificity but the sensitivity is 28%-48% higher than the detection of goblet cells.^{100,101,109,114} The protocol to detect biomarkers of conjunctival epithelial cells varied among different centers, however, and a standardized protocol is lacking. In addition, it is time-consuming and requires laboratory support. Additional work still is needed to make this method feasible in clinical practice.

In vivo laser scanning confocal microscopy is an *in vivo* imaging technique to visualize the microstructures of ocular surface tissues at the single-cell level. This method has many advantages over IC, including noninvasiveness, real-time results, and good repeatability. Apart from the presence of either goblet cells or conjunctival-like epithelial cells on the cornea, the alterations of cellular morphology, basal epithelial density, epithelial thickness and subbasal nerve plexus have also been shown to be good parameters for the diagnosis of LSCD.^{19,21,22,27} IVCM has been confirmed to have a high degree of concordance with IC^{30,68,92} and has been applied in many clinical studies on LSCD;^{33,81,92} however, our review shows that only 4 investigations (66 eyes) used IVCM as a confirmatory test in the diagnosis of LSCD before surgical intervention. This might be due to the limitation of IVCM, which is time-consuming and technically challenging, especially when the examination is performed at the limbal area. Moreover, a small sampling area is also a big limitation that impedes its application in the clinical practice. Therefore, recent studies have investigated whether anterior-segment OCT might be another feasible imaging technique to diagnose LSCD.^{4,67,78,93,154}

Clinical findings alone are insufficient to make the diagnosis and stage the severity of the disease, particularly in complicated cases, because the clinical signs used to diagnose LSCD are not pathognomonic.⁶⁶ Residual normal limbal epithelial cells have been detected in eyes with clinical signs of total LSCD.²³ In addition, we recently reported a case of corneal neovascularization misdiagnosed as total LSCD.⁶⁵ This highlights the subjective nature of the interpretation of clinical findings. Therefore, the diagnosis based only on clinical presentation may be inaccurate or even incorrect in some cases. Additional efforts should be made to perform the diagnostic tests prior to surgical intervention to maximize the outcome of treatment and reduce risks to the patient.

The current study found that confirmatory testing, i.e., IC, IVCN, or both, was used in the diagnosis of LSCD in only 25.8% of eyes prior to surgical treatment. A previous review reported that only 39% of the interventional studies on LSCD published from 2003 to 2013 used an additional diagnostic method to confirm the diagnosis.⁵⁷ The current study, which includes all surgical interventional studies published prior to December of 2018, shows an even lower prevalence of the use of confirmatory tests. Low utilization of diagnostic testing might be from a lack of laboratory support or unavailability of equipment to perform the tests. Limited access to alternative diagnostic methods is likely the major reason for reliance on clinical diagnosis in practice. In addition, a lack of consensus on the diagnostic criteria for LSCD and poor understanding of the limitations of clinical findings in its diagnosis, also contribute to low utilization of a diagnostic test. Clinicians should recognize the limitation of clinical diagnosis and make efforts to obtain confirmatory diagnostic tests whenever possible.

V. Conclusion

The diagnosis of LSCD in the vast majority of cases was made solely on clinical findings without a confirmatory diagnostic test prior to surgical intervention. The sensitivity of impression cytology is relatively low, while IVCN is technically challenging and has a small examination area. The reliance on the clinical diagnosis in the majority of clinical practice is probably due to limited access to alternative diagnostic methods. Recognizing the limitations of using only clinical findings in the diagnosis of LSCD and increasing the use of additional tests to confirm the diagnosis are important in improving patient care. A consensus on the diagnosis of LSCD needs to be established.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the UCLA Biostatistics service.

Funding

This work was supported by California Institute for Regenerative Medicine (CLIN1-08686) and in part by an unrestricted grant from Research to Prevent Blindness. SXD received grant support from the National Eye Institute grants (5P30EY000331 and 1R01EY021797). The funding organizations had no role in the design or conduct of this research.

References

1. Ang LP, Nakamura T, Inatomi T, et al. Autologous serum-derived cultivated oral epithelial transplants for severe ocular surface disease. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2006;124:1543–51. [PubMed: 17102000]
2. Aravena C, Bozkurt TK, Yu F, Aldave AJ. Long-Term Outcomes of the Boston Type I Keratoprosthesis in the Management of Corneal Limbal Stem Cell Deficiency. *Cornea*. 2016;35:1156–64. [PubMed: 27387566]
3. Arora R, Dokania P, Manudhane A, Goyal JL. Preliminary results from the comparison of simple limbal epithelial transplantation with conjunctival limbal autologous transplantation in severe unilateral chronic ocular burns. *Indian J Ophthalmol*. 2017;65:35–40. [PubMed: 28300738]
4. Banayan N, Georgeon C, Grieve K, Borderie VM. Spectral-domain Optical Coherence Tomography in Limbal Stem Cell Deficiency. A Case-Control Study. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2018;190:179–90. [PubMed: 29621511]
5. Baradaran-Rafii A, Akbari M, Shirzadeh E, Shams M. Single block conjunctival limbal autograft for unilateral total limbal stem cell deficiency. *J Ophthalmic Vis Res*. 2015;10:90–2. [PubMed: 26005561]
6. Baradaran-Rafii A, Ebrahimi M, Kanavi MR, et al. Midterm outcomes of autologous cultivated limbal stem cell transplantation with or without penetrating keratoplasty. *Cornea*. 2010;29:502–9. [PubMed: 20299977]
7. Baradaran-Rafii A, Eslani M, Djalilian AR. Complications of keratolimbal allograft surgery. *Cornea*. 2013;32:561–6. [PubMed: 23073489]
8. Baradaran-Rafii A, Eslani M, Jamali H, Karimian F, Taylor UA, Djalilian AR. Postoperative complications of conjunctival limbal autograft surgery. *Cornea*. 2012;31:893–9. [PubMed: 22236787]
9. Barreiro TP, Santos MS, Vieira AC, de Nadai Barros J, Hazarbassanov RM, Gomes JA. Comparative study of conjunctival limbal transplantation not associated with the use of amniotic membrane transplantation for treatment of total limbal deficiency secondary to chemical injury. *Cornea*. 2014;33:716–20. [PubMed: 24831198]
10. Basu S, Ali H, Sangwan VS. Clinical outcomes of repeat autologous cultivated limbal epithelial transplantation for ocular surface burns. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2012;153:643–50, 50 e1–2. [PubMed: 22265153]
11. Basu S, Fernandez MM, Das S, Gaddipati S, Vemuganti GK, Sangwan VS. Clinical outcomes of xeno-free allogeneic cultivated limbal epithelial transplantation for bilateral limbal stem cell deficiency. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2012;96:1504–9. [PubMed: 22976585]
12. Basu S, Mohamed A, Chaurasia S, Sejal K, Vemuganti GK, Sangwan VS. Clinical outcomes of penetrating keratoplasty after autologous cultivated limbal epithelial transplantation for ocular surface burns. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2011;152:917–24 e1. [PubMed: 21851920]
13. Basu S, Sureka SP, Shanbhag SS, Kethiri AR, Singh V, Sangwan VS. Simple Limbal Epithelial Transplantation: Long-Term Clinical Outcomes in 125 Cases of Unilateral Chronic Ocular Surface Burns. *Ophthalmology*. 2016;123:1000–10. [PubMed: 26896125]
14. Basu S, Taneja M, Narayanan R, Senthil S, Sangwan VS. Short-term outcome of Boston Type 1 keratoprosthesis for bilateral limbal stem cell deficiency. *Indian J Ophthalmol*. 2012;60:151–3. [PubMed: 22446917]
15. Biber JM, Skeens HM, Neff KD, Holland EJ. The Cincinnati procedure: technique and outcomes of combined living-related conjunctival limbal allografts and keratolimbal allografts in severe ocular surface failure. *Cornea*. 2011;30:765–71. [PubMed: 21325942]
16. Borderie VM, Levy O, Georgeon C, Bouheraoua N. Simultaneous penetrating keratoplasty and amniotic membrane transplantation in eyes with a history of limbal stem cell deficiency. *J Fr Ophthalmol*. 2018;41:583–91. [PubMed: 30166235]
17. Burillon C, Huot L, Justin V, et al. Cultured autologous oral mucosal epithelial cell sheet (CAOMECS) transplantation for the treatment of corneal limbal epithelial stem cell deficiency. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2012;53:1325–31. [PubMed: 22064987]

18. Calonge M, Perez I, Galindo S, et al. A proof-of-concept clinical trial using mesenchymal stem cells for the treatment of corneal epithelial stem cell deficiency. *Transl Res.* 2019;206:18–40 [PubMed: 30578758]
19. Caro-Magdaleno M, Alfaro-Juarez A, Montero-Iruzebieta J, et al. In vivo confocal microscopy indicates an inverse relationship between the sub-basal corneal plexus and the conjunctivalisation in patients with limbal stem cell deficiency. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 2019;103:327–31. [PubMed: 29777047]
20. Chan CC, Biber JM, Holland EJ. The modified Cincinnati procedure: combined conjunctival limbal autografts and keratolimbal allografts for severe unilateral ocular surface failure. *Cornea.* 2012;31:1264–72. [PubMed: 22406944]
21. Chan EH, Chen L, Rao JY, Yu F, Deng SX. Limbal Basal Cell Density Decreases in Limbal Stem Cell Deficiency. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2015;160:678–84 e4. [PubMed: 26149968]
22. Chan EH, Chen L, Yu F, Deng SX. Epithelial Thinning in Limbal Stem Cell Deficiency. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2015;160:669–77 e4. [PubMed: 26163009]
23. Chan E, Le Q, Codriansky A, Hong J, Xu J, Deng SX. Existence of Normal Limbal Epithelium in Eyes With Clinical Signs of Total Limbal Stem Cell Deficiency. *Cornea.* 2016;35:1483–7. [PubMed: 27362882]
24. Cheng J, Zhai H, Wang J, Duan H, Zhou Q. Long-term outcome of allogeneic cultivated limbal epithelial transplantation for symblepharon caused by severe ocular burns. *BMC Ophthalmol.* 2017;17(1):8. [PubMed: 28143466]
25. Cheung AY, Patel S, Kurji KH, et al. Ocular Surface Stem Cell Transplantation for Treatment of Keratitis-Ichthyosis-Deafness Syndrome. *Cornea.* 2019;38:123–6. [PubMed: 30371567]
26. Chew H, Ayres B, Hammersmith K, et al. Boston keratoprosthesis outcomes and complications. *Cornea.* 2009;28:989–96. [PubMed: 19724214]
27. Chuephanich P, Supiyaphun C, Aravena C, Bozkurt T, Yu F, Deng SX. Characterization of the corneal subbasal nerve plexus in limbal stem deficiency. *Cornea.* 2017;36:347–52. [PubMed: 27941384]
28. Chugh JP, Jain P, Sen R. Comparative analysis of fresh and dry preserved amniotic membrane transplantation in partial limbal stem cell deficiency. *Int Ophthalmol.* 2015;35:347–55. [PubMed: 24898773]
29. Colabelli Gisoldi RA, Pocobelli A, Villani CM, Amato D, Pellegrini G. Evaluation of molecular markers in corneal regeneration by means of autologous cultures of limbal cells and keratoplasty. *Cornea.* 2010;29:715–22. [PubMed: 20489583]
30. Colorado LH, Alzahrani Y, Pritchard N, Efron N. Assessment of conjunctival goblet cell density using laser scanning confocal microscopy versus impression cytology. *Cont Lens Anterior Eye.* 2016;39:221–6 [PubMed: 26852166]
31. Daya SM, Ilari FA. Living related conjunctival limbal allograft for the treatment of stem cell deficiency. *Ophthalmology.* 2001;108:126–33. [PubMed: 11150276]
32. Daya SM, Watson A, Sharpe JR, Giledi O, Rowe A, Martin R, et al. Outcomes and DNA analysis of ex vivo expanded stem cell allograft for ocular surface reconstruction. *Ophthalmology.* 2005;112:470–7. [PubMed: 15745776]
33. Deng SX, Sejpal KD, Tang Q, Aldave AJ, Lee OL, Yu F. Characterization of limbal stem cell deficiency by in vivo laser scanning confocal microscopy: a microstructural approach. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 2012;130:440–5. [PubMed: 22159172]
34. Dobrowolski D, Orzechowska-Wylegala B, Wowra B, et al. Cultivated Oral Mucosa Epithelium in Ocular Surface Reconstruction in Aniridia Patients. *Biomed Res Int.* 2015;2015:281870. [PubMed: 26451366]
35. Donisi P, Rama P, Fasolo A, Ponzin D. Analysis of limbal stem cell deficiency by corneal impression cytology. *Cornea.* 2003;22:533–8. [PubMed: 12883346]
36. Dua HS, Azuara-Blanco A. Allo-limbal transplantation in patients with limbal stem cell deficiency. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 1999;83:414–9. [PubMed: 10434862]
37. Dua HS, Azuara-Blanco A. Autologous limbal transplantation in patients with unilateral corneal stem cell deficiency. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 2000;84:273–8. [PubMed: 10684837]

38. Eberwein P, Bohringer D, Schwartzkopff J, Bimbaum F, Reinhard T. Allogenic limbo-keratoplasty with conjunctivoplasty, mitomycin C, and amniotic membrane for bilateral limbal stem cell deficiency. *Ophthalmology*. 2012;119:930–7. [PubMed: 22330963]
39. Eslani M, Haq Z, Movahedan A, et al. Late Acute Rejection After Allograft Limbal Stem Cell Transplantation: Evidence for Long-Term Donor Survival. *Cornea*. 2017;36:26–31. [PubMed: 27467047]
40. Fasolo A, Pedrotti E, Passilongo M, et al. Safety outcomes and long-term effectiveness of ex vivo autologous cultured limbal epithelial transplantation for limbal stem cell deficiency. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2017;101:640–9. [PubMed: 27543289]
41. Figueiredo GS, Salvador-Culla B, Baylis OJ, Mudhar HS, Lako M, Figueiredo FC. Outcomes of Penetrating Keratoplasty Following Autologous Cultivated Limbal Epithelial Stem Cell Transplantation. *Stem cells*. 2018;36:925–31. [PubMed: 29436059]
42. Fogla R, Padmanabhan P. Deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty combined with autologous limbal stem cell transplantation in unilateral severe chemical injury. *Cornea*. 2005;24:421–5. [PubMed: 15829798]
43. Frucht-Pery J, Siganos CS, Solomon A, Scheman L, Brautbar C, Zauberman H. Limbal cell autograft transplantation for severe ocular surface disorders. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. 1998;36:582–7. [PubMed: 9717653]
44. Ganger A, Vanathi M, Mohanty S, Tandon R. Long-Term Outcomes of Cultivated Limbal Epithelial Transplantation: Evaluation and Comparison of Results in Children and Adults. *Biomed Res Int*. 2015;2015:480983. [PubMed: 26770973]
45. Geerling G, Hille K. [Keratoprosthesis with Biological Haptic -The Dusseldorf-Offenburg-Experience with Osteo-Odonto- and Tibia-Keratoprosthesis]. *Klin Monbl Augenheilkd*. 2017;234:763–9. [PubMed: 28586929]
46. Gomes JA, dos Santos MS, Cunha MC, Mascaro VL, Barros Jde N, de Sousa LB. Amniotic membrane transplantation for partial and total limbal stem cell deficiency secondary to chemical burn. *Ophthalmology*. 2003;110:466–73. [PubMed: 12623806]
47. Gupta N, Joshi J, Farooqui JH, Mathur U. Results of simple limbal epithelial transplantation in unilateral ocular surface burn. *Indian J Ophthalmol*. 2018;66:45–52. [PubMed: 29283122]
48. Han ES, Wee WR, Lee JH, Kim MK. Long-term outcome and prognostic factor analysis for keratolimbal allografts. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. 2011;249:1697–704. [PubMed: 21837442]
49. He Y, Sun B, Ding X. [Limbal epithelial autograft transplantation for treatment of unilateral fibrous vascularized cornea caused by chemical burns]. *Zhonghua Yan Ke Za Zhi*. 1996;32:11–4. [PubMed: 8758381]
50. Hirayama M, Satake Y, Higa K, Yamaguchi T, Shimazaki J. Transplantation of cultivated oral mucosal epithelium prepared in fibrin-coated culture dishes. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2012;53:1602–9. [PubMed: 22323487]
51. Holland EJ, Djalilian AR, Schwartz GS. Management of aniridic keratopathy with keratolimbal allograft: a limbal stem cell transplantation technique. *Ophthalmology*. 2003;110:125–30. [PubMed: 12511357]
52. Hou JH, de la Cruz J, Djalilian AR. Outcomes of Boston keratoprosthesis implantation for failed keratoplasty after keratolimbal allograft. *Cornea*. 2012;31:1432–5. [PubMed: 22236785]
53. Ilari L, Daya SM. Long-term outcomes of keratolimbal allograft for the treatment of severe ocular surface disorders. *Ophthalmology*. 2002;109:1278–84. [PubMed: 12093650]
54. Inatomi T, Nakamura T, Koizumi N, Sotozono C, Yokoi N, Kinoshita S. Midterm results on ocular surface reconstruction using cultivated autologous oral mucosal epithelial transplantation. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2006;141:267–75. [PubMed: 16458679]
55. Ivekovic R, Tedeschi-Reiner E, Novak-Laus K, Andrijevic-Derk B, Cima I, Mandic Z. Limbal graft and/or amniotic membrane transplantation in the treatment of ocular burns. *Ophthalmologica*. 2005;219:297–302. [PubMed: 16123557]
56. Iyer G, Srinivasan B, Agarwal S, Tarigopula A. Outcome of alio simple limbal epithelial transplantation (alloSLET) in the early stage of ocular chemical injury. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2017;101:828–33. [PubMed: 28407620]

57. Jawaheer L, Anijeet D, Ramaesh K. Diagnostic criteria for limbal stem cell deficiency-A systematic literature review. *Surv Ophthalmol.* 2017;62:522–32. [PubMed: 27856177]
58. Jenkins C, Tuft S, Liu C, Buckley R. Limbal transplantation in the management of chronic contact-lens-associated epitheliopathy. *Eye (Lond).* 1993;7 (Pt 5):629–33. [PubMed: 8287983]
59. Kheirkhah A, Casas V, Raju VK, Tseng SC. Sutureless amniotic membrane transplantation for partial limbal stem cell deficiency. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2008;145:787–94. [PubMed: 18329626]
60. Kim JH, Chun YS, Lee SH, et al. Ocular surface reconstruction with autologous nasal mucosa in cicatricial ocular surface disease. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2010;149:45–53. [PubMed: 19875092]
61. Kim YJ, Lee HJ, Ryu JS, et al. Prospective Clinical Trial of Corneal Reconstructmction With Biomaterial-Free Cultured Oral Mucosal Epithelial Cell Sheets. *Cornea.* 2018;37:76–83. [PubMed: 29040119]
62. Koizumi N, Inatomi T, Suzuki T, Sotozono C, Kinoshita S. Cultivated corneal epithelial stem cell transplantation in ocular surface disorders. *Ophthalmology.* 2001;108:1569–74. [PubMed: 11535452]
63. Kolli S, Ahmad S, Lako M, Figueiredo F. Successful clinical implementation of corneal epithelial stem cell therapy for treatment of unilateral limbal stem cell deficiency. *Stem Cells.* 2010;28:597–610. [PubMed: 20014040]
64. Konomi K, Satake Y, Shimmura S, Tsubota K, Shimazaki J. Long-term results of amniotic membrane transplantation for partial limbal deficiency. *Cornea.* 2013;32:1110–5. [PubMed: 23615271]
65. Le Q, Samson CM, Deng SX. A Case of Corneal Neovascularization Misdiagnosed as Total Limbal Stem Cell Deficiency. *Cornea.* 2018;37:1067–70. [PubMed: 29781927]
66. Le Q, Xu J, Deng SX. The diagnosis of limbal stem cell deficiency. *Ocul Surf.* 2018;16:58–69. [PubMed: 29113917]
67. Le Q, Yang Y, Deng SX, Xu J. Correlation between the existence of the palisades of Vogt and limbal epithelial thickness in limbal stem cell deficiency. *Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* 2017;45:224–31. [PubMed: 27591548]
68. Le QH, Wang WT, Hong JX, et al. An in vivo confocal microscopy and impression cytology analysis of goblet cells in patients with chemical burns. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2010;51:1397–400. [PubMed: 20185840]
69. Liang L, Sheha H, Tseng SC. Long-term outcomes of keratolimbal allograft for total limbal stem cell deficiency using combined immunosuppressive agents and correction of ocular surface deficits. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 2009;127:1428–34. [PubMed: 19901207]
70. Liu J, Sheha H, Fu Y, Giegengack M, Tseng SC. Oral mucosal graft with amniotic membrane transplantation for total limbal stem cell deficiency. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 2011;152:739–47 el. [PubMed: 21803325]
71. Lopez-Garcia JS, Rivas L, Garcia-Lozano I. [Moderate limbal deficiency in patients with congenital aniridia treated with amniotic membrane transplantation]. *Arch Soc Esp Oftalmol.* 2005;80:517–23. [PubMed: 16193434]
72. Lopez-Garcia JS, Rivas L, Garcia-Lozano I. [Severe limbal deficiency treated by combined limbal allograft and amniotic membrane transplantation]. *Arch Soc Esp Oftalmol.* 2005;80:405–12. [PubMed: 16059817]
73. Ma DH, Kuo MT, Tsai YJ, et al. Transplantation of cultivated oral mucosal epithelial cells for severe corneal burn. *Eye (Lond).* 2009;23:1442–50. [PubMed: 19373264]
74. Magalhaes FP, Hirai FE, Sousa LB, Oliveira LA. Long-term outcomes with Boston type 1 keratoprosthesis in ocular burns. *Arquivos brasileiros de oftalmologia.* 2018;81:177–82. [PubMed: 29924191]
75. Marchini G, Pedrotti E, Pedrotti M, et al. Long-term effectiveness of autologous cultured limbal stem cell grafts in patients with limbal stem cell deficiency due to chemical burns. *Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* 2012;40(3):255–67. [PubMed: 21668791]
76. Maruyama-Hosoi F, Shimazaki J, Shimmura S, Tsubota K. Changes observed in keratolimbal allograft. *Cornea.* 2006;25:377–82. [PubMed: 16670472]

77. Meallet MA, Espana EM, Gmeterich M, Ti SE, Goto E, Tseng SC. Amniotic membrane transplantation with conjunctival limbal autograft for total limbal stem cell deficiency. *Ophthalmology*. 2003;110:1585–92. [PubMed: 12917178]
78. Mehtani A, Agarwal MC, Sharma S, Chaudhary S. Diagnosis of limbal stem cell deficiency based on corneal epithelial thickness measured on anterior segment optical coherence tomography. *Indian J Ophthalmol*. 2017;65:1120–6. [PubMed: 29133636]
79. Meller D, Pauklin M, Westekemper H, Steuhl KP. [Autologous transplantation of cultivated limbal epithelium], *Ophthalmologe*. 2010;107:1133–8. [PubMed: 20632012]
80. Meller D, Tseng SC. [Amniotic membrane transplantation with or without limbal allografts in corneal surface reconstruction in limbal deficiency]. *Ophthalmologe*. 2000;97:100–7. [PubMed: 10734735]
81. Miri A, Alomar T, Nubile M, et al. In vivo confocal microscopic findings in patients with limbal stem cell deficiency. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2012;96:523–9. [PubMed: 22328810]
82. Moldovan SM, Borderie V, Baudrimont M, Laroche L. [Treatment of unilateral limbal stem cell deficiency syndrome by limbal autograft]. *J Fr Ophthalmol*. 1999;22:302–9.
83. Moreira PB, Magalhaes RS, Pereira NC, Oliveira LA, Sousa LB. Limbal transplantation at a tertiary hospital in Brazil: a retrospective study. *Arq Bras Oftalmol*. 2015;78:207–11. [PubMed: 26375332]
84. Movahedan A, Cheung AY, Eslani M, Mogilishetty G, Govil A, Holland EJ. Long-term Outcomes of Ocular Surface Stem Cell Allograft Transplantation. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2017;184:97–107. [PubMed: 29032107]
85. Muraine M, Salessy P, Watt L, Retout A, Brasseur G. [Limbal autograft transplantation, eight consecutive cases]. *JFr Ophthalmol*. 2000;23:141–50.
86. Nakamura T, Inatomi T, Cooper LJ, Rigby H, Fullwood NJ, Kinoshita S. Phenotypic investigation of human eyes with transplanted autologous cultivated oral mucosal epithelial sheets for severe ocular surface diseases. *Ophthalmology*. 2007;114:1080–8. [PubMed: 17275911]
87. Nakamura T, Inatomi T, Sotozono C, Amemiya T, Kanamura N, Kinoshita S. Transplantation of cultivated autologous oral mucosal epithelial cells in patients with severe ocular surface disorders. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2004;88:1280–4. [PubMed: 15377551]
88. Nakamura T, Inatomi T, Sotozono C, Ang LP, Koizumi N, Yokoi N, et al. Transplantation of autologous serum-derived cultivated corneal epithelial equivalents for the treatment of severe ocular surface disease. *Ophthalmology*. 2006;113:1765–72. [PubMed: 16905193]
89. Nakamura T, Takeda K, Inatomi T, Sotozono C, Kinoshita S. Long-term results of autologous cultivated oral mucosal epithelial transplantation in the scar phase of severe ocular surface disorders. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2011;95:942–6. [PubMed: 21097786]
90. Nassiri N, Pandya HK, Djalilian AR. Limbal allograft transplantation using fibrin glue. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2011;129:218–22. [PubMed: 21320970]
91. Nelson JD, Wright JC. Conjunctival goblet cell densities in ocular surface disease. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 1984;102:1049–51. [PubMed: 6378156]
92. Nubile M, Lanzini M, Miri A, Pocobelli A, Calienno R, Curcio C, et al. In vivo confocal microscopy in diagnosis of limbal stem cell deficiency. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2013;155:220–32. [PubMed: 23127748]
93. Oie Y, Nishida K. Evaluation of Corneal Neovascularization Using Optical Coherence Tomography Angiography in Patients With Limbal Stem Cell Deficiency. *Cornea*. 2017;36 Suppl 1:S72–S5. [PubMed: 28922332]
94. Omoto M, Shimmura S, Hatou S, Ichihashi Y, Kawakita T, Tsubota K. Simultaneous deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty and limbal allograft in bilateral limbal stem cell deficiency. *Jpn J Ophthalmol*. 2010;54:537–43. [PubMed: 21191713]
95. Ozdemir O, Tekeli O, Omek K, Arslanpence A, Yalcindag NF. Limbal autograft and allograft transplantations in patients with corneal burns. *Eye (Lond)*. 2004;18:241–8. [PubMed: 15004571]
96. Parihar JKS, Parihar AS, Jain VK, Kaushik J, Nath P. Allogenic cultivated limbal stem cell transplantation versus cadaveric keratolimbal allograft in ocular surface disorder: 1 -year outcome. *Int Ophthalmol*. 2017;37:1323–31. [PubMed: 28025793]

97. Pathak M, Cholidis S, Haug K, et al. Clinical transplantation of ex vivo expanded autologous limbal epithelial cells using a culture medium with human serum as single supplement: a retrospective case series. *Acta Ophthalmol.* 2013;91(8):769–75. [PubMed: 22937779]
98. Pauklin M, Fuchsluger TA, Westekemper H, Steuhl KP, Meller D. Midterm results of cultivated autologous and allogeneic limbal epithelial transplantation in limbal stem cell deficiency. *Dev Ophthalmol.* 2010;45:57–70. [PubMed: 20502027]
99. Pellegrini G, Rama P, Matuska S, et al. Biological parameters determining the clinical outcome of autologous cultures of limbal stem cells. *Regen Med.* 2013;8:553–67. [PubMed: 23725042]
100. Poli M, Burillon C, Auxenfans C, Rovere M, Damour O. Immunocytochemical Diagnosis of Limbal Stem Cell Deficiency: Comparative Analysis of Current Corneal and Conjunctival Biomarkers. *Cornea.* 2015;34:817–23. [PubMed: 25970431]
101. Poli M, Janin H, Justin V, Auxenfans C, Burillon C, Damour O. Keratin 13 immunostaining in corneal impression cytology for the diagnosis of limbal stem cell deficiency. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2011;52:9411–5. [PubMed: 22064992]
102. Prabhasawat P, Ekpo P, Uiprasertkul M, Chotikavanich S, Tesavibul N. Efficacy of cultivated corneal epithelial stem cells for ocular surface reconstruction. *Clin Ophthalmol.* 2012;6:1483–92. [PubMed: 23055668]
103. Prabhasawat P, Ekpo P, Uiprasertkul M, et al. Long-term result of autologous cultivated oral mucosal epithelial transplantation for severe ocular surface disease. *Cell Tissue Bank.* 2016;17:491–503. [PubMed: 27507558]
104. Priya CG, Arpitha P, Vaishali S, et al. Adult human buccal epithelial stem cells: identification, ex-vivo expansion, and transplantation for corneal surface reconstruction. *Eye (Lond).* 2011;25:1641–9. [PubMed: 21941360]
105. Qi X, Xie L, Cheng J, Zhao J. Clinical results and influential factors of modified large-diameter lamellar keratoplasty in the treatment of total limbal stem cell deficiency. *Cornea.* 2013;32:555–60. [PubMed: 22580444]
106. Rama P, Bonini S, Lambiase A, et al. Autologous fibrin-cultured limbal stem cells permanently restore the corneal surface of patients with total limbal stem cell deficiency. *Transplantation.* 2001;72:1478–85. [PubMed: 11707733]
107. Rama P, Matuska S, Paganoni G, Spinelli A, De Luca M, Pellegrini G. Limbal stem-cell therapy and long-term corneal regeneration. *N Engl J Med.* 2010;363:147–55. [PubMed: 20573916]
108. Ramirez BE, Sanchez A, Herreras JM, et al. Stem Cell Therapy for Corneal Epithelium Regeneration following Good Manufacturing and Clinical Procedures. *Biomed Res Int.* 2015;2015:4–08495
109. Ramirez-Miranda A, Nakatsu M, Zarei-Ghanavati S, Nguan CY, Deng SX. Keratin 13 is a more specific marker of conjunctival epithelium than keratin 19. *Mol Vis.* 2011;17:1652–61. [PubMed: 21738394]
110. Ramos T, Scott D, Ahmad S. An Update on Ocular Surface Epithelial Stem Cells: Cornea and Conjunctiva. *Stem Cell Int.* 2015;2015:601731.
111. Reinhard T, Spelsberg H, Henke L, et al. Long-term results of allogeneic penetrating limbo-keratoplasty in total limbal stem cell deficiency. *Ophthalmology.* 2004; 111:775–82. [PubMed: 15051212]
112. Reinhard T, Sundmacher R, Spelsberg H, Althaus C. Homologous penetrating central limbo-keratoplasty (HPCLK) in bilateral limbal stem cell insufficiency. *Acta Ophthalmol Scand.* 1999;77:663–7. [PubMed: 10634559]
113. Ricardo JR, Cristovam PC, Filho PA, et al. Transplantation of conjunctival epithelial cells cultivated ex vivo in patients with total limbal stem cell deficiency. *Cornea.* 2013;32:221–8. [PubMed: 22580434]
114. Sacchetti M, Lambiase A, Cortes M, et al. Clinical and cytological findings in limbal stem cell deficiency. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* 2005;243:870–6. [PubMed: 15778841]
115. Samson CM, Nduaguba C, Baltatzis S, Foster CS. Limbal stem cell transplantation in chronic inflammatory eye disease. *Ophthalmology.* 2002;109:862–8. [PubMed: 11986089]

116. Sangwan VS, Basu S, MacNeil S, Balasubramanian D. Simple limbal epithelial transplantation (SLET): a novel surgical technique for the treatment of unilateral limbal stem cell deficiency. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2012;96:931–4. [PubMed: 22328817]
117. Sangwan VS, Basu S, Vemuganti GK, et al. Clinical outcomes of xeno-free autologous cultivated limbal epithelial transplantation: a 10-year study. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2011;95:1525–9. [PubMed: 21890785]
118. Sangwan VS, Matalia HP, Vemuganti GK, et al. Clinical outcome of autologous cultivated limbal epithelium transplantation. *Indian J Ophthalmol*. 2006;54:29–34. [PubMed: 16531667]
119. Sangwan VS, Matalia HP, Vemuganti GK, et al. Early results of penetrating keratoplasty after cultivated limbal epithelium transplantation. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2005;123:334–40. [PubMed: 15767475]
120. Santos MS, Gomes JA, Hofling-Lima AL, Rizzo LV, Romano AC, Belfort R, Jr. Survival analysis of conjunctival limbal grafts and amniotic membrane transplantation in eyes with total limbal stem cell deficiency. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2005;140:223–30. [PubMed: 16023069]
121. Satake Y, Higa K, Tsubota K, Shimazaki J. Long-term outcome of cultivated oral mucosal epithelial sheet transplantation in treatment of total limbal stem cell deficiency. *Ophthalmology*. 2011;118:1524–30. [PubMed: 21571372]
122. Scholz SL, Thomasen H, Hestermann K, Dekowski D, Steuhl KP, Meller D. [Long-term results of autologous transplantation of limbal epithelium cultivated ex vivo for limbal stem cell deficiency]. *Ophthalmologe*. 2016;113:321–9. [PubMed: 26271737]
123. Schwab IR. Cultured corneal epithelia for ocular surface disease. *Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc*. 1999;97:891–986. [PubMed: 10703147]
124. Schwab IR, Reyes M, Isseroff RR. Successful transplantation of bioengineered tissue replacements in patients with ocular surface disease. *Cornea*. 2000;19:421–6. [PubMed: 10928750]
125. Scocco C, Kwitko S, Rymer S, Marinho D, Bocaccio F, Lindenmeyer R. HLA-matched living-related conjunctival limbal allograft for bilateral ocular surface disorders: long-term results. *Arq Bras Oftalmol*. 2008;71:781–7. [PubMed: 19169506]
126. Sejjal K, Ali MH, Maddileti S, et al. Cultivated limbal epithelial transplantation in children with ocular surface burns. *JAMA Ophthalmol*. 2013;131:731–6. [PubMed: 23559315]
127. Sepsakos L, Cheung AY, Holland EJ. Outcomes of Keratoplasty After Ocular Surface Stem Cell Transplantation. *Cornea*. 2017;36:1025–30. [PubMed: 28644237]
128. Sharma N, Mohanty S, Jhanji V, Vajpayee RB. Amniotic membrane transplantation with or without autologous cultivated limbal stem cell transplantation for the management of partial limbal stem cell deficiency. *Clin Ophthalmol*. 2018;12:2103–6. [PubMed: 30410305]
129. Sharma S, Tandon R, Mohanty S, et al. Culture of corneal limbal epithelial stem cells: experience from benchtop to bedside in a tertiary care hospital in India. *Cornea*. 2011 ;30:1223–32. [PubMed: 21808195]
130. Shen C, Chan CC, Holland EJ. Limbal Stem Cell Transplantation for Soft Contact Lens Wear-Related Limbal Stem Cell Deficiency. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2015;160:1142–9 e1. [PubMed: 26299533]
131. Shi W, Gao H, Wang T, Xie L. Combined penetrating keratoplasty and keratolimbal allograft transplantation in comparison with corneoscleral transplantation in the treatment of severe eye burns. *Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. 2008;36:501–7. [PubMed: 18954310]
132. Shimazaki J, Aiba M, Goto E, Kato N, Shimmura S, Tsubota K. Transplantation of human limbal epithelium cultivated on amniotic membrane for the treatment of severe ocular surface disorders. *Ophthalmology*. 2002;109:1285–90. [PubMed: 12093651]
133. Shimazaki J, Higa K, Morito F, et al. Factors influencing outcomes in cultivated limbal epithelial transplantation for chronic cicatricial ocular surface disorders. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2007;143:945–53. [PubMed: 17459317]
134. Shimmura S, Ando M, Shimazaki J, Tsubota K. Complications with one-piece lamellar keratolimbal grafts for simultaneous limbal and corneal pathologies. *Cornea*. 2000;19:439–42. [PubMed: 10928753]

135. Shortt AJ, Bunce C, Levis HJ, et al. Three-year outcomes of cultured limbal epithelial allografts in aniridia and Stevens-Johnson syndrome evaluated using the Clinical Outcome Assessment in Surgical Trials assessment tool. *Stem Cells Transl Med*. 2014;3:265–75 [PubMed: 24443006]
136. Shortt AJ, Seeker GA, Rajan MS, et al. Ex vivo expansion and transplantation of limbal epithelial stem cells. *Ophthalmology*. 2008;115:1989–97. [PubMed: 18554721]
137. Singh R, Joseph A, Umapathy T, Tint NL, Dua HS. Impression cytology of the ocular surface. *The British journal of ophthalmology*. 2005;89:1655–9. [PubMed: 16299150]
138. Solomon A, Ellies P, Anderson DF, et al. Long-term outcome of keratolimbal allograft with or without penetrating keratoplasty for total limbal stem cell deficiency. *Ophthalmology*. 2002;109:1159–66. [PubMed: 12045060]
139. Sonmez B, Beden U. Fibrin glue-assisted sutureless limbal stem cell transplantation surgery for the treatment of severe ocular chemical injury. *Cornea*. 2011;30:296–300. [PubMed: 21045657]
140. Sotozono C, Inatomi T, Nakamura T, et al. Cultivated oral mucosal epithelial transplantation for persistent epithelial defect in severe ocular surface diseases with acute inflammatory activity. *Acta Ophthalmol*. 2014;92:e447–53. [PubMed: 24835597]
141. Sotozono C, Inatomi T, Nakamura T, et al. Visual improvement after cultivated oral mucosal epithelial transplantation. *Ophthalmology*. 2013;120:193–200. [PubMed: 23084239]
142. Stoiber J, Ruckhofer J, Muss W, Grabner G. [Amniotic membrane transplantation with limbal stem cell transplantation as a combined procedure for corneal surface reconstruction after severe thermal or chemical burns]. *Ophthalmologe*. 2002;99:839–48. [PubMed: 12430036]
143. Sundmacher R, Reinhard T. Central comeolimbal transplantation under systemic ciclosporin A cover for severe limbal stem cell insufficiency. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. 1996;234 Suppl 1: S122–5.
144. Tan DT, Ficker LA, Buckley RJ. Limbal transplantation. *Ophthalmology*. 1996;103:29–36. [PubMed: 8628556]
145. Titiyal JS, Sharma N, Agarwal AK, Prakash G, Tandon R, Vajpayee R. Live Related versus Cadaveric Limbal Allograft in Limbal Stem Cell Deficiency. *Ocul Immunol Inflamm*. 2015;23:232–9. [PubMed: 25058380]
146. Torres J, Fernandez I, Quadrado MJ, et al. [Limbal transplantation: multicenter retrospective case series analysis]. *Arch Soc Esp Oftalmol*. 2008;83:417–22. [PubMed: 18592441]
147. Tsai RJ, Li LM, Chen JK. Reconstruction of damaged corneas by transplantation of autologous limbal epithelial cells. *N Engl J Med*. 2000;343:86–93. [PubMed: 10891515]
148. Tsai RJ, Tseng SC. Human allograft limbal transplantation for corneal surface reconstruction. *Cornea*. 1994;13:389–400. [PubMed: 7995060]
149. Tseng SC, Prabhasawat P, Barton K, Gray T, Meller D. Amniotic membrane transplantation with or without limbal allografts for corneal surface reconstruction in patients with limbal stem cell deficiency. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 1998;116:431–41. [PubMed: 9565039]
150. Tsubota K, Satake Y, Kaido M, et al. Treatment of severe ocular-surface disorders with corneal epithelial stem-cell transplantation. *N Engl J Med*. 1999;340:1697–703.
151. Tsubota K, Toda I, Saito H, Shinozaki N, Shimazaki J. Reconstruction of the corneal epithelium by limbal allograft transplantation for severe ocular surface disorders. *Ophthalmology*. 1995;102:1486–96. [PubMed: 9097796]
152. Vazirani J, Ali MH, Sharma N, et al. Autologous simple limbal epithelial transplantation for unilateral limbal stem cell deficiency: multicentre results. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2016;100:1416–20. [PubMed: 26817481]
153. Vazirani J, Basu S, Kenia H, et al. Unilateral partial limbal stem cell deficiency: contralateral versus ipsilateral autologous cultivated limbal epithelial transplantation. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2014;157:584–90 e1–2. [PubMed: 24269851]
154. Voskresenskaya A, Pozdeyeva N, Vasilyeva T, et al. Clinical and morphological manifestations of aniridia-associated keratopathy on anterior segment optical coherence tomography and in vivo confocal microscopy. *Ocul Surf*. 2017;15:759–69. [PubMed: 28698011]
155. Wylegala E, Dobrowolski D, Tarnawska D, et al. Limbal stem cells transplantation in the reconstruction of the ocular surface: 6 years experience. *Eur J Ophthalmol*. 2008;18:886–90. [PubMed: 18988157]

156. Xie L, Dong X, Shi W, Cui Y, Li S. [A preliminary report of ocular surface disease treated by limbal transplantation], *Zhonghua Yan Ke Za Zhi*. 2000;36:449–51, 30. [PubMed: 11853647]
157. Zakaria N, Possemiers T, Dhuhghaill SN, et al. Results of a phase I/II clinical trial: standardized, non-xenogenic, cultivated limbal stem cell transplantation. *J Transl Med*. 2014;12:58. [PubMed: 24589151]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

VI.**Method of Literature Search**

We performed a systematic literature search on PubMed, Medline and Ovid using the following search terms: “limbal stem cell deficiency,” “limbal transplantation,” “cultivated limbal epithelial transplantation,” “simple limbal epithelial transplantation,” “cultivated oral mucosal epithelial transplantation,” “conjunctival limbal autograft,” “conjunctival limbal allograft,” “keratolimbal allograft,” “keratoprosthesis,” and “amniotic membrane.” We also reviewed the references from retrieved studies manually to identify relevant articles. The last search was performed on December 31, 2018. Neither the language filter nor the limitation of publication time was applied to the literature searches. The non-English articles were translated to English to obtain the needed information. Only clinical studies on human that reported surgical outcomes of LSCD in more than five eyes were included. Earlier studies on a smaller population published by the same authors were excluded. If impression cytology is selectively performed in partial participants and the authors did not provide the accurate number of eyes undergoing impression cytology, these studies were excluded, too.

Figure 1.
Flowchart of literatures search on the surgical treatment of LSCD

Table 1

Diagnostic methods used for the diagnosis of LSCD

Diagnostic Method	Country	Institution	Study	Subjects (%)	Eyes (%)	references
Clinical Examination Only	15+3 ^a	41+5 ^a	61+6 ^a	2398 (62.8%) ^b	2548 (62.9%) ^b	2, 3, 10–13, 15–18, 24, 25, 31, 32, 34, 36–38, 40, 42, 45, 47, 48, 50, 52–54, 59, 62, 69, 73, 75, 80, 82, 84, 85, 87, 89, 95, 99, 107, 111, 112, 115–119, 124–128, 132, 133, 138–145, 147, 152, 153, 155
Confirmatory Diagnostic Tests						
IC only	12+3 ^a	20+5 ^a	34+6 ^a	922 (24.2%) ^c	981 (24.2%) ^c	5–9, 28, 29, 46, 51, 59, 63, 64, 69–72, 76, 77, 79, 96, 98, 102, 103, 105–107, 113, 120–122, 129, 131, 133, 136, 138, 142, 149–151, 157
IVCM only	2	2	2	29 (0.8%)	29 (0.7%)	97, 108
IC+IVCM	1	2	2	36 (0.9%)	37 (0.9%)	41, 135
Unknown	11	21	26	433 (11.3%)	459 (11.3%)	1, 14, 20, 26, 39, 43, 44, 49, 55, 56, 58, 60, 61, 74, 83, 86, 88, 90, 94, 104, 104, 123, 130, 134, 146, 148, 156
Total	23	66	131	3818 (100%)	4054 (100%)	

IC: impression cytology; IVCM: in vivo confocal microscopy

^a: In six studies performed at five institutions in three countries, IC was selectively performed in a portion of the patients to confirm the LSCD diagnosis. The diagnoses for the rest of the patients in these studies were based only on clinical findings.

^b: The number of subjects and eyes in these six studies in which the diagnoses were based only on clinical examinations and were not been confirmed by IC were included in the category of “clinical examination alone.”

^c: The number of subjects and eyes in these six studies in which the diagnoses had been confirmed by IC were included in the category of “clinical examination+IC”.