EDITORIAL

Implementation of Xpert® MTB/RIF in high-burden countries: voices from the field matter

P. Adam,¹ M. Pai^{1,2}<http://dx.doi.org/10.5588/pha.19.0055>

It is almost a decade since the Xpert® MTB/RIF assay (Cepheid Inc, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) was endorsed by the World Health Organization for tuberculosis (TB) diagnosis and rapid drug susceptibility testing (DST). We have learnt a lot in these years. We know that the Xpert is highly accurate and has played a big role in making it possible for high-burden countries advance towards the End TB goal of universal DST.¹ This has paved the way for the introduction of new drug regimens. The Xpert technology has inspired many fast followers to enter the TB diagnostics space.²

We have also learnt that the technology is no panacea. Countries have been slow to scale-up the test, although trend analyses do show progress.³ We have also learnt that Xpert alone cannot have a big impact without improving the overall cascade of TB care.⁴

As newer versions of Xpert emerge (e.g., GeneXpert Edge, Omni and Xpert XDR), we need to continue to learn about practical barriers in the field and listen to the voices from the field. This will not only help develop better products that are fit for purpose, but also enhance uptake and impact.

In this context, the survey of stakeholders by England et al. from 16 high-burden countries provides valuable data and insights.⁵ While previous studies have discussed concerns around cost⁶ and the slow pace of policy change in countries,¹ England et al. provide interesting data on barriers created by the poor sensitisation of clinical staff, and a high turnover of trained laboratory staff.

Another useful finding is the cost inflation that occurs due to the distribution process in countries; added costs that include import duties, shipping costs and profit margins imposed by local distributors can add 7–50% to the cartridge price. The real price for countries is therefore much higher than the US\$9.98 sticker price.

Yet another key finding is challenges faced by countries for service and maintenance provision; only half the countries surveyed stated that they were fully satisfied with the services provided.⁵ Turnaround times for module replacement ranged from 7 days to 1 year, with about 40% of countries having modules replaced in less than 1 month.⁵

Finally, England et al. describe the over-reliance on the Global Fund for Xpert implementation. They raise valid concerns about sustainability of the Xpert networks if/when countries transition out of Global Fund

support and adequate domestic financing does not kick in.

Cumulatively, these barriers could explain why countries tend to ration the use of Xpert and limit access to only select, high-risk groups.⁷ To fully exploit this powerful technology and to improve TB outcomes at the population level, all stakeholders, especially Cepheid, donors (e.g., Global Fund) and country programmes should anticipate and address these barriers for sustained implementation.

One potential strategy to offset price escalation and sustainability is to share the procurement and maintenance costs for Xpert across multiple conditions (e.g., TB, HIV, hepatitis, cancer), and fully leverage the platform for offering a range of tests included in the WHO Essential Diagnostics List.⁸ Indeed, access to essential diagnostics must be seen as a key component of universal health coverage (UHC).^{9,10} To deliver on UHC, countries will need to think beyond siloed vertical programmes and think of system integration. In this context, platform technologies that can deliver a variety of tests in the Essential Diagnostics List are highly desirable. Today, thanks to their pathfinder MTB/RIF assay, Cepheid's GeneXpert is an obvious candidate. However, to realise this potential, Cepheid should continuously listen to voices from the field and do everything possible to address the barriers identified by stakeholders.

References

- 1 Albert H, Nathavitharana R, Isaacs C, Pai M, Denkinger C, Boehme C. Development, roll-out, and impact of Xpert MTB/RIF for tuberculosis: what lessons have we learnt, and how can we do better? *Eur Resp J* 2016; 48: 516–525
- 2 Denkinger C M, Dolinger D, Schito M, et al. Molecular drug susceptibility testing—defining assay characteristics for the use at the level of the microscopy center. *J Infect Dis* 2015; 211(Suppl 2): S39–S49.
- 3 Cazabon D, Pande T, Kik S, et al. Market penetration of Xpert MTB/RIF in high tuberculosis burden countries: a trend analysis from 2014–2016. *Gates Open Res* 2018; 2: 35.
- 4 Pai M, Schumacher S G, Abimbola S. Surrogate endpoints in global health research: still searching for killer apps and silver bullets? *BMJ Glob Health* 2018; 3(2): e000755.
- 5 England K, Masini T, Fajardo E. Detecting Tuberculosis: rapid tools but slow progress. *Public Health Action* 2019; 9: xxx–xxx.
- 6 Ponnudurai N, Denkinger C, Van Gemert W, Pai M. New TB tools need to be affordable in the private sector: the case study of Xpert MTB/RIF. *J Epi Global Health* 2018; 8: 103–105.
- 7 Pai M, Furin J. Tuberculosis innovations mean little if they cannot save lives. *Elife* 2017; 6: e25956.
- 8 World Health Organization. Second WHO model list of essential in vitro diagnostics. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2019.

AFFILIATIONS

- 1 McGill International Tuberculosis Centre, McGill University, Montreal, Canada
- 2 Manipal McGill Program for Infectious Diseases, Manipal Centre for Infectious Diseases, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, India

CORRESPONDENCE

Professor Madhukar Pai
Department of Epidemiology
& Biostatistics
McGill University
1020 Pine Ave West
Montreal, QC H3A 1A2
Canada
email: madhukar.pai@mcgill.ca

Conflicts of interest: None declared.

Received 12 August 2019
Accepted 12 August 2019

PHA 2019; 9(3): 78–79
© 2019 The Union

https://www.who.int/medical_devices/publications/Standalone_document_v8.pdf?ua=1 Accessed September 2019.

9 Pai M, Kickbusch I, Boehme C. Diagnostics are essential for universal health coverage to succeed. Boston, MA, USA: Stat, 2019. <https://www.statnews.com/2019/05/20/diagnostics-universal-health-coverage-succeed/> Accessed September 2019.

10 Berumen A V, Garner S, Hill S R, Swaminathan S. Making diagnostic tests as essential as medicines. *BMJ Global Health* 2018; 3: e001033.

Public Health Action (PHA) The voice for operational research. Published by The Union (www.theunion.org), PHA provides a platform to fulfil its mission, 'Health solutions for the poor'. PHA publishes high-quality scientific research that provides new knowledge to improve the accessibility, equity, quality and efficiency of health systems and services.

e-ISSN 2220-8372

Editor-in-Chief: Dermot Maher, MD, Switzerland

Contact: pha@theunion.org

PHA website: <http://www.theunion.org/what-we-do/journals/pha>

Article submission: <http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/pha>