Published in final edited form as:

Eur J Inorg Chem. 2019 April 24; 2019(15): 2146–2153. doi:10.1002/ejic.201801404.

Carbon Dioxide Insertion into Bridging Iron Hydrides: Kinetic and Mechanistic Studies

Dae Ho Hong, Leslie J. Murray

Abstract

The reduction of CO₂ to formic acid by transition metal hydrides is a potential pathway to access reactive C1 compounds. To date, no kinetic study has been reported for insertion of a bridging hydride in a weak-field ligated complex into CO₂; such centers have relevance to metalloenzymes that catalyze this reaction. Herein, we report the kinetic study of the reaction of a tri(μ -hydride)triiron(II/II/II) cluster supported by a tris(β -diketimine) cyclophane (**1**) with CO₂ monitored by ¹H-NMR and temperature-controlled UV-vis spectroscopy. We found that **1** reacts with CO₂ to traverse the reported monoformate (**1**-CO₂) and a diformate complex (**1**-2CO₂) at 298 K in toluene, and ultimately yields the triformate species (**1**-3CO₂) at elevated temperature. The second order rate constant, H/D kinetic isotope effect, $H^\ddagger$, and $S^\ddagger$ for formation of **1**-CO₂ were determined as $8.4(3) \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, 1.08(9), 11(1) kcal·mol⁻¹, and $-3(1) \times 10 \text{ cal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$, respectively at 298 K. These parameters suggest that CO₂ coordination to the iron centers does not coordinate prior to the rate controlling step whereas Fe-H bond cleavage does.

Graphical Abstract

The kinetics and mechanism of the reaction of bridging hydrides with CO₂ from a triiron complex are reported. The kinetic parameters suggest the hydride transfer reaction requires Fe-(μ -H) bond cleavage and possible opening of the Fe-H-Fe bridge in the rate determining step.

Keywords

Carbon dioxide fixation; Iron hydrides; Bridging ligands; Kinetics; Diketiminates

Introduction

Reduction of carbon dioxide to formic acid is one pathway for the utilization of CO₂ as a carbon source and leverages a more favored two proton-coupled two-electron reduction as

compared to the one electron process via the CO₂ radical anion.^[1–5] Independent of formic acid being a more reactive C1 reagent as compared to CO₂, this molecule is itself a liquid hydrogen storage material and has been employed in fuel cell technologies.^[6,7] Proton-coupled electron transfers to formic acid can afford hydrocarbons derived from CO₂ closing a cycle for carbon-neutral liquid fuel synthesis. Approaches to hydrogenate CO₂ catalytically to more reduced C1 fragments have focused on metal hydrides as reactive species and the reactivity of nucleophilic hydrides in this context has consequently drawn significant interest.^[3–5]

There are few reports detailing the kinetic parameters for hydride transfer from a transition metal center to CO₂.^[8–13] Independent of this dearth of detailed kinetic studies, two mechanistic pathways are proposed: normal insertion wherein an H[–] attacks the π^* orbital of CO₂, and abnormal insertion in which a metalcarboxylate is generated.^[14,15] The latter pathway is typically invoked for CO₂ reduction to CO and H₂O, whereas the former leads to formate. With respect to terminal metal hydrides, the two generally accepted normal insertion mechanisms and their respective rate controlling steps are depicted in Scheme 1.^[16,17] Coordinatively unsaturated complexes typically proceed through an inner sphere mechanism: CO₂ binds to the metal centers at a vacant coordination site, followed by a concerted M–H bond breaking and C–H bond forming event to generate the (formato)metal complex.^[13] Contrastingly, the outer sphere mechanism results from hydride insertion followed by reorientation and coordination of the resultant formate, and is expected for coordinatively saturated complexes.^[8–12] Here, the highly polarized transition state can be stabilized by exogenous Lewis acids or secondary coordination sphere interactions.^[13,18–20]

Although bridging hydrides are common motifs in cluster chemistry, the kinetics of insertion of a bridging hydride into CO₂ are even less explored than for monometallic species. Bridging hydrides are proposed or characterized transients in a number of metalloenzymes as well as on surfaces of metal catalysts.^[21–24] The molecular insight provided from homogeneous compounds into the reactivity of these motifs, therefore, informs mechanistic considerations for biological and heterogeneous systems. For example, the proposed μ -hydrides in the two-electron two-proton reduced (or E2) state of the FeMo-cofactor of molybdenum-dependent nitrogenase are calculated to react with CO₂ through an outer sphere rather than inner sphere pathway (Scheme 1b).^[25] Similarly, H-atoms adsorbed on the surface of the Mittasch catalyst are key players in the Haber-Bosch process.^[26]

We have previously reported the synthesis and reactivity towards CO₂ of hexagonal [M₃(μ -H)₃]³⁺ (M=Fe²⁺ or Co²⁺) clusters supported by a tris(β -diketiminate) cyclophane.^[27] These complexes exhibit exceptional substrate specificity; for example, hydride insertion into CO₂ readily occurs whereas reaction with weak acids is markedly slower or unobserved. Reaction of Fe₃H₃L^{Et/Me}(**1**) with CO₂ results in the isolation of the (μ -1,1-formato)di(μ -hydride)triiron(II/II/II) complex (**1**-CO₂) at ambient temperature in THF and the tri(μ -1,1-formato)triiron compound (**1**-3CO₂) at elevated temperatures in toluene (Scheme 2). Executing the reaction in toluene at room temperature leads to greater product speciation as compared to THF as evidenced by the number of C=O stretches in IR spectra of the reaction mixture. Previously, hydricity of metal hydrides has been correlated to solvent acceptor number, consistent with the observed solvent effect for reaction of **1** with CO₂.^[9,10,12,13]

Taken together with the reactivity of $\text{Fe}_3\text{H}_3\text{L}^{\text{Et/Me}}$ with CO which results in H_2 reductive elimination,^[28] we proposed that the hydrides in these clusters are coordinatively fluxional and capable of adopting semi-bridging or terminal modes. Herein, we report a detailed kinetic study of the reaction of **1** with CO_2 to generate **1-CO₂** using *in situ* ^1H -NMR and UV/visible spectroscopy. Our results support rate controlling hydride insertion and the observed thermodynamic parameters are consistent with those estimated for related iron hydride complexes.

Results and Discussion

As an initial probe into the kinetics for hydride insertion, we monitored the reaction of **1** with CO_2 by *in situ* ^1H -NMR spectroscopy using hexamethylbenzene as an internal standard. We will first consider the predominant species generated in solution, and subsequently address the minor reaction components. Upon introduction of CO_2 , the five resonances associated with the D_{3h} symmetric **1** decrease exponentially with the increase in intensity of twelve new signals corresponding to the monoformate complex, **1-CO₂** (Figure 1, S2). The concentration of **1-CO₂** maximizes at approximately 15 h, and then decreases over the subsequent 7 d with the concomitant appearance of thirteen new peaks arising from a new C_{2v} transient. This assignment as a single intermediate generated from **1-CO₂** is inferred from the relative integrals of these resonances and comparable time dependence. Preliminarily, ^1H -NMR data imply an **A**→**B**→**C** model for the reaction of **1** with CO_2 .

Assuming a pseudo-first order condition for the concentration of CO_2 , the intensity of a number of resonances for each of the three observed species as a function of time can be fit to the proposed **A**→**B**→**C** model (Figure 1, Figure S2, Table S1). From ^1H -NMR spectra recorded at 20°C, the integrals for resonances corresponding to **1** decrease exponentially and are well fit with the proposed model to afford $k_{1,\text{obs}} = 3.5(1) \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Figure 1a). Similar analysis of the time course for resonances corresponding to **1-CO₂** yield values for $k_{1,\text{obs}}$ and $k_{2,\text{obs}}$ of $3.5(3) \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $1.1(1) \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for (Figure 1b). Gratifyingly, the determined values of $k_{1,\text{obs}}$ from the decay kinetics of **1** are consistent with those of formation for **1-CO₂**. We note that errors associated with $k_{2,\text{obs}}$ are large and likely arise from the incomplete conversion of **1-CO₂** to **1-2CO₂** over the time course; that is, the lack of a spectroscopic end point preclude accurate simulation of the slow decay process. Our primary focus here, however, is interrogating the conversion of **1** to **1-CO₂** as a model of understanding hydride transfer from weak-field ligated multimetallic centers. With that said, a comparable value for $k_{2,\text{obs}}$ of $1.3(1) \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ is obtained from fits of the integrals of resonances corresponding to **1-2CO₂** vs. time (Figure 1c). Here again, however, we were unable to obtain comparable agreement for $k_{1,\text{obs}}$ given the poor signal-to-noise ratio for integrals of resonances for **1-2CO₂** at early reaction times.

In situ UV/visible spectroscopic measurements provide further support for the three-component kinetic model in this time domain. Two observations support fitting the absorption data to this kinetic model. First, we do not observe an isosbestic point in spectra recorded for the reaction of **1** with ~1 atm. CO_2 in toluene (Figure S4), indicating one or more transient species in this reaction. Moreover, maxima are observed at ~5 h in plots of absorption at wavelengths under 424 nm vs. time (Figure 2a, Figure S4), whereas absorption

decreases over the entire time course for wavelengths above 424 nm. Second, absorption at 495 nm decreases over the first ~10 h and is unchanged for the remainder of the experiment (Figure 2b). This late isosbestic point strongly suggests the conversion of an intermediate to the reaction product. Given the NMR data analysis and these observations, we globally fit plots of absorption at various wavelengths between 405 and 485 nm vs. time to a simple three-component kinetic model (*i.e.*, $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$). The pseudo-first order rate constants for hydride insertion by **1** into CO₂ ($k_{1,obs}$ and $k_{2,obs}$) from this global analysis are consistent with those determined by NMR spectroscopy if one considers the differences in temperature (Table 1, S1, S2).

IR spectra of the reaction mixture after 7 d – corresponding to accumulation of predominantly the unknown C_{2v} species observed in ¹H-NMR spectra after 15 h – reveal two intense absorptions at 1657 and 1609 cm⁻¹ (Figure S1). The higher energy absorption likely corresponds to the asymmetric COO vibration and is intermediate relative to those observed in **1**-CO₂ (1676 cm⁻¹) and **1**-3CO₂ (1642 cm⁻¹).^[27] Notably, this unknown species further reacts with CO₂ if the reaction temperature is increased to afford **1**-3CO₂. Taken together then, we assign this unknown C_{2v} species as the di(μ -formato)hydridotriiron(II) complex, **1**-2CO₂. Therefore, the proposed reaction model corresponds to $1 \rightarrow 1\text{-CO}_2 \rightarrow 1\text{-2CO}_2$ with formation of **1**-2CO₂ observed primarily after 10 h of reaction time.

As we stated above, the monoformate and diformate complexes are the major species formed during reaction of **1** with CO₂. However, two minor impurities are also observed in the reaction of which one is assigned as the trihydroxide complex, Fe₃(μ -OH)₃L^{Et/Me}, and the second is as-yet-identified (Figure S3). The five resonances from the D_{3h} -symmetric trihydroxide complex appear rapidly upon adding CO₂, and the integrals remain constant for the remainder of the time course. The rapid formation of trihydroxide complex is unexpected as these trimetallic trihydrides (*e.g.*, Zn₃H₃L^{Et/Me}) react significantly faster with CO₂ as compared to H₂O at even higher concentrations than the trace water levels expected in the CO₂ gas stream and reaction solvent.^[29] A small population of a water-reactive species in a pre-equilibrium with **1** could account for this rapid formation of the trihydroxide. However, such a scheme would necessitate a slow approach to equilibrium between **1** and this water-reactive transient, which is not supported by our data here or in previous work. With respect to the as-yet-identified species, the ten resonances associated with this product increased with a rate constant of $8.5(5) \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$, assuming the species follows a two-component reaction model. Although the rate constant for formation for the unidentified species is comparable to that of **1**-2CO₂, formation of this unknown is readily apparent and discernable across multiple data sets. This product contributes to the electronic absorption data and thus introduces error in determining $k_{2,obs}$ (Table S2). Insofar as the derived rates of consumption and formation of **1** and **1**-CO₂ agree across the ¹H-NMR and UV/visible datasets, we surmise that this unidentified species is a downstream product from **1**-CO₂, such as a hydroxoformatotriiron complex.

To gain further insight into the hydride insertion mechanism, we then examined the CO₂ concentration and the temperature dependencies of $k_{1,obs}$ by UV/visible spectroscopy. To control the concentration of CO₂, the solution of **1** was saturated under 1 atm CO₂, or mixed

with CO₂-saturated toluene solution. The equilibrated CO₂ concentration was calculated using the Henry's law constant,^[30] which we assumed to be obeyed under our experimental conditions. Due to the slow kinetics at low CO₂ concentrations, only the early stages of the reaction can be fitted to a single exponential model. The values of $k_{1,obs}$ determined from the global fit of the absorption at 405–495 nm vs. time data are positively linearly correlated with CO₂ concentration; the first hydride insertion step is first-order in CO₂ and yields a second-order rate constant of $8.4(3) \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ (Figure 3, Figure S5–S8). Eyring analysis for k_1 values determined from UV/visible spectra recorded over the temperature range 298–303 K affords values of $H^\ddagger$ and $S^\ddagger$ of 11(1) kcal·mol^{−1} and $-3(1) \times 10 \text{ cal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$, respectively (Figure 4, Figure S9–S11).

We also measured the kinetic isotope effect for the reaction of **1-D₃** with CO₂ (Figure S12). We similarly fitted UV/visible spectra vs. time data for **1-D₃** with CO₂ to an **A**→**B**→**C** model and calculated pseudo-first order rate constants of $7.6(6) \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for $k_{1,obs}$. The value correspond to a minimal normal KIE of 1.08(9) for the first hydride insertion event. This result contrasts the inverse KIE reported for insertion of the terminal hydride into CO₂ by a rhenium hydride complex (0.52–0.58) or a nickel hydride species (0.61–0.79).^[8,13] Assuming that this differences arises from the greater bond strength of one or both Fe–(μ -H) bonds for the hydride being transferred from **1** to CO₂ as compared to the reported monometallic complexes, we surmise that one or more Fe–H bonds is substantially weakened during hydride transfer to CO₂ (Scheme 3). We note that a direct hydride transfer from the bridging hydride (Scheme 3a) in **1** to CO₂ disagrees with the limited reactivity observed for the bis(β -diketoiminatoiron(II)) di(μ -hydride) and the absence of reactivity for its cobalt congener.^[31–33] We conclude that an opening of the hydride bridge to an asymmetric or terminal mode likely precedes hydride transfer from **1** to CO₂.

The solvent dependence of the hydride transfer reaction in prior systems correlates with the solvent acceptor number (AN), and rate constants for a few of these systems have been reported in a number of solvents.^{[34][9,10,12,13]} Given that reported reactivity studies have not been conducted in toluene, we first must estimate the value of k in benzene for these reported compounds by determining the linear function correlating AN to rate constant (Entries 1–4 in Table 2, Figure S12). In addition, we assume that benzene and toluene have identical AN values because an AN is not reported for toluene. Our second order rate constant for **1** → **1-CO₂** is within an order of magnitude of those for the coordinatively saturated second row transition metal complexes estimated in benzene (*viz.* $2\text{--}10 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$). In contrast, our second order rate constant is significantly smaller than those for the four coordinate nickel hydride pincer complexes ($15\text{--}3000 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$; Entries 5–6 in Table 2).^[13]

Of note is the substantial difference in CO₂ reactivity across the Ir series of which all are predicted to traverse an outer-sphere pathway (Entries 7–9 in Table 2). Ir(H)₃(ENE) reacts $\sim 10^7$ -fold faster than the related 5-coordinate Ir(H)₂(POCOP) and Ir(H)₂(PCP) compounds.^[11,13] This rate enhancement for Ir(H)₃(ENE) vs. the other Ir examples is attributed to secondary coordination sphere hydrogen bonding interactions between the N–H on the ligand backbone and substrate, thereby orienting CO₂ for hydride transfer and stabilizing the formate product.^[19,20] In addition, exogenous Lewis acids can increase the rate constant for

hydride transfer from Ir(H)₃(ENE) to CO₂ whereas added Lewis acids had minimal effect on insertion by Ni(H)(^tBuPCP), which operates by an inner sphere mechanism.^[13] Future experiments then in which the effect of exogenous Lewis acids on the rate constant for hydride transfer from **1** to CO₂ can provide additional evidence in support of an outer sphere pathway.

Although tempting to draw conclusions on mechanism as either inner or outer sphere from this literature comparison, this analysis is arguably complicated by the relative bond dissociation free energies for the differing M–H species. We sought then to compare our values to those for reported iron hydride species.^[35–41] To our knowledge, no such kinetic study has been reported for an iron hydride complex. We, therefore, estimated the lower limits of the rate constants for selected examples based on reported yields and reaction times, cognizant of the limitations. To account for the solvent effect and possible differences in CO₂ concentration in the reaction, we also only considered those cases in which the reaction solvent has an AN smaller than benzene and the reaction mixture was saturated with and executed under 1 atm CO₂ at room temperature. The diiron complexes with bridging hydrides reported by Holland and Limberg react with two equivalent of CO₂ to form two μ -1,3-formate donors over a 20 h reaction time,^[31,36] corresponding to estimated pseudo first order rate constants of which are approximately an order of magnitude faster than our case for the combined first and second hydride transfer steps. The CO₂ insertion rates of these reported hydridoiron β -diketiminate complexes are themselves two orders of magnitude smaller than those for pincer complexes ; for example, one (PNP)FeCO dihydride complex reacts to completion within 25 min and has been calculated to proceed by an outer-sphere pathway.^[37,38] The tripodal phosphine-ligated iron hydride reacts to completion with CO₂ in 12 h; the lower limit for the estimated rate constant is comparable to **1**.^[39] The related C_{3v} P₃E iron hydride complexes (E = B, N, Si) exhibit 10- to 100-fold faster reaction rates compared to our case. Finally, Field reported octahedral *cis*-Fe(dmpe)₂H₂ (dmpe = Me₂PCH₂CH₂PMe₂) for which the reaction with CO₂ is complete within 1 min.^[40,41] Notably, the complexes with ligands with stronger trans effect afford larger rate constants. Unfortunately, we do not observe a discernable trend in reaction rate as a function of coordination number, oxidation state, or spin state of the iron centers, within the bounds of our analysis.

Drawing parallels to the diiron dihydride complexes reported by Holland, we recall the proposed pathways for H/D scrambling in which Fe–H–Fe motifs partially open to afford a terminal Fe–H.^[42] This transient open conformer has been implicated as the reactive species, facilitating hydride transfer to a variety of substrates. Relatedly, reaction of **1** with CO results in an intramolecular H₂ reductive elimination to generate a [Fe₃(μ ₃-H)(CO)₂]³⁺ cluster. With these precedent in mind together with the observed normal KIE, we propose that a similar cleavage of one Fe–H bond in an Fe–H–Fe unit occurs prior to hydride transfer to CO₂. Fluxional coordination of and access to terminal iron hydrides in **1** are potentially an integral part of the substrate specificity and reactivity of **1** with substrates.

Conclusions

We have reported a kinetic analysis of insertion of a bridging hydride in the triiron complex (**1**) into CO₂ by *in situ* ¹H NMR and temperature-controlled UV/visible spectroscopy. From these combined datasets, we calculate a second-order rate constant of 8.4(3)×10⁻⁴ M⁻¹.s⁻¹ for this reaction, as well as a KIE for H/D insertion of 1.08(9) and activation parameters of $H^\ddagger$ of 11(1) kcal·mol⁻¹ and $S^\ddagger$ of -3(1)×10 cal·mol⁻¹.K⁻¹. The primary KIE value is consistent with the proposed opening of μ -hydrides prior to hydride transfer to CO₂ in related diiron dihydride complexes. Such fluxional coordination of bridging hydrides in the weak-field regime agrees with our earlier proposed pathway for H₂ reductive elimination upon reaction of **1** with CO. Our findings also align with the proposed outer sphere pathway for hydride insertion from the E₂ state of FeMoco into CO₂ elucidated from DFT.^[25] Mechanistic studies for the reaction of hydrides in **1** with other substrates will be important to elucidate the mechanisms of reaction of weak-field ligated iron hydride complexes.

Experimental Section

General Considerations.

All manipulations except ligand synthesis were performed in a N₂-filled Innovative Technologies glovebox or at a CO₂-filled and purged vacuum gas manifold by Schlenk techniques. Tetrahydrofuran (THF), benzene, toluene, and *n*-hexane were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, then purified through drying columns from Innovative Technologies, and stored over activated 3Å molecular sieves. Benzene-d₆ and toluene-d₈ were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, dried over CaH₂ or Na/benzophenone under reflux, then distilled and degassed and stored over 3A molecular sieves. Fe₃H₃L (**1**) and Fe₃H₂(HCO₂)L^{Et/Me} (**1**-CO₂) were prepared by published procedures.²⁷ ¹H Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (¹H NMR) spectra were recorded on a 500 MHz Varian Inova spectrometer or a 300 MHz Mercury spectrometer equipped with a three-channel 5 mm indirect detection probe with z-axis gradients. Chemical shifts were reported in δ (ppm) and were referenced to solvent resonances δ H = 7.26 ppm for CDCl₃, 7.16 ppm for benzene-d₆, 2.08 ppm for toluene-d₈. Fourier Transform Infrared (FT-IR) spectra were recorded as solids on a Thermo Fisher iS5 instrument using also equipped with an ATR diamond crystal stage using OMNIC software package.

NMR monitoring of reaction kinetics.

1 in toluene-d₈ (10.8mM, 0.408 mL) and a capillary charged with hexamethylbenzene standard solution were transferred to a resealable J-young NMR tube, and the tube was degassed by 5 cycles of freeze-pump-thaw. In the meantime, a 50-mL Schlenk flask connected to the NMR tube through 3-way valve was evacuated. After evacuation, the Schlenk flask was charged with CO₂ and brought together with the NMR tube connected. CO₂ gas was charged to the headspace of NMR tube and shaken several times just before starting measurements. Equilibrated CO₂ concentration was determined by following equation:

$$[\text{CO}_2]_{eqm} = n_{\text{CO}_2, \text{total}} \gamma_{\text{soln}} / V_{\text{soln}}$$

$$\gamma_{\text{soln}} = 1 / \left(1 + \mathcal{H} (V_{\text{head}} + V_{\text{flask}}) / n_{\text{soln}} RT \right)$$

Where R , γ_{soln} , V_{soln} , V_{head} , V_{flask} , n_{soln} are the ideal gas constant, the ratio of CO_2 remained in solution, volume of the solution, headspace volume of the J-young NMR tube, volume of the Schlenk flask, and moles of solvent, respectively. The Henry constant, $\mathcal{H}$, of toluene is 9.11×10^6 Pa at 293 K.^[30]

NMR spectra was measured upto 7 days at logarithmic time interval. Every spectrum was measured with the same power, gain, and shimming parameters. After phase correction and setting reference peak, baseline was corrected by Whittaker smoother method (Filter: 50, 28.57 Hz; Smooth factor: 10^6) provided by MestReNova 8.1. Identical integration ranges were used for all spectra, and absolute integral values were collected in a spreadsheet. Since the baseline correction gave negative integration values for the peaks in -18 – 11 ppm, second baseline correction was done with the same method but with different parameters (Filter: 50, 28.57 Hz; Smooth factor: 3×10^4). Absolute integration values (y) over time (t) were plotted for each integration range and fitted using one of the following equations:

For $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ model,^[43]

$$\begin{aligned} A &= C_A \exp(-k_1 t) \\ B &= C_B \frac{k_1}{k_2 - k_1} [\exp(-k_1 t) - \exp(-k_2 t)] \\ C &= C_c \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{k_2 - k_1} [k_2 \exp(-k_1 t) - k_1 \exp(-k_2 t)] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Where A , B , and C are types of integration values over time following the starting, intermediate, and product kinetic models for 2 step irreversible reactions, C_A , C_B , and C_C are arbitrary constant, and k_1 and k_2 are same as above.

UV/visible kinetic experiments.

A Cary 50 spectrophotometer, equipped with a temperature-controlled Unisoku single-cell accessory (± 0.1 °C) was used for all kinetics experiments. All measurements were performed in Schlenk-adapted cuvettes with a 1 cm optical path length, and baseline of which were corrected by the spectra of pure toluene solvent at the same temperature. The two standard procedures for sample preparation are described below.

(1) Variable concentration: A saturated CO_2 solution in toluene (98 mM, 2 mL) was added to a stock solution of **1** in toluene (0.41–1.2 mM, 1–2 mL) with a magnetic stir bar in

a Schlenk cuvette, which was sealed with a glass stopper in the glovebox and placed in the UV-vis apparatus. Equilibrated CO₂ concentration was determined by following equation:

$$[\text{CO}_2]_{eqm} = n_{\text{CO}_2, total} \gamma_{soln} / V_{soln}$$

$$\gamma_{soln} = 1 / (1 + \mathcal{H} V_{head} / n_{soln} RT)$$

Where R , γ_{soln} , V_{soln} , V_{head} , n_{soln} are the ideal gas constant, the ratio of CO₂ remained in solution, volume of the solution, headspace volume of the Schlenk cuvette, and moles of solvent, respectively. The Henry constant, $\mathcal{H}$, of toluene is 9.705×10^6 Pa at 298.15 K.^[30]

(2) Variable temperature: A stock solution of **1** in toluene (0.41 mM, 2 mL) and a magnetic stir bar were transferred to a solid addition tube, which was sealed with a Schlenk cuvette in the glovebox. After 5 cycles of freeze-pump-thaw, the solution in the tube was transferred to the Schlenk cuvette, and the cuvette was placed in the UV-vis apparatus. Multiple UV-vis spectra were measured until they converged by temperature stabilization. CO₂ gas was introduced to the evacuated headspace just before starting a measurement and the flow was maintained for an hour to saturate the solution with CO₂ under atmospheric pressure. Concentration of saturated CO₂ was calculated by following equation:

$$\ln x_{\text{CO}_2} = -13.921 + 1547.7/(T/K) + 0.72764 \ln(T/K)$$

Where T range is 203.2–316.2 K and standard deviation of x_{CO_2} is 0.00128.^[30]

UV-vis spectra were recorded between 1000 and 400 nm with a 600 nm/min scan rate at 10–15 min intervals by an hour, at 30 min intervals by a day, and at 240 min intervals up to 3 days. The interval time and measurement time were varied depending on the reaction temperature. The first points up to 1 hours were discarded as the temperature or the concentration of carbon dioxide had not been equilibrated. Kinetic traces for each reaction were generated by plotting absorbance (y) versus reaction time (t) at the peak wavelengths selected within 405–495 nm, which were analyzed using Origin v.8.5. These data were globally fit to the general first-order integrated kinetic equations for one- or two-step irreversible reactions with the shared rate constants:

For $A \rightarrow B$ models,

$$\begin{aligned} Abs_{total}(t) &= Abs_A(t) + Abs_B(t) \\ &= [A]_0 e^{-k_1 t} + [A]_0 e_B [1 - \exp(-k_1 t)] \end{aligned}$$

and for $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ models,^[43]

$$\begin{aligned}
 Abs_{total}(t) &= Abs_A(t) + Abs_B(t) + Abs_C(t) \\
 &= [A]_0 \epsilon_A \exp(-k_1 t) + [A]_0 \epsilon_B \frac{k_1}{k_2 - k_1} [\exp(-k_1 t) - \exp(-k_2 t)] \\
 &\quad + [A]_0 \epsilon_C \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{k_2 - k_1} [k_2 \exp(-k_1 t) - k_1 \exp(-k_2 t)] \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Where $[A]_0$, ϵ_A , ϵ_B and ϵ_C are initial concentration of the starting material and absorption constants of each A , B and C species at specified wavelength, and k_1 and k_2 are the pseudo-first-order rate constant. To correct the reaction time of initial diffusion-controlled range, $t-t_0$ was used instead of t .

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Support for L.J.M. and D.H.H. are provided by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) through No. R01-GM123241. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

References

- [1]. Jessop PG, Ikariya T, Noyori R, Chem. Rev 1995, 95, 259–272.
- [2]. Appel AM, Bercaw JE, Bocarsly AB, Dobbek H, DuBois DL, Dupuis M, Ferry JG, Fujita E, Hille R, Kenis PJA, et al., Chem. Rev 2013, 113, 6621–6658. [PubMed: 23767781]
- [3]. Wang W-H, Himeda Y, Muckerman JT, Manbeck GF, Fujita E, Chem. Rev 2015, 115, 12936–12973. [PubMed: 26335851]
- [4]. Klankermayer J, Wesselbaum S, Beydoun K, Leitner W, Angew. Chem 2016, 128, 7416–7467; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 7296–7343.
- [5]. Sordakis K, Tang C, Vogt LK, Junge H, Dyson PJ, Beller M, Laurenczy G, Chem. Rev 2018, 118, 372–433. [PubMed: 28985048]
- [6]. Yu X, Pickup PG, Journal of Power Sources 2008, 182, 124–132.
- [7]. Rees NV, Compton RG, J. Solid State Electrochem 2011, 15, 2095–2100.
- [8]. Sullivan BP, Meyer TJ, Organometallics 1986, 5, 1500–1502.
- [9]. Konno H, Kobayashi A, Sakamoto K, Fagalde F, Katz NE, Saitoh H, Ishitani O, Inorganica Chim. Acta 2000, 299, 155–163.
- [10]. Creutz C, Chou MH, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2007, 129, 10108–10109. [PubMed: 17661471]
- [11]. Kang P, Cheng C, Chen Z, Schauer CK, Meyer TJ, Brookhart M, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2012, 134, 5500–5503. [PubMed: 22390391]
- [12]. Huang J, Chen J, Gao H, Chen L, Inorg. Chem 2014, 53, 9570–9580. [PubMed: 25167462]
- [13]. Heimann JE, Bernskoetter WH, Hazari N, Mayer JM, Chem. Sci 2018, 9, 6629–6638. [PubMed: 30310595]
- [14]. Khadka N, Milton RD, Shaw S, Lukoyanov D, Dean DR, Minter SD, Raugei S, Hoffman BM, Seefeldt LC, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2017, 139, 13518–13524. [PubMed: 28851217]
- [15]. Schneck F, Ahrens J, Finger M, Stückl AC, Würtele C, Schwarzer D, Schneider S, Nature Comm 2018, 9, 1161.
- [16]. Darensbourg DJ, Kudarowski RA, in Advances in Organometallic Chemistry (Eds.: Stone FGA, West R), Academic Press, 1983, pp. 129–168.

- [17]. Hazari N, Heimann JE, Inorg. Chem 2017, 56, 13655–13678. [PubMed: 29115825]
- [18]. Bielinski EA, Lagaditis PO, Zhang Y, Mercado BQ, Würtele C, Bernskoetter WH, Hazari N, Schneider S, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2014, 136, 10234–10237. [PubMed: 24999607]
- [19]. Schmeier TJ, Dobereiner GE, Crabtree RH, Hazari N, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2011, 133, 9274–9277. [PubMed: 21612297]
- [20]. Ramakrishnan S, Waldie KM, Warnke I, De Crisci AG, Batista VS, Waymouth RM, Chidsey CED, Inorg. Chem 2016, 55, 1623–1632. [PubMed: 26835983]
- [21]. Adamska A, Silakov A, Lambertz C, Rüdiger O, Happe T, Reijerse E, Lubitz W, Angew. Chem 2012, 124, 11624–11629; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 11458–11462.
- [22]. Lukoyanov D, Khadka N, Yang Z-Y, Dean DR, Seefeldt LC, Hoffman BM, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2016, 138, 1320–1327. [PubMed: 26788586]
- [23]. Lukoyanov DA, Khadka N, Yang Z-Y, Dean DR, Seefeldt LC, Hoffman BM, Inorg. Chem. Inorg. Chem 2018, 57, 6847–6852. [PubMed: 29575898]
- [24]. Mager-Maury C, Bonnard G, Chizallet C, Sautet P, Raybaud P, ChemCatChem 2011, 3, 200–207.
- [25]. Khadka N, Dean DR, Smith D, Hoffman BM, Raugei S, Seefeldt LC, Inorg. Chem 2016, 55, 8321–8330. [PubMed: 27500789]
- [26]. Ertl G, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A 1983, 1, 1247–1253.
- [27]. Lee Y, Anderton KJ, Sloane FT, Ermert DM, Abboud KA, García-Serres R, Murray LJ, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2015, 137, 10610–10617. [PubMed: 26270596]
- [28]. Anderton KJ, Knight BJ, Rheingold AL, Abboud KA, García-Serres R, Murray LJ, Chem. Sci 2017, 8, 4123–4129. [PubMed: 28603601]
- [29]. Ermert DM, Ghiviriga I, Catalano VJ, Shearer J, Murray LJ, Angew. Chem 2015, 127, 7153–7156; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 7047–7050.
- [30]. L. J.W., C. H.L., Y. C.L., Carbon Dioxide in Non-Aqueous Solvents At Pressures Less Than 200 KPA, Elsevier, 1992.
- [31]. Yu Y, Sadique AR, Smith JM, Dugan TR, Cowley RE, Brennessel WW, Flaschenriem CJ, Bill E, Cundari TR, Holland PL, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2008, 130, 6624–6638. [PubMed: 18444648]
- [32]. Smith JM, Lachicotte RJ, Holland PL, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2003, 125, 15752–15753. [PubMed: 14677959]
- [33]. Dugan TR, Goldberg JM, Brennessel WW, Holland PL, Organometallics 2012, 31, 1349–1360.
- [34]. Mayer U, Gutmann V, Gerger W, Monatshefte für Chemie 1975, 106, 1235–1257.
- [35]. Yu Y, Sadique AR, Smith JM, Dugan TR, Cowley RE, Brennessel WW, Flaschenriem CJ, Bill E, Cundari TR, Holland PL, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2008, 130, 6624–6638. [PubMed: 18444648]
- [36]. Gehring H, Metzinger R, Braun B, Herwig C, Harder S, Ray K, Limberg C, Dalton Trans 2016, 45, 2989–2996. [PubMed: 26757878]
- [37]. Zhang Y, MacIntosh AD, Wong JL, Bielinski EA, Williard PG, Mercado BQ, Hazari N, Bernskoetter WH, Chem. Sci 2015, 6, 4291–4299. [PubMed: 29218198]
- [38]. Bertini F, Gorgas N, Stöger B, Peruzzini M, Veiros LF, Kirchner K, Gonsalvi L, ACS Catal 2016, 6, 2889–2893.
- [39]. Fong H, Peters JC, Inorg. Chem 2015, 54, 5124–5135. [PubMed: 25549663]
- [40]. Field LD, Lawrenz ET, Shaw WJ, Turner P, Inorg. Chem 2000, 39, 5632–5638. [PubMed: 11151363]
- [41]. Allen OR, Dalgarno SJ, Field LD, Organometallics 2008, 27, 3328–3330.
- [42]. Dugan TR, Bill E, MacLeod KC, Brennessel WW, Holland PL, Inorg. Chem 2014, 53, 2370–2380. [PubMed: 24555749]
- [43]. Espenson J, Chemical Kinetics and Reaction Mechanisms, McGraw-Hill Education, New York Etc., 2002.

Figure 1.

Plots of absolute integral vs. time (min) for the reaction between **1** (11 mM) and CO₂ (100mM) in toluene-d₈ at 20°C. a) Integrals for resonances corresponding to concentration changes to **1** (a), **1-CO₂** (b), and **1-2CO₂** (c). Datasets correspond to the changes to the integral at the chemical shifts listed in the figure legends.

Figure 2.

In situ UV/visible spectra recorded for reaction of **1** (0.41 mM) with CO₂ (98 mM), in toluene at 25 °C. a) Stack plot of spectra recorded from 400 to 700 nm. Inset: plot of absorbance at selected wavelengths vs. time. Black solid lines correspond to global fits to the data using a two-step irreversible reaction model with the shared parameters, $k_{1,obs}$ and $k_{2,obs}$. b) Absorption at selected wavelengths vs. time with the speciation plot generated from the calculated rate constants overlaid.

Figure 3.

Plot of $k_{1,obs}$ determined from UV/visible spectra vs. $[CO_2]$ reveals a first order dependence on CO_2 for conversion of **1** to **1-CO₂**. $R^2 = 0.9911$. Error bars represent one standard deviation.

Figure 4.

Eyring plot for k_1 and T for which k_1 was determined by UV/visible spectroscopy. $H^\ddagger$ and $S^\ddagger$ were determined as $11(1) \text{ kcal}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ and $-3(1)\times 10 \text{ cal}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}$ ($R^2 = 0.9788$). Error bars represent one standard deviation, and lie within the data point symbol where not visible.

Scheme 1. Proposed hydride transfer mechanisms of CO₂ by a) metal hydrides or by b) FeMo-cofactor.

Scheme 2.
Reaction scheme for **1** with CO_2 .

Scheme 3.
Possible mechanisms for hydride transfer.

Table 1.Rate constants determined for conversion of **1** to **1-CO₂**.

Method	[1] (mM)	[CO ₂] ^a (mM)	T (K)	Fitting target	$k_{1,obs}$ ($\times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$)	k_1 ($\times 10^{-4} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$)
NMR	11	100	293	1	3.5(1)	3.5(1)
NMR	11	100	293	1-CO₂	3.5(3)	3.5(3)
NMR	11	100	293	1-2CO₂	20(6)	20(6)
UV-vis	0.43	98	298	405–495 nm	8.2(3)	8.4(3)
UV-vis	0.43	95	300	405–495 nm	9.4(4)	9.9(4)
UV-vis	0.42	91	303	405–495 nm	10.7(3)	11.8(3)
UV-vis	0.28 ^b	98	298	405–495 nm	7.6(6)	7.8(6)

^[a] Calculated values as described in the experimental section.^[b] **1-D3** used instead of **1**. Errors are reported as: [x] statistical average of the fit error and the instrumental error, [y] instrumental error, or [z] fit error. Additional details regarding error analysis provided in Table S3.

Table 2.Reported and estimated rate constants for hydride insertion into CO₂

Entry	Compound	<i>T</i> (K)	<i>H</i> [‡] (kcal ·mol ⁻¹)	<i>S</i> [‡] (cal ·mol ⁻¹ ·K ⁻¹)	<i>k</i> (M ⁻¹ ·s ⁻¹)	Ref.
1	Re(H)(bpy)(CO) ₃	298	12.8 ^d	-33 ^d	2(1)×10 ⁻⁴ ^{b,c}	[8]
2	[Ru(H)(bpy)(tpy)] ⁺	r.t.	N.A.	N.A.	1(1)×10 ⁻³ ^{b,c}	[9,10]
3	[Ru(H)(bpy) ₂ (PPh ₃)] ⁺	298	N.A.	N.A.	4(1)×10 ⁻⁴ ^{b,c}	[12]
4	[Ru(H)(bpy) ₂ (PTA)] ⁺ ^a	298	N.A.	N.A.	6(3)×10 ⁻⁴ ^{b,c}	[12]
5	Ni(H)(^t BuPCP)	298	6.3 ^d	-33 ^d	15(2) ^b	[13]
6	Ni(H)(^{Cy} PCP)	298	3.0 ^b	-31 ^b	3.7(4)×10 ³ ^b	[13]
7	Ir(H) ₃ (ENE)	298	11.1 ^b	-6 ^b	2.0(2)×10 ³ ^b	[13]
8	Ir(H) ₂ (POCOP)	208	N.A.	N.A.	4.4(2)×10 ⁻⁴ ^d	[11]
9	Ir(H) ₂ (PCP)	208	N.A.	N.A.	1.00(8)×10 ⁻² ^d	[11]
10	Fe ₃ (μ-H) ₃ L	298	11 ^e	-30 ^e	8.4(3)×10 ⁻⁴ ^e	<i>this work</i>

^[a] PTA=1,3,5-Triaza-7-phosphaadamantane^[b] in benzene^[c] determined by extrapolation from reported AN dependence^[d] in THF^[e] in toluene.